Xcel Energy®
RESPONSIBLE BY NATURE®

1717 Wakonade Drive
Welch, MN 55089

May 14, 2019

L-PI-19-013
TS 5.6.2
ISFSI TS 5.2

ATTN: Document Control Desk
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Units 1 and 2
Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306
Renewed Facility Operating License
DPR-42 and DPR-60

Prairie Island Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation
Docket No. 72-10
Renewed Materials License No. SNM-2506

2018 Annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Report

Pursuant to Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Technical Specification (TS) 5.6.2, Appendix A, to Renewed Operating Licenses DPR-42 and DPR-60, and Prairie Island Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Technical Specification (ISFSI TS) 5.2, Appendix A, to Renewed Materials License SNM-2506, Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, doing business as Xcel Energy (hereafter "NSPM"), submits one copy of the annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program report for the period January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018, as Enclosure 1.

Summary of Commitments

This letter makes no new commitments and no revisions to existing commitments.

Scott Sharp
Site Vice President, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company – Minnesota

Enclosure

cc: Regional Administrator, USNRC, Region III
Project Manager, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, USNRC, NRR
NRC Resident Inspector, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant
Billy Dickson, USNRC, Region III
Director of NMSS, USNRC
Department of Health, State of Minnesota
PI Dakota Community Environmental Coordinator

ENCLOSURE 1

Annual Report to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

January 1 to December 31, 2018

ATI Environmental, Inc.
Midwest Laboratory
700 Landwehr Road • Northbrook, IL 60062-2310
phone (847) 564-0700 • fax (847) 564-4517

XCEL ENERGY CORPORATION

PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT

ANNUAL REPORT
to the
UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

January 1 to December 31, 2018

Docket No. 50-282 Renewed Operating License No. DPR-42
Docket No. 50-306 Renewed Operating License No. DPR-60

ISFSI
Docket No. 72-10 Renewed License No. SNM-2506

Prepared under Contract by

ATI ENVIRONMENTAL, Inc.
MIDWEST LABORATORY

Project No. 8010

Approved: _____

Ashok Banavali, Ph.D.
Laboratory Manager

PREFACE

The staff of Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory was responsible for the acquisition of data presented in this report. Samples were collected by members of the staff of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, operated by Northern States Power Co. –Minnesota, for XCEL Energy Corporation. The report was prepared by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

MAPS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes and interprets results of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) conducted by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Red Wing, Minnesota, during the period January - December, 2018.

This program monitors the levels of radioactivity in the air, terrestrial, and aquatic environments in order to assess the impact of the plant on its surroundings.

Tabulations of the individual analyses made during the year are not included in this report. These data are included in a reference document (Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory, 2018b) available at Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant is located on the Mississippi River in Goodhue County, Minnesota, owned by Xcel Energy Corporation and operated by Northern States Power Co.-Minnesota. The plant has two 575 MWe pressurized water reactors. Unit 1 achieved initial criticality on 1 December 1973. Commercial operation at full power began on 16 December 1973. Unit 2 achieved initial criticality on 17 December 1974. Commercial operation at full power began on 21 December 1974.

2.0 SUMMARY

The Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) required by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Offsite Dose Calculation Manual for the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant and the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) is described. Results for 2018 are summarized and discussed.

Program findings show background levels of radioactivity in the environmental samples collected in the vicinity of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

3.0 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM (REMP)

3.1 Program Design and Data Interpretation

The purpose of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant is to assess the impact of the plant on its environment. For this purpose, samples are collected from the air, terrestrial, and aquatic environments and analyzed for radioactive content. In addition, ambient gamma radiation levels are monitored by thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs).

Sources of environmental radiation include the following:

(1) Natural background radiation arising from cosmic rays and primordial radionuclides;

(2) Fallout from atmospheric nuclear detonations;

(3) Releases from nuclear power plants;

(4) Industrial and medical radioactive waste; and

(5) Fallout from nuclear accidents.

In interpreting the data, effects due to the plant must be distinguished from those due to other sources.

A major interpretive aid in assessment of these effects is the design of the monitoring program at the Prairie Island Plant which is based on the indicator-control concept. Most types of samples are collected both at indicator locations (nearby, downwind, or downstream) and at control locations (distant, upwind, or upstream). A plant effect would be indicated if the radiation level at an indicator location was significantly larger than that at the control location. The difference would have to be greater than could be accounted for by typical fluctuations in radiation levels arising from other sources.

An additional interpretive technique involves analyses for specific radionuclides present in the environmental samples collected from the plant site. The plant's monitoring program includes analyses for tritium and iodine-131. Most samples are analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes with results for the following groups quantified: zirconium-95, cesium-137, cerium-144, beryllium- 7, and potassium-40. The first three gamma-emitting isotopes were selected as radiological impact indicators because of the different characteristic proportions in which they appear in the fission product mix produced by a nuclear reactor and that produced by a nuclear detonation. Each of the three isotopes is produced in roughly equivalent amounts by a reactor: each constitutes about 10% of the total activity of fission products 10 days after reactor shutdown. On the other hand, 10 days after a nuclear explosion, the contributions of zirconium-95, cerium-144, and cesium-137 to the activity of the resulting debris are in the approximate ratio 4:1:0.03 (Eisenbud, 1963). Beryllium-7 is of cosmogenic origin and potassium-40 is a naturally-occurring isotope. They were chosen as calibration monitors and should not be considered radiological impact indicators.

The other group quantified consists of niobium-95, ruthenium-103 and -106, cesium-134, barium-lanthanum-140, and cerium-141. These isotopes are released in small quantities by nuclear power plants, but to date their major source of injection into the general environment has been atmospheric nuclear testing. Nuclides of the final group, manganese-54, iron-59, cobalt-58 and -60, and zinc-65, are activation products and arise from activation of corrosion products. They are typical components of a nuclear power plant's effluents, but are not produced in significant quantities by nuclear detonations.

3.1 Program Design and Data Interpretation (continued)

Other means of distinguishing sources of environmental radiation are employed in interpreting the data. Current radiation levels are compared with previous levels, including those measured before the plant became operational. Results of the plant's monitoring program can be related to those obtained in other parts of the world. Finally, results can be related to events known to cause elevated levels of radiation in the environment, e.g., atmospheric nuclear detonations.

3.2 Program Description

The sampling and analysis schedule for the radiological environmental monitoring program at Prairie Island is summarized in Table 5.1 and briefly reviewed below. Table 5.2 defines the sampling location codes used in Table 5.1 and specifies for each location its type (indicator or control) and its distance, direction, and sector relative to the reactor site or ISFSI facility, as appropriate. To assure that sampling is carried out in a reproducible manner, detailed sampling procedures have been prescribed (Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, 2017). Maps of fixed sampling locations are included in Appendix D.

To monitor the airborne environment, air is sampled by continuous pumping at six stations, four site boundary indicators (P-2, P-3, P-4 and P-7), located in the highest calculated D/Q sectors, one community indicator (P-6), and one control (P-1). The particulates are collected on membrane filters, airborne iodine is trapped by activated charcoal canisters. Particulate filters are analyzed for gross beta activity and charcoal canisters for iodine-131. Quarterly composites of particulate filters from each location are analyzed for gamma emitting isotopes.

Offsite ambient gamma radiation is monitored at thirty-four locations, using $CaSO_4$:Dy dosimeters with four sensitive areas at each location: ten in an inner ring in the general area of the site boundary, fifteen in the outer ring within a 4-5 mile radius, eight at special interest locations, and one control location, 11.1 miles distant from the plant. They are replaced and measured quarterly.

Ambient gamma radiation is monitored at the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) Facility by twenty $CaSO_4$:Dy dosimeters. Twelve dosimeters are located inside of the earthen berm in direct line of sight from the storage casks and eight dosimeters are located outside of the earthen berm. They are replaced and measured quarterly.

To monitor the terrestrial environment, green leafy vegetables (cabbage) are collected annually from the highest D/Q garden and a control location (P-38), and analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes, including iodine-131. Corn is collected annually only if fields are irrigated with river water and analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes. Well water and ground water are collected quarterly from five locations near the plant and analyzed for tritium and gamma-emitting isotopes.

River water is collected weekly at two locations, one upstream of the plant (P-5) and one downstream (P-6, Lock and Dam No.3). Monthly composites are analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes. Quarterly composites are analyzed for tritium.

Drinking water is collected weekly from the City of Red Wing well. Monthly composites are analyzed for gross beta, iodine-131, and gamma-emitting isotopes. Quarterly composites are analyzed for tritium.

3.2 Program Description (continued)

The aquatic environment is also monitored by semi-annual upstream and downstream collections of fish, periphyton or invertebrates, and bottom sediments. Shoreline sediment is collected semi-annually from one location. All samples are analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes.

3.3 Program Execution

The Program was executed as described in the preceding section with the following exceptions:

(1) TLD

The TLD at location P-09B for the second quarter was found missing in the field.

(2) Airborne Particulates/ Airborne Iodine

Partial sample collected from location P-4 for the week ending on 10/09/18. Approximately 12 hours of running time was missing.

3.4 Laboratory Procedures

The iodine-131 analyses in drinking water were made using a sensitive radiochemical procedure which involves separation of the iodine using an ion-exchange method, solvent extraction and subsequent beta counting.

Gamma-spectroscopic analyses were performed using high-purity germanium (HPGe) detectors. Levels of iodine-131 in cabbage and natural vegetation and concentrations of airborne iodine-131 in charcoal samples were determined by gamma spectroscopy.

Tritium concentrations were determined by liquid scintillation.

Analytical Procedures used by Environmental, Inc. are on file and are available for inspection. Procedures are based on those prescribed by the Health and Safety Laboratory of the U.S. Dep't of Energy, Edition 28, 1997, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for Measurement of Radioactivity in Drinking Water, 1980, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EERF, Radiochemical Procedures Manual, 1984

Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory has a comprehensive quality control/quality assurance program designed to assure the reliability of data obtained. Details of the QA Program are presented elsewhere (Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory, 2018). The QA Program includes participation in Interlaboratory Comparison (crosscheck) Programs. Results obtained in the crosscheck programs are presented in Appendix A.

3.5 Program Modifications

None.

3.6 Land Use Census

In accordance with the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant Offsite Dose Calculation Manual, H4, (ODCM) a land use census is conducted in order to identify the location of the nearest milk animal, the nearest residence, and the nearest garden of greater than 500 ft^2 producing fresh leafy vegetables in each of the 16 meteorological sectors within a distance of 5 miles. This census is conducted at least once per 12 months between the dates of May 1 and September 30. If new locations yield a calculated dose or dose equivalent (via the same exposure pathway) twenty percent greater than the required locations per the ODCM, then the new locations are added to the radiological environmental monitoring program within 30 days, and sampling locations having lower calculated doses or a lower dose commitment may be deleted from this monitoring program after September of the year in which the land use census was conducted.

This land use census insures the updating of the radiological environmental monitoring program should sampling locations change within the 5 mile radius from the plant.

The Land Use Census was conducted July through August 2018. The ranking of the highest D/Q location changed from Suter (SSE at 0.6 miles) to Mowry (NNW at 0.7 miles) due to the revision of the dispersion factors. There are no dairy farms within a 5 mile radius of the plant therefore no samples were collected. The highest ranking D/Q residence changed from Jefferson (W at 0.7 miles) to Sellers (WNW at 0.7 miles) due to the revision of the dispersion factors.

No downstream irrigation of corn was discovered within 5 miles of the Prairie Island Plant. The Minnesota and Wisconsin Departments of Natural Resources were both consulted and both confirmed that no irrigation permits had been issued for water from the Mississippi River. Therefore, no corn samples were collected for analysis.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All scheduled collections and analyses were made except those listed in Table 5.3.

The results are summarized in Table 5.4 in a format recommended by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in Regulatory Guide 4.8. For each type of analysis of each sampled medium, this table lists the mean and range for all indicator locations and for all control locations. The locations with the highest mean and range are also shown.

4.1 Atmospheric Nuclear Detonations and Nuclear Accidents

There were no reported accidents involving significant release to the environment at nuclear reactor facilities in 2018. The Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident occurred March 11, 2011.

There were no reported atmospheric nuclear tests in 2018. The last reported test was conducted on October 16, 1980 by the People's Republic of China.

4.2 Summary of Preoperational Data

The following constitutes a summary of preoperational studies conducted at the Prairie Island Nuclear Power Plant during the years 1970 to 1973, to determine background levels expected in the environment, and provided, where applicable, as a means for comparison with present day levels. Strict comparisons, however, are difficult, since background levels of radiation were much higher in these years due to radioactive fallout from the atmosphere. Gross beta measurements in fallout declined yearly from a level of 12,167 pCi/ m^3 to 1,020 pCi/m^3, and these declining values are reflected throughout the various media tested.

In the air environment, ambient gamma radiation (TLDs) averaged 9.4 mR/4 weeks during pre-operational studies. Gross beta in air particulates declined from levels of 0.38 to 0.037 pCi/m^3. Average present day levels have stabilized at around 0.025 pCi/m^3. Airborne radioiodine remained below detection levels.

In the terrestrial environment of 1970 to 1973, milk, agricultural crops, and soil were monitored. In milk samples, low levels of Cs-137, I-131, and Sr-90 were detected. Cs-137 levels declined from 16.5 to 8.6 pCi/L. Present day measurements for both Cs-137 and I-131 are below detection levels. Agricultural crop measurements averaged 57.7 pCi/g for gross beta and 0.47 pCi/g for Cs-137. Gross beta measured in soil averaged 52 pCi/g.

The aqueous environment was monitored by testing of river, well and lake waters, bottom sediments, fish, aquatic vegetation and periphyton. Specific location comparison of drinking, river and well water concentrations for tritium and gross beta are not possible. However, tritium background levels, measured at eight separate locations, declined steadily from an average concentration of 1020 pCi/L to 490 pCi/L. Present day environmental levels of tritium measure below a detection limit of approximately 160 pCi/L. Values for gross beta, measured from 1970 to 1973, averaged 9.9 pCi/L in downstream Mississippi River water, 8.2 pCi/L for well water, and 11.0 pCi/L for lake water. Gamma emitters were below the lower limit of detection (LLD). In bottom sediments, gross beta background levels were determined at 51.0 pCi/g. Cs-137 activity during preoperational studies in 1973 measured 0.25 pCi/g upstream and 0.21 pCi/g downstream. The lower levels occasionally observed today can still be attributed to residual activity from atmospheric fallout. Gross beta in fish, measured in both flesh and skeletal samples, averaged 7.3 and 11.7 pCi/g, respectively. Gross beta background levels in aquatic vegetation, algae and periphyton samples measured 76.0 pCi/g, 46.0 pCi/g, and 13.6 pCi/g, respectively.

4.3 Program Findings

Results obtained show background levels of radioactivity in the environmental samples collected in the vicinity of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

Ambient Radiation (TLDs)

Ambient radiation was measured in the general area of the site boundary, at the outer ring 4 - 5 mi. distant from the Plant, at special interest areas and at one control location. The means ranged from 16.4 mR/91 days at inner ring locations to 16.7 mR/91 days at outer ring locations. The mean at special interest locations was 16.2 mR/91 days and 17.4 mR/91 days at the control location. Dose rates measured at the inner and outer ring and the control locations were comparable to 2017 dose rates and consistent with results from previous years. The results are tabulated below. No plant effect on ambient gamma radiation measurements was indicated (Figure 5-1).

Year	Average (Inner and Outer Rings)	Control		Year	Average (Inner and Outer Rings)	Control
2001	16.8	17.2		2010	16.0	16.0
2002	17.4	16.9		2011	15.7	15.7
2003	16.2	16.0		2012	16.5	16.5
2004	17.6	17.6		2013	15.1	16.0
2005	16.8	16.3		2014	15.3	16.2
2006	16.6	16.6		2015	16.0	17.4
2007	17.5	17.7		2016	16.7	17.4
2008	16.9	17.1		2017	16.1	16.3
2009	15.9	16.3		2018	16.6	17.4

Ambient gamma radiation as measured by thermoluminescent dosimetry.
Average quarterly dose rates (mR/91 days).

ISFSI Facility Operations Monitoring

Ambient radiation was measured inside the ISFSI earth berm, outside the ISFSI earth berm and at two special locations between the plant ISFSI and the Prairie Island Indian Community. The mean dose rates averaged 185.6 mR/91 days inside the ISFSI earth berm and 24.1 mR/91 days outside the ISFSI earth berm. Four additional casks were placed on the ISFSI pad in 2018, a total of forty-four loaded casks remain. The higher levels inside the earth berm are expected, due to the loaded spent fuel casks being in direct line-of-sight of the TLDs.

