

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 23, 2020

Mr. Fadi Diya Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Ameren Missouri Callaway Energy Center 8315 County Road 459 Steedman, MO 65077

SUBJECT: CALLAWAY PLANT, UNIT 1 – DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPLETION OF REQUIRED ACTIONS TAKEN IN RESPONSE TO THE LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE FUKUSHIMA DAI-ICHI ACCIDENT

Dear Mr. Diya:

The purpose of this letter is to acknowledge and document that the actions required by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in orders issued following the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Station have been completed for Callaway Plant, Unit 1 (Callaway). In addition, this letter acknowledges and documents that Ameren Missouri (Ameren, the licensee), has provided the information requested in the NRC's March 12, 2012, request for information under Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Section 50.54(f), related to the lessons learned from that accident. Completing these actions and providing the requested information, in conjunction with the regulatory activities associated with the Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events (MBDBE) rulemaking, implements the safety enhancements mandated by the NRC based on the lessons learned from the accident. Relevant NRC, industry, and licensee documents are listed in the reference tables provided in the enclosure to this letter. The NRC will provide oversight of these safety enhancements through the Reactor Oversight Process (ROP).

BACKGROUND

In response to the events in Japan resulting from the Great Tōhoku Earthquake and subsequent tsunami on March 11, 2011, the NRC took immediate action to confirm the safety of U.S. nuclear power plants:

- On March 18, 2011, the NRC issued Information Notice 2011-05, "Tōhoku-Taiheiyou-Oki Earthquake Effects on Japanese Nuclear Power Plants" (Reference 1.1). The information notice was issued to inform U.S. operating power reactor licensees and applicants of the effects from the earthquake and tsunami. Recipients were expected to review the information for applicability to their facilities and consider actions, as appropriate. Suggestions contained in an information notice are not NRC requirements; therefore, no specific action or written response was required.
- On March 23, 2011, the NRC issued Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/183, "Followup to the Fukushima Dai-ichi Fuel Damage Event." The purpose of TI 2515/183 was to provide NRC

inspectors with guidance on confirming the reliability of licensees' strategies intended to maintain or restore core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling capabilities following events that may exceed the design basis for a plant. The results of the inspection for each licensee were documented in an inspection report (Reference 1.2).

- On March 23, 2011, the Commission provided staff requirements memorandum (SRM) COMGBJ-11-0002, "NRC Actions Following the Events in Japan." The tasking memorandum directed the Executive Director for Operations to establish a senior level agency task force, referred to as the Near-Term Task Force (NTTF), to conduct a methodical and systematic review of the NRC processes and regulations to determine whether the agency should make additional improvements to the regulatory system and make recommendations to the Commission within 90 days for its policy direction (Reference 1.3).
- On April 29, 2011, the NRC issued TI 2515/184, "Availability and Readiness Inspection of Severe Accident Management Guidelines (SAMGs)." The purpose of TI 2515/184 was to inspect the readiness of nuclear power plant operators to implement SAMGs. The results of the inspection were summarized and provided to the NTTF, as well as documented in a 2011 quarterly integrated inspection report for each licensee (Reference 1.4).
- On May 11, 2011, the NRC issued Bulletin (BL) 2011-01, "Mitigating Strategies." BL 2011-01 required licensees to provide a comprehensive verification of their compliance with the regulatory requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(hh)(2), as well as provide information associated with the licensee's mitigation strategies under that section.
 In 10 CFR 50.54(hh)(2), it states, in part: "Each licensee shall develop and implement guidance and strategies intended to maintain or restore core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool cooling capabilities under the circumstances associated with loss of large areas of the plant due to explosions or fire....." BL 2011-01 required a written response from each licensee (Reference 1.5). Note that the final MBDBE rule (Reference 1.15) relocated the requirements formerly in 10 CFR 50.54(hh)(2) to 10 CFR 50.155(b)(2).
- On July 21, 2011, the NRC staff provided the NTTF report, "Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century: The Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident" to the Commission in SECY-11-0093, "Near-Term Report and Recommendations for Agency Actions Following the Events in Japan" (Reference 1.6).
- On October 3, 2011, the staff prioritized the NTTF recommendations into three tiers in SECY-11-0137, "Prioritization of Recommended Actions to Be Taken in Response to Fukushima Lessons Learned." The Commission approved the staff's prioritization, with comment, in the SRM to SECY-11-0137 (Reference 1.7).

A complete discussion of the prioritization of the recommendations from the NTTF report, additional issues that were addressed subsequent to the NTTF report, and the disposition of the issues that were prioritized as Tier 2 or Tier 3 is provided in SECY-17-0016, "Status of Implementation of Lessons Learned from Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami" (Reference 12.10). A listing of the previous Commission status reports, which were provided semiannually, can be found in Table 12 in the enclosure to this letter.

The NRC undertook the following regulatory activities to address the majority of the Tier 1 recommendations:

- On March 12, 2012, the NRC issued Orders EA-12-049, "Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events," EA-12-050, "Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents," and EA-12-051, "Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation," and a request for information under 10 CFR 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter) to licensees (References 1.8, 1.9, 1.10, and 1.11, respectively).
- On June 6, 2013, the NRC issued Order EA-13-109, "Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions" (Reference 1.12), which superseded Order EA-12-050, replacing its requirements with modified requirements.
- In addition to the three orders and the 50.54(f) letter, the NRC completed rulemaking, 10 CFR 50.155, "Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events," that made generically applicable the requirements of Orders EA-12-049 and EA-12-051. The draft final rule and supporting documentation were provided to the Commission for approval in SECY-16-0142, "Draft Final Rule – Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events (RIN 3150-AJ49)" (Reference 1.13). The MBDBE rulemaking effort consolidated several of the recommendations from the NTTF report.

On January 24, 2019, the Commission, via SRM-M190124A (Reference 1.14), approved the final MBDBE rule, with edits. The final rule approved by the Commission contains provisions that make generically applicable the requirements imposed by Orders EA-12-049 and EA-12-051 and supporting requirements. The Commission's direction in the SRM makes it clear that the NRC will continue to follow a site-specific approach to resolve the interaction between the hazard reevaluation and mitigation strategies using information gathered in the 50.54(f) letter process. The NRC staff made conforming changes to the final rule package (Reference 1.15) as directed by the Commission, which included changes to two regulatory guides (References 1.16 and 1.17). The final rule was published in the *Federal Register* on August 9, 2019 (84 FR 39684), with an effective implementation date of September 9, 2019.

Subsequent to Commission approval of the final MBDBE rule, the staff engaged with stakeholders to pursue the expeditious closure of the remaining post-Fukushima 50.54(f) letter responses on a timeframe commensurate with each item's safety significance.

In a draft discussion paper (Reference 1.18) used to support a Category 3 public meeting held on February 28, 2019 (Reference 1.19), the NRC staff outlined the process to be used to review the reevaluated hazard and mitigation strategies assessment (MSA) information provided by licensees considering the differences between the draft final MBDBE rule and the approved final MBDBE rule. Subsequently, the NRC staff provided a screening letter (also called a "binning" letter) for both seismic and flooding hazard reevaluations (References 5.22 and 6.25), which categorized sites based on available information and the status of any commitments made in prior reports and assessments. The process is discussed in greater detail in the "Hazard Reevaluation" and "Mitigation Strategies Assessment" sections of the discussion which follows.

This letter acknowledges and documents that the actions required by the NRC in response to the orders, as well as the information provided in response to the March 12, 2012, 50.54(f) letter, have been completed for Callaway. However, the staff is not determining whether the licensee complies with the final MBDBE rule. Oversight of compliance with the final MBDBE rule at Callaway will be conducted through the ROP.

DISCUSSION

Mitigation Strategies Order

Order EA-12-049, which applies to Callaway, requires licensees to implement a three-phase approach for mitigation of beyond-design-basis external events (BDBEEs). It requires licensees to develop, implement, and maintain guidance and strategies to maintain or restore core cooling, containment, and spent fuel pool (SFP) cooling capabilities in the event of a BDBEE that results in a simultaneous loss of all alternating current (ac) power and loss of normal access to the ultimate heat sink (LUHS). Phases 1 and 2 of the order use onsite equipment, while Phase 3 requires obtaining sufficient offsite resources to sustain those functions indefinitely.

