Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant – Units 1&2 Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant – Units 1&2 Vogtle Electric Generating Plant – Units 1&2 Annual Non-Radiological Environmental Operating Reports, Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Reports, and Offsite Dose Calculation Manual for 2018

Enclosure 3

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant – Units 1&2 Annual Non-Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2018

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT - UNITS 1 AND 2

I. <u>Introduction</u>

(

In accordance with Subsection 5.4.1 of the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Environmental Protection Plan (Nonradiological), Appendix B to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-68 and NPF-81, this report is submitted describing implementation of the Environmental Protection Plan for the calendar year 2018.

II. <u>Reporting Requirements</u>

A. Summaries and Analyses of Results of Environmental Protection Activities Required by Subsection 4.2 of the Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) for the Reporting Period

- 1. Aquatic Monitoring Liquid effluent monitoring was performed in accordance with State of Georgia National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit GA0026786; there was no additional requirement for aquatic monitoring during the year.
- 2. Terrestrial Monitoring Terrestrial monitoring is not required.
- 3. Maintenance of Transmission Line Corridors There is no reporting requirement associated with this condition.
- 4. Noise Monitoring There were no complaints reported regarding noise along the VEGP-related, high-voltage transmission lines.

B. Comparison of the Year's Monitoring Activities with Preoperational Studies, Operational Controls, and Previous Monitoring Reports

These programs were not required because no nonradiological environmental monitoring programs were conducted during the reporting period beyond those performed in accordance with NPDES Permit No. GA0026786 referenced in Section A above.

C. Assessment of the Observed Impacts of Plant Operation on the Environment

There were no significant adverse environmental impacts associated with plant operation during the year.

D. EPP Noncompliance and Corrective Actions

There were no EPP noncompliances during the year.

E. Changes in Station Design or Operation, Tests, or Experiments Made in Accordance with EPP Subsection 3.1 Which Involved a Potentially Significant Unreviewed Environmental Question

There were no changes in station design or operation, tests, or experiments which involved a potentially significant, unreviewed environmental question.

F. Nonroutine Reports Submitted in Accordance with EPP Section 5.4.2

There were no nonroutine reports submitted during the year.