Ambient radiation levels measured outside the earth berm show a slight increase as compared to other offsite dose rates around the plant. The cumulative average of the two special Prairie Island Indian Community TLDs (Locations P-07S and P-08S) measured 16.1 and 16.0 mR/91 days. Although the skyshine neutron dose rates are not directly measured, the neutron levels measured next to the casks are below the levels predicted in the ISFSI SAR Report, Table 7A-4, "TN-40 Dose Rates at Short Distances". Therefore, the skyshine dose rates at farther distances from the casks should be at or below the calculated dose rates. No spent fuel storage effect on offsite ambient gamma radiation was indicated (Fig. 5-1).

Airborne Particulates

Typically, the highest averages for gross beta occur during the months of January and December, and the first and fourth quarters, as in 1999 through 2006, and also in 2008 through 2015. The elevated activity observed in 2007 was attributed to construction activity in the area, an increase in dust and consequent heavier particulate filter loading.

Average annual gross beta concentrations in airborne particulates were 0.027 pCi/m^3 for indicator locations and the control location and similar to levels observed from 1999 through 2006 and 2008 to 2017. The results are tabulated below.

Year	Average of Indicators	Control
	Concentration (pCi/ m^3)	
2000	0.025	0.025
2001	0.023	0.023
2002	0.028	0.023
2003	0.027	0.025
2004	0.025	0.026
2005	0.027	0.025
2006	0.026	0.025
2007	0.037	0.031
2008	0.028	0.027
2009	0.029	0.029
2010	0.025	0.025
2011	0.026	0.027
2012	0.031	0.032
2013	0.027	0.028
2014	0.026	0.026
2015	0.029	0.029
2016	0.027	0.027
2017	0.026	0.025
2018	0.027	0.027

Average annual gross beta concentrations in airborne particulates.

Gamma spectroscopic analysis of quarterly composites of air particulate filters yielded similar results for indicator and control locations. Beryllium-7, which is produced continuously in the upper atmosphere by cosmic radiation (Arnold and Al-Salih, 1955), was detected in all samples, with an average activity of 0.079 pCi/m^3 for indicator locations and 0.080 pCi/m^3 at the control locations. All other isotopes were below the lower limit of detection.

There was no indication of a plant effect.

Airborne Iodine

Weekly levels of airborne iodine-131 were below the lower limit of detection (LLD) of 0.03 pCi/m^3 in all samples. There was no indication of a plant effect.

Drinking Water

In drinking water from the City of Red Wing well, tritium activity measured below a detection limit of 156 pCi/L for all samples.

Gross beta concentrations averaged 10.2 pCi/L throughout the year, ranging from 6.5–15.2 pCi/L. These concentrations are consistent with the 2017 average of 10.1 pCi/L and with levels observed from 1999 through 2017. The most likely contribution is the relatively high levels of naturally-occurring radium. Gamma spectroscopy indicates the presence of lead and bismuth isotopes, which are daughters of the radium decay chain. There is no indication from the 2018 data of any effect of plant operation.

Year	Gross Beta (pCi/L)
2000	10.1
2001	8.3
2002	8.7
2003	9.9
2004	9.8
2005	11.5
2006	13.4
2007	11.6
2008	11.6
2009	11.4
2010	11.7
2011	12.4
2012	11.8
2013	12.2
2014	11.5
2015	11.4
2016	12.3
2017	10.1
2018	10.2

Average annual concentrations; Gross beta in drinking water.

River Water

All river water samples measured below a detection limit of 156 pCi/L for tritium. Gamma-emitting isotopes were below detection limits in all samples. In summary, the data for 2018 show no radiological effects from the plant operation.

Well Water

Water samples tested from the control well, P-43 (Peterson Farm) and from four indicator wells (P-8, Community Center, P-6, Lock and Dam No. 3, P-9, Plant Well No. 2 and P-24, Suter Farm) showed no tritium detected above a detection limit of 156 pCi/L. Gamma-emitting isotopes were below detection limits in all samples.

In summary, well water data for 2018 show no radiological effects of the plant operation.

Broadleaf Vegetation and Crops

Five samples of broadleaf vegetation, cabbage leaves, were collected in August 2018 and analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes, including iodine-131. The I-131 level was below 0.011 pCi/g wet weight in all samples. With exceptions for naturally-occurring beryllium-7 and potassium-40, all other gamma-emitting isotopes were below their respective detection limits. There was no indication of a plant effect.

Field sampling personnel conducted an annual land use survey and found no river water taken for irrigation into fields within 5 miles downstream from the Prairie Island Plant. The collection and analysis of corn samples was not required.

Fish

Fish were collected in May and September 2018 and analyzed for gamma emitting isotopes. Only naturally-occurring potassium-40 was detected, and there was no significant difference between upstream and downstream results. There was no indication of a plant effect.

Aquatic Insects or Periphyton

Aquatic insects (invertebrates) or periphyton were collected in August and September, 2018 and analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes. All gamma-emitting isotopes measured below detection limits with the exception that naturally occurring potassium-40 which was detected in all four samples. There was no indication of any plant effect.

Bottom and Shoreline Sediments

Upstream and downstream bottom sediments and downstream recreational area shoreline sediments were sampled in July and September, 2018 and analyzed for gamma-emitting isotopes. The only gamma-emitting isotopes detected were naturally-occurring potassium-40 and beryllium-7.

There was no indication of a plant effect.

5.0 FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 5-1. Offsite Ambient Radiation (TLDs); average of inner and outer ring indicator locations versus control location.



Figure 5-2. Airborne Particulates; analysis for gross beta, average mean of all indicator locations versus control location.





Table 5.1. Sample collection and analysis program, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

Medium	Location No.	Location Codes (and Type)[a]	Collection Type and Frequency[b]	Analysis Type and Frequency[c]
Ambient radiation (TLD's)	54	P-01A - P-10A P-01B - P-15B P-01S - P-08S P-01IA - P-08IA P-01IB - P-08IB P-01IX- P-04IX, P-01C	C/Q	Ambient gamma
Airborne Particulates	6	P-1(C), P-2, P-3, P-4, P-6, P-7	C/W	GB, GS (QC of each location)
Airborne Iodine	6	P-1(C), P-2, P-3, P-4, P-6, P-7	C/W	I-131
River water	2	P-5(C), P-6	G/W	GS(MC), H-3(QC)
Drinking water	1	P-11	G/W	GB(MC), I-131(MC) GS (MC), H-3 (QC)
Well water	5	P-6, P-8, P-9, P-24, P-43 (C)	G/Q	H-3, GS
Edible cultivated crops - leafy green vegetables	5	P-8, P-24, P-28, P-38(C), P-45	G/A	GS (I-131)
Fish (one species, edible portion)	2	P-19(C), P-13	G/SA	GS
Periphyton or invertebrates	2	P-40(C), P-6	G/SA	GS
Bottom sediment	2	P-20(C), P-6	G/SA	GS
Shoreline sediment	1	P-12	G/SA	GS

[a] Location codes are defined in Table 5.2. Control stations are indicated by (C). All other stations are indicators.

[b] Collection type is coded as follows: C/ = continuous, G/ = grab. Collection frequency is coded as follows: W= weekly, M = monthly, Q = quarterly, SA = semiannually, A = annually.

[c] Analysis type is coded as follows: GB = gross beta, GS = gamma spectroscopy, H-3 = tritium, I-131 = iodine-131. Analysis frequency is coded as follows: MC = monthly composite, QC = quarterly composite.

Table 5.2. Sampling locations, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

Code	Type[a]	Collection Site	Sample Type[b]	Distance and Direction from Reactor
P-1	C	Air Station P-1	AP, AI	11.8 mi @ 316°/NNW
P-2		Air Station P-2	AP, AI	0.5 mi @ 294°/WNW
P-3		Air Station P-3	AP, AI	0.8 mi @ 313°/NW
P-4		Air Station P-4	AP, AI	0.4 mi @ 359°/N
P-5	C	Upstream of Plant	RW	1.8 mi @ 11°/N
P-6		Lock and Dam #3 & Air Station P-6	AP, AI, RW WW, BS, BO[c]	1.6 mi @ 129°/SE
P-7		Air Station P-7	AP, AI	0.5 mi @ 271°/W
P-8		Community Center	WW	1.0 mi @ 321°/WNW
P-9		Plant Well #2	WW	0.3 mi @ 306°/NW
P-11		Red Wing Service Center	DW	3.3 mi @ 158°/SSE
P-12		Downstream of Plant	SS	3.0 mi @ 116°/ESE
P-13		Downstream of Plant	F[c]	3.5 mi @ 113°/ESE
P-19	C	Upstream of Plant	F[c]	1.3 mi @ 0°/N
P-20	C	Upstream of Plant	BS	0.9 mi @ 45°/NE
P-24		Suter Residence	WW	0.6 mi @ 158°/SSE
P-28		Allyn Residence	VE	1.0 mi @ 152°/SSE
P-38	C	Cain Residence	VE	14.2 mi @ 359°/N
P-40	C	Upstream of Plant	BO[c]	0.4 mi @ 0°/N
P-43	C	Peterson Farm	WW	13.9 mi. @ 355°/N
P-45		Glazier Residence	VE	0.6 mi. @ 341°/NNW
General Area of the Site Boundary				
P-01A		Property Line	TLD	0.4 mi @ 359°/N
P-02A		Property Line	TLD	0.3 mi @ 10°/N
P-03A		Property Line	TLD	0.5 mi @ 183°/S
P-04A		Property Line	TLD	0.4 mi @ 204°/SSW
P-05A		Property Line	TLD	0.4 mi @ 225°/SW
P-06A		Property Line	TLD	0.4 mi @ 249°/WSW
P-07A		Property Line	TLD	0.4 mi @ 268°/W
P-08A		Property Line	TLD	0.4 mi @ 291°/WNW
P-09A		Property Line	TLD	0.7 mi @ 317°/NW
P-10A		Property Line	TLD	0.5 mi @ 333°/NNW

Table 5.2. Sampling locations, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (continued).

Code	Type[a]	Collection Site	Sample Type[b]	Distance and Direction from Reactor
Approximately 4 to 5 miles Distant from the Plant				
P-01B		Thomas Killian Residence	TLD	4.7 mi @ 355°/N
P-02B		Roy Kinneman Residence	TLD	4.8 mi @ 17°/NNE
P-03B		Wayne Anderson Farm	TLD	4.9 mi @ 46°/NE
P-04B		Nelson Drive (Road)	TLD	4.2 mi @ 61°/ENE
P-05B		County Road E and Coulee	TLD	4.2 mi @ 102°/ESE
P-06B		William Hauschildt Residence	TLD	4.4 mi @ 112°/ESE
P-07B		Red Wing Public Works	TLD	4.7 mi @ 140°/SE
P-08B		David Wnuk Residence	TLD	4.1 mi @ 165°/SSE
P-09B		Highway 19 South	TLD	4.2 mi @ 187°/S
P-10B		Cannondale Farm	TLD	4.9 mi @ 200°/SSW
P-11B		Wallace Weberg Farm	TLD	4.5 mi @ 221°/SW
P-12B		Ray Gergen Farm	TLD	4.6 mi @ 251°/WSW
P-13B		Thomas O'Rourke Farm	TLD	4.4 mi @ 270°/W
P-14B		David J. Anderson Farm	TLD	4.9 mi @ 306°/NW
P-15B		Holst Farms	TLD	3.8 mi @ 345°/NNW
Special Interest Locations				
P-01S		Federal Lock & Dam #3	TLD	1.6 mi @ 129°/SE
P-02S		Charles Suter Residence	TLD	0.5 mi @ 155°/SSE
P-03S		Carl Gustafson Farm	TLD	2.2 mi @ 173°/S
P-04S		Richard Burt Residence	TLD	2.0 mi @ 202°/SSW
P-05S		Kinney Store	TLD	2.0 mi @ 270°/W
P-06S		Earl Flynn Farm	TLD	2.5 mi @ 299°/WNW
P-07S		Indian Community	TLD	0.7 mi @ 271°/W
P-08S		Indian Community	TLD	0.7 mi @ 287°/WNW
P-01C	C	Robert Kinneman Farm	TLD	11.1 mi @ 331°/NNW

Table 5.2. Sampling locations, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (continued).

Code	Type[a]	Collection Site	Sample Type[b]	Distance and Direction from ISFSI Center.
ISFSI Area Inside Earth Berm				
P-01IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	190' @ 45°/NE
P-02IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	360' @ 82°/E
P-03IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	370' @ 100°/E
P-04IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	200' @ 134°/SE
P-05IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	180' @ 219°/SW
P-06IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	320' @ 258°/WSW
P-07IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	320' @ 281°/WNW
P-08IA		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	190' @ 318°/NW
P-01IX		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	140' @ 180°/S
P-02IX		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	310' @ 270°/W
P-03IX		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	140' @ 0°/N
P-04IX		ISFSI Nuisance Fence	TLD	360' @ 90°/E
ISFSI Area Outside Earth Berm				
P-01IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	340' @ 3°/N
P-02IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	380' @ 28°/NNE
P-03IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	560' @ 85°/E
P-04IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	590' @ 165°/SSE
P-05IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	690' @ 186°/S
P-06IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	720' @ 201°/SSW
P-07IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	610' @ 271°/W
P-08IB		ISFSI Berm Area	TLD	360' @ 332°/NNW

[a] "C" denotes control location. All other locations are indicators.

[b] Sample Codes:

AP	Airborne particulates	F	Fish
AI	Airborne Iodine	SS	Shoreline Sediments
BS	Bottom (river) sediments	SW	Surface Water
BO	Bottom organisms (periphyton or macroinvertebrates)	VE	Vegetation/vegetables
		WW	Well water
DW	Drinking water		

[c] Distance and direction data for fish and bottom organisms are approximate since availability of sample specimen may vary at any one location.

Table 5.3. Missed collections and analyses at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant.

All required samples were collected and analyzed as scheduled with the following exceptions:

Sample Type	Analysis	Location	Collection Date or Period	Reason for not Conducting REMP as Required	Plans for Preventing Recurrence
TLD	Gamma	P-09B	2nd Quarter 2018	TLD was missing	Replaced TLD
AP/AI	Beta, I-131	P-4	10/09/18	Partial sample due to faulty electrical cord.	Replaced electrical cord.

Table 5.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility	Prairie Island Nuclear Power Station	Docket No.	50-282, 50-306
Location of Facility	Goodhue, Minnesota	Reporting Period	January-December, 2018
	(County, State)		

Sample Type (Units)	Type and Number of Analyses[a]	LLD[b]	Indicator Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Number Non-Routine Results[e]
				Location[d]	Mean (F)[c] Range[c]		
Direct Radiation							
TLD (Inner Ring, Area at Site Boundary) mR/91 days)	Gamma 39	3.0	16.4 (40/40) (13.1-19.7)	P-06A Property Line 0.4 mi @ 249° /WSW	18.4 (4/4) (17.0-19.7)	(See Control below.)	0
TLD (Outer Ring, 4-5 mi. distant) mR/91 days)	Gamma 60	3.0	16.7 (59/59) (13.6-20.3)	P-04B, Nelson Drive 4.2 mi @ 61°/ENE	19.0 (4/4) (17.6-20.3)	(See Control below.)	0
TLD (Special Interest Areas) mR/91 days)	Gamma 32	3.0	16.2 (32/32) (13.1-19.2)	P-03S, Gustafson Farm, 2.2 mi @ 173° /S	18.7 (4/4) (18.1-19.2)	(See Control below.)	0
TLD (Control) mR/91 days)	Gamma 4	3.0	None	P-01C, Robert Kinneman 11.1 mi @ 331° /NNW	17.4 (4/4) (16.2-18.4)	17.4 (4/4) (16.2-18.4)	0
Airborne Pathway							
Airborne Particulates (pCi/m^3)	GB 312	0.005	0.027 (260/260) (0.006-0.061)	P-04, Air Station 0.4 mi @ 359° /N	0.028 (52 /52) (0.009-0.059)	0.027 (52/52) (0.006-0.061)	0
	GS 20						
	Be-7	0.015	0.079 (20/20) (0.054-0.107)	P-04, Air Station 0.4 mi @ 359° /N	0.084 (4/4) (0.067-0.099)	0.080 (4/4) (0.065-0.098)	0
	Mn-54	0.0009	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-58	0.0012	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-60	0.0009	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	0.0028	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zr-Nb-95	0.0018	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ru-103	0.0012	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ru-106	0.0080	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	0.0009	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	0.0008	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ba-La-140	0.0025	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-141	0.0017	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-144	0.0046	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
Airborne Iodine (pCi/m^3)	I-131 312	0.030	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0

Table 5.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: Prairie Island Nuclear Power Station — Docket No.: 50-282, 50-306

Location of Facility: Goodhue, Minnesota (County, State) — Reporting Period: January-December, 2018