In August 2012, the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) issued Revision 0 of industry guidance document NEI 12-06, "Diverse and Flexible Coping Strategies (FLEX) Implementation Guide," as guidance to comply with the order. The NRC endorsed the guidance in Revision 0 of Japan Lessons Learned Project Directorate (JLD) interim staff guidance (ISG) document JLD-ISG-2012-01, "Compliance with Order EA-12-049, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events." Subsequently, in December 2015, NEI issued Revision 2 of NEI 12-06 and the NRC endorsed that guidance in Revision 1 of JLD-ISG-2012-01 (Reference 2.1). Licensees were required to provide an overall integrated plan (OIP) to describe how they would comply with the order, along with status reports every 6 months until compliance was achieved (Reference 2.2). The NRC staff provided an interim staff evaluation (ISE) related to the OIP (Reference 2.3). The NRC concluded in the ISE that the licensee provided sufficient information to determine that there is reasonable assurance that the plan, when properly implemented, including satisfactory resolution of the open and confirmatory items, would meet the requirements of Order EA-12-049 at Callaway. The NRC staff also conducted a regulatory audit of the licensee's strategies and issued a report which documented the results of the audit activities (Reference 2.4). Upon reaching compliance with the order requirements, the licensee submitted a compliance letter and a final integrated plan (FIP) to the NRC (Reference 2.5). The FIP describes how the licensee is complying with the order at Callaway.

The NRC staff completed a safety evaluation (SE) of the licensee's FIP (Reference 2.6). The SE informed the licensee that its integrated plan, if implemented as described, provided a reasonable path for compliance with Order EA-12-049 at Callaway. The staff then evaluated the implementation of the plans through inspection, using TI 2515/191, "Implementation of Mitigation Strategies and Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation Orders and Emergency Preparedness Communications/Staffing/Multi-Unit Dose Assessment Plans." An inspection report was issued to document the results of the TI 2515/191 inspection (Reference 2.7). The NRC will oversee implementation of the mitigation strategies requirements under the final MBDBE rule requirements through the ROP.

Phase 3 of Order EA-12-049 required licensees to obtain sufficient offsite resources to sustain the required functions indefinitely. There are two redundant National Strategic Alliance for

FLEX Emergency Response (SAFER) Response Centers (NSRCs), one located in Memphis, Tennessee, and the other in Phoenix, Arizona, which have the procedures and plans in place to maintain and deliver the equipment needed for Phase 3 from either NSRC to any participating U.S. nuclear power plant when requested (Reference 2.8). The NRC staff evaluated and inspected the NSRCs and the SAFER program, plans, and procedures (References 2.9 and 2.10). Subsequently, SAFER provided two addenda to document the treatment of equipment withdrawn from the NSRCs (Reference 2.11). The NRC reviewed the addenda and documented its conclusion in an updated staff assessment (Reference 2.12). The NRC concluded that licensees may reference the SAFER program and implement their SAFER response plans to meet the Phase 3 requirements of the order. The licensee's FIP (Reference 2.5) includes the plans for utilizing the NSRC equipment at Callaway. In its SE (Reference 2.6), the NRC staff concluded that the licensee has developed guidance that, if implemented appropriately, should allow utilization of offsite resources following a BDBEE consistent with NEI 12-06 guidance and should adequately address the requirements of the order.

Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation Order

Order EA-12-051, which applies to Callaway, required licensees to install reliable SFP level instrumentation with a primary channel and a backup channel, independent of each other, and with the capability to be powered independent of the plant's power distribution systems. The NEI issued NEI 12-02, "Industry Guidance for Compliance with NRC Order EA-12-051, 'To Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation,'" as guidance to be used by licensees to comply with the order. The NRC endorsed this guidance in JLD-ISG-2012-03, "Compliance with Order EA-12-051, Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation" (Reference 3.1). Licensees were required to provide an OIP to describe how they would comply with the order, along with status reports every 6 months until compliance was achieved (Reference 3.2). The NRC issued an ISE, providing feedback on the OIP submittal (Reference 3.3). The NRC staff conducted a regulatory audit of the licensee's strategies and issued a report that documented the results of the audit activities (Reference 3.4). Upon reaching compliance with the order requirements, the licensee submitted a compliance letter to the NRC (Reference 3.5), describing how the licensee complied with the order at Callaway.

The NRC staff completed an SE of the actions taken by the licensee in response to the order (Reference 3.6). The SE informed the licensee that its integrated plan, if implemented as described, provided a reasonable path for compliance with Order EA-12-051 at Callaway. The staff then evaluated the implementation of the plan through inspection, using TI 2515/191. An inspection report was issued to document the results of the TI 2515/191 inspection at the site (Reference 3.7). The NRC will oversee implementation of the SFP instrumentation requirements under the final MBDBE rule requirements through the ROP.

Reliable Hardened Containment Vent Order

Order EA-13-109 (Reference 1.12) is only applicable to operating boiling-water reactors with Mark I and Mark II containments. Because the reactor at Callaway is a pressurized water reactor with a large, dry, ambient-pressure containment, this order is not applicable to Callaway.

Request for Information Under 10 CFR 50.54(f)

The 50.54(f) letter requested operating power reactor licensees to:

- reevaluate the seismic and flooding hazards at their sites using present-day NRC requirements and guidance, and identify actions that are planned to address plant-specific vulnerabilities associated with the reevaluated seismic and flooding hazards
- perform seismic and flooding walkdowns to verify compliance with the current licensing basis; verify the adequacy of current strategies and maintenance plans; and identify degraded, nonconforming, or unanalyzed conditions related to seismic and flooding protection
- provide an assessment of their current emergency communications and staffing capabilities to determine if any enhancements are needed to respond to a large-scale natural emergency event that results in an extended loss of ac power to all reactors at the site, and/or impeded access to the site

In COMSECY-14-0037, "Integration of Mitigating Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events and the Reevaluat[i]on of Flooding Hazards" (Reference 6.13), the NRC staff described issues related to the implementation of Order EA-12-049 and the related MBDBE rulemaking, and the completion of flooding reevaluations and assessments. In the SRM to COMSECY-14-0037 (Reference 6.14), the Commission directed the NRC staff to provide a plan for achieving closure of the flooding hazard assessments to the Commission for review and approval. The NRC staff provided this plan in COMSECY-15-0019, "Closure Plan for the Reevaluation of Flooding Hazards for Operating Nuclear Power Plants" (Reference 6.16), which the Commission approved in the SRM to COMSECY-15-0019 (Reference 6.17).

Hazard Reevaluations (Enclosures 1 and 2 of the 50.54(f) letter)

Each licensee followed a similar two-phase process to respond to the hazard reevaluations requested by the 50.54(f) letter. In Phase 1, licensees submitted hazard reevaluation reports using NRC-endorsed, industry-developed guidance. The guidance specified that a licensee should determine if interim protection measures were needed while a longer-term evaluation of the impacts of the hazard was completed. The NRC staff reviewed the reevaluated hazard information. Using the reevaluated hazard information and a graded approach, the NRC identified the need for, and prioritization and scope of, plant-specific assessments. For those plants that were required to perform a flooding integrated assessment (IA) or a seismic probabilistic risk assessment (SPRA), Phase 2 decisionmaking, as described by letters dated September 21, 2016, and March 2, 2020 (References 5.17 and 6.24), would determine whether additional plant-specific regulatory actions were necessary. In addition, as discussed in COMSECY-15-0019, most licensees performed an MSA to demonstrate that the licensee had adequately addressed the reevaluated hazards within their mitigation strategies developed for BDBEEs.

In a draft discussion paper (Reference 1.18) used to support a Category 3 public meeting held on February 28, 2019 (Reference 1.19), the NRC staff outlined the process to be used to review the reevaluated hazard and MSA information provided by licensees considering the differences between the draft final MBDBE rule and the approved final MBDBE rule. The purpose of these reviews is to ensure that the conclusions in the various staff assessments continue to support a determination that no further regulatory actions are needed.

As stated in the discussion paper, the NRC subsequently issued a seismic screening letter (Reference 5.22) and a flooding screening letter (Reference 6.25), also called "binning" letters, to all operating power reactor licensees. The purpose of the binning letters is to categorize sites based on available information and the status of any commitments made in prior reports and assessments.

Callaway was binned as a Category 1 site for flooding. Category 1 includes sites where no additional information or regulatory action is required. This category includes sites, such as Callaway, where the reevaluated flood hazard results are bounded by the CDB.

Callaway was binned as a Category 3 site for seismic. As a seismic Category 3 site, the staff's review of the licensee's SPRA submittal was conducted in accordance with the Commission direction and is documented in the appropriate staff assessment.

Seismic Hazard Reevaluation (Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter)

Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter requested each operating power reactor licensee to complete a reevaluation of the seismic hazard that could affect their sites using updated seismic hazard information and present-day regulatory guidance and methodologies to develop a ground motion response spectrum (GMRS). The licensee was asked to compare their results to the safe-shutdown earthquake (SSE) ground motion and then report to the NRC in a seismic hazard screening report (SHSR). To provide a uniform and acceptable industry response, the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) developed a technical report, EPRI 1025287, "Screening, Prioritization and Implementation Details (SPID) for the Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.1: Seismic," and the NRC endorsed the guidance in a letter dated February 15, 2013 (Reference 5.1). From November 2012 to May 2014, the NRC and the industry provided guidance for the performance of the reevaluated hazard reviews (References 5.2-5.7). The licensee provided a SHSR for Callaway (Reference 5.8).

