

Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report

Draft Report for Comment

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCE MATERIALS IN NRC PUBLICATIONS

NRC Reference Material

As of November 1999, you may electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at NRC's Library at <u>www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html</u>. Publicly released records include, to name a few, NUREG-series publications; *Federal Register* notices; applicant, licensee, and vendor documents and correspondence; NRC correspondence and internal memoranda; bulletins and information notices; inspection and investigative reports; licensee event reports; and Commission papers and their attachments.

NRC publications in the NUREG series, NRC regulations, and Title 10, "Energy," in the *Code of Federal Regulations* may also be purchased from one of these two sources.

1. The Superintendent of Documents

U.S. Government Publishing Office Mail Stop IDCC Washington, DC 20402-0001 Internet: <u>bookstore.gpo.gov</u> Telephone: (202) 512-1800 Fax: (202) 512-2104

2. The National Technical Information Service 5301 Shawnee Rd., Alexandria, VA 22312-0002 www.ntis.gov 1-800-553-6847 or, locally, (703) 605-6000

A single copy of each NRC draft report for comment is available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request as follows:

Address: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Office of Administration Publications Branch Washington, DC 20555-0001 E-mail: distribution.resource@nrc.gov Facsimile: (301) 415-2289

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at NRC's Web site address <u>www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/</u> <u>doc-collections/nuregs</u> are updated periodically and may differ from the last printed version. Although references to material found on a Web site bear the date the material was accessed, the material available on the date cited may subsequently be removed from the site.

Non-NRC Reference Material

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, transactions, *Federal Register* notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports. Such documents as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings may be purchased from their sponsoring organization.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at—

The NRC Technical Library Two White Flint North 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

These standards are available in the library for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from—

American National Standards Institute

11 West 42nd Street New York, NY 10036-8002 www.ansi.org (212) 642-4900

Legally binding regulatory requirements are stated only in laws; NRC regulations; licenses, including technical specifications; or orders, not in NUREG-series publications. The views expressed in contractorprepared publications in this series are not necessarily those of the NRC.

The NUREG series comprises (1) technical and administrative reports and books prepared by the staff (NUREG– XXXX) or agency contractors (NUREG/CR–XXXX), (2) proceedings of conferences (NUREG/CP–XXXX), (3) reports resulting from international agreements (NUREG/IA–XXXX), (4) brochures (NUREG/BR–XXXX), and (5) compilations of legal decisions and orders of the Commission and Atomic and Safety Licensing Boards and of Directors' decisions under Section 2.206 of NRC's regulations (NUREG–0750).

DISCLAIMER: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any employee, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed in this publication, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.





Protecting People and the Environment

Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report

Draft Report for Comment

Manuscript Completed: October 2015 Date Published: December 2015

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

COMMENTS ON DRAFT REPORT

Any interested party may submit comments on this report for consideration by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff. Comments may be accompanied by additional relevant information or supporting data. Please specify the report number **NUREG**–**2191**, **Volume 1**, in your comments, and send them by the end of the comment period specified in the *Federal Register* notice announcing the availability of this report.

<u>Addresses</u>: You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include Docket ID **NRC–2015–0251** in the subject line of your comments. Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be posted on the NRC website and on the Federal rulemaking website <u>http://www.regulations.gov</u>.

Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to <u>http://www.regulations.gov</u> and search for documents filed under Docket ID **NRC–2015–0251**. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher at 301-415-3463 or by e-mail at <u>Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov</u>.

<u>Mail comments to</u>: Cindy Bladey, Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch (RADB), Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Mail Stop:

OWFN-12-H08, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001

For any questions about the material in this report, please contact: Bennett Brady, Senior Project Manager, 301-415-2981 or by e-mail at <u>Bennett.Brady@nrc.gov</u>.

Please be aware that any comments that you submit to the NRC will be considered a public record and entered into the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). Do not provide information you would not want to be publicly available.

ABSTRACT

1

2 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff has defined subsequent license renewal (SLR) to be the period of extended operation from 60 years to 80 years of nuclear power plant 3 4 operation. NUREG-2191, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal 5 Report," provides guidance for SLR applicants. The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for 6 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report contains the NRC staff's generic evaluation 7 of plant aging management programs (AMPs) and establishes the technical basis for their 8 adequacy. The GALL-SLR Report contains recommendations on specific areas for which existing AMPs should be augmented for SLR. An applicant may reference this report in an SLR 9 10 application to demonstrate that the AMPs at the applicant's facility correspond to those described in the GALL-SLR Report. If an applicant credits an AMP in the GALL-SLR Report, it 11 12 is incumbent on the applicant to ensure that the conditions and operating experience (OE) at the 13 plant are bounded by the conditions and OE for which the GALL-SLR Report program was 14 evaluated. If these bounding conditions are not met, it is incumbent on the applicant to address 15 any additional aging effects and augment the AMPs for SLR. For AMPs that are based on the 16 GALL-SLR Report, the NRC staff will review and verify whether the applicant's AMPs are 17 consistent with those described in the GALL-SLR Report, including applicable plant conditions 18 and OE. The focus of the NRC staff's review of an SLR application is on those AMPs that an 19 applicant has enhanced to be consistent with the GALL-SLR Report, those AMPs for which the 20 applicant has taken an exception to the program described in the GALL-SLR Report, and 21 plant-specific AMPs not described in the GALL-SLR Report. The information in the GALL-SLR Report has been incorporated into the NUREG-2192, "Standard Review Plan for Review of 22 23 Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants," as directed by the 24 Commission, to improve the efficiency of the SLR process.

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	Section	on	F	Sage
3 4		IRACT OF TABL	ES	iii xi
5	LIST	OF CONT	TRIBUTORS	xv
6	ABBI)NS	xix
7	INTR)N	XXV
8	BACI	KGROUN	D	xxvii
9	OVER	RVIEW OI	F THE GENERIC AGING LESSONS LEARNED FOR SUBSEQUENT	
10				. XXXI
11			I OF THE GENERIC AGING LESSONS LEARNED FOR SUBSEQUENT	
12	LICE	NJE KEN		XXXV
13	I	APPLIC	CATION OF AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS CODE.	i-1
14	п	CONTA		II-1
15			PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR CONTAINMENTS	-2
16		A1	CONCRETE CONTAINMENTS	
17		/ ()	(REINFORCED AND PRESTRESSED)	A1-1
18		Δ2	STEEL CONTAINMENTS	Δ2-1
10		Δ3		Δ3_1
20		11	BOILING WATER REACTOR CONTAINMENTS	I R_1
20		" R1		R1_1
21		B2		B2 1
22				
23		11 12		
24		B3 B4	COMMON COMPONENTS	B4-1
_0		Ξ.		
26	III	STRUC	TURES AND COMPONENT SUPPORTS	.111-1
27		111	SAFETY-RELATED AND OTHER STRUCTURES	. 111-3
28		A1	GROUP 1 STRUCTURES (BOILNG WATER REACTOR	
29			REACTOR BUILDING. PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR	
30			SHIELD BUILDING. CONTROL ROOM/BUILDING)	A1-1
31		A2	GROUP 2 STRUCTURES (BOILNG WATER REACTOR	
32			REACTOR BUILDING WITH STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE)	A2-1
33		A3	GROUP 3 STRUCTURES (AUXILIARY BUILDING, DIESEL	
34			GENERATOR BUILDING, RADWASTE BUILDING, TURBINE	
35			BUILDING SWITCHGEAR ROOM YARD STRUCTURES	
36			SUCH AS AUXILIARY FEEDWATER PUMPHOUSE UTILITY/PIPING	
37			TUNNELS SECURITY/LIGHTING POLES MANHOLES DUCT	
38			BANKS' STATION BLACKOUT STRUCTURES, SUCH AS	
39			TRANSMISSION TOWERS, STARTUP TOWERS CIRCUIT	
40			BREAKER FOUNDATION ELECTRICAL ENCLOSURE)	∆3_1
<u>4</u> 1		Δ4	GROUP 4 STRUCTURES (CONTAINMENT INTERNAL	10-1
42 42				∆⊿_1
<u>דר</u> ⊿२		Δ5	GROUP 5 STRUCTURES (FUEL STORAGE FACILITY	/ \- -1
		70		Δ5 1
-++ 15		46		
40 46		A0 A7		
+0 17				A7 1
+/				MI-1

1		A8	GROUP 8 STRUCTURES (STEEL TANKS AND	
2			MISSILE BARRIERS)	III A8-1
3		A9	GROUP 9 STRUCTURES (BOILNG WATER REACTOR UNIT	
4			VENT STACK)	III A9-1
5		Ш		III_1
6		R1		III R1_1
7		ום רם		111 D 1-1
0		DZ	TUDETDACK [®] INSTRUMENT TUDING NONASME DIDING	
ð			TUBETRACK, INSTRUMENT TUBING, NUNASME PIPING	
9				III B2-1
10		B3	ANCHORAGE OF RACKS, PANELS, CABINETS, AND	
11			ENCLOSURES FOR ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT AND	
12			INSTRUMENTATION	III B3-1
13		B4	SUPPORTS FOR EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATOR, HEATING	
14			VENTILIATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM COMPONENT	īS,
15			AND OTHER MISCELLANEOUS MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT	III B4-1
16		B5	SUPPORTS FOR PLATFORMS PIPE WHIP RESTRAINTS JET	
17		20	IMPINGEMENT SHIELDS MASONRY WALLS AND OTHER	
10				
10				111 DJ-1
10	N7			N/ 4
19	IV	REAU	IUR VESSEL, INTERNALS, AND REACTOR COULANT STSTEM	IV-1
20		A1	REACTOR VESSEL (BOILING WATER REACTOR)	IV A1-1
21		A2	REACTOR VESSEL (PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	IV A2-1
22		B1	REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS (BOILING WATER REACTOR)	IV B1-1
23		B2	REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS (PRESSURIZED WATER	
24			REACTOR)—WESTINGHOUSE	IV B2-1
25		B3	REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS (PRESSURIZED WATER	
26			REACTOR)—COMBUSTION ENGINEERING	IV B3-1
27		B4	REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS (PRESSURIZED WATER	
28		5.		IV R4-1
20		C1		
20		01		
24		<u></u>		
31		62		11/00/4
32			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	IV C2-1
33		D1	STEAM GENERATOR (RECIRCULATING)	IV D1-1
34		D2	STEAM GENERATOR (ONCE-THROUGH)	IV D2-1
35		E	COMMON MISCELLANEOUS MATERIAL/	
36			ENVIRONMENT COMBINATIONS	IV E-1
37	V	ENGIN	EERED SAFETY FEATURES	V-1
38		А	CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM	
39			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTORS)	V A-1
10		R	STANDRY GAS TREATMENT SYSTEM	
11		D		
40		C		VD-1
42				v C-1
43		D1	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM	
44			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTORS)	V D1-1
45		D2	EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM	
46			(BOILING WATER REACTORS)	V D2-1
47		E	EXTERNAL SURFACES OF COMPONENTS AND	
48			MISCELLANEOUS BOLTING	V E-1
49		F	COMMON MISCELLANEOUS MATERIAL/	
50		-	ENVIRONMENT COMBINATIONS	V F₋1

1	VI	ELECTF	RICAL COMPONENTS	VI-1
2		А	EQUIPMENT, NOT SUBJECT TO10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL	
3			QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	VI A-1
4		В	EQUIPMENT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL	
5			QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	VI B-1
6	VII	AUXILIA	ARY SYSTEMS	VII-1
7		A1	NEW FUEL STORAGE	VII A1-1
8		A2	SPENT FUEL STORAGE	VII A2-1
9		A3	SPENT FUEL POOL COOLING AND CLEANUP	
10			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	VII A3-1
11		A4	SPENT FUEL POOL COOLING AND CLEANUP	
12			(BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VII A4-1
13		A5	SUPPRESSION POOL CLEANUP SYSTEM	
14			(BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VII A5-1
15		В	OVERHEAD HEAVY LOAD AND LIGHT LOAD	
16			(RELATED TO REFUELING) HANDLING SYSTEMS	VII B-1
17		C1	OPEN-CYCLE COOLING WATER SYSTEM	
18			(SERVICE WATER SYSTEM)	VII C1-1
19		C2	CLOSED-CYCLE COOLING WATER SYSTEM	VII C2-1
20		C3	ULTIMATE HEAT SINK	VII C3-1
21		D	COMPRESSED AIR SYSTEM	VII D-1
22		E1	CHEMICAL AND VOLUME CONTROL SYSTEM	
23			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	VII E1-1
24		E2	STANDBY LIQUID CONTROL SYSTEM	
25			(BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VII E2-1
26		E3	REACTOR WATER CLEANUP SYSTEM	
27			(BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VII E3-1
28		E4	SHUTDOWN COOLING SYSTEM	
29			(OLDER BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VII E4-1
30		E5	WASTE WATER SYSTEMS	VII E5-1
31		F1	CONTROL ROOM AREA VENTILATION SYSTEM	VII F1-1
32		F2	AUXILIARY AND RADWASTE AREA VENTILATION SYSTEM	VII F2-1
33		F3	PRIMARY CONTAINMENT HEATING AND VENTILATION SYSTEM	VII F3-1
34		F4	DIESEL GENERATOR BUILDING VENTILATION SYSTEM	VII F4-1
35		G	FIRE PROTECTION	VII G-1
36		H1	DIESEL FUEL OIL SYSTEM	VII H1-1
37		H2	EMERGENCY DIESEL GENERATOR SYSTEM	VII H2-1
38		I	EXTERNAL SURFACES OF COMPONENTS AND	
39			MISCELLANEOUS BOLTING	VII I-1
40		J	COMMON MISCELLANEOUS MATERIAL/	
41			ENVIRONMENT COMBINATIONS	VII J-1
42	VIII	STEAM	AND POWER CONVERSION SYSTEM	VIII-1
43		А	STEAM TURBINE SYSTEM	VIII A-1
44		B1	MAIN STEAM SYSTEM (PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	VIII B1-1
45		B2	MAIN STEAM SYSTEM (BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VIII B2-1
46		С	EXTRACTION STEAM SYSTEM	VIII C-1
47		D1	FEEDWATER SYSTEM (PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	VIII D1-1
48		D2	FEEDWATER SYSTEM (BOILING WATER REACTOR)	VIII D2-1
49		E	CONDENSATE SYSTEM	VIII E-1

1		F	STEAM GENERATOR BLOWDOWN SYSTEM	
2			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	VIII F-1
3		G	AUXILIARY FEEDWATER SYSTEM	
4			(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTOR)	VIII G-1
5		Н	EXTERNAL SURFACES OF COMPONENTS AND	
6			MISCELLANEOUS BOLTING	VIII H-1
7		I	COMMON MISCELLANEOUS MATERIAL/	
8			ENVIRONMENT COMBINATIONS	VIII I-1
•				
9	IX	USE OF	TERMS FOR STRUCTURES, COMPONENTS, MATERIALS,	
10		ENVIRO	MENTS, AGING EFFECTS, AND AGING MECHANISMS	IX-1
11				IX A-1
12		в 5	TRUCTURES AND COMPONENTS	IX B-1
13				IX C-1
14				IX D-1
15		E A		IX E-1
16		F SI	GNIFICANT AGING MECHANISMS	IX F-1
17	x		ANAGEMENT PROGRAMS THAT MAY BE USED TO	
18	Λ	DEMONS	STRATE ACCEPTABILITY OF TIME-LIMITED AGING ANALYSES	
19		IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	X-1
20		X.M1	CYCLIC LOAD MONITORING	X.M1-1
21		X.M2	NEUTRON FLUENCE MONITORING.	X.M2-1
22		X S1		
22		7.51		X S1_1
20				X.01-1
24		X.ET		
25				
26	XI	AGING N	IANAGEMENT PROGRAMS	XI-1
27	2.11	GUIDAN	CE ON USE OF LATER EDITIONS/REVISIONS OF VARIOUS	
28		INDUST	RY DOCUMENTS	XI-5
20		XI M1	ASME SECTION XI INSERVICE INSPECTION SUBSECTIONS	
30		XI.IVI I		XI M1-1
31		XLM2	WATER CHEMISTRY	XI M2_1
32		XI M3	REACTOR HEAD OLOSURE STUD BOLTING	XI M3_1
33		XI M4	BOILING WATER REACTOR VESSEL ID ATTACHMENT WELDS	S XI M4_1
34		XI M5	BOILING WATER REACTOR FEEDWATER NOZZI F	XI M5_1
35		XI M6	DELETED	XI M6-1
26				
30				XI.IVI/-1
১। ১০				XI.IVIO-1
20 20				XI.IVI9-1
39				XI.IVI I U- I
40 44		XI.IVI I B		
41				N
42				
43			UNIFUNEINIS (PRESSURIZED WATER REAUTURS UNLY)	. ALIVITIB-1
44 15			THERWAL AGING EIVIDRITTLEIVIENT OF GAST AUSTENTIG	XI M10 1
40 46				XI M16A 1
47		XI.W10A XI M17		XI.WI0A-1 XI M17_1
48		XI M18	BOI TING INTEGRITY	XI M18_1
		/		

1	XI.M19	STEAM GENERATORS	. XI.M19-1
2	XI.M20	OPEN-CYCLE COOLING WATER SYSTEM	. XI.M20-1
3	XI.M21A	CLOSED TREATED WATER SYSTEMS	XI.M21A-1
4	XI.M22	BORAFLEX MONITORING	. XI.M22-1
5	XI.M23	INSPECTION OF OVERHEAD HEAVY LOAD AND LIGHT LOAD	
6		(RELATED TO REFUELING) HANDLING SYSTEMS	. XI.M23-1
7	XI.M24	COMPRESSED AIR MONITORING	. XI.M24-1
8	XI.M25	BOILNG WATER REACTOR WATER (BWR)	
9	_	REACTOR WATER CLEANUP SYSTEM	. XI.M25-1
10	XI.M26	FIRE PROTECTION	. XI.M26-1
11	XI.M27	FIRE WATER SYSTEM.	. XI.M27-1
12	XI.M29	ABOVEGROUND METALLIC TANKS	XI.M29-1
13	XI.M30	FUEL OIL CHEMISTRY	XI.M30-1
14	XI M31	REACTOR VESSEL MATERIAL SURVEILLANCE	XI M31-1
15	XI M32	ONE-TIME INSPECTION	XI M32-1
16	XI M33	SELECTIVE LEACHING	XI M33-1
17	XI M35	ASME CODE CLASS 1 SMALL-BORE PIPING	XI M35-1
18	XI M36	EXTERNAL SURFACES MONITORING OF	
10	71.1000		XI M36-1
20	XI M37		XI M37-1
20 21	XI.M38		. /1.10107-1
21 22	XI.10150		XI M38-1
22	XI M30		XI M30-1
20	XI.W39		. XI.1035-1
2 4 25	XI.IVI 4 0		VI M40 1
20			VI M41 1
20 27			. 1.1014 1-1
21	AI.IVI42	INTERNAL COATINGS/LIMINGS FOR IN SCOPE FIFING,	
20			. AI.IVI42-1
29			XI.SI-I
3U 24	AI.52		XI.32-1
১। ১০	XI.53		XI.SS-1
3Z	XI.54		XI.54-1
33	XI.55		XI.S5-1
34	XI.56		XI.S6-1
35	XI.S7	INSPECTION OF WATER-CONTROL STRUCTURES	
36	N/1 0 0	ASSOCIATED WITH NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS	XI.S7-1
37	XI.S8	PROTECTIVE COATING MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE	XI.S8-1
38	XI.E1	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR ELECTRICAL CABLES AND	
39		CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49	· <i>··</i> – · ·
40		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	XI.E1-1
41	XI.E2	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR ELECTRICAL CABLES AND	
42		CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49	
43		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS USED IN	
44		INSTRUMENTATION CIRCUITS	XI.E2-1
45	XI.E3A	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE	
46		MEDIUM VOLTAGE POWER CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO	
47		10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION	
48		REQUIREMENTS	XI.E3A-1
49	XI.E3B	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE	
50		INSTRUMENT AND CONTROL CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO	
51		10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION	
52		REQUIREMENTS	XI.E3B-1

1 2 3	XI.E3C	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE LOW VOLTAGE POWER CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO	
J ⊿		RECHIREMENTS	
5	XI.E4	METAL-ENCLOSED BUS	XI.E4-1
6	XI.E5	FUSE HOLDERS	XI.E5-1
7	XI.E6	ELECTRICAL CABLE CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT	
8		TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL	
9		QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS	XI.E6-1
10	XI.E7	HIGH VOLTAGE INSULATORS	XI.E7-1
11	APPENDIX A—C	QUALITY ASSURANCE FOR AGING MANAGEMENT PRO	OGRAMSA-1
12 13	APPENDIX B—C M	OPERATING EXPERIENCE FOR AGING	B–1

1		LIST OF TABLES	
2	Table		Page
3 4 5	OVERVIEW C LICENSE REI	OF THE GENERIC AGING LESSONS LEARNED FOR SUBSEQUENT NEWAL REPORT EVALUATION PROCESS	vyvii
6	2	Aging Management Programs Element Descriptions	xxxiii
7		N OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS COD	Ε
9	1-1	Use in AMPs	I-3
10		CONTAINMENT STRUCTURES	
11		Concrete Containments (Reinforced and Prestressed)	II A1-2
12	A2	Steel Containments	II A2-2
13	A3	Common Components	II A3-2
14	B1 1	Mark I Steel Containments	II B1-2
15	B1 2	Mark I Concrete Containments	II B1-4
16	B2 1	Mark II Steel Containments	II B2-2
17	B2 2	Mark II Concrete Containments	II B2-4
18	B3.1	Mark III Steel Containments	II B3-2
19	B3.2	Mark III Concrete Containments	II B3-6
20	B4	Common Components	II B4-2
21	CHAPTER III	STRUCTURES AND COMPONENT SUPPORTS	
22	A1	Group 1 Structures (BWR Reactor Bldg., PWR Shield Bldg.,	
23		Control Room/Bldg	III A1-2
24	A2	Group 2 Structures (BWR Reactor Bldg. With Steel Superstructure)	III A2-2
25	A3	Group 3 Structures (Auxiliary Bldg., Diesel Generator Bldg.,	
26		Radwaste Bldg., Turbine Bldg., Switchgear Rm., Yard Structures	
27		Such As AFW Pumphouse Utility/Piping Tunnels, Security/Lighting	
28		Poles, Manholes, Duct Banks; SBO Structures Such As Transmission	
29		Towers, Startup Tower Circuit Breaker Foundation,	
30		Electrical Enclosure)	III A3-2
31	A4	Group Structures (Containment Internal Structures, Excluding	
32		Refueling Canal)	III A4-2
33	A5	Group 5 Structures, (Fuel Storage Facility, Refueling Canal)	III A5-2
34	A6	Group 6 Structures (Water-Control Structures)	III A6-2
35	A7	Group 7 Structures (Concrete Tanks and Missile Barriers)	III A7-2
36	A8	Group 8 Structures (Steel Tanks and Missile Barriers)	III A8-2
37	A9	Group 9 Structures (BWR Unit Vent Stack)	III A9-2
38	B1.1	Class 1	III B1-2
39	B1.2	Class 2 and 3	III B1-6
40	B1.3	Class MC	III B1-9
41	B2	Support for Cable Trays, Conduit, HVAC Ducts, Tube Track,	
42		Instrument Tubing, NonASME Piping and Components	III B2-2
43	B3	Anchorage of Racks, Panels, Cabinets, and Enclosures for Electrical	
44		Equipment and Instrumentation	III B3-2
45	B4	Supports for Emergency Diesel Generator, HVAC System	
46		Components, and Other Miscellaneous Mechanical Equipment	III B4-2

1 2	B5	Supports for Platforms, Pipe Whip Restraints, Jet Impingement Shields, Masonry Walls, and Other Miscellaneous Structures	III B5-2
3 4 5	CHAPTER IV	REACTOR VESSEL, INTERNALS, AND REACTOR COOLANT	
6	Δ1	Reactor Vessel (BWR)	IV/ A1-2
7	A2	Reactor Vessel (PWR)	IV A2-2
8	R1	Reactor Vessel Internals (BWR)	IV R1-2
ğ	B2	Reactor Vessel Internals (PWR)—Westinghouse	IV B2-2
10	B3	Reactor Vessel Internals (PWR)—Combustion Engineering	IV B3-2
11	R4	Reactor Vessel Internals (PWR)—Babcock & Wilcox	IV R4-2
12	C1	Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary (BWR)	IV C1-2
13	C2	Reactor Coolant System and Connected Lines (PWR)	IV C2-2
14	D1	Steam Generator (Recirculation)	IV D1-2
15	D2	Steam Generator (Once-Through)	IV D2-2
16	E	Common Miscellaneous Material/Environment Combinations	IV E-2
17	CHAPTER V	ENGINEERED SAFETY FEATURES	
18	А	Containment Spray system (PWR)	V A-2
19	В	Standby Gas Treatment System (BWR)	V B-2
20	С	Containment Isolation Components	V C-2
21	D1	Emergency Core Cooling System (PWR)	V D1-2
22	D2	Emergency Core Cooling System (BWR)	V D2-2
23	E	External Surfaces of Components and Miscellaneous Bolting	V E-2
24	F	Common Miscellaneous Material/Environment Combinations	V F-2
25	CHAPTER VI	ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS	
26	A	Equipment Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental	
27		Qualification Requirements	VI A-2
28	В	Equipment Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental	
29		Qualification Requirements	VI B-2
30	CHAPTER VII	AUXILIARY SYSTEMS	
31	A1	New Fuel Storage	VII A1-2
32	A2	Spent Fuel Storage	VII A2-2
33	A3	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling and Cleanup (PWR)	VII A3-2
34	A4	Spent Fuel Pool Cooling and Cleanup (BWR)	VII A4-2
35	В	Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling	
36	04	Handling Systems)	
31		Open-Cycle Cooling Water System (Service Water System)	VII C1-2
38	62	Closed-Cycle Cooling Water System	VII C2-2
39			VII C3-2
40	D E4	Compressed Air System	VII D-2
41	El	Chemical and Volume Control System (PWR)	
4Z		Stanuby Liquid Control System (BWR)	
43	⊑3 ⊑4	Reactor valer Creanup System (BVVK)	VII E3-2
44 45	C4	Silutuowii Cooling System (Cluer BWK)	
40 46		Vasie Valel Jysiellis	
40 47		Auviliary and Redwaste Area Ventilation System	
41 10	FZ E2	Auxiliary and Radwaste Area ventilation System	۷۱۱ ۲۷-۷ ۱/۱۱ ۲۵ ۵
40 40	гэ Е4	Diogol Congretor Building Ventilation System	
49	Г' 4	Dieser Generator Dunung ventilation System	VII F4-Z

1	G	Fire Protection	VII G-3
2	H1	Diesel Fuel Oil System	VII H1-2
3	H2	Emergency Diesel Generator System	VII H2-2
4	1	External Surfaces of Components and Miscellaneous Bolting	VII I-2
5	J	Common Miscellaneous Material/Environment Combinations	VII J-2
6		IL STEAM AND DOWED CONVERSION SYSTEM	
7		Steam Turbing System	\/III A 2
2 Q		Main Steam System (DM/D)	
0		Main Steam System (FWIX)	
9 10	62 C	Extraction Steam System	
10		Excludition Steam System	
10		Feedwater Systems (FWR)	
12		Condenante Systems (DWR)	
13	E	Condensate System	
14	F	Steam Generator Blowdown System (PWR)	
15	G	Auxiliary Feedwater System (PWR)	VIII G-2
16	н	External Surfaces of Components and Miscellaneous Bolting	VIII H-2
1/	I	Common Miscellaneous Material/Environment Combinations	VIII I-2
18			
19	CHAPTER IX	USE OF TERMS FOR STRUCTURES, COMPONENTS, MATERIALS,	
20		ENVIRONMENTS, AGING EFFECTS, AND AGING MECHANISMS	
21	IX.B	Use of Terms for Structures and Components	IX B-2
22	IX.C	Use of Terms for Materials	IX C-2
23	IX.D	Use of Terms for Environments	IX D-2
24	IX.E	Use of Terms for Aging Effects	IX E-2
25	IX.F	Use of Terms for Aging Mechanisms	IX F-2
26			
27	CHAPTER X	AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS THAT MAY BE USED TO	<i></i>
28		DEMONSTRATE ACCEPTABILITY OF TIME-LIMITED AGING ANALY	SES IN
29		ACCORDANCE WITH 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(III)	
30	X-01	FSAR Supplement Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter X Aging	
31		Management Programs That May Be Used to Demonstrate	
32		Acceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in Accordance with	
33		10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	X 01-1
34	X-02	FSAR Supplement Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Manageme	nt
35		Programs Discussed in SRP-SLR Chapter 4	X 02-1
36	•···		
37	CHAPTER XI	AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS	
38	XI.M12-1	Thermal Embrittlement Susceptibility	XI.M12-2
39	XI.M27-1	Fire Water System Inspection and Testing Recommendations	XI.M27-4
40	XI.M29-1	Tank Inspection Recommendations	XI.M29-3
41	XI.M32-1	Examples of Parameters Monitored or Inspected and Aging Effect for	
42		Specific Structure or Component	XI.M32-4
43	XI.M35-1	Examinations	XI.M35-5
44	XI.M41-1	Preventive Actions for Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks	XI.M41-2
45	XI.M41-2	Inspection of Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks	XI.M41-4
46	XI.M41-3	Cathodic Protection Acceptance Criteria	XI.M41-10
47	XI.M42-1	Inspection Intervals for Internal Coatings/Linings for Tanks, Piping,	
48		Piping Components, and Heat Exchangers	XI.M42-4
49		FOAD Over a laws and Over a miner frag OALL OLD Data and Observations VLA size a	
10	XI-01	FSAR Supplement Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging	

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS1

Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

B. Holian	Division Director
C. Miller	Division Director
J. Lubinski	Division Director
R. Caldwell	Deputy Division Director
M. Delligatti	Deputy Division Director
M. Galloway	Deputy Division Director
J. Marshall	Deputy Division Director
S. Weerakkody	Deputy Division Director
S. Bloom	Branch Chief
Y. Diaz	Branch Chief
M. Marshall	Branch Chief
D. Morey	Branch Chief
B. Pham	Branch Chief
B. Wittick	Branch Chief
A. Hiser	Senior Technical Advisor
B. Brady	Technical Project Manager Lead
W. Burton	Regulatory Project Manager Lead
A. Billoch	Lead Project Manager
H. Jones	Lead Project Manager
J. Mitchell	Lead Project Manager
R. Plasse	Lead Project Manager
B. Rogers	Lead Project Manager
E. Sayoc	Lead Project Manager
E. Gettys	Public Coordination
A. Kazi	Public Coordination
A. Bufford	Structural Engineering
D. Brittner	Project Manager
C. Doutt	Electrical Engineering
B. Fu	Mechanical Engineering
W. Gardner	Mechanical Engineering
J. Gavula	Mechanical Engineering
B. Grange	Project Manager
K. Green	Mechanical Engineering
W. Holston	Mechanical Engineering

¹The titles in this List of Contributions refer to the NRC staff's role in the development of this document, not their current position.

C. Hovanec	Mechanical Engineering
R. Kalikian	Mechanical Engineering
B. Litkett	Project Manager
Divisio	n of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
J. Medoff	Mechanical Engineering
S. Min	Mechanical Engineering
A. Prinaris	Structural Engineering
M. Sadollah	Structural Engineering
G. Thomas	Structural Engineering
M. Yoo	Mechanical Engineering
	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
D. Alley	Branch Chief
S. Bailey	Branch Chief
R. Dennig	Branch Chief
C. Jackson	Branch Chief
A. Klein	Branch Chief
G. Kulesa	Branch Chief
T. Lupold	Branch Chief
S. Rosenberg	Branch Chief
J. Zimmerman	Branch Chief
R. Hardies	Senior Level Advisor
K. Karwoski	Senior Level Advisor
L. Banic	Project Manager
G. Cheruvenki	Materials Engineering
J. Collins	Materials Engineering
S. Cumblidge	Materials Engineering
A. Erickson	Structural Engineering
C. Fairbanks	Materials Engineering
M. Hardgrove	Mechanical Engineering
K. Hoffman	Materials Engineering
A. Johnson	Reactor Operations Engineering
S. Jones	Reactor Systems Engineering
B. Lee	Reactor Systems Engineering
B. Lehman	Structural Engineering
R. Mathew	Electrical Engineering
I. Naeem	Fire Protection Engineering
C. Ng	Mechanical Engineering
D. Nguyen	Electrical Engineering
A. Obodoako	Materials Engineering
B. Parks	Reactor Engineering
J. Poehler	Materials Engineering

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

P. Purtscher	Materials Engineering
S. Ray	Electrical Engineering
S. Sheng	Materials Engineering
A. Tsirigotis	Mechanical Engineer
O. Yee	Reactor Systems Engineering
M. Yoder	Chemical Engineering
P. Verdi	Foreign Assignee
	Region II
P. Cooper	Sr. Reactor Inspector
J. Rivera-Ortiz	Reactor Inspector
	Region III
N. Feliz-Adorno	Sr. Reactor Inspector
M. Holmberg	Sr. Reactor Inspector
C. Tilton	Sr. Reactor Inspector
	Region IV
S. Graves	Sr. Reactor Inspector
G. Pick	Sr. Reactor Inspector
M. Williams	Reactor Inspector
	Office of New Reactors
J. Xu	Branch Chief
A. Istar	Structural Engineering
	Office of Nuclear Materials and Safeguards
A. Csontos	Branch Chief
J. Wise	Materials Engineering
	Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
J. Burke	Branch Chief
S. Frankl	Branch Chief
M. Gavrilas	Branch Chief
J. Nakoski	Branch Chief
W. Ott	Branch Chief
D. Rudland	Branch Chief
M. Salley	Branch Chief
R. Sydnor	Branch Chief
J. Ake	Senior Technical Advisor—Geophysical Engineering
T. Nicholson	Senior Technical Advisor—Radionuclide Transport
R. Tregoning	Senior Technical Advisor—Materials Engineering
A. Hull	Team Leader

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

- K. Arai Materials Engineering M. Benson Materials Engineering E. Focht Materials Engineering M. Fuhrman Geochemistry C. Harris Materials Engineering M. Hiser Materials Engineering M. Homiack Mechanical Engineering M. Kirk Materials Engineering B. Lin Mechanical Engineering S. Malik Materials Engineering K. Miller Electrical Engineering W. Norris Materials Engineering G. Oberson Materials Engineering R. Perkins Reliability & Risk Engineering I. Prokofiev Materials Engineering J. Philip Geotechnical Engineering A. Pulvirenti Materials Engineering S. Rao Materials Engineering M. Rossi Materials Engineering M. Sircar Structural Engineering M. Srinivasan Materials Engineering G. Stevens Materials Engineering D. Stroup **Fire Protection Engineering** G. Wang Mechanical Engineering Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses, Southwest Research Institute[®] G. Adams Computer/Industrial Engineering L. Howard Project Manager/Nuclear Engineering L. Naukam Program Support/Technical Editing Y. Pan Materials Engineering
- A. Ramos Program Support/Technical Editing

ABBREVIATIONS

ACAR	aluminum conductor aluminum alloy reinforced
ACSR	aluminum conductor steel reinforced
ACI	American Concrete Institute
ADAMS	Agencywide Documents Access and Management System
ADS	automatic depressurization system
AEA	Atomic Energy Act
AEC	Atomic Energy Commission
AFW	auxiliary feedwater
AERM	aging effect requiring management
AISC	American Institute of Steel Construction
AI	Aluminum
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
AMPs	aging management programs
AMR	aging management review
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
ASTM	ASTM International
B&PV	boiler and pressure vessel
B&W	Babcock & Wilcox
BWR	boiling water reactor
BWRVIP	Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project
C4 CC	
CASS	
CB	
	Combustion Engineering
	Control element assembly
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
	control rod drive machaniam
	control rod guide tube
CKGI	control rod guide tube
0003	chemical and volume control system
DC	direct current
DHR	decay heat removal
DIR	Division of License Renewal

DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DSCSS	drywell and suppression chamber spray system
EDG	emergency diesel generator
EMDA	Expanded Materials Degradation Assessment
EPDM	ethylene propylene diene monosomer
EPR	ethylene-propylene rubber
EPRI	Electric Power Research Institute
EQ	environmental qualification
FAC	flow-accelerated corrosion
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FRN	Federal Register Notice
FSAR	Final Safety Analysis Report
FW	feedwater
GALL	Generic Aging Lessons Learned
GALL-SLR	Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal
GE	General Electric
GL	generic letter
HDPE	high density polyethylene
HELB	high-energy line break
HP	high pressure
HPCI	high-pressure coolant injection
HPCS	high-pressure core spray
HPSI	high-pressure safety injection
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning
IAEA I&C IASCC IC ID IEB IEEE IGA IGSCC IMI	International Atomic Energy Agency instrumentation and control irradiation assisted stress corrosion cracking isolation condenser inside diameter inspection and enforcement bulletin Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers intergranular attack intergranular stress corrosion cracking incore monitoring instrumentation information notice
INPO	Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
IPA	integrated plant assessment

IR	insulation resistance
IRM	intermediate range monitor
IRS	Incident Reporting System
ISG	interim staff guidance
ISI	inservice inspection
LERs	licensee event reports
LG	lower grid
LOCA	loss of coolant accident
LP	low pressure
LPCI	low-pressure coolant injection
LPCS	low-pressure core spray
LPM	loose part monitoring
LPRM	low-power range monitor
LPSI	low-pressure safety injection
LRA	license renewal application
LR-ISG	License Renewal Interim Staff Guidance
LRT	leak rate test
LWR	light water reactor
MEAP	material/environment/aging effect/program
MIC	microbiologically influenced corrosion
MRP	Materials Reliability Program
MS	main steam
MSR	moisture separator/reheater
MT	magnetic particle testing
NDE	nondestructive examination
NEA	Nuclear Energy Agency
NEI	Nuclear Energy Institute
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
NPAR	nuclear plant aging research
NPP	nuclear power plant
NPS	nominal pipe size
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRMS	normalized root mean square
NRR	Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
NSAC	Nuclear Safety Analysis Center
NSSS	nuclear steam supply system
NUMARC	Nuclear Management and Resources Council

OCCW	open-cycle cooling water
OD	outside diameter
ODSCC	outside diameter stress corrosion cracking
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OE	operating experience
OM	operation and maintenance
PT	penetrant testing
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
PWR	pressurized water reactor
PWSCC	primary water stress corrosion cracking
QA	quality assurance
RCCA	rod control cluster assemblies
RCIC	reactor core isolation cooling
RCP	reactor coolant pump
RCPB	reactor coolant pressure boundary
RCS	reactor coolant system
RES	Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
RG	Regulatory Guide
RHR	residual heat removal
RMS	root mean square
RWCU	reactor water cleanup
RWST	refueling water storage tank
RWT	refueling water tank
SAW	submerged arc weld
SBO	station blackout
SCs	structures and components
SCC	stress corrosion cracking
SDC	shutdown cooling
SFP	spent fuel pool
SG	steam generator
S/G	standards and guides
SIL	services information letter
SIT	safety injection tank
SLC	standby liquid control
SLR	subsequent license renewal
SLRAs	subsequent license renewal applications
SLRAAI	subsequent license renewal applicant action items
SOCs	Statement of Considerations

SOER	significant operating experience report
SRM	source range monitor
SRM	staff requirements memorandum
SRP-LR	Standard Review Plan for License Renewal
SS	stainless steel
SSCs	systems, structures, and components
TGSCC	transgranular stress corrosion cracking
TLAA	time-limited aging analysis
UCS	Union of Concerned Scientists
UHS	ultimate heat sink
USI	unresolved safety issue
UT	ultrasonic testing
UV	ultraviolet
XPLE	cross-linked polyethylene

INTRODUCTION

2 NUREG–2191, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal

3 (GALL-SLR) Report," is referenced as a technical basis document in NUREG–2192, "Standard

- 4 Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants
- 5 (SRP-SLR)." The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal
- 6 (GALL-SLR) Report lists generic aging management reviews (AMRs) of systems, structures,
- 7 and components (SSCs) that may be in the scope of subsequent license renewal applications
- 8 (SLRAs) and identifies aging management programs (AMPs) that are determined to be
- 9 acceptable to manage aging effects of SSCs in the scope of license renewal, as required by
- 10 10 CFR Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 11 If an applicant credits an AMP described in the GALL-SLR Report in the SLRA, the applicant
- 12 should ensure that the conditions and operating experience (OE) at the plant are bounded by
- 13 the conditions and OE for which the GALL-SLR Report program was evaluated. If these
- bounding conditions are not met, the applicant should address any additional aging effects and
- augment the AMPs for subsequent license renewal (SLR). If an SLRA references the
- 16 GALL-SLR Report as the approach used to manage aging effect(s), the U.S. Nuclear
- 17 Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff will use the GALL-SLR Report as a basis for the SLRA
- 18 assessment consistent with guidance specified in the SRP-SLR.

1

BACKGROUND

The Atomic Energy Act (AEA) of 1954, as amended, allows the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to issue licenses for commercial nuclear power reactors to operate for up to 40 years. The NRC regulations permit these licenses to be renewed beyond the initial 40-year term for an additional period of time, limited to 20-year increments per renewal, based on the outcome of an assessment to determine if the nuclear facility can continue to operate safely during the proposed period of extended operation. There are no limitations in the AEA or the NRC regulations restricting the number of times a license may be renewed.

9 The focus of license renewal, as described in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations 10 (10 CFR) Part 54, is to identify aging effects that could impair the ability of systems, structures, 11 and components (SSCs) within the scope of license renewal to perform their intended functions. 12 and to demonstrate that these effects will be adequately managed during the period of extended 13 operation. The regulatory requirements for both initial and subsequent license renewal (SLR) 14 are established by 10 CFR Part 54. To address the unique aspects of material aging and 15 degradation that would apply to SLR (e.g., to permit plants to operate to 80 years), the Office of 16 Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) requested support from the Office of Nuclear Regulatory 17 Research (RES) to develop technical information to evaluate the feasibility of SLR. RES has 18 memoranda of understanding with both the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and the Electric 19 Power Research Institute (EPRI) to cooperate in nuclear safety research related to long-term 20 operations beyond 60 years. Under these memoranda, the NRC and the DOE held two 21 international conferences, in 2008 and 2011, on reactor operations beyond 60 years. In 22 May 2012, the NRC and the DOE also co-sponsored the Third International Conference on 23 Nuclear Power Plant Life Management for Long-Term Operations, organized by the 24 International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). In February 2013, the Nuclear Energy Institute 25 (NEI) held a forum on long-term operations and SLR. These conferences laid out the technical 26 issues that would need to be addressed to provide assurance for safe operation beyond

27 60 years.

1

28 Based on the information gathered from these conferences and forums, and from other sources

29 over the past several years, the most significant technical issues identified as challenging

operation beyond 60 years are: reactor pressure vessel embrittlement; irradiation-assisted
 stress corrosion cracking (SCC) of reactor internals; concrete structures and containment

32 degradation; and electrical cable environmental gualification (EQ), condition monitoring and

33 assessment. Throughout this process, the NRC staff has emphasized that it is the industry's

- 34 responsibility to resolve these and other issues to provide the technical bases to ensure safe
- 35 operation beyond 60 years.

36 The NRC, in cooperation with the DOE, completed the Expanded Materials Degradation

37 Assessment (EMDA) in 2014 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML14279A321, ML14279A331,

38 ML14279A349, ML14279A430, and ML14279A461). The EMDA uses an expert elicitation

39 process to identify materials and components which could be susceptible to significant

40 degradation during operation beyond 60 years. The EMDA covers the reactor vessel, primary

41 system piping, reactor vessel internals, concrete, and electrical cables and qualification. The

- 42 NRC staff used the results of the EMDA to identify gaps in the current technical knowledge or
- issues not being addressed by planned industry or DOE research, and to identify AMPs that willrequire modification for SLR.
- 45 On May 9, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12158A545) and subsequently on November 1, 13, 46 and 14, 2012, the NRC staff and interested stakeholders met to discuss issues and receive
- 47 comments for consideration for SLR. In addition to working with external stakeholders, the NRC

1 staff conducted aging management program (AMP) effectiveness audits at three units that were

2 at least 2 years into the period of extended operation. The purpose of these audits was to

better understand how licensees are implementing the license renewal AMPs, in terms of both

the findings and the effectiveness of the programs, and to develop recommendations for
 updating license renewal guidance. The NRC staff used the information gathered from these

- audits to ensure that SLR guidance is fully informed by the licensee's aging management
- activities during the first license renewals. A summary of the first two AMP effectiveness audits
- 8 can be found in the May 2013 report, "Summary of Aging Management Program Effectiveness
- 9 Audits to Inform Subsequent License Renewal: R.E. Ginna NPP and Nine Mile Point Nuclear
- 10 Station, Unit 1" (ADAMS Accession No. ML13122A007). The summary of the third audit can be

found in the August 5, 2014, report, "H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2, Aging

12 Management Program Effectiveness Audit" (ADAMS Accession No. ML14017A289).

13 The NRC staff reviewed domestic operating experience (OE) as reported in licensee event

- reports and NRC generic communications related to failures and degradation of passive
- 15 components. Similarly the NRC staff reviewed the following international OE databases:

16 (i) International Reporting System, jointly operated by the IAEA; (ii) IAEA's International Generic

Ageing Lessons Learned Programme; (iii) Organization for Economic Co-operation and

18 Development (OECD)/Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) Component Operational Experience and

19 Degradation and Ageing Programme database; and (iv) OECD/NEA Cable Aging Data and

20 Knowledge database.

The NRC staff reviewed the results from AMP audits, findings from the EMDA, domestic and international OE, and public comments to identify technical issues that need to be considered for assuring the safe operation of NRC-licensed nuclear power plant (NPPs). By letter dated August 6, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14253A104), NEI documented the industry's views and recommendations for updating NUREG–1801 Revision 2, "Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report," and NUREG–1800 Revision 2, "Standard Review Plan for Review of License

Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants," to support SLR. Between fiscal years 2014
 and 2015, the NRC staff reviewed the comments and recommendations and drafted the

- 29 GALL-SLR Report to ensure that sufficient guidance was in place to support review of an SLR
- 30 application in 2018 or 2019.

31 The staff requirements memorandum (SRM) on SECY-14-0016 "Ongoing Staff Activities to

- 32 Assess Regulatory Considerations for Power Reactor Subsequent License Renewal"
- 33 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14241A578) directed the staff to continue to update the license
- 34 renewal guidance, as needed, to provide additional clarity on the implementation of the license
- 35 renewal regulatory framework. The SRM also directed the staff to keep the Commission

informed on the progress in resolving the following technical issues related to SLR: (i) reactor

pressure vessel neutron embrittlement at high fluence, (ii) irradiation assisted SCC of reactor
 internals and primary system components, (iii) concrete and containment degradation, and

internals and primary system components, (iii) concrete and containment degradation, and
 (iv) electrical cable gualification and condition assessment. In addition, the SRM directed that

40 the staff should keep the Commission informed regarding the staff's readiness for accepting an

41 application and any further need for regulatory process changes, rulemaking, or research.

42 The GALL-SLR report also includes the NRC staff's resolutions of License Renewal Interim

43 Staff Guidance's (LR-ISGs) from 2011 through 2015. Under the LR-ISG process, the NRC staff,

44 industry, or stakeholders can propose a change to certain license renewal guidance documents.

- The NRC staff evaluates the issue, develops the proposed LR-ISG, issues it for public
- 46 comment, evaluates any comments received, and, if necessary, issues the final LR-ISG.

- 1 The LR-ISG is then used until the NRC staff incorporates the revised guidance into a formal
- 2 license renewal guidance document revision. The LR-ISGs addressed in the GALL-SLR report
 3 are:
- LR-ISG-2011-01: Aging Management of Stainless Steel Structures and Components in Treated Borated Water, Revision 1
- 6 LR-ISG-2011-02: Aging Management Program for Steam Generators
- LR-ISG-2011-03: Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report Revision 2 AMP
 XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks"
- 9 LR-ISG-2011-04: Updated Aging Management Criteria for Reactor Vessel Internal
 10 Components of Pressurized Water Reactors
- 11 LR-ISG-2011-05: Ongoing Review of Operating Experience
- 12 LR-ISG-2012-01: Wall Thinning Due to Erosion Mechanisms
- LR-ISG-2012-02: Aging Management of Internal Surfaces, Fire Water Systems, Atmospheric Storage Tanks, and Corrosion Under Insulation
- LR-ISG-2013-01: Aging Management of Loss of Coating or Lining Integrity for Internal Coatings/Linings on In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks
- 17 LR-ISG-2015-01: Changes to Buried and Underground Piping and
- 18 Tank Recommendations

OVERVIEW OF THE GENERIC AGING LESSONS LEARNED FOR SUBSEQUENT LICENSE RENEWAL REPORT EVALUATION PROCESS

3 The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 4 contains 11 chapters and two appendices. The majority of the chapters contain summary 5 descriptions and tabulations of evaluations of aging management programs (AMPs) for a large 6 number of structures and components (SCs) in major plant systems found in light-water reactor 7 nuclear power plants (NPPs). The major plant systems include the containment structures 8 (Chapter II), structures and component supports (Chapter III), reactor vessel, internals and 9 reactor coolant system (Chapter IV), engineered safety features (Chapter V), electrical 10 components (Chapter VI), auxiliary systems (Chapter VII), and steam and power conversion system (Chapter VIII). 11

- 12 Chapter I of the GALL-SLR Report addresses the application of the American Society of
- 13 Mechanical Engineers (ASME) code for subsequent license renewal (SLR). Chapter IX
- 14 contains definitions of a selection of standard terms used within the GALL-SLR Report.
- 15 Chapter X contains examples of AMPs that may be used to demonstrate the acceptance of
- 16 time-limited aging analysis (TLAAs) in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). Chapter XI
- 17 contains the AMPs for the mechanical, structural and electrical components. The appendices of
- 18 the GALL-SLR Report address quality assurance for AMPs and operating experience (OE).
- 19 The evaluation process for the AMPs and the application of the GALL-SLR Report is described
- 20 in this document. The aging management review (AMR) items for the GALL-SLR Report are
- 21 presented in tabular format as described in Table 1. Table 1 describes the information
- 22 presented in each column of the tables in Chapters II through VIII contained in this report.
- 23 The staff's evaluation of the adequacy of each generic AMP to manage certain aging effects for
- 24 particular SCs is based on its review of the following 10 program elements in each AMP, as
- 25 defined in Table 2.
- 26 On the basis of its evaluation, if the staff determines that a program is adequate to manage
- certain aging effects for a particular SC without change, the "Further Evaluation" entry will
 indicate that no further evaluation is recommended for SLR.
- 29 Chapters X and XI of the GALL-SLR Report contain generic AMPs that the staff finds to be
- 30 sufficient to manage aging effects in the subsequent period of extended operation, such as the
- 31 ASME Section XI inservice inspection, water chemistry, or structures monitoring program.

Table 1. Aging Management Review Column Heading Descriptions			
Column Heading	Description		
New (N), Modified (M), Deleted (D) Item	Identifies the item as new to GALL-SLR Report, modified from GALL Revision 2, deleted from GALL Revision 2, or if blank, is unchanged from GALL Revision 2. The NRC will publish the technical bases for these new, modified, and deleted AMR items in a NUREG containing the disposition of public comments and the technical bases for changes in the guidance documents when the final SLR guidance documents are published.		
Item	Identifies a unique number for the item (i.e., VII.G.A-91). The first part of the number indicates the chapter and AMR system (e.g., VII.G is in the auxiliary systems, fire protection system), and the second part is a unique chapter-specific identifier within a chapter (e.g., A–91 for auxiliary systems).		
SRP Item (Table, ID)	For each row in the subsystem tables, this item identifies the corresponding row identifier from the SRP-SLR to provide the crosswalk to the SRP system table items.		
Structure and/or Component	Identifies the structure or components to which the row applies.		
Material	Identifies the material of construction. See Chapter IX.C of this report for further information.		
Environment	Identifies the environment applicable to this row. See Chapter IX.D of this report for further information.		
Aging Effect/ Mechanism	Identifies the applicable aging effect and mechanism(s). See Chapters IX.E and IX.F of this report for more information on applicable aging effects/mechanisms.		
Aging Management Program (AMP)/TLAA	Identifies an AMP/TLAA found acceptable for adequately managing the effects of aging. See Chapters X and XI of this report.		
Further Evaluation	Identifies whether a further evaluation is needed.		
Table 2. Aging Manageme	nt Programs Element Descriptions		
---	--		
AMP Element	Description		
1. Scope of the Program	The scope of the program should include the specific structures and components subject to an AMR.		
2. Preventive Actions	Preventive actions should mitigate or prevent the applicable aging effects.		
 Parameters Monitored or Inspected 	This identifies the aging effects that the program manages and provides a link between the parameter or parameters that will be monitored and how the monitoring of these parameters will ensure adequate aging management.		
4. Detection of Aging Effects	Detection of aging effects should occur before there is a loss of any structure and component intended function. This element describes aspects such as method or technique (i.e., visual, volumetric, surface inspection), frequency, sample size, data collection, and timing of new/one-time inspections to ensure timely detection of aging effects.		
5. Monitoring and Trending	Monitoring and trending should provide for an estimate of the extent of the effects of aging and timely corrective or mitigative actions.		
6. Acceptance Criteria	Acceptance criteria, against which the need for corrective action will be evaluated, should ensure that the particular structure and component's intended functions are maintained under all current licensing basis conditions during the subsequent period of extended operation.		
7. Corrective Actions	Description of corrective actions that will be implemented if the acceptance criteria of the program are not met.		
8. Confirmation Process	The confirmation process should ensure that preventive actions are adequate and that appropriate corrective actions have been completed and are effective.		
9. Administrative Controls	Administrative controls should provide a formal review and approval process.		
10. Operating Experience	Operating experience applicable to the AMP, including past corrective actions resulting in program enhancements or additional programs, should provide objective evidence to support the conclusion that the effects of aging will be managed adequately so that the structure- and component intended function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. In addition, an ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry OE ensures that the AMP is effective in managing the aging effects for which it is credited. The AMP is either enhanced or new AMPs are developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the evaluation of OE that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed.		

1APPLICATION OF THE GENERIC AGING LESSONS LEARNED FOR2SUBSEQUENT LICENSE RENEWAL REPORT

The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report is a technical basis document to the Standard Review Plan for Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR), which provides the staff with guidance in reviewing a subsequent license renewal application (SLRA). The GALL-SLR Report should be treated in the same manner as an approved topical report that is generically applicable. An applicant may reference the GALL-SLR Report in a SLRA to demonstrate that the aging management programs (AMPs) at the applicant's facility correspond to those reviewed and approved in the GALL-SLR Report.

- 10 If an applicant takes credit for an AMP in GALL-SLR Report, it is incumbent on the applicant to 11 ensure that the plant AMP contains all the elements of the referenced GALL-SLR program. In
- 12 addition, the conditions and operating experience (OE) at the plant must be bounded by the
- 13 conditions and OE for which the GALL-SLR Report AMP was evaluated, otherwise it is

14 incumbent on the applicant to augment the GALL-SLR Report AMP as appropriate to address

15 the impact of the plant-specific OE on the AMP element criteria. The documentation for the

- 16 above verifications must be available on-site in an auditable form.
- 17 The GALL-SLR Report contains one acceptable way to manage aging effects for SLR. An

18 applicant may propose alternatives for staff review in its plant-specific SLRA. The use of the

19 GALL-SLR Report is not required, but its use should facilitate both preparation of an SLRA by

- 20 an applicant and timely, consistent review by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- 21 (NRC) staff.

22 The GALL-SLR Report does not address scoping of structures and components (SCs) for

23 license renewal; this is addressed in SRP-SLR Chapter 2. Scoping is plant-specific, and the

24 results depend on the plant design and current licensing basis. The inclusion of a certain

structure or component in the GALL-SLR Report does not imply that this particular structure or component is within the scope of license renewal for all plants. Conversely, the omission of a

component is within the scope of license renewal for all plants. Conversely, the omission of a
 certain structure or component in the GALL-SLR Report does not imply that this particular

structure or component is not within the scope of SLR for any plants.

29 The GALL-SLR Report contains an evaluation of a large number of SCs that may be in the 30 scope of a typical SLRA. The evaluation results documented in the GALL-SLR Report indicate 31 that many existing, typical generic AMPs are adequate to manage aging effects for particular 32 structures or components for SLR without change. The GALL-SLR Report also contains 33 recommendations on specific areas for which existing generic AMPs should be augmented 34 (require further evaluation) for SLR and documents the technical basis for each such 35 determination. The GALL-SLR Report identifies certain systems, structures, and components 36 (SSCs) that may or may not be subject to particular aging effects, and those for which industry

- 37 is developing generic AMPs or investigating whether aging management is warranted.
- 38 Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report addresses quality assurance (QA) for AMPs. Those
- 39 aspects of the aging management review (AMR) process that affect the quality of safety-related
- 40 SSCs are subject to the QA requirements of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50. For nonsafety-
- 41 related-SCs subject to an AMR, the existing 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program may be
- 42 used by an applicant to address the elements of the corrective actions, confirmation process,
- 43 and administrative controls for an AMP for subsequent license renewal (SLR).

- 1 2 The GALL-SLR Report provides a technical basis for crediting existing plant AMPs and
- recommending areas for AMP augmentation and further evaluation. The incorporation of the
- 3 GALL-SLR Report information into the SRP-SLR, as directed by the Commission, should
- improve the efficiency of the SLR review process and the use of staff resources. 4

1	CHAPTER IX
2	USE OF TERMS FOR STRUCTURES, COMPONENTS, MATERIALS,
3	ENVIRONMENTS, AGING EFFECTS, AND AGING MECHANISMS

1IXUSE OF TERMS FOR STRUCTURES, COMPONENTS, MATERIALS,2ENVIRONMENTS, AGING EFFECTS, AND AGING MECHANISMS

- 3 A. INTRODUCTION
- 4 B. STRUCTURES AND COMPONENTS
- 5 C. MATERIALS
- 6 D. ENVIRONMENTS
- 7 E. AGING EFFECTS
- 8 F. SIGNIFICANT AGING MECHANISMS
- 9 G. REFERENCES

1 A. INTRODUCTION

2 This chapter is designed to clarify the usage of terms in the aging management review (AMR)

3 tables in Chapters II-VIII of this report. The format and content of the AMR tables have been

4 revised from the Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report, Revision 2, to enhance the

5 report's applicability to future subsequent license renewal applications (SLRA). The U.S.

6 Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has also added several new terms, and removed, and

7 clarified some of those that were in the GALL Report, Revision 2.

1 B. STRUCTURES AND COMPONENTS

2 The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report

3 does not address scoping of structures and components (SCs) for subsequent license renewal

4 (SLR). Scoping is plant-specific, and the results depend on individual plant design and its

5 current licensing basis (CLB). The inclusion of a certain structure or component in the

6 GALL-SLR Report does not mean that this particular structure or component is within the scope

7 of SLR for all plants. Conversely, the omission of a certain structure or component in the

8 GALL-SLR Report does not mean that this particular structure or component is omitted from the

9 scope of SLR for any plant.