Sample Type (Units)	Type and Number of Analyses[a]	LLD[b]	Indicator Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Location with Highest Annual Mean Location[d]	Location with Highest Annual Mean Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Control Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Number Non-Routine Results[e]
Terrestrial Pathway							
Crops - Cabbage (pCi/gwet)	I-131 5	0.011	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
Well Water (pCi/L)	H-3 20	156	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	GS 20						
	Mn-54	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Fe-59	30	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-58	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-60	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	30	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zr-Nb-95	15	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ba-La-140	15	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-144	38	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0

Table 5.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: Prairie Island Nuclear Power station — Docket No.: 50-282, 50-306

Location of Facility: Goodhue, Minnesota (County, state) — Reporting Period: January-December, 2018

Sample Type (Units)	Type and Number of Analyses[a]	LLD[b]	Indicator Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Location with Highest Annual Mean: Location[d]	Location with Highest Annual Mean: Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Control Locations Mean (F)c Range[e]	Number Non-Routine Results[e]
Waterborne Pathway							
Drinking Water (pCi/L)	GB 12	1.0	10.2 (12/12) (6.5-15.2)	P-11, Red Wing S.C. 3.3 mi @ 158° /SSE	10.2 (12/12) (6.5-15.2)	None	0
	I-131 12	1.0	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	H-3 4	156	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	GS 12			-	-		
	Mn-54	10	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Fe-59	30	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Co-58	10	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Co-60	10	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Zn-65	30	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Zr-Nb-95	15	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Cs-134	10	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Cs-137	10	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Ba-La-140	15	< LLD	-	-	None	0
	Ce-144	43	< LLD	-	-	None	0
River Water (pCi/L)	H-3 8	156	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	GS 24						
	Mn-54	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Fe-59	30	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-58	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-60	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	30	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zr-Nb-95	15	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	10	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ba-La-140	15	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-144	37	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
Fish (pCi/g wet)	GS 12						
	K-40	0.10	2.98 (6/6) (2.47-3.88)	P-13, Downstream 3.5 mi @ 113° /ESE	2.98 (6/6) (2.47-3.88)	2.78 (6/6) (2.62-3.20)	0
	Mn-54	0.025	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Fe-59	0.064	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-58	0.031	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-60	0.017	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	0.046	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zr-Nb-95	0.035	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	0.024	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	0.020	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ba-La-140	0.092	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0

Table 5.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility	Prairie Island Nuclear Power Station	Docket No.	50-282, 50-306
Location of Facility	Goodhue, Minnesota (County, State)	Reporting Period	January-December, 2018

Sample Type (Units)	Type and Number of Analyses[a]	LLD[b]	Indicator Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Location with Highest Annual Mean Location[d]	Location with Highest Annual Mean Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Control Locations Mean (F)[c] Range[c]	Number Non-Routine Results[e]
Waterborne Pathway							
Invertebrates (pCi/g wet)	GS 4						
	Be-7	0.45	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	K-40	0.37	1.32 (2/2) (1.20-1.44)	P-40 Upstream 0.4 mi. @ 0° /N	1.90 (2/2) (0.98-2.82)	1.90 (2/2) (0.98-2.82)	0
	Mn-54	0.038	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-58	0.032	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-60	0.033	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	0.065	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zr-Nb-95	0.069	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ru-103	0.057	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ru-106	0.25	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	0.033	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	0.036	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ba-La-140	0.18	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-141	0.092	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-144	0.18	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
Bottom and Shoreline Sediments (pCi/g dry)	GS 6						
	Be-7	0.12	0.33(2/4) (0.30-0.35)	P-20 Upstream 0.9 mi. @ 45° /NE	0.83(1/2) (0.83)	0.83(1/2) (0.83)	0
	K-40		7.85 (4/4) (6.92-9.72)	P-20 Upstream 0.9 mi. @ 45° /NE	8.68 (2/2) (7.46-9.90)	8.68 (2/2) (7.46-9.90)	0
	Mn-54	0.019	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-58	0.019	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Co-60	0.014	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zn-65	0.041	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Zr-Nb-95	0.024	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ru-103	0.021	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ru-106	0.12	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-134	0.017	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Cs-137	0.014	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ba-La-140	0.08	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-141	0.068	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0
	Ce-144	0.09	< LLD	-	-	< LLD	0

[a] GB = gross beta, GS = gamma scan.

[b] LLD = nominal lower limit of detection based on a 4.66 sigma counting error for background sample.

[c] Mean and range are based on detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (F).

[d] Locations are specified: (1) by name, and/or station code and (2) by distance (miles) and direction relative to reactor site.

[e] Non-routine results are those which exceed ten times the control station value. If no control station value is available, the result is considered non-routine if it exceeds ten times the typical preoperational value for the medium or location.

6.0 REFERENCES CITED

Arnold, J. R. and H. A. Al-Salih. 1955. Beryllium-7 Produced by Cosmic Rays. Science 121: 451-453.

Eisenbud, M. 1963. Environmental Radioactivity, McGraw-Hill, New York, New York, pp. 213, 275 and 276.

Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory.

_____2001a through 2017a. Radiation Environmental Monitoring for Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Complete Analysis Data Tables, January-December, 2000 through 2016.

_____2001b through 2017b. Radiation Environmental Monitoring for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Complete Analysis Data Tables, January - December, 2000 through 2016.

_____1984a to 2000a. (formerly Teledyne Brown Engineering Environmental Services, Midwest Laboratory) Radiation Environmental Monitoring for Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Complete Analysis Data Tables, January - December, 1983 through 1999.

_____1984b to 2000b. (formerly Teledyne Brown Engineering Environmental Services, Midwest Laboratory) Radiation Environmental Monitoring for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Complete Analysis Data Tables, January - December, 1983 through 1999.

_____1979a to 1983a. (formerly Hazleton Environmental Sciences Corporation) Radiation Environmental Monitoring for Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Complete Analysis Data Tables, January - December, 1978 through 1982.

_____1979b to 1983b. (formerly Hazleton Environmental Sciences Corporation) Radiation Environmental Monitoring for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Complete Analysis Data Tables, January - December, 1978 through 1982.

_____2018. Quality Manual, Rev. 5, 18 May 2018.

_____2012. Quality Assurance Program Manual, Rev. 3, 14 November 2012.

_____2009. Quality Control Procedures Manual, Rev. 2, 08 July 2009.

_____2009. Quality Control Program, Rev. 2, 12 November 2009.

Gold, S., H. W. Barkhau, B. Shlein, and B. Kahn, 1964. Measurement of Naturally Occurring Radionuclides in Air, in the Natural Environment, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 369-382.

Northern States Power Company.

_____1972 through 1974. Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Environmental Monitoring and Ecological Studies Program, January 1, 1971 to December 31, 1971, 1972, 1973. Minneapolis, Minnesota.

_____1979 to 2008. Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Annual Radiation Environmental Monitoring Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1 to December 31, 1978 through 2007. Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, 2013. Radiological Environmental Monitoring for Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Radiation Protection Implementing Procedures, 4700 series.

U.S. Dep't of Energy 1997 HASL-300, Edition 28, Procedures Manual, Environmental Measurements Laboratory, New York, NY.

6.0 REFERENCES CITED (continued)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency .

_____1980. Prescribed Procedures for Measurement of Radioactivity in Drinking Water, Cincinnati, Ohio (EPA-600/4-80-032).

_____1984. Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility, Radiochemistry Procedures Manual, Montgomery, Alabama (EPA-520/5-84-006).

_____2012. RadNet, formerly Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System, Gross Beta in Air, Gross Beta in Drinking Water (MN) 1981– 2009.

Wilson, D. W., G. M. Ward and J. E. Johnson. 1969. In Environmental Contamination by Radioactive Materials, International Atomic Energy Agency. p.125.

Xcel Energy Corporation.

_____2009 to 2017. Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant, Annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1 to December 31, 2008 through 2017. Minneapolis, Minnesota.

_____2009 to 2017. Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1 to December 31, 2008 through 2017. Minneapolis, Minnesota

ATI Environmental, Inc.
Midwest Laboratory
700 Landwehr Road • Northbrook, IL 60062-2310
phone (847) 564-0700 • fax (847) 564-4517

APPENDIX A

INTERLABORATORY AND INTRALABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM RESULTS

NOTE: Appendix A is updated four times a year. The complete appendix is included in March, June, September and December monthly progress reports only.

January, 2018 through December, 2018

Appendix A

Interlaboratory/ Intralaboratory Comparison Program Results

Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory has participated in interlaboratory comparison (crosscheck) programs since the formulation of it's quality control program in December 1971. These programs are operated by agencies which supply environmental type samples containing concentrations of radionuclides known to the issuing agency but not to participant laboratories. The purpose of such a program is to provide an independent check on a laboratory's analytical procedures and to alert it of any possible problems.

Participant laboratories measure the concentration of specified radionuclides and report them to the issuing agency. Several months later, the agency reports the known values to the participant laboratories and specifies control limits. Results consistently higher or lower than the known values or outside the control limits indicate a need to check the instruments or procedures used.

Table A-1 lists results that were obtained through participation in the RAD PT Study Proficiency Testing Program administered by Environmental Resource Associates, serving as a replacement for studies conducted previously by the U.S. EPA Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Table A-2 lists results for thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs), via irradiation and evaluation by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Radiation Calibration Laboratory at the University of Wisconsin Medical Radiation Research Center.

Table A-3 lists results of the analyses on in-house "spiked" samples for the past twelve months. All samples are prepared using NIST traceable sources. Acceptance criteria is detailed on Attachment A page A2. Data for previous years available upon request.

Table A-4 lists results of the analyses on in-house "blank" samples for the past twelve months. Data for previous years available upon request.

Table A-5 lists analytical results from the in-house "duplicate" program for the past twelve months. The Precision Acceptance limit is ±25% of the mean for Sr-89,90, Gross Alpha and Gross Beta or the 2-sigma uncertainty overlaps the mean value. For all other analytes the precision acceptance limit is ±20% of the mean or the 2-sigma uncertainty overlaps the mean value.
Complete analytical data for duplicate analyses is available upon request.

Table A-6 list results that were obtained through participation in the Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program.

Table A-7 lists results that were obtained through participation in the MRAD PT Study Proficiency Testing Progra administered by Environmental Resource Associates, serving as a replacement for studies conducted previously by the Environmental Measurement Laboratory Quality Assessment Program (EML).

Out-of-limit results are explained directly below the result.

Attachment A

ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA FOR "SPIKED" SAMPLES

Analysis	Ratio of lab result to known value.
Gamma Emitters	0.8 to 1.2
Strontium-89, Strontium-90	0.8 to 1.2
Potassium-40	0.8 to 1.2
Gross alpha	0.5 to 1.5
Gross beta	0.8 to 1.2
Tritium	0.8 to 1.2
Radium-226, Radium-228	0.7 to 1.3
Plutonium	0.8 to 1.2
Iodine-129, Iodine-131	0.8 to 1.2
Nickel-63, Technetium-99, Uranium-238	0.7 to 1.3
Iron-55	0.8 to 1.2
Other Analyses	0.8 to 1.2

TABLE A-1. Interlaboratory Comparison Crosscheck program, Environmental Resource Associates (ERA)[a].
RAD study

Lab Code	Date	Analysis	Concentration (pCi/L) Laboratory Result	ERA Result	Control Limits	Acceptance
ERW-52	1/8/2018	Sr-89	61.6 ± 5.8	65.2	52.9 - 73.2	Pass
ERW-52	1/8/2018	Sr-90	39.7 ± 2.3	39.2	28.2 - 45.1	Pass
ERW-54	1/8/2018	Ba-133	89.7 ± 4.7	95.1	80.2 - 105	Pass
ERW-54	1/8/2018	Cs-134	62.1 ± 5.4	65.6	53.4 - 72.2	Pass
ERW-54	1/8/2018	Cs-137	111.2 ± 6.1	112	101 - 126	Pass
ERW-54	1/8/2018	Co-60	115.8 ± 4.7	114.0	103.0 - 128.0	Pass
ERW-54	1/8/2018	Zn-65	292.2 ± 14.0	277.0	249 - 324	Pass
ERW-52	1/8/2018	Gr. Alpha	70.1 ± 3.0	72.4	38.1 - 89.2	Pass
ERW-52	1/8/2018	Gr. Beta	47.4 ± 1.4	54.8	37.5 - 61.7	Pass
ERW-58	1/8/2018	I-131	25.3 ± 1.0	28.1	23.4 - 33.0	Pass
ERW-61	1/8/2018	Ra-226	12.4 ± 0.4	14.20	10.60 - 16.30	Pass
ERW-60	1/8/2018	Ra-228	4.9 ± 0.8	4.21	2.43 - 5.81	Pass
ERW-60	1/8/2018	Uranium	52.2 ± 0.9	58.6	47.8 - 64.5	Pass
ERW-62	1/8/2018	H-3	21,780 ± 437	21,200	18,600 - 23,300	Pass
ERW-2555	7/9/2018	Sr-89	62.8 ± 4.0	62.7	50.7 - 70.6	Pass
ERW-2555	7/9/2018	Sr-90	40.1 ± 1.3	40.1	29.5 - 46.1	Pass
ERW-2557	7/9/2018	Ba-133	23.1 ± 2.3	25.6	19.9 - 29	Pass
ERW-2557	7/9/2018	Cs-134	15.2 ± 1.7	15.7	11.4 - 18.2	Pass
ERW-2557	7/9/2018	Cs-137	22.3 ± 4.9	192	173 - 213	Fail[b]
ERW-2557	7/9/2018	Co-60	110.4 ± 3.7	119.0	107 - 133	Pass
ERW-2557	7/9/2018	Zn-65	189.5 ± 7.5	177.0	159 - 208	Pass
ERW-2559	7/9/2018	Gr. Alpha	13.5 ± 0.7	16.0	7.79 - 22.6	Pass
ERW-2559	7/9/2018	Gr. Beta	41.1 ± 0.9	49.0	33.2 - 56.1	Pass
ERW-2561	7/9/2018	I-131	24.9 ± 0.9	28.1	23.4 - 33.0	Pass
ERW-2563	7/9/2018	Ra-226	9.0 ± 0.3	9.08	6.81 - 10.6	Pass
ERW-2563	7/9/2018	Ra-228	3.2 ± 0.4	2.28	1.07 - 3.60	Pass
ERW-2563	7/9/2018	Uranium	38.2 ± 1.4	51.8	42.2 - 57.1	Fail[c]
ERW-2565	7/9/2018	H-3	21,039 ± 302	20,400	17,900 - 22,400	Pass
ERW-3832[b]	10/7/2016	Ba-133	57.0 ± 3.1	54.9	45 - 61	Pass
ERW-3832[b]	10/7/2016	Cs-134	79.2 ± 3.0	81.8	67 - 90	Pass
ERW-3832[b]	10/7/2016	Cs-137	222.4 ± 4.5	210	189 - 233	Pass
ERW-3832[b]	10/7/2016	Co-60	67.7 ± 3.5	64.5	58 - 73	Pass
ERW-3832[b]	10/7/2016	Zn-65	274.1 ± 3.0	245	220 - 287	Pass

[a] Results obtained by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory as a participant in the crosscheck program for proficiency testing in drinking water conducted by Environmental Resource Associates (ERA).

[b] A transcription error caused the Cs-137 result submitted to be understated by a factor of 10.
The actual result obtained was slightly higher than the acceptance criteria for the study.
A "Quick Response" proficiency test was analyzed to help determine the cause of the high result. (See ERW-3832 above)
No definitive cause for the previous high Cs-137 result was determined.

[c] An investigation was conducted on the apparent cause of failure in the Uranium isotopic PT analysis. In order to get to the root cause of the failure a NIST U-natural 4321d-standard was purchased and a new U-232 diluted tracer was standardized.
The analysis was rerun and the results were found to be within the acceptance criteria. It appears that the original U-232 tracer used in the analysis may have been compromised (concentrated) resulting in a very high negative bias.