If the new GMRS was not bound by the current design basis (CDB) SSE, Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter requested more detailed evaluations of the impact from the hazard. Also, the licensee was asked to evaluate whether interim protection measures were needed while the more detailed evaluation was completed. By letter dated May 7, 2013, the NRC endorsed industry-developed guidance, a proposed path forward, and schedules, which were provided in a letter from NEI dated April 9, 2013. Attachment 1 of the NEI letter contains EPRI Report 3002000704, "Augmented Approach for the Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.1: Seismic," to provide the guidance needed to perform an evaluation of any needed interim protective measures (Reference 5.3). This expedited seismic evaluation process (ESEP) is a screening, evaluation, and equipment modification process performed by licensees to provide additional seismic margin and expedite plant safety enhancements for certain core cooling and containment components while the more detailed and comprehensive plant seismic risk evaluations are being performed. Callaway screened in to complete an ESEP report (see References 5.10 and 5.11). The licensee provided additional responses to staff's clarification questions which included a supplement to the ESEP report (Reference 5.13). The NRC staff completed a technical review of the ESEP report and supplemental information and documented its review in a response letter (Reference 5.13).

By letters dated May 9, 2014, and May 13, 2015 (Reference 5.10), the NRC informed licensees located in the Central and Eastern U.S. (CEUS) and Western U.S. (WUS), respectively, of the initial screening and prioritization results based on a review of the licensees' SHSR. The NRC updated the screening and prioritization in a letter dated October 3, 2014 (Reference 5.11). The NRC provided the final determination of required seismic evaluations in a letter dated October 27, 2015 (Reference 5.18). These evaluations could consist of an SPRA (Reference 5.1, SPID, Section 6.1.1), limited scope evaluations (High Frequency (Reference 5.14) and/or SFP evaluations (Reference 5.15)), or a relay chatter evaluation (Reference 5.4). If an SPRA was required, then additional Phase 2 regulatory decisionmaking was required (References 5.16 and 5.17).

The NRC staff completed and documented its review of the licensee's reevaluated seismic hazard in a staff assessment (Reference 5.9). In order to complete its response to the 50.54(f) letter, the licensee submitted an SFP evaluation and SPRA report for Callaway (Reference 5.19). As noted in the final screening letter, high frequency exceedances are addressed in the SPRA report. A regulatory audit was conducted as needed to support the staff's review of each submittal (Reference 5.20). The audit results are documented in the applicable staff assessment (Reference 5.21). The NRC reviewed the SFP evaluation and confirmed that Callaway met the criteria of the SFP Evaluation Guidance Report (Reference 5.15). The NRC reviewed the SPRA report (and two subsequent supplements) using the regulatory review guidance provided in Reference 5.17. The staff's review concluded that the SPRA was of sufficient technical adequacy to support Phase 2 regulatory decisionmaking and that Callaway responded appropriately to Enclosure 1, item (8) of the 50.54(f) letter (Reference 5.21). Based on the results and risk insights of the SPRA report, the NRC staff concluded that no further response or regulatory actions were required related to the seismic hazard reevaluation activities requested by Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter.

Because the staff's SPRA review was completed after the final MBDBE rule was approved, the NRC staff appropriately considered the revised MBDBE rule in its staff assessment and confirmed that the conclusions in the various other staff assessments support a determination that no further regulatory requirements are required for Callaway.

The NRC staff reviewed the information provided and, as documented in the staff assessments (References 5.9 and 5.21), concluded that the licensee provided sufficient information in response to Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter. The staff acknowledges that all seismic hazard reevaluation activities requested by Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter have been completed for Callaway. No further information related to the reevaluated seismic hazard is required.

Flooding Hazard Reevaluation (Enclosure 2 of the 50.54(f) letter)

Enclosure 2 of the 50.54(f) letter requested each operating power reactor licensee to complete a reevaluation of applicable flood-causing mechanisms at their site using updated flooding hazard information and present-day regulatory guidance and methodologies. Licensees were asked to compare their results to the CDB for protection and mitigation from external flood events. The NRC developed guidance to conduct the reevaluations (References 6.1 through 6.6). The licensee submitted a flood hazard reevaluation report (FHRR) for Callaway (Reference 6.7) to the NRC as requested by the 50.54(f) letter. Interim actions to protect against the reevaluated flood hazard were not needed. A regulatory audit to support the review of the FHRR was not necessary. The NRC staff documented the review of the FHRR in a detailed staff assessment (Reference 6.11). The NRC provided a supplement to the staff assessment (Reference 6.10). This supplement (also called an interim hazard letter) updates the original staff assessment to

address changes in the NRC's approach to next steps following the review of the flood hazard reevaluations as directed by the Commission. The information in the interim hazard letter was used by the licensee to complete the flood hazard MSA and other flood hazard evaluations.

In COMSECY-14-0037 (Reference 6.13), the NRC staff requested Commission direction to more clearly define the relationship between Order EA-12-049, the related MBDBE rulemaking, and the flood hazard reevaluations and assessments. Because the NRC was reevaluating its approach to the flooding evaluations, the NRC provided an extension of the due dates for any IAs in a letter dated November 21, 2014 (Reference 6.12). In the SRM to COMSECY-14-0037 (Reference 6.14), the Commission directed the NRC staff to provide a plan for achieving closure of the flooding portion of NTTF Recommendation 2.1 to the Commission for its review and approval. On May 26, 2015 (Reference 6.15), the NRC deferred, until further notice, the date for submitting the IA reports. On June 30, 2015 (Reference 6.16), the NRC staff provided a plan to the Commission in COMSECY-15-0019. On July 28, 2015 (Reference 6.17), the Commission approved the plan in the SRM to COMSECY-15-0019. On September 1, 2015, the NRC issued a letter to licensees describing the graded approach to complete the flood hazard reevaluations as approved by the Commission (Reference 6.18).

The COMSECY-15-0019 action plan required the NRC staff to develop a graded approach to identify the need for, and prioritization and scope of, plant-specific IAs and evaluation of plant-specific regulatory actions. The NRC staff's graded approach enabled a site with hazard exceedance above its CDB to demonstrate the site's ability to cope with the reevaluated hazard through appropriate protection or mitigation measures which are timely, effective, and reasonable. The IAs were focused on sites with the greatest potential for additional safety enhancements. New guidance for performing the IAs and focused evaluations (FEs) was developed for this graded approach. The guidance also provided schedule information for submission of any required IA. On July 18, 2016 (Reference 6.19), the staff issued JLD-ISG-2016-01, "Guidance for Activities Related to Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.1, Flooding Hazard Reevaluation, Focused Evaluation and Integrated Assessment". The ISG provided the guidance for Phase 1 flooding assessments, as described in COMSECY-15-0019, and endorsed industry guidance provided in NEI 16-05, "External Flooding Integrated Assessment Guidelines" (Reference 6.19). If an IA was necessary, then Phase 2 regulatory decisionmaking was required (References 6.23 and 6.24).

As noted in the interim hazard response letter (Reference 6.10), the reevaluated flooding hazard at Callaway was bounded by the CDB. The NRC staff documented its review of the FHRR in a staff assessment (Reference 6.11) and concluded that the licensee provided sufficient information in response to the 50.54(f) letter. Because the reevaluated flood mechanisms are bounded by the CDB, it was not necessary for this licensee to perform an FE or an IA.

Because the staff's reviews were completed prior to when the final MBDBE rule was approved, the NRC staff, using the process discussed in the flooding binning letter (Reference 6.25), re-visited these conclusions considering the final approved MBDBE rule. The staff confirmed that the conclusions in the various staff assessments continue to support a determination that no further regulatory requirements are required for Callaway.

The NRC staff reviewed the information provided by the licensee and has concluded that sufficient information was provided to be responsive to Enclosure 2 of the 50.54(f) letter. The staff acknowledges that all flooding hazard reevaluation activities requested by Enclosure 2 of the 50.54(f) letter have been completed for Callaway. No further information related to the reevaluated flood hazard is required.

Mitigating Strategies Assessment

In addition to the closure plan for NTTF Recommendation 2.1, the action plan approved by the Commission in the SRM to COMSECY-15-0019 (Reference 7.4) identified the NRC staff's efforts to ensure licensees would address the reevaluated hazard information in their mitigation strategies. Proposed requirements related to the MSA were included in the draft final MBDBE rule, but were removed as a requirement from the final approved rule language. The Commission's direction in SRM-M190124A (Reference 1.14) makes it clear that the NRC will continue to follow a site-specific approach to resolve the interactions between the hazard reevaluation and mitigation strategies using information gathered in the 50.54(f) letter process.

