

10 CFR 50.54(f)

RS-16-122

August 31, 2016

ATTN: Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

> Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-37 and NPF-66 NRC Docket Nos. STN 50-454 and STN 50-455

Subject: Spent Fuel Pool Evaluation Supplemental Report, Response to NRC Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident

References:

- 1. NRC Letter, "Request for Information Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendations 2.1, 2.3, and 9.3, of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident," dated March 12, 2012 (ML12053A340)
- NRC Letter, "Final Determination of Licensee Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessments Under the Request for Information Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendation 2.1 "Seismic" of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident," dated October 27, 2015 (ML15194A015)
- 3. NEI Letter, "Request for Endorsement of Seismic Evaluation Guidance: Spent Fuel Pool Integrity Evaluation (EPRI 3002007148)," dated February 23, 2016 (ML16055A017)
- 4. EPRI 3002007148, "Seismic Evaluation Guidance, Spent Fuel Pool Integrity Evaluation," February 2016
- 5. NRC Letter, "Endorsement of Electric Power Research Institute Report 3002007148, "Seismic Evaluation Guidance: Spent Fuel Pool Integrity Evaluation"," dated March 17, 2016 (ML15350A158)
- 6. Exelon Generation Company, LLC Letter to USNRC, "Seismic Hazard and Screening Report (Central and Eastern United States (CEUS) Sites), Response to NRC Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident," dated March 31, 2014 (RS-14-065) (ML14091A010)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Seismic Hazard 2.1 Spent Fuel Pool Evaluation August 31, 2016 Page 2

- 7. NRC Letter to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, "Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, Staff Assessment of Information Provided Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 50, Section 50.54(f), Seismic Hazard Reevaluations for Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident," dated February 17, 2016 (ML16027A045)
- 8. EPRI 1025287, "Seismic Evaluation Guidance, Screening, Prioritization and Implementation Details [SPID] for the Resolution of Fukushima Near-Term Task Force, Recommendation 2.1: Seismic," February 2013

On March 12, 2012, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a Request for Information per 10 CFR 50.54(f) (Reference 1) to all power reactor licensees. Enclosure 1, Item (9) of the 50.54(f) letter requested addressees to provide spent fuel pool (SFP) integrity evaluations with any actions identified to address any discovered vulnerabilities. By letter dated October 27, 2015 (Reference 2), the NRC transmitted final seismic information request tables which identified that Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, is to conduct a limited scope SFP evaluation. By Reference 3, Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) submitted an Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) report entitled, "Seismic Evaluation Guidance Spent Fuel Pool Integrity Evaluation (EPRI 3002007148)," (Reference 4) for NRC review and endorsement. NRC endorsement was provided by Reference 5.

EPRI 3002007148 provides criteria for evaluating the seismic adequacy of a SFP to the reevaluated ground motion response spectrum (GMRS) hazard levels. The reevaluated GMRS, used for the SFP seismic demand, are documented in Reference 6 and endorsed by the NRC by Reference 7. This report supplements the guidance in the Seismic Evaluation Guidance, Screening, Prioritization and Implementation Details (SPID) (Reference 8), for plants where the GMRS peak spectral acceleration is less than or equal to 0.8g. Section 3.3 of EPRI 3002007148 lists the parameters to be verified to confirm that the results of the report are applicable to Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, and that the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, SFP is seismically adequate in accordance with Near Term Task Force (NTTF) 2.1 Seismic evaluation criteria.

The attachment to this letter provides the data for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, that confirms applicability of the EPRI 3002007148 criteria, confirms that the SFP is seismically adequate, and provides the requested information in response to Item (9) of the 50.54 (f) letter associated with NTTF Recommendation 2.1 Seismic evaluation criteria.

This letter closes Commitment No. 2 of Reference 6.

This letter contains no new regulatory commitments or revisions to existing regulatory commitments.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact Ronald Gaston at 630-657-3359.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on the 31st day of August 2016.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Seismic Hazard 2.1 Spent Fuel Pool Evaluation August 31, 2016 Page 3

Respectfully submitted,

Glen T. Kaegi

Director - Licensing & Regulatory Affairs Exelon Generation Company, LLC

Attachment: Site-Specific Spent Fuel Pool Criteria for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2

cc: Regional Administrator - NRC Region III

NRC Senior Resident Inspector – Byron Station NRC Project Manager, NRR – Byron Station Mr. Nicholas DiFrancesco, NRR/JLD/JHMB, NRC

Illinois Emergency Management Agency - Division of Nuclear Safety

ATTACHMENT

Site-Specific Spent Fuel Pool Criteria for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 Seismic Spent Fuel Pool Evaluation Page 1 of 3

The 10 CFR 50.54(f) letter requested that, in conjunction with the response to Near Term Task Force (NTTF) Recommendation 2.1, a seismic evaluation be made of the Byron Station, Units 1 & 2 spent fuel pool (SFP). More specifically, plants were asked to consider "all seismically induced failures that can lead to draining of the SFP." Such an evaluation would be needed for any plant in which the ground motion response spectrum (GMRS) exceeds the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) in the 1 to 10 Hz frequency range. The staff confirmed through References G and K that the GMRS exceeds the SSE and concluded that a SFP evaluation is merited for the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2. By letter dated March 17, 2016 (Reference H) the NRC staff determined that EPRI 3002007148 was an acceptable approach for performing SFP evaluations for plants where the peak spectral acceleration is less than or equal to 0.8g.

The table below lists the criteria from Section 3.3 of EPRI 3002007148 along with data for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, that confirms applicability of the EPRI 3002007148 criteria and confirms that the SFP is seismically adequate and can retain adequate water inventory for 72 hours in accordance with NTTF 2.1 seismic evaluation criteria.

SFP Criteria from EPRI 3002007148	Site-Specific Data	
Site Parameters		
The site-specific GMRS peak spectral acceleration at any frequency should be less than or equal to 0.8g.	The GMRS peak spectral acceleration for Byron Station, Units 1 & 2, in Reference J (Table 2.4-1) as accepted by the NRC in Reference K is 0.583g, which is ≤ 0.8g; therefore, this criterion is met.	
Structural Parameters		
2. The structure housing the SFP should be designed using an SSE with a peak ground acceleration (PGA) of at least 0.1g.	The Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 SFP is housed in the Fuel Handling Building, which is seismically designed to the site SSE with a PGA of 0.20g per Reference J, Section 3.1. The PGA for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, is greater than 0.1g; therefore, this criterion is met.	
3. The structural load path to the SFP should consist of some combination of reinforced concrete shear wall elements, reinforced concrete frame elements, post-tensioned concrete elements and/or structural steel frame elements.	The Byron Station Units 1 & 2, SFP is a conventionally reinforced concrete structure. The load path from the foundation to the SFP consists of a 6-foot thick concrete slab founded on rock with 5-foot thick (minimum) wall thicknesses (References M and N); therefore, this criterion is met.	
4. The SFP structure should be included in the Civil Inspection Program performed in accordance with Maintenance Rule.	The SFP structure is included in the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, Structures Monitoring Program (Reference A) in accordance with 10 CFR 50.65, which monitors the performance or condition of structures, systems, and components (SSCs) in a manner sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that these SSCs are capable of fulfilling their intended functions. Therefore, this criterion is met.	

SFP Criteria from EPRI 3002007148	Site-Specific Data	
Non-Structural Parameters		
5. To confirm applicability of the piping evaluation in Section 3.2 of EPRI 3002007148, piping attached to the SFP up to the first valve should have been evaluated for the SSE.	Piping attached to the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, SFP is evaluated to the SSE in accordance with Reference B; therefore, this criterion is met.	
6. Anti-siphoning devices should be installed on any piping that could lead to siphoning water from the SFP. In addition, for any cases where active anti-siphoning devices are attached to 2-inch or smaller piping and have extremely large extended operators, the valves should be walked down to confirm adequate lateral support.	There are no anti-siphoning devices attached to any piping that enters the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 SFP. Anti-siphoning features associated with SFP piping consists of vent holes provided just below the water level in the 14-inch SFP cooling system return line and the 2-inch piping from each of the two SFP skimmers (Reference C). Thus, failure of these pipes will not result in any loss of SFP inventory due to siphoning.	
	The two 12-inch SFP cooling system suction lines, two 2-inch SFP skimmer pump return lines and one 2-inch cask area fill line are not provided with antisiphoning features, however, these pipes extend to a maximum distance approximately 8-feet below the normal SFP water level (References D, P and Q). Should failure of these lines external to the SFP result in siphoning of the SFP water, there would still be greater than 15-feet of water remaining above the top of the Spent Fuel Storage Racks. Thus failure of these pipes outside the SFP would not lead to uncovering the spent fuel.	
	Since there are no anti-siphoning devices attached to any piping that enters the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 SFP, there are no active anti-siphoning devices are attached to 2-inch or smaller piping and have extremely large extended operators. Therefore, these criteria are met.	
7. To confirm applicability of the sloshing evaluation in Section 3.2 of EPRI 3002007148, the maximum SFP horizontal dimension (length or width) should be less than 125 ft, the SFP depth should be greater than 36 ft, and the GMRS peak Sa should be <0.1g at frequencies equal to or less than 0.3 Hz.	The Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, SFP has a length of 62.0 ft., a width of 33.1 ft. and a depth of 41.33 ft. (References E and F); therefore, this criterion is met. The Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, GMRS maximum spectral acceleration in the frequency range equal to or less than 0.3 Hz is 0.03g from Reference J, Section 2.4, which is less than 0.1g; therefore, this criterion is met.	

SFP Criteria from EPRI 3002007148	Site-Specific Data
8. To confirm applicability of the evaporation loss evaluation in Section 3.2 of EPRI 3002007148, the SFP surface area should be greater than 500 ft ² and the licensed reactor core thermal power should be less than 4,000 MWt per unit.	The surface area of the Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, SFP is 1870 ft ² (Reference E), which is greater than 500 ft ² ; and licensed reactor thermal power for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2 is 3645 MWt per unit (Reference L), which is less than 4,000 MWt per unit; therefore, these criteria are met.

Attachment References:

- A. ER-BY-450, Byron Structures Monitoring Program, Revision 0
- B. Document No. 01-10-52. Byron Piping Design Specification Units 1 & 2, Revision 2
- C. Drawing M-63, Sheet 1A, Diagram of Fuel Pool Cooling and Clean-Up, Revision BI
- D. Drawing M-377, Sheet 1, Fuel Handling Building Misc. Plans and Sections, Revision C
- E. Drawing S-827, Spent Fuel Pool Liner Plan El. 426'-0", Revision Y
- F. Drawing S-830, Spent Fuel Pool Liner Sections 15-15, 16-16 & 17-17, Revision J
- G. NRC Letter, Final Determination of Licensee Seismic Probabilistic Risk Assessments Under the Request for Information Pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendation 2.1 "Seismic" of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident, dated October 27, 2015 (ML15194A015)
- H. NRC Letter, Endorsement of Electric Power Research Institute Report 3002007148, "Seismic Evaluation Guidance: Spent Fuel Pool Integrity Evaluation", dated March 17, 2016 (ML15350A158)
- J. Exelon Generation Company, LLC Letter to USNRC, Seismic Hazard and Screening Report (Central and Eastern United States (CEUS) Sites), Response to NRC Request for Information Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(f) Regarding Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident, dated March 31, 2014 (RS-14-065) (ML14091A010)
- K. NRC Letter to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, Staff Assessment of Information Provided Pursuant to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Part 50, Section 50.54(f), Seismic Hazard Reevaluations for Recommendation 2.1 of the Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident, dated February 17, 2016 (ML16027A045)
- L. Byron Station Units 1 and 2, Renewed Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-37 and NPF-66
- M. Drawing S-816, Fuel Handling Building Foundation Section 1-1, Revision V
- N. Drawing S-820, Fuel Handling Building Foundation Section 5-5, Revision AH
- P. Drawing S-FC-100-63, 2-Inch and Under Piping Isometric, Revision 5
- Q. Drawing S-FC-100-52, 2-Inch and Under Piping Isometric, Revision 5