IX.B Use of Terms for Structures and Compor	ents
Term	Usage in this document
Bolting	Bolting can refer to structural bolting, closure bolting, or all other bolting. Within the scope of license renewal, both Class 1 and nonClass 1 systems and components contain bolted closures that are necessary for the pressure boundary of the components being joined or closed. Closure bolting in high-pressure or high-temperature systems is defined as that in which the pressure exceeds 275 psi or 93 °C [200 °F]. Closure bolting is used to join pressure boundaries and is required.
Ducting and components	Ducting and components include HVAC components. Examples include ductwork, ductwork fittings, access doors, equipment frames and housing, housing supports, including housings for valves, dampers (including louvers and gravity), and ventilation fans (including exhaust fans, intake fans, and purge fans). In some cases, this includes HVAC closure bolts or HVAC piping.
Encapsulation components/ valve chambers	These are airtight enclosures that function as a secondary containment boundary to completely enclose containment sump lines and isolation valves. Encapsulation components and features (e.g., emergency core cooling system, containment spray system, and containment isolation system, and refueling water storage tank, etc.) can include encapsulation vessels, piping, and valves.
External surfaces	In the context of SCs, the term "external surfaces" is used to represent the external surfaces of SCs, such as tanks, that are not specifically listed elsewhere.
Heat exchanger components	A heat exchanger is a device that transfers heat from one fluid to another without the fluids coming in contact with each other. This includes air handling units and other devices that cool or heat fluids. Heat exchanger components may include, but are not limited to, air handling unit cooling and heating coils, piping/tubing, shell, plates/frames, tubesheets, tubes, valves, and bolting. Although tubes are the primary heat transfer components, heat exchanger internals, including tubesheets and fins, contribute to heat transfer and may be affected by reduction of heat transfer due to fouling [Ref. 1]. The inclusion of components such as tubesheets is dependent on manufacturer specifications.
High voltage insulators	An insulator is an insulating material in a configuration designed to physically support a conductor and separate the conductor electrically from other conductors or objects. The high voltage insulators that are evaluated for license renewal are those used to support and insulate high voltage electrical components in switchyards, switching stations and transmission lines.
Inaccessible Areas of Structural Components for non- ASME structural AMPs	 With regard to access for routine visual examination of steel and concrete structures and components within the scope of the Structures Monitoring program and other structural AMPs not based on the ASME Code, areas considered inaccessible are as defined below: below-grade surfaces exposed to foundation soil/material, backfill, or ground water portions of concrete surfaces that are covered by metallic liners

IX.B Use of Terms for Structures and Compon	ents
Term	Usage in this document
	 portions of surfaces where visual access is obstructed by adjacent permanent plant structures, components, equipment, parts, or appurtenances
	 portions of steel components, supports, connections, parts, and appurtenances that are embedded or encased in concrete or encapsulated or otherwise made inaccessible during construction or as a result of repair/replacement activities.
	Wetted surfaces of submerged areas or areas covered or obstructed by insulation, protective coatings, microorganisms, biofoliage or vegetation are not considered inaccessible.
Metal enclosed bus	"Metal enclosed bus" (MEB) is the term used in electrical and industry standards (IEEE and ANSI) for electrical buses installed on electrically-insulated supports constructed with all phase conductors enclosed in a metal enclosure.
Piping, piping components, piping elements, and tanks	This general category includes features of the piping system within the scope of license renewal. Examples include piping, fittings, tubing, flow elements/indicators, demineralizers, nozzles, orifices, flex hoses, pump casings and bowls, safe ends, sight glasses, spray heads, strainers, thermowells, and valve bodies and bonnets. For reactor coolant pressure boundary components in Chapter IV that are subject to cumulative fatigue damage, this category also can include flanges, nozzles and safe ends, penetrations, instrument connections, vessel heads, shells, welds, weld inlays and weld overlays, stub tubes, and miscellaneous Class 1 components (e.g., pressure housings, etc.).
	As used in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, buried piping and tanks are in direct contact with soil or concrete (e.g., a wall penetration). Underground piping and tanks are below grade, but are contained within a tunnel or vault such that they are in contact with air and are located where access for inspection is restricted.
Piping elements	The category of "piping elements" is a subcategory of piping, piping components, and piping elements that in the GALL-SLR Report, applies only to components made of glass (e.g., sight glasses and level indicators, etc.) In the GALL-SLR Report, Chapters V, VII, and VIII, piping elements are thus called out separately.
Pressure housing	The term "pressure housing" only refers to pressure housing for the CRD head penetration (it is only of concern in Section A2 for PWR reactor vessels).
Reactor coolant pressure boundary components	Reactor coolant pressure boundary components include, but are not limited to, piping, piping components, piping elements, flanges, nozzles, safe ends, pressurizer vessel shell heads and welds, heater sheaths and sleeves, penetrations, and thermal sleeves.
Seals, gaskets, and moisture barriers (caulking, flashing, and other sealants)	This category includes elastomer components used as sealants or gaskets.
Steel elements: liner; liner anchors;	This category includes steel liners used in suppression pools or spent fuel pools.

IX.B Use of Terms for Structures and Compon	ents
Term	Usage in this document
integral attachments	
Switchyard bus	Switchyard bus is the uninsulated, unenclosed, rigid electrical conductor or pipe used in
	switchyards and switching stations to connect two or more elements of an electrical power
	circuit, such as active disconnect switches and passive transmission conductors.
Tanks	Tanks are large reservoirs used as hold-up volumes for liquids or gases. Tanks may have
	an internal liquid and/or vapor space and may be partially buned or in close proximity to
	soils or concrete. Tanks are treated separately from piping due to their potential need for
	different AMPs. One example is GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground Metallic
	Tanks," for tanks partially buried or in contact with soil or concrete that experience general
	corrosion as the aging effect at the soil or concrete interface.
Transmission conductors	Transmission conductors are uninsulated, stranded electrical cables used in switchyards,
	switching stations, and transmission lines to connect two or more elements of an electrical
	power circuit, such as active disconnect switches, power circuit breakers, and transformers
	and passive switchyard bus.
Vibration isolation elements	This category includes nonsteel supports used for supporting components prone to
	vibration.

1 C. MATERIALS

- The following table defines many generalized materials used in the preceding Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Aging Management Review 2
- 3
- (AMR) tables in Chapters II through VIII of the GALL-SLR Report. 4

IX.C Use of Terms for Materials	
Term	Usage in this document
Aluminum	Aluminum (AI) alloy and heat treatment temper designations are used in accordance with ANSI document: ANSI H35.1/H35.1(M).
Boraflex	Boraflex is a material that is composed of 46 percent silica, 4 percent polydimethylsiloxane polymer, and 50 percent boron carbide, by weight. It is a neutron-absorbing material used in spent fuel storage racks. Degradation of Boraflex panels under gamma radiation can lead to a loss of their ability to absorb neutrons in spent fuel storage pools. The AMP for Boraflex is found in GALL-SLR Report AMPXI.M22, "Boraflex Monitoring."
Boral [®] , boron steel	Boron steel is steel with a boron content ranging from one to several percent. Boron steel absorbs neutrons and is often used as a control rod to help control the neutron flux. Boral [®] is a cermet consisting of a core of Al and boron carbide powder sandwiched between sheets of Al. Boral refers to patented Aluminum-Boron master alloys; these alloys can contain up to 10 percent boron as AlB ₁₂ intermetallics.
Cast austenitic stainless steel	Cast austenitic stainless steel (CASS) alloys, such as CF-3, CF-8, CF-3M, and CF-8M, have been widely used in LWRs. These CASS alloys are similar to wrought grades Type 304L, Type 304, Type 316L, and Type 316, except CASS typically contains 5 to 25 percent ferrite. CASS is susceptible to loss of fracture toughness due to thermal and neutron irradiation embrittlement.
Coatings/Linings	Coatings/linings include inorganic (e.g., zinc-based, cementitious) or organic (e.g., elastomeric or polymeric) coatings, linings (e.g., rubber, cementitious), paints, and concrete surfacers designed to adhere to a component to protect its surface.
Concrete and cementitious material	When used generally, this category of concrete applies to concrete in many different configurations (block, cylindrical, etc.) and prestressed or reinforced concrete. Cementitious material can be defined as any material having cementing properties, which contributes to the formation of hydrated calcium silicate compounds. When mixing concrete, the following have cementitious properties: (i) Portland cement, (ii) blended hydraulic cement, (iii) fly ash, (iv) ground granulated blast furnace slag, (v) silica fume, (vi) calcined clay, (vii) metakaolin, (viii) calcined shale, and (ix) rice husk ash. This category may include asbestos cement.
Copper alloy (≤15% Zn and ≤8% Al)	This category applies to those copper alloys whose critical alloying elements are below than the thresholds that make them susceptible to stress corrosion cracking, selective leaching, and boric acid corrosion. For example, copper, copper nickel, brass, bronze ≤15% Zn, and aluminum bronze ≤8% Al are resistant to SCC, selective leaching, and boric acid corrosion [Ref. 2]
Copper alloy (>15% Zn or >8% Al)	This category applies to those copper alloys whose critical alloying elements are above the thresholds that make them susceptible to stress corrosion cracking (SCC), selective leaching and boric acid corrosion. Copper-zinc alloys >15% Zn are susceptible to SCC, selective leaching and boric acid corrosion. Additional copper alloys, such as aluminum bronze > 8% Al, also may be susceptible to SCC or leaching. The elements that are most commonly alloyed with copper are Zn (forming brass), tin (forming bronze), nickel, silicon, Al (forming aluminum-bronze), cadmium, and beryllium. Additional copper alloys may be susceptible to these aging effects if they fall above the threshold for the critical

IX.C Use of Terms for Materials	
Term	Usage in this document
	alloying element. [Ref. 2]
Elastomers	Elastomer is an encompassing term used to refer to a variety of viscoelastic polymers including natural and synthetic rubbers. Elastomers include flexible materials such as rubber, EPT, EPDM, PTFF ETFF viton vitril neoprene and silicone elastomer.
Electrical insulation	Electrical insulation is a material used to inhibit/prevent the conduction of electric current.
	Electrical insulating materials in this category–include bakelite, phenolic melamine, molded polycarbonate, organic polymers (e.g., EPR (ethylene-propylene rubber), SR (silicone rubber), EPDM (ethylene propylene diene monomer), and XLPE (crosslinked polyethylene) and or ceramics.
Galvanized steel	Galvanized steel is steel coated with Zn, usually by immersion or electrodeposition. The Zn coating protects the underlying steel because the corrosion rate of the Zn coating in dry, clean air is very low. In the presence of moisture, galvanized steel is classified under the category "Steel."
Glass	This category includes any glass material. Glass is a hard, amorphous, brittle, super-cooled liquid made by fusing together one or more of the oxides of silicon, boron, or phosphorous with certain basic oxides (e.g., Na, Mg, Ca, K), and cooling the product rapidly to prevent crystallization or devitrification.
Graphitic tool steel	Graphitic tool steels (such as AISI O6, which is oil-hardened, and, AISI A10, which is air-hardened), have excellent nonseizing properties. The graphite particles provide self-lubricity and hold applied lubricants.
Gray cast Iron Low-alloy steel, yield strength >150 ksi	Gray cast iron alloy made by adoing larger amounts or carbon to moiten from than would be used to make steel. Most steel has less than about 1.2 percent by weight carbon, while cast irons typically have between 2.5 to 4 percent. Gray cast iron contains flat graphite flakes that reduce its strength and form cracks, inducing mechanical failures. They also cause the metal to behave in a nearly brittle fashion, rather than experiencing the elastic, ductile behavior of steel. Fractures in this type of metal tend to take place along the flakes, which give the fracture surface a gray color, hence the name of the material's strength due to the loss of iron from the microstructure, leaving a porous matrix of graphite. In some environments, gray cast iron is categorized with the group "Steel." Low-alloy steel includes AISI steels 4140, 4142, 4145, 4140H, 4142H, and 4145H (UNS#: G41400, G41420, G41420, G41420, G41420, H41400, H41420, H41420, H4142, 4145, 4140H, 4142H, and 4145H (UNS#: G41400, G41420, G41420, G41420, G41420, H41420, H41450).
	Examples of high-strength alloy steels that comprise this category include SA540-GF. BZ5/24, SA 183-

IX.C Use of Terms for Materials	
Term	Usage in this document
	Gr. B8, and Grade L43 (AISI4340).
Lubrite®	Lubrite [®] refers to a patented technology in which the bearing substrate (bronze is commonly used, but in unusual environments can range from SS and nodular-iron to tool-steel) is fastened to lubricant. Lubrite is often defined as bronze attached to ASTM B22, alloy 905, with G10 lubricant.
	Even though Lubrite bearings are characterized as maintenance-free because of the differences in installation, fineness of the surfaces, and lubricant characteristics, they can experience mechanical wear and fretting.
	Bearings generally have not shown adverse conditions related to the use of Lubrite. The unique environment and precise installation tolerances required for installing the bearings require bearing-specific examinations. The vendor's (Lubrite [®] Technologies) literature shows 10 lubricant types used in the bearings, ranging from G1 (General Duty) to AE7 (temperature- and radiation-tested) lubricants.
	clearing out any obstructions during installation ensures because that the requirements. Careful installation and are met and reduces the likelihood of functional problems during challenging loading conditions (such
	as UDA OF 33E). The associated aging enects could include manufacturing, distortion, and accumulation, and fatigue under vibratory and cyclic thermal loads. The potential aging effects could be managed by incorporating its periodic examination in ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S3) or in Structures Monitoring (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6).
Malleable iron	The term "Malleable iron" usually means malleable cast iron, characterized by exhibiting some elongation and reduction in area in a tensile test. Malleable iron is one of the materials in the category of "Porcelain, Malleable iron, AI, galvanized steel, cement."
Nickel alloys	Nickel alloys are nickel-chromium-iron (molybdenum) alloys and include the Alloys 600 and 690. Examples of nickel alloys include Alloy 182, 600, and 690, Gr. 688 (X-750), Inconel 182, Inconel 82, NiCrFe, SB-166, -167, and -168, and X-750. [Ref. 3]
Porcelain	Hard-quality porcelain is used as an insulator for supporting high-voltage electrical insulators. Porcelain is a hard, fine-grained ceramic that consists of kaolin, quartz, and feldspar fired at high temperatures.
SA508-CI 2 forgings clad with stainless steel using a high-heat-input welding process	This category consists of quenched and tempered vacuum-treated carbon and alloy steel forgings for pressure vessels. As shown in AMR line-item R-85, growth of intergranular separations (underclad cracks) in low-alloy steel forging heat affected zone under austenitic SS cladding is a TLAA to be evaluated for the period of extended operation for all the SA 508-CI 2 forgings where the cladding was deposited with a high heat input welding process per ASME Section XI Code.
Stainless Steel	Products grouped under the term stainless steel (SS) include austenitic, ferritic, martensitic, PH, or duplex SS (Cr content >11%). These SSs may be fabricated using a wrought or cast process. These materials are susceptible to a variety of aging effects and mechanisms, including loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion, and cracking due to SCC. In some cases, when an aging effect is

IX.C Use of Terms for Materials	
Term	Usage in this document
	applicable to all of the various SS categories, it can be assumed that the term "SS" in the "Material" column of an AMR line-item in the GALL-SLR Report encompasses all SS types. CASS is quite susceptible to loss of fracture toughness due to thermal and neutron irradiation embrittlement. In addition, MRP-227-A indicates that PH SSs or martensitic SSs may be susceptible to loss of fracture toughness by a thermal aging mechanism. Therefore, when loss of fracture toughness due to thermal and neutron irradiation embrittlement in the GALL-SLR Report, the CASS, PH SS, or martensitic SS designation is specifically identified in an AMR line-item.
	Steel with SS cladding also may be considered SS when the aging effect is associated with the SS surface of the material, rather than the composite volume of the material.
	Examples of SS designations that comprise this category include A-286, SA193-Gr. B8, SA193-Gr. B8M, Gr. 660 (A-286), SA193-G. B8 or B-8M, SA453, Type 416, Type 403, 410, 420, and 431 martensitic SSs, Type 15-5, 17-4, and 13-8-Mo PH SSs, and SA-193, Grade B8 and B8M bolting materials.
	Examples of wrought austenitic stainless materials that comprise this category include Type 304, 304NG, 304L, 308L, 309L, 309L, 316 and 347. Examples of CASS that comprise this category include CF3, CF3M, CF8 and CF8M. [Ref. 4, 5, 6].
Steel	In some environments, carbon steel, alloy steel, cast iron, gray cast iron, malleable iron, and high-strength low-alloy steel are vulnerable to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion, even though the rates of aging may vary. Consequently, these metal types are generally grouped under the broad term "steel." Note that this does not include SS, which has its own category. However, gray cast iron also is susceptible to selective leaching, and high-strength low-alloy steel is susceptible to SCC. Therefore, when these aging effects are being considered, these materials are specifically identified. Galvanized steel (Zn-coated carbon steel) is also included in the category of "steel" when exposed to moisture. Malleable iron is specifically called out in the phrase "Porcelain, Malleable iron, AI, galvanized steel, cement," which is used to define the high voltage insulators in GALL-SLR Chapter VI.
	Examples of steel designations included in this category are ASTM A36, ASTM A285, ASTM A759, SA36, SA106-Gr. B, SA155-Gr. KCF70, SA193-Gr. B7, SA194 -Gr. 7, SA302-Gr B, SA320-Gr. L43 (AISI 4340), SA333-Gr. 6, SA336, SA508-64, class 2, SA508-Cl 2 or Cl 3, SA516-Gr. 70, SA533-Gr. B, SA540-Gr. B23/24, and SA582. [Ref. 4, 5]
Superaustenitic stainless steel	Superaustenitic stainless steels (SSs) have the same structure as the common austenitic alloys, but they have enhanced levels of elements such as chromium, nickel, molybdenum, copper, and nitrogen, which give them superior strength and corrosion resistance. Compared to conventional austenitic

IX.C Use of Terms for Materials	
Term	Usage in this document
	SSs, superaustenitic materials have a superior resistance to pitting and crevice corrosion in environments containing halides. Several nuclear power plants have installed superaustenitic SS (AL- 6XN) buried piping.
Thermal Insulation	Thermal insulation is a material used to inhibit/prevent heat transfer across a thermal gradient. Thermal insulation materials include calcium silicate, fiberglass, Foamglas [®] , glass dust, cellular glass,
Titanium	The category titanium includes unalloyed titanium (ASTM grades 1-4) and various related alloys (ASTM grades 5, 7, 9, and 12). The corrosion resistance of titanium is a result of the formation of a continuous, stable, highly adherent protective oxide layer on the metal surface.
	Titanium and titanium alloys may be susceptible to crevice corrosion in saltwater environments at elevated temperatures >71 °C [>160 °F]. Titanium Grades 5 and 12 are resistant to crevice corrosion in seawater at temperatures as high as 500 °F. SCC of titanium and its alloys is considered applicable in seawater or brackish raw water systems if the titanium alloy contains more than 6% Al or more than 0.30 percent oxygen or any amount of tin [Ref. 7]. ASTM Grades 1, 2, 7, 11, or 12 are not susceptible to SCC in seawater or brackish raw water Ref. 8].
Various Organic Polymers	Polymers used in electrical applications include EPR, SR, EPDM, and XLPE. XLPE is a cross-linked polyethylene thermoplastic resin, such as polyethylene and polyethylene copolymers. EPR and EPDM are EPRs in the category of thermosetting elastomers.
Various polymeric materials	Polymers used in mechanical <u>applications</u> are addressed as specific to their material types [e.g., PVC, HDPE, fiberglass) or generically as elastomers used in different components types (e.g., piping, seals, linings, fire barriers)].
Wood	Wood piles or sheeting exposed to flowing or standing water is subject to loss of material or changes in material properties due to weathering, chemical degradation, insect infestation, repeated wetting and drying, or fungal decay.
Zircaloy-4	Zircaloy-4, (Zry-4), is a member in the group of high- Zr alloys. Such zircaloys are used in nuclear technology, as Zr has very low absorption cross-section of thermal neutrons. In the GALL-SLR Report, Zry-4 is referenced in AMR Item IV.B3.RP-357 for incore instrumentation thimble tubes. Zry-4 consists of 98.23 weight % zirconium with 1.45% tin, 0.21% iron, 0.1% chromium, and 0.01% hafnium.

1 D. ENVIRONMENTS

2 The following table defines many of the standardized environments used in the preceding

3 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Aging

4 Management Review (AMR) tables in Chapters II through VIII of the GALL-SLR Report. The

5 usage of temperature thresholds for describing aging effects are continued as in the Generic

6 Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report, Revision 2.

Temperature Threshold of 35 °C [95 °F] for Thermal Stresses in Elastomers: In general, if the
 ambient temperature is less than about 35°C [95°F], then thermal aging may be considered not

9 significant for rubber, butyl rubber, neoprene, nitrile rubber, silicone elastomer, fluoroelastomer,
 10 ethylene-propylene rubber (EPR), and ethylene propylene diene monosomer (EPDM) [Ref. 9].

ethylene-propylene rubber (EPR), and ethylene propylene diene monosomer (EPDM) [Ref. 9].
 Hardening and loss of strength of elastomers can be induced by thermal aging, exposure to

12 ozone, oxidation, photolysis (due to ultraviolet light), and radiation. When applied to the

13 elastomers used in electrical cable insulation, it should be noted that most cable insulation is

14 manufactured as either 75 °C [167 °F] or 90 °C [194 °F] rated material.

15 <u>Temperature threshold of 60 °C [140 °F] for stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in stainless steel</u>
 16 (SS): SCC occurs very rarely in austenitic SSs below 60°C [140°F]. Although SCC has been

17 observed in stagnant, oxygenated borated water systems at lower temperatures than this 60°C

18 [140°F] threshold, all of these instances have identified a significant presence of contaminants

19 (halogens, specifically chlorides) in the failed components. With a harsh enough environment

20 (e.g., significant contamination), SCC can occur in austenitic SS at ambient temperature. In a

21 water environment where the concentration of contaminants (e.g., sulfates, chlorides, fluorides)

is maintained consistent with a water chemistry program, these conditions are considered
 event-driven, resulting from a breakdown of chemistry controls. However in environments

24 where the chemistry is not controlled (e.g., air-outdoor, soil) SCC can occur at ambient

temperature. In air-outdoor environments, surface temperatures exposed directly to sunlight will

26 be higher than ambient air conditions [Ref. 8, 10, 11].

27 <u>Temperature threshold of 250 °C [482 °F] for thermal embrittlement in cast austenitic stainless</u>

steel <u>(CASS)</u>: CASS subjected to sustained temperatures below 250 °C [482 °F] will not result

in a reduction of room temperature Charpy impact energy below 50 foot-pound (ft-lb) for

exposure times of approximately 300,000 hours (for CASS with ferrite content of 40 percent and approximately 2,500,000 hours for CASS with ferrite content of 14 percent) [Fig. 2: Ref. 12]. For

a maximum exposure time of approximately 420,000 hours (48 EFPY), a screening temperature

33 of 250 °C [482 °F] is conservatively chosen because (1) the majority of nuclear grade materials

is expected to contain a ferrite content well below 40 percent, and (2) the 50 ft-lb limit is very

35 conservative when applied to cast austenitic materials. It is typically applied to ferritic materials

36 (e.g., 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G). For CASS components in the reactor coolant pressure

boundary, this threshold is supported by the GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M12, "Thermal Aging

38 Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)," with the exception of 39 niobium-containing steels, which require evaluation on a case-by-case basis.

IX.D Use of Terms for Environments	
Term	Usage in this document
Adverse localized environment	An adverse localized environment is an environment limited to the immediate vicinity of a component that is hostile to the component material, thereby leading to potential aging effects. Electrical insulation used for electrical cables can be subjected to an adverse localized environment. Adverse localized environment can be due to any of the following: (1) exposure to significant moisture, or (2) heat, radiation, or moisture and are represented by specific GALL-SLR AMR items.
Aggressive environment (steel in concrete)	This environment affects steel embedded in concrete with a pH <5.5 or a chloride concentration >500 ppm or sulfate >1,500 ppm. [Ref. 13]
Air-indoor controlled	This environment is one to which the specified internal or external surface of the component or structure is exposed; a humidity-controlled (i.e., air conditioned) environment. For electrical purposes, control must be sufficient to eliminate the cited aging effects of contamination and oxidation without affecting the resistance.
Air-indoor uncontrolled	Uncontrolled indoor air is associated with systems with temperatures higher than the dew point (i.e., condensation can occur, but only rarely; equipment surfaces are normally dry).
Air-outdoor	The outdoor environment consists of moist, possibly salt-laden atmospheric air, ambient temperatures and humidity, and exposure to weather, including precipitation and wind. The component is exposed to air and local weather conditions, including salt water spray (if present). A component is considered susceptible to a wetted environment when it is submerged, has the potential to collect water, or is subject to external condensation.
Air with borated water leakage	Air and untreated borated water leakage on indoor or outdoor systems with temperatures either above or below the dew point. The water from leakage is considered to be untreated, due to the potential for water contamination at the surface (germane to PWRs).
Air with leaking secondary-side water and/or steam	This environment applies to steel components in the pressure boundary and structural parts of the once-through steam generator that may be exposed to air with leaking secondary-side water and/or steam.
Air with metal temperature up to 288 °C [550 °F]	This environment is synonymous with the more commonly-used phrase "system temperature up to 288 °C [550 °F]."
Air with steam or water leakage	All and reactor coolant or steam reakage on ingit temperature systems (germane to bwrks). Air and untreated steam or water leakage on indoor or outdoor systems with temperatures above or below the dew point.
Air, dry	Air that has been treated to reduce its dew point well below the system operating temperature. Within piping, unless otherwise specified, this encompasses either internal or external.
Air, moist	Air with enough moisture to facilitate the loss of material in steel caused by general, pitting, and crevice corrosion. Moist air in the absence of condensation also is potentially aggressive (e.g., under conditions where hygroscopic surface contaminants are present, etc.).
Any	This could be any indoor or outdoor environment where the aging effects are not dependent on environmental conditions.

IX.D Use of Terms for Environments	
Term	Usage in this document
Buried and underground	As referenced in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," buried piping and tanks are those in direct contact with soil, or those in contact with concrete where water could be present (e.g., a wall penetration).
	Underground piping and tanks are below grade, but are contained within a tunnel or vault such that they are in contact with air and are located where access for inspection is limited (e.g., special lifting equipment is required to gain access to the vault).
Closed-cycle cooling water	Treated water subject to the closed-cycle cooling water (CCCW) chemistry program is included in this environment. CCCW >60 °C [>140°F] makes the SCC of SS possible. Examples of descriptors that comprise this category can include: (i) chemically-treated, (ii) borated water, and (iii) treated component cooling water demineralized water on one side and CCCW (treated water) on the other side chemically-treated, borated water on the tube side and CCCW on the shell side.
Concrete	This environment consists of components embedded in concrete.
Condensation (internal/external)	Condensation on the surfaces of systems at temperatures below the dew point is considered "raw water" due to the potential for internal or external surface contamination. Under certain circumstances, the former terms "moist air" or "warm moist air" are subsumed by the usage of "condensation," which describes an environment where there is enough moisture for corrosion to occur.
	Condensation can form between thermal insulation and a component when air intrusion occurs through minor gaps in the insulation and the operating temperature of the component is below the dew point of the penetrating air.
Containment environment (inert)	A drywell environment is made inert with nitrogen to render the primary containment atmosphere nonflammable by maintaining the oxygen content below 4 percent by volume during normal operation.
Diesel exhaust	This environment consists of gases, fluids, and particulates present in diesel engine exhaust.
Fuel oil	Diesel oil, No. 2 oil, or other liquid hydrocarbons used to fuel diesel engines. Fuel oil used for combustion engines may be contaminated with water, which may promote additional aging effects.
Gas	Internal gas environments include dry air or inert, nonreactive gases. This generic term is used only with "Common Miscellaneous Material/Environment," where aging effects are not expected to degrade the ability of the structure or component to perform its intended function for the period of extended operation.
	The term "gas" is not meant to comprehensively include all gases in the fire suppression system. The GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection," is used for the periodic inspection and testing of the halon/carbon dioxide fire suppression system.
Ground water/soil	Ground water is subsurface water that can be detected in wells, tunnels, or drainage galleries, or that flows naturally to the earth's surface via seeps or springs. Soil is a mixture of organic and

IX.D Use of Terms for Environments	
Term	Usage in this document
	inorganic materials produced by the weathering of rock and clay minerals or the decomposition of vegetation. Voids containing air and moisture can occupy 30 to 60 percent of the soil volume [Ref.14]. Concrete subjected to a ground water/soil environment can be vulnerable to an increase in porosity and permeability, cracking, loss of material (spalling, scaling)/, or aggressive chemical attack. Other materials with prolonged exposures to ground water or moist soils are subject to the same aging effects as those systems and components exposed to raw water.
Lubricating oil	Lubricating oils are low-to-medium viscosity hydrocarbons that can contain contaminants and/or moisture. This usage also functionally encompasses hydraulic oil (nonwater based). These oils are used for bearing, gear, and engine lubrication. The GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M39, Lubricating Oil Analysis, addresses this environment. Piping, piping components, and piping elements, whether copper, SS, or steel, when exposed to lubricating oil with some water, will have limited susceptibility to aging degradation due to general or localized corrosion.
Raw water	Raw water consists of untreated surface or ground water, whether fresh, brackish, or saline in nature. This includes water for use in OCCW systems and may include potable water, water that is used for drinking or other personal use. See also "condensation."
Reactor coolant	Reactor coolant is treated water in the reactor coolant system and connected systems at or near full operating temperature, including steam associated with BWRs.
Reactor coolant >250 °C [>482°F]	Treated water above the thermal embrittlement threshold for CASS.
Reactor coolant >250 °C [>482°F] and neutron flux	Treated water in the reactor coolant system and connected systems above the thermal embrittlement threshold for CASS.
Reactor coolant and high fluence (>1 × 10 ²¹ n/cm ² E >0.1 MeV)	Reactor coolant subjected to a high fluence (>1 × 10^{21} n/cm ² E >0.1 MeV).
Reactor coolant and neutron flux	The reactor core environment that will result in a neutron fluence exceeding 10^{17} n/cm ² (E >1 MeV) at the end of the license renewal term.
Reactor coolant and secondary feedwater/steam	Water in the reactor coolant system and connected systems at or near full operating temperature and the PWR feedwater or steam at or near full operating temperature, subject to the secondary water chemistry program (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2).
Secondary feedwater	Within the context of the recirculating steam generator, components such as steam generator feedwater impingement plate and support may be subjected to loss of material due to erosion in a secondary feedwater environment. More generally, the environment of concern is a secondary feedwater/steam combination.
Secondary feedwater/steam	PWR feedwater or steam at or near full operating temperature, subject to the secondary water chemistry program (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2).
Sodium pentaborate solution	Treated water that contains a mixture of borax and boric acid.
Soil	Soil is a mixture of inorganic materials produced by the weathering of rock and clay minerals, and organic material produced by the decomposition of vegetation. Voids containing air and moisture occupy 30 to 60 percent of the soil volume [Ref.14]. Properties of soil that can affect degradation kinetics include moisture content, pH, ion exchange capacity, density, and hydraulic conductivity.

IX.D Use of Terms for Environments	
Term	Usage in this document
	External environments included in the soil category consist of components at the air/soil interface, buried in the soil, or exposed to ground water in the soil. See also "ground water/soil."
Steam	The steam environment is managed by the BWR water chemistry program or PWR secondary plant water chemistry program. Defining the temperature of the steam is not considered necessary for analysis.
System temperature up to 288 °C [550 °F]	This environment consists of a metal temperature of BWR components <288 °C [550°F].
System temperature up to 340 ° C [044 °F] Treated horated water	וווו environment consists of a maximum metal temperature <340 ־C [o44 ־F]. Borated (PWR) water is a controlled water svstem _The CVCS maintains the proper water
	chemistry in the reactor coolant system while adjusting the boron concentration during operation to
	match long-term reactivity changes in the core.
Treated borated water >250 °C [>482 °F]	Treated water with boric acid above the 250 °C [>482 °F] thermal embrittlement threshold for CASS.
Treated borated water >60 °C [>140°F]	Treated water with boric acid in PWR systems above the 60 °C [>140 °F] SCC threshold for SS.
Treated water	Treated water is water whose chemistry has been altered and is maintained (as evidenced by
	testing/ in a state which unters inorn naturally-occurring sources so as to meet a desired set of chemical specifications.
	Treated water generally falls into one of two categories.
	(1) The first category is based on demineralized water and, with the possible exception of boric acid (for PWRs only), generally contains minimal amounts of any additions. This water is generally characterized by high purity, low conductivity, and very low oxygen content. This
	category of treated water is generally used as BWR coolant and PWR primary and secondary water.
	(2) The second category may be but need not be based on demineralized water. It contains corrosion inhibitors and also may contain biocides or other additives. This water will generally
	be comparatively higher in conductivity and oxygen content than the first category of treated when This category of treated water is consolvened in UVAC evolvene auxiliary helper, and
	water. This category of incated water is generality used in TTVAC systems, autimary boliers, and diesel engine cooling systems. CCCW is a subset of this category of treated water.
Treated water >60 °C [>140 °F]	Treated water above the 60 °C [140 °F] SCC threshold for SS.
Waste water	Radioactive, potentially radioactive, or nonradioactive waters that are collected from equipment and floor drains. Waste waters may contain contaminants including oil and boric acid depending on
	location, as well as originally treated water that is not monitored by a chemistry program.
Water-flowing	Water that is refreshed; thus, it has a greater impact on leaching and can include rainwater, raw water, ground water, or water flowing under a foundation.
Water-standing	Water that is stagnant and unrefreshed, thus possibly resulting in increased ionic strength up to saturation.

1 E. AGING EFFECTS

- 2 The following table explains the selected usage of many of the standardized aging effects due
- 3 to associated aging mechanisms used in the preceding Generic Aging Lessons Learned for
- 4 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) aging management review (AMR) tables in
- 5 Chapters II through VIII of the GALL-SLR Report.

IX.E Use of Terms for Aging Effects	
Term	Usage in this document
Changes in dimensions	Changes in dimension can result from various phenomena, such as void swelling and, on a macroscopic level, denting.
Concrete cracking and spalling	Cracking and exfoliation of concrete as the result of freeze-thaw, aggressive chemical attack, and reaction with aggregates.
Corrosion of connector contact surfaces	Corrosion of exposed connector contact surfaces when caused by borated water intrusion.
Crack growth	Increase in crack size attributable to cyclic loading.
Cracking	This term is synonymous with the phrase "crack initiation and growth" in metallic substrates. Cracking in concrete when caused by restraint shrinkage, creep, settlement, and aggressive
Cracks: distortion: increase in component	Within concrete structures cracks distortion and increase in component stress level when caused
stress level	by settlement. Although settlement can occur in a soil environment, the symptoms can be
	manirested in eitner an air-indoor uncontrolled or air-outdoor environment.
Cumulative fatigue damage	Cumulative fatigue damage is due to fatigue, as defined by ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.
Denting	Denting in steam generators can result from corrosion of carbon steel tube support plates.
Expansion and cracking	Within concrete structures, expansion and cracking can result from reaction with aggregates.
Fatigue	Fatigue in metallic fuse holder clamps can result from ohmic heating, thermal cycling, electrical transients, frequent manipulation, and vibration. [Ref. 15]
Flow blockage	Flow blockage is the reduction of flow or pressure, or both, in a component due to fouling, which
	can occur from an accumulation of debris such as particulate fouling (e.g., eroded coatings,
	corrosion products), piorouling, or macro rouling. Flow plockage can result in a reduction of heat transfer or the inshility of a system to meet its intended sefety function or both. This usage is
	consistent with the usage of the term "pressure boundary" as found in SRP-SLR Table 2.1-4(b),
	"Typical 'Passive' Component-Intended Functions."
Hardening and loss of strength	Hardening (loss of flexibility) and loss of strength (loss of ability to withstand tensile or compressive stress) can result from elastymer degradation of seals and other elastymeric components
	Degraded elastomers can experience increased hardness, shrinkage, loss of sealing, cracking, and
	loss of strength. Hardening and loss of strength of elastomers can be induced by elevated
	temperature {over about [95 °F or 35 °C], and additional aging factors (e.g., exposure to ozone, contaction, photolyceic (due to ultraviolet light) and radiation.) Thef Ol
Increase in porosity and permeability,	Porosity and permeability, cracking, and loss of material (spalling, scaling) in concrete can increase
cracking, loss of material (spalling, scaling),	due to aggressive chemical attack. In concrete, the loss of material (spalling, scaling) and cracking
loss of strength	can result from the rreeze-maw processes. Loss of strength can result from leaching of calcium hydroxide in the concrete.
Reduction in impact strength	Long-term (2 years or longer) exposure of PVC piping, piping components, and piping elements to sunlight can result in a reduction in impact strength. Other polymeric materials are subject to

IX.E Use of Terms for Aging Effects	
Term	Usage in this document
	embrittlement due to environmental conditions such as sunlight, ozone, chemical vapors, or loss of plasticizers due to evaporation. [Ref. 16]
Increased resistance of connection	Increased resistance of connection is an aging effect that can be caused by the loosening of bolts resulting from thermal cycling and ohmic heating. [Ref. 17, 18]
	In Chapter VI AMR line-items, increased resistance to connection is also said to be caused by the following aging mechanisms:
	 Chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation (in an air, indoor controlled environment, increased resistance of connection due to chemical contamination, corrosion and oxidation do not apply)
	 Thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical transients, vibration, chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation
	 Fatigue caused by frequent manipulation or vibration
	Corrosion of connector contact surfaces caused by intrusion of borated water
	 Oxidation or loss of preload.
Ligament cracking	Steel tube support plates can experience ligament cracking due to corrosion. As previously noted in IN 96-09, tube support plate signal anomalies found during eddy-current testing of SG tubes may be indicative of support plate damage or ligament cracking.
Loss of Coating or Lining Integrity	Loss of coating or lining integrity is the disbondment of a coating/lining from its substrate. Loss of coating or lining integrity can be due to a variety of aging mechanisms such as blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling, delamination, rusting, or physical damage, and spalling for cementitious coatings/linings.
	Where the aging mechanism results in exposure of the base material, loss of material of the base material can occur.
	Where the aging mechanism results in the coating/lining not remaining adhered to the substrate, the coating/lining can become debris that could prevent an in-scope component from satisfactorily accomplishing any of its functions identified under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) or (a)(3) (e.g., reduction in flow, drop in pressure, reduction in heat transfer).
Loss of conductor strength	Transmission conductors can experience loss of conductor strength due to corrosion.
Loss of fracture toughness	Loss of fracture toughness can result from various aging mechanisms, including thermal aging embrittlement and neutron irradiation embrittlement.
Loss of leak tightness	Steel airlocks can experience loss of leak tightness in the closed position resulting from mechanical wear of locks, hinges, and closure mechanisms.
Loss of material	Loss of material in mechanical components may be due to general corrosion, boric acid corrosion,

IX.E Use of Terms for Aging Effects	
	pitting corrosion, galvanic corrosion, crevice corrosion, erosion, fretting, flow-accelerated corrosion, microbiologically-induced corrosion, fouling, selective leaching, wastage, and wear.
	In concrete structures, loss of material can also be caused by aggressive chemical attack, abrasion, cavitation or corrosion of embedded steel.
	For high-voltage insulators, loss of material can be attributed to mechanical wear or wind-induced abrasion. [Ref. 17]
Loss of material, loss of form	In earthen water-control structures, the loss of material and loss of form can result from erosion, settlement, sedimentation, frost action, waves, currents, surface runoff, and seepage.
Loss of mechanical function	Loss of mechanical function in Class 1 piping and components (such as constant and variable load suring handers guides stops sliding surfaces and vibration isolators) fabricated from steel or
	other materials, such as Lubrite [®] , can occur through the combined influence of a number of aging
	mechanisms. Such aging mechanisms can include corrosion, distortion, dirt accumulation,
	being less than the design requirements can also contribute to loss of mechanical function.
Loss of preload	Loss of preload can be due to gasket creep, thermal effects (including differential expansion and
	deep of suess relaxation), and sen-toosening (which includes violation, joint nexing, cyclic snear loads, thermal cycles). [Ref. 19]
Loss of prestress	Loss of prestress in structural steel anchorage components can result from relaxation, shrinkage, creep. or elevated temperatures.
Loss of sealing; leakage	Loss of sealing and leakage through containment in such materials as seals, elastomers, rubber,
through containment	and other similar materials can result from deterioration of seals, gaskets, and moisture barriers
	(caulking, flashing, and other sealants). Loss of sealing in elastomeric phase bus enclosure assemblies can result from moisture intrusion.
None	Certain material/environment combinations may not be subject to significant aging mechanisms; thus. there are no relevant aging effects that require management.
Reduction in concrete anchor capacity due	Reduction in concrete anchor capacity due to local concrete degradation can result from a service-
to local concrete degradation	induced cracking or other concrete aging mechanisms.
Reduction in foundation strength, cracking, differential settlement	Reduction in foundation strength, cracking, and differential settlement can result from erosion of porous concrete subfoundation.
Reduction of heat transfer	Reduction of heat transfer can result from fouling on the heat transfer surface. Although in heat
	exchangers the tupes are the primary heat transfer component, heat exchanger internals, including tubesheets and fins, contribute to heat transfer and may be affected by the reduction of heat
	transfer due to fouling. Although GALL-SLR Report does not include reduction of heat transfer for
	any heat exchanger surfaces other than tubes, reduction in heat transfer is of concern for other heat exchanger surfaces.

IX.E Use of Terms for Aging Effects	
Term	Usage in this document
Reduced electrical insulation resistance	Reduced electrical insulation resistance is the decrease in the effectiveness of the electrical insulation to inhibit/prevent the conduction of an electric current.
	Reduced electrical insulation resistance is an aging effect associated with the following aging mechanisms:
	 Thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics/thermoplastics, radiation-induced oxidation, moisture/debris intrusion, and ohmic heating
	 Presence of salt deposits or surface contamination
	 Thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis, and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation; moisture intrusion moisture
	Moisture
Reduced thermal insulation resistance	Reduced thermal insulation resistance is a decrease in the effectiveness of the thermal insulation to inhibit/prevent heat transfer across a thermal gradient.
	Reduced thermal insulation resistance can be the result of moisture intrusion and/or the exposure to moisture.
Reduction of neutron-absorbing capacity	Reduction of neutron-absorbing capacity can result from Boraflex degradation.
Reduction of strength and modulus	In concrete, reduction of strength and modulus can be attributed to elevated temperatures (>66 °C [>150 °F] general; >93 °C [>200 °F] local).
Reduction or loss of isolation function	Reduction or loss of isolation function in polymeric vibration isolation elements can result from elastomers exposed to radiation hardening, temperature, humidity, sustained vibratory loading.
Wall thinning	Wall thinning is a specific type of loss of material attributed in the AMR items to general corrosion,
	flow-accelerated corrosion, and erosion mechanisms including cavitation, flashing, droplet
	impingement, or solid particle impingement.

1 F. SIGNIFICANT AGING MECHANISMS

- 2 An aging mechanism is considered to be significant when it may result in aging effects that
- produce a loss of functionality of a component or structure during the current or license renewal
 period if allowed to continue without mitigation.
- 5 The following table defines many of the standardized aging mechanisms used in the preceding
- 6 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) aging
- 7 management review (AMR) line item tables in Chapters II through VIII of GALL-SLR Report.

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechar	lisms
Term	Usage in this document
Abrasion	As used in the context of the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter III, "Structures and Component Supports," as water migrates over a concrete surface, it may transport material that can abrade the concrete. The passage of water also may create a negative pressure at the water/air-to-concrete interface that can result in abrasion and cavitation degradation of the concrete. This may result in pitting or aggregate exposure due to loss of cement paste. [Ref. 20]
Aggressive chemical attack	Concrete, being highly alkaline (pH >12.5), is degraded by strong acids. Chlorides and sulfates of potassium, sodium, and magnesium may attack concrete, depending on their concentrations in soil/ground water that comes into contact with the concrete. Exposed surfaces of Class 1 structures may be subject to sulfur-based acid-rain degradation. The minimum thresholds causing concrete degradation are 500 ppm chlorides and 1,500 ppm sulfates. [Ref. 20]
Boraflex degradation	Boraflex degradation may involve gamma radiation-induced shrinkage of Boraflex and the potential to develop tears or gaps in the material. A more significant potential degradation is the gradual release of silica and the depletion of boron carbide from Boraflex, following gamma irradiation and long-term exposure to the wet pool environment. The loss of boron carbide from Boraflex is characterized by slow dissolution of the Boraflex matrix from the surface of the Boraflex and a gradual thinning of the material.
	The boron carbide loss can result in a significant increase in the reactivity within the storage racks. An additional consideration is the potential for silica transfer through the fuel transfer canal into the reactor core during refueling operations and its effect on the fuel-clad heat transfer capability. [Ref. 21]
Boric acid corrosion	Corrosion by boric acid, which can occur where there is borated water leakage in an environment described as air with borated water leakage (see Corrosion).
Cavitation	Formation and instantaneous collapse of innumerable tiny voids or cavities within a liquid subjected to rapid and intense pressure changes. Cavitation caused by severe turbulent flow can potentially lead to cavitation damage.
Chemical contamination	Presence of chemicals that do not occur under normal conditions at concentrations that could result in the degradation of the component.
Cladding degradation	This refers to the degradation of the SS cladding via any applicable degradation process and is a precursor to cladding breach.
	It is only used to describe the loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion (only for steel after cladding degradation) of piping, piping components, and piping elements fabricated from steel, with SS cladding.
Corrosion	Chemical or electrochemical reaction between a material, usually a metal, and the environment or between two dissimilar metals that produces a deterioration of the material and its properties.
Corrosion of carbon steel tube support plate	Corrosion can occur on the carbon steel tube support plates, which are plate-type components providing tube-to-tube mechanical support for the tubes in the tube bundle of the steam generator

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan	lisms
Term	Usage in this document
	(recirculating) system of a PWR. The tubes pass through drilled holes in the plate. The secondary coolant flows through the tube supports via flow holes between the tubes. [Ref. 22, 23]
Corrosion of embedded steel	If the pH of concrete in which steel is embedded is reduced below 11.5 by intrusion of aggressive ions (e.g., chlorides > 500 ppm) in the presence of oxygen, embedded steel may corrode. A reduction in pH may be caused by the leaching of alkaline products through cracks, entry of acidic materials, or
	carbonation. Chlorides may be present in the constituents of the original concrete mix. The severity of the corrosion is affected by the properties and types of cement, aggregates, and moisture content. [Ref. 24]
Creep	Creep, for a metallic material, refers to a time-dependent continuous deformation process under constant stress. It is an elevated temperature process and is not a concern for low-alloy steel below 371 °C [700 °F], for austenitic alloys below 538 °C [1,000 °F], or for Ni-based alloys below 982 °C [1,800 °F]. [Ref. 25, 26]
	Creep, in concrete, is related to the loss of absorbed water from the hydrated cement paste. It is a function of the modulus of elasticity of the aggregate. It may result in loss of prestress in the tendons used in prestressed concrete containment. [Ref. 22]
Crevice corrosion	Crevice corrosion occurs in a wetted or buried environment when a crevice or area of stagnant or low flow exists that allows a corrosive environment to develop in a component. It occurs most frequently in joints and connections, or points of contact between metals and nonmetals, such as gasket surfaces, lap joints, and under bolt heads. Carbon steel, cast iron, low alloy steels, SS, copper, and nickel base alloys are all susceptible to crevice corrosion. Steel can be subject to crevice corrosion in some cases after lining/cladding degradation. Localized corrosion of a metal surface at, or immediately adjacent to, an area that is shielded from full exposure to the environment because of the close provimity of the metal to the surface of another discimilar material
Cyclic loading	Cyclic loading can cause cracking by periodic application of mechanical and thermal loads on a component. Examples of cyclic loading are pressure and thermally-induced loads due to thermal-hydraulic transients of piping components. Fatigue cracking is a typical result of cyclic loadings on metal components.
Distortion	The aging mechanism of distortion (as associated with component supports in the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter III.B2) can be caused by time-dependent strain or by gradual elastic and plastic deformation of metal that is under constant stress at a value lower than its normal yield strength.
Elastomer degradation	Elastomer degradation is an encompassing term related to various aging mechanisms that result in hardening and loss of strength of elastomers. Degradation can occur in elastomers due to thermal aging {elevated temperature over about 35 °C [95 °F], exposure to ozone, oxidation, photolysis (due to ultraviolet light), and radiation. [Ref. 9]
	Degradation may include mechanisms such as cracking, crazing, fatigue breakdown, abrasion, chemical attacks, and change in material properties. [Ref. 27, 28]

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan	lisms
Term	Usage in this document
Electrical transients	An electrical transient is a stressor caused by a voltage spike that can contribute to aging degradation. Certain types of high-energy electrical transients can contribute to electromechanical forces, ultimately resulting in fatigue or loosening of bolted connections. Transient voltage surges are a major contributor to the early failure of sensitive electrical components.
Elevated temperature	Elevated temperature is referenced as an aging mechanism only in the context of LWR containments (GALL-SLR Chapter II). In concrete, reduction of strength and modulus can be attributed to elevated temperatures {>66 °C [>150°F] general; >93 °C [>200 °F] local}.
Erosion	Erosion is the progressive loss of material due to the mechanical interaction between a surface and a moving fluid. Different forms of erosion include cavitation, flashing, droplet impingement, and solid particle impingement.
Erosion settlement	Erosion settlement is the subsidence of a containment structure that may occur due to changes in the site conditions, (e.g., erosion or changes in the water table). The amount of settlement depends on the foundation material. [Ref. 24] Another synonymous term is "erosion of the porous concrete subfoundation."
Erosion, settlement, sedimentation, frost action, waves, currents, surface runoff, seepage	In earthen water-control structures, the loss of material and loss of form can result from erosion, settlement, sedimentation, frost action, waves, currents, surface runoff, and seepage.
Fatigue	Fatigue is a phenomenon leading to fracture under repeated or fluctuating stresses having a maximum value less than the tensile strength of the material. Fatigue fractures are progressive, and grow under value less than the fluctuating stress. Fatigue due to vibratory and cyclic thermal loads is defined as the structural degradation that can occur from repeated stress/strain cycles caused by fluctuating loads (e.g., from vibratory loads) and temperatures, giving rise to thermal loads. After repeated cyclic loading of sufficient magnitude, microstructural damage may accumulate, leading to macroscopic crack initiation at the most vulnerable regions. Subsequent mechanical or thermal cyclic loading may lead to growth of the initiated crack. Vibration may result in component cyclic fatigue, as well as in cutting, wear, and abrasion, if left unabated. Vibration is generally induced by external equipment operation. It may also result from flow resonance or movement of pumps or valves in fluid systems.
Flow-accelerated corrosion	Flow-accelerated corrosion (FAC) is a corrosion mechanism, which results in wall thinning of carbon steel components exposed to moving, high temperature, low-oxygen water, such as PWR primary and secondary water, and BWR reactor coolant. FAC is the result of dissolution of the surface film of the steel, which is transported away from the site of dissolution by the movement of water. [Ref. 30]
Fouling	Fouling is an accumulation of deposits on the surface of a component or structure. This term includes accumulation and growth of aquatic organisms on a submerged metal surface or the accumulation of deposits (usually inorganic). Biofouling, a subset of fouling, can be caused by either macroorganisms
IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan	lisms
------------------------------------	---
lerm	Usage in this document
	(e.g., barnacles, Asian clams, zebra mussels, or others found in fresh and salt water) or microorganisms (e.g., algae, microfouling tubercles).
	Fouling also can be categorized as particulate fouling (e.g., sediment, silt, dust, eroded coatings, and corrosion products), biofouling, or macrofouling (e.g., delaminated coatings, debris). Fouling can
	occur on the piping, valves, and heat exchangers. Fouling can result in a reduction of heat transfer or flow blockage. For "fouling that leads to corrosion," fouling can be an indirect contributor to corrosion
	but does not directly cause loss of material.
Freeze-thaw, trost action	Repeated freezing and thawing can cause severe degradation of concrete, characterized by scaling, cracking, and spalling. The cause is water freezing within the pores of the concrete. creating hydraulic
	pressure. If unrelieved, this pressure will lead to freeze-thaw degradation.
	If the temperature cannot be controlled, other factors that enhance the resistance of concrete to
	freeze-thaw degradation are (a) adequate air content (i.e., within ranges specified in ACI 301-84), (b)
	Iow permeability, (c) protection until adequate strength has developed, and (d) surface coating applied to frequently wet-dry surfaces. [Ref. 24, 31]
Fretting	Fretting is a wear process that occurs at the interface between contacting surfaces that experience a slight, differential oscillatory movement. Fretting can lead to loss of material.
Galvanic corrosion	Galvanic corrosion is accelerated corrosion of a metal because of an electrical contact with a more
	contact corrosion, dissimilar metal corrosion, or two-metal corrosion. For example, galvanic corrosion
	is an applicable aging mechanism for steel materials coupled to more noble metals in heat
	exchangers; galvanic corrosion of copper is of concern when coupled with the nobler SS.
	Galvanic corrosion was removed from the AMR line item tables as a specific aging mechanism. The
	activities. For example: (a) selecting dissimilar metals that are as close to each other in the galvanic
	series; (b) avoiding localized small anodes and large cathodes; (c) instituting means to insulate the dissimilar metals from each other; (d) coatings and (e) sacrificial anodes.
	Although galvanic corrosion has been removed from the AMR line item tables as a specific aging mechanism, several AMPs support the mitigation or prevention of galvanic corrosion. For example:
	GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42, "Internal Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components,
	Heat Exchangers, and Tanks," manages loss of coating integrity. A licensee experienced accelerated
	gaivarilic corrosion when loss of coating integrity occurred in the vicinity of carbon steel components attached to AL6XN commonents [Ref 32] GALL-SER Remort AMP XLM10 "Boric Acid Corrosion "
	inspections can detect boric acid residue spanning dissimilar metals, which can result in a galvanic
	corrosion cell. A licensee experienced galvanic corrosion of a steel nozzle when boric acid residue

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan Term	Usage in this document
	spanned the steel nozzle and attached SS piping. The galvanic corrosion resulted in corrosion rates 1.5 times higher than expected. [Ref. 33] Cracking or pitting of SS or nickel alloy cladding can lead to localized galvanic attack. AMPs XI.M32, "One-Time Inspection," and XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems," are used to detect cracking due to SSC and loss of material due to pitting and crevice corrosion for clad steel components. [Ref. 33]
	Any of the AMPs used to detect loss of material due to general, pitting, or crevice corrosion can also detect loss of material due to galvanic corrosion.
General corrosion	General corrosion, also known as uniform corrosion, proceeds at approximately the same rate over a metal surface. Loss of material due to general corrosion is an aging effect requiring management for low-alloy steel, carbon steel, and cast iron in outdoor environments.
	Some potential for pitting and crevice corrosion may exist even when pitting and crevice corrosion is not explicitly listed in the aging effects/aging mechanism column in GALL-SLR Report-AMR items and when the descriptor may only be loss of material due to general corrosion. For example, the GALL-
	SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," calls for the inspection of general corrosion of steel through visual inspection of external surfaces for evidence of material loss and leakage. It acts as a de facto screening for pitting and crevice corrosion, since the symptoms of general corrosion will be noticed first. Wastage is thinning of component walls due to
Intergranular attack	In austenitic SSs, the precipitation of chromium carbides, usually at grain boundaries, on exposure to temperatures of about 550–850 °C [1,022–1,562 °F], leaves the grain boundaries depleted of Cr and, therefore suscentible to preferential attack [intercranular attack /IGA)] by a corroding (oxidizing)
Intergranular stress corrosion cracking	Intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) is SCC in which the cracking occurs along grain boundaries.
Irradiation assisted stress corrosion cracking	Failure by intergranular cracking in aqueous environments of stressed materials exposed to ionizing radiation has been termed irradiation assisted stress corrosion cracking (IASCC). Irradiation by high- energy neutrons can promote SCC by affecting material microchemistry (e.g., radiation-induced
	segregation of elements such as P, S, Si, and Ni to the grain boundaries), material composition and microstructure (e.g., radiation hardening), as well as water chemistry (e.g., radiolysis of the reactor water to make it more aggressive).
Leaching of calcium hydroxide and carbonation	Water passing through cracks, inadequately prepared construction joints, or areas that are not sufficiently consolidated during placing may dissolve some calcium-containing products (of which calcium hydroxide is the most-readily soluble, depending on the solution pH) in concrete. Once the calcium hydroxide has been leached away, other cementitious constituents become vulnerable to
	chemical decomposition, finally leaving only the silica and alumina gels behind with little strength. The water's aggressiveness in the leaching of calcium hydroxide depends on its salt content, pH, and

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechai	nisms
Term	Usage in this document
-	temperature. This leaching action is effective only if the water passes through the concrete. [Ref. 24]
Low-temperature crack propagation	LTCP is IGSCC at low temperatures ~54–77 °C [~130–170 °F].
Long-term loss of material	Long term loss of material is associated with general corrosion of steel components exposed to a
	water environment that has not included corrosion inhibitors as a preventive action [i.e., treated water,
	reactor coolarit, raw water, or waste water). Loss or material is managed by conducting voluments
	examinations in order to determine whether general corrosion could challenge the component's Estructural integrity such that a loss of intended function might occur during periods of extended
	operation [e.g., pressure boundary, leakage boundary (spatial), structural integrity (attached), as
	defined in SRP-SLR Table 2.1-4(b)].
Mechanical loading	Applied loads of mechanical origins rather than from other sources, such as thermal.
Mechanical wear	See "Wear."
Microbiologically-induced corrosion	Any of the various forms of corrosion induced by the presence and activities of such microorganisms
	as bacteria, fungi, and algae, and/or the products produced in their metabolism. Degradation of
	material that is accelerated due to conditions under a biofilm or microfouling tubercle, for example,
	anaerobic bacteria that can set up an electrochemical galvanic reaction or inactivate a passive
	protective tilm, or acid-producing bacterial that might produce corrosive metabolites.
Moisture intrusion	Influx of moisture through any viable process.
Neutron irradiation embrittlement	Irradiation by neutrons results in embrittlement of carbon and low-alloy steels. It may produce
	crianges in mechanical properties by increasing tensile and yield strengths with a corresponding
	decrease in itacture touginess and ductility. The extent of emprintement depends on neutron illence, temperature and trace material chemistry. TRef. 261
Obmic hooting	terriberation; and nave material antimority. [Iven: 20] Observation; and nave material antimority [Iven: 20]
Onmic neating	Unmic neating is induced by current flow through a conductor and can be calculated using first principles of electricity and heat transfer Ohmic heating is a thermal stressor and can be induced by
	conductors passing through electrical penetrations. for example. Ohmic heating is especially
	significant for power circuit penetrations. [Ref. 17]
Outside diameter stress corrosion	Outside diameter stress corrosion cracking (ODSCC) is SCC initiating in the outer diameter
cracking	(secondary side) surface of steam generator tubes. The secondary side is part of the secondary
	systern consisting or the streil side of the steam generator, mgn- and low-pressure turbines, moisture/separator reheaters, main electrical stages and interconnecting piping.
	This differs from PWSCC, which describes inner diameter (SG primary side) initiated cracking.
	[Ket. 23]. The primary loop basically consists of the reactor vessel, reactor coolant pumps, pressurizer steam generator tubes, and interconnecting piping.
Overload	Overload is one of the aging mechanisms that can cause loss of mechanical function in Class 1 piping
	and components, such as constant and variable load spring hangers, guides, stops, sliding surfaces,
Ovidation	anti viziante sonatore, iazintadea morte control indentiae, such as culture . Ortidation interface turo de constante (a) as inter materiale, such as culture .
Oxidation	Oxidation involves two types of reactions: (a) an increase in valence resulting from a loss of electrons, or (b) a corrosion reaction in which the corroded metal forms an oxide. [Ref. 27]

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan	lisms
Term	Usage in this document
Photolysis	Chemical reactions induced or assisted by light.
Pitting corrosion	Localized corrosion of a metal surface, confined to a point or small area, which takes the form of cavities called pits.
Presence of any salt deposits	The surface contamination (and increased electrical conductivity) resulting from the aggressive environment associated with the presence of salt deposits can degrade high voltage insulator quality. Although this aging mechanism may be due to temporary, transient environmental conditions, the net result may be long-lasting and cumulative for plants located in the vicinity of saltwater bodies.
Primary water stress corrosion cracking	Primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) is an intergranular cracking mechanism that requires the presence of high applied and/or residual stress, susceptible tubing microstructures (few intergranular carbides), and also high temperatures. This aging mechanism is most likely a factor for nickel alloys in the PWR environment. [Ref. 22]
Radiation hardening, temperature, humidity, sustained vibratory loading Radiation-induced oxidation	Reduction or loss of isolation function in polymeric vibration isolation elements can result from a combination of radiation hardening, temperature, humidity, and sustained vibratory loading. Two types of reactions that are affected by radiation are (a) an increase in valence resulting from a
	loss of electrons, or (b) a corrosion reaction in which the corroded metal forms an oxide. This is a very limited form of oxidation and is referenced in GALL-SLR Chapter VI for MEB insulation. [Ref. 27]
Radiolysis	Radiolysis is a chemical reaction induced or assisted by radiation. Radiolysis and photolysis aging mechanisms can occur in UV-sensitive organic materials.
Reaction with aggregate	The presence of reactive alkalis in concrete can lead to subsequent reactions with aggregates that may be present. These alkalis are introduced mainly by cement, but also may come from admixtures, salt-contamination, seawater penetration, or solutions of deicing salts. These reactions include alkali- silica reactions, cement-aggregate reactions, and aggregate-carbonate reactions. These reactions may lead to expansion and cracking. [Ref. 14, 34]
Recurring internal corrosion	Recurring internal corrosion is identified by both the number of occurrences of internal aging effects with the same aging mechanism and the extent of degradation at each localized site. In regard to the number of occurrences, aging effects are considered recurring if the search of plant-specific OE reveals repetitive occurrences (e.g., one per refueling outage cycle that has occurred over three or more sequential or nonsequential cycles for a 10-year OE search, or two or more sequential or nonsequential cycles for a 10-year OE search, or two or more sequential or nonsequential cycles for a 10-year OE search, or two or more sequential or nonsequential cycles for a 5-year OE search) of aging effects with the same aging mechanism. In regard to the extent of degradation, aging effects are considered recurring if the aging effect resulted in the component not meeting either plant-specific acceptance criteria or experiencing a reduction in wall thickness of greater than 50 percent (regardless of the minimum wall thickness). Recurring internal corrosion is evaluated based on the aging mechanisms observed. For example, multiple occurrences of LOM due to microbiologically-induced corrosion, LOM due to pitting, or LOM due to galvanic corrosion would be considered three separate occurrences of aging mechanisms that could be grouped as recurring internal corrosion but that would be evaluated separately.
Restraint shrinkage	Restraint shrinkage can cause cracking in concrete transverse to the longitudinal construction joint.
Selective leaching	Selective leaching is also known as dealloying (e.g., dezincification or graphitic corrosion) and

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan	lisms
Term	Usage in this document
	involves selective corrosion of one or more components of a solid solution alloy.
Service-induced cracking or other	Cracking of concrete under load over time of service (e.g., from shrinkage or creep, or other concrete
concrete aging mechanisms	aging mechanisms) that may include freeze-thaw, leaching, aggressive chemicals, reaction with
	aggregates, corrosion of embedded steels, elevated temperatures, irradiation, abrasion, and cavitation. [Ref. 20]
Settlement	This term is referenced as an aging mechanism in GALL-SLR Chapter II, Containment Structures.
	Settlement of a containment structure may occur due to changes in the site conditions (e.g., water
	table, etc.). The amount of settlement depends on the foundation material. [Ref. 23]
Stress corrosion cracking	Stress corrosion cracking (SCC) is the cracking of a metal produced by the combined action of
	corrosion and tensile stress (applied or residual), especially at elevated temperature. SCC is highly
	chemically specific in that certain alloys are likely to undergo SCC only when exposed to a small
	number of chemical environments. For PWR internal components, in Chapters IV.B2, IV.B3 and
	IV.B4, SCC includes intergranular SCC, transgranular SCC, primary water SCC, and low temperature
	crack propagation as aging mechanisms.
Stress relaxation	Many of the bolts in reactor internals are stressed to a cold initial preload. When subject to high
	operating temperatures, over time these bolts may loosen and the preload may be lost. Radiation can
	also cause stress relaxation in highly stressed members such as bolts. [Ref. 15]. Relaxation in
	structural steel anchorage components can be an aging mechanism contributing to the aging effect of
	loss of prestress.
Surface contamination	Contamination of the surfaces by corrosive constituents or fouling.
Sustained vibratory loading	Vibratory loading over time.
Thermal aging embrittlement	Also termed "thermal aging" or "thermal embrittlement." At operating temperatures of 260 °C to 343 °C
)	[500 to 650 °F], CASS exhibit a spinoidal decomposition of the ferrite phase into ferrite-rich and
	chromium-rich phases. This may give rise to significant embrittlement (reduction in fracture
	toughness), depending on the amount, morphology, and distribution of the ferrite phase and the
	composition of the steel.
	Thermal aging of materials other than CASS is a time- and temperature-dependent degradation
	mechanism that decreases material toughness. It includes temper embrittlement and strain aging
	embrittlement. Ferritic and low-alloy steels are subject to both of these types of embrittlement, but
- - - - - - - - -	wrought SS is not affected by either of these processes. [Ket. 26]
I hermal effects, gasket creep, and self-	Loss of preload due to gasket creep, thermal effects (including differential expansion and creep or stress relaxation) and self-loosening (which includes vibration joint flexing cyclic shear loads
	thermal cycles). [Ref. 18, 19]
Thermal and mechanical loading	Loads (stress) due to mechanical or thermal (temperature) sources.
Thermal degradation of	Organic materials, in this case, are polymers. This category includes both short-term thermal
organic materials	degradation and long-term thermal degradation. Thermal energy absorbed by polymers can result in crossing and chain sciesion Crossing will concerning the rule active affects as increased

IX.F Use of Terms for Aging Mechan	lisms
Term	Usage in this document
	tensile strength and hardening of material, with some loss of flexibility and eventual decrease in elongation-at-break and increased compression set. Scission generally reduces tensile strength. Other reactions that may occur include crystallization and chain depolymerization.
Thermal fatigue	Fatigue is the progressive and localized structural damage that occurs when a material is subjected to cyclic loading. The maximum stress values are less than the ultimate tensile stress limit, and may be below the yield stress limit of the material. Higher temperatures generally decrease fatigue strength. Thermal fatigue can result from phenomena such as thermal loading, thermal cycling, where there is cycling of the thermal loads, and thermal stratification and turbulent penetration. Thermal stratification is a thermo-hydraulic condition with a definitive hot and cold water boundary inducing thermal fatigue of the piping. Turbulent penetration is a thermo-hydraulic condition where there hot and cold water mix as a result of turbulent flow conditions, leading to thermal fatigue of the piping. The Inspection," inspects for cracking induced by thermal stratification, and for turbulent penetration via volumetric (RT or UT) techniques.
Thermoxidative degradation of organics/thermoplastics	Degradation of organics/thermoplastics via oxidation reactions (loss of electrons by a constituent of a chemical reaction) and thermal means (see Thermal degradation of organic materials). [Ref. 25]
Transgranular stress corrosion cracking	Transgranular stress corrosion cracking (TGSCC) is SCC in which cracking occurs across the grains.
Void swelling	Vacancies created in reactor (metallic) materials as a result of irradiation may accumulate into voids that may, in turn, lead to changes in dimensions (swelling) of the material. Void swelling may occur after an extended incubation period.
Water trees	Water trees occur when the insulating materials are exposed to long-term electrical stress and moisture; these trees eventually result in breakdown of the dielectric and ultimate failure. The growth and propagation of water trees is somewhat unpredictable. Water treeing is a degradation and long-term failure phenomenon.
Wear	Wear is defined as the removal of surface layers due to relative motion between two surfaces or under the influence of hard, abrasive particles. Wear occurs in parts that experience intermittent relative motion, frequent manipulation, or in clamped joints where relative motion is not intended, but may occur due to a loss of the clamping force. [Ref. 26]. Loss of material due to wear can also occur in polymeric components buried in soil containing deleterious materials that move over time due to seasonal change effects on the soil.
Weathering	Weathering is the mechanical or chemical degradation of external surfaces of materials when exposed to an outside environment.
Wind-induced abrasion	(See Abrasion) The fluid carrier of abrading particles is wind rather than water/liquids.

1 G. REFERENCES

- SNL. SAND 93–7070, "Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power
 Plants-Heat Exchangers." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia National Laboratories.
 June 1994.
- ASTM International. "Corrosion: Materials, Corrosion of Copper and Copper Alloys."
 Volume 13B. pp 129–133. Materials Park, Ohio: American Society for Testing
 Materials International. 2006.
- ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section II: Part B, Nonferrous Material
 Specifications.
- 10 4. ASME Boiler & Pressure Vessel Code, Section II: Part A, Ferrous Material Specification.
- NRC. NUREG–1950, "Disposition of Public Comments and Technical Bases for Changes in the License Renewal Guidance Documents NUREG–1801 and NUREG–1800." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2011.
- Welding Handbook. "Metals and Their Weldability." Seventh Edition. Volume 4.
 American Welding Society. p. 76–145. 1984.
- 7. Metals Handbook. "Failure Analysis." Ninth Edition. Volume 11. ASM International.
 p. 415. 1980.
- Fink, F.W. and W.K. Boyd. "The Corrosion of Metals in Marine Environments."
 DMIC Report 245. May 1970.
- Gillen, K.T. and R.L. Clough. "Occurrence and Implications of Radiation Dose-Rate
 Effects for Material Aging Studies." Radiation Physics and Chemistry. Vol. 18. p. 679.
 1981.
- Peckner, D. and I.M. Bernstein, eds. *Handbook of Stainless Steels*. McGraw-Hill.
 New York. p. 16–85. 1977.
- EPRI. EPRI-1010639, "Non-Class 1 Mechanical Implementation Guideline and Mechanical Tools," Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California: pp. 2–13. January 2006.
- Chopra, O.K. and A. Sather. ANL-89/17, "Initial Assessment of the Mechanisms and Significance of Low-Temperature Embrittlement of Cast Stainless Steels in LWR Systems." Argonne, Illinois: Argonne National Laboratory. August 1990.
- NRC. NUREG–1557, "Summary of Technical Information and Agreements from Nuclear Management and Resources Council Industry Reports Addressing License Renewal."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 1996.
- Freeze, R.A. and J.A Cherry. *Groundwater*. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
 Prentice-Hall. 1979.
- NRC. NUREG–1760, "Aging Assessment of Safety-Related Fuses Used in Low- and
 Medium-Voltage Applications in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. May 2002.

- JM Eagle[™] Technical Bulletin. "The Effects of Sunlight Exposure on PVC Pipe and Conduit." Ft. Recovery, Ohio: JM Manufacturing Company Inc. January 2009.
- 3 17. SNL. SAND96–0344, "Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power
 4 Plants-Electrical Cable and Terminations." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia National
 5 Laboratories. September 1996.
- 6 18. EPRI. EPRI TR-104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide."
 7 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 1995.
- 8 19. EPRI. EPRI NP-5067, "Good Bolting Practices, A Reference Manual for Nuclear Power
 9 Plant Maintenance Personnel." Volume 1: "Large Bolt Manual." 1987 and Volume 2:
 10 "Small Bolts and Threaded Fasteners." 1990.
- NRC. NUMARC Report 90-06, "Class 1 Structures License Renewal Industry Report."
 Revision 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1991.
- NRC. GL 96-04, "Boraflex Degradation in Spent Fuel Pool Storage Racks."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1996.
- Shah, V.N. and D. E. Macdonald, eds. "Aging and Life Extension of Major Light Water
 Reactor Components." Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier. 1993.
- Gavrilas, M., P. Hejzlar, N.E. Todreas, and Y. Shatilla. "Safety Features of Operating Light Water Reactors of Western Designs." Cambridge, Massachusetts. CANES, MIT.
 2000.
- 20 24. NRC. NUMARC Report 90-01, "Pressurized Water Reactors Containment Structures
 21 License Renewal Industry Report." Revision 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 22 Regulatory Commission. 1991.
- 23 25. ASTM. "1976 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 10." Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:
 24 ASTM. 1976.
- 25 26. NRC. NUMARC Report 90-07, "PWR Reactor Coolant System License Renewal
 26 Industry Report," Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1992.
- 27 27. Davis, J.R., Ed. "Corrosion." Materials Park, Ohio: ASM International. 2000.
- 28 28. ASTM. "2004 Annual Book of ASTM Standards." Volume 09.01. Philadelphia,
 29 Pennsylvania: ASTM International. 2004.
- 30 29. NRC. NUMARC Report 90-05, "PWR Reactor Pressure Vessel Internals License
 31 Renewal Industry Report." Revision 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 32 Commission. December 1992.
- 33 30. EPRI. NSAC-202L-R4, "Recommendations for an Effective Flow-Accelerated Corrosion
 34 Program." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. November 2013.
- 35 31. ACI. ACI 301-84, "Specification for Structural Concrete for Buildings."
 36 (Field Reference Manual). Detroit, Michigan: American Concrete Institute.
 37 Revised 1988.

- 132.DNC. "Relief Request RR-04-13 for the Temporary Non-Code Compliant Condition of2the Class 3 Service Water System 10-Inch Emergency Diesel Generator Supply Piping3Flange." ML12297A333. Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. October 18, 2012.
- 4 33. EPRI. EPRI Report No 1000975, "Boric Acid Corrosion Guidebook, Revision 1:
 5 Managing Boric Acid Corrosion Issues at PWR Power Stations." Palo Alto, California:
 6 Electric Power Research Institute. 2001.
- ACI. ACI 201.2R 77, "Guide to Durable Concrete." Detroit, Michigan:
 American Concrete Institute. Reapproved 1982.

1	CHAPTER X
2	AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS THAT MAY BE USED TO
3	DEMONSTRATE ACCEPTABILITY OF TIME-LIMITED AGING
4	ANALYSES IN ACCORDANCE WITH 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(III)

1XAGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS THAT MAY BE USED TO2DEMONSTRATE ACCEPTABILITY OF TIME-LIMITED AGING3ANALYSES IN ACCORDANCE WITH 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)

4 This chapter of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal

5 (GALL-SLR) Report provides the following aging management programs (AMPs) that are used

6 to demonstrate acceptance of specific types of generic time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) in

7 accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) and to demonstrate that the impacts

8 of the effects of aging on the intended functions of the components in the analyses will be

9 adequately managed during the subsequent license renewal (SLR) period:

- 10 X.M1 CYCLIC LOAD MONITORING
- 11 X.M2 NEUTRON FLUENCE MONITORING
- 12 X.S1 CONCRETE CONTAINMENT UNBONDED TENDON PRESTRESS
- 13 X.E1 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION (EQ) OF ELECTRIC COMPONENTS
- TABLE X-01 FSAR SUPPLEMENT SUMMARIES FOR GALL-SLR REPORT
 CHAPTER X AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS
- 16 TABLE X-02 FSAR SUPPLEMENT SUMMARIES FOR GALL-SLR REPORT AGING
 17 MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS DISCUSSED IN SRP-SLR CHAPTER 4

1 X.M1 CYCLIC LOAD MONITORING

2 **Program Description**

3 This aging management program (AMP) provides an acceptable basis for managing SCs that 4 are the subject of fatigue or cycle-based time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) or other analyses 5 that assess fatigue or cyclical loading, in accordance with the requirements in 10 CFR 6 54.21(c)(1)(iii). Examples of cycle-based fatigue analyses for which this AMP may be used 7 include, but are not limited to: (a) cumulative usage factor (CUF) analyses or their equivalent 8 (e.g., Ir-based fatigue analyses, as defined in specific design codes) that are performed in 9 accordance with American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) design code requirements 10 for specific mechanical or structural components; (b) fatigue analysis calculations for assessing 11 environmentally-assisted fatigue; (c) implicit fatigue analyses, as defined in the USAS B31.1 12 design code or ASME Section III rules for Class 2 and Class 3 components; (d) fatigue flaw 13 growth analyses that are based on cyclical loading assumptions; (e) fracture mechanics 14 analyses that are based on cycle-based loading assumptions; and (f) fatigue waiver or 15 exemption analyses that are based on cycle-based loading assumptions. This program may be 16 used for fatigue analyses that apply to mechanical or structural components.

17 Fatigue of components is managed by monitoring one or more relevant fatigue parameters,

18 which include, but are not limited to, the CUF factors, the environmentally-adjusted (CUF_{en}),

19 transient cycle limits, and the predicted flaw size (for a fatigue crack growth analysis). The limit

20 of the fatigue parameter is established by the applicable fatigue analysis and may be a design

21 limit, for example from an ASME Code fatigue evaluation, an analysis-specific value, for

22 example based on the number of cyclic load occurrences assumed in a fatigue exemption

23 evaluation, or the acceptable size of a flaw identified during an inservice inspection.

24 This program has two aspects, one that verifies the continued acceptability of existing analyses 25

through cycle counting and the other that provides periodically updated evaluations of the

26 fatigue analyses to demonstrate that they continue to meet the appropriate limits. In the former,

27 the program assures that the number of occurrences and severity of each transient remains 28 within the limits of the fatigue analyses, which in turn ensure that the analyses remain valid. For

29 the latter, actual plant operating conditions monitored by this program can be used to inform

30 updated evaluations of the fatigue analyses to ensure they continue to meet the design or

31 analysis-specific limit. Technical specification requirements may apply to these activities.

32 CUF is a computed parameter used to assess the likelihood of fatigue damage in components 33 subjected to cyclic stresses. Crack initiation is assumed to begin in a mechanical or structural 34 component when the CUF at a point on or in the component reaches the value of 1.0, which is 35 the ASME Code Section III design limit on CUF values. (Note that other values may be used as 36 CUF design limits, for example, values used for high energy line break considerations.) In order 37 not to exceed the design limit on CUF, the AMP monitors and tracks the number of occurrences 38 of each of the critical thermal and pressure transients for the selected components, and 39 verifies that the severity of each of the monitored transients is bounded by the design 40 transient definitions.

41 CUF_{en} is CUF adjusted to account for the effects of the reactor water environment on

42 component fatigue life. For a plant, the effects of reactor water environment on fatigue are

43 evaluated by assessing a set of sample critical components for the plant. Examples of critical

44 components are identified in NUREG/CR-6260; however, plant-specific component locations in

45 the reactor coolant pressure boundary may be more limiting than those considered in 1 NUREG/CR–6260, and thus should also be considered. Environmental effects on fatigue for

2 these critical components may be evaluated using the guidance in Regulatory Guide (RG)

3 1.207, Revision 1. Similar to monitoring of CUF limits, the AMP monitors and tracks the number

of occurrences and severity of each of the critical thermal and pressure transients for the
 selected components in order to maintain the CUF_{en} below the design limit of 1.0. This program

also relies on the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report

also relies on the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal Report
 (GALL-SLR Report) AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," to provide monitoring of appropriate

8 environmental parameters for calculating environmental fatigue multipliers (F_{en} values).

9 Some of the design fatigue analyses are implicit evaluations or fatigue waivers. Both of these

10 analyses provide the basis for not requiring detailed fatigue analyses (e.g., CUF, CUF_{en}).

11 Implicit evaluations specify allowable stress levels based on the number of anticipated full

12 thermal range transient cycles. As an example, piping components designed to USAS

ANSI B31.1 requirements and ASME Code Class 2 and 3 components designed to

ASME Section III design requirements include implicit cycle-based maximum allowable stress

15 range calculations. Fatigue waivers are based on transient cycle limits. Fatigue waivers may

16 have been permitted such that a detailed fatigue calculation was not required if a

17 component conformed to certain criteria, such as those established in ASME Code, Section III,

18 NB-3222.4(d). The AMP monitors and tracks the number of critical thermal and pressure

19 transient occurrences for the selected components and verifies that the severity of the

20 monitored transients is bounded by the design transient definitions in order to ensure these

21 implicit fatigue evaluations or fatigue waivers remain valid.

22 In some cases, flaw tolerance evaluations are used to establish inspection frequencies for

components that, for example, exceed CUF or CUF_{en} fatigue limits. As an example,
 ASME Code, Section XI, Nonmandatory Appendix L provides guidance on the performan

ASME Code, Section XI, Nonmandatory Appendix L provides guidance on the performance of fatigue flaw tolerance evaluations to determine acceptability for continued service of reactor

fatigue flaw tolerance evaluations to determine acceptability for continued service of reactor coolant system and primary pressure boundary components and piping subjected to cyclic

20 coolant system and primary pressure boundary components and piping subjected to cyclic
 27 loadings. In flaw tolerance evaluations, the predicted size of a postulated fatigue flaw, whose

initial size is typically based on the resolution of the inspection method, is a computed

29 parameter that is used to determine the appropriate inspection frequency. The AMP monitors

30 and tracks the number of occurrences and severity of critical thermal and pressure transients for

31 the selected components that are used in the fatigue flaw tolerance evaluations to verify that the

32 inspection frequencies remain appropriate.

33 When a flaw is identified by inservice inspection, ASME Code, Section XI, Nonmandatory Appendices A and C provide guidance on the performance of fatigue flaw crack growth 34 35 evaluations to determine acceptability for continued service of reactor coolant system pressure 36 boundary components and piping subjected to cyclic loadings. In such a case, the predicted size of an identified flaw is a computed parameter suitable for determining the appropriate 37 38 inspection frequency through a fatigue crack growth evaluation. The AMP monitors and tracks the number of occurrences and severity of each of the critical thermal and pressure transients 39 40 for the selected components that are used in the crack growth evaluations to verify that the 41 inspection frequencies remain appropriate.

42 Evaluation and Technical Basis

Scope of Program: The scope includes those mechanical or structural components
 with a fatigue TLAA or other analysis that depends on the number of occurrences and
 severity of transient cycles. The program monitors and tracks the number of
 occurrences and severity of thermal and pressure transients for the selected

- components, to ensure that they remain within the plant-specific limits. The program
 ensures that the fatigue analyses remain within their allowable limits, thus minimizing the
 likelihood of failures from fatigue-induced cracking of the components caused by cyclic
 strains in the component's material. In addition, the program can be used to monitor
 actual plant operating conditions to perform updated evaluations of the fatigue analyses
 to ensure they continue to meet the design limits.
- For the purposes of ascertaining the effects of the reactor water environment on fatigue,
 applicants include CUF_{en} calculations for a set of sample reactor coolant system
 components. This sample set includes the locations identified in NUREG/CR–6260 and
 additional plant-specific component locations in the reactor coolant pressure boundary if
 they may be more limiting than those considered in NUREG/CR–6260.
- 12 Component locations within the scope of this program are updated based on operating 13 experience, plant modifications, and inspection findings.
- 14 2. *Preventive Actions*: This program does not involve preventive actions.
- 15 3. **Parameters Monitored or Inspected:** The program monitors all applicable plant transients that cause cyclic strains and contribute to fatigue, as specified in the fatigue 16 analyses, and appropriate environmental parameters that contribute to Fen values. The 17 18 number of occurrences, the severity of the plant transients, and actual plant water 19 chemistry that contribute to the fatigue analyses for each component are monitored. 20 More detailed monitoring of pressure, thermal, and water chemistry conditions at the 21 component location may be performed to allow the fatigue analyses to be assessed for 22 the specified critical locations.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The program uses applicant defined activities or methods
 to track the number of occurrences and severity of transients, and water chemistry
 conditions. Technical specification requirements may apply to these activities.
- 26 Monitoring and Trending: Monitoring and trending of the number of occurrences of 5. each of the transient cycles and their severity is used to track the occurrences of all 27 28 transients needed to ensure the continued acceptability of the fatigue analyses, or to 29 update the analyses. Monitoring of water chemistry conditions is used to ensure calculated F_{en} values remain valid. Trending is performed to ensure that the fatigue 30 analyses are managed and that the fatigue parameter limits will not be exceeded during 31 the subsequent period of extended operation, thus minimizing the possibility of fatigue 32 33 crack initiation of metal components caused by cyclic strains or water chemistry conditions. The program provides for revisions to the fatigue analyses or other 34 corrective actions (e.g., revising augmented inspection frequencies) on an as-needed 35 36 basis, if the values assumed for fatigue parameters are approached, transient severities exceed the design or assumed severities, transient counts exceed the design or 37 38 assumed quantities, transient definitions have changed, unanticipated new fatigue 39 loading events are discovered, or the geometries of components are modified.
- 40 6. Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criterion is maintaining the value of all relevant
 41 fatigue parameters to values less than or equal to the limits established in the fatigue
 42 analyses, with consideration of reactor water environmental effects, where appropriate,
 43 as described in the program description and scope of program.

- 1 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 4 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 5 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 6 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 7 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 8 of this program.
- 9 The program also provides for corrective actions to prevent the appropriate limits of the 10 fatique analyses from being exceeded during the subsequent period of extended 11 operation. Acceptable corrective actions include repair of the component, replacement 12 of the component, and a more rigorous analysis of the component to demonstrate that 13 the design limit will not be exceeded during the subsequent period of extended 14 operation. In addition, a flaw tolerance analysis with appropriate (e.g., inclusion of 15 environmental effects) crack growth rate curves and associated inspections performed in accordance with Appendix L of ASME Section XI is an acceptable correction action. For 16 17 CUF_{en} analyses, scope expansion includes consideration of other locations with the 18 highest expected CUF_{en} values.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 31 10. **Operating Experience:** The program reviews industry experience relevant to fatigue 32 cracking. Applicable operating experience relevant to fatigue cracking is to be 33 considered in selecting the locations for monitoring. As discussed in the U.S. Nuclear 34 Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 2008-30, the use of 35 certain simplified analysis methodology to demonstrate compliance with the ASME Code 36 fatigue acceptance criteria could be nonconservative; therefore a confirmatory analysis 37 is recommended, if such a methodology is used. Furthermore, as discussed in NRC 38 RIS 2011–14, the staff has identified concerns regarding the implementation of computer software packages used to calculate fatigue usage during plant transient associated with 39 40 plant transient operations.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

1 References

- 2 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
 3 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- ASME. "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Power Plant Components." Boiler and Pressure
 Vessel Code, Section III. New York, New York: ASME. 2015.
- 6 _____. "Rules for Construction of Nuclear Facility Components." ASME Code, Section III.
 7 New York, New York: ASME. 2015.
- 8 _____. "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components." ASME Code,
 9 Section XI, Nonmandatory Appendix A, Analysis of Flaws. New York, New York: ASME. 2015.
- "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components." ASME Code,
 Section XI, Appendix C, Evaluation of Flaws in Austenitic Piping. New York, New York:
 ASME. 2015.
- 13 _____. "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components, Nonmandatory
 14 Appendix L, Operating Plant Fatigue Assessment." ASME Code, Section XI. New York,
 15 New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013
- ANSI. ANSI/ASME B31.1, "Power Piping." New York, New York: American National StandardsInstitute. 2014.
- NRC. NUREG/CR–6909, "Effect of LWR Coolant Environments on the Fatigue Life of Reactor
 Materials." Revision 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2014.
- 20 _____. Regulatory Guide 1.207, "Guidelines for Evaluating the Effects of Light Water Reactor
 21 Coolant Environments in Fatigue Analyses of Metal Components." Revision 1.
 22 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2014.
- 23 . "Metal Fatigue Analysis Performance by Computer Software." NRC Regulatory Issue
- 24 Summary 2011-14. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 25 December 29, 2011.
- 26 _____. "Fatigue Analysis of Nuclear Power Plant Components." NRC Regulatory Issue
- 27 Summary 2008-30. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 28 December 16, 2008.
- 29 _____. NUREG/CR–6260, "Application of NUREG/CR-5999 Interim Fatigue Curves to Selected
- 30 Nuclear Power Plant Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 31 March 1995.

1 X.M2 NEUTRON FLUENCE MONITORING

2 **Program Description**

3 This aging management program (AMP) provides an acceptable basis for managing neutron 4 fluence-based time-limited aging analysis (TLAAs) in accordance with requirements in 5 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). This program monitors neutron fluence for reactor pressure vessel 6 (RPV) components and reactor vessel internal (RVI) components and is used in conjunction 7 with the guidance in Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal 8 (GALL-SLR) AMP XI.M31, "Reactor Vessel Surveillance." Neutron fluence is a time-dependent 9 input parameter for evaluating the loss of fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation 10 embrittlement. Accurate neutron fluence values are also necessary to identify the location of the RPV beltline region for which neutron fluence is projected to exceed 1×10^{17} n/cm² 11 12 (E > 1 MeV) during the subsequent period of extended operation.

13 The assessment of neutron fluence is an input to a number of RPV irradiation embrittlement 14 analyses that are mandated by specific regulations in 10 CFR Part 50. These analyses are 15 TLAAs for subsequent license renewal applications (SLRAs) and are the topic of the 16 acceptance criteria and review procedures in Standard Review Plan for Subsequent License 17 Renewal (SRP-SLR) Section 4.2, "Reactor Vessel Neutron Embrittlement Analyses." The 18 neutron irradiation embrittlement TLAAs that are managed by this AMP include, but are not 19 limited to: (a) neutron fluence, (b) pressurized thermal shock (PTS) analyses for pressurized 20 water reactors (PWRs), as mandated by 10 CFR 50.61 or alternatively [if applicable for the 21 current licensing basis (CLB)] by 10 CFR 50.61a; (c) RPV upper-shelf energy (USE) analyses, 22 as mandated by Section IV.A.1 of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and (d) pressure-temperature 23 (P-T) limit analyses that are mandated by Section IV.A.2 of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G and 24 controlled by plant Technical Specifications (TS) update and reporting requirements (i.e., the 25 10 CFR 50.90 license amendment process for updates of P-T limit curves located in the TS 26 limiting conditions of operation, or TS administrative control section requirements for updates of 27 P-T limit curves that have been relocated into a pressure-temperature limits report (PTLR).

28 The calculations of neutron fluence also factor into other analyses or technical report 29 methodologies that assess irradiation-related aging effects. Examples include, but are not 30 limited to: (a) determination of the RPV beltline as defined in Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS) 31 2014-11, "Information On Licensing Applications For Fracture Toughness Requirements For 32 Ferritic Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components," (b) evaluation of the susceptibility of 33 RVI components to neutron radiation damage mechanisms, including irradiation embrittlement 34 (IE), irradiation assisted stress corrosion cracking (IASCC), irradiation-enhanced stress 35 relaxation or creep (IESRC) and void swelling or neutron induced component distortion; and 36 (c) evaluating the dosimetry data obtained from an RPV surveillance program.

37 Guidance on acceptable methods and assumptions for determining reactor vessel neutron 38 fluence is described in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide 39 (RG) 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence." The methods developed and approved using the guidance contained in RG 1.190 are 40 41 specifically intended to calculate neutron fluence in the region of the RPV close to the active fuel 42 region of the core and are not intended to apply to vessel regions significantly above and below 43 the active fuel region of the core, nor to RVI components. Therefore, the use of RG 1.190-44 adherent methods to estimate neutron fluence for the RPV regions significantly above and 45 below the active fuel region of the core and RVI components may require additional justification, 46 even if those methods were approved by the NRC for RPV neutron fluence calculations. This

1 program monitors in-vessel or ex-vessel dosimetry capsules and evaluates the dosimetry data,

- 2 as needed. The implementation of such dosimetry capsules may be needed when the reactor
- 3 surveillance program has exhausted the available capsules for in-vessel exposure.

4 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 5 1. **Scope of Program:** The scope of the program includes RPV and RVI components that 6 are subject to a neutron embrittlement TLAA or other analysis involving time-dependent 7 neutron irradiation. The program monitors neutron fluence throughout the subsequent 8 period of extended operation for determining the susceptibility of the components to IE, 9 IASCC, IESRC, and void swelling or distortion. The program also continues to ensure 10 the adequacy of the neutron fluence estimates by: (a) monitoring plant and core 11 operating conditions relative to the assumptions used in the neutron fluence calculations, 12 and (b) continuously updating the gualification database associated with the neutron 13 fluence method as new calculational and measurement data become available for 14 benchmarking. This program is used in conjunction with GALL-SLR Report AMP 15 XI.M31, "Reactor Vessel Surveillance."
- Updated neutron fluence calculations, plant modifications, and RPV surveillance
 program data are used to identify component locations within the scope of this program,
 including the beltline region of the RPV. Applicable requirements in 10 CFR Part 50,
 and if appropriate, plant Technical Specifications (TSs), related to calculating neutron
 fluence estimates and incorporating those calculations into neutron irradiation analyses
 for the RPVs and RVIs must be met.
- 22 2. **Preventive Actions:** This program is a condition monitoring program through 23 calculation of neutron fluence values, and thus there are no specific preventive actions. 24 Because this program can be used to ensure that the inputs and assumptions 25 associated with neutron fluence in the irradiation embrittlement TLAAs (described in 26 SRP-SLR Section 4.2) remain within their respective limits, this program can prevent those TLAAs from being outside of the acceptance criteria that are set as regulatory or 27 28 design limits in the analyses. Since the program is used to ensure that the inputs and 29 assumptions associated with neutron fluence in irradiation embrittlement TLAAs will 30 remain within their respective limits, this program does have some preventative aspects 31 to it.
- 32 3. **Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: The program monitors component neutron 33 fluence as determined by the neutron fluence analyses, and appropriate plant and core 34 operating parameters that affect the calculated neutron fluence. The calculational 35 methods, benchmarking, qualification, and surveillance data are monitored to ensure the 36 adequacy of neutron fluence calculations. Neutron fluence levels in specific 37 components are monitored to ensure component locations within the scope of this 38 program are identified.
- Neutron fluence is estimated using a computational method that incorporates the
 following major elements: (1) determination of the geometrical and material input data
 for the reactor core, vessel and internals, and cavity; (2) determination of the
 characteristics of the neutron flux emitting from the core; (3) transport of the neutrons
 from the core to the vessel, and into the cavity; and (4) qualification of the
 calculational procedure.

- Guidance on acceptable methods and assumptions for determining RPV neutron fluence
 is described in NRC RG 1.190. The use of RG 1.190-adherent methods to estimate
 neutron fluence for the RPV beltline regions significantly above and below the active
 field region of the core, and RVI components may require additional justification, even if
 those methods were approved by the NRC for RPV neutron fluence calculations.
- 6 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The program uses applicant-defined activities or methods
 7 to track the RPV and RVI component neutron fluence levels. The neutron fluence levels
 8 estimated in this program are used as input to the evaluation for determining applicable
 9 aging effects for RPV and RVI components, including evaluation of TLAAs as described
 10 in SRP-SLR Section 4.2.
- 11 5. *Monitoring and Trending*: Monitoring and trending of neutron fluence is needed to 12 ensure the continued adequacy of various neutron fluence analyses as identified as TLAAs for the SLRA. When applied to RVI components and to components significantly 13 above and below the active field region of the core, the program also assesses and 14 justifies whether the current neutron fluence methodology for the CLB is acceptable for 15 16 monitoring and projecting the neutron fluence values for these components during the 17 subsequent period of extended operation, or else appropriately enhances (with justification) the program's monitoring and trending element activities accordingly on an 18 as-needed basis. Trending is performed to ensure that plant and core operating 19 conditions remain consistent with the assumptions used in the neutron fluence analyses 20 21 and that the analyses are updated as necessary.
- 22 Neutron fluence estimates are typically determined using a combination of plant and core operating history data that address past plant operating conditions, and projections 23 24 that are intended to address future operation. Although projections for future operation 25 may conservatively over-estimate the core neutron flux to cover potential variations in plant and core operation and increases in neutron flux at any given time, there is no 26 27 explicit requirement to do so. Therefore, projections for future plant and core operation 28 should be periodically verified to ensure that any projections used in the neutron fluence calculations remain bounding with respect to actual plant operating conditions. 29
- 30This program monitors in-vessel or ex-vessel dosimetry capsules and evaluates the31dosimetry data, as needed. Additional dosimetry capsules may be needed when32the reactor surveillance program has exhausted the available capsules for33in-vessel exposure.
- Acceptance Criteria: There are no specified acceptance values for neutron fluence;
 the acceptance criteria relate to the different parameters that are evaluated using
 neutron fluence, as described in SRP-SLR Section 4.2.
- 37 NRC RG 1.190 provides guidance for acceptable methods to determine neutron 38 fluence for the RPV beltline region. It should be noted, however, that applying 39 RG 1.190-adherent methods to determine neutron fluence in locations other than those 40 close to the active fuel region of the core may require additional justification regarding, 41 for example, the level of detail used to represent the core neutron source, the methods 42 to synthesize the three-dimensional flux field, and the order of angular guadrature used 43 in the neutron transport calculations. The applicability of existing qualification data may also require additional justification. 44

- 1 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 4 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 5 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 6 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 7 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 8 of this program.
- 9 The program provides for corrective actions by updating the analyses for the RPV 10 components, or assessing the need for revising the augmented inspection bases for RVI components, if the neutron fluence assumptions in RPV analyses or augmented 11 12 inspection bases for RVI components are projected to be exceeded during the 13 subsequent period of extended operation. Acceptable corrective actions include 14 revisions to the neutron fluence calculations to incorporate additional operating history 15 data, as such data become available; use of improved modeling approaches to obtain more accurate neutron fluence estimates; and rescreening of RPV and RVI 16 17 components when the estimated neutron fluence exceeds threshold values for specific 18 aging mechanisms.
- When the fluence monitoring activities are used to confirm the validity of existing RPV
 neutron irradiation embrittlement analyses and result in the need for an update of an
 analysis that is mandated by a specific 10 CFR Part 50 regulation, the corrective actions
 to be taken follow those prescribed in the applicable regulation.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. Operating Experience: The program reviews industry and plant operating experience
 relevant to neutron fluence. Applicable operating experience affecting the neutron
 fluence estimate is to be considered in selecting the components for monitoring.
 RG 1.190 provides expectations for updating the qualification database for the neutron
 fluence methods via the operational experience gathered from RPV material surveillance
 program data. This operational experience is in accordance with the requirements of
 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix H.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL Report.

1 References

- 2 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 3 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 4 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 5 Commission. 2015.

6 10 CFR 50.60. "Acceptance Criteria for Fracture Prevention Measures for Lightwater Nuclear
 7 Power Reactor for Normal Operation." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

8 2015.

9 10 CFR 50.61. "Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal
 10 Shock Events." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

- 10 CFR 50.61a. "Alternate Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against
- Pressurized Thermal Shock Events." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.2015.
- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G. "Fracture Toughness Requirements." Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H. "Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program Requirements."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 18 NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining
- Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence." ML010890301 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear RegulatoryCommission. March 2001.

1 X.S1 CONCRETE CONTAINMENT UNBONDED TENDON PRESTRESS

2 **Program Description**

3 This time-limited aging analysis (TLAA) aging management program (AMP) provides reasonable 4 assurance of the adequacy of prestressing forces in unbonded tendons of prestressed concrete 5 containments, during the subsequent period of extended operation, under Title 10 of the Code of 6 Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 54.21(c)(1)(iii). The program consists of an assessment of 7 measured tendon prestress forces from required examinations performed in accordance with 8 Subsection IWL of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, as 9 incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a, and as further supplemented herein. The 10 assessment related to the adequacy of the prestressing force for each tendon group based on 11 type (i.e., hoop, vertical, dome, inverted-U, helical) and other considerations (e.g. geometric 12 dimensions, whether affected by repair/replacement, etc.) establishes (a) acceptance criteria in 13 accordance with ASME Section XI. Subsection IWL and (b) trend lines constructed based on the 14 guidance provided in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Information Notice (IN) 15 99-10, "Degradation of Prestressing Tendon Systems in Prestressed Concrete Containments." 16 The NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.35.1, "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containments," may be used for guidance related to calculation of 17 18 prestressing losses and predicted forces. 19 **Evaluation and Technical Basis**

- Scope of Program: The program addresses the assessment of unbonded tendon
 prestressing forces measured in accordance with ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL,
 when an applicant performs the concrete containment prestressing force TLAA using
 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).
- 24 2. *Preventive Actions*: This is primarily a condition monitoring program, which periodically
 25 measures and evaluates tendon forces such that corrective action can be taken, if
 26 required, prior to tendon forces falling below minimum required values established in the
 27 design. Maintaining the prestressing above the minimum required value (MRV)
 28 [prestressing force], as described under the acceptance criteria below, provides
 29 reasonable assurance that the structural and functional adequacy of the concrete
 30 containment is maintained.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored*: The parameters monitored are the concrete containment
 tendon prestressing forces in accordance with ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL. The
 prestressing forces are measured on common (control) tendons and tendons selected
 by random sampling of each tendon group using lift-off or equivalent method.
- Detection of Aging Effects: The loss of concrete containment tendon prestressing
 forces is detected by measuring tendon forces, and analyzing (predicting) tendon forces
 and trending the data obtained as part of ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL examinations.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending*: In addition to Subsection IWL examination requirements,
 the estimated and all measured prestressing forces up to the current examination are
 plotted against time. The predicted lower limit (PLL) line, MRV, and trend line are
 developed for each tendon group examined for the subsequent period of extended
 operation. The trend line represents the general variation of prestressing forces with
 time based on the actual measured forces in individual tendons of the specific tendon

- group. The trend line for each tendon group is constructed by regression analysis of all
 measured prestressing forces in individual tendons of that group obtained from all
 previous examinations. The PLL line, MRV, and trend line for each tendon group are
 projected to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. The trend lines are
 updated at each scheduled examination.
- 6 6. Acceptance Criteria: The prestressing force trend line (constructed as indicated in the 7 Monitoring and Trending program element) for each tendon group must indicate that existing prestressing forces in the concrete containment tendon would not fall below the 8 9 appropriate MRV prior to the next scheduled examination. If the trend line crosses the 10 PLL line, its cause should be determined, evaluated and corrected. The trend line crossing the PLL line is an indication that the existing prestressing forces in concrete 11 12 containment could fall below the MRV. Any indication in the trend line that the overall prestressing force in any tendon group(s) could potentially fall below the MRV during the 13 subsequent period of extended operation is evaluated, the cause(s) is/are documented, 14 15 and corrective action(s) is/are performed in a timely manner.
- 16 *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 7. 17 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 18 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 19 20 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 21 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 22 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafetyrelated structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 23
- If acceptance criteria are not met then either systematic retensioning of tendons or a
 reanalysis of the concrete containment is warranted to ensure the design adequacy of
 the containment.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- The confirmation process ensures that condition monitoring leads to preventive actions that are adequate and appropriate, and that required corrective actions have been completed and are effective.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 42 10. *Operating Experience*: The program incorporates a review of the relevant operating
 43 experience that has occurred at the applicant's plant as well as at other plants.
 44 NUREG/CR-7111, "A Summary of Aging Effects and their Management in Reactor

- 1 Spent Fuel Pools, Refueling Cavities, Tori, and Safety-Related Concrete Structures," 2 summarizes observations on low prestress forces recorded in some plants. However, tendon operating experience may vary at different plants with prestressed concrete 3 4 containments. The difference could be due to the prestressing system design 5 (e.g., button-headed, wedge, or swaged anchorages), environment, and type of reactor 6 [i.e., pressurized water reactor (PWR) and boiling water reactor (BWR)] and possible 7 concrete containment modifications. Thus, the applicant's plant-specific operating 8 experience is reviewed and evaluated in detail for the subsequent period of extended 9 operation. Applicable portions of the experience with prestressing systems described in 10 NRC IN 99-10 could be useful.
- If plant-specific operating experience indicates degradation and/or losses that may fall
 below minimum required values established in the design, additional examinations may
 be required to determine the condition of an expanded tendon group. Upward trending
 group prestress forces or tendon measurements shall be further assessed as part of the
 operating experience.
- 16 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 17 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experiences, as discussed in 18 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

19 References

- 20 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 21 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a. "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 54.21. "Contents of Application-Technical Information." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 26 American Society of Mechanical Engineers. "Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code." Section XI,
- Subsection IWL, "Requirements for Class CC Concrete Components of Light-Water Cooled
 Plants." New York, New York. ASME. 2015.
- 29 NRC. NUREG/CR-7111, "A Summary of Aging Effects and their Management in Reactor Spent
- Fuel Pools, Refueling Cavities, Tori, and Safety-Related Concrete Structures." ML12047A184.
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2012.
- 32 _____. "Degradation of Prestressing Tendon Systems in Prestressed Concrete Containments."
 33 Information Notice 99-10. ML031500244. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 34 Commission. April 1995.
- 35 _____. "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of Prestressed Concrete
 36 Containments." Regulatory Guide 1.35.1. ML003740040. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 37 Regulatory Commission. July 1990.

1 X.E1 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF ELECTRIC COMPONENTS

2 **Program Description**

3 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has established nuclear station environmental 4 gualification (EQ) requirements in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, Criterion 4, and 10 CFR 50.49. 5 10 CFR 50.49 specifically requires that an EQ program be established to demonstrate that 6 certain electrical equipment located in harsh plant environments (that is, those areas of the plant 7 that could be subject to the harsh environmental effects of a loss of coolant accident (LOCA). 8 high energy line break (HELB) and post-LOCA environment are gualified to perform their safety 9 function in those harsh environments after the effects of inservice aging. 10 CFR 50.49 requires 10 that the effects of significant aging mechanisms be addressed as part of EQ.

11 For equipment located in a harsh environment, the objective of EQ is to demonstrate with

12 reasonable assurance that electric equipment important to safety, for which a qualified life has

13 been established, can perform its safety function(s) without experiencing common cause

14 failures before, during or after applicable design basis events.

15 For equipment located in a mild environment (an environment that at no time would be

significantly more severe than the environment occurring during normal operation, including

17 anticipated operational occurrences as defined in 10 CFR 50.49), the demonstration that the

18 equipment can meet its functional requirements during normal environmental conditions and

anticipated operational occurrences is in accordance with the plant design and licensing basis.
 Equipment important to safety located in a mild environment is not part of an EQ program per

20 Equipment important to safety located in a mild environment is not part of an EQ program per 21 10 CFR 50.49(c). Documents that demonstrate that a component is gualified or designed for a

21 TO CFR 50.49(c). Documents that demonstrate that a component is qualified of designed for a 22 mild environment include design/purchase specifications, seismic test qualification reports, an

23 evaluation or certificate of conformance.

24 Operating plants requesting subsequent license renewal shall meet the qualification

25 requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 and license renewal aging management provisions of 10 CFR

26 Part 54 for certain electrical equipment important to safety. 10 CFR 50.49 defines the scope of

equipment to be included in an EQ program, requires the preparation and maintenance of a list

of in-scope equipment, and requires the preparation and maintenance of a qualification file that contains the qualification report, with applicable equipment performance specifications.

30 electrical characteristics, and the environmental conditions to which the equipment could be

31 subjected. Licensees are required to maintain a record of gualification in auditable form

32 [10 CFR 50.49(j)] for the entire period during which each covered item installed in the nuclear

33 power plant or is stored for future use.

Additionally, 10 CFR 50.49(e) states that electric equipment qualification programs must include and be based on temperature, pressure, humidity, chemical effects, radiation, aging,

36 submergence, and synergistic effects. The requirements of 10 CFR 50.49(e) also includes the

37 application of margins to account for unquantified uncertainties, including production variations,

38 and in accuracies in test instruments. These margins are in addition to any conservatism

39 applied during the derivation of local environmental conditions of the equipment unless these

40 conservatisms can be quantified and shown to contain the appropriate margins. Aging

41 provisions contained in 10 CFR 50.49(e)(5) contains provisions for preconditioning equipment to

its end-of-installed life condition that requires, in part, consideration of all significant types of
 aging degradation (e.g., thermal, radiation, vibration, plant specific operational aging, and cyclic

43 aging degradation (e.g., thermal, radiation, vibration, plant specific operational aging, and cyclic 44 aging) that can affect the function of electrical equipment. For equipment preconditioned to less

45 than an end-of-installed life condition (i.e., designated life) 10 CFR 50.49(e)(5) requires the

equipment to be replaced or refurbished at the end of its designated life unless additional life is
 established through reanalysis or ongoing qualification.

Four methods are established by 10 CFR 50.49(f) to demonstrate qualification for aging and
 accident conditions. Additionally 10 CFR 50.49(k) and (i) permit different qualification criteria to
 apply based on plant and electrical equipment vintage.

6 Supplemental EQ regulatory guidance for compliance with these different gualification criteria is 7 provided in the Division of Operating Reactors (DOR) Guidelines; "Guidelines for Evaluating 8 Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Electrical Equipment in Operating Reactors," 9 NUREG–0588, "Interim Staff Position on Environmental Qualification of Safety-Related 10 Electrical Equipment (Category 1 and Category 2 requirements)," and Regulatory Guide 11 (RG) 1.89, Rev. 1, "Environmental Qualification of Certain Electric Equipment Important to 12 Safety for Nuclear Power Plants," as applicable. Compliance with 10 CFR 50.49 provides 13 reasonable assurance that the equipment can perform its intended function during accident 14 conditions after experiencing the effects of in-service aging.

- 15 EQ programs manage equipment aging through the use of aging evaluations based on
- 10 CFR 50.49(f) qualification methods. As required by 10 CFR 50.49, EQ equipment not

17 qualified for the current license term are refurbished, replaced, or have their qualification

- 18 extended prior to reaching the designated life aging limits established in the evaluation. Aging
- 19 evaluations for EQ equipment that specify a qualification of at least 40 to 60 years are
- 20 considered time-limited aging analysis (TLAAs) for subsequent license renewal (SLR).

21 Under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii), plant EQ programs, which implement the requirements of

22 10 CFR 50.49 (as further defined and clarified by the DOR Guidelines, NUREG–0588, and

23 RG 1.89, Rev. 1) along with GALL-SLR Report AMP X.E1 used to demonstrate acceptability of

the TLAA analysis under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) are considered AMPs for license renewal.

25 Reanalysis of an aging evaluation to extend the qualification of equipment qualified under the

26 program requirements of 10 CFR 50.49(e) is performed as part of an EQ program. Important

27 attributes for the reanalysis of an aging evaluation include analytical methods, data collection 28 and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions (if

28 and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance chiena, and corrective actions (29 acceptance criteria are not met). These attributes are discussed in the "EQ Equipment

- 30 Reanalysis Attributes" section.
- 31 Extension of equipment environmental qualification (qualified life) for the subsequent period of
- 32 extended operation may be accomplished through the following: (1) the retention and continued
- aging of a test sample from the original EQ test program with demonstration that the qualified

34 life is bounding for the subsequent period of extended operation, (2) removal and type-testing of

- 35 additional EQ equipment installed in identical service conditions with a greater period of
- 36 operational aging, (3) evaluation of original attributes, assumptions and conservatisms for
- environmental conditions and other factors (reanalysis) that allow equipment qualified life to beincreased or (4) replacement.
- 39 This reanalysis can be applied to EQ equipment now qualified for the current operating term
- 40 (i.e., equipment qualified for 60 years). As evaluated below, an existing EQ program
- 41 incorporating a reanalysis program, consistent with Generic Aging Lessons Learned for
- 42 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report aging management programs (AMP) X.E1 is
- 43 an acceptable AMP. Thus, no further evaluation is recommended for subsequent license
- renewal (SLR) if an applicant's EQ program supports this option under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii) to

- 1 evaluate the TLAA of EQ of electrical equipment and the reanalysis shows that a 80-year
- 2 qualification is established prior to the plant entering the subsequent period of
- 3 extended operation.

4 As is required by 10 CFR 50.49(j) for the initial environmental qualification, a record of the

- 5 qualification must be maintained in an auditable form for the entire subsequent period of
- 6 extended operation during which the covered item is installed in the nuclear power plant (NPP)
- 7 or is stored for future use. This permits verification that each item of electric equipment
- 8 important to safety covered by this section is (a) qualified for its application and (b) meets its
- 9 specified performance requirements when it is subjected to the conditions predicted to be
- 10 present and when it must perform a safety function up to the end of qualified life.

11 Environmental Qualification Equipment Reanalysis Attributes

12 The reanalysis of an aging evaluation is normally performed to extend the qualification by 13 reevaluating original attributes, assumptions and conservatisms in environmental conditions and

- 15 reevaluating original attributes, assumptions and conservatisms in environmental conditions and 14 other factors to identify excess concervatisms incorporated in the prior evaluation. Descelusion
- other factors to identify excess conservatisms incorporated in the prior evaluation. Reanalysis of an aging evaluation to extend the gualification of electrical equipment is performed pursuant
- of an aging evaluation to extend the qualification of electrical equipment is performed pursuant
 to 10 CFR 50.49(e) as part of an EQ program. While an electrical equipment life limiting
- 17 condition may be due to thermal, radiation, or cyclical aging, the majority of electrical equipment
- aging limits are based on thermal conditions. Conservatism may exist in aging evaluation
- 19 parameters, such as the assumed service conditions [environmental–including temperature and
- 20 radiation, loading, power, signal conditions, cycles, and application (e.g., deenergized versus
- energized)], or an unrealistically low activation energy. The reanalysis of an aging evaluation is
- 22 performed according to the station's quality assurance (QA) program requirements, which
- requires the verification of assumptions and conclusions including the maintenance of
- 24 required margins.
- As already noted, important attributes of a reanalysis include analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions
- 27 (if acceptance criteria are not met). These attributes are discussed below.

28 **Analytical Methods:** The analytical models used in the reanalysis of an aging evaluation are 29 the same as those previously applied during the prior evaluation. The Arrhenius methodology is 30 an acceptable thermal model for performing a thermal aging evaluation. The analytical method 31 used for a radiation aging evaluation is to demonstrate gualification for the total integrated dose 32 (that is, normal radiation dose for the projected installed life plus accident radiation dose). For license renewal, one acceptable method of establishing the 80-year normal radiation dose is to 33 34 multiply the initial 40-year normal radiation dose by 2.0 (that is, 80 years/40 years). The result 35 is added to the accident radiation dose to obtain the total integrated dose for the component. 36 For cyclical aging, a similar approach may be used. Other models may be justified on a 37 case-by-case basis.

38 Data Collection and Reduction Methods: The identification of excess conservatism in electrical equipment service conditions used in the prior aging evaluation is the chief method 39 40 used for a reanalysis. For example, temperature data and uncertainties used in an equipment 41 EQ evaluation may be based on anticipated plant design temperatures found to be conservative 42 when compared to actual plant temperature data. When used, plant temperature data can be 43 obtained in several ways, including monitors used for technical specification compliance; other 44 installed monitors, measurements made by plant operators during rounds, or dedicated 45 monitoring equipment for EQ.

1 A representative number of temperature measurements over a sufficient period of time are

2 evaluated to establish the temperatures used in an aging evaluation. Plant temperature data

3 may be used in an aging evaluation in different ways, such as (a) directly applying the plant

4 temperature data in the evaluation or (b) using the plant temperature data to demonstrate

5 conservatism when using plant design temperatures for an evaluation. The methodology for

6 environmental monitoring, data collection and the analysis of localized EQ equipment

7 environmental data (including temperature and radiation) used in the reanalysis is justified in the

8 record of the reanalysis qualification report.

9 Environmental monitoring data used in the analysis that is collected one time, or is of limited

10 duration, is justified with respect to the applicability of such data to the reanalysis. Any changes

11 to material activation energy values included as part of a reanalysis are justified by the applicant

12 on a plant-specific basis. Similar methods of identifying excess conservatism in the equipment

13 service condition evaluation can be used for radiation and cyclical aging.

14 **Underlying Assumptions**: EQ equipment aging evaluations account for environmental

15 changes occurring due to plant modifications and events. A reanalysis demonstrates that

adequate margin is maintained consistent with the original analysis in accordance with

17 10 CFR 50.49 requiring certain margins and accounting for the unquantified uncertainties

18 established in the EQ aging evaluation of the equipment. Reanalysis that utilizes initial

19 qualification conservatisms and/or in-service environmental conditions (e.g., actual temperature

20 and radiation conditions) are part of an EQ program.

21 In areas within a NPP, the actual ambient environments (e.g., temperature, radiation, or

22 moisture) may be less severe than the anticipated plant design environment. However, in a

23 limited number of localized areas, the actual environments may be more severe than the plant

design environment. These localized areas are characterized as adverse localized

environments that represent conditions in a limited plant area that are significantly more severe

than the plant design environment considered for EQ equipment (e.g., cable or connection
 insulation material). Adverse localized environments are addressed in an EQ reanalysis.

An adverse localized environment may increase the rate of aging of a component or have an adverse effect on the basis for equipment qualification. An adverse localized environment is an environment that exceeds the most limiting qualified condition for temperature, radiation, or

31 moisture for the component material (e.g., cable or connection insulation). Accessible electrical

32 EQ equipment is visually inspected and the equipment environment evaluated to identify in-

33 scope electrical equipment subjected to an adverse localized environment. EQ equipment is

34 evaluated to assess the impact of the adverse localized environment on equipment EQ

35 including qualified life.

Adverse localized environments are identified through the use of an integrated approach. This approach includes but is not limited to, (a) the review of EQ zone maps that show radiation levels and temperatures for various plant areas, (b) recorded information from equipment or plant instrumentation, (c) plant spaces scoping and screening,(d) as-built and field walk down data, and (e) the review of relevant plant space is and industry appreciance including:

- 40 data, and (e) the review of relevant plant-specific and industry operating experience including:
- Review of maintenance procedures for work practices that may subject in-scope EQ
 equipment to an "adverse localized environment."
- Review corrective actions applicable to in-scope EQ equipment (e.g., cables and connections electrical insulation material) previously subjected to an adverse localized

- 1 environment that could affect the functional capability of the equipment during SLR 2 (e.g., equipment disposition based on current operating term).
- 3 Visual inspection of equipment and environmental monitoring (e.g., periodic • 4 environmental monitoring) of accessible EQ equipment including, as appropriate, EQ 5 equipment identified by (a, b, c, d, and e above).
- 6 Accessible electrical EQ equipment is visually inspected and the EQ equipment environment
- 7 evaluated every 10 years to identify in-scope electrical equipment subjected to an adverse
- 8 localized environment and evaluate the impact on EQ electrical equipment including qualified life. The first periodic inspection is to be performed prior to the subsequent period of
- 9
- 10 extended operation.
- 11 The periodic visual inspection is specifically intended to address EQ electrical equipment where
- 12 most if not all equipment subjected to an adverse localized environment is accessible. EQ
- 13 equipment from accessible areas is inspected and the applicant shows that it represents, with
- 14 reasonable assurance, all in-scope EQ equipment in the adverse localized environment.
- 15 Adverse conditions identified during periodic inspections or by operational or maintenance
- activities that affect the operating environment of EQ equipment are evaluated and appropriate 16
- 17 corrective actions are taken, which may include changes to the qualified life.
- 18 Acceptance Criteria and Corrective Actions: Reanalysis of an aging evaluation is used to 19 extend the gualification of the component. If the gualification cannot be extended by reanalysis, 20 the equipment is refurbished, replaced, or regualified prior to exceeding the current gualified life. 21 A reanalysis is performed in a timely manner (that is, sufficient time is available to refurbish,
- 22 replace, or regualify the equipment if the result is unfavorable).
- 23 Ongoing Qualification: As an alternative to reanalysis when assessed margins,
- 24 conservatisms, or assumptions do not support extending gualified life, the use of ongoing
- 25 gualification techniques including condition monitoring or condition based methodologies may
- be implemented as a means to provide reasonable assurance that equipment environmental 26
- 27 qualification (qualified life), is maintained for the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 28 Ongoing gualification of electric equipment important to safety subject to the requirements of 29 10 CFR 50.49 involves the inspection, observation, measurement, or trending of one or more
- 30 indicators, which can be correlated to the condition or functional performance of the
- 31 EQ equipment.
- 32 Ongoing gualification techniques including condition based monitoring provide information that 33
- may be used in the determination of a component's ability to perform its safety function and 34 remaining qualified life for the subsequent period of extended operation. Ongoing qualification
- 35 techniques for EQ equipment include periodic testing, inspections, mitigation, and sampling
- 36 (e.g., subsequent EQ qualification testing of inservice or representative EQ equipment with
- 37 established acceptance criteria and corrective actions, mitigation, replacement or refurbishment)
- 38 consistent with endorsed standards and regulatory guidance. A modification to gualified life
- 39 either by reanalysis or ongoing qualification must demonstrate that adequate margin is
- 40 maintained consistent with the original analysis including unguantified uncertainties established
- 41 in the original EQ equipment ageing valuation.

1 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- Scope of Program: EQ programs apply to certain electrical equipment that are
 important to safety and could be exposed to harsh environment accident conditions, as
 defined in 10 CFR 50.49 and RG 1.89, Rev.1.
- 5 2. *Preventive Actions*: 10 CFR 50.49 does not require actions that prevent aging effects.
 EQ program actions that could be viewed as preventive actions include (a) establishing
 the equipment service condition tolerance and aging limits (for example, qualified life or condition limit) and (b) where applicable, requiring specific installation, inspection,
 monitoring, or periodic maintenance actions (e.g., identification of adverse localized environments to maintain electrical equipment aging within the bounds of the qualification basis (e.g., shielding for temperature or radiation).
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: Qualified life is not based on condition or
 performance monitoring. However, pursuant to RG 1.89, Rev. 1, such monitoring
 programs are an acceptable basis to modify a qualified life through reanalysis or ongoing
 qualification to establish a qualified condition. Monitoring or inspection of certain
 environmental conditions, including adverse localized environments, or equipment
 parameters may be used to ensure that the equipment is within the bounds of its
 qualification basis, or as a means to modify the qualified life.
- 19 Detection of Aging Effects: 10 CFR 50.49 does not require the detection of aging 4. 20 effects for in-service equipment. EQ program actions that could be viewed as detection 21 of aging effects including, (a) inspecting or testing equipment periodically with particular 22 emphasis on condition assessment of equipment EQ including a 10 year periodic 23 inspection of accessible in-scope EQ components to identify EQ components subject to 24 an adverse localized environment and, (b) monitoring of plant environmental conditions 25 or component parameters that are be used to ensure that the equipment is within the 26 bounds of its environmental gualification basis including attributes, assumptions, and conservatisms for equipment/environmental conditions and other factors. Monitoring or 27 28 inspection of certain environmental conditions or component parameters may also provide a means to assess equipment gualified life. 29
- The first periodic visual inspection is to be performed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Visual inspection (and the use of additional diagnostic tools such as thermography) of EQ components is performed as appropriate, by opening junction boxes, pull boxes, or terminal boxes. Scaffolding may be used if available. The purpose of the visual inspection is to identify adverse localized environments that may impact an EQ components qualified life. Potential adverse localized environments are evaluated through the applicant's corrective action program.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending*: 10 CFR 50.49 does not require monitoring and trending of component condition or performance parameters of in-service equipment to manage the effects of aging, but may be applicable to condition monitoring including condition based ongoing qualification methodologies. Monitoring, inspection, or trending may be used to ensure that equipment is within the bounds of its qualification basis, or as a means to modify the qualification (e.g., service life or qualified life).
- 43 Specifically, a monitoring, inspection, or trending program used to ensure that electrical 44 equipment is within the bounds of its qualification basis, or as a means to modify
- qualified life (e.g., programs for monitoring, inspection, or trending of environmental
 conditions (such as temperature, radiation, equipment condition or component
 parameters), may be implemented for EQ equipment). The monitoring and trending
 frequency is established and adjusted based on the results of EQ equipment monitoring,
 inspection, or trending.
- 6. Acceptance Criteria: 10 CFR 50.49 acceptance criteria are that in-service EQ
 equipment is maintained within the bounds of its qualification basis, including (a) its
 established qualified life and (b) continued qualification for the projected accident
 conditions. 10 CFR 50.49 requires refurbishment, replacement, or requalification prior to
 exceeding the qualified life of each installed device. When monitoring is used to modify
 equipment qualified life, plant-specific acceptance criteria are established based on
 applicable 10 CFR 50.49(f) qualification methods.
- 7. Corrective Actions: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective
 Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes
 how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- If an EQ component is found to be outside the bounds of its qualification basis,
 corrective actions are implemented in accordance with the station's corrective action
 program. When an unexpected adverse localized environment or condition is identified
 during operational or maintenance activities that affect the qualification of electrical
 equipment, the affected EQ equipment is evaluated and appropriate corrective actions
 are taken, which may include changes to qualified life.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 38 EQ programs are implemented through the use of station policy, directives, and 39 procedures. EQ programs continue to comply with 10 CFR 50.49 throughout the subsequent period of extended operation, including development and maintenance of 40 41 qualification documentation demonstrating reasonable assurance that electrical 42 equipment can perform required functions during design basis accidents that result in 43 harsh environment conditions. EQ program documents identify the applicable 44 environmental conditions for the equipment locations. EQ program qualification files are maintained at the plant site in an auditable form for the duration of the installed life of the 45

- equipment or stored for future use. Program documentation is controlled under the
 station's QA program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* EQ programs include consideration of operating experience to modify qualification bases and conclusions, including qualified life such that the impact on the EQ program is evaluated and any necessary actions or modifications to the program are performed. Compliance with 10 CFR 50.49 provides reasonable assurance that EQ equipment can perform their intended functions during accident conditions after experiencing the effects of operational aging.
- 9 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 10 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, consistent with
- 11 the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

12 References

- 13 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.49. "Environmental Qualification of Electrical Equipment Important to Safety for
 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 17 10 CFR 54.21. "Contents of Application—Technical Information." Washington, DC:
- 18 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 19 EPRI. "Plant Support Engineering: License Renewal Electrical Handbook."
- 20 EPRI Report 1003057. Revision 1. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute 21 February 2007.
- 22 IAEA. TECDOC1188, "Assessment and Management of Ageing of Major Nuclear Power Plant
- 23 Components Important to Safety: In-Containment Instrumentation and Control Cables."
- 24 ML15013A087. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency. 2015.
- 25 _____. IAEA D-NP-T.3.6, "Guideline for Qualification and Ageing Management of I&C Cables in
 26 Current and Future NPGS." ML15013A087. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency.
 27 2015.
- 28 _____. NS-G-2.12, "Ageing Management for Nuclear Power Plants." Vienna: International
 29 Atomic Energy Agency. 2009.
- 30 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring and Mitigating Aging
- 31 Effects on Class 1E Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations." New York,
- 32 New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- JNSO. JNES-SS-0903, "The Final Report of the Project of "Assessment of Cable Aging for
 Nuclear Power Plants." Minato-ku, Tokyo: Japan Nuclear Safety Organization, Nuclear Energy
 Systems Safety Division. July 2009.
- 36 NRC. "Condition-Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables Used in Nuclear Power Plants,"
- 37 Regulatory Guide 1.218. ML103510458. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 38 Commission. April 2012.

- _____. NUREG/CR-7000, "Essential Elements of an Electric Cable Condition Monitoring
 Program." ML100540050. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 January 2010.
- 4 _____. "Qualification of Safety-Related Cables and Field Splices for Nuclear Power Plants."
 5 Regulatory Guide 1.211. ML082530205. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 6 Commission. April 2009.
- Generic Letter 2007-01, "Inaccessible or Underground Power Cable Failures that
 Disable Accident Mitigation Systems or Cause Plant Transients." ML13098A126. Washington,
 DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 2007.
- "Seismic Qualification of Electrical and Active Mechanical Equipment and Functional Qualification of Active Mechanical Equipment for Nuclear Power Plants." Regulatory Guide
 1.100, Rev. 3. ML091320468. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 September 2003.
- 14 _____. "Environmental Qualification of Low-Voltage Instrumentation and Control Cables."
 15 Regulatory Issue Summary 2003-09. May 2003.
- 16 _____. "Environmental Qualification of Certain Electric Equipment Important to Safety for
 17 Nuclear Power Plants." Regulatory Guide 1.89. Rev. 1. ML14070A119. Washington, DC:
 18 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1984.
- NUREG–0588, "Interim Staff Position on Environmental Qualification of Safety-Related
 Electrical Equipment." Revision 1. ML031480402. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. July 1981.
- 22 _____. "Guidelines for Evaluating Environmental Qualification of Class 1E Electrical Equipment
 23 in Operating Reactors." (DOR Guidelines) ML032541214. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 24 Regulatory Commission. November 1979.
- 25 OCED/NEA. "Technical Basis for Commendable Practices on Aging Management—SCC and
- Cable Aging Project (SCAP) Final Report." Final Report, NEA/CSNI/R (2010)15. France:
 April 2011.

Programs That May Be Used to FR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter ation Schedule* References
	Implementation Schedule*
alyses in Accordance v	lram
/ of Time-Limited Aging An	Description of Prog
onstrate Acceptability	R Program
Demo	3ALL- R AMP GALL-SLF

Table X-01	FSAR Suppleme	ent Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter X Aging Mar. ceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in Accordance	igement Programs That Ma with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	iy Be Used to
GALL- SLR AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	nplementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter References
		Monitoring is performed in accordance with neutron flux determination methods and neutron fluence projection methods that are defined for the CLB in NRC-approved reports. For fluence monitoring activities that apply to components located in the belitline region of the reactor pressure vessel(s), the monitoring methods are performed in a manner that is consistent with the monitoring methodology guidelines in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence," March 2001. Additional justifications may be necessary for neutron fluence monitoring methods that are applied to reactor pressure vessel components. This program's results are compared to the neutron fluence parameter inputs used in the neutron empiritement analyses for reactor pressure vessel components. This program's results are poplied to the neutron fluence inputs (TDR), pressure vessel or the reactor pressure vessel components. This includes but is not limited to the neutron fluence inputs of the reactor pressure vessel components. This includes but is not limited to the neutron fluence inputs for the reactor pressure vessel upper shelf energy analyses (or equivalent margin analyses, as applicable to the CLB), pressure-temperature analyses, and low temperature overpressure performed in accordance in 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G requirements, and for PWRs, those safety analyses that are performed to demonstrate adequate protection of the reactor pressure vessels against the consequences of pressure vessel at the neutron fluence inputs for other analyses for the neutron fluence inputs for the CLB) may include those of the neutron fluence inputs for other analyses that are performed to demonstrate adequate protection of the reactor pressure vessels against the consequences of pressure to the neutron fluence inputs for other analyses and during the CLB) may include those for mean T _{NDT} and probability of the neutron fluence inputs for other analyses and adding the during the clB) and adding t		

Table X-0	11 FSAR Suppleme	ent Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter X Aging Man ceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in Accordance	agement Programs That Ma with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	ay Be Used to
GALL- SLR AMP) GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	mplementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter References
		BWR reactor internals that are induced by neutron irradiation exposure mechanisms.		
		Reactor vessel surveillance capsule dosimetry data obtained in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H requirements and through implementation of the applicant's Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program (Refer to GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M31) may provide inputs to and have impacts on the		
		neutron fluence monitoring results that are tracked by this program. In addition, regulatory requirements in the plant technical specifications or in specific regulations of 10 CFR		
		Part 50 may apply, including those in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G; 10 CFR 50.55a; and for PWRs, the PTS requirements in 10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a, as		
X.S1	Concrete Containment	The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive	Existing program	GALL II / SRP 4.5
	Tendon Prestress	forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces		
		generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding		
		concrete. The prestressing force analysis and evaluation has		
		been completed and determined to remain within allowable limits to the end of the subsequent period of extended		
		operation, and the trend lines of the measured prestressing		
		torces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the end of this period.		
X.E1	Environmental Qualification (EQ) of	This program implements the environmental qualification (EO) requirements in 10 CFR Part 50. Appendix A.		GALL VI / SRP 4.4
	Electric Components	Criterion 4, and 10 CFR 50.49. 10 CFR 50.49 specifically		
		requires that an EQ program be established to demonstrate		
		that certain electrical equipment located in harsh plant environments will perform their safetv function in those		
		harsh environments after the effects of in-service aging.		

Table X-01	FSAR Supplem	ent Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter X Aging Mar cceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in Accordance	agement Programs That Ma with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	ay Be Used to
GALL- SLR AMP G	ALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	molementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter References
		10 CFR 50.49 requires that the effects of significant aging mechanisms be addressed as part of environmental qualification.		
		As required by 10 CFR 50.49, EQ equipment not qualified for the current license term is refurbished, replaced, or have their qualification extended prior to reaching the designated life aging limits established in the evaluation. Aging evaluations for EQ equipment that specify a qualification of at least 60 years are time-limited aging analyses (TLAAs) for subsequent license renewal.		
		Reanalysis of an aging evaluation to extend the qualification of equipment qualified under the program requirements of 10 CFR 50.49(e) is performed as part of an EQ program. Important attributes for the reanalysis of an aging evaluation include analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions (if acceptance criteria are not met). The analytical models used in the reanalysis of an aging evaluation are the same as those previously applied during the prior evaluation. The identification of excess		
		(for example, temperature, radiation, and cycles) used in the prior aging evaluation is the chief method used for a reanalysis. A reanalysis demonstrates that adequate margin is maintained consistent with the original analysis in accordance with 10 CFR 50.49 requiring certain margins and accounting for the unquantified uncertainties established in the EQ aging evaluation of the equipment. Reanalysis of an aging evaluation is used to extend the environmental qualification of the component. If the qualification cannot be extended by reanalysis, the equipment is refurbished, replaced. or requalified prior to exceeding the current		

Table X-0	1 FSAR Supplem	ent Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter X Aging Mar ceptability of Time-Limited Aging Analyses in Accordance	agement Programs That Ma with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii)	iy Be Used to
GALL- SLR AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	mplementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL- SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter References
		qualified life.		
		When the reanalysis assessed margins, conservatisms, or assumptions do not support reanalysis (e.g., extending qualified life) of an EQ component, the use of on-going qualification techniques including condition monitoring or condition based methodologies may be implemented. Ongoing qualification is an alternative means to provide reasonable assurance that an equipment environmental qualification is maintained for the subsequent period of extended operation. Ongoing qualification of electric equipment important to safety subject to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 involves the inspection, observation, measurement, or trending of one or more indicators, which can be correlated to the condition or functional performance of the EQ equipment.		
		This program is implemented in accordance 10 CFR 50.49 and 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii). Along with GALL-SLR Report AMP X.E1 the environmental qualification program demonstrates the acceptability of the TLAA analysis under 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1) and is considered an aging management program (AMP) for the subsequent period of extended operation.		
		This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant- specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.		
		The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant		

<pre>ies for GALL-SLR Repo of Time-Limited Aging / Description of Prc Lssion of applicable comi nhancements, or excepti</pre>

Table X-02	FSAR Supplement St Chapter 4	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Management Programs Discussed in SRP	-SLR
SRP-SLR Section	TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
6.	USE	10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G paragraph IV.A.1 requires that the reactor vessel beltline materials must maintain Charpy upper-shelf energy of no less than 50 ft-lb (68 J) throughout the life of the reactor vessel unless otherwise approved by the NRC. The upper-shelf energy has been determined to exceed 50 ft-lb (68 J) to the end of the period of extended operation.	Completed
4.2	Pressurized thermal shock (for PWRs)	For PWRs, 10 CFR 50.61 requires the "reference temperature RT _{PTS} " for reactor vessel beltline materials to be less than the "PTS screening criteria" at the expiration date of the operating license unless otherwise approved by the NRC. The "PTS screening criteria" are 270°F (132°C) for plates, forgings, and axial weld materials, or 300°F (149°C) for circumferential weld materials. The "reference temperature" has been determined to be less than the "PTS screening criteria" at the temperature of the period of extended operation.	Completed
4.2	P-T limits	10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G requires that heatup and cooldown of the RPV be accomplished within established P-T limits. These limits specify the maximum allowable pressure as a function of reactor coolant temperature. As the RPV becomes embrittled and its fracture toughness is reduced, the allowable pressure is reduced. 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix G requires periodic update of P-T limits based on projected embrittlement and data from a material surveillance program. The P-T limits will be updated to consider the period of extended operation.	Update should be completed before the subsequent period of extended operation
4.2	Elimination of circumferential weld inspection and analysis of axial welds (for BWRs)	NRC has granted relief from the reactor vessel circumferential shell weld inspections because the applicant has demonstrated through plant-specific analysis that the plant meets the staff-approved BWRVIP-74-A Report and has provided sufficient information that the probability of vessel failure due to embrittlement of axial welds is low. If the applicant indicates that relief from circumferential weld examination will be made under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(z), the applicant will manage this TLAA in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(iii).	Resubmittal under 10 CFR 50.55a(a)(z) should be completed before the period of extended operation
4.2	Other miscellaneous TLAAs on RV neutron embrittlement	Provide sufficient information on how the calculations for plant-specific TLAAs were performed, what the limiting TLAA parameter was calculated to be in accordance with the neutron fluence projected for the period of extended operation, and why the TLAA is acceptable under either 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i), (ii), or (iii).	
6. 6.	Components Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other than CUF _{en}	[Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue parameter evaluation] The number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients, projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, demonstrate that the [Applicant to insert Name of the TLAA] remains valid during	Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)

Table X-02	FSAR Supplement S	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Management Programs Discussed in SRP	-SLR
SRP-SLR Section	TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
		the subsequent license renewal operating period and therefore, that this TLAA is acceptable in accordance with the criterion in 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).	
4.3	Components Evaluated for CUF _{en}	[Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue evaluation for evaluating environmentally assisted fatigue in ASME Code Class 1 or Safety Class 1 components]	Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)
		The effects of the water environment on component fatigue life have been addressed by assessing the impact of the water environment on the limiting component locations, using the positions described in Regulatory Guide 1.207, Revision 1.	
		The number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients, projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, and consideration of the water chemistry parameters demonstrate that the TLAA on environmentally assisted fatigue remains valid during the subsequent license renewal operating period and therefore, that this TLAA is acceptable in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(i).	
4.3	Components Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other	[Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue parameter evaluation]	Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)
	than CUF _{en}	The analysis has been projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, considering the number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients, and demonstrates that the TLAA is acceptable in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).	-
4.3	Components Evaluated for CUF _{en}	Applicant to identify and provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue evaluation for evaluating environmentally assisted fatigue in ASME Code Class 1 or Safety Class 1 components]	Completed (prior to submittal of an application for SLR)
		The effects of the water environment on component fatigue life have been addressed by assessing the impact of the water environment on the limiting component locations, using the positions described in Regulatory Guide 1.207, Revision 1.	
		The analysis for environmentally-assisted fatigue has been projected to the end of the subsequent license renewal operating period, considering the number of occurrences and severity of each of the thermal and pressure transients and the	

Table X-02	FSAR Supplement St Chapter 4	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Management Programs Discussed in SRF	-SLR
SRP-SLR Section	TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
		water chemistry parameters, and demonstrates that the TLAA is acceptable in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(c)(1)(ii).	
4.3	Components Evaluated for Fatigue Parameters Other	Fatigue evaluations were performed to ensure the continued validity of the metal fatigue analyses for the subsequent license renewal operating period.	Program should be implemented before the subsequent
	than CUF _{en}	[Applicant to provide adequate description of the specific metal fatigue parameter evaluation]	period of extended operation
		The aging management program monitors and tracks the number of occurrences and severity of thermal and pressure transients, and requires corrective actions to	
		ensure that applicable ratigue analyses remain within their allowable limits. The effects of aging due to fatigue will be managed by the aging management program for the subsequent license renewal operating period in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(C)(1)(iii).	
4.3	Components Evaluated for CUF _{en}	The effects of the water environment on component fatigue life will be addressed by assessing the impact of the water environment on the limiting component locations, using the positions described in Regulatory Guide 1.207, Revision 1. A limiting sample of critical components can be evaluated by applying environmental adjustment factors to the existing CUF analyses or by performing more refined calculations.	Program should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation
		The aging management programs monitor and track the number of occurrences and severity of thermal and pressure transients, monitor water chemistry, and require corrective actions to ensure that the applicable fatigue analyses remain within their allowable limits. The effects of aging due to environmentally assisted fatigue will be managed by the aging management programs for the subsequent	
4.4	Environmental qualification of electric equipment	The original environmental qualification qualified life has been shown to remain valid for the period of extended operation.	
		[Plant specific identification and summary descriptions of commitments, license conditions, enhancements or exceptions are also described as applicable]	
4.4	Environmental	The environmental qualification has been projected to the end of the period of	Completed
	qualification of electric equipment		

Table X-02	FSAR Supplement S Chapter 4	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Management Programs Discussed in SRP	SLR
SRP-SLR Section	TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
		extended operation.	
		[The summary report addresses the key reanalysis attributes of analytical methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions].	
		[Plant specific identification and summary descriptions of commitments, license conditions, enhancements or exceptions are also described as applicable]	
4.4	Environmental qualification of electric equipment	The applicant's environmental qualification process, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.49, will adequately manage aging of environmental qualification equipment for the period of extended operation because equipment will be replaced prior to reaching the end of its qualified life.	Existing program
		The summary report addresses the key reanalysis attributes of methods, data collection and reduction methods, underlying assumptions, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions.]	
		[The applicant states that its environmental qualification program contains the same elements evaluated in the GALL-SLR Report.]	
		[Plant specific identification and summary descriptions of commitments, license conditions, enhancements or exceptions are also described as applicable]	
4.5	Concrete containment tendon prestress	The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The prestressing force review and evaluation has been completed and determined to remain valid to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained or the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the add of the contained prestressing forces for each group of tendons to	Completed
4.5	Concrete containment tendon prestress	The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by	Completed

-02	FSAR Supplement S Chapter 4	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Management Programs Discussed in SRP.	SLR
	TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
		the tendons diminish over time due to losses in prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The prestressing force analysis and evaluation has been completed and determined to remain within allowable limits to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces will stay above the minimum required prestressing forces for each group of tendons to the end of this period.	
	Concrete containment tendon prestress	The prestressing tendons are used to impart compressive forces in the prestressed concrete containments to resist the internal pressure inside the containment that would be generated in the event of a LOCA. The prestressing forces generated by the tendons diminish over time due to losses of prestressing forces in the tendons and in the surrounding concrete. The [identify the aging management program] developed to monitor the prestressing forces will ensure that, during each inspection, the trend lines of the measured prestressing forces show that they meet the requirements of the ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a and supplemented. If the trend lines cross the PLLs, corrective actions should be taken. The program incorporates plant-specific and industry operating experience.	Program should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation.
	Containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations fatigue	The containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. Current fatigue parameter evaluations remain valid during the subsequent period of extended operation.	Completed
1	Containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations fatigue	The containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. Fatigue parameter evaluations have been reevaluated based on revised numbers of occurrences and severities of cyclic loads projected for the subsequent period of extended operation. The revised fatigue parameter values remain within allowable limits for the subsequent period of extended operation.	Completed
	Containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations fatigue	The containment liner plates, metal containments, and penetrations provide an essentially leak-tight barrier. The applicant identifies an aging management program to manage the effects of fatigue on such components during the subsequent period of extended operation. The program monitors and tracks the number of cycles and occurrences and severity of relevant transients. The program is effective when fatigue evaluations and/or fatigue usage remain within the allowable limits or requires corrective actions (e.g., re-analyses and/or component replacement) when the limits are exceeded. If the component is replaced, the	Proposed TLAA AMP should be implemented before the subsequent period of extended operation.

Table X-02	FSAR Supplement S Chapter 4	tummaries for GALL-SLR Report Aging Management Programs Discussed in SRF	-SLR
SRP-SLR Section	TLAA	Description of Evaluation	Implementation Schedule*
		fatigue parameter value CUF for the replacement should be shown to be less than the allowable limit during the subsequent period of extended operation.	
*An applicant r identified and (period of exter these activities	need not incorporate the committed in the subsec ded operation. The staf	e implementation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the sequent license renewal application to any future aging management activities to be competed expects to impose a license condition on any renewed license to ensure that the applemitted date.	pplicant has leted before the cant will complete

1	CHAPTER XI
2	AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

1 XI: AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

- 2 GUIDANCE ON USE OF LATER EDITIONS/REVISIONS OF VARIOUS INDUSTRY3 DOCUMENTS
- 4 XI.M1 ASME SECTION XI INSERVICE INSPECTION, SUBSECTIONS IWB, 5 IWC, AND IWD
- 6 XI.M2 WATER CHEMISTRY
- 7 XI.M3 REACTOR HEAD CLOSURE STUD BOLTING
- 8 XI.M4 BWR VESSEL ID ATTACHMENT WELDS
- 9 XI.M5 BWR FEEDWATER NOZZLE
- 10 XI.M6 DELETED
- 11 XI.M7 BWR STRESS CORROSION CRACKING
- 12 XI.M8 BWR PENETRATIONS
- 13 XI.M9 BWR VESSEL INTERNALS
- 14 XI.M10 BORIC ACID CORROSION
- 15 XI.M11B CRACKING OF NICKEL-ALLOY COMPONENTS AND LOSS OF MATERIAL
 16 DUE TO BORIC ACID-INDUCED CORROSION IN REACTOR COOLANT
 17 PRESSURE BOUNDARY COMPONENTS (PWRS ONLY)
- 18XI.M12THERMAL AGING EMBRITTLEMENT OF CAST AUSTENITIC STAINLESS19STEEL (CASS)
- 20 XI.M16A DELETED
- 21 XI.M17 FLOW-ACCELERATED CORROSION
- 22 XI.M18 BOLTING INTEGRITY
- 23 XI.M19 STEAM GENERATORS
- 24 XI.M20 OPEN-CYCLE COOLING WATER SYSTEM
- 25 XI.M21A CLOSED TREATED WATER SYSTEMS
- 26 XI.M22 BORAFLEX MONITORING
- 27XI.M23INSPECTION OF OVERHEAD HEAVY LOAD AND LIGHT LOAD (RELATED TO28REFUELING) HANDLING SYSTEMS
- 29 XI.M24 COMPRESSED AIR MONITORING

1	XI: A	GING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS (continued)
2	XI.M25	BWR REACTOR WATER CLEANUP SYSTEM
3	XI.M26	FIRE PROTECTION
4	XI.M27	FIRE WATER SYSTEM
5	XI.M29	ABOVEGROUND METALLIC TANKS
6	XI.M30	FUEL OIL CHEMISTRY
7	XI.M31	REACTOR VESSEL MATERIAL SURVEILLANCE
8	XI.M32	ONE-TIME INSPECTION
9	XI.M33	SELECTIVE LEACHING
10	XI.M35	ASME CODE CLASS 1 SMALL-BORE PIPING
11	XI.M36	EXTERNAL SURFACES MONITORING OF MECHANICAL COMPONENTS
12	XI.M37	FLUX THIMBLE TUBE INSPECTION
13 14	XI.M38	INSPECTION OF INTERNAL SURFACES IN MISCELLANEOUS PIPING AND DUCTING COMPONENTS
15	XI.M39	LUBRICATING OIL ANALYSIS
16 17	XI.M40	MONITORING OF NEUTRON-ABSORBING MATERIALS OTHER THAN BORAFLEX
18	XI.M41	BURIED AND UNDERGROUND PIPING AND TANKS
19 20	XI.M42	INTERNAL COATINGS/LININGS FOR IN SCOPE PIPING, PIPING COMPONENTS, HEAT EXCHANGERS, AND TANKS
21	XI.S1	ASME SECTION XI, SUBSECTION IWE
22	XI.S2	ASME SECTION XI, SUBSECTION IWL
23	XI.S3	ASME SECTION XI, SUBSECTION IWF
24	XI.S4	10 CFR 50, APPENDIX J
25	XI.S5	MASONRY WALLS
26	XI.S6	STRUCTURES MONITORING
27 28	XI.S7	INSPECTION OF WATER-CONTROL STRUCTURES ASSOCIATED WITH NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

1	XI: AGIN	G MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS (continued)
2	XI.S8	PROTECTIVE COATING MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE
3 4 5	XI.E1	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR ELECTRICAL CABLES AND CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS
6 7 8	XI.E2	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR ELECTRICAL CABLES AND CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS USED IN INSTRUMENTATION CIRCUITS
9 10 11	XI.E3A	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE MEDIUM VOLTAGE POWER CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS
12 13 14	XI.E3B	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE INSTRUMENT AND CONTROL CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS
15 16 17	XI.E3C	ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE LOW VOLTAGE POWER CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS
18	XI.E4	METAL-ENCLOSED BUS
19	XI.E5	FUSE HOLDERS
20 21	XI.E6	ELECTRICAL CABLE CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS
22	XI.E7	HIGH VOLTAGE INSULATORS
23 24	TABLE XI-01	FSAR SUPPLEMENT SUMMARIES FOR GALL-SLR CHAPTER XI GALL- AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

1 2

GUIDANCE ON USE OF LATER EDITIONS/REVISIONS OF VARIOUS INDUSTRY DOCUMENTS

To aid applicants in the development of their subsequent license renewal applications (SLRAs),
the staff has developed a list of aging management programs (AMPs) in the Generic Aging
Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report that are based entirely
or in part on specific editions/revisions of various industry codes [other than the American
Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code], standards, and other industry-generated
guidance documents. Subsequent license renewal applicants may use later editions/revisions
of these industry generated documents, subject to the following provisions:

- 10 (i) If the later edition/revision has been explicitly reviewed and approved/endorsed by the
 11 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff for license renewal via a NRC
 12 Regulatory Guide (RG) endorsement, a safety evaluation for generic use [such as for a
 13 Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP)], incorporation into
 14 10 CFR, or license renewal interim staff guidance (ISG).
- (ii) If the later edition/revision has been explicitly reviewed and approved on a plant-specific
 basis by the NRC staff in their Safety Evaluation Report (SER) for another applicant's
 SLRA (a precedent exists). Applicants may reference this and justify applicability to their
 facility via the exception process in Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 95-10.

19 If either of these methods is used as justification for adopting a later edition/revision than
20 specified in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR)
21 Report, the applicant shall make available for the staff's review the information pertaining to the

22 NRC endorsement/approval of the later edition/revision.

1XI.M1ASME SECTION XI INSERVICE INSPECTION, SUBSECTIONS2IWB, IWC, AND IWD

3 **Program Description**

4 Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.55a, imposes the inservice inspection 5 (ISI) requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) boiler and pressure vessel (B&PV) Code, Section XI, Rules for ISI of Nuclear Power Plant Components for 6 7 Class 1, 2, and 3 pressure-retaining components and their integral attachments in light-water cooled power plants. The rules of Section XI require a mandatory program of examinations, 8 9 testing and inspections to demonstrate adequate safety and to manage deterioration and aging 10 effects. Inspection of these components is covered in Subsections IWB. IWC, and IWD. respectively, in the 2007 edition, with 2008 addenda.¹ The program generally includes periodic 11 12 visual, surface, and/or volumetric examination and leakage test of Class 1, 2, and 3 13 pressure-retaining components and their integral attachments. Repair/replacement activities for 14 these components are covered in Subsection IWA of the ASME Code.

15 The ASME Section XI ISI program, in accordance with Subsections IWA, IWB, IWC, and IWD,

has been shown to be generally effective in managing aging effects in Class 1, 2, and 3
 components and their integral attachments in light-water cooled power plants. 10 CFR 50.55a

18 imposes additional conditions and augmentations of ISI requirements specified in ASME Code,

19 Section XI, and those conditions or augmentations described in 10 CFR 50.55a are included as

20 part of this program. In certain cases, the ASME ISI program is augmented to manage effects

of aging for license renewal and is so identified in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for

22 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report.

23 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 24 1. Scope of Program: The ASME Section XI program provides the requirements for ISI, repair, and replacement of Class 1, 2, and 3 pressure-retaining components and their 25 26 integral attachments in light-water cooled nuclear power plants (NPP). The components 27 within the scope of the program are specified in ASME Code, Section XI, Subsections 28 IWB-1100, IWC-1100, and IWD-1100 for Class 1, 2, and 3 components, respectively. 29 The components described in Subsections IWB-1220, IWC-1220, and IWD-1220 are exempt from the volumetric and surface examination requirements, but not exempt from 30 31 VT-2 visual examination and pressure testing requirements of Subsections IWB-2500. 32 IWC-2500, and IWD-2500.
- 33 2. *Preventive Actions:* This is a condition monitoring program; therefore, this program
 34 does not implement preventive actions.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: The ASME Section XI ISI program detects degradation of components by using the examination and inspection requirements specified in ASME Section XI Tables IWB-2500-1, IWC-2500-1, and IWD-2500-1 for Class 1, 2, and 3 components, respectively.

¹Refer to the GALL Report, Chapter I, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code, Section XI.

- 1 The program uses three types of examination—visual, surface, and volumetric—in 2 accordance with the requirements of Subsection IWA-2000. Visual VT-1 examination 3 detects discontinuities and imperfections, such as cracks, corrosion, wear, or erosion, on 4 the surface of components. Visual VT-2 examination detects evidence of leakage from 5 pressure-retaining components, as required during the system pressure test. Visual 6 VT-3 examination (a) determines the general mechanical and structural condition of 7 components and their supports by verifying parameters such as clearances, settings, 8 and physical displacements; (b) detects discontinuities and imperfections, such as loss of integrity at bolted or welded connections, loose or missing parts, debris, corrosion, 9 10 wear, or erosion; and (c) observes conditions that could affect operability or functional 11 adequacy of constant-load and spring-type components and supports.
- Surface examination uses magnetic particle, liquid penetrant, or eddy current
 examinations to indicate the presence of surface discontinuities and flaws. Volumetric
 examination uses radiographic, ultrasonic, or eddy current examinations to indicate the
 presence of discontinuities or flaws throughout the volume of material included in the
 inspection program.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The extent and schedule of the inspection and test techniques prescribed by the program are designed to maintain structural integrity and ensure that aging effects are discovered and repaired before the loss of intended function of the component. Inspection can reveal cracking, loss of material due to corrosion, leakage of coolant, and indications of degradation due to wear or stress relaxation (such as changes in clearances, settings, physical displacements, loose or missing parts, debris, wear, erosion, or loss of integrity at bolted or welded connections).
- Components are examined and tested as specified in Tables IWB-2500-1, IWC-2500-1, and IWD-2500-1 for Class 1, 2, and 3 components, respectively. The tables specify the extent and schedule of the inspection and examination methods for the components of the pressure-retaining boundaries.
- 28 5. Monitoring and Trending: For Class 1, 2, and 3 components, the inspection schedule of IWB-2400. IWC-2400, and IWD-2400, and the extent and frequency of IWB-2500-1. 29 30 IWC-2500-1, and IWD-2500-1, respectively, provides for timely detection of degradation. 31 The sequence of component examinations established during the first inspection interval is repeated during each successive inspection interval, to the extent practical. 32 33 Volumetric and surface examination results are compared with recorded preservice 34 examination and prior inservice examinations. Flaw conditions or relevant conditions of 35 degradation are evaluated in accordance with IWB-3100, IWC-3100, and IWD-3100.
- 36 Examinations that reveal indications that exceed the acceptance standards described 37 below are extended to include additional examinations in accordance with IWB-2430. IWC-2430, and IWD-2430 for Class 1, 2, and 3 components, respectively. Examination 38 39 results that exceed the acceptance standards below are repaired/replaced or accepted 40 by analytical evaluation in accordance with IWB-3600, IWC-3600 and IWD-3600, as 41 applicable. Those items accepted by analytical evaluation are reexamined during the 42 next three inspection periods of IWB-2410 for Class 1 components, IWC-2410 for 43 Class 2 components, and IWD-2410 for Class 3 components.
- 44 6. Acceptance Criteria: Any indication or relevant conditions of degradation are evaluated
 45 in accordance with IWB-3000, IWC-3000, and IWD-3000 for Class 1, 2, and 3

- components, respectively. Examination results are evaluated in accordance with
 IWB-3100, IWC-3100, and IWD-3100 by comparing the results with the acceptance
 standards of IWB-3400 and IWB-3500 for Class 1, IWC-3400 and IWC-3500 for Class 2,
 and IWD-3400 and IWD-3500 for Class 3 components. Flaws that exceed the size of
 allowable flaws, as defined in IWB-3500, IWC-3500 and IWD-3500 may be evaluated by
 using the analytical procedures of IWB-3600, IWC-3600 and IWD-3600 for Class 1, 2
 and 3 components, respectively.
- 8 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 9 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 10 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 11 12 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 13 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures 14 15 and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 16 Repair and replacement activities are performed in conformance with IWA-4000.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* Because the ASME Code is a consensus document that has
 been widely used over a long period, it has been shown to be generally effective in
 managing aging effects in Class 1, 2, and 3 components and their integral attachments
 in light-water cooled power plants (see Chapter I of the GALL-SLR Report).
- 33 Some specific examples of operating experience of component degradation are34 as follows:
- 35 Boiling Water Reactor (BWR): Cracking due to intergranular stress corrosion cracking 36 (IGSCC) has occurred in small- and large-diameter BWR piping made of austenitic SSs 37 and nickel alloys. IGSCC has also occurred in a number of vessel internal components. 38 such as core shrouds, access hole covers, top guides, and core spray spargers 39 (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Bulletin 80-13, NRC Information Notice 40 (IN) 95-17, NRC Generic Letter (GL) 94-03, and NUREG-1544). Cracking due to thermal and mechanical loading has occurred in high-pressure coolant injection piping 41 (NRC IN 89-80) and instrument lines [Licensee Event Report (LER) 50-249/99-003-01]. 42 Jet pump BWRs are designed with access holes in the shroud support plate at the 43 bottom of the annulus between the core shroud and the reactor vessel wall. These 44

- holes are used for access during construction and are subsequently closed by welding a
 plate over the hole. Both circumferential (NRC IN 88-03) and radial cracking
 (NRC IN 92-57) have been observed in access hole covers. Failure of the isolation
 condenser tube bundles due to thermal fatigue and transgranular stress corrosion
 cracking (TGSCC) caused by leaky valves has also occurred (NRC LER 50-219/98-014-00).
- 7 Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) Primary System: Although the primary pressure boundary piping of PWRs has generally not been found to be affected by stress 8 9 corrosion cracking (SCC) because of low dissolved oxygen levels and control of primary 10 water chemistry, SCC has occurred in safety injection lines (NRC IN 97-19 and 84-18), charging pump casing cladding (NRC IN 80-38 and 94-63), instrument nozzles in safety 11 12 injection tanks (NRC IN 91-05), control rod drive seal housing (NRC Inspection 13 Report 50-255/99012), and safety-related stainless steel (SS) piping systems that 14 contain oxygenated, stagnant, or essentially stagnant borated coolant (NRC IN 97-19). 15 Cracking has occurred in SS baffle former bolts in a number of foreign plants (NRC IN 98-11) and has been observed in plants in the United States. Cracking due to 16 17 thermal and mechanical loading has occurred in high-pressure injection and safety injection piping (NRC IN 97-46 and NRC Bulletin 88-08). Through-wall circumferential 18 cracking has been found in reactor pressure vessel head control rod drive penetration 19 20 nozzles (NRC IN 2001-05). Evidence of reactor coolant leakage, together with crack-like 21 indications, has been found in bottom-mounted instrumentation nozzles (NRC IN 2003-22 11 and IN 2003-11, Supplement 1). Cracking in pressurizer safety and relief line nozzles 23 and in surge line nozzles has been detected (NRC IN 2004-11), and circumferential cracking in SS pressurizer heater sleeves has also been found (NRC IN 2006-27). Also, 24 25 primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) has been observed in steam 26 generator drain bowl welds inspected as part of a licensee's Alloy 600/82/182 program 27 (NRC IN 2005-02).
- *PWR Secondary System:* Steam generator tubes have experienced outside diameter
 stress corrosion cracking (ODSCC), intergranular attack, wastage, and pitting (NRC
 IN 97-88). Carbon steel support plates in steam generators have experienced general
 corrosion. Steam generator shells have experienced pitting and SCC (NRC INs 82-37,
 85-65, and 90-04).
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

36 References

- 37 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 38 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 39 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 40 Commission. 2015.

- 1 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
- 2 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code." New York, New York: The American Society of 3 Mechanical Engineers, 2013²
- 3 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.²
- 4 EPRI. BWRVIP-03, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Reactor Pressure Vessel and Internals
 5 Examination Guidelines (EPRI TR-105696 R1, March 30, 1999)." July 1999.
- 6 Licensee Event Report LER 50-249/99-003-01, "Supplement to Reactor Recirculation B Loop,
- 7 High Pressure Flow Element Venturi Instrument Line Steam Leakage Results in Unit 3
- 8 Shutdown Due to Fatigue Failure of Socket Welded Pipe Joint."
- 9 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. August 1999.
- 10 Licensee Event Report LER 50-219/98-014-00, "Failure of the Isolation Condenser Tube
- Bundles due to Thermal Stresses/Transgranular Stress Corrosion Cracking Caused by Leaky
- 12 Valve." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. October 1998.
- 13 NRC. NRC Information Notice 2006-27, "Circumferential Cracking in the Stainless Steel
- Pressurizer Heater Sleeves of Pressurized Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. December 2006.
- 16 _____. NRC Information Notice 2005-02, "Pressure Boundary Leakage Identified on Steam
 17 Generator Drain Bowl Welds." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 18 February 2005.
- 19 _____. NRC Information Notice 2004-11, "Cracking in Pressurizer Safety and Relief Nozzles
 20 and in Surge Line Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 21 May 2004.
- 22 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-11, Supplement 1, "Leakage Found on Bottom-Mounted
 23 Instrumentation Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 24 January 2004.
- 25 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-11, "Leakage Found on Bottom-Mounted Instrumentation
 26 Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 2003.
- 27 _____. NRC Information Notice 2001-05, "Through-Wall Circumferential Cracking of Reactor
 28 Pressure Vessel Head Control Rod Drive Mechanism Penetration Nozzles at Oconee Nuclear
 29 Station, Unit 3." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2001.
- . NRC Inspection Report 50-255/99012, "Palisades Inspection Report. Item E8.2,
 Licensee Event Report 50-255/99-004, "Control Rod Drive Seal Housing Leaks and Crack
 Indications." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2000.
- . NRC Information Notice 98-11, "Cracking of Reactor Vessel Internal Baffle Former Bolts
 in Foreign Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1998.

²GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- NRC Information Notice 97-88, "Experiences During Recent Steam Generator
 Inspections." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1997.
- 3 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-46, "Unisolable Crack in High-Pressure Injection Piping."
 4 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1997.
- 5 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-19, "Safety Injection System Weld Flaw at Sequoyah
 6 Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 7 April 18, 1997.
- 8 _____. NUREG–1544, "Status Report: Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking of BWR Core
 9 Shrouds and Other Internal Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 10 Commission. March 1996.
- 11 _____. NRC Information Notice 95-17, "Reactor Vessel Top Guide and Core Plate Cracking."
 12 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1995.
- Generic Letter 94-03, "Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking of Core Shrouds in Boiling Water Reactors. ML070600206. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1994.
- 16 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-63, "Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casing Caused
 17 by Cladding Cracks." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 18 August 1994.
- 19 _____. NRC Information Notice 92-57, "Radial Cracking of Shroud Support Access Hole Cover
 20 Welds." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1992.
- 21 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-05, "Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking in Pressurized
 22 Water Reactor Safety Injection Accumulator Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 23 Regulatory Commission. January 1991.
- 24 _____. NRC Information Notice 90-04, "Cracking of the Upper Shell-to-Transition Cone Girth
 25 Welds in Steam Generators." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 26 January 1990.
- 27 _____. NRC Information Notice 89-80, "Potential for Water Hammer, Thermal Stratification, and
 28 Steam Binding in High-Pressure Coolant Injection Piping." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 29 Regulatory Commission. December 1989.
- 30 _____. "Thermal Stresses in Piping Connected to Reactor Coolant System." Bulletin 88-08.
 31 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1988. Supplement 1, June 1988.
 32 Supplement 2, September 1988. Supplement 3, April1989.
- 33 _____. NRC Information Notice 88-03, "Cracks in Shroud Support Access Hole Cover Welds."
 34 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1988.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 85-65, "Crack Growth in Steam Generator Girth Welds."
 36 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1985.

NRC Information Notice 84-18, "Stress Corrosion Cracking in PWR Systems."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1984.

3 _____. NRC Information Notice 82-37, "Cracking in the Upper Shell to Transition Cone Girth
 4 Weld of a Steam Generator at an Operating PWR." ML082970942. Washington, DC:
 5 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 1982.

Bulletin 80-13, "Cracking in Core Spray Spargers." Accession No. 8002280661.
Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1980.

8 _____. NRC Information Notice 80-38, "Cracking in Charging Pump Casing Cladding."
 9 ML073550834. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 1980.

1 XI.M2 WATER CHEMISTRY

2 **Program Description**

3 The main objective of this program is to mitigate loss of material due to corrosion, cracking due

4 to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and related mechanisms, and reduction of heat transfer due

5 to fouling in components exposed to a treated water environment. The program includes

6 periodic monitoring of the treated water in order to minimize loss of material or cracking.

7 The water chemistry program for boiling water reactors (BWRs) relies on monitoring and control

8 of reactor water chemistry based on industry guidelines contained in the Boiling Water Reactor

9 Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP)-190 [Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)

10 1016579]. The BWRVIP-190 has three sets of guidelines: (i) one for reactor water, (ii) one for

11 condensate and feedwater, and (iii) one for control rod drive (CRD) mechanism cooling water.

12 The water chemistry program for pressurized water reactors (PWRs) relies on monitoring and

13 control of reactor water chemistry based on industry guidelines contained in EPRI 1014986, 14 "DWD Drimony Water Chemistry Cuidelines" Devision 6 and EDDI 1016555. "DWD Secondary

14 "PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines," Revision 6 and EPRI 1016555, "PWR Secondary

15 Water Chemistry Guidelines," Revision 7.

16 The water chemistry programs are generally effective in removing impurities from intermediate

17 and high flow areas. The Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal

18 (GALL-SLR) Report identifies those circumstances in which the water chemistry program is to

19 be augmented to manage the effects of aging for license renewal. For example, the water

20 chemistry program may not be effective in low flow or stagnant flow areas. Accordingly, in

21 certain cases as identified in the GALL-SLR Report, verification of the effectiveness of the

22 chemistry control program is undertaken to ensure that significant degradation is not occurring

and the component's intended function is maintained during the period of extended operation.

For these specific cases, an acceptable verification program is a one-time inspection of selected

components at susceptible locations in the system.

26 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- Scope of Program: The program includes components in the reactor coolant system, the engineered safety features, the auxiliary systems, and the steam and power conversion system. This program addresses the metallic components subject to aging management review that are exposed to a treated water environment controlled by the water chemistry program.
- 32 2. **Preventive Actions:** The program includes specifications for chemical species, 33 impurities and additives, sampling and analysis frequencies, and corrective actions for 34 control of reactor water chemistry. System water chemistry is controlled to minimize 35 contaminant concentration and mitigate loss of material due to general, crevice, and 36 pitting corrosion and cracking caused by SCC. For BWRs, maintaining high water purity 37 reduces susceptibility to SCC, and chemical additive programs such as hydrogen water 38 chemistry or noble metal chemical application also may be used. For PWRs, additives 39 are used for reactivity control and to control pH and inhibit corrosion.
- 40 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: The concentrations of corrosive impurities listed
 41 in the EPRI water chemistry guidelines are monitored to mitigate loss of material,
 42 cracking, and reduction of heat transfer. Water quality also is maintained in accordance
 43 with the guidance. Chemical species and water quality are monitored by in-process

- 1 methods or through sampling. The chemical integrity of the samples is maintained and 2 verified to ensure that the method of sampling and storage will not cause a change in the 3 concentration of the chemical species in the samples.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: This is a mitigation program and does not provide for detection of any aging effects of concern for the components within its scope. The monitoring methods and frequency of water chemistry sampling and testing is performed in accordance with the EPRI water chemistry guidelines and based on plant operating conditions. The main objective of this program is to mitigate loss of material due to corrosion and cracking due to SCC in components exposed to a treated water environment.
- Monitoring and Trending: Chemistry parameter data are recorded, evaluated, and
 trended in accordance with the EPRI water chemistry guidelines.
- Acceptance Criteria: Maximum levels for various chemical parameters are maintained
 within the system-specific limits as indicated by the limits specified in the corresponding
 EPRI water chemistry guidelines.
- 16 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 17 18 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 19 20 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 21 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures 22 23 and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 24 Any evidence of aging effects or unacceptable water chemistry results are evaluated, the 25 cause identified, and the condition corrected. When measured water chemistry parameters are outside the specified range, corrective actions are taken to bring the 26 27 parameter back within the acceptable range (or to change the operational mode of the plant) within the time period specified in the EPRI water chemistry guidelines. Whenever 28 29 corrective actions are taken to address an abnormal chemistry condition, increased 30 sampling or other appropriate actions are taken and analyzed to verify that the corrective 31 actions were effective in returning the concentrations of contaminants, such as chlorides, 32 fluorides, sulfates, and dissolved oxygen, to within the acceptable ranges.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 39 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 40 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 41 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 42 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 43 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 44 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

 10. Operating Experience: The EPRI guideline documents have been developed based on plant experience and have been shown to be effective over time with their widespread use. The specific examples of operating experience are as follows:

4 BWR: Intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) has occurred in small- and 5 large-diameter BWR piping made of austenitic stainless steels (SSs) and nickel-base 6 alloys. Significant cracking has occurred in recirculation, core spray, residual heat 7 removal systems, and reactor water cleanup system piping welds. IGSCC has also occurred in a number of vessel internal components, including core shroud, access hole 8 9 cover, top guide, and core spray spargers [NRC Bulletin 80-13, NRC Information Notice 10 (IN) 95-17, NRC Generic Letter (GL) 94-03, and NUREG-1544]. No occurrence of SCC in piping and other components in standby liquid control systems exposed to sodium 11 12 pentaborate solution has ever been reported (NUREG/CR-6001).

- 13 Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) Primary System: The potential for SCC-type mechanisms might normally occur because of inadvertent introduction of contaminants 14 15 into the primary coolant system, including contaminants introduced from the free surface 16 of the spent fuel pool (which can be a natural collector of airborne contaminants) or the 17 introduction of oxygen during plant cooldowns (NRC IN 84-18). Ingress of demineralizer 18 resins into the primary system has caused IGSCC of Alloy 600 vessel head penetrations 19 (NRC IN 96-11, NRC GL 97-01). Inadvertent introduction of sodium thiosulfate into the 20 primary system has caused IGSCC of steam generator tubes. SCC has occurred in 21 safety injection lines (NRC INs 97-19 and 84-18), charging pump casing cladding 22 (NRC INs 80-38 and 94-63), instrument nozzles in safety injection tanks (NRC IN 91-05), and safety-related SS piping systems that contain oxygenated, stagnant, or essentially 23 24 stagnant borated coolant (NRC IN 97-19). Steam generator tubes and plugs and Alloy 25 600 penetrations have experienced primary water SCC (NRC INs 89-33, 94-87, 97-88, 90-10, and 96-11; NRC Bulletin 89-01 and its two supplements). IGSCC-induced 26 27 circumferential cracking has occurred in PWR pressurizer heater sleeves 28 (NRC IN 2006-27).
- *PWR Secondary System:* Steam generator tubes have experienced outside diameter
 stress corrosion cracking (ODSCC), intergranular attack (IGA), wastage, and pitting
 (NRC IN 97-88, NRC GL 95-05). Carbon steel support plates in steam generators have
 experienced general corrosion. The steam generator shell has experienced pitting and
 SCC (NRC INs 82-37, 85-65, and 90-04). Extensive buildup of deposits at steam
 generator tube support holes can result in flow-induced vibrations and tube cracking
 (NRC IN 2007-37).
- Such operating experience has provided feedback to revisions of the Electric Power
 Research Institute (EPRI) water chemistry guideline documents.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

1 References

- 2 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 3 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

4 EPRI. EPRI 1016555, "PWR Secondary Water Chemistry Guidelines–Revision 7." Palo Alto,
 5 California: Electric Power Research Institute. February 2009.

BWRVIP-190 (EPRI 1016579), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project: BWR Water
Chemistry Guidelines-2008 Revision." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
October 2008.

9 _____. EPRI 1014986, "PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines." Revision 6, Volumes 1 10 and 2, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2007.

NRC. NRC Information Notice 2007-37, "Buildup of Deposits in Steam Generators."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2007.

13 _____. NRC Information Notice 2006-27, "Circumferential Cracking in the Stainless Steel
 14 Pressurizer Heater Sleeves of Pressurized Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 15 Regulatory Commission. December 2006.

16 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-88, "Experiences During Recent Steam Generator
 17 Inspections." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1997.

NRC Information Notice 97-19, "Safety Injection System Weld Flaw at Sequoyah
 Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 April 1997.

21 _____. NRC Generic Letter 97-01, "Degradation of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Nozzle and
 22 Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 23 Commission. April 1997.

24 _____. NRC Information Notice 96-11, "Ingress of Demineralizer Resins Increase Potential for
 25 Stress Corrosion Cracking of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Penetrations." Washington, DC:
 26 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1996.

27 _____. NUREG-1544, "Status Report: Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking of BWR Core
 28 Shrouds and Other Internal Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 29 Commission. March 1996.

- 30 _____. NRC Information Notice 95-17, "Reactor Vessel Top Guide and Core Plate Cracking."
 31 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1995.
- 32 _____. NRC Generic Letter 95-05, "Voltage-Based Repair Criteria for Westinghouse Steam
 33 Generator Tubes Affected by Outside Diameter Stress Corrosion Cracking." Washington, DC:
 34 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1995.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-87, "Unanticipated Crack in a Particular Heat of Alloy 600
 36 Used for Westinghouse Mechanical Plugs for Steam Generator Tubes." U.S. Nuclear
 37 Regulatory Commission. December 1994.
- 1 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-63, "Boric Acid Corrosion of Charging Pump Casing Caused 2 by Cladding Cracks." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1994.
- 3 _____. NRC Generic Letter 94-03, "Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking of Core Shrouds in
 4 Boiling Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1994.
- 5 _____. NUREG/CR-6001, "Aging Assessment of BWR Standby Liquid Control Systems."
 6 G.D. Buckley, R.D. Orton, A.B. Johnson Jr., and L.L. Larson. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 7 Regulatory Commission. 1992.
- 8 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-05, "Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking In Pressurized
 9 Water Reactor Safety Injection Accumulator Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 10 Regulatory Commission. January 1991.
- 11 _____. NRC Bulletin 89-01, Supplement 2, "Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator Tube 12 Mechanical Plugs." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1991.
- 13 _____. NRC Information Notice 90-10, "Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking (PWSCC) of
 14 Inconel 600." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1990.
- 15 _____. NRC Information Notice 90-04, "Cracking of the Upper Shell-to-Transition Cone Girth
 16 Welds in Steam Generators." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 17 January 1990.
- 18 _____. NRC Information Notice 89-33, "Potential Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator
 19 Tube Mechanical Plugs." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 20 March 1989.
- 21 _____. NRC Bulletin 89-01, "Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator Tube Mechanical
 22 Plugs." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1989.
- 23 _____. NRC Bulletin 89-01, "Supplement 1, "Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator Tube
 24 Mechanical Plugs." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 25 November 1989.
- 26 _____. NRC Information Notice 85-65, "Crack Growth in Steam Generator Girth Welds."
 27 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1985.
- 28 _____. NRC Information Notice 84-18, "Stress Corrosion Cracking in Pressurized Water
 29 Reactor Systems." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1984.
- . NRC Information Notice 82-37, "Cracking in the Upper Shell to Transition Cone Girth
 Weld of a Steam Generator at an Operating PWR." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. September 1982.
- 33 _____. NRC Information Notice 80-38, "Cracking In Charging Pump Casing Cladding."
 34 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 1980.
- 35 _____. NRC Bulletin 80-13, "Cracking in Core Spray Piping." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 36 Regulatory Commission. May 1980

.

1 XI.M3 REACTOR HEAD CLOSURE STUD BOLTING

2 **Program Description**

- 3 This program includes (a) inservice inspection (ISI) in accordance with the requirements of the
- 4 American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB,
- 5 Table IWB 2500-1; and (b) preventive measures to mitigate cracking. The program also relies
- 6 on recommendations delineated in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory
- 7 Guide (RG) 1.65, Revision 1.

- Scope of Program: The program manages the aging effects of cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) or intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) and loss of material due to wear or corrosion for reactor vessel closure stud bolting (studs, washers, bushings, nuts, and threads in flange) for both boiling water reactors (BWRs) and pressurized water reactors (PWRs).
- 14 2. *Preventive Actions:* Preventive measures may include:
- 15 (a) Avoiding the use of metal-plated stud bolting to prevent degradation due to corrosion or hydrogen embrittlement;
- 17 (b) Using manganese phosphate or other acceptable surface treatments;
- 18 (c) Using stable lubricants. Of particular note, use of molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂)
 19 as a lubricant has been shown to be a potential contributor to SCC and should
 20 not be used
- (d) Using bolting material for closure studs that has an actual measured yield
 strength less than 1,034 megapascals (MPa) (150 kilo-pounds per square inch).
- Implementation of these mitigation measures can reduce potential for SCC or IGSCC,
 thus making this program effective.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The ASME Section XI ISI program detects and
 sizes cracks, detects loss of material, and detects coolant leakage by following the
 examination and inspection requirements specified in Table IWB-2500-1.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The extent and schedule of the inspection and test
 techniques prescribed by the program are designed to maintain structural integrity and
 ensure that aging effects are discovered and repaired before the loss of intended
 function of the component. Inspection can reveal cracking, loss of material due to
 corrosion or wear, and leakage of coolant.
- The program uses visual, surface, and volumetric examinations in accordance with the
 general requirements of Subsection IWA-2000. Surface examination uses magnetic
 particle or liquid penetrant examinations to indicate the presence of surface
 discontinuities and flaws. Volumetric examination uses radiographic or ultrasonic
 examinations to indicate the presence of discontinuities or flaws throughout the volume

of material. Visual VT-2 examination detects evidence of leakage from
 pressure-retaining components, as required during the system pressure test.

Components are examined and tested in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI,
 Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-G-1, for pressure-retaining bolting greater
 than 2 inches in diameter. Examination Category B-P for all pressure-retaining
 components specifies visual VT-2 examination of all pressure-retaining boundary
 components during the system leakage test. Table IWB-2500-1 specifies the extent and
 frequency of the inspection and examination methods, and IWB-2400 specifies the
 schedule of the inspection.

- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* The Inspection schedule of IWB-2400 and the extent and frequency of IWB-2500-1 provide timely detection of cracks, loss of material, and leakage.
- Acceptance Criteria: Any indication or relevant condition of degradation in closure stud
 bolting is evaluated in accordance with IWB-3100 by comparing ISI results with the
 acceptance standards of IWB-3400 and IWB-3500.
- 16 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 17 18 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 19 20 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 21 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management programs (AMP) for both 22 23 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 24
- Repair and replacement are performed in accordance with the requirements of
 IWA-4000 and the material and inspection guidance of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.65.
 The maximum yield strength of replacement material should be limited as recommended
 in RG 1.65
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 41 10. *Operating Experience:* SCC has occurred in BWR pressure vessel head studs
 42 (Stoller, 1991). The AMP has provisions regarding inspection techniques and
 43 evaluation, material specifications, corrosion prevention, and other aspects of reactor

1 pressure vessel (RPV) head stud cracking. Implementation of the program provides 2 reasonable assurance that the effects of cracking due to SCC or IGSCC and loss of material due to wear are adequately managed so that the intended functions of the 3 reactor head closure studs and bolts are maintained consistent with the current licensing 4 5 basis (CLB) for the period of extended operation. Degradation of threaded bolting and fasteners in closures for the reactor coolant pressure boundary has occurred from boric 6 7 acid corrosion, SCC, and fatigue loading (NRC Inspection and Enforcement Bulletin 8 82-02, NRC Generic Letter 91-17).

9 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 10 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in

11 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

12 References

- 13 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- 17 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York. The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹
- NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.65, "Material and Inspection for Reactor Vessel Closure Studs."
 Revision 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2010.
- 22 _____. NRC Generic Letter 91-17, "Generic Safety Issue 29: Bolting Degradation or Failure in
 23 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 24 October 1991.

25 _____. NRC Inspection and Enforcement Bulletin 82-02, "Degradation of Threaded Fasteners
 26 in the Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary of PWR Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 27 Regulatory Commission. June 1982.

Stoller, S.M. "Reactor Head Closure Stud Cracking, Material Toughness Outside FSAR–SCC in
 Thread Roots." BWR-2, III, 58. *Nuclear Power Experience*. 1991.

¹GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

1 XI.M4 BOILING WATER REACTOR VESSEL ID ATTACHMENT WELDS

2 **Program Description**

3 This program is a condition monitoring program for detecting cracking due to stress corrosion 4 cracking (SCC), intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC), and cyclical loading 5 mechanisms in the reactor vessel inside diameter (ID) attachment welds of boiling water 6 reactors (BWRs). The program includes inspection and flaw evaluation in accordance with the 7 requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, and 8 the guidance in "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Vessel ID Attachment Weld Inspection and 9 Flaw Evaluation Guidelines" (BWRVIP-48-A) to provide reasonable assurance of the long-term 10 integrity and safe operation of BWR vessel ID attachment welds.

- 11 The guidance in Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP)-48-A includes
- 12 inspection recommendations and evaluation methodologies for certain attachment welds
- between the vessel wall and the brackets that attach components to the vessel. In some cases,
- 14 the attachment is a weld attached directly to the vessel wall; in other cases, the attachment
- 15 includes a weld build-up pad on the vessel wall. The BWRVIP-48-A report includes information
- on the geometry of the vessel ID attachments; evaluates susceptible locations and the safety
 consequence of failure; provides recommendations regarding the method, extent, and
- 18 frequency of augmented examinations; and discusses acceptable methods for evaluating the
- 19 structural integrity significance of indications detected during examinations.

- