

William R. Gideon Vice President Brunswick Nuclear Plant P.O. Box 10429 Southport, NC 28461

o: 910.457.3698

June 19, 2017

Serial: BSEP 17-0043

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit Nos. 1 and 2 Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-71 and DPR-62 NRC Docket Nos. 50-325 and 50-324 Sixth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109)

References:

- 1. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Order Number EA-13-109, *Issuance of Order to Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions*, dated June 6, 2013, Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession Number ML13143A321.
- NRC Interim Staff Guidance JLD-ISG-2013-02, Compliance with Order EA-13-109, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation under Severe Accident Conditions, Revision 0, dated November 14, 2013, ADAMS Accession Number ML13304B836.
- 3. NRC Interim Staff Guidance JLD-ISG-2015-01, *Compliance with Phase 2 of Order EA-13-109, Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation under Severe Accident Conditions*, Revision 0, dated April 30, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML15104A118.
- 4. NEI 13-02, Industry Guidance for Compliance With Order EA-13-109, BWR Mark I & II Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions, Revision 1, dated April 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML15113B318.
- 5. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, *Duke Energy's Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109),* dated June 17, 2013, ADAMS Accession Number ML13191A567.
- 6. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, *Phase 1 Overall Integrated Plan in Response* to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated June 26, 2014, ADAMS Accession Number ML14191A687.
- 7. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, *First Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109)*, dated December 17, 2014, ADAMS Accession Number ML14364A029.

- Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Second Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated June 25, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML15196A035.
- Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Phase 1 and Phase 2 Overall Integrated Plan in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated December 11, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML16020A064.
- 10. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Fourth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated June 28, 2016, ADAMS Accession Number ML16190A111.
- 11. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, *Fifth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109)*, dated December 15, 2016, ADAMS Accession Number ML16365A007.
- 12. NRC Letter, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 Interim Staff Evaluation Relating to Overall Integrated Plan in Response to Phase 1 of Order EA-13-109 (Severe Accident Capable Hardened Vents) (TAC Nos. MF4467 and MF4468), dated March 10, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML15049A266.
- NRC Letter, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 Interim Staff Evaluation Relating to Overall Integrated Plan in Response to Phase 2 of Order EA-13-109 (Severe Accident Capable Hardened Vents) (CAC Nos. MF4467 and MF4468), dated August 17, 2016, ADAMS Accession Number ML16223A725.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

On June 6, 2013, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Order Number EA-13-109, *Issuance of Order to Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions* (i.e., Reference 1) to Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit Nos. 1 and 2. Reference 1 was immediately effective and directs all boiling water reactors (BWRs) with Mark I and Mark II containments to take certain actions to ensure that these facilities have a hardened containment venting system (HCVS) to support strategies for controlling containment pressure and preventing core damage following an event that causes a loss of heat removal systems, such as an Extended Loss of AC Power (ELAP), while ensuring the venting functions are also available during severe accident (SA) conditions. BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, have Mark I containments. Specific requirements are outlined in Attachment 2 of Reference 1.

Reference 1 requires submission of an Overall Integrated Plan (OIP) by June 30, 2014, for Phase 1 of the Order, and an OIP by December 31, 2015, for Phase 2 of the Order. The interim staff guidance (i.e., References 2 and 3) provides direction regarding the content of the OIP for Phase 1 and Phase 2. Reference 3 endorses industry guidance document NEI 13-02, Revision 1 (i.e., Reference 4), with clarifications and exceptions identified in Reference 3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 3 of 4

Reference 5 provided the Duke Energy initial status report regarding reliable hardened containment vents capable of operation under severe accident conditions. Reference 6 provided the BSEP, Units 1 and 2, Phase 1 OIP. References 7 and 8 provided the first and second six-month status reports pursuant to Section IV, Condition D.3 of Reference 1 for BSEP, Units 1 and 2, respectively.

Reference 9 provided both the third six-month status report for Phase 1 of the Order pursuant to Section IV, Condition D.3, of Reference 1, and the OIP for Phase 2 of the Order pursuant to Section IV, Condition D.2 of Reference 1, for BSEP, Units 1 and 2, in a combined Phase 1 and Phase 2 OIP. Reference 10 provided the fourth six-month status report and Reference 11 provided the fifth six-month status report pursuant to Section IV, Condition D.3 of Reference 1 for BSEP, Units 1 and 2.