In a draft discussion paper (Reference 1.18) used to support a Category 3 public meeting held on February 28, 2019 (Reference 1.19), the NRC staff outlined the process to be used to review the reevaluated hazard and MSA information provided by licensees considering the differences between the draft final MBDBE rule and the approved final MBDBE rule. Subsequently, the NRC staff provided a screening letter (also called a "binning" letter) for both seismic and flooding information (References 5.22 and 6.25), which categorized sites based on available information and the status of any commitments made in prior reports and assessments. The majority of MSAs had been submitted and evaluated by the staff prior to the issuance of the binning letters. For the MSA reviews that had not yet been completed, or MSAs that had not yet been submitted, the staff would evaluate the hazard impacts on the mitigation strategies, as appropriate, as part of its review of SPRA reports, flooding FEs, and/or flooding IAs.

The objective of the MSA is to determine whether the mitigation strategies developed for Order EA-12-049 can still be implemented given the reevaluated hazard levels. If it was determined that the mitigation strategies could not be implemented for the reevaluated hazard levels, the MSA could provide other options such as performing additional evaluations, modifying existing mitigating strategies, or developing alternate mitigating strategies or targeted hazard mitigating strategies to address the reevaluated hazard levels. In Revision 1 to JLD-ISG-2012-01, the NRC endorsed industry-developed guidance contained in Appendices G and H of Revision 2 to NEI 12-06 (Reference 7.5) for completing the MSAs. In Revision 2 to JLD-ISG-2012-01, the NRC endorsed the industry-developed guidance of NEI 12-06, Revision 4 (Reference 7.5).

In the interim hazard letter (FHRR supplemental staff assessment, Reference 5.10), the NRC staff confirmed that the reevaluated hazard results for each reevaluated flood-causing mechanism are bounded by the CDB flood hazard and an integrated assessment or focused evaluation is not necessary for Callaway. The letter went on to state, "the licensee is expected to perform an MSA (Mitigating Strategies Assessment) for Callaway." In order to clarify the expectation for Callaway, a meeting was held via telephone between NRC staff and Callaway staff on December 1, 2015. During this call, the NRC staff clarified that the expectation for Callaway is to submit a brief response stating that the reevaluated flood hazard is bounded by the CDB and therefore no further action, including performance of an MSA, is required. By letter dated April 6, 2016 (Reference 7.5), Callaway confirmed that the reevaluated flood hazard is bounded by the CDB, and that an MSA will not be performed.

The NRC staff reviewed the information provided in the April 6, 2016, letter, and issued a response letter (Reference 7.7) documenting its review. The NRC staff concluded that, since the revaluated flood hazards were bounded by the CDB flood hazard, the licensee has demonstrated that the mitigation strategies are reasonably protected from reevaluated flood hazards conditions for beyond-design-basis external events. Thus, the NRC staff concluded

that Callaway has adequately addressed the reevaluated hazards within their described mitigating strategies. As discussed in the flooding binning letter (Reference 6.25), the staff re-visited this conclusion considering the final approved MBDBE rule. The staff confirmed that the conclusions in the staff's response letter (Reference 7.7) continue to support a determination that no further response or regulatory actions were required related to the flood hazard reevaluation activities requested by Enclosure 2 of the 50.54(f) letter.

As noted in the seismic hazard binning letter (Reference 5.22), Callaway was no longer required to submit a seismic MSA. For the MSAs not submitted (such as Callaway), the staff evaluated the mitigation strategies, as appropriate, as part of its review of the SPRA report (Reference 5.21). Based on the results and risk insights of the SPRA report, combined with the results of the SFP evaluation (Reference 5.21), the NRC staff concluded that no further response or regulatory actions were required related to the seismic hazard reevaluation activities requested by Enclosure 1 of the 50.54(f) letter.

Walkdowns (Enclosures 3 and 4 of the 50.54(f) letter)

Enclosures 3 and 4 of the 50.54(f) letter requested that licensees perform plant walkdowns to verify compliance with the current licensing basis as it pertains to seismic and flood protection. By letter dated May 31, 2012 (Reference 8.2), the NRC endorsed industry-developed guidance contained in Technical Report EPRI 1025286, "Seismic Walkdown Guidance" (Reference 8.1), for the performance of the seismic walkdowns. By letter dated May 31, 2012 (Reference 9.2), the NRC endorsed industry-developed guidance contained in NEI 12-07, "Guidelines for Performing Verification Walkdowns of Plant Flood Protection Features" (Reference 9.1), for performance of the flooding walkdowns. The licensee provided a report for both the seismic and flooding walkdowns at Callaway (References 8.3 and 9.3). Because there were inaccessible items identified during the initial licensee seismic walkdowns, the licensee submitted a subsequent seismic walkdown report after accessing the areas (Reference 8.3). The NRC performed onsite inspections per TI 2515/188, "Inspection of Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3 Seismic Walkdowns," and TI 2515/187, "Inspection of Near-Term Task Force Recommendation 2.3 Flooding Walkdowns," and documented the inspection results in a quarterly integrated inspection report (References 8.4 and 9.4). The NRC staff issued staff assessments for both the seismic and flooding walkdowns (References 8.5 and 9.5).

The NRC staff reviewed the information provided by the licensee and determined that sufficient information was provided to be responsive to Enclosures 3 and 4 of the 50.54(f) letter. The staff acknowledges that all seismic and flooding walkdown activities requested by the 50.54(f) letter have been completed for Callaway.

Communications and Staffing (Enclosure 5 of the 50.54(f) letter)

Enclosure 5 of the 50.54(f) letter requested licensees to assess their means to power equipment needed to communicate onsite and offsite during a prolonged station blackout event and to identify and implement enhancements to ensure that communications can be maintained during such an event. Also, licensees were requested to assess the staffing required to fill all necessary positions to respond to a multiunit event with impeded access to the site, or to an extended loss of all ac power for single unit sites. Licensees were requested to submit a written response to the information requests within 90 days or provide a response within 60 days and describe an alternative course of action and estimated completion dates. The licensee proposed an alternative course of action and schedule for Callaway (Reference 10.2), which

included a 90-day partial response (Reference 10.3). The NRC acknowledged the schedule changes in a letter dated July 26, 2012 (Reference 10.4).

The NRC endorsed industry-developed guidance contained in NEI 12-01, "Guideline for Assessing Beyond-Design-Basis Accident Response Staffing and Communications Capabilities" in a letter dated May 15, 2012 (Reference 10.1), for the performance of the communications and staffing assessments. The licensee provided the communications assessment and implementation schedule for Callaway (Reference 10.5), and the NRC completed a staff assessment of the licensee's communications assessment (Reference 10.6).

Licensees responded to the staffing portion of the 50.54(f) letter in two phases to account for the implementation of mitigation strategies. Phase 1 staffing assessments were based on the existing station blackout coping strategies with an assumption of all reactors at the site being affected concurrently. The Phase 1 staffing assessment is required for multiunit sites and, therefore, was not required for Callaway. In Phase 2, all licensees assessed the staffing necessary to carry out the mitigation strategies (Reference 10.9). The NRC staff issued a staffing assessment response letter (Reference 10.10). The NRC performed an onsite inspection using TI 2515/191 to verify that the emergency communications and staffing plans at Callaway have been implemented as described by the licensee (Reference 10.11).

Proposed Regulatory Guide 1.228 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML16218A236) was expected to endorse, with clarifications, NEI 12-01, NEI 13-06, "Enhancements to Emergency Response Capabilities for Beyond-Design-Basis Events and Severe Accidents" (Reference 11.16), and NEI 14-01, "Emergency Response Procedures and Guidelines for Beyond-Design-Basis Events and Severe Accidents" (Reference 11.7). However, the final MBDBE rule's language was revised to remove these requirements from the rule. The NRC staff canceled proposed Regulatory Guide 1.228 to reflect the approved changes in the final rule. The NRC will oversee the licensee's implementation of communications and staffing plans which support the mitigation strategies requirements through the ROP.

The NRC staff reviewed the information provided by the licensee and determined that sufficient information was provided to be responsive to Enclosure 5 of the 50.54(f) letter. The staff acknowledges that all emergency preparedness communications and staffing activities requested by Enclosure 5 of the 50.54(f) letter have been completed for Callaway. No further information related to the communications and staffing assessments is required.

Additional Industry Commitments

Update and Maintain Severe Accident Management Guidelines

The NRC staff provided the proposed MBDBE rule to the Commission on April 30, 2015 (Reference 11.1), in SECY-15-0065, "Proposed Rulemaking: Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events (RIN 3150-AJ49)" and the Commission issued the SRM to SECY-15-0065 on August 27, 2015 (Reference 11.2). The Commission approved publication of the proposed rule subject to removal of the proposed requirements pertaining to the SAMGs. The Commission also directed the staff to update the ROP to explicitly provide periodic oversight of industry's implementation of the SAMGs.

By letter dated October 26, 2015 (Reference 11.3), NEI described the industry initiative, approved by the Nuclear Strategic Issues Advisory Committee as mandatory for all NEI

members, to update and maintain the SAMGs. Specifically, each licensee will perform timely updates of their site-specific SAMGs based on revisions to generic severe accident technical guidelines. Licensees will also ensure that SAMGs are considered within plant configuration management processes. As noted in the NEI letter, the licensee provided a letter (Reference 11.4) to establish a site-specific regulatory commitment for Callaway.

In a letter to NEI dated February 23, 2016 (Reference 11.5), the staff outlined its approach for making changes to the ROP in accordance with the Commission direction. The staff engaged NEI and other stakeholders to identify the near-term and long-term changes to the ROP, consistent with the Commission direction and the licensees' near-term and long-term SAMG commitments. In November 2016, the staff revised Inspection Procedure (IP) 71111.18, "Plant Modifications" (Reference 11.6, effective January 1, 2017), to provide oversight of the initial inclusion of SAMGs within the plant configuration management processes to ensure that the SAMGs reflect changes to the facility over time. In November 2018, the staff published a revision to IP 71111.18 (Reference 11.6, effective January 1, 2019), to provide oversight of the site-specific incorporation of generic owner's groups SAMG guidance revisions.

Multiunit/Multisource Dose Assessments

In COMSECY-13-0010, "Schedule and Plans for Tier 2 Order on Emergency Preparedness for Japan Lessons Learned," dated March 27, 2013 (Reference 11.13), the NRC staff requested Commission approval to implement the NTTF recommendation concerning multiunit/multisource dose assessments by having licensees document their commitment to obtain multiunit/multisource dose assessment capability by the end of 2014, rather than by issuing an order. Multiunit dose assessment capabilities would be made generically applicable through subsequent rulemaking. The Commission approved the staff's requests in the SRM to COMSECY-13-0010, dated April 30, 2013 (Reference 11.14). The licensee commitments are documented in References 11.8 through 11.11.

The NRC staff included the multiunit/multisource dose assessment requirement in the proposed MBDBE rulemaking (Reference 11.1). However, in response to a public comment concerning the 10 CFR 50.109 backfitting justification for the proposed multiple source term dose assessment requirements, the NRC staff determined that this requirement did not meet the criteria for imposition under 10 CFR 50.109(a)(4)(ii). The NRC staff also concluded that this could not be justified as a compliance backfit or as a substantial safety improvement whose costs, both direct and indirect, would be justified considering the potential safety gain. Therefore, these requirements were removed from the draft final rule (Reference 1.13).

The licensee provided the requested information and stated that Callaway will have multiunit/multisource dose assessment capabilities (Reference 11.11) by December 31, 2014. The NRC acknowledged the licensee's submittal (Reference 11.12), verified the implementation of these dose assessment capabilities through inspection per TI 2515/191, and issued an inspection report (Reference 11.15).

CONCLUSION

The NRC staff concludes that Ameren, the licensee, has implemented the NRC-mandated safety enhancements resulting from the lessons learned from the Fukushima Dai-ichi accident through its implementation of Orders EA-12-049 and EA-12-051 at Callaway. The staff further concludes that the licensee has completed its response to the 50.54(f) letter for Callaway. No further regulatory decisionmaking is required for Callaway related to the Fukushima lessons-learned.

A listing of the applicable correspondence related to the Fukushima lessons-learned activities for Callaway is included as an enclosure to this letter.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 301-415-2621 or by e-mail at <u>Robert.Bernardo@nrc.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

/**RA**/

Robert J. Bernardo, Project Manager Integrated Program Management and Beyond-Design-Basis Branch Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-483

Enclosure: Documents Related to Required Response

cc w/encl: Distribution via Listserv

Reference Documents Related to Required Response to the Lessons Learned from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident

TABLE 1				
In	Initial Actions in Response to the Events in Japan Caused by the Great Tōhoku Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami			
			ADAMS ¹	
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.	
1.1	NRC Information Notice 2011-05	March 18, 2011	ML110760432	
1.2	NRC Followup to the Fukushima Dai-ichi Fuel			
	Damage Event			
	Temporary Instruction (TI) 2515/183	March 23, 2011	ML11077A007	
	NRC TI 2515/183 Inspection Report 2011- 008	May 13, 2011	ML11133A171	
	NRC Integrated Inspection Report 2011- 003 (TI 2515/183 closeout)	July 18, 2011	ML111990471	
	Summary of Observations – TI-183	November 28, 2011	ML11325A020	
1.3	NRC Tasking Memorandum, Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) to COMGBJ-11-0002	March 23, 2011	ML110820875	
1.4	NRC Availability and Readiness Inspection of SAMG			
	NRC Availability and Readiness Inspection of SAMG - TI 2515/184	April 29, 2011	ML11115A053	
	NRC Integrated Inspection Report 2011- 003 (TI 2515/184 inspection)	July 18, 2011	ML111990471	
	NRC TI 2515/184 Inspection Results, Region 4 Summary	May 26, 2011	ML111470264	
	NRC Summary of TI 2515/184 Results	June 6, 2011	ML11154A109	
1.5	NRC Bulletin 2011-01, "Mitigating Strategies"			
	NRC Bulletin 2011-01	May 11, 2011	ML111250360	
	Licensee 30 day response to BL 2011-01	June 10, 2011	ML111640447	
	Licensee 60 day response to BL 2011-01	July 11, 2011	ML111930161	
	NRC Request for Additional Information (RAI) regarding Licensee 60 day response to BL 2011-01	December 7, 2011	ML113390281	
	Licensee response to RAI	January 5, 2012	ML120060481	
	NRC Closeout of BL 2011-01 for Callaway	June 27, 2012	ML12178A060	
1.6	NRC NTTF Report (SECY-11-0093)	July 12, 2011	ML11186A950	
1.7	NRC SECY-11-0137, Prioritization of			
	Recommended Actions to Be Taken in			
	Response to Fukushima Lessons Learned			
	NRC SECY-11-0137	October 3, 2011	ML11272A111	
	SRM-SECY-11-0137	December 15, 2011	ML113490055	
1.8	NRC Order EA-12-049	March 12, 2012	ML12054A735	
1.9	NRC Order EA-12-050	March 12, 2012	ML12054A694	
1.10	NRC Order EA-12-051	March 12, 2012	ML12054A679	

¹ Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS)

In	TABLE 1 Initial Actions in Response to the Events in Japan Caused by the Great Tōhoku Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami			
			ADAMS ¹	
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.	
1.11	NRC Request for Information Under 10 CFR 50.54(f) (the 50.54(f) letter)	March 12, 2012	ML12053A340	
1.12	NRC Order EA-13-109	June 6, 2013	ML13143A321	
1.13	NRC SECY-16-0142, "Draft Final Rule: Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events"	December 15, 2016	ML16301A005	
1.14	SRM-M190124A: Affirmation Session-SECY- 16-0142: Final Rule: Mitigation of Beyond- Design-Basis Events (RIN 3150-AJ49) - Package	January 24, 2019	ML19023A038	
1.15	Final Rule: Mitigation of Beyond-Design- Basis Events (Package)	August 9, 2019	ML19058A006	
1.16	Regulatory Guide 1.226, Revision 0, Flexible Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis Events	June 30, 2019	ML19058A012	
1.17	Regulatory Guide 1.227, Revision 0, Wide Range Spent Fuel Pool Level Instrumentation	June 30, 2019	ML19058A013	
1.18	NRC Staff Preliminary Process for Treatment of Reevaluated Seismic and Flooding Hazard Information in Backfit Determinations	February 14, 2019	ML19037A443	
1.19	Category 3 Public Meeting to Discuss Staff's Preliminary Process for Treatment of Reevaluated Seismic and Flooding Hazard Information in Backfit Determinations	February 14, 2019	ML19052A511	

Ord	TABLE 2 Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events – EA-12-049			
			ADAMS	
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.	
2.1	Guidance for Compliance with EA-12-049 - Diverse and Flexible Coping Strategies (FLEX)			
	Industry Guidance on Diverse and Flexible Coping Strategies (FLEX) NEI 12-06, Revision 0	August 21, 2012	ML12242A378	
	NRC endorsement of NEI 12-06, Revision 0 - JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 0	August 29, 2012	ML12229A174	
	Industry Guidance on Diverse and Flexible Coping Strategies (FLEX) NEI 12-06, Revision 2	December 2015	ML16005A625	
	NRC endorsement of NEI 12-06, Revision 2 - JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 1	January 22, 2016	ML15357A163	
2.2	Licensee Overall Integrated Plan (OIP)			
	Licensee OIP submittal	February 28, 2013	ML13063A459	
	OIP 1st six month status report	August 29, 2013	ML13242A239	
	OIP 2nd six month status report	February 26, 2014	ML14057A770	
	OIP 3rd six month status report	August 28, 2014	ML14241A665	
	OIP 4th six month status report	February 26, 2015	ML15057A303	
	OIP 5th six month status report	August 27, 2015	ML15239B402	
	OIP 6th six month status report	February 23, 2016	ML16054A836	
2.3	NRC Interim Staff Evaluation (ISE) of OIP	December 19, 2013	ML13224A195	
2.4	NRC audit of EA-12-049 OIP			
	NRC Notification of Audit of EA-12-049	August 28, 2013	ML13234A503	
	NRC Site-Specific Audit Plan	November 9, 2015	ML15309A326	
	NRC Audit Report	March 31, 2016	ML16077A353	
2.5	Licensee Compliance Letter for EA-12-049 and Final Integrated Plan (FIP)	July 6, 2016	ML16189A304	
2.6	NRC Safety Evaluation (SE) of Implementation of EA-12-049	February 2, 2017	ML17010A332	
2.7	NRC Inspection of Licensee Responses to EA-12-049, EA-12-051, and Emergency Preparedness Information			
	NRC TI 2515/191, Revision 1	December 23, 2015	ML15257A188	
	NRC TI 2515/191 Inspection Report 2017- 008	October 3, 2017	ML17277A053	
2.8	Industry White Paper – National SAFER Response Centers (NSRC)	September 11, 2014	ML14259A221	
2.9	NRC Staff Assessment of NSRCs	September 26, 2014	ML14265A107	

TABLE 2 Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events – EA-12-049			
Def	Decument	Dete	ADAMS
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.
2.10	NRC Inspection of Implementation of EA-12-049 Regarding the use of NSRC		
	NRC Inspection Procedure (IP) 43006	September 30, 2016	ML16273A318
	NRC Vendor Inspection of the Phoenix NSRC Report No. 99901013/2016-201	January 12, 2017	ML17012A186
	NRC Vendor Inspection of the Memphis NSRC Report No. 99901013/2017-201	May 5, 2017	ML17117A576
2.11	Addenda I and II to industry NSRC white paper	May 24, 2018	ML18150A658
2.12	NRC Updated Staff Assessment of NSRCs	September 20, 2018	ML18157A014
NA	NRC approval of relaxation request of the schedule requirements for Order EA-12-049	December 11, 2013	ML13319A668

Orde	TABLE 3 Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation – EA-12-051			
			ADAMS	
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.	
3.1	Guidance for Compliance with EA-12-051 – Spent Fuel Pool Instrumentation (SFPI)			
	Industry Guidance for Compliance with EA-12-051 – NEI 12-02, Revision 1	August 2012	ML12240A307	
	NRC endorsement of NEI 12-02, Revision 1 - JLD-ISG-2012-03, Revision 0	August 29, 2012	ML12221A339	
3.2	Licensee OIP			
	Licensee OIP	February 28, 2013	ML13063A449	
	OIP 1st six month status report	August 29, 2013	ML13242A240	
	OIP 2nd six month status report	February 26, 2014	ML14057A773	
	OIP 3rd six month status report	August 28, 2014	ML14241A669	
	OIP 4th six month status report	February 26, 2015	ML15057A303	
	OIP 5th six month status report	August 27, 2015	ML15239B352	
	OIP 6th six month status report	February 23, 2016	ML16054A841	
3.3	NRC ISE of OIP	November 25, 2013	ML13323A111	
3.4	NRC Audit of EA-12-051			
	NRC Notification of Audit of EA-12-051	March 26, 2014	ML14083A620	
	NRC Audit Report of Westinghouse SFPI design specifications	August 18, 2014	ML14211A346	
	NRC Site-Specific Audit Plan	November 9, 2015	ML15309A326	
	NRC Audit Report	March 31, 2016	ML16077A353	
3.5	Licensee Compliance Letter for EA-12-051	July 6, 2016	ML16189A309	
3.6	NRC SE of Implementation of EA-12-051	February 2, 2017	ML17010A332	
3.7	NRC Inspection of Licensee Responses to EA-12-049, EA-12-051, and Emergency Preparedness Information			
	NRC TI 2515/191, Revision 1	December 23, 2015	ML15257A188	
	NRC TI 2515/191 Inspection Report 2017- 008	October 3, 2017	ML17277A053	
NA	NRC approval of relaxation request of the schedule requirements for Order EA-12-051	June 26, 2014	ML14154A400	

Note: Table 4 relates to the Hardened Containment Vent System and is not applicable to Callaway.

TABLE 5 Request for Information Under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section					
Net	50.54(f), Enclosure 1: Recommendation 2.1 Seismic Hazard Reevaluation				
			ADAMS		
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.		
Guida	nce Documents				
5.1	Screening, Prioritization and Implementation Details (SPID)				
	Industry Guidance (SPID) – EPRI 1025287	November 2012	ML12333A170		
	NRC letter endorsing SPID	February 15, 2013	ML12319A074		
5.2	NRC guidance for performing a Seismic Margin Assessment (SMA) – JLD-ISG-2012-04	November 16, 2012	ML12286A029		
5.3	Expedited Seismic Evaluation Process (ESEP)				
	Industry Letter – Proposed path forward for NTTF Recommendation 2.1: Seismic	April 9, 2013	ML13101A345		
	Industry Guidance (ESEP) - EPRI 3002000704	April 2013	ML13102A142		
	NRC letter endorsing the ESEP approach. Extension of ESEP due date to 3/31/14 for Central and Eastern U.S. (CEUS) sites	May 7, 2013	ML13106A331		
5.4	Industry letter on relay chatter review	October 3, 2013	ML13281A308		
5.5	NRC letter with guidance on the content of seismic reevaluation submittals (includes operability and reportability discussions)	February 20, 2014	ML14030A046		
5.6	Industry letter on seismic risk evaluations for CEUS plants	March 12, 2014	ML14083A596		
5.7	NRC background paper - Probabilistic seismic hazard analysis	May 20, 2014	ML14140A648		
Seism	hic Hazard Screening Report (SHSR)				
5.8	Licensee SHSR				
	1.5 year partial response	September 12, 2013	ML13256A067		
	SHSR package	March 28, 2014	ML14090A446		
5.9	NRC Staff Assessment of Reevaluated Seismic Hazard Information	April 21, 2015	ML15063A517		
Scree	ning and Prioritization Results				
5.10	NRC Letter - Seismic screening and prioritization results				
	Central and Eastern US (CEUS) plants	May 9, 2014	ML14111A147		
	Western US (WUS) plants	May 13, 2015	ML15113B344		
	Screening based on IPEEE results	November 21, 2014	ML14246A428		
5.11	NRC Letter – Updated seismic screening and prioritization results	October 3, 2014	ML14258A043		
5.12	NRC letter regarding development of Seismic Risk Evaluations – suitability of updated seismic hazard information for further assessments	December 10, 2014	ML14307B707		

TABLE 5 Request for Information Under Title 10 of the <i>Code of Federal Regulations</i> , Section					
	50.54(f), Enclosure 1: Recommendation 2.1 Seismic Hazard Reevaluation				
			ADAMS		
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.		
5.13	ESEP Submittal and Evaluation				
	Licensee ESEP Submittal	December 22, 2014	ML14363A311		
	Licensee response to ESEP clarification questions	June 3, 2015	ML15155A459		
	Licensee supplement to ESEP Report package, non-public	September 9, 2015	ML15259A320		
	NRC Response Letter for the ESEP Submittal	October 14, 2015	ML15282A044		
Additi	onal Guidance Documents				
5.14	High Frequency Program Application Guidance				
	Industry High Frequency Application Guidance - EPRI 3002004396	July 30, 2015	ML15223A095		
	NRC letter endorsing High Frequency Application Guidance	September 17, 2015	ML15218A569		
5.15	Spent Fuel Pool (SFP) Evaluation Guidance				
	Industry SFP evaluation guidance – EPRI 3002007148	February 23, 2016	ML16055A017		
	NRC letter endorsing SFP evaluation guidance	March 17, 2016	ML15350A158		
5.16	NRC Letter - Treatment of Seismic and Flooding Hazard Reevaluations in the Design and Licensing Basis	September 29, 2015	ML15127A401		
5.17	Phase 2 Decisionmaking Guidance				
	NRC Guidance for Regulatory Decisionmaking of reevaluated flooding and seismic hazards	September 21, 2016	ML16237A103		
	Revision 1 of the Phase 2 guidance	March 2, 2020	ML20043D958		
Final I	Determinations of Required Seismic				
Evalua					
5.18	NRC Final Determination of Required Seismic Evaluations	October 27, 2015	ML15194A015		
5.19	Licensee Required Seismic Evaluation Submittals				
	High Frequency Confirmation	In SPRA	In SPRA		
	SFP Evaluation	October 3, 2017	ML17276B201		
	Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessment	August 12, 2019	ML19225D321		
	SPRA Supplemental Information package	November 21, 2019	ML19325D662		
	Additional SPRA supplemental information	July 10, 2020	ML20192A244		
5.20	Audit plan of seismic evaluations submittals	July 6, 2017	ML17177A446		

TABLE 5Request for Information Under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section50.54(f), Enclosure 1: Recommendation 2.1 Seismic Hazard Reevaluation			
Def	Descurrent	Data	ADAMS
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.
5.21	NRC Staff Assessment of Seismic		
	Evaluations		
	High Frequency Confirmation	In SPRA	In SPRA
	SFP Evaluation	January 23, 2018	ML18003B419
	SPRA Response Letter	September 12, 2020	ML20210L323
5.22	NRC Treatment of Reevaluated Seismic Hazard Information (seismic binning letter)	July 3, 2019	ML19140A307
NA	NRC approval of relaxation of SPRA due date from December 31, 2017, until December 31, 2018	August 22,2017	ML17200D113
NA	NRC approval of the second relaxation of the SPRA due date from December 31, 2018, until September 30, 2019	January 10, 2019	ML19004A400

	TABLE 6		
Red	uest for Information Under Title 10 of the Coc 50.54(f), Enclosure 2: Recommendation 2.1 F		
			ADAMS
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.
	Guidance Documents	2010	
6.1	NRC prioritization of plants for completing	May 11, 2012	ML12097A509
	flood hazard reevaluations	····· , · · ·, <u>- · · -</u>	
6.2	NRC guidance for performing an integrated assessment (IA) for external flooding	November 30, 2012	ML12311A214
	(JLD-ISG-2012-05)		
6.3	NRC letter to industry describing when an IA is expected	December 3, 2012	ML12326A912
6.4	NRC guidance for performing a tsunami, surge, or seiche hazard assessment (JLD-ISG-2012-06)	January 4, 2013	ML12314A412
6.5	NRC letter to industry with guidance on the content of flooding reevaluation submittals	March 1, 2013	ML13044A561
6.6	NRC guidance for assessing flooding hazards due to dam failure (JLD-ISG-2013-01)	July 29, 2013	ML13151A153
Flood	Hazard Reevaluation Report (FHRR)		
6.7	Licensee FHRR Submittal		
	FHRR submittal package	March 8, 2013	ML130710492
	FHRR supplement package	February 27, 2014	ML14059A231
6.8	FHRR Regulatory Audit	Not Required	Not Required
6.9	NRC Inspection of licensee interim actions	Not Required	Not Required
6.10	NRC Interim Staff Response to Reevaluated	November 20, 2015	ML15314A108
0.44	Flood Hazards (supplement to FHRR SA)		
6.11	NRC Staff Assessment of FHRR	October 29, 2014	ML14290A532
	ied Approach to Flood Hazard Reevaluations	Nexa web an 04 0044	
<u>6.12</u> 6.13	NRC extension of due dates for IA reports NRC COMSECY-14-0037, "Integration of Mitigating Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events and the Reevaluat[i]on of Flooding Hazards"	November 21, 2014 November 21, 2014	ML14303A465 ML14309A256
6.14	NRC SRM for COMSECY-14-0037	March 30, 2015	ML15089A236
6.15	NRC letter on second extension of due date for flooding IA reports	May 26, 2015	ML15112A051
6.16	NRC COMSECY-15-0019 "Closure Plan for the Reevaluation of Flooding Hazards"	June 30, 2015	ML15153A104
6.17	NRC SRM-COMSECY-15-0019	July 28, 2015	ML15209A682
6.18	NRC letter describing the graded approach to flood hazard reevaluation directed by SRM-COMSECY-14-0037	September 1, 2015	ML15174A257
6.19	Flooding Assessment Guidance		
	NEI 16-05, "External Flooding Assessment Guidelines"	June 2016	ML16165A178
	NRC endorsement of NEI 16-05 - JLD-ISG-2016-01	July 11, 2016	ML16162A301

Rec	TABLE 6Request for Information Under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section50.54(f), Enclosure 2: Recommendation 2.1 Flooding Hazard Reevaluation			
			ADAMS	
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.	
6.20	No further evaluations were required – reevaluated flood hazard was bound by the CDB	See FHRR Staff Assessment (SA)	See FHRR SA	
6.21	Not Used	Not Used	Not Used	
6.22	Not Used	Not Used	Not Used	
6.23	NRC Letter - Treatment of Seismic and Flooding Hazard Reevaluations in the Design and Licensing Basis	September 29, 2015	ML15127A401	
6.24	Phase 2 Decisionmaking Guidance			
	NRC Guidance for Regulatory Decisionmaking of reevaluated flooding and seismic hazards	September 21, 2016	ML16237A103	
	Revision 1 of the Phase 2 guidance	March 2, 2020	ML20043D958	
6.25	NRC Treatment of Reevaluated Flooding Hazard Information (flooding binning letter)	August 20, 2019	ML19067A247	

	TABLE 7				
	Mitigating Strategies Assessments (MSA)				
			ADAMS		
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.		
7.1	NRC COMSECY-14-0037, Integration of	November 21, 2014	ML14309A256		
	Mitigating Strategies with Hazard				
	Reevaluations				
7.2	NRC SRM-COMSECY-14-0037	March 30, 2015	ML15089A236		
7.3	NRC COMSECY-15-0019, Closure Plan for	June 30, 2015	ML15153A104		
	Flooding Hazard Reevaluations				
7.4	NRC SRM-COMSECY-15-0019	July 28, 2015	ML15209A682		
7.5	Process for Mitigating Strategies				
	Assessments (MSA)				
	Industry Guidance for performing MSAs -	December 2015	ML16005A625		
	NEI 12-06, Revision 2, including				
	Appendices E, G, & H				
	NRC endorsement of NEI 12-06, Revision	January 22, 2016	ML15357A163		
	2 - JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 1				
	Industry Guidance for performing MSAs -	December 12, 2016	ML16354B416		
	NEI 12-06, Revision 4				
	NRC endorsement of NEI 12-06, Revision	February 8, 2017	ML17005A182		
	4 - JLD-ISG-2012-01, Revision 2				
7.6	Licensee's MSA submittal - Flooding	April 6, 2016	ML16097A615		
7.7	NRC Staff Assessment of MSA - Flooding	February 9, 2017	ML17023A214		
7.8	Licensee's MSA submittal – Seismic	Not Required	Not Required		
7.9	NRC Staff Assessment of MSA - Seismic	Not Required	Not Required		
7.10	NRC MSA Audit Plan	December 5, 2016	ML16259A189		

Rec	TABLE 8Request for Information under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section50.54(f), Enclosure 3: Recommendation 2.3 Seismic Walkdown			
Def	Desument	Dete	ADAMS	
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.	
8.1	Industry Seismic Walkdown Guidance with NRC endorsement letter - EPRI 1025286	May 31, 2012	ML12188A031	
8.2	NRC letter endorsing EPRI 1025286	May 31, 2012	ML12145A529	
8.3	Licensee Seismic Hazard Walkdown Report			
	Licensee Seismic Hazard Walkdown	November 27, 2012	ML123470150	
	Report Package			
	Licensee response to RAIs	November 26, 2013	ML13331A572	
	Supplement to Seismic Walkdown report	January 14, 2014	ML14015A021	
	(inaccessible items report)			
8.4	NRC Inspection of Seismic Walkdowns			
	NRC TI 2515/188	July 6, 2012	ML12156A052	
	NRC Integrated Inspection Report 2013-	August 13, 2013	ML13225A359	
	003 (TI 2515/188 inspection results)			
8.5	NRC Staff Assessment of Seismic Walkdown	April 11, 2014	ML14083A411	
	Report (includes subsequent walkdown items)			

TABLE 9Request for Information under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section50.54(f), Enclosure 4: Recommendation 2.3 Flooding Walkdown			
– (ADAMS
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.
9.1	Industry Flooding Walkdown Guidance - NEI 12-07	May 31, 2012	ML12173A215
9.2	NRC letter endorsing NEI 12-07	May 31, 2012	ML12144A142
9.3	Licensee Flooding Hazard Walkdown Report		
	Flooding Hazard Walkdown Report package	November 27, 2012	ML123330280
	Update to Flooding Hazard Walkdown Report – APM Assessment	January 31, 2014	ML14031A319
9.4	NRC Inspection of Flooding Walkdowns		
	NRC TI 2515/187	June 27, 2012	ML12129A108
	NRC Integrated Inspection Report 2013- 002 (TI 2515/187 inspection results)	May 2, 2013	ML13123A252
9.5	NRC Staff Assessment of Flooding Walkdown Report	May 16, 2014	ML14122A386

TABLE 10Request for Information under Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Section50.54(f), Enclosure 5: Recommendation 9.3 Emergency PreparednessCommunications and Staffing			
			ADAMS
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.
10.1	Guidance Documents		
	Industry Guidance for Emergency Preparedness staffing and communications - NEI 12-01	May 2012	ML12125A412
	NRC letter endorsing NEI 12-01	May 15, 2012	ML12131A043
10.2	Callaway 60 day response and proposed alternative course of action	May 10, 2012	ML12135A382
10.3	Callaway 90 day response to communications June 5, 2012 and staffing information requests		ML12159A518
10.4	NRC letter – status of 90-day response	July 26, 2012	ML12200A106
10.5	Licensee communications assessment	•	
	Licensee communications assessment	October 30,2012	ML12305A426
	NRC letter on generic technical issues	January 23, 2013	ML13010A162
	Licensee communications assessment supplement	February 20, 2013	ML13056A599
10.6	NRC staff assessment of licensee's communications assessment	May 24,2013	ML13130A089
10.7	Licensee Phase 1 staffing assessment (multiunit sites only)	Not Required	Not Required
10.8	NRC response to licensee's Phase 1 staffing assessment	Not Required	Not Required
10.9	Licensee Phase 2 staffing assessment response, non-public	November 30, 2015	ML15335A189
10.10	NRC Phase 2 staff assessment response	February 7, 2016	ML16013A456
10.11	NRC Inspection of Licensee Responses to EA-12-049, EA-12-051, and Emergency Preparedness Information		
	NRC TI 2515/191, Revision 1	December 23, 2015	ML15257A188
	NRC TI 2515/191 Inspection Report 2017- 008	October 3, 2017	ML17277A053

	TABLE 11		
Add	ditional Licensee Commitments – SAMGs and	Multisource Dose A	
Ref	Document	Date	ADAMS Accession No.
	e and Maintain SAMGs	Dale	ACCESSION NO.
11.1	SECY-15-0065: Proposed Rulemaking:	April 30, 2015	ML15049A201
11.1	Mitigation of Beyond-Design-Basis Events	April 30, 2013	WIL 13049A201
	(RIN 3150-AJ49)		
11.2	SRM-SECY-15-0065	August 27, 2015	ML15239A767
11.3	NEI Letter describing industry initiative to	October 26, 2015	ML15335A442
11.0	update and maintain SAMGs		ME10000/1112
11.4	Site Commitment to Maintain SAMGs	December 2, 2015	ML15336A390
11.5	NRC letter to NEI describing approach to	February 23, 2016	ML16032A029
	SAMG oversight	,, , , , , , ,	
11.6	NRC Inspection Procedure 71111.18, "Plant		
	Modifications"		
	Revision effective January 1, 2017	November 17, 2016	ML16306A185
	Revision effective January 1, 2019	November 19, 2018	ML18176A157
11.7	NEI 14-01, "Emergency Response	February 2016	ML16224A619
	Procedures and Guidelines for Extreme		
	Events and Severe Accidents," Rev. 1		
	ource Dose Assessments		
11.8	NEI Letter: Industry survey and plan for	January 28, 2013	ML13028A200
	multiunit dose assessments		
11.9	NRC Letter to request additional information	February 27, 2013	ML13029A632
	from NEI on multiunit dose assessment		
44.40	capability		
11.10	NEI Letter: Implementation of Multiunit Dose	March 14, 2013	ML13073A522
Assessment Capability 11.11 Licensee Letters Regarding the Capability fo			
11.11	Multisource Offsite Dose Assessment		
	Licensee letter regarding multisource	June 27, 2013	ML13179A037
	dose assessment capabilities	June 27, 2010	ME ISTI SAUST
	Supplement to letter regarding	October 9, 2013	ML13283A180
	multisource dose assessment capabilities		
11.12	NRC Acknowledgment of Licensee Dose	April 2, 2014	ML14080A418
	Assessment Submittals		
11.13	COMSECY-13-0010	March 27, 2013	ML12339A262
11.14	SRM-COMSECY-13-0010	April 30, 2013	ML13120A339
11.15	NRC Inspection of Licensee Responses to		
	EA-12-049, EA-12-051, and Emergency		
	Preparedness Information		
	NRC TI 2515/191, Revision 1	December 23, 2015	ML15257A188
	NRC TI 2515/191 Inspection Report 2017-	October 3, 2017	ML17277A053
	008		
11.16	NEI 13-06, "Enhancements to Emergency	February 2016	ML16224A618
	Reponses Capabilities for Beyond-Design-		
	Basis Accidents and Events," Rev. 1		

TABLE 12			
NRC Semi-Annual Status Reports to the Commission			
			ADAMS
Ref	Document	Date	Accession No.
12.1	SECY-12-0025, Enclosure 8, "Proposed	February 17, 2012	ML12039A103
	Orders and Requests for Information in		
	Response to Lessons Learned from Japan's		
	March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku Earthquake		
	and Tsunami"		
12.2	SECY-12-0095, Enclosure 1 - Second	July 13, 2012	ML12165A092
	6-Month Status Update on Charter Activities -		
10.0	February 2012 - July 2012	F - h - m - 4.4, 0040	
12.3	SECY-13-0020 - Third 6-Month Status Update	February 14, 2013	ML13031A512
	on Response to Lessons Learned from		
	Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.4	SECY-13-0095 - Fourth 6-Month Status	September 6, 2013	ML13213A304
12.4	Update on Response to Lessons Learned	September 0, 2013	IVIL 152 15A504
	from Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku		
	Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.5	SECY-14-0046 - Fifth 6-Month Status Update	April 17, 2014	ML14064A520
12.0	on Response to Lessons Learned from	, pin 11, 2011	11121100171020
	Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku		
	Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.6	SECY-14-0114 - Sixth 6-Month Status Update	October 21, 2014	ML14234A498
	on Response to Lessons Learned from		
	Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku		
	Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.7	SECY-15-0059 - Seventh 6-Month Status	April 9, 2015	ML15069A444
	Update on Response to Lessons Learned		
	from Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku		
	Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.8	SECY-15-0128: Eighth 6-Month Status	October 14, 2015	ML15245A473
	Update on Response to Lessons Learned		
	from Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku		
	Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.9	SECY-16-0043: Ninth 6 Month Status Update	April 5, 2016	ML16054A255
	on Response to Lessons Learned from		
	Japan's March 11, 2011, Great Tōhoku		
	Earthquake and Subsequent Tsunami		
12.10	SECY-17-0016: Status of Implementation of	January 30, 2017	ML16356A084
	Lessons Learned from Japan's March 11,		
	2011, Great Tōhoku Earthquake and		
	Subsequent Tsunami		

SUBJECT: CALLAWAY PLANT, UNIT 1 – DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPLETION OF REQUIRED ACTIONS TAKEN IN RESPONSE TO THE LESSONS LEARNED FROM THE FUKUSHIMA DAI-ICHI ACCIDENT DATED DECEMBER 23, 2020

DISTRIBUTION: PUBLIC LPMB R/F RidsNrrDorlLpmb Resource RidsNrrDorlLpl4 Resource RidsNrrDorl Resource RidsNrrPMCallaway Resource

RidsNrrLaSLent Resource RidsOgcMailCenter Resource RidsOpaMail Resource RidsACRS_MailCTR Resource RidsNrrDex Resource RidsRgn4MailCenter Resource

ADAMS Accession No. ML20352A140

KMorgan-Butler, NRR RBernardo, NRR SPhilpott, NRR MChawla, NRR

ADAMS Accession No. ML20352A140			*Via e-mail	
OFFICE	NRR/DORL/LPMB/PM*	NRR/DANU/UARL/LA*	NRR/DORL/LPMB/BC(A)*	NRR/DORL/LPMB/PM*
NAME	RBernardo	SLent	KMorgan-Butler	RBernardo
DATE	12/14/2020	12/16/2020	12/22/2020	12/23/2020
OFFICE				
NAME				
DATE				

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY