



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

March 17, 2016

Mr. Scott Batson  
Vice President, Oconee Nuclear Station  
Duke Energy Corporation  
7800 Rochester Highway  
Seneca, SC 29672-0752

SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3 – STAFF REVIEW OF INTERIM EVALUATION ASSOCIATED WITH REEVALUATED SEISMIC HAZARD IMPLEMENTING NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATION 2.1 (CAC NOS. MF5254, MF5255 AND MF5256)

Dear Mr. Batson:

By letter dated March 12, 2012 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML12053A340), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a request for information pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 50, Section 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter). The request was issued as part of implementing lessons-learned from the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant. Enclosure 1 to the 50.54(f) letter requested that licensees reevaluate seismic hazards at their sites using present-day methodologies and guidance. Enclosure 1, Item 6, of the 50.54(f) letter requested that licensees identify “interim evaluation and actions taken or planned to address the higher seismic hazard relative to the design basis as appropriate, prior to completion of the [seismic] risk evaluation.” In addition to the interim evaluation provided in the March 2014 Seismic Screening and Hazard report, the licensees for the Central and Eastern United States committed to providing the Expedited Seismic Evaluation Process (ESEP) report, an interim evaluation, by December 31, 2014.

By letter dated December 19, 2014<sup>1</sup>, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC (Duke, the licensee), provided its ESEP report in a response to Enclosure 1, Item (6) of the 50.54(f) letter, for Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2 and 3 (Oconee). The NRC staff assessed the licensee’s implementation of the ESEP guidance through the completion of a reviewer checklist<sup>2</sup>. In support of NRC staff questions, Duke provided responses dated April 24, 2015<sup>3</sup>, January 27, 2016<sup>4</sup>. Duke also supported an audit held September 15, 2015,<sup>5</sup> at NRC headquarters. Based on the NRC staff review of the ESEP report and responses to the staff’s questions, the NRC staff concludes that the licensee’s implementation of the interim evaluation meets the intent of the guidance.

---

<sup>1</sup> The December 19, 2014, letter can be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML14364A213.

<sup>2</sup> The Oconee ESEP NRC review checklist can be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML16069A144.

<sup>3</sup> The Duke response to NRC staff questions can be found ADAMS Accession No. ML15120A017.

<sup>4</sup> The Duke response to NRC staff questions can be found ADAMS Accession No. ML16034A328.

<sup>5</sup> The audit summary report can be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML15344A183.

S. Batson

- 2 -

The staff concludes that, through the implementation of the ESEP guidance, the licensee identified and evaluated the seismic capacity of certain key installed mitigating strategies equipment that is used for core cooling and containment functions to cope with scenarios that involve a loss of all alternating current power and loss of access to the ultimate heat sink to withstand a seismic event two times the safe shutdown earthquake for Oconee. The licensee's ESEP assessment provides additional assurance which supports continued plant safety while the longer-term seismic evaluation is completed to support regulatory decision making. The NRC staff concludes that the licensee responded appropriately to Enclosure 1, Item (6) of the 50.54(f) letter. Application of this review is limited to the interim evaluation as part of the Recommendation 2.1 Seismic review.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1115 or via e-mail at Nicholas.DiFrancesco@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Nicholas DiFrancesco", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Nicholas DiFrancesco, Senior Project Manager  
Hazards Management Branch  
Japan Lessons-Learned Division  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287

cc: Distribution via Listserv

S. Batson

- 2 -

The staff concludes that, through the implementation of the ESEP guidance, the licensee identified and evaluated the seismic capacity of certain key installed mitigating strategies equipment that is used for core cooling and containment functions to cope with scenarios that involve a loss of all alternating current power and loss of access to the ultimate heat sink to withstand a seismic event two times the safe shutdown earthquake for Oconee. The licensee's ESEP assessment provides additional assurance which supports continued plant safety while the longer-term seismic evaluation is completed to support regulatory decision making. The NRC staff concludes that the licensee responded appropriately to Enclosure 1, Item (6) of the 50.54(f) letter. Application of this review is limited to the interim evaluation as part of the Recommendation 2.1 Seismic review.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1115 or via e-mail at Nicholas.DiFrancesco@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Nicholas DiFrancesco, Senior Project Manager  
Hazards Management Branch  
Japan Lessons-Learned Division  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC  
JLD R/F

RidsOeMailCenter  
RidsNrrDorlpl2-1  
RidsRgn2MailCenter  
MMcCoppin, NRO  
NDiFrancesco, NRR

RidsNrrPMOconee  
RidsNrrLASLent  
RidsNrrOd  
MShams, NRR

ADAMS Accession Number: **ML16072A039**

\* via concurrence e-mail

OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	NRR/JLD/LA	
NAME	SWyman	SLent	
DATE	03/09/2016	03/14/2016	
OFFICE	DSEA/RGS2	NRR/JLD/JHMB/BC	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM
NAME	MMcCoppin*	MShams	NDiFrancesco
DATE	03/04/2016	03/17/2016	03/17/2016

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**