The purpose of this letter is to provide the sixth six-month status report pursuant to Section IV, Condition D.3 of Reference 1 for BSEP, Units 1 and 2. This six-month status report provides the updates for both Phase 1 and Phase 2 OIP implementation including Phase 1 OIP open items, Phase 1 Interim Staff Evaluation (ISE) open items contained in Reference 12, and Phase 2 NRC ISE open items contained in Reference 13.

This letter contains no new regulatory commitments.

If you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Mr. Lee Grzeck, Manager - Regulatory Affairs, at (910) 832-2487.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 19, 2017.

Sincerely,

William R. Gideon

Enclosure:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 4 of 4

cc (with enclosure):

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II ATTN: Ms. Catherine Haney, Regional Administrator 245 Peachtree Center Ave, NE, Suite 1200 Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Mr. Andrew Hon (Mail Stop OWFN 8G9A) (Electronic Copy Only) 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Mr. Peter Bamford (Mail Stop OWFN 8B3) **(Electronic Copy Only)** 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Ms. Michelle P. Catts, NRC Senior Resident Inspector 8470 River Road Southport, NC 28461-8869

Chair - North Carolina Utilities Commission (Electronic Copy Only) P.O. Box 29510 Raleigh, NC 27626-0510 Enclosure

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit Nos. 1 and 2

Sixth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109)

1 Introduction

Note: References are provided in Section 10 of this enclosure.

Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP) developed an Overall Integrated Plan (OIP) (i.e., Reference 1) documenting the installation of a Hardened Containment Vent System (HCVS) in response to NRC Order EA-13-109 (i.e., Reference 2). The OIP was submitted to the NRC on June 6, 2014. The first six-month update was submitted to the NRC on December 17, 2014 (i.e., Reference 4). The second six-month update was submitted to the NRC on June 25, 2015 (i.e., Reference 5). Reference 6 provided both the third six-month update for Phase 1 of the Order and the OIP for Phase 2 of the Order, for BSEP, Units 1 and 2, on December 11, 2015. The fourth six-month update was submitted to the NRC on June 28, 2016 (i.e., Reference 7). The fifth six-month update was submitted to the NRC on December 15, 2016 (i.e., Reference 8).

This enclosure provides an update of milestone accomplishments including any changes to the compliance method, schedule, or need for relief/relaxation and the basis, if any, for both Phase 1 and Phase 2 OIP implementation that occurred during the period between December 1, 2016, and May 31, 2017, hereafter referred to as the update period.

2 Milestone Accomplishments

The following Phase 1 milestones were completed during the update period:

- (Phase 1 and 2 combined update) Submit 6-Month Status Report
- (Phase 1) Operations Procedure Changes Developed
- (Phase 1) Site Specific Maintenance Procedure Developed
- (Phase 1) Training Complete
- (Phase 1) U2 Implementation Outage
- (Phase 1) Procedure Changes Active
- (Phase 1) U2 Walk Through Demonstration/Functional Test

The following Phase 2 milestones were completed during the update period:

- (Phase 1 and 2 combined update) Submit 6-Month Status Report
- (Phase 2) U1 Design Engineering On-site/Complete.

3 Milestone Schedule Status

The following provides an update to the Milestone Schedule of the Overall Integrated Plan. It provides the activity status of each item, and whether the expected completion date has

changed. The dates are planning dates subject to change as design and implementation details are developed.

The revised milestone target completion dates do not impact the order implementation date.

Phase 1 Milestone Schedule	Target Completion Date	Activity Status	Comments and Date Changes
*Indicates a	change since	last 6-month update	
Hold preliminary/conceptual design meeting.	Jun. 2014	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit Overall Integrated Plan.	Jun. 2014	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6 Month Status Report.	Dec. 2014	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6 Month Status Report.	Jun. 2015	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Dec. 2015	Complete	Simultaneous with Phase 2 OIP.
U2 Design Engineering On- site/Complete.	Jun. 2016	Complete	Date not revised.
Storage Plan.	Dec. 2016	*Complete	Date not revised.
Staffing analysis completion.	Dec. 2016	*Complete	Date not revised
Long term use equipment acquisition timeline.	Dec. 2016	*Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	June 2016	Complete	Date not revised.
Operations Procedure Changes Developed.	Dec. 2016	*Complete	Date not revised.
Site Specific Maintenance Procedure Developed.	Dec. 2016	*Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Dec. 2016	Complete	Date not revised.
Training Complete.	Feb. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
U2 Implementation Outage.	Mar. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
Procedure Changes Active.	Mar. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
U2 Walk Through Demonstration/Functional Test.	Mar. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.

Phase 1 Milestone Schedule	Target Completion Date	Activity Status	Comments and Date Changes
*Indicates a	change since	last 6-month update	
U1 Design Engineering On-site/Complete.	Mar. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	June 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Dec. 2017	Not Started	Date not revised.
U1 Implementation Outage.	Feb. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
U1 Walk Through Demonstration/Functional Test.	Mar. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
Submit Completion Report.	May 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.

Phase 2 Milestone Schedule	Target Completion Date	Activity Status	Comments and Date Changes
*Indicates a	a change since	last 6-month update	
Hold preliminary/conceptual design meeting.	Oct. 2015	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit Overall Integrated Implementation Plan.	Dec. 2015	Complete	Third 6-month update included Phase 2 OIP (i.e., Reference 6).
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Jun. 2016	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Dec. 2016	Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Jun. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
U1 Design Engineering On- site/Complete.	Mar. 2017	*Complete	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Dec. 2017	Not Started	Date not revised.
Operations Procedure Changes Developed.	Dec. 2017	Started	Date not revised.
Site Specific Maintenance Procedure Developed.	Dec. 2017	Started	Date not revised.

Phase 2 Milestone Schedule	Target Completion Date	Activity Status	Comments and Date Changes
*Indicates	a change since	last 6-month update	
Training Complete.	Feb. 2018	Started	Date not revised.
U1 Implementation Outage.	Mar. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
Procedure Changes Active.	Mar. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
U1 Walk Through Demonstration/Functional Test.	Mar. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
U2 Design Engineering On- site/Complete.	Mar. 2018	*Started	Date not revised.
Submit 6-Month Status Report.	Jun. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
Submit 6- Month Status Report.	Dec. 2018	Not Started	Date not revised.
U2 Implementation Outage.	Mar. 2019	Not Started	Date not revised.
U2 Walk Through Demonstration/Functional Test.	Mar. 2019	Not Started	Date not revised.
Submit Completion Report.	July 2019	Not Started	Date not revised.

4 Changes to Compliance Method

No changes to the Phase 1 or Phase 2 Overall Integrated Plan (i.e., Reference 6) have been made during this 6-month update period.

5 Need for Relief/Relaxation and Basis for the Relief/Relaxation

There are no changes to the need for relief/relaxation during this sixth update period. BSEP expects to comply with the order implementation date.

6 Open Items from Phase 1 Overall Integrated Plan and Phase 1 Interim Staff Evaluation

Tables 6a and 6b provide a summary status of Open Items. Table 6a provides the open items that were previously identified in the original OIP (i.e., Reference 1) submitted on June 26, 2014. Table 6b provides the open items that were previously identified in the Phase 1 Interim Staff Evaluation (ISE) (i.e., Reference 3). No new open items are identified or added during this update period.

	Table 6a - Overall Integrated Plan Open Items					
#	Open Item	Status				
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update					
1	Evaluate, design, and implement missile protection as required for the HCVS piping external to the reactor building.	Complete				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Sta					
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML163	65A007).				
2	Finalize location of the Remote Operating Station (ROS).	Complete				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Sta	atus Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML163	65A007).				
3	Finalize and design means to address flammable gases in the HCVS.	Complete				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Sta	atus Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML163	65A007).				
4	Evaluate location of FLEX DG for accessibility under Severe Accident	Complete				
	conditions.					
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Sta					
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A007).					

Table 6a. Phase 1 Overall Integrated Plan Open Items

	Table 6a - Overall Integrated Plan Open Items	Vian (Constitution)			
#	Open Item	Status			
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update				
5	Develop procedures for BDBEE and severe accident vent operation	*Complete			
	(i.e., load shedding, power supply transfer, and vent valve operation				
	from the Main Control Room and ROS), vent support functions for				
	sustained operation and portable equipment deployment (FLEX DG				
	supply to the 24/48 VDC battery system, and makeup to the nitrogen backup system).				
	*The procedure changes are complete and issued.				
6	Confirm suppression pool heat capacity. Initial results from GE report	Complete			
	0000-0165-0656-R0 for BSEP indicate the suppression pool reaches				
	the heat capacity temperature limit (HCTL) in 2.11 hours.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Sta letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML163				
7	Finalize location of supplemental N2 bottle connection.	Deleted			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Sta				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML163				
8	Establish programs and processes for control of HCVS equipment	*Complete			
	functionality, out-of-service time, and testing.				
	0PLP-01.4, Fukushima FLEX System Availability, Action, and Surveillance Requirements				
	was placed in service for NRC Order EA-12-049 compliance, and *has I	been modified to			
	incorporate guidance for NRC Order EA-13-109 compliance.				
9	Confirm Wetwell vent capacity is sufficient at the containment design	Complete.			
	pressure (62 psig). Existing calculation 0D12-0009 calculates a				
	wetwell vent capacity at the primary containment pressure limit				
	(PCPL, 70 psig).	tua Panart bu			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A007).				

Table 6b. Interim Staff Evaluation Open Items (Phase 1)

	Table 6b - Interim Staff Evaluation Open Items (Phase 1)			
#	Open Item	Status		
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update			
1	Make available for NRC staff audit the site-specific controlling document for HCVS out of service and compensatory measures.	*Complete		

Table 6b - Interim Staff Evaluation Open Items (Phase 1) # Open Item Status					
+		Status			
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update	vision to ODL			
	The HCVS out of service and compensatory measures were included in a rev				
01.4, Fukushima FLEX System Availability, Action, and Surveillance Requirements 0PLP-01.4 revision was issued concurrently with Revision 3 to the Severe Accident					
	in accordance with the milestone schedule reported in the BSEP Overall Inte	grateu Flan.			
	The 0PLP-01.4 procedure <i>*revision that incorporates Unit 2 HCV</i> is availa	hle for review			
	on the ePortal.				
2	Make available for NRC staff audit analyses demonstrating that HCVS has	Complete			
_	the capacity to vent the steam/energy equivalent of one percent of	Complete			
	licensed/rated thermal power (i.e., unless a lower value is justified), and				
	that the suppression pool and the HCVS together are able to absorb and				
	reject decay heat, such that following a reactor shutdown from full power				
	containment pressure is restored and then maintained below the primary				
	containment design pressure and the primary containment pressure limit.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
3	Make available for NRC staff audit confirmation of the time it takes the	Complete			
	suppression pool to reach the heat capacity temperature limit during ELAP				
	with RCIC in operation.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A	007).			
4	Make available for NRC staff audit a description of the final ROS location.	Complete			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
5	Make available for NRC staff audit documentation that demonstrates	Complete			
	adequate communication between the remote HCVS operation locations				
	and the HCVS decision makers during ELAP and severe accident				
	conditions.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
6	Provide a description of the final design of the HCVS to address hydrogen	Complete			
	detonation and deflagration.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
7	Make available for NRC staff audit seismic and tornado missile final design	Complete			
	criteria for the HCVS stack.	Den est f			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A007)				

#	Table 6b - Interim Staff Evaluation Open Items (Phase 1)				
#	Open Item	Status			
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update				
8	Make available for NRC staff audit documentation of the HCVS nitrogen	Complete			
	pneumatic system design including sizing and location.	Dement hus			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
9	Make available for NRC staff audit documentation of HCVS incorporation	Complete			
Ū	into the FLEX diesel generator loading calculation.	Complete			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status	Report by			
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
10	Make available for NRC staff audit an evaluation of temperature and	Complete			
	radiological conditions to ensure that operating personnel can safely				
	access and operate control and support equipment.	6 maga - 6 8			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status	Report by			
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
11	Make available for NRC staff audit descriptions of all instrumentation and	Complete			
	controls (i.e., existing and planned) necessary to implement this order				
	including qualification methods.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status				
10	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
12	Clarify whether the seismic reliability demonstration of instruments,	Complete			
	including valve position indication, vent pipe temperature instrumentation,				
	radiation monitoring, and support system monitoring will (<i>be</i>) via methods that predict performance described in IEEE-344-2004 or provide				
	justification for using a different revision of the standard.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status	Report by			
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
13	Make available for NRC staff audit a justification for not monitoring HCVS	Complete			
	system pressure as described in NEI 13-02.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status	Report by			
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				
14	Make available for NRC staff audit the descriptions of local conditions (i.e.,	Complete			
	temperature, radiation and humidity) anticipated during ELAP and severe				
	accident for the components (e.g., valves, instrumentation, sensors,				
	transmitters, indicators, electronics, control devices, etc.) required for				
	HCVS venting including confirmation that the components are capable of				
	performing their functions during ELAP and severe accident conditions.				
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status Report by				
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A	007).			

	Table 6b - Interim Staff Evaluation Open Items (Phase 1)				
#	Open Item	Status			
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update				
15	Make available for NRC staff audit documentation of an evaluation verifying the existing containment isolation valves, relied upon for the HCVS, will open under the maximum expected differential pressure during BDBEE and severe accident wetwell venting. *The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status	Complete			
	letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A007).				
16	Provide a description of the strategies for hydrogen control that minimizes the potential for hydrogen gas migration and ingress into the reactor building or other buildings.	Complete			
	*The information was provided in the December 2016 Six-Month Status letter dated December 15, 2016 (i.e., ADAMS Accession No. ML16365A				

7 Interim Staff Evaluation (ISE) Impacts (Phase 1 only)

There are no new Phase 1 ISE impacts.

8 Open Items from Phase 2 Overall Integrated Plan and Phase 2 Interim Staff Evaluation

There were no open items reported in the Phase 2 OIP submitted on December 11, 2015 (i.e., Reference 6). Table 8 provides the open items that were identified in the Phase 2 Interim Staff Evaluation (i.e., Reference 9).

	Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items					
#		Open Item	Status			
		*Indicates a change since last 6-month update				
1	radiolog	e to confirm through analysis, the temperature and ical conditions to ensure that operating personnel can safely and operate controls and support equipment.	*Complete			
		tor actions may be required at the following operating loca see "Operator Action Maps.pdf" located on the ePortal): Main Control Room (MCR) (i.e., primary operating location Control Building 49' level (i.e., location of HCVS power switches) Outside of the Reactor Building (RB), FLEX instrument a refueling of FLEX compressor for long-term pneumatic s Outside of the RB, at the FLEX Diesel Generator (DG) en the FLEX DGs for long-term electrical supply	on) supply transfer air supply and supply			

	Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items				
#	Open Item	Status			
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update				
	 Reactor Building (RB) – 50' level at the Remote Operating Station (ROS East of the RB near the Condensate Storage Tanks (CST) to connect a for FLEX/Severe Accident Water Addition (SAWA) and stage the FLEX/SAWA pump. At the outside wall of the RB (i.e., north for Unit 1 and south for Unit 2) the FLEX core bore for FLEX/SAWA pump discharge 				
	8. Inside the RB at the 20' elevation (i.e., ground)				
	Main Control Room and Control Building 49' – Temperature Evalu	uation:			
	Vendor calculation RWA-L-1312-003, BNP CB FLEX Room Heat-up contains a Control building GOTHIC room heatup analysis for the Reference EC 289577 Attachment Z52). This analysis takes no cre action for the first six hours (i.e., other than opening panel doors) outside doors to the control building are opened and fans are sta outside air through the building. This is a FLEX action evaluated a response to NRC Order EA-12-049. This action is represented by in Table 7 on Page 18 of 51. The results are tabulated on Page 19 The results show ambient temperatures being maintained below is spaces except the electrical equipment rooms in which there are HCVS. Per HCVS-FAQ-06 in NEI 13-02 Appendix J, FLEX strategies specific to HCVS can be credited as previously evaluated for FLE temperature is judged acceptable for operator action during an El Main Control Room, Control Building 49', and Control Building (B Rediction Evaluation)	ELAP event (i.e., edit for operator at which time the rted to force as acceptable in Case 4 as shown of 51 in Table 8. 120°F for all no actions for es that are not EX. This LAP event.			
	<u>Radiation Evaluation:</u> The MCR and CB 49' (i.e., 49' is adjacent to the MCR and inside th are acceptable for radiological conditions without further evaluat				
	actions per NEI 13-02, Rev.1, HCVS-FAQ-01.				
	Areas for SAWA Injection, Pneumatic Makeup, Electrical Supply, Activities	and Refueling			
	SAWA Locations				
	The source of water for SAWA is the Condensate Storage Tanks qualified for all external hazards for EA-12-049 response. Operate suction hose, stored with the pump in the FLEX Storage Building and then to the pump. The pumps are staged outside and east of pumps, hoses are run to the FLEX core bores on the outside of the	ors will connect a (FSB), to the tank the RBs. From the			

Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items		
ŧ	Open Item	Status
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update	
	for Unit 1 and south wall of Unit 2). The Severe Accident Water M flow instrumentation is mounted on the pump itself.	itigation (SAWM)
	Since the pumps are outside the RB, there are no ambient temper personnel action. Also since the pumps are outside the RB and o of the RBs from the vent pipes, there is no concern for the radiat pumps from the vent pipe or damaged core which would still be i containment.	on the opposite sid ion levels at the
	Likewise, the long term makeup for the CST is from the discharge pump staging location and hose runs for this makeup path are fa the vent pipes, so there are no radiological concerns for CST ma	r from the RBs an
	Inside the RB, the SAWA pipe is aligned by opening three valves ground) level of the RB. These valves will be opened within the fi start of the ELAP before there is any core damage or significant l of the hard pipe eliminates the need for the operators to run hose during an event. In the case of a seismically-initiated event, the o close one valve on the 80' level of the RB, so at most four valves to align the SAWA flow path inside the RB.	rst hour after the RB heatup. The us es inside the RB perators will also
	Pneumatic makeup location	
	The pneumatic supply for the first 24 hours of the ELAP event co safety-related backup nitrogen system. No operator actions are pneumatics in the first 24 hours as the backup nitrogen system a itself. On both units, there is a makeup station for the backup nitr seismic isolation space between the RB and Turbine Building (TB Operator Action Maps.pdf). Per the response to order EA-12-049, compressors will be moved to outside locations near these make the locations are outside the RB, there is no ambient temperature personnel actions. The staging location is shielded from the vent minimum of six feet of concrete, so that the vent pipe radiation is Therefore, the compressors can be safely operated and refueled location. No actions are required in the RB to supply the long-ter supply.	required to supply outomatically align rogen system in th B) (i.e., see portable FLEX eup stations. Since concern for t pipe by a s mitigated. from this outside
	The makeup connections in the seismic isolation spaces are nea (more so on Unit 2 than Unit 1) and possibly subject to gamma do once venting starts. Therefore, the hose connections to the make seismic isolation space will be made before venting starts at app	ose from the pipe oup stations in the

, 1	Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items	
•	Open Item	Status
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update	anto to old in
	7-8 hours. The hose connections will be fitted with quick disconne making this a simple action during event response. The hose conn be validated per HCVS-FAQ-13.	
	Electrical makeup location	
	The HCVS electrical supply for the first 24 hours is from the station battery system. This backup power supply is aligned at the 49 foot control building at the same panel as the HCVS Radiation Monitor, MCR. As previously stated, this location is in the control building i boundary and is acceptable for the duration of the event.	level of the adjacent to the
	The long-term electrical supply for the HCVS is from the FLEX Dies which can re-power the normal supply buses to the HCVS controls or re-power the 24/48 VDC battery chargers. The FLEX generators FLEX DG enclosure which is east of the RBs and the Emergency D (EDG) building (i.e., see Operator Action Maps.pdf). The location is side of the RBs from the HCVS pipes and outside the RBs so that to concerns with operation of the FLEX DGs including refueling opera electrical lineups need be made in the RB for the FLEX DG to supp HCVS components, only inside the EDG building which is not a do concern area.	and instrumen are located in t Diesel Generator s on the opposi there are no ation. No bly the needed
	Remote Operating Station – Temperature Evaluation:	
	Calculation BNP-MECH-FLEX-0001 documents the Reactor Buildin Analysis under ELAP conditions in which all ventilation, heating an de-energized. This analysis was used for development of the FLEX order EA-12-049, but since the same Extended Loss of AC Power (apply to the EA-13-109 order, this analysis can be used to estimate at the ROS for HCVS purposes. Even though EA-13-109 requires th of a severe accident, the existence of core damage and possible ve have no effect on the temperature at the ROS.	nd cooling are X actions per ELAP) condition the temperature the consideration
	The applicable case in BNP-MECH-FLEX-0001 is Case 1 which mod actions in an ELAP. The GOTHIC analysis results in Table 4 (i.e., P show that the maximum temperature on the 50' elevation is 121°F. the ROS will be to open or close a maximum of three ½ inch valves expected to take less than 5 minutes. Furthermore, the operator w RB from outside through the 50' airlock door near the ROS so that temperature will be close to ambient outside the RB. These temper	age 23 of 76) The actions at s so that they au vill be entering t the local

Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items			
#	Open Item	Status	
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update		
	with the short duration of action, are judged acceptable. <u>Remote Operating Station – Radiation Evaluation:</u>		
	The bottom of the active core region is at 51' elevation. Therefore be roughly at core elevation while at the ROS. The shielding prov bio-shield, primary containment (PC), and distance from the core door location being a low dose rate area during normal full-power primary containment wall alone provides six feet of concrete shiel F-01132). Since the core is shutdown for the ELAP event, the dose core area will be lower than during operation.	ided by the vessel, results in the 50' operation. The lding (i.e., drawing	
	The existence of core damage with possible reactor pressure vest raise the dose levels at the ROS. If the core were to melt through head, there would be loss of shielding from the vessel; however, is additional distance to the ROS and additional concrete shielding p pedestal. Any gap release to the suppression pool will contribute however the ROS is on the 50' level, two floors above the torus. The rate at the ROS due to the torus will be insignificant due to the five below the ground floor (i.e., drawing F-01787) as well as the additidistance afforded by the location being on the 50' elevation. Likew release that migrates back to the primary containment, will be shift ROS by the six foot thick PC wall. In addition, the ROS is some 50 the PC wall.	the lower vessel there would be provided by the to RB dose rates, Therefore, the dose e feet of concrete ional concrete and vise, any gap elded from the	
2	Licensee to provide the site-specific MAAP evaluation that establishes the initial SAWA flow rate.	*Complete	
	*BNP-MECH-FLEX-0005 documents the BSEP specific MAAP evaluation that verifies that an initial SAWA flow rate of 300 gpm is sufficient to protect containment as described in NEI 13-02, Rev.1. Cases 1 and 2 are the cases that compare the containment response with a 300 gpm initial flow rate to the response with an initial flow rate of 500 gpm which is the maximum flow rate required by NEI 13-02. Section 7 starting on Page 25 of 178 presents the results of both analyses and compares them in Table 7-1 and Figures 7-1 to 7-5. As can be seen in these results, there is no significant difference between the two initial flow rates.		
	The site-specific MAAP evaluation for SAWA, BNP-MECH-FLEX-00 review on the ePortal.	05, is available for	
3	Licensee to demonstrate how instrumentation and equipment being used for SAWA and supporting equipment is capable to perform for the sustained operating period under the expected temperature and radiological conditions.	*Complete	

Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items			
#	Open Item	Status	
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update		
	*The instrumentation required for SAWA performance and monitoring consists of drywell pressure, torus level and the SAWA flow instrument. The only operating equipment for SAWA is the SAWA pump.		
	The drywell pressure instruments are CAC-PT-1230 (i.e., one for each torus level instruments are CAC-LT-2601 (i.e., one for each unit). The are safety-related and qualified to IEEE-323-1974 and IEEE-344-1977 qualified to 148.8°F but are expected to see no more than 132°F due They are qualified to 8.36E6 R total integrated dose and expected to than 9.13E5 R total integrated dose in the first seven days after the Therefore, both instruments are qualified for the conditions they we severe accident.	These instruments 75. They are pring the ELAP. to receive no more e event starts.	
	The drywell pressure and torus level instruments are powered from instrument buses that will be re-powered by the FLEX generators a remain in service without offsite power or Emergency Diesel Gener that the FLEX generators are not initially available, both instrumen powered from the station's division two 24 VDC battery for at least	so that they will rators. In the case ts can be re-	
	The SAWA flow instrument is mounted on the FLEX/SAWA pump a the pump's generator. The SAWA pumps are stored in the FLEX St that they will be available after the event. The SAWA pump is move outside of the RB, near the CST. This area is on the opposite side o vent pipe so that radiation is not a concern. Additionally, since the it will not be in an area of excessive temperature due to the accide	torage Building s ed to the area of the RB from th pump is outside	
	The FLEX/SAWA pumps are refueled in accordance with BSEP's F Guidelines developed in response to EA-12-049.	LEX Support	
4	Licensee to demonstrate that containment failure as a result of overpressure can be prevented without a drywell vent during severe accident conditions.	*Complete	
	*BNP-MECH-FLEX-0005 documents the MAAP calculation of conta over a 7 day period during a severe accident with only Severe Acc Addition and the wetwell vent in service for containment protection demonstrates that the containment pressure remains below the Pr Containment Pressure Limit (PCPL) and the temperatures remain I failure due to high temperatures is avoided.	ident Water n. This analysis imary	
	The base case is Case 1 in this report. Table 7-1 on Page 26 of 178 of results. The peak drywell airspace pressure is shown as 89.9 ps pressure at the time of vent opening. The MAAP program was set t	a. This is the	

#	Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Item		
#	Open Item	Status	
	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update based on wetwell pressure at PCPL of 84.7 psia. The drywell pressure is slightly higher based on the differential pressure across the wetwell water. However, it is clear from the graph of drywell pressure (PRB(2)) on Page 66 of 178 that the pressure drops rapidly when the vent is opened and never returns to a pressure nea the PCPL.		
	The peak drywell temperature is shown as 581°F on Table 7-1 on Page 26 of 178. As seen in the Figure 7-2 graph on Page 28 of 178, the drywell temperature (TGRB(2)) rapidly decreases when the vent is opened and never increases for the rest of the 7 day analysis period.		
	The site-specific MAAP evaluation for SAWA, BNP-MECH-FLEX-00 review on the ePortal.		
5	Licensee to demonstrate that there is adequate communication between the MCR and the operator at the FLEX pump during severe accident conditions.	*Complete	
		MHz radio systen	
	As part of the response to NRC Order EA-12-049, BSEP assumed a installed plant communications systems would not be available du Instead, BSEP primarily utilizes an 800 MHz radio system consisti held radios for onsite communications. These radios are stored in protected buildings, including the FLEX Storage Building, to meet of EA-12-049. This information was provided in response to NTTF Recommendation 9.3, by a letter dated October 31, 2012 (ADAMS J ML12311A299) and supplemented by a letter dated February 22, 20 Power & Light Company's and Florida Power Corporation's Respond Letter on Technical Issues for Resolution Regarding Licensee Con Submittals Associated With Near-Term Task Force Recommendation (ML13058A045). This information was assessed by the NRC staff a Evaluation was issued for this assessment. This was provided in Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 – Staff Assessment Information Request Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) – 9.3, Communic	that permanently uring an ELAP. Ing of 500 hand- reasonably the requirement Accession No. 013, Carolina onse to Follow-Up mmunication ion 9.3 and a Staff ML13093A341, t in Response to	
	As part of the response to NRC Order EA-12-049, BSEP assumed installed plant communications systems would not be available durated, BSEP primarily utilizes an 800 MHz radio system consisting held radios for onsite communications. These radios are stored in protected buildings, including the FLEX Storage Building, to meet of EA-12-049. This information was provided in response to NTTF Recommendation 9.3, by a letter dated October 31, 2012 (ADAMS ML12311A299) and supplemented by a letter dated February 22, 22 Power & Light Company's and Florida Power Corporation's Response Computing Issues for Resolution Regarding Licensee Consubmittals Associated With Near-Term Task Force Recommendation (ML13058A045). This information was assessed by the NRC staff a Evaluation was issued for this assessment. This was provided in Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 – Staff Assessment	that permanently uring an ELAP. Ing of 500 hand- in reasonably the requirements Accession No. 013, Carolina onse to Follow-Up mmunication ion 9.3 and a Staff ML13093A341, t in Response to eation Assessmen	

	Table 8 – Interim Staff Evaluation Phase 2 Open Items		
#	Open Item Status		
5.0	*Indicates a change since last 6-month update		
	*The SAWM flow instrumentation (i.e., sensor and meter) will be permanently installed on each SAWA/FLEX pump. Electrical power is provided by the pump's 12 VDC electrical system.		
	The flow instrumentation is qualified for use on fire pumps in outside ambient conditions. SAWA pumps are staged outside for use, between the CST and Reactor Building.		

9 Interim Staff Evaluation (ISE) Impacts (Phase 2 only)

None

10 References

The following references support updates to the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Overall Integrated Plan described in this enclosure.

- 1. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, *Phase 1 Overall Integrated Plan in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109)*, dated June 26, 2014, ADAMS Accession Number ML14191A687.
- 2. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Order Number EA-13-109, *Issuance of Order to Modify Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions*, dated June 6, 2013, Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession Number ML13143A321.
- NRC Letter, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 Interim Staff Evaluation Relating to Overall Integrated Plan in Response to Phase 1 of Order EA-13-109 (Severe Accident Capable Hardened Vents) (TAC Nos. MF4467 and MF4468), dated March 10, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML15049A266.
- 4. Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, *First Six Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109),* dated December 17, 2014, ADAMS Accession Number ML14364A029.
- Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Second Six Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated June 25, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML15196A035.
- Duke Energy Letter, BSEP, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Phase 1 and Phase 2 Overall Integrated Plan in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated December 11, 2015, ADAMS Accession Number ML16020A064.
- 7. Duke Energy Letter, *BSEP*, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Fourth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated June 28, 2016, ADAMS Accession Number ML16190A11.
- 8. Duke Energy Letter, *BSEP*, Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Fifth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened

Enclosure BSEP 17-0043 Page 18 of 18

Enclosure, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant (BSEP), Unit Nos. 1 and 2, Sixth Six-Month Status Report in Response to June 6, 2013, Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Reliable Hardened Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109)

Containment Vents Capable of Operation Under Severe Accident Conditions (Order Number EA-13-109), dated December 15, 2016, ADAMS Accession Number ML16365A007.

 NRC Letter, Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 – Interim Staff Evaluation Relating to Overall Integrated Plan in Response to Phase 2 of Order EA-13-109 (Severe Accident Capable Hardened Vents) (CAC Nos. MF4467 and MF4468), dated August 17, 2016, ADAMS Accession Number ML16223A725.