TABLE A-2. Thermoluminescent Dosimetry, (TLD, $CaSO_4$: Dy Cards).[a]

			mrem			
Lab Code	Irradiation Date	Description	Delivered Dose	Reported [b] Dose	Performance [c] Quotient (P)	
Environmental, Inc.		Group 1				
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 1	97.0	81.6	-0.16	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 2	97.0	88.5	-0.09	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 3	97.0	87.9	-0.09	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 4	97.0	85.6	-0.12	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 5	97.0	86.5	-0.11	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 6	97.0	89.0	-0.08	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 7	97.0	85.1	-0.12	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 8	97.0	90.6	-0.07	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 9	97.0	91.3	-0.06	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 10	97.0	84.5	-0.13	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 11	97.0	90.8	-0.06	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 12	97.0	93.8	-0.03	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 13	97.0	85.3	-0.12	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 14	97.0	85.5	-0.12	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 15	97.0	86.9	-0.10	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 16	97.0	88.6	-0.09	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 17	97.0	83.1	-0.14	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 18	97.0	85.4	-0.12	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 19	97.0	83.3	-0.14	
2018-1	11/15/2018	Spike 20	97.0	85.5	-0.12	
Mean (Spike 1-20)				86.9	-0.10	Pass[d]
Standard Deviation (Spike 1-20)				3.1	0.03	Pass[d]

a TLD's were irradiated by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Radiation Calibration Laboratory following ANSI N13.37 protocol from a known air kerma rate. TLD's were read and the results were submitted by Environmental Inc. to the University of Wisconsin-Madison Radiation Calibration Laboratory for comparison to the delivered dose.

b Reported dose was converted from exposure (R) to Air Kerma (cGy) using a conversion of 0.876. Conversion from air kerma to ambient dose equivalent for Cs-137 at the reference dose point $H^*(10)K_a = 1.20$. mrem/cGy = 1000.

c Performance Quotient (P) is calculated as ((reported dose - conventially true value) ÷ conventially true value) where the conventially true value is the delivered dose.

d Acceptance is achieved when neither the absolute value of mean of the P values, nor the standard deviation of the P values exceed 0.15.

TABLE A-2. Thermoluminescent Dosimetry, (TLD, $CaSO_4$: Dy Cards).[a]

			mrem			
Lab Code	Irradiation Date	Description	Delivered Dose	Reported [b] Dose	Performance [c] Quotient (P)	
Environmental, Inc.		Group 2				
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 21	143.0	130.3	-0.09	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 22	143.0	128.1	-0.10	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 23	143.0	134.4	-0.06	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 24	143.0	129.0	-0.10	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 25	143.0	132.5	-0.07	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 26	143.0	126.1	-0.12	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 27	143.0	126.2	-0.12	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 28	143.0	122.4	-0.14	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 29	143.0	118.8	-0.17	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 30	143.0	123.2	-0.14	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 31	143.0	137.2	-0.04	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 32	143.0	144.4	0.01	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 33	143.0	137.8	-0.04	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 34	143.0	140.2	-0.02	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 35	143.0	143.8	0.01	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 36	143.0	146.7	0.03	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 37	143.0	150.0	0.05	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 38	143.0	126.1	-0.12	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 39	143.0	136.2	-0.05	
2018-2	11/15/2018	Spike 40	143.0	144.8	0.01	
Mean (Spike 21-40)				133.9	-0.06	Pass[d]
Standard Deviation (Spike 21-40)				9.0	0.06	Pass[d]

a TLD's were irradiated by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Radiation Calibration Laboratory following ANSI N13.37 protocol from a known air kerma rate. TLD's were read and the results were submitted by Environmental Inc. to the University of Wisconsin-Madison Radiation Calibration Laboratory for comparison to the delivered dose.

b Reported dose was converted from exposure (R) to Air Kerma (cGy) using a conversion of 0.876. Conversion from air kerma to ambient dose equivalent for Cs-137 at the reference dose point $H^*(10)K_a = 1.20$. mrem/cGy = 1000.

c Performance Quotient (P) is calculated as ((reported dose - conventially true value) ÷ conventially true value) where the conventially true value is the delivered dose.

d Acceptance is achieved when neither the absolute value of mean of the P values, nor the standard deviation of the P values exceed 0.15.

TABLE A-3. In-House "Spiked" Samples

Lab Code [b]	Date	Concentration [a] Analysis	Laboratory results 2s, n=1 [c]	Known Activity	Control Limits [d]	Acceptance	Ratio Lab/Known
SPW-1749	4/21/2016	Fe-55	1,576 ± 81	1,482	1,186 - 1,778	Pass	1.06
SPW-95	1/11/2018	H-3	16,457 ± 381	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-109	1/12/2018	Sr-90	18.9 ± 1.7	17.9	14.3 - 21.5	Pass	1.06
SPW-175	1/19/2018	H-3	16,261 ± 382	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.99
SPW-210	1/23/2018	H-3	16,461 ± 382	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-212	1/10/2018	Ra-226	12.9 ± 0.4	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	1.05
SPW-272	1/30/2018	H-3	16,607 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.01
W-013118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.9 ± 7.4	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.94
W-013118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	80.0 ± 7.9	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.11
SPW-330	2/1/2018	Ni-63	168 ± 2	198	139 - 258	Pass	0.85
SPW-338	2/2/2018	H-3	16,512 ± 381	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-384	2/6/2018	H-3	16,429 ± 380	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
W-020618	4/29/2016	Cs-134	39.0 ± 12.0	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.08
W-020618	4/29/2016	Cs-137	81.0 ± 15.7	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.13
SPW-461	2/13/2018	H-3	16,799 ± 385	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.02
SPW-516	2/19/2018	H-3	16,323 ± 382	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.99
SPW-556	2/8/2018	Ra-226	12.2 ± 0.3	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	0.99
SPW-582	2/22/2018	H-3	16,200 ± 380	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.98
SPW-609	2/23/2018	H-3	16,467 ± 383	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-650	2/21/2018	Ra-226	11.8 ± 0.5	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	0.96
SPW-666	2/28/2018	Gr. Alpha	67.1 ± 2.8	72.4	36.2 - 108.6	Pass	0.93
SPW-666	2/28/2018	Gr. Beta	48.1 ± 1.4	54.8	43.8 - 65.8	Pass	0.88
W-022818	4/29/2016	Cs-134	32.7 ± 8.5	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.90
W-022818	4/29/2016	Cs-137	73.8 ± 9.3	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.03
SPW-748	3/6/2018	H-3	16,209 ± 381	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.98
SPW-787	3/8/2018	H-3	16,934 ± 388	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.03
W-030718	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.4 ± 7.9	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.92
W-030718	4/29/2016	Cs-137	78.9 ± 9.6	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.10
SPW-885	3/15/2018	H-3	16,475 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-931	3/20/2018	H-3	16,467 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-957	3/12/2018	Ra-226	11.4 ± 0.4	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	0.93
SPW-969	3/23/2018	Ni-63	260 ± 12	329	230 - 428	Pass	0.79
W-031418	4/29/2016	Cs-134	36.9 ± 11.2	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.02
W-031418	4/29/2016	Cs-137	82.3 ± 15.5	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.14
SPW-985	3/27/2018	H-3	16,544 ± 386	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-1037	4/4/2018	H-3	16,298 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.99
SPW-1149	4/12/2018	H-3	16,361 ± 383	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.99
SPW-1200	4/13/2018	U-238	44.2 ± 2.3	41.7	29.2 - 54.2	Pass	1.06
SPW-1426	4/20/2018	H-3	16,573 ± 390	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-1454	4/24/2018	H-3	16,495 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-1493	4/26/2018	Ra-228	4.59 ± 1.10	4.21	2.95 - 5.47	Pass	1.09
SPW-1518	4/27/2018	H-3	16,483 ± 382	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-1522	4/27/2018	Tc-99	105 ± 2	108	75 - 140	Pass	0.98
W-050118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	35.2 ± 9.9	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.97
W-050118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	82.4 ± 7.7	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.15

TABLE A-3. In-House "Spiked" Samples

Lab Code [b]	Date	Concentration [a] Analysis	Laboratory results 2s, n=1 [c]	Known Activity	Control Limits [d]	Acceptance	Ratio Lab/Known
SPW-1573	5/2/2018	Gr. Alpha	25.2 ± 0.5	20.1	10.1 - 30.2	Pass	1.25
SPW-1573	5/2/2018	Gr. Beta	28.2 ± 0.3	27.5	22.0 - 33.0	Pass	1.03
SPW-1618	5/3/2018	H-3	14,834 ± 366	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.90
W-050318	4/29/2016	Cs-134	32.9 ± 7.6	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.91
W-050318	4/29/2016	Cs-137	83.1 ± 8.5	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.16
SPW-1644	5/4/2018	Sr-90	20.0 ± 1.3	17.9	14.3 - 21.5	Pass	1.12
W-050718	4/29/2018	Cs-134	42.4 ± 8.5	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.17
W-050718	4/29/2018	Cs-137	80.6 ± 13.6	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.12
SPW-1695	5/8/2018	H-3	16,450 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
W-050818	4/29/2016	Cs-134	32.3 ± 6.9	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.89
W-050818	4/29/2016	Cs-137	73.0 ± 8.2	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.02
SPW-1780	5/11/2018	H-3	16,784 ± 388	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.02
W-051518	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.0 ± 6.7	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.91
W-051518	4/29/2016	Cs-137	76.0 ± 7.4	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.06
W-051718	4/29/2016	Cs-134	35.1 ± 5.7	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.97
W-051718	4/29/2016	Cs-137	73.7 ± 6.7	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.03
SPW-1897	5/18/2018	H-3	16,650 ± 387	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.01
SPW-1899	5/18/2018	H-3	16,754 ± 365	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.01
W-052418	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.9 ± 6.2	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.94
W-052418	4/29/2016	Cs-137	78.8 ± 7.4	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.10
SPW-1994	5/24/2018	H-3	16,488 ± 384	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
W-053118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	38.9 ± 9.5	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.07
W-053118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	74.0 ± 7.5	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.03
SPW-2042	5/31/2018	H-3	16,901 ± 390	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.02
W-060518	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.0 ± 10.1	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.91
W-060518	4/29/2016	Cs-137	83.3 ± 8.7	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.16
SPW-2186	6/6/2018	H-3	16,551 ± 385	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.00
SPW-2914	6/19/2018	Ra-226	12.7 ± 0.4	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	1.03
SPW-2437	6/27/2018	Sr-90	18.0 ± 1.1	17.9	14.3 - 21.5	Pass	1.00
SPW-2447	6/29/2018	H-3	16,595 ± 387	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	1.01
W-070518	4/29/2016	Cs-134	38.9 ± 8.1	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.08
W-070518	4/29/2016	Cs-137	73.4 ± 9.4	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.02
SPW-2546	7/10/2018	H-3	15,949 ± 373	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.97
W-071218	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.1 ± 7.7	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.91
W-071218	4/29/2016	Cs-137	74.5 ± 7.7	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.04
SPW-2706	7/16/2018	H-3	15,474.7 ± 366.6	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.94
SPW-2772	7/19/2018	H-3	15,994.0 ± 374.0	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.97
SPW-2811	7/20/2018	Gr. Alpha	21.1 ± 0.4	20.1	10.1 - 30.2	Pass	1.05
SPW-2811	7/20/2018	Gr. Beta	26.9 ± 0.3	27.5	22.0 - 33.0	Pass	0.98
W-072118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.6 ± 7.3	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.93
W-072118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	80.3 ± 7.9	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.12
SPW-3689	7/23/2018	Ra-226	12.7 ± 0.3	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	1.03
W-072718	2/1/2017	U-234	26.8 ± 3.4	31.4	22.0 - 40.8	Pass	0.85
W-072718	2/1/2017	U-238	24.1 ± 3.2	32.4	22.7 - 42.1	Pass	0.74
SPW-3018	7/31/2018	H-3	16,166 ± 376	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.98
SPW-3154	8/6/2018	H-3	15,686 ± 370	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
W-081218	4/29/2016	Cs-134	38.6 ± 11.5	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.07
W-081218	4/29/2016	Cs-137	83.7 ± 13.4	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.16

TABLE A-3. In-House "Spiked" Samples

Lab Code [b]	Date	Concentration [a] Analysis	Laboratory results 2s, n=1 [c]	Known Activity	Control Limits [d]	Acceptance	Ratio Lab/Known
SPW-3278	8/16/2018	H-3	15,587 ± 370	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.94
SPW-3378	8/23/2018	Ni-63	378 ± 44	465	325 - 604	Pass	0.81
SPW-3420	8/23/2018	H-3	15,536 ± 368	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.94
SPW-3691	8/23/2018	Ra-226	15.5 ± 0.4	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	1.26
SPW-3477	8/27/2018	Ra-228	11.3 ± 1.6	15.1	10.6 - 19.7	Pass	0.75
W-082818	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.0 ± 2.7	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.91
W-082818	4/29/2016	Cs-137	80.7 ± 3.0	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.12
SPW-3648	9/7/2018	H-3	15,876 ± 371	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.96
SPW-4755	9/7/2018	Ra-226	11.2 ± 0.3	12.3	8.6 - 16.0	Pass	0.91
W-091118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	35.3 ± 2.7	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.98
W-091118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	80.7 ± 3.2	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.12
SPW-3843	9/19/2018	H-3	15,759 ± 372	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
W-092818	4/29/2016	Cs-134	36.1 ± 10.0	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.00
W-092818	4/29/2016	Cs-137	73.6 ± 9.9	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.02
SPW-3991	10/1/2018	H-3	15,614 ± 369	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
SPW-4105	10/5/2018	H-3	15,669 ± 370	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
W-101118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	33.5 ± 3.1	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.92
W-101118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	79.7 ± 3.2	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.11
SPW-4205	10/12/2018	H-3	15,821 ± 372	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.96
SPW-4274	10/17/2018	H-3	15,575 ± 369	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.94
SPW-4596	10/31/2018	H-3	15,650 ± 369	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
SPW-4682	11/1/2018	H-3	15,742 ± 371	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
SPW-4684	11/1/2018	Sr-90	19.1 ± 1.2	17.9	14.3 - 21.5	Pass	1.07
SPW-4790	11/9/2018	H-3	15,887 ± 373	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.96
SPW-4839	11/13/2018	Ni-63	381 ± 43	465	326 - 605	Pass	0.82
SPW-4863	11/16/2018	H-3	15,610 ± 370	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
W-111618	4/29/2016	Cs-134	38.0 ± 12.4	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.05
W-111618	4/29/2016	Cs-137	83.8 ± 13.8	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.17
SPW-5049	11/30/2018	H-3	15,370 ± 366	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.93
SPW-5148	12/7/2018	H-3	15,522 ± 368	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.94
W-121118	4/29/2016	Cs-134	39.4 ± 7.9	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.09
W-121118	4/29/2016	Cs-137	78.5 ± 7.7	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.09
W-121218	4/29/2016	Cs-134	42.0 ± 13.8	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	1.16
W-121218	4/29/2016	Cs-137	79.2 ± 13.1	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.10
W-121318	4/29/2016	Cs-134	35.1 ± 7.8	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.97
W-121318	4/29/2016	Cs-137	77.5 ± 8.4	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.08
SPW-5279	12/14/2018	H-3	15,686 ± 370	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.95
W-121418	4/29/2016	Cs-134	34.5 ± 8.2	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.95
W-121418	4/29/2016	Cs-137	82.7 ± 8.0	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.15
W-121718	4/29/2016	Cs-134	34.9 ± 10.5	36.2	29.0 - 43.4	Pass	0.96
W-121718	4/29/2016	Cs-137	80.3 ± 8.1	71.9	57.5 - 86.3	Pass	1.12
SPW-5351	12/19/2018	H-3	15,855 ± 375	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.96
SPW-5404	12/31/2018	H-3	15,179 ± 365	16,507	13,206 - 19,808	Pass	0.92

[a] Liquid sample results are reported in pCi/Liter, air filters (pCi/m3), charcoal (pCi/charcoal canister), and solid samples (pCi/kg).

[b] Laboratory codes : W (Water), MI (milk), AP (air filter), SO (soil), VE (vegetation), CH (charcoal canister), F (fish), U (urine).

[c] Results are based on single determinations.

[d] Control limits are listed in Attachment A of this report.

NOTE: For fish, gelatin is used for the spike matrix. For vegetation, cabbage is used for the spike matrix.

TABLE A-4. In-House "Blank" Samples

Lab Code	Sample Type	Date	Analysis[b]	Concentration [a]		
				Laboratory results (4.66σ)		Acceptance
				LLD	Activity[c]	Criteria (4.66 σ)
SPW-94	Water	1/11/2018	H-3	154	1 ± 74	200
SPW-108	Water	1/12/2018	Sr-89	0.63	0.41 ± 0.53	5
SPW-108	Water	1/12/2018	Sr-90	0.55	0.05 ± 0.26	1
SPW-174	Water	1/19/2018	H-3	152	23 ± 73	200
SPW-209	Water	1/23/2018	H-3	154	78 ± 78	200
SPW-211	Water	1/10/2018	Ra-226	0.03	0.19 ± 0.03	2
SPW-213	Water	1/23/2018	I-131	0.23	-0.05 ± 0.13	1
SPW-271	Water	1/30/2018	H-3	156	- 36 ± 77	200
SPW-329	Water	2/1/2018	Ni-63	74	-13 ± 45	200
SPW-337	Water	2/2/2018	H-3	154	-16 ± 71	200
SPW-385	Water	2/6/2018	H-3	150	-19 ± 71	200
SPW-461	Water	2/13/2018	H-3	156	56 ± 80	200
SPW-515	Water	2/19/2018	H-3	153	-1 ± 80	200
SPW-555	Water	2/8/2018	Ra-226	0.04	0.14 ± 0.03	2
SPW-581	Water	2/22/2018	H-3	156	43 ± 77	200
SPW-608	Water	2/23/2018	H-3	151	58 ± 75	200
SPW-649	Water	2/21/2018	Ra-226	0.04	0.17 ± 0.03	2
SPW-665	Water	2/28/2018	Gr. Alpha	0.43	0.70 ± 0.36	2
SPW-665	Water	2/28/2018	Gr. Beta	0.68	0.86 ± 0.51	4
SPW-747	Water	3/6/2018	H-3	154	11 ± 82	200
SPW-786	Water	3/8/2018	H-3	156	62 ± 76	200
SPW-865	Water	3/14/2018	I-131	0.18	0.07 ± 0.10	1
SPW-930	Water	3/20/2018	H-3	155	44 ± 84	200
SPW-956	Water	3/12/2018	Ra-226	0.03	0.18 ± 0.03	2
SPW-984	Water	3/27/2018	H-3	153	32 ± 82	200
SPW-1036	Water	4/4/2018	H-3	162	14 ± 77	200
SPW-1148	Water	4/12/2018	H-3	159	-15 ± 73	200
SPW-1202	Water	4/13/2018	U-234	0.15	0.00 ± 0.09	1
SPW-1202	Water	4/13/2018	U-238	0.15	0.06 ± 0.13	1
SPW-1425	Water	4/20/2018	H-3	159	45 ± 98	200
SPW-1453	Water	4/24/2018	H-3	155	43 ± 77	200
SPW-1492	Water	4/26/2018	Ra-228	0.68	0.25 ± 0.35	2
SPW-1517	Water	4/27/2018	H-3	150	54 ± 75	200
SPW-1521	Water	4/27/2018	Tc-99	5.38	2.64 ± 3.31	10
SPW-1572	Water	5/2/2018	Gr. Alpha	0.41	-0.23 ± 0.26	2
SPW-1572	Water	5/2/2018	Gr. Beta	0.69	-0.28 ± 0.47	4
SPW-1617	Water	5/3/2018	H-3	155	-113 ± 68	200
SPW-1643	Water	5/4/2018	Sr-89	0.66	0.36 ± 0.50	5
SPW-1643	Water	5/4/2018	Sr-90	0.57	-0.07 ± 0.25	1

[a] Liquid sample results are reported in pCi/Liter, air filters (pCi/m^3), charcoal (pCi/charcoal canister), and solid samples (pCi/g).

[b] I-131(G); iodine-131 as analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

[c] Activity reported is a net activity result.

TABLE A-4. In-House "Blank" Samples

Lab Code	Sample Type	Date	Analysis[b]	Concentration [a]		
				Laboratory results (4.66σ)		Acceptance
				LLD	Activity[c]	Criteria (4.66 σ)
SPW-1694	Water	5/8/2018	H-3	157	86 ± 80	200
SPW-1779	Water	5/11/2018	H-3	156	11 ± 74	200
SPW-1895	Water	5/17/2018	I-131	0.12	0.00 ± 0.08	1
SPW-1896	Water	5/18/2018	H-3	155	46 ± 75	200
SPW-1898	Water	5/18/2018	H-3	186	2 ± 92	200
SPW-1993	Water	5/24/2018	H-3	158	103 ± 79	200
SPW-2041	Water	5/31/2018	H-3	156	115 ± 81	200
SPW-2185	Water	6/6/2018	H-3	150	29 ± 74	200
SPW-2383	Water	6/6/2018	Ra-226	0.03	0.20 ± 0.02	2
SPW-2264	Water	6/11/2018	Gr. Alpha	0.39	-0.02 ± 0.27	2
SPW-2264	Water	6/11/2018	Gr. Beta	0.73	-0.35 ± 0.50	4
SPW-2913	Water	6/19/2018	Ra-226	0.02	0.18 ± 0.02	2
SPW-2436	Water	6/27/2018	Sr-89	0.66	0.00 ± 0.46	5
SPW-2436	Water	6/27/2018	Sr-90	0.61	-0.10 ± 0.27	1
SPW-2447	Water	6/29/2018	H-3	160	-6 ± 79	200
SPW-2545	Water	7/10/2018	H-3	154	20 ± 74	200
SPW-2705	Water	7/16/2018	H-3	153	15 ± 73	200
SPW-2771	Water	7/19/2018	H-3	156	-27 ± 71	200
SPW-2810	Water	7/20/2018	Gr. Alpha	0.42	-0.09 ± 0.29	2
SPW-2810	Water	7/20/2018	Gr. Beta	0.70	0.31 ± 0.50	4
SPW-3688	Water	7/23/2018	Ra-226	0.02	0.21 ± 0.02	2
SPW-3017	Water	7/31/2018	H-3	157	-5 ± 74	200
SPW-3153	Water	8/6/2018	H-3	152	13 ± 72	200
SPW-3377	Water	8/23/2018	Ni-63	66	18 ± 40	200
SPW-3446	Water	8/27/2018	H-3	151	-15 ± 69	200
SPW-3476	Water	8/27/2018	Ra-228	0.77	0.05 ± 0.36	2
SPW-3648	Water	9/7/2018	H-3	148	89 ± 75	200
SPW-4754	Water	9/7/2018	Ra-226	0.03	0.13 ± 0.08	2
SPW-3842	Water	9/19/2018	H-3	156	29 ± 74	200
SPW-3990	Water	10/1/2018	H-3	153	-6 ± 71	200
SPW-4105	Water	10/5/2018	H-3	150	7 ± 71	200
SPW-4565	Water	10/11/2018	Ra-228	0.86	-0.26 ± 0.36	2
SPW-4205	Water	10/12/2018	H-3	154	-9 ± 71	200
SPW-4273	Water	10/17/2018	H-3	153	67 ± 76	200
SPW-4595	Water	10/30/2018	H-3	150	75 ± 74	200
SPW-4681	Water	11/1/2018	H-3	152	19 ± 72	200
SPW-4789	Water	11/9/2018	H-3	148	27 ± 73	200
SPW-4862	Water	11/16/2018	H-3	154	15 ± 77	200
SPW-5048	Water	11/30/2018	H-3	151	-6 ± 69	200

[a] Liquid sample results are reported in pCi/Liter, air filters (pCi/m^3), charcoal (pCi/charcoal canister), and solid samples (pCi/g).

[b] I-131(G); iodine-131 as analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

[c] Activity reported is a net activity result.

TABLE A-4. In-House "Blank" Samples

Lab Code	Sample Type	Date	Analysis[b]	Concentration [a]		
				Laboratory results (4.66σ)		Acceptance
				LLD	Activity[c]	Criteria (4.66 σ)
SPW-4681	Water	11/1/2018	H-3	152	19 ± 72	200
SPW-4683	Water	11/1/2018	Sr-89	0.64	0.25 ± 0.45	5
SPW-4683	Water	11/1/2018	Sr-90	0.51	-0.10 ± 0.22	1
SPW-4799	Water	11/9/2018	I-131	0.43	-0.01 ± 0.20	1
SPW-4838	Water	11/13/2018	Ni-63	62	34 ± 38	200
SPW-5028	Water	11/19/2018	Ra-226	0.04	-0.14 ± 0.03	2
SPW-5028	Water	11/19/2018	Ra-228	0.96	-0.11 ± 0.43	2
SPW-5147	Water	12/7/2018	H-3	151	14 ± 71	200
SPW-5278	Water	12/14/2018	H-3	153	83 ± 76	200
SPW-5350	Water	12/19/2018	H-3	153	71 ± 75	200
SPW-5403	Water	12/31/2018	H-3	156	51 ± 75	200

[a] Liquid sample results are reported in pCi/Liter, air filters (pCi/m^3), charcoal (pCi/charcoal canister), and solid samples (pCi/g).

[b] I-131(G); iodine-131 as analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

[c] Activity reported is a net activity result.

TABLE A-5. In-House "Duplicate" Samples

			Concentration [a]			
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	First Result	Second Result	Averaged Result	Acceptance
AP-010218	1/2/2018	Gr. Beta	0.048 ± 0.004	0.057 ± 0.004	0.052 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-010218	1/2/2018	Be-7	0.073 ± 0.008	0.073 ± 0.007	0.073 ± 0.005	Pass
AP-010318	1/3/2018	Gr. Beta	0.039 ± 0.005	0.034 ± 0.005	0.037 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-6846,6847	1/3/2018	Be-7	0.058 ± 0.010	0.062 ± 0.010	0.060 ± 0.007	Pass
AP-010318	1/3/2018	Be-7	0.059 ± 0.009	0.059 ± 0.007	0.059 ± 0.006	Pass
AP-010818	1/8/2018	Gr. Beta	0.053 ± 0.007	0.055 ± 0.007	0.054 ± 0.005	Pass
WW-164,165	1/11/2018	Gr. Beta	21.9 ± 2.2	20.4 ± 2.1	21.1 ± 1.5	Pass
WW-189,190	1/11/2018	H-3	501 ± 100	498 ± 100	499 ± 71	Pass
AP-011518	1/15/2018	Gr. Beta	0.032 ± 0.005	0.033 ± 0.005	0.032 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-012318	1/23/2018	Gr. Beta	0.031 ± 0.005	0.032 ± 0.005	0.031 ± 0.003	Pass
LW-280,281	1/25/2018	Gr. Beta	1.10 ± 0.52	1.19 ± 0.55	1.15 ± 0.38	Pass
AP-013018	1/30/2018	Gr. Beta	0.024 ± 0.005	0.023 ± 0.005	0.024 ± 0.003	Pass
SG-301,302	1/30/2018	Ac-228	3.01 ± 0.49	3.11 ± 0.71	3.06 ± 0.43	Pass
SG-301,302	1/30/2018	Pb-214	2.47 ± 0.31	2.22 ± 0.35	2.34 ± 0.23	Pass
SG-301,302	1/30/2018	K-40	7.44 ± 1.93	6.52 ± 2.25	6.98 ± 1.48	Pass
SWU-322,323	1/30/2018	Gr. Beta	1.48 ± 1.10	3.06 ± 1.31	2.27 ± 0.85	Pass
P-391,392	2/2/2018	H-3	428 ± 94	332 ± 89	380 ± 65	Pass
S-433,434	2/7/2018	Pb-214	0.16 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.05	0.15 ± 0.03	Pass
S-433,434	2/7/2018	Ac-228	0.24 ± 0.06	0.26 ± 0.07	0.25 ± 0.05	Pass
S-433,434	2/7/2018	K-40	6.45 ± 0.58	6.50 ± 0.59	6.48 ± 0.41	Pass
AP-454,455	2/8/2018	Be-7	0.233 ± 0.102	0.271 ± 0.111	0.252 ± 0.075	Pass
AP-021218	2/12/2018	Gr. Beta	0.037 ± 0.005	0.035 ± 0.005	0.036 ± 0.004	Pass
CF-477,478	2/12/2018	Be-7	0.31 ± 0.17	0.21 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.09	Pass
AP-021918	2/19/2018	Gr. Beta	0.036 ± 0.005	0.033 ± 0.008	0.035 ± 0.005	Pass
AP-022118	2/21/2018	Gr. Beta	0.030 ± 0.003	0.025 ± 0.003	0.028 ± 0.002	Pass
SWU-704,705	2/27/2018	Gr. Beta	2.50 ± 0.65	1.72 ± 0.58	2.11 ± 0.44	Pass
W-849,850	2/28/2018	H-3	567 ± 105	730 ± 112	649 ± 77	Pass
AP-030518	3/5/2018	Gr. Beta	0.024 ± 0.005	0.025 ± 0.005	0.024 ± 0.004	Pass
DW-90026,90027	3/7/2018	Gr. Alpha	55.4 ± 2.5	60.3 ± 2.6	57.8 ± 1.8	Pass
DW-90026,90027	3/7/2018	Gr. Beta	28.0 ± 1.2	27.4 ± 1.2	27.7 ± 0.8	Pass
S-800,801	3/8/2018	Ra-226	1.06 ± 0.15	1.17 ± 0.17	1.12 ± 0.11	Pass
S-800,801	3/8/2018	Ra-228	1.08 ± 0.19	1.05 ± 0.20	1.07 ± 0.14	Pass
S-800,801	3/8/2018	K-40	15.5 ± 1.3	15.7 ± 1.4	15.6 ± 0.9	Pass
SG-863,864	3/8/2018	Ra-226	5.56 ± 0.28	5.92 ± 0.27	5.74 ± 0.19	Pass
SG-863,864	3/8/2018	Ra-228	7.77 ± 0.44	8.19 ± 0.53	7.98 ± 0.34	Pass
SG-863,864	3/8/2018	K-40	10.75 ± 1.29	12.28 ± 1.39	11.52 ± 0.95	Pass
WW-842,843	3/9/2018	H-3	415 ± 99	423 ± 99	419 ± 70	Pass
AP-030918	3/9/2018	Gr. Beta	0.027 ± 0.004	0.021 ± 0.004	0.024 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-031318	3/13/2018	Gr. Beta	0.030 ± 0.004	0.031 ± 0.004	0.031 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-031318	3/13/2018	Gr. Beta	0.026 ± 0.005	0.024 ± 0.005	0.025 ± 0.003	Pass
WW-934,935	3/13/2018	H-3	266 ± 95	294 ± 96	280 ± 68	Pass
S-972,973	3/20/2018	K-40	23.1 ± 3.3	19.8 ± 2.5	21.4 ± 2.1	Pass

TABLE A-5. In-House "Duplicate" Samples

			Concentration [a]			
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	First Result	Second Result	Averaged Result	Acceptance
AP-032018	3/20/2018	Gr. Beta	0.021 ± 0.005	0.023 ± 0.005	0.022 ± 0.004	Pass
WW-1016,1017	3/22/2018	H-3	716 ± 110	790 ± 113	753 ± 79	Pass
SW-995,996	3/26/2018	H-3	14,538 ± 364	14,647 ± 365	14,593 ± 258	Pass
WW-1900,1901	3/30/2018	H-3	863 ± 123	865 ± 123	864 ± 87	Pass
AP-1299,1300	4/3/2018	Be-7	0.075 ± 0.017	0.073 ± 0.014	0.074 ± 0.011	Pass
SG-1470,1471	4/3/2018	Pb-214	1.45 ± 0.14	1.39 ± 0.12	1.42 ± 0.09	Pass
SG-1470,1471	4/3/2018	Ac-228	2.39 ± 0.31	2.55 ± 0.31	2.47 ± 0.22	Pass
WW-1123,1124	4/5/2018	H-3	11,266 ± 319	11,175 ± 320	11,220 ± 226	Pass
DW-90035,90036	4/6/2018	Ra-226	1.04 ± 0.13	0.88 ± 0.14	0.96 ± 0.10	Pass
DW-90035,90036	4/6/2018	Ra-228	0.84 ± 0.13	1.08 ± 0.42	0.96 ± 0.22	Pass
AP-041018	4/10/2018	Gr. Beta	0.023 ± 0.004	0.019 ± 0.004	0.021 ± 0.003	Pass
SS-1611,1612	4/18/2018	K-40	10.01 ± 0.54	8.93 ± 0.56	9.47 ± 0.39	Pass
SW-1427,1428	4/18/2018	H-3	180 ± 84	114 ± 81	147 ± 58	Pass
WW-1494,1495	4/20/2018	H-3	326 ± 84	270 ± 89	298 ± 61	Pass
AP-042518	4/25/2018	Gr. Beta	0.028 ± 0.004	0.023 ± 0.004	0.026 ± 0.003	Pass
SO-1634,1635	4/25/2018	K-40	5.72 ± 0.51	6.36 ± 0.56	6.04 ± 0.38	Pass
BS-1546,1547	4/26/2018	K-40	8.35 ± 0.53	8.54 ± 0.57	8.44 ± 0.39	Pass
AP-042618	4/26/2018	Gr. Beta	0.023 ± 0.004	0.021 ± 0.004	0.022 ± 0.003	Pass
DW-90043,90044	4/27/2018	Gr. Alpha	11.9 ± 1.1	11.3 ± 1.1	11.6 ± 0.8	Pass
AP-050118	5/1/2018	Gr. Beta	0.020 ± 0.006	0.022 ± 0.006	0.021 ± 0.004	Pass
AP-050218	5/2/2018	Gr. Beta	0.020 ± 0.002	0.019 ± 0.002	0.020 ± 0.002	Pass
F-2333,2334	5/2/2018	Cs-137	2.53 ± 0.34	2.51 ± 0.32	2.52 ± 0.24	Pass
DW-90048,90049	5/2/2018	Ra-226	0.18 ± 0.11	0.14 ± 0.08	0.16 ± 0.07	Pass
DW-90048,90049	5/2/2018	Ra-228	0.86 ± 0.60	0.78 ± 0.60	0.82 ± 0.42	Pass
WW-1833,1834	5/8/2018	H-3	182 ± 83	304 ± 98	243 ± 64	Pass
SG-1747,1748	5/8/2018	Pb-214	13.0 ± 0.6	13.0 ± 0.6	13.0 ± 0.4	Pass
SG-1747,1748	5/8/2018	Ac-228	21.0 ± 1.2	21.1 ± 1.4	21.0 ± 0.9	Pass
AP-050818	5/8/2018	Gr. Beta	0.027 ± 0.005	0.025 ± 0.004	0.026 ± 0.003	Pass
F-1812,1813	5/9/2018	K-40	4.30 ± 0.47	3.40 ± 0.47	3.85 ± 0.33	Pass
SG-1767,1768	5/9/2018	Pb-214	0.96 ± 0.24	0.72 ± 0.24	0.84 ± 0.17	Pass
SG-1767,1768	5/9/2018	Ac-228	1.28 ± 0.34	1.15 ± 0.37	1.22 ± 0.25	Pass
AP-051418	5/14/2018	Gr. Beta	0.038 ± 0.006	0.033 ± 0.005	0.036 ± 0.004	Pass
DW-90061,90062	5/17/2018	Ra-226	1.53 ± 0.13	1.78 ± 0.15	1.66 ± 0.10	Pass
DW-90061,90062	5/17/2018	Ra-228	0.82 ± 0.45	0.87 ± 0.44	0.85 ± 0.31	Pass
F-2201,2202	5/18/2018	K-40	2.73 ± 0.40	2.68 ± 0.45	2.71 ± 0.30	Pass
AP-051818	5/18/2018	Gr. Beta	0.020 ± 0.004	0.026 ± 0.004	0.023 ± 0.003	Pass
WW-2050,2051	5/22/2018	H-3	28,404 ± 502	28,666 ± 504	28,535 ± 356	Pass
AP-052218	5/22/2018	Gr. Beta	0.024 ± 0.004	0.021 ± 0.004	0.023 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-052918	5/29/2018	Gr. Beta	0.028 ± 0.004	0.024 ± 0.004	0.026 ± 0.003	Pass
AP-052918	5/29/2018	Gr. Beta	0.023 ± 0.005	0.025 ± 0.005	0.024 ± 0.003	Pass

TABLE A-5. In-House "Duplicate" Samples

			Concentration [a]			
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	First Result	Second Result	Averaged Result	Acceptance
G-2133,2134	6/4/2018	Be-7	0.55 ± 0.64	0.32 ± 0.16	0.43 ± 0.33	Pass
G-2133,2134	6/4/2018	K-40	7.12 ± 0.64	6.53 ± 0.58	6.82 ± 0.43	Pass
WW-2270,2271	6/8/2018	H-3	90 ± 84	71 ± 83	80 ± 59	Pass
VE-2312,2313	6/11/2018	K-40	6.06 ± 0.17	5.50 ± 0.46	5.78 ± 0.24	Pass
AP-2375,2376	6/14/2018	Be-7	0.310 ± 0.134	0.240 ± 0.100	0.275 ± 0.084	Pass
AP-2893,2894	6/27/2018	Be-7	0.111 ± 0.016	0.111 ± 0.016	0.111 ± 0.011	Pass
SG-24511,2512	7/2/2018	Gr. Alpha	19.60 ± 3.08	19.55 ± 3.06	19.58 ± 2.17	Pass
SG-2469,2470	7/2/2018	Pb-214	9.16 ± 0.48	9.46 ± 0.37	9.31 ± 0.30	Pass
SG-2469,2470	7/2/2018	Ac-228	9.94 ± 0.87	10.00 ± 0.64	9.97 ± 0.54	Pass
SG-2511,2512	7/2/2018	Pb-214	4.46 ± 0.31	4.57 ± 0.34	4.52 ± 0.23	Pass
SG-2511,2512	7/2/2018	Ac-228	6.15 ± 0.57	5.83 ± 0.66	5.99 ± 0.44	Pass
VE-2610,2611	7/9/2018	K-40	6.52 ± 0.75	5.92 ± 0.75	6.22 ± 0.53	Pass
F-2851,2852	7/11/2018	K-40	2.93 ± 0.38	2.83 ± 0.32	2.88 ± 0.25	Pass
AP-071218	7/12/2018	Gr. Beta	0.021 ± 0.003	0.024 ± 0.004	0.023 ± 0.002	Pass
AP-2721,2722	7/12/2018	Be-7	0.204 ± 0.100	0.275 ± 0.127	0.240 ± 0.081	Pass
WW-2742,2743	7/12/2018	H-3	253 ± 86	278 ± 97	265 ± 65	Pass
DW-90123,90124	7/24/2018	Ra-226	0.97 ± 0.18	1.06 ± 0.12	1.02 ± 0.11	Pass
DW-90123,90124	7/24/2018	Ra-228	3.61 ± 0.74	4.05 ± 0.80	3.83 ± 0.54	Pass
G-3000,3001	7/24/2018	Be-7	3.29 ± 0.25	3.24 ± 0.26	3.26 ± 0.18	Pass
G-3000,3001	7/24/2018	K-40	4.98 ± 0.40	5.06 ± 0.41	5.02 ± 0.29	Pass
S-2916,2917	7/24/2018	Pb-214	1.00 ± 0.51	0.94 ± 0.53	0.97 ± 0.37	Pass
S-2916,2917	7/24/2018	Ac-228	0.98 ± 0.11	0.98 ± 0.09	0.98 ± 0.07	Pass
AP-073018	7/30/2018	Gr. Beta	0.029 ± 0.004	0.022 ± 0.004	0.026 ± 0.003	Pass
DW-90133,90134	8/7/2018	Ra-228	2.34 ± 0.68	3.28 ± 0.73	2.81 ± 0.50	Pass
DW-90138,90139	8/10/2018	Gr. Alpha	4.02 ± 0.68	3.87 ± 0.66	3.95 ± 0.51	Pass
VE-3281,3282	8/14/2018	K-40	11.40 ± 0.831	11.39 ± 0.524	11.39 ± 0.491	Pass
VE-3323,3324	8/14/2018	K-40	3.41 ± 0.227	3.67 ± 0.262	3.54 ± 0.173	Pass
VE-3323,3324	8/14/2018	Be-7	0.25 ± 0.069	0.33 ± 0.092	0.29 ± 0.058	Pass
AP-081518	8/15/2018	Gr. Beta	0.022 ± 0.003	0.028 ± 0.003	0.025 ± 0.002	Pass
PM-3365,3366	8/16/2018	K-40	14.77 ± 0.76	14.19 ± 0.69	14.48 ± 0.51	Pass
S-3478,3479	8/27/2018	Pb-214	0.70 ± 0.05	0.70 ± 0.05	0.70 ± 0.04	Pass
S-3478,3479	8/27/2018	Ac-228	0.84 ± 0.11	0.89 ± 0.08	0.87 ± 0.07	Pass
SWT-3501,3502	8/27/2018	Gr. Beta	0.64 ± 0.48	1.42 ± 0.56	1.03 ± 0.37	Pass
VE-3522,3523	8/28/2018	K-40	2.51 ± 0.20	2.63 ± 0.20	2.57 ± 0.14	Pass
WW-3745,3746	8/31/2018	H-3	1035 ± 119	1056 ± 99	1045 ± 77	Pass
S-3542,3543	8/30/2018	K-40	6.10 ± 0.72	5.69 ± 0.63	5.90 ± 0.48	Pass
W-3703,3704	9/11/2018	Gr. Alpha	0.71 ± 0.80	1.03 ± 0.81	0.87 ± 0.57	Pass
W-3703,3704	9/11/2018	Gr. Beta	1.67 ± 1.08	0.53 ± 1.00	1.10 ± 0.74	Pass
SG-3796,3797	9/14/2018	Gr. Alpha	42.3 ± 3.6	50.9 ± 3.8	46.6 ± 2.6	Pass

TABLE A-5. In-House "Duplicate" Samples

			Concentration[a]			
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	First Result	Second Result	Averaged Result	Acceptance
SG-3796,3797	9/14/2018	Gr. Beta	43.9 ± 1.9	44.1 ± 1.8	44.0 ± 1.3	Pass
SG-3796,3797	9/14/2018	Pb-214	10.4 ± 0.6	14.2 ± 0.5	12.3 ± 0.4	Pass
SG-3796,3797	9/14/2018	Ac-228	15.8 ± 1.2	15.7 ± 1.2	15.8 ± 0.8	Pass
DW-90173,90174	10/24/2018	Ra-226	1.13 ± 0.15	1.38 ± 0.17	1.26 ± 0.11	Pass
DW-90173,90174	10/24/2018	Ra-228	5.09 ± 0.84	6.59 ± 0.89	5.84 ± 0.61	Pass
SW-4782,4783	11/7/2018	H-3	192 ± 82	238 ± 84	215 ± 59	Pass
WW-4959,4960	11/13/2018	H-3	330 ± 88	286 ± 86	308 ± 61	Pass
SG-4850,4851	11/14/2018	Pb-214	15.0 ± 0.4	14.7 ± 0.4	14.9 ± 0.3	Pass
SG-4850,4851	11/14/2018	Ac-228	17.5 ± 0.7	16.7 ± 0.6	17.1 ± 0.5	Pass
VE-4917,4918	11/20/2018	K-40	4.54 ± 0.45	4.05 ± 0.46	4.30 ± 0.32	Pass
VE-4917,4918	11/20/2018	Be-7	9.42 ± 0.45	9.42 ± 0.46	9.42 ± 0.32	Pass
SG-5046,5047	11/21/2018	K-40	8.65 ± 1.18	9.12 ± 1.02	8.88 ± 0.78	Pass
SG-5046,5047	11/21/2018	Cs-137	0.18 ± 0.06	0.10 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.04	Pass
SG-5046,5047	11/21/2018	Gr. Alpha	22.8 ± 5.6	17.5 ± 4.8	20.2 ± 3.7	Pass
SG-5046,5047	11/21/2018	Gr. Beta	31.8 ± 3.5	26.8 ± 3.1	29.3 ± 2.4	Pass
SG-6286,6287	12/1/2018	Pb-214	11.3 ± 0.4	10.7 ± 0.5	11.0 ± 0.3	Pass
SG-6286,6287	12/1/2018	Ac-228	13.5 ± 0.9	13.2 ± 1.0	13.4 ± 0.7	Pass
SWU-5132,5133	12/4/2018	H-3	159 ± 82	204 ± 80	181 ± 57	Pass
SWU-5132,5133	12/4/2018	Gr. Beta	1.32 ± 0.56	1.33 ± 0.57	1.32 ± 0.40	Pass
XAP-5499,5500	1/2/2019	Fe-55	941 ± 220	1027 ± 226	984 ± 158	Pass
XAP-5499,5500	1/2/2019	Sr-89	20.2 ± 7.3	14.9 ± 5.7	17.5 ± 4.7	Pass
XAP-5499,5500	1/2/2019	Ni-63	12.1 ± 8.5	15.6 ± 8.5	13.8 ± 6.0	Pass

Note: Duplicate analyses are performed on every twentieth sample received in-house. Results are not listed for those analyses with activities that measure below the LLD.

[a] Results are reported in units of pCi/L, except for air filters (pCi/Filter or pCi/m3), food products, vegetation, soil and sediment (pCi/g).

TABLE A-6. Department of Energy's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP).

			Concentration [a]			
Lab Code [b]	Reference Date	Analysis	Laboratory result	Known Activity	Control Limits [c]	Acceptance
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Am-241	1.57 ± 4.46	0	NA [c]	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Cs-137	4.69 ± 2.59	4.6	NA [d]	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Co-57	886 ± 7	826	578 - 1074	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Co-60	579 ± 7	560	392 - 728	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Mn-54	1135 ± 15	1010	707 - 1313	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	K-40	653 ± 47	577	404 - 750	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Zn-65	1096 ± 19	960	672 - 1248	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Pu-238	54.4 ± 5.6	45.2	31.6 - 58.8	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Pu-239/240	58.9 ± 5.6	50.8	35.6 - 66.0	Pass
MASO-765	2/1/2018	Sr-90	1.07 ± 1.15	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Am-241	0.070 ± 0.021	0.067	0.047 - 0.087	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Cs-134	0.55 ± 0.04	0.675	0.473 - 0.878	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Cs-137	0.01 ± 0.01	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Co-57	1.06 ± 0.04	1.18	0.83 - 1.53	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Co-60	0.01 ± 0.01	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Mn-54	1.01 ± 0.05	1.03	0.72 - 1.34	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Zn-65	1.37 ± 0.11	1.33	0.93 - 1.73	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Pu-238	0.042 ± 0.017	0.0445	0.0312 - 0.0579	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Pu-239/240	-0.001 ± 0.006	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	Sr-90	1.12 ± 0.13	1.01	0.71 - 1.31	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	U-234/233	0.117 ± 0.023	0.124	0.087 - 0.161	Pass
MAAP-769	2/1/2018	U-238	0.126 ± 0.023	0.128	0.090 - 0.166	Pass
MAVE-767	2/1/2018	Cs-134	3.03 ± 0.10	3.23	2.26 - 4.20	Pass
MAVE-767	2/1/2018	Cs-137	3.86 ± 0.05	3.67	2.57 - 4.77	Pass
MAVE-767	2/1/2018	Co-57	4.86 ± 0.09	4.42	3.09 - 5.75	Pass
MAVE-767	2/1/2018	Co-60	2.24 ± 0.06	2.29	1.60 - 2.98	Pass
MAVE-767	2/1/2018	Mn-54	2.75 ± 0.08	2.66	1.86 - 3.46	Pass
MAVE-767	2/1/2018	Zn-65	0.02 ± 0.05	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAW-656	2/1/2018	I-129	1.66 ± 0.07	1.93	1.35 - 2.51	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Am-241	0.581 ± 0.050	0.709	0.496 - 0.922	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Cs-134	9.35 ± 0.38	10.2	7.1 - 13.3	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Cs-137	13.0 ± 0.2	12.2	8.5 - 15.9	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Co-57	0.003 ± 0.039	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Co-60	11.73 ± 0.19	11.5	8.1 - 15.0	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Mn-54	0.060 ± 0.019	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Zn-65	15.85 ± 0.27	14.3	10.0 - 18.6	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Fe-55	10.7 ± 11.7	11.1	7.80 - 14.40	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Ni-63 [e]	11.0 ± 1.4	14.0	9.8 - 18.2	Warning
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Ni-63 [e]	12.9 ± 1.7	14.0	9.8 - 18.2	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	H-3	-0.3 ± 3.0	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Pu-238	0.02 ± 0.01	0.023	NA [d]	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Pu-239/240	0.585 ± 0.056	0.600	0.420 - 0.780	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Ra-226 [f]	0.340 ± 0.040	0.257	0.180 - 0.334	Fail
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Ra-226 [f]	0.297 ± 0.048	0.257	0.180 - 0.334	Pass

TABLE A-6. Department of Energy's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP).

			Concentration [a]			
Lab Code [b]	Reference Date	Analysis	Laboratory result	Known Activity	Control Limits [c]	Acceptance
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Sr-90	9.92 ± 0.75	11.4	8.0 - 14.8	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	Tc-99	4.9 ± 0.4	4.37	3.06 - 5.68	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	U-233/234	0.404 ± 0.041	0.430	0.301 - 0.559	Pass
MAW-662	2/1/2018	U-238	0.396 ± 0.041	0.437	0.306 - 0.568	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	Cs-134	688.7 ± 26.2	781	547 - 1015	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	Cs-137	605.9 ± 22.7	572	400 - 744	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	Co-57	976.7 ± 37.6	958	671 - 1245	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	Co-60	604.5 ± 24.9	608	426 - 790	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	Mn-54	5.2 ± 5.2	0	NA [c]	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	K-40	630 ± 31	566	396 - 736	Pass
MASO-3638	8/1/2018	Zn-65	556.4 ± 26.8	500	350 - 650	Pass
MAAP-3636	8/1/2018	Cs-134	0.37 ± 0.04	0.444	0.311 - 0.577	Pass
MAAP-3636	8/1/2018	Cs-137	0.34 ± 0.05	0.345	0.242 - 0.449	Pass
MAAP-3636	8/1/2018	Co-57	0.56 ± 0.04	0.592	0.414 - 0.770	Pass
MAAP-3636	8/1/2018	Co-60	0.28 ± 0.03	0.294	0.206 - 0.382	Pass
MAAP-3636	8/1/2018	Mn-54	0.26 ± 0.05	0.266	0.186 - 0.346	Pass
MAAP-3636	8/1/2018	Zn-65	0.22 ± 0.07	0.201	NA [d]	Pass
MAVE-3640	8/1/2018	Cs-134	1.87 ± 0.10	1.94	1.36 - 2.52	Pass
MAVE-3640	8/1/2018	Cs-137	2.69 ± 0.15	2.36	1.65 - 3.07	Pass
MAVE-3640	8/1/2018	Co-57	3.90 ± 0.12	3.31	2.32 - 4.30	Pass
MAVE-3640	8/1/2018	Co-60	1.76 ± 0.09	1.68	1.18 - 2.18	Pass
MAVE-3640	8/1/2018	Mn-54	2.91 ± 0.16	2.53	1.77 - 3.29	Pass
MAVE-3640	8/1/2018	Zn-65	1.53 ± 0.21	1.37	0.96 - 1.78	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	H-3	336.0 ± 10.7	338	237 - 439	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	Cs-134	7.86 ± 0.31	8.7	6.1 - 11.3	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	Cs-137	7.55 ± 0.33	6.9	4.8 - 9.0	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	Co-57	15.67 ± 0.36	14.9	10.4 - 19.4	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	Co-60	0.12 ± 0.12	0	NA [c]	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	Mn-54	13.38 ± 0.44	12.5	8.8 - 16.3	Pass
MAW-3480	8/1/2018	Zn-65	7.80 ± 0.53	7.53	5.27 - 9.79	Pass
MAW-3634	8/1/2018	I-129	1.32 ± 0.08	1.62	1.13 - 2.11	Pass

[a] Results are reported in units of Bq/kg (soil), Bq/L (water) or Bq/total sample (filters, vegetation).

[b] Laboratory codes as follows: MAW (water), MAAP (air filter), MASO (soil) and MAVE (vegetation).

[c] MAPEP results are presented as the known values and expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as defined by the MAPEP. A known value of "zero" indicates an analysis was included in the testing series as a "false positive". MAPEP does not provide control limits.

[d] Provided in the series for "sensitivity evaluation". MAPEP does not provide control limits.

[e] The lab was in the "warning zone" on this study(biased low). The sample was rerun applying an aggressive oxidation technique to remove a complexing agent that is utilized in the early steps of the procedure. Reanalysis was acceptable with this enhanced technique.

[f] An investigation was performed to determine reason for the failure of the Ra-226 result. A backup solution was reanalyzed with acceptable results. The current study as well as a past study were reanalyzed with acceptable results. No conclusion has been currently drawn from the results of this investigation.

TABLE A-7. Interlaboratory Comparison Crosscheck Program, Environmental Resource Associates (ERA)[a].

MRAD-28 Study

Lab Code [b]	Date	Analysis	Concentration [a] Laboratory Result	ERA Result	Control Limits [c]	Acceptance
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Am-241[d]	24.6	7.86	5.61 - 10.5	Fail
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Am-241[d]	7.30	7.86	5.61 - 10.5	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Cs-134	174	204	132 - 250	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Cs-137	969	865	710 - 1130	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Co-60	672	665	565 - 845	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Fe-55	701	771	281 - 1230	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Mn-54	< 50	< 50.0	0.00 - 50.0	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Zn-65	594	668	548 - 1020	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Pu-238	56.8	55.6	42.0 - 68.3	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Pu-239	54.4	52.3	39.1 - 63.1	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	Sr-90	113	124	78.4 - 169	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2018	U-234	22.8	24.6	18.2 - 28.8	Pass
ERAP-942	3/19/2019	U-238	22.7	24.4	18.4 - 29.1	Pass
ERAP-944	3/19/2018	Gross Alpha	49.1	43.4	22.7 - 71.5	Pass
ERAP-944	3/19/2018	Gross Beta	44.8	52.0	31.5 - 78.6	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Ac-228	1,480	1,240	818 - 1560	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Am-241	48	74.7	40.3 - 106	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Bi-212[e]	1,980	1,240	355 - 1,850	Fail
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Bi-212[e]	1,285	1,240	355 - 1,850	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Bi-214	2,180	1,760	845 - 2,620	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Cs-134	5,230	5,330	3,640 - 6,370	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Cs-137	4,820	4,210	3,180 - 5,320	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Co-60	8,390	8,060	6,350 - 9,950	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	K-40[e]	14,100	10,600	7,300 - 12,700	Fail
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	K-40[e]	12,160	10,600	7,300 - 12,700	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Mn-54	< 1000	< 1000	0 - 1,000	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Pb-212	1,140	1,240	865 - 1,570	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Pb-214	2,330	1,850	777 - 2910	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Pu-238	1,830	1,470	733 - 2230	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Pu-239	1,520	1,330	725 - 1910	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Sr-90	3,500	4,500	1,400 - 7,010	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Th-234	1,800	1,800	680 - 3,080	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	U-234	1,610	1,820	853 - 2,380	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	U-238	1,800	1,800	988 - 2,420	Pass
ERSO-946	3/19/2018	Zn-65	2,440	1,990	1,590 - 2,710	Pass
ERW-952	3/19/2018	Gr. Alpha	25.3	29.0	10.6 - 40.0	Pass
ERW-952	3/19/2018	Gr. Beta	61.3	73.1	36.6 - 101	Pass
ERW-954	3/19/2018	H-3	22,300	21,700	16,400 - 26,400	Pass

TABLE A-7. Interlaboratory Comparison Crosscheck Program, Environmental Resource Associates (ERA)[a].

MRAD-28 Study

Lab Code [b]	Concentration [a]					
	Date	Analysis	Laboratory Result	ERA Result	Control Limits [c]	Acceptance
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Am-241	3,800	3,880	2,400 - 5,480	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Cm-244	2,490	2,630	1,480 - 3,270	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Co-60	579	491	385 - 642	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Cs-134	2,090	1,950	1,290 - 2,600	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Cs-137	2,640	2,160	1,660 - 2,910	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	K-40	34,000	30,900	23,200 - 39,100	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Mn-54	< 300	< 300	0.00 - 300	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Zn-65	3,080	2,400	1,790 - 3,560	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Pu-238	2,400	2,020	1,400 - 2,600	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Pu-239	5,140	4,160	2,880 - 5,270	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	Sr-90	3,570	3,330	1,880 - 4340	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	U-233/234	4,130	4,050	2,850 - 5,170	Pass
ERVE-948	3/19/2018	U-238	4,190	4,010	2,830 - 5,020	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Am-241	72.5	103	70.7 - 132	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Co-60	1,550	1,480	1,280 - 1,700	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Cs-134	1,280	1,330	1,000 - 1,460	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Cs-137	343	328	281 - 373	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Mn-54	< 100	< 100	0.00 - 100	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Pu-238	59.8	66.1	39.7 - 85.6	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Pu-239	84.8	91.8	56.8 - 113	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	U-234	111	132	100 - 151	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	U-238	113	131	102 - 154	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Zn-65	1,450	1,300	1160 - 1640	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Fe-55	533	445	261 - 647	Pass
ERW-950	3/19/2018	Sr-90	754	781	562 - 965	Pass

[a] Results obtained by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory (EIML) as a participant in the crosscheck program for proficiency testing administered by Environmental Resource Associates, serving as a replacement for studies conducted previously by the Environmental Measurements Laboratory Quality Assessment Program (EML).

[b] Laboratory codes as follows: ERW (water), ERAP (air filter), ERSO (soil), ERVE (vegetation). Results are reported in units of pCi/L, except for air filters (pCi/Filter), vegetation and soil (pCi/kg).

[c] Results are presented as the known values, expected laboratory precision (2 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as provided by ERA.

[d] Reported result was higher than ERA's upper acceptance limit. An investigation was initiated. The sample was run with a pre-treatment technique. Rerunning the analysis with this pre-treatment gave a result of 7.30 pCi/total. Going forward all samples for Am-241 will be analyzed utilizing this pre-treatment.

[e] The ERA results for Bi-212 and K-40 were outside the acceptable limits. The sample analysis was rerun utilizing a different library with acceptable results. The library used in the original analysis contained many more energies than the second library used, rendering a less reliable result for Bi-212. The K-40 value was overstated due to the use of a background spectrum analysis that had not fully quantified the K-40 present. Going forward a table has been created to track the historical results for the background subtraction for each HPGe detector so that any changes in background can be more easily identified.

APPENDIX B. DATA REPORTING CONVENTIONS

Data Reporting Conventions

1.0. All activities, except gross alpha and gross beta, are decay corrected to collection time or the end of the collection period.

2.0. Single Measurements

Each single measurement is reported as follows: $x \pm s$

where: x = value of the measurement;

$s = 2\sigma$ counting uncertainty (corresponding to the 95% confidence level).

In cases where the activity is less than the lower limit of detection L, it is reported as: < L, where L = the lower limit of detection based on 4.66σ uncertainty for a background sample.

3.0. Duplicate analyses

If duplicate analyses are reported, the convention is as follows. :

3.1 Individual results: For two analysis results; $x_1 \pm s_1$ and $x_2 \pm s_2$

Reported result: $x \pm s$; where $x = (1/2)(x_1 + x_2)$ and $s = (1/2)\sqrt{s_1^2 + s_2^2}$

3.2. Individual results: $< L_1$, $< L_2$ Reported result: < L, where L = lower of L_1 and L_2

3.3. Individual results: $x \pm s$, < L Reported result: $x \pm s$ if $x \geq L$; < L otherwise.

4.0. Computation of Averages and Standard Deviations

4.1 Averages and standard deviations listed in the tables are computed from all of the individual measurements over the period averaged; for example, an annual standard deviation would not be the average of quarterly standard deviations. The average and standard deviation "s" of a set of n numbers $x_1, x_2 \ldots x_n$ are defined as follows:

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum x \qquad s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x-)^2}{n-1}}$$

4.2 Values below the highest lower limit of detection are not included in the average.

4.3 If all values in the averaging group are less than the highest LLD, the highest LLD is reported.

4.4 If all but one of the values are less than the highest LLD, the single value x and associated two sigma error is reported.

4.5 In rounding off, the following rules are followed:

4.5.1. If the number following those to be retained is less than 5, the number is dropped, and the retained numbers are kept unchanged. As an example, 11.443 is rounded off to 11.44.

4.5.2. If the number following those to be retained is equal to or greater than 5, the number is dropped and the last retained number is raised by 1. As an example, 11.445 is rounded off to 11.45.

APPENDIX C

Table C-1. Annual Average effluent concentration limits of radioactivity in air and water above natural background in unrestricted areas[a].

	Air (pCi/m^3)		Water (pCi/L)
Gross alpha	1×10^{-3}	Strontium-89	8,000
Gross beta	1	Strontium-90	500
Iodine-131[b]	2.8×10^{-1}	Cesium-137	1,000
		Barium-140	8,000
		Iodine-131	1,000
		Potassium-40 [c]	4,000
		Gross alpha	2
		Gross beta	10
		Tritium	1×10^6

[a] Taken from Table 2 of Appendix B to Code of Federal Regulations Title 10, Part 20, and appropriate footnotes. Concentrations may be averaged over a period not greater than one year.

[b] Value adjusted by a factor of 700 to reduce the dose resulting from the air-grass-cow-milk-child pathway.

[c] A natural radionuclide.

APPENDIX D

Sample Collection and Analysis Program

TLD LOCATIONS
ONE MILE RADIUS



PLANT AREA ENLARGED PLAN [1.00 MILE RADIUS]
[NO SCALE]

MONITORING LEGEND:

⊙ PRAIRIE ISLAND TLD POINTS

TLD LOCATIONS



CONTROL POINTS
PRESCOTT, WISCONSIN



ISFSI AREA TLD LOCATIONS

MONITORING LEGEND:

⊙ PRAIRIE ISLAND TLD POINTS

TLD LOCATIONS
FIVE MILE RADIUS



MONITORING LEGEND:

⊙ PRAIRIE ISLAND TLD POINTS

ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING POINTS
ONE MILE RADIUS



PLANT AREA ENLARGED PLAN [1.00 MILE RADIUS]

[NO SCALE]

MONITORING LEGEND

- AIR SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4, P-6, P-7
- WATER SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-5, P-6, P-8, P-9, P-11, P-24, P-43
- VEGETATION / VEGETABLES ID NUMBERS P-28, P-38, P-45
- FISH SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-13, P-19
- INVERTEBRATES POINT ID NUMBERS P-6, P-40
- SEDIMENT SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-6, P-12, P-20

ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING POINTS

FIVE MILE RADIUS



MONITORING LEGEND

- AIR SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4, P-6, P-7
- WATER SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-5, P-6, P-8, P-9, P-11, P-24, P-43
- VEGETATION / VEGETABLES ID NUMBERS P-28, P-38, P-45
- FISH SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-13, P-19
- INVERTEBRATES POINT ID NUMBERS P-6, P-40
- SEDIMENT SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS P-6, P-12, P-20

ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING POINTS



CONTROL POINTS
PRESCOTT, WISCONSIN

MONITORING LEGEND

- AIR SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS
  P-1, P-2, P-3, P-4, P-6, P-7
- WATER SAMPLING POINT ID NUMBERS
  P-5, P-6, P-8, P-9, P-11, P-43
- VEGETATION / VEGETABLES ID NUMBERS
  P-28, P-38, P-45

APPENDIX E

Special Well and

Surface Water Samples

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This appendix to the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Report to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission summarizes and interprets results of the special well and surface water samples taken at the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Red Wing, Minnesota, during the period January - December, 2018. This supplemental special sampling program was established in December of 1989 when higher than expected levels of tritium were detected in a nearby residence well sample.

Tabulations of the special sampling program individual analyses made during the year are included in this appendix. A summary table of tritium analyses is also included in this appendix.

2.0 SUMMARY

This special sampling program was established following the detection of tritium in a residence well water sample south of the PINGP during 1989. This program is described and the results for 2018 are summarized and discussed.

Program findings for 2018 detected low levels of tritium in nearby residence wells, ground water, surface samples, and storage tanks at or near the expected natural background levels with the exception of ground water sample well MW-8, D5/6 tank vaults, and the septic system. The 2018 sample results (except for MW-8 and D5/6 tank vaults) ranged from <19 pCi/L to 321 pCi/L. Sample well MW-8 ranged from 83 pCi/L to 418 pCi/L. D5/6 tank vaults were 754 pCi/L and 726 pCi/L, respectively. All tritium results are far below the Environmental Protection Agency's drinking water standard of 20,000 pCi/L and present no harm to any members of the public.

None of the water samples monitored for gamma-emitting isotopes showed any activity greater than the LLD.

3.0 Special Tritium Sampling Program

3.1 Program Design and Data Interpretation

The purpose of this sampling program is to assess the impact of any tritium leaching into the environment (ground water system) from the PINGP. For this purpose, special water samples are collected and analyzed for tritium content.

3.2 Program Description

The sampling and analysis schedule for the special water sampling program is summarized in Table E-4.1 and briefly reviewed below. Table E-4.2 defines the additional sample locations and codes for the special water sampling program.

Special well, tank, and surface water samples were collected quarterly (spring, summer, fall) at seven locations, quarterly at one location, monthly at six locations, semi-annually at five locations, and annually at thirty-six locations. The Peterson (P-43) and Hanson (SW-1) farm wells are used as control locations for these special samples.

To detect low levels of tritium at or below natural background levels, analyses of the samples have been contracted to a laboratory (University of Waterloo Laboratories) capable of detecting tritium concentrations down to 19 pCi/L. Waterloo Laboratories report tritium analyses results in Tritium Units (1 TU = 3.2 pCi/L). The tritium results in this report are indicated in pCi/L.

3.3 Program Execution

The special water sampling was executed as described in the preceding section.

3.4 Program Modifications

Changes to the program in 2018 include:

- samples were taken from monitoring wells P-10, and MW-8 and snow from S-6, S-7, S-8, S-9, and P-43 and were sent to Environmental Incorporated for analysis for hard-to-detect nuclides in accordance with American Nuclear Insurers recommendation
- samples were taken from the D5 and D6 Fuel Oil Storage Tank vaults because these areas were accessible in 2018

3.5 Results and Discussion

Results show tritium in well water and ground water samples at or near expected natural background levels except the MW-8 ground water sample well. Table E-4.4 provides the complete data table of results for each period and sampling location.

The tritium level annual averages have shown a downward trend since the special sampling began in 1989.

Except for sample well MW-8 and D5/6 tank vaults, the 2018 sample results are within the range of expected background tritium levels in shallow ground water and surface water due to tritium concentrations measured in precipitation. Sampling points in North America have shown tritium concentrations in precipitation ranging from 5 pCi/L to 157 pCi/L (Environmental Isotope Data No. 10; World Survey of Isotope Concentration in Precipitation (1988-1991)).

The higher level results at the Suter residence and Birch Lake in 1989 were possibly due to seepage from the PINGP discharge canal water into the ground water. This is thought to occur due to the elevation difference between the Vermillion River and the discharge canal. The Suter residence is located between the discharge canal and Birch Lake, which connects to the Vermillion River. The PINGP discharge canal piping was lengthened during 1991, so that liquid discharges from the plant are released near the end of the discharge canal, diffused and discharged to the Mississippi River. In 1992, the underground liquid discharge pipe from the plant to the discharge canal piping was replaced with a double walled leak detectable piping system. This year's sample results continue to indicate that these modifications have eliminated the suspected radioactive effluent flow into the local ground water.

The elevated tritium levels in sample well MW-8 in 2018 may be due to prior leakage from the PINGP liquid radwaste discharge pipe, discharge of turbine building sump water into the landlocked area, or discharge of heating steam condensate from the main warehouse in 1978/1979. The liquid radwaste discharge pipe was replaced in 1992 and the discharge to the landlocked area has been terminated, the last discharge took place on 11/14/09. The main warehouse heating system was repaired in 1979. The heating steam system has not been used in the outer plant buildings since the 2011 – 2012 heating season.

The elevated tritium levels in D5/6 tank vaults are most likely due to tritium recaptured from effluent releases by precipitation.

None of the water samples monitored for gamma-emitting isotopes showed any activity greater than the LLD.

Table E-4.1. Sample collection and analysis program for special well, storage tank, and surface water samples, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, 2018.

Medium	No.	Location codes and type [a]	Collection type and frequency [b]	Analysis type [c]
Well water Annual	26	P-8 post-treat, P-8 pre-treat, REMP P-6, REMP P-11, PIIC-22, PIIC-26, PIIC-28, PIIC-29, P-7, P-11, PZ-1, PZ-2, PZ-4, PZ-5, PZ-7, MW-6, P-26, P-30, SW-3, SW-4, SW-5, SW-6, SW-7, SW-8, SW-9, P-9	G/A	H-3
Well water quarterly	1	P-24D	G/Q	H-3
Well water quarterly'	7	P-2, P-3, P-5, P-6, PZ-8, MW-4, MW-5	G/Q'	H-3
Well water monthly	5	P-43(C), SW-1(C), MW-7, MW-8, P-10	G/M	H-3
Surface water	8	S-1, S-2, S-3, S-4, S-5, S-6, S-7, P-31	G/A[d]	H-3
Storage Tank	7	11 CST, 21 CST, 22 CST, U1/2 Demin Hdr, D5/6 vaults	G/S	H-3
Storage Tank	1	Septic System	G/M	H-3
Snow	5	S-6, S-7, S-8, S-9, P-43(C)	G/A	H-3

[a] Location codes are defined in table D-4.2. Control Stations are indicated by (C). All other stations are indicators.

[b] Collection type is codes as follows: G/ = grab. Collection frequency is coded as follows: M = monthly; Q = quarterly; Q' = quarterly (spring, summer, and fall), S = semiannually: A = annually.

[c] Analysis type is coded as follows: H-3 = tritium.

[d] Location S-6 and S-7 are sampled semi-annually.

Table E-4.2. Sampling locations for special well, storage tank, and surface water samples, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, 2018.

Code	Collection site	Type of sample [a]	Distance and direction from reactor
P-8	PI Community well post treat	DW	1.0 mi. @ 321°/WNW
P-8	PI Community well pre treat	DW	1.0 mi. @ 321°/WNW
REMP P-6	Lock & Dam #3 well	DW	1.6 mi. @ 129°/SE
REMP P-11	Red Wing Service Center	DW	3.3 mi @ 158°/SSE
PIIC-22	1773 Buffalo Slough Rd	DW	1 mi. @ 315°/NW
PIIC-26	1771 Buffalo Slough Rd	DW	1 mi. @ 315°/NW
PIIC-28	1960 Larson Lane	DW	1.5 mi @ 288°/WNW
PIIC-29	Buffalo Project	DW	4.3 mi @ 302°/WNW
P-24D	Suter residence	DW	0.6 mi. @ 158°/SSE
P-43	Peterson Farm (Control)	DW	13.9 mi. @ 355°/N
SW-1	Hanson Farm (Control)	DW	2.2 mi. @ 315°/NW
P-2	Sample well	WW	See map
P-3	Sample well	WW	See map
P-5	Sample well	WW	See map
P-6	Sample well	WW	See map
P-7	Sample well	WW	See map
P-10	Sample well	WW	See map
P-11	Sample well	WW	See map
PZ-1	Sample well	WW	See map
PZ-2	Sample well	WW	See map
PZ-4	Sample well	WW	See map
PZ-5	Sample well	WW	See map
PZ-7	Sample well	WW	See map
PZ-8	Sample well	WW	See map
MW-4	Sample well	WW	See map
MW-5	Sample well	WW	See map
MW-6	Sample well	WW	See map
MW-7	Sample well	WW	See map
MW-8	Sample well	WW	See map
P-26	PITC well	DW	0.4 mi. @ 258°/WSW
P-30	Environ lab well	DW	0.2 mi. @ 32°/NNE

Table E-4.2. Sampling locations for special well, storage tank, and surface water samples, Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, 2018 (continued).

Code	Collection site	Type of sample [a]	Distance and direction from reactor
SW-3	Cooling Tower pump	WW	See map
SW-4	New Admin Bldg	DW	0.05 mi. @ 315°/NW
SW-5	Plant Screenhouse well	WW	0.05 mi. @ 0°/N
SW-6	SGR Building	DW	0.2 mi @ 310°/NW
SW-7	Distribution Center	DW	0.35 mi @ 271°/W
SW-8	Site Admin Building well	WW	0.2 mi @ 310°/NW
SW-9	FLEX Building	WW	0.2 mi @ 238°/WSW
P-9	Plant well # 2	DW	0.3 mi. @ 306°/NW
S-1	Upstream Miss. River	SW	See map
S-2	Recirc/Intake canal	SW	See map
S-3	Cooling water canal	SW	See map
S-4	Discharge Canal (end)	SW	See map
S-5	Mid Discharge Canal	SW	See map
S-6	Roof Stormwater Runoff (also snow)	SW	0.05 mi. @ 0°/N
S-7	Parking Lot Stormwater (also snow)	SW	0.3 mi @ 306°/NW
S-8	P-10 area snow	SW	See map
S-9	MW-7/8 area snow	SW	See map
P-31	Birch Lake Seepage	SW	0.69 mi. @ 172°/S
11 CST	Storage Tank	ST	Turbine Building
21 CST	Storage Tank	ST	Turbine Building
22 CST	Storage Tank	ST	Turbine Building
Unit 1/2 demin hdr	Storage Tank	ST	Turbine Building
Septic System	Storage Tank	ST	Outside #1 Warehouse
D5/6 Vault	Concrete Vault	ST	Outside Turbine Bldg

[a] Sample codes: DW = Drinking Water: WW = Well Water; SW = Surface Water: ST = Storage Tank.

Table E-4.3 Radiation Environmental Monitoring Program Summary: Special well, storage tank, and surface water samples.

Name of Facility Prairie Island Nuclear Power Station Docket No. 50-282, 50-306

Location of Facility Goodhue, Minnesota (County, State) Reporting Period January – December, 2018

Sample Type (Units)	Type and Number of Analyses [a]	LLD [b]	Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations	Number Non-Routine Results [e]
			Mean (F) [c] Range [c]	Location [d]	Mean (F) [c] Range [c]	Mean (F) [c] Range [c]	
Offsite Well Water (pCi/L)	H-3 14	19	36 (10/14) (20-60)	PIIC-26	60 (1/1) (60)	(See Control Below)	0
Onsite Well Water (pCi/L)	H-3 75	19	99 (65/75) (20-418)	MW-8	260 (12/12) (83-418)	(See Control Below)	4
Onsite Surface Water (pCi/L)	H-3 16	19	49 (13/16) (23-97)	S-7	58 (3/3) (42-84)	(See Control Below)	0
Onsite Storage Tank (pCi/L)	H-3 26	19	142 (25/26) (24-754)	D-5 Fuel Oil Storage Tank Vault	754 (1/1) (754)	(See Control Below)	2
Control (offsite well water)	H-3 24	19	none	SW-1	37 (10/12) (21-52)	33 (8/24) (20-60)	0
Control (offsite snow)	H-3 1	19	none	P-43	44 (1/1) (44)	44 (1/1) (44)	0

[a] H-3 = tritium
[b] LLD = Nominal lower limit of detection based on 4.66 sigma error for background sample. Value shown is lowest for the period.
[c] Mean and range are based on detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (F).
[d] Locations are specified by code.
[e] Non-routine results are those which exceed ten times the control station mean value.

Table E-4.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program , Complete Data Table, 2018.

	SAMPLE DATES	JAN 2018	FEB 2018	MAR 2018	APR 2018	MAY 2018	JUN 2018	JUL 2018	AUG 2018	SEP 2018	OCT 2018	NOV 2018	DEC 2018
CODE	SAMPLE LOCATIONS	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L
	OFFSITE WELLS												
P-8 Post-treat	PI Comm. Well							<19					
P-8 Pre-treat	PI Comm. Well							<19					
REMP P-6	Lock & Dam #3 well							20					
REMP P-11	Red Wing Service Center							<19					
PIIC-22	1773 Buffalo Slough Rd							37					
PIIC-26	1771 Buffalo Slough Rd							60					
PIIC-28	1960 Larson Lane							43					
PIIC-29	Buffalo Project							30					
P-24D	Suter residence		49		35	26		37	<19			21	
P-43	Peterson Farm(Control	22/ 44* *snow	23	<19	20	20	<19	<19	48	60	<19	<19	<19
SW-1	Hanson Farm (Control)	<19	<19	<19	<19	<19	21	<19	<19	<19	<19	<19	52

Table E-4.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program , Complete Data Table, 2018 (continued).

	SAMPLE DATES	JAN 2018	FEB 2018	MAR 2018	APR 2018	MAY 2018	JUN 2018	JUL 2018	AUG 2018	SEP 2018	OCT 2018	NOV 2018	DEC 2018
CODE	SAMPLE LOCATIONS	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L
	ONSITE WELLS												
P-2	Sample well				82			58				94	
P-3	Sample well				24			<19				30	
P-5	Sample well				61			80				80	
P-6	Sample well				34			<19				41	
P-7	Sample well							53					
P-10	Sample well	54	118	<19	45	95	102	95	102	125	172	120	172
P-11	Sample well							38					
PZ-1	Sample well							41					
PZ-2	Sample well							23					
PZ-4	Sample well							24					
PZ-5	Sample well							52					
PZ-7	Sample well							57					
PZ-8	Sample well				29			58				28	
MW-4	Sample well				38			63				44	
MW-5	Sample well				27			20				39	
MW-6	Sample well							<19					
MW-7	Sample well	75	91	60	62	38	84	47	57	66	35	61	71
MW-8	Sample well	339	264	181	189	120	283	83	362	340	217	329	418
P-26	PITC well							<19					
P-30	Env. lab well							51					
SW-3	CT pump								36				
P-9	Plant well # 2							<19					
SW-4	New Admin							<19					
SW-5	Plnt Scrnhs							<19					
SW-6	RSG Bldg							<19					
SW-7	Dist Center								<19				

Table E-4.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program , Complete Data Table, 2018 (continued).

	SAMPLE DATES	JAN 2018	FEB 2018	MAR 2018	APR 2018	MAY 2018	JUN 2018	JUL 2018	AUG 2018	SEP 2018	OCT 2018	NOV 2018	DEC 2018
CODE	SAMPLE LOCATIONS	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L
	ONSITE WELLS												
SW-8	Site Admin Bldg							22					
SW-9	FLEX Bldg								41				

Table E-4.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program , Complete Data Table, 2018 (continued).

	SAMPLE DATES	JAN 2018	FEB 2018	MAR 2018	APR 2018	MAY 2018	JUN 2018	JUL 2018	AUG 2018	SEP 2018	OCT 2018	NOV 2018	DEC 2018
CODE	SAMPLE LOCATIONS	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L
	ONSITE SURFACE WATER												
S-1	Mississippi River upstream							31					
S-2	Recirculation/Intake canal							<19					
S-3	Cooling water canal							44					
S-4	Discharge Canal (end)							32					
S-5	Discharge Canal (midway)							32					
S-6	Stormwater runoff	40*				97					23		
S-7	Parking Lot runoff	48*				84					42		
S-8	P-10 area snow	73*											
S-9	MW-7/8 area snow	29*											
P-31	Birch Lake Seepage				<19			67				<19	

* snow samples

Table E-4.4 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program , Complete Data Table, 2018 (continued).

	SAMPLE DATES	JAN 2018	FEB 2018	MAR 2018	APR 2018	MAY 2018	JUN 2018	JUL 2018	AUG 2018	SEP 2018	OCT 2018	NOV 2018	DEC 2018
CODE	SAMPLE LOCATIONS	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L	pCi/L
	ONSITE STORAGE TANKS												
11 CST	Storage tank			37							24		
21 CST	Storage tank			31							38		
22 CST	Storage tank			38							30		
U1/U2 Demin Header	Storage tank				<19/37						40/243	57/44	
Septic System	Storage tank	44	46	71	64	137	101	88	95	254	321	93	131
D5/6	D5/6 Fuel Oil Storage Tank Vaults								NA/ 726	754/ NA			

Table E-4.5. Results of the analyses for iron-55, nickel-63, strontium-90, isotopic plutonium, americium-241 and isotopic curium on five samples.

Location	S-8	P-43	S-9	S-6	S-7
Collection Date	01-12-18	01-09-18	01-12-18	01-12-18	01-12-18
Lab Code	PXW-204	PXW-205	PXW-206	PXW-207	PXW-208
Isotope	Concentration (µCi/mL)				
Fe-55	< 6.8 E-07	< 6.8 E-07	< 6.8 E-07	< 6.9 E-07	< 6.9 E-07
Ni-63	< 7.6 E-08	< 7.3 E-08	< 7.7 E-08	< 7.2 E-08	< 7.3 E-08
Sr-90	< 5.8 E-10	< 5.9 E-10	< 6.6 E-10	< 6.3 E-10	< 5.9 E-10
Pu-238	< 1.4 E-10	< 1.4 E-10	< 1.9 E-10	< 8.0 E-11	< 1.7 E-10
Pu-239/240	< 1.4 E-10	< 1.4 E-10	< 7.8 E-11	< 1.4 E-10	< 1.7 E-10
Am-241	< 6.3 E-11	< 8.1 E-11	< 1.5 E-10	< 9.1 E-11	< 4.7 E-11
Cm-242	< 1.1 E-10	< 8.1 E-11	< 1.8 E-10	< 4.5 E-11	< 4.7 E-11
Cm-243/244	< 6.3 E-11	< 8.1 E-11	< 1.1 E-10	< 4.5 E-11	< 4.7 E-11

Less than (<), value is based on a 4.66 sigma counting error for the background sample.

Table E-4.5. Results of the analyses for iron-55, nickel-63, strontium-90, isotopic plutonium, americium-241 and isotopic curium on two samples.

Location	S-6	S-7
Collection Date	05-09-18	05-09-18
Lab Code	PXW-1795	PXW-1796
Isotope	Concentration (µCi/mL)	
Fe-55	< 6.4 E-07	< 6.4 E-07
Ni-63	< 6.6 E-08	< 6.7 E-08
Sr-90	< 6.3 E-10	< 6.4 E-10
Pu-238	< 2.3 E-10	< 2.5 E-10
Pu-239/240	< 2.8 E-10	< 1.8 E-10
Am-241	< 4.5 E-10	< 1.4 E-10
Cm-242	< 2.6 E-10	< 2.3 E-10
Cm-243/244	< 1.5 E-10	< 1.4 E-10

Less than (<), value is based on a 4.66 sigma counting error for the background sample.

Table E-4.5. Results of the analyses for iron-55, nickel-63, strontium-90, isotopic plutonium, americium-241 and isotopic curium on two samples.

Location	P-10	MW-8
Collection Date	06-27-18	06-27-18
Lab Code	PXW-2462	PXW-2463
Isotope	Concentration (µCi/mL)	
Fe-55	< 6.4 E-07	< 6.7 E-07
Ni-63	< 6.3 E-08	< 6.3 E-08
Sr-90	< 5.4 E-10	< 5.2 E-10
Pu-238	< 2.0 E-10	< 2.0 E-10
Pu-239/240	< 2.0 E-10	< 2.0 E-10
Am-241	< 6.2 E-11	< 1.2 E-10
Cm-242	< 6.2 E-11	< 1.8 E-10
Cm-243/244	< 6.2 E-11	< 7.1 E-11

Less than (<), value is based on a 4.66 sigma counting error for the background sample.



Groundwater Monitoring Well Locations