

NUREG-2236 Volume 2

Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis to Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models-Duane Arnold

Appendices D to E

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCE MATERIALS IN NRC PUBLICATIONS

NRC Reference Material

As of November 1999, you may electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at <u>http://www.nrc.gov/readi ng-rm.html.</u> Publicly released records include, to name a few, NUREG-series publications; *Federal Register* notices; applicant, licensee, and vendor documents and correspondence; NRC correspondence and internal memoranda; bulletins and information notices; inspection and investigative reports; licensee event reports; and Commission papers and their attachments.

NRC publications in the NUREG series, NRC regulations, and Title 10, "Energy," in the *Code of Federal Regulations* may also be purchased from one of these two sources.

1. **The Superintendent of Documents** U.S. Government Publishing Office Washington, DC 20402-0001 Internet: <u>http://bookstore.gpo.gov</u> Telephone: 1-866-512-1800 Fax: (202) 512-2104

2. **The National Technical Information Service** 5301 Shawnee Road Alexandria, VA 22161-0002 <u>http://www.ntis.gov</u> 1-800-553-6847 or, locally, (703) 605-6000

A single copy of each NRC draft report for comment is available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request as follows:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Administration Multimedia, Graphics and Storage & Distribution Branch Washington, DC 20555-0001 E-mail: <u>distribution.resource@nrc.gov</u> Facsimile: (301) 415-2289

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at the NRC's Web site address <u>http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs</u> are updated periodically and may differ from the last printed version. Although references to material found on a Web site bear the date the material was accessed, the material available on the date cited may subsequently be removed from the site.

Non-NRC Reference Material

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, transactions, *Federal Register* notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports. Such documents as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings may be purchased from their sponsoring organization.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at—

The NRC Technical Library Two White Flint North 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

These standards are available in the library for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from—

American National Standards Institute 11 West 42nd Street New York, NY 10036-8002 <u>http://www.ansi.org</u> (212) 642-4900

Legallybinding regulatoryrequirements are stated only in laws; NRC regulations; licenses, including technical specifications; or orders, not in NUREG-series publications. The views expressed in contractorprepared publications in this series are not necessarily those of the NRC.

The NUREG series comprises (1) technical and administrative reports and books prepared bythe staff (NUREG-XXXX) or agency contractors (NUREG/CR-XXXX), (2) proceedings of conferences (NUREG/CP-XXXX), (3) reports resulting from international agreements (NUREG/IA-XXXX), (4) brochures (NUREG/BR-XXXX), and (5) compilations of legal decisions and orders of the Commission and Atomic and Safety Licensing Boards and of Directors' decisions under Section 2.206 of NRC's regulations (NUREG-0750).

DISCLAIMER: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored byan agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any employee, makes anywarranty, expressed or implied, or assumes anylegal liabilityor responsibilityfor any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in this publication, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.



Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis to Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models-Duane Arnold

Appendices D to E

Manuscript Completed: May 2019 Date Published: October 2020

Prepared by: S. Campbell,¹ S. Dennis,¹ D. Helton,¹ L. Kozak,¹ J. Pires,¹ F. Sock¹ M. Khatib-Rahbar,² A. Krall² R. Buell³

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission¹

Energy Research, Inc.² P.O. Box 2034 Rockville, MD 20847

Idaho National Laboratory³ P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

ABSTRACT

This report extends the work documented in NUREG-2187, "Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis to Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models— Byron Unit 1," issued January 2016, to the Duane Arnold Energy Center. Its purpose is to produce an additional set of best estimate thermal-hydraulic calculations that can confirm or enhance specific success criteria for system performance and operator timing found in the agency's probabilistic risk assessment tools. Along with enhancing the technical basis for the agency's independent standardized plant analysis risk (SPAR) models, these calculations are expected to be a useful reference to model end users for specific regulatory applications.

This report first describes major assumptions used in this study. It then discusses the major plant characteristics for the Duane Arnold Energy Center, in addition to the MELCOR model used to represent the plant. Finally, the report presents the results of MELCOR calculations for selected initiators and compares these results to SPAR success criteria, the licensee's success criteria, or other generic studies.

The study results provide additional timing information for several probabilistic risk assessment sequences, confirm many of the existing SPAR modeling assumptions, and give a technical basis for a few specific SPAR modeling changes, including the following potential changes:

- Degraded high-pressure injection and relief valve Criteria (non-anticipated transient without scram): A single control rod drive pump injecting at the postscram increased injection rate is sufficient for reactor pressure vessel (RPV) water inventory makeup. Additionally, two control rod drive pumps injecting at the postscram injection rateprovide enough makeup to the RPV to facilitate a cooldown of the RPV to cold shutdown conditions. This increased injection is currently not queried in the SPAR models but could be added.
- Mitigating strategies usage: If diverse and flexible coping strategies (FLEX) are not available, success of long-term cooling for these scenarios is only possible with both anticipatory venting and condensate storage tank (CST) availability. Currently, CST availability is not queried in the SPAR models. This could be added for scenarios for which no alternate injection is available. For loss-of-offsite-power scenarios, FLEX injection led to success in all scenarios that gave FLEX credit. Given the ability of FLEX to prevent core damage, this confirms that the SPAR models should have FLEX equipment added.
- Emergency core cooling system injection following containment failure or venting: Depending upon the size of containment failure, wetwell and drywell pressure will fall, potentially to the point of allowing high-pressure injection restart following its loss. This action could be added to the SPARmodels.
- Safe and stable end-state considerations: If the CST is unavailable, the long-term availability of high-pressure injection is questionable at best. CST should be queried when high-pressure injection systems are the source of long-term makeup. Additionally, increased postscram control rod drive hydraulic system injection is adequate for makeup. This increased injection is a candidate for inclusion in the SPAR model. Depressurizing when reaching the heat capacity limit curve is important, since the rateof

seal leakage, as well as the rate of injection, is pressure dependent. This depressurization is a candidate for consideration in the SPAR models.

FOREWORD

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) uses its standardized plant analysis risk (SPAR) models to support many risk-informed initiatives. A number of processes ensure the fidelity and realism of these models, including cross-comparison with industry models, review and use by a wide range of technical experts, and confirmatory analysis. This report—prepared by the staff of the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, in consultation with the staff of the Office of Nuclear Regulation; experts from Energy Research, Inc. and Idaho National Laboratory; and the agency's senior reactor analysts—represents a major confirmatory analysis activity.

Probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) models for nuclear power plants rely on underlying modeling assumptions known as success criteria and sequence timing assumptions. These criteria and assumptions determine what combination of system and componentavailabilities will lead to postulated core damage, as well as the timeframes during which components must operate or operators must take particular actions. This report investigates certain thermal-hydraulic aspects of a particular SPAR model (which is generally representative of other models within the same class of plant design), with the goal of further strengthening the technical basis for decisionmaking that relies on the SPAR models. This report augments the existing collection of contemporary Level 1 PRA success criteria analyses and, as such, supports (1) maintaining and enhancing the SPAR models that the NRC develops, (2) supporting the NRC's risk analysts when addressing specific issues in the accident sequence precursor program and the significance determination process, and (3) informing other ongoing and planned initiatives. This analysis employs the MELCOR computer code and uses a plant model developed for this project.

The analyses summarized in this report provide the basis for confirming or changing success criteria in the SPAR model for the Duane Arnold Energy Center. Based on further evaluation, these results could apply to similar plants, while future analyses could apply to other design classes, as occurred in the past (see NUREG-2187, "Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis to Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models—Byron Unit 1," issued January 2016). The staff expects to continue its focus on confirming success criteria and other aspects of PRA modeling using its state-of-the-art tools (e.g., the MELCOR computer code) as it develops and improves its risk tools.

FOREWORD i LIST OF FIGURES i LIST OF TABLES xi ACKNOWLEDGMENTS xi ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS xi 1 BACKGROUND 1- 1.1 Confirmatory Success Criteria Project 1- 1.2 Hazard, Mode, and Radiological Source Scope 1- 1.3 Issues To Be Investigated 1- 1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEERREVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAECMELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to SelectLicensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	iii
LIST OF FIGURESi LIST OF TABLES	. v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS xi ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS x 1 BACKGROUND 1- 1.1 Confirmatory Success Criteria Project 1- 1.2 Hazard, Mode, and Radiological Source Scope 1- 1.3 Issues To Be Investigated 1- 1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEERREVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2- 2.4 Steady State 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2- 2.4 Steady State 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2- 2.4 Steady State 2- 2.5 Stea	xi
ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS 1 1 BACKGROUND 1- 1.1 Confirmatory Success Criteria Project 1- 1.2 Hazard, Mode, and Radiological Source Scope 1- 1.3 Issues To Be Investigated 1- 1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEER REVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	ciii
1 BACKGROUND	xv
1.1 Confirmatory Success Criteria Project. 1- 1.2 Hazard, Mode, and Radiological Source Scope 1- 1.3 Issues To Be Investigated 1- 1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEERREVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	_1
1.2 Hazard, Mode, and Radiological Source Scope 1- 1.3 Issues To Be Investigated 1- 1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEER REVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	-1
1.3 Issues To Be Investigated 1- 1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEER REVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	-2
1.4 Plant Selection 1- 2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEER REVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	-2
2 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND PEER REVIEW DESCRIPTION 2- 2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	-3
2.1 Major Assumptions 2- 2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	2-1
2.2 Description of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAEC MELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	2-1
2.3 Shakedown and Benchmarking of the DAECMELCOR Model 2- 2.3.1 Steady State 2- 2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis 2- 2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence 2-	2-3
2.3.1 Steady State	<u>?-7</u>
2.3.2 Comparison to Select Licensing-Basis Analysis	2-7
2.3.3 Application of the Baseline SmallLoss-of-Coolant-Accident Sequence2-	2-8
	2-8
2.3.4 Application of the Baseline FLEX Sequence	11
2.3.5 Application of a Baseline StationBlackout Sequence	18 24
3 SUCCESS CRITERIA FOR SITUATIONS WITH DEGRADED HIGH-PRESSURE INJECTION AND RELIEF VALVE CRITERIA FOR NON-ANTICIPATED TRANSIENT WITHOUT SCRAM	-1
3.1 Issue Description)-1) 0
3.2 Transient Sequence	ו-ט ≀ י
3.2.1 Galculation Matrix Development)-3 ≥ 7
3.3 Small Loss-of-Coolant Accident Sequence 3-1)/ .11
3.3.1 Calculation Matrix Development	.11
3.3.2 MELCOR Simulation Results 3-1	13
3.4 Conclusions Drawn from MELCOR Results	16
MITIGATING STRATEGIES (FLEX) USAGE IN LOSS-OF-ALTERNATING- CURRENT-POWER SCENARIOS 4.1 Issue Description 4.1 DAEC Post Eukushima Actions Polated to Mitigating Strategies	- -1 ⊧-1
4.1.1 DAEC FUSI-FURUSIIIIIA ACTIONS Related to Willyating Strategies	
4.1.3 DAEC Plant Modifications To Comply with the Post-Fukushima Orders 4-	-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	4.2	Station E	Blackout Sequence	4-6
		4.2.1	Calculation Matrix Development	4-6
		4.2.2	MELCOR Simulation Results	
	4.3	LOSS-OT-	Main-Feedwater Sequence	
		4.3.1	Calculation Matrix Development	
	11	4.J.Z	MELCOR Simulation Results	
	4.4	Conclus		4-22
5	EM		CY CORE COOLING SYSTEM INJECTION FOLLOWING	
-	CO	NTAINN	IENT FAILURE OR VENTING	5-1
	5.1	Issue De	escription	5-1
	5.2	Station E	Blackout Sequence	5-3
		5.2.1	Calculation Matrix Development	5-3
		5.2.2	MELCOR Simulation Results	5-5
	5.3	Loss-of-	Main-Feedwater Scenario	5-10
		5.3.1	Calculation Matrix Development	5-10
		5.3.2	MELCOR Simulation Results	5-12
	5.4	Conclus	ions Drawn from MELCOR Results	5-16
6	SA	FF AND	STABLE FIND-STATE CONSIDERATIONS	6-1
Ŭ	6.1	Issue De	escription	6-1
	6.2	Station E	Blackout Sequence	6-2
		6.2.1	Calculation Matrix Development	6-2
		6.2.2	MELCOR Simulation Results	
	6.3	Loss-of-	Main-Feedwater Scenario	6-7
		6.3.1	Calculation Matrix Development	6-7
		6.3.2	MELCOR Simulation Results	6-8
	6.4	Conclus	ions Drawn from MELCOR Results	6-11
7	ΔP		ION OF MELCOR RESULTS TO SPAR MODEL	7-1
•	/			
8	CO	NCLUS	ONS	8-1
9	RE	FERENC	CES	9-1
				• •
Α	PPE		MELCOR VERSIONS	A-1
Α	PPE	NDIX B	CONTAINMENT VENTING	B-1
Δ	PPF			C 1
Α	PPE	NDIX D	CHAPTER 4 FIGURES	D-1
A	PPE	NDIX E	CHAPTER 5 FIGURES	E-1
A	PPE	NDIX F	GRADUAL OVERPRESSURE FAILURE MODES AND LOCATIONS IN MARK I, MARK II, AND MARK III CONTAINMENT SYSTEMS	F-1
Α	PPE	NDIX G	CHAPTER 6 FIGURES	G-1
Α	77 5			⊓ -1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Recirculation Line Coolant Temperature during Controlled Depressurization of	
-	the RCS	.2-14
Figure 2-2	RPV Pressure (Case 1)	2-16
Figure 2-3	RCIC Flow(Case 1)	2-16
Figure 2-4	Drywell Pressure (Case 1)	.2-17
Figure 2-5	Wetwell Water Temperature(Case 1)	.2-17
Figure 2-6	FLEX Pump Flow (Case 1)	2-18
Figure 2-7	Wetwell Water Temperature (NRC)	2-22
Figure 2-8	ContainmentPressure (NRC)	2-22
Figure 2-9	Vessel WaterLevels (NRC)	2-23
Figure 2-10	FLEX Pump Flow (NRC)	2-23
Figure 2-11	RPV Pressure (Case1, Updated)	2-25
Figure 2-12	RCIC Flow (Case1, Updated)	2-25
Figure 2-13	Drywell Pressure (Case1, Updated)	2-26
Figure 2-14	Wetwell Water Temperature (Case1, Updated)	2-26
Figure 2-15	FLEX Pump Flow (Case1, Updated)	2-27

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1	Major Plant Characteristics for DAEC	1-3
Table 2-1	Major Assumptions in the MELCOR Calculations	2-1
Table 2-2	Structures Included in the DAECMELCOR Model	2-3
Table 2-3	Systems Included in the DAECMELCOR Model	2-4
Table 2-4	Other Components Included in the DAECMELCOR Model	2-5
Table 2-5	Operator Action Surrogates Included in the DAECMELCOR Model	2-5
Table 2-6	Default MELCOR Modeling Values	2-5
Table 2-7	Comparison of MELCOR-Predicted Steady-State toPlant Conditions	2-7
Table 2-8	Boundary Conditions for LOOP/SLOCAValidation Calculation	2-9
Table 2-9	Boundary Conditions for the FLEX Validation	2-13
Table 2-10	Boundary Conditions for the SBO Validation	2-14
Table 2-11	Timing of Important Events for SBO Validation	2-20
Table 3-1	PRA Sequences of Interest for Depressurization—TRANS	3-4
Table 3-2	Calculation Matrix—HPI and SRV Criteriafor Non-ATWS—TRANS	3-4
Table 3-3	Initial and Boundary Conditions—HPI and SRV Criteria for Non-ATWS— TRANS	3-5
Table 3-4	Timing of Significant Events and the Maximal Peak Cladding Temperature Reached in the TRANS-30 with NominalCRDHS Injection	3-8
Table 3-5	Sensitivity Study Matrix—HPI and SRV Criteriafor Non-ATWS	3-10
Table 3-6	PRA Sequences of Interestfor Depressurization—SLOCA	3-11
Table 3-7	Calculation Matrix—HPI and SRV Criteriafor Non-ATWS—SLOCA	3-12
Table 3-8	Initial and Boundary Conditions—HPI and SRV Critera for Non-ATWS— SLOCA	3-12
Table 3-9	Timing of Significant Events and the Maximal PeakCladding Temperature Reached in the 1.8-Inch Recirculation LineSLOCA Cases	3-14
Table 3-10	Sensitivity Study Matrix—HPI and SRV Criteriafor Non-ATWS	3-15
Table 4-1	SBO Sequence of Interest for FLEX-BasedDiverse Injection	4-6
Table 4-2	Calculation Matrix—Mitigating Strategies—SBO	4-7
Table 4-3	Initial and Boundary Conditions—Mitigating Strategies—LOOPGR	4-10
Table 4-4	LOOPGR Results	4-10
Table 4-5	Sensitivity Study Matrix—Mitigating Strategies—SBO	4-13
Table 4-6	LOMFW Sequence of Interest for FLEX-Based Diverse Injection	4-15
Table 4-7	Calculation Matrix—Mitigating Strategies—LOMFW-25	4-15

Table 4-8	Initial and BoundaryConditions—Mitigating Strategies—LOMFW	4-17	
Table 4-9	LOMFW Results and Key Timings	4-18	
Table 4-10	Table 4-10 Sensitivity Study Matrix—Mitigating Strategies—LOMFW4-20		
Table 5-1	Calculation Matrix—ECCS Injection—SBO Sequence	5-3	
Table 5-2	Initial and Boundary Conditions—ECCSInjection—SBO Sequence	5-5	
Table 5-3	LOOPGR Results	5-5	
Table 5-4	Sensitivity Study Matrix—ECCS Injection—SBO Sequence	5-8	
Table 5-5	Calculation Matrix—ECCS Injection—LOMFW Sequence	5-10	
Table 5-6	Initial and Boundary Conditions—ECCSInjection—LOMFW Sequence	5-11	
Table 5-7	LOMFW Results	5-12	
Table 5-8	Sensitivity Study Matrix—ECCSInjection—LOMFW Sequence	5-14	
Table 6-1	Calculation Matrix—Safe and Stable—SBO Sequence	6-2	
Table 6-2	Initial and Boundary Conditions—Safe and Stable—SBO Sequence	6-4	
Table 6-3	LOOPGR Results	6-4	
Table 6-4	Sensitivity Study Matrix—Safe and Stable—SBO Scenario	6-6	
Table 6-5	Calculation Matrix—Safe and Stable—LOMFW Sequence	6-7	
Table 6-6	Initial and Boundary Conditions—Safe andStable—LOMFW Sequence	6-8	
Table 6-7	LOMFW Results	6-9	
Table 6-8	Sensitivity Study Matrix—Safe and Stable—LOMFW Scenario	6-10	
Table 7-1	Mapping of MELCOR Analyses to the DAEC SPAR(8.50) Model	7-1	
Table 7-2	Potential Success Criteria Updates Based onDAEC Result	7-2	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report is the result of the efforts of many members of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff and the staff of its contractors, Energy Research, Inc., and Idaho National Laboratory. Furthermore, the report benefited greatly from the contributions of senior risk analysts and other selected agency staff members in specific areas of the project. The authors would especially like to recognize the support from Mahesh Chawla, James Corson, Ron Harrington, Tarek Zaki, and Joseph Staudenmeier. The authors also appreciate the assistance provided by the licensee's staff at the Duane Arnold Energy Center.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ac	alternating current
ADAMS	Agencywide Documents Access and Management System
ADS	automatic depressurization system
AIP	alternate injection procedure(EOP)
ANS	American Nuclear Society
AOP	abnormal operating procedure
ASP	accident sequence precursor
ATWS	anticipated transient withoutscram
BWR	boiling-water reactor
С	Celsius
CD	core damage
CDF	core damage frequency
CDS	condensate system
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CRDHS	control rod drive hydraulicsystem
CST	condensate storage tank
DAEC	Duane Arnold EnergyCenter
dc	direct current
ECCS	emergency core coolingsystem
ED	emergency depressurization
EDG	emergency dieselgenerator
ELAP	extended loss of acpower
EOP	emergency operating procedure
ESF	engineered safety feature
ESFAS	engineered safety features actuation system
F	Fahrenheit
FLEX	diverse and flexible copingstrategies
FSG	FLEX support guideline
HCL	heat capacity limit
HCV	hardened containment vent
HCVS	hardened containment ventsystem
HPCI	high-pressure coolantinjection
HPI	high-pressure injection
IORV	inadvertent open reliefvalve
IPE	individual plantexamination
ISG	interim staff guidance
LCO	limiting condition foroperation
LOCA	loss-of-coolant accident
LOCHS	loss of condenser heatsink

	loss of vital dc bus A
	loss of vital dc bus B
LODCB	loss of instrument air system
LOIAS	loss of main feedwater
LOMEW	loss of offsite power
LOOP	loss of offsite power grid related
LOOPGR	loss of offsite power plant centered
LOOPPPC	loss of offsite power weather related
LOOPWR	loss of river water system
LORWS	low-pressure coolant injection
LPCI	low-pressure core spray
LPCS	low-pressure injection
LPI	modular accident analysis program
MAAP	main feedwater
MFW	main reduvater
MLOCA	medium loss-of-coolant accident
MSIV	
MSL	main steamine
NCV	
NEI	Nuclear Energy Institute
NPSH	net positive suction head
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
PB	Peach Bottom
PCPL	primary containment pressure limit
PCS	power conversion system
PCT	peak clad temperature
PID	proportional-integral-derivative
PRA	probabilistic risk assessment
RCIC	reactor core isolation cooling
PCS	reactor coolant system
	residual heat removal
	reactor protection system
	reactor pressure vessel
	reactor water cleanup
	severe accident management procedure
SAMP	station blackout
SBO	success criterion/criteria
SC	significance determination process
SDP	site emergency plan
SEP	spent fuel pool
SFP	standby liquid control
SLC	small loss-of-coolant accident
SLOCA	

SNL	Sandia NationalLaboratories
SP	suppression pool
SPAR	standardized plant analysis risk
SRV	safety/relief valve
TAF	top of active fuel
TRANS	transient
UFSAR	updated final safety analysis report
UHS	ultimate heat sink
WW	wetwell

APPENDIX D DETAILED CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS RESULTS

DETAILED CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS RESULTS

D.1 LOOP Scenarios

D.1.1 Case 1: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., CST Available, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 1 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D – 2 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 3 Flow rate of the FLEXpump



Figure D - 4 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 5 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 6 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 7 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 8 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 9 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 10 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 11 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 12 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.2 Case 2: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., CST Available, Perform Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 13 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 14 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 15 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 16 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 17 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 18 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 19 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 20 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 21 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 22 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D – 23 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 24 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.3 Case 3: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., CST Unavailable, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 25 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 26 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 27 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 28 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 29 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 30 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 31 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 32 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 33 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 34 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 35 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 36 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.4 Case 4: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., CST Unavailable, Perform Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 37 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 38 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 39 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 40 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 41 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 42 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 43 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 44 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 45 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 46 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 47 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 48 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.5 Case 5: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., CST Available, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 49 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 50 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 51 Flow rate of the FLEXpump



Figure D – 52 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D – 53 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 54 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 55 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 56 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 57 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 58 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 59 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 60 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.6 Case 6: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., CST Available, Perform Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 61 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 62 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 63 Flow rate of the FLEXpump



Figure D – 64 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 65 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 66 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 67 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 68 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 69 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 70 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 71 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 72 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.7 Case 7: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., CST Unavailable, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 73 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 74 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 75 Flow rate of the FLEXpump



Figure D - 76 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 77 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 78 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 79 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 80 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 81 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 82 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 83 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 84 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.8Case 8: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., CST Unavailable,
Perform Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 85 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 86 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 87 Flow rate of the FLEXpump



Figure D - 88 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 89 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 90 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 91 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 92 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 93 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 94 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 95 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 96 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

D.1.9 Case 9: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Available Indefinitely, CSTAvailable, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 97 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 98 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 99 Flow rate of the FLEXpump


Figure D - 100 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 101 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 103 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 104 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 105 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 106 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 107 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 108Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.10Case 10: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Available Indefinitely,
CST Available, Perform Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 109 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 110 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 111 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 112 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 113 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 114

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 115 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 116 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 117 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 118 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 119 Water temperature in the wetwell













Figure D - 122 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 123 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 124 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 125 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 127 RPV down comer water level



Figure D – 128 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 129 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 130 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 131 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 132Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.12Case 12: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at t=0, RCIC Available Indefinitely, CST
Unavailable, Perform Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 133 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 134 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 135 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 136

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 137 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 139 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 140 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 141 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 142 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 143 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 144Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.13Case 13: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at 2 hrs., RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., CST
Available, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 145 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 146 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 147 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 148

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 149 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage







Figure D - 151 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 152 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 153 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 154 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 155 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 156Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.14Case 14: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at 2 hrs., RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., CST
Available, Perform Required Venting Only



Figure D - 157 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 158 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 159 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 160

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 161 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 163 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 164 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 165 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 166 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 167 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 168Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.15Case 15: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at 2 hrs., RCIC Available Indefinitely,
CST Available, Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 169 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 170

Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 171 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 172

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 173 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 175 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 176 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 177 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 178 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 179 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 180Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.1.16Case 16: LOOPGR-38-9, AC Loss at 2 hrs., RCIC Available Indefinitely,
CST Unavailable, Anticipatory Venting



Figure D - 181 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 182 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 183 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 184

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 185 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 187 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 188 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 189 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 190 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 191 Water temperature in the wetwell





- D.2 LOMFW Scenarios
- D.2.1 Case 17: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., RPV Pressure Follows HCL Curve, FLEX injection at 5 hrs.



Figure D - 193 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 194 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 195 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 196 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 197 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 198

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 199 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 200

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 201 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 202 Plant status relativ

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 203 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 204Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.2.2Case 18: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., RPV Pressure Follows HCL Curve,
FLEX injection at 6 hrs.



Figure D - 205 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 206 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 207 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 208

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 209 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 210

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 211 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 212 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 213 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 214 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 215 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 216 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time D.2.3 Case 19: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., Emergency Depressurization at HCL Curve, FLEX injection at 5hrs.



Figure D - 217 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 218 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 219 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 220

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 221 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 222

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 223 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 224 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 225 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 226 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 227 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 228 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time
D.2.4 Case 20: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., Emergency Depressurization at
HCL Curve, FLEX injection at 5 hrs. at -25% flow rate



Figure D – 229 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 230 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 231 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 232 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 233 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 234

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 235 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 236

Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 237 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 238 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D – 239 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 240 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time
D.2.5 Case 21: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 4 hrs., Emergency
Depressurization at HCL Curve, FLEX injection at 6hrs.



Figure D - 241 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 242 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 243 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 244 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 245 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 246

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 247 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 248

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 249 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D - 250 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 251 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 252Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.2.6Case 22: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., RPV Pressure Follows HCL Curve,
FLEX injection at 9 hrs.



Figure D - 253 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 254 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 255 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 256

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 257 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 259 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 260

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 261 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 262

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 263 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 264 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time D.2.7 Case 23: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., Emergency Depressurization at HCL Curve, FLEX injection at 9 hrs.



Figure D - 265 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 266 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 267 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 268

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 269 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 271 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 272 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 273 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 274 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 275 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 276 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time
D.2.8 Case 24: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., Emergency
Depressurization at HCL Curve, FLEX injection at 10 hrs.



Figure D – 277 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 278 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 279 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 280

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 281 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 283 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 284 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 285 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 286

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 287 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 288 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time
D.2.9 Case 25: LOMFW-25, RCIC Loss at 8 hrs., Emergency Depressurization atHCL Curve, FLEX injection at 10 hrs. at -25% flow rate



Figure D - 289 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 290 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 291 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 292 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 293 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 295 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 296 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 297 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 298 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 299 Water temperature in the wetwell





D.3 <u>Sensitivity Analyses</u>









Figure D - 302 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 303 Flow rate of the FLEXpump



Figure D - 304

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 305 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage







Figure D - 307 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 308

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 309 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 310 Plant status

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 311 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 312Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.2Case 8a: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 8 with HPCI Available Instead of
RCIC



Figure D - 313 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 314 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 315 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 316 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 317 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 318 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 319 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 320

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 321 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 322 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 323 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 324Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.3Case 8b: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 8 with FLEXDelivered Flow Reduced
by 50%



Figure D - 325 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 326 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 327 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 328

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 329 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 331 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 332 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 333 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 334 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 335 Water temperature in the wetwell









Figure D - 337 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 338 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 339 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 340

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 341 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 342 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 343 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 344 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 345 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 346 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 347 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 348Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.5Case 8d: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 8 with No RCPSeal Leakage



Figure D - 349 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 350 Flow rat

Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 351 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 352 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 353 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 354 F

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 355 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 356 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 357 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 358 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 359 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 360Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.6Case 8e: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 8 with Water LevelRun Up to the
Steamlines Prior to FLEX Swap-Over



Figure D - 361 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 362 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 363 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 364

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 365 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 366

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 367 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 368 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 369 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 370 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 371 Water temperature in the wetwell







Figure D - 373 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 374 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 375 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 376

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 377 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 378

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 379 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 380 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 381 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 382 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 383 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 384Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.8Case 9a: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 9 with HPCI Available Instead of
RCIC



Figure D - 385 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 386 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 387 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 388

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 389 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 390 Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 391 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 392

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 393 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 394 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 395 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 396Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.9Case 17a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with RPV Cooldown Beginning at
30 min. at Maximum Allowable Rate



Figure D - 397 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 398 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 399 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 400

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 401 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 402

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 403 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 404 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 405 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 406

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 407 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 408Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.10Case 19a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 19 with HPCI Available Instead of
RCIC



Figure D - 409 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 410 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 411 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 412 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 413 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 414

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 415 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 416 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 417 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 418 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 419 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 420 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time D.3.11 Case 19b: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 19 with RCIC Lost at5 hrs.



Figure D - 421 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 422 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 423 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 424 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 425 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 426

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 427 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 428

Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 429 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 430

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 431 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 432Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.12Case 19c: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 19 with MSIVClosure at the start of
the transient



Figure D - 433 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 434 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 435 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 436

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 437 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 438

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 439 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 440



Pressure in the RPV

Figure D - 441 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 442 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 443 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 444Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.13Case 21a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 21 with RCIC lost at 6 hrs.



Figure D - 445 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 446 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 447 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 448 Flow

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 449 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 451 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 452 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 453 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 454 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 455 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 456Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.14Case 22a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with HPCI Available Instead of
RCIC



Figure D - 457 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 458 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 459 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 460

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 461 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 462

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 463 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 464 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 465 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 466 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 467 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 468Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.15Case 22b: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with FLEXDelivered Flow Reduced
by 50%



Figure D - 469 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 470 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 471 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 472 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 473 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 475 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 476 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 477 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 478 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 479 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 480Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.16Case 22c: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with RCICDelivered Flow Reduced
by 10%



Figure D - 481 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 482Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 483 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 484 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 485 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 487 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 488 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 489 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 490

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 491 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 492Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.17Case 22d: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with FLEXInjection Failure at 24
hrs.



Figure D - 493 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 494 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 495 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 496

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D – 497 Flow rate of the recirculating pump sealleakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 499 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 500

Pressure in theRPV



Figure D - 501 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 502

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 503 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 504Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.18Case 22e: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with DecayHeat Following
Built-in ANS Standard



Figure D – 505 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 506 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 507 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 508

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 509 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage





Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 511 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 512 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 513 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 514 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 515 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 516Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.19Case 22f: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with IncreasedRCIC Operating
Level Band and Loss of RCIC on Steamline Flooding



Figure D - 517 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 518 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 519 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 520

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 521 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 522

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D – 523 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 524 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 525 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 526 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 527 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 528Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.20Case 22g: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with CST Unavailable



Figure D - 529 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 530 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 531 Flow rate of the FLEX pump


Figure D - 532

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 533 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 534

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 535 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 536 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 537 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 538 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 539 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 540Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.21Case 22h: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 22 with MSIVClosure at the Start of
the Transient



Figure D - 541 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 542 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 543 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 544 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 545 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure D - 546

Flow rate of the SRVs



Figure D - 547 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 548 Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 549 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 550

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 551 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D - 552Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeD.3.22Case 23a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 23 with SRVFailing Open at 270
Cycles



Figure D - 553 RPV cooldown rate



Figure D - 554 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure D - 555 Flow rate of the FLEX pump



Figure D - 556

Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure D - 557 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage







Figure D - 559 RPV down comer water level



Figure D - 560

Pressure in the RPV



Figure D - 561 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure D – 562 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure D - 563 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure D – 564 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

APPENDIX E DETAILED CHAPTER 5 ANALYSIS RESULTS

DETAILED CHAPTER 5 ANALYSIS RESULTS

E.1 LOOP Scenarios

E.1.1 Case 1: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC FullyFunctional







Figure E - 2 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 3 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 4 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 5 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 6 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 7 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 8 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 9 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 10 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.1.2 Case 2: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 11 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 12 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 13 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 14 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 15 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 16 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 17 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 18 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 19 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 20 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.1.3 Case 3: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Main Vent, RCIC FullyFunctional







Figure E - 22 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 23 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 24 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 25 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 26 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 27 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 28 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 29 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.4 Case 4: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Main Vent, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 31 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 32 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps


Figure E - 33Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 34 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 35 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 36 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 37 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 38 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 39 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.5 Case 5: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the HCV, ECCS Fully Functional



Figure E - 41 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 42 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 43 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 44 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 45 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 46 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 47 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 48 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 49 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.6 Case 6: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the HCV, ECCS 50% Degraded



Figure E - 51 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 52 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 53 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 54 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 55 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 56 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 57 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 58 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 59 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.7 Case 7: LOOPGR-38-9, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the HCV, ECCSNon-functional



Figure E - 61 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 62 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 63 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 64 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 65 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 66 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 67 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 68 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 69 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.8 Case 8: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E - 71 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 72 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 73 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 74 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 75 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 76 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 77 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 78 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 79 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 80 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.1.9 Case 9: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 81 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 82 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 83 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 84 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 85 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 86 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 87 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 88 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 89 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 90 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.1.10 Case 10: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Main Vent, RCIC Fully Functional



Figure E - 91 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 92 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 93Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 94 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 95 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 96 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 97 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 98 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 99 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.11 Case 11: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Main Vent, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 101 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 102 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



 Figure E - 103
 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 104 Water level in the reactor building basement


Figure E - 105 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 106 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 107 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 108 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 109 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.1.12 Case 12: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Main Vent,RCICNon-functional



Figure E - 111 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 112 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



 Figure E - 113
 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 114 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 115 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 116 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 117 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 118 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 119 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 120 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.1.13 Case 13: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the HCV, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E - 121 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 122 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 123 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 124 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 125 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 126 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 127 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 128 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 129 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 130 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.1.14 Case 14: LOOPGR-38-9, Containment Failure at 53psig, Containment Venting via the HCV, RCIC 50% Degraded



Figure E - 131 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 132 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 133 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 134 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 135 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 136 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 137 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 138 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 139 Water temperature in the wetwell





E.2 LOMFW Scenarios

E.2.1 Case 15: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Torus Bypass, RCIC Fully Functional



Figure E - 141 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 142 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 143 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 144 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 145 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 146 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 147 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 148 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 149 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 150 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 151Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.2Case 16: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via
the 2-in. Torus Bypass, RCIC 50% Degraded



Figure E - 152 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 153 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 154 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 155 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 156 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 157 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 158 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 159 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 160Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 161 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 162 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.3 Case 17: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Torus Vent, RCIC Fully Functional



Figure E - 163 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 164 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 166 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 167 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 168 Pressure in the RPV









Figure E - 171 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 172 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 173 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time E.2.4 Case 18: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Torus Vent, RCIC 50% Degraded



Figure E - 174 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 175 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 176 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps


Figure E - 177 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 178 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 179 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 180 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 181 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 182Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 183 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 184 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.5 Case 19: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Torus Vent, RCIC Non-functional



Figure E - 185 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 186 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 187 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 188 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 189 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 190 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 191 Pressure in the wetwell Temperature (C) RPV Pressure (MPa)

Figure E – 192 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 193 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E – 194 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 195Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.6Case 20: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via
the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC Fully Functional



Figure E – 196 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 197

Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulicsystem



Figure E - 198 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 199

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 200 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 201 Pressure in theRPV



Figure E - 202 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 203 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 204 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E - 205 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 206 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.7 Case 21: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC 50% Degraded



Figure E - 207 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 208 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 210 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 211 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 212 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 213 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 214 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 215 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 216 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 217Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.8Case 22: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via
the 18-in. Drywell Vent, RCIC Fully Functional



Figure E - 218 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 219 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 220 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 221 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 222 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 223 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 224 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 225 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 226Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 227 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 228 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.9 Case 23: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Vent, RCIC 50% Degraded



Figure E - 229 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 230 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 232 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 233 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 234 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 235 Pressure in the wetwell Temperature (C) RPV Pressure (MPa)





Figure E - 237 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 238 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 239Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.10Case 24: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via
the 18-in. Drywell Vent, RCIC Non-functional



Figure E - 240 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 241 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 242 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 243 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 244 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 245 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 246 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 247 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 248Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement


Figure E - 249 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 250 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.11 Case 25: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via the HCV, RCIC Fully Functional



Figure E - 251 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 252 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 253 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 254 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 255 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 256 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 257 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 258 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 259 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 260 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 261Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.12Case 26: LOMFW-25, Perform Anticipatory Venting, Containment Venting via
the HCV, RCIC Non-functional



Figure E - 262 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 263 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 264 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E – 265

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E – 266 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 267 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 268 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 269 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 270Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 271 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 272 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.13 Case 27: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 2-in. Torus Bypass, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E - 273 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 274 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system











Figure E - 277 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 278 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 279Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 280 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 281 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 282 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 283Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.14Case 28: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, ContainmentVenting via the 2-in. Torus Bypass, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 284 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 285 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulicsystem



Figure E - 286 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 287

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 288 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 289

Pressure in theRPV



Figure E - 290 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 291 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 292Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 293 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 294 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.15 Case 29: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Torus Vent, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E - 295 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 296 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 298 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 299 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 300 Pressure in the RPV









Figure E - 303 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 304 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 305Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.16Case 30: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment
Venting via the 18-in. Torus Vent, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E – 306 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 307Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 308 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 309

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 310 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 311 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 312 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 313 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 314 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 315 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 316 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.17 Case 31: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Torus Vent, RCIC Non-functional



Figure E - 317 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 318 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 320 Water level in the reactor building basement


Figure E - 321 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 322 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 323 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 324 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 325 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 326 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 327Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.18Case 32: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting
via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E - 328 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 329Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 330 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 331 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 332 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 333 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 334 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 335 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 336 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 337 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 338 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.19 Case 33: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, ContainmentVenting via the 2-in. Drywell Bypass, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 339 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 340 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 342 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 343 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 344 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 345 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 346 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 347 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 348 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 349Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.20Case 34: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment
Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Vent, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E - 350 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 351 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 352 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 353 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 354 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 355 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 356 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 357 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 358 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E - 359 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 360 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.21 Case 35: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Vent, RCIC 50%Degraded



Figure E - 361 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 362 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 364 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 365 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 366 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 367 Pressure in the wetwell Temperature (C) RPV Pressure (MPa)





Figure E - 369 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 370 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 371Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.22Case 36: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment
Venting via the 18-in. Drywell Vent, RCIC Non-functional



Figure E - 372 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 373 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 374 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 375 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 376 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 377 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 378 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 379 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 380 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E - 381 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 382 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.2.23 Case 37: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, Containment Venting via the HCV, RCIC FullyFunctional



Figure E – 383 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 384 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 385 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 386 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 387 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 388 Pressure in the RPV





Figure E - 390P lant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 391 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E - 392 Water temperature in the wetwell


Figure E - 393Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.2.24Case 38: LOMFW-25, Containment Failure at 53 psig, ContainmentVenting via the HCV, RCIC Non-functional



Figure E - 394 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 395 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 396 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 397

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 398 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 399

Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 400 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 401 Plant sta

Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 402 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 403 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 404 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3 <u>Sensitivity Analyses</u>

E.3.1 Case 1a: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 1 withCSTUnavailable



Figure E - 405 Flow rate of the containment vents







Figure E - 407 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 408 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 409 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 410 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E – 411 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 412 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 413 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 414 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.2 Case 3a: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with HPCI Available Insteadof RCIC







Figure E - 416 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 417 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 418 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 419 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 420 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 421 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 422 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 423 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 424 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.3 Case 3b: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with CST Unavailable







Figure E - 426 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 427 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 428 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 429 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 430 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 431 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 432 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 433 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 434 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.4 Case 3c: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with NoContainmentventing



Figure E - 435 Flow rate of the containment vents







Figure E - 437 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 438 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 439 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 440 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 441 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 442 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 443 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 444 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.5 Case 3d: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with IncreasedSeal Leakage (150 gpm Total)











Figure E - 447 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 448 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 449 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 450 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 451 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 452 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 453 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 454 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.6 Case 3e: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with Increased Seal Leakage(300 gpm Total)







Figure E - 456 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 457 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 458 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 459 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 460 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 461 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 462 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 463 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 464 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time
E.3.7 Case 3f: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with Increased Seal Leakage (150 gpm Total) Starting at 17 min.











Figure E - 467 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 468Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 469 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 470 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 471 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 472 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 473 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 474 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.8 Case 3g: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 3 with IncreasedSeal Leakage (300 gpm Total) Starting at 17 min.







Figure E - 476 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



 Figure E - 477
 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 478Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 479 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 480 Pressure in theRPV



Figure E - 481 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 482 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 483 Water temperature in the wetwell









Figure E - 485 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 486 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 487 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 488 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 489 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 490 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 491 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 492 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 493 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 494 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.10 Case 10a: Sensitivity to LOOPGR-38-9 Case 10 with HPCI Available Instead of RCIC



Figure E - 495 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 496 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 497 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 498 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 499 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 500 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 501 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 502 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 503 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 504 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time





Figure E - 505 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 506 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 507 Flow rate of the recirculating pump seal leakage



Figure E - 508 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 509 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 510 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 511 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E - 512 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 513 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 514 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.12 Case 15a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 15 with CRDHS Unavailable



Figure E - 515 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 516 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 517 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 518 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 519 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 520 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 521 Pressure in the wetwell Temperature (C) RPV Pressure (MPa)

Figure E – 522 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 523 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 524 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 525Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.13Case 15b: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 15 with MSIVClosure at the Start of
the Transient



Figure E - 526 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 527Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 528 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 529 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 530 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 531 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 532 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 533 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 534Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 535 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 536 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time
E.3.14 Case 17a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with HPCI Available Instead of RCIC



Figure E - 537 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 538 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 540 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E – 541 RPV down comer water level



Figure E – 542 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E – 543 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 544 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 545 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E – 546 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 547Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.15Case 17b: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with SRVFailing Open at 270
Cycles



Figure E - 548 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 549Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 550 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 551 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 552 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 553 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 554 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 555 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 556Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 557 Water te

Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 558 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.16 Case 17c: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with RCIC Lostafter Three Complete Cycles



Figure E - 559 Flow rate of the containment vents





E-280



Figure E - 561 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 562 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 563 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 564 Pressure in the RPV





Figure E – 566 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 567Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 568 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 569Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.17Case 17d: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with RCIC Lostafter Four
Complete Cycles



Figure E - 570 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 571 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 572 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 573 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 574 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 575 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 576 Pressure in the wet well



Figure E – 577 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 578Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 579 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 580 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time









Figure E - 582 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 584 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E – 585 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 586 Pressure in theRPV





Figure E – 588 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 589 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 590 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 591Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.19Case 17f: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with NominalSeal Leakage



Figure E - 592 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 593

Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 594 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 595

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 596 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 597 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 598 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 599 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 600Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 601 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 602 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.20 Case 17g: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with IncreasedSeal Leakage (150 gpm Total)







Figure E - 604 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 606 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 607 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 608 Pressure in the RPV




Figure E – 610 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 611 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 612 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 613 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time E.3.21 Case 17h: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with Rapid RPV Depressurization at HCL



Figure E - 614 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 615Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 616 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 617 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 618 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 619 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 620 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 621 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 622 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 623 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 624 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.22 Case 17i: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 17 with MSIV Closure at the Start of the Transient



Figure E - 625 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 626 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 628 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 629 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 630 Pressure in the RPV





Figure E – 632 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 633 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 634 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 635Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.23Case 19a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 19 with NominalSeal Leakage



Figure E - 636 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 637Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 638 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 639 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 640 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 641 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 642 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 643 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 644Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 645 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 646 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.24 Case 19b: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 19 with CRDHS Unavailable







Figure E - 648 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system







Figure E - 650 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 651 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 652 Pressure in theRPV







Figure E – 654 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 655 Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 656 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 657Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.25Case 19c: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 19 with MSIVClosure at the Start
of the Transient



Figure E - 658 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 659Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E - 660 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E - 661 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 662 RPV down comer water level



Figure E - 663 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E - 664 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 665 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E - 666Vapor temperature in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 667 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 668 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.26 Case 29a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 29 with HPCI Available Instead of RCIC



Figure E – 669 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E – 670 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulicsystem



Figure E – 671 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E – 672 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E – 673 RPV down comer water level



Figure E – 674 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E – 675 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 676 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 677 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E – 678 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E - 679Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of timeE.3.27Case 29b: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 29 with CST Unavailable



Figure E – 680 Flow rate of the containment vents


Figure E – 681

Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulicsystem



Figure E – 682 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E – 683

Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E – 684 RPV down comer water level



Figure E – 685 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E – 686 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 687 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 688 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E – 689

Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 690 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

E.3.28 Case 31a: Sensitivity to LOMFW-25 Case 29 with CRDHS Unavailable



Figure E - 691 Flow rate of the containment vents



Figure E - 692 Flow rate of the control rod drive hydraulic system



Figure E – 693 Flow rate of the HPCI/RCIC pumps



Figure E – 694 Water level in the reactor building basement



Figure E - 695 RPV down comer water level



Figure E – 696 Pressure in the RPV



Figure E – 697 Pressure in the wetwell



Figure E – 698 Plant status relative to the HCL curve (Graph 4 of the EOPs)



Figure E – 699 Vapor temperature in the reactor buildingbasement



Figure E – 700 Water temperature in the wetwell



Figure E – 701 Peak temperature of the fuel cladding as a function of time

NRC FORM 335 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (12-2010) NRCMD 3.7	1. REPORT NUMBER (Assigned by NRC, Add Vol., Supp., Rev., and Addendum Numbers, if any.)	
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET (See instructions on the reverse)	NUREG-2236 Volume 2	
2. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	3. DATE REPORT PUBLISHED	
Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis To Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models—Duane Arnold	MONTH October	YEAR 2020
Appendices D - E	4. FIN OR GRANT NUMBER	
5. AUTHOR(S)	6. TYPE OF REPORT	
S. Campbell, S. Dennis, D. Helton, M. Khatib-Rahbar, A. Krall, L. Kozak,	Technical	
R. Buell, F. Sock, J. Pires	7. PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive Dates)	
 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, provide Division, Office or Region, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address; if contractor, provide name and mailing address.) Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 8. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, type "Same as above", if contractor, provide NRC, Division, Office or Region, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and mailing address; if 		
Commission, and mailing address.) Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555		
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES S. Dennis		
 This report extends the work documented in NUREG-2187, "Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis to Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models—Byron Unit 1," issued January 2016, to the Duane Arnold Energy Center. Its purpose is to produce an additional set of best estimate thermal-hydraulic calculations that can be used to confirm or enhance specific success criteria for system performance and operator timing found in the agency's probabilistic risk assessment tools. Along with enhancing the technical basis for the agency's independent standardized plant analysis risk (SPAR) models, these calculations are expected to be a useful reference to model end users for specific regulatoryapplications. This report first describes major assumptions used in this study. It then describes the major plant characteristics for the Duane Arnold Energy Center, in addition to the MELCOR model used to represent the plant. Finally, the report presents the results of MELCOR calculations for selected initiators and compares these results to SPAR success criteria, the licensee's success criteria, or other generic studies. 		
12. KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS (List words or phrases that will assist researchers in locating the report.)	13. AVAILABI	
PRA, Success Criteria, MELCOR, thermal-hydraulic	14. SECURIT	Y CLASSIFICATION
	(This Page)	
	Ur (This Report	ICIASSIFIED
	ur	nclassified
	15. NUMBE	R OF PAGES
	16. PRICE	
NRC FORM 335 (12-2010)		



Federal Recycling Program



NUREG-2236, Volume 2 Appendices D - E

Confirmatory Thermal-Hydraulic Analysis To Support Specific Success Criteria in the Standardized Plant Analysis Risk Models—Duane Arnold

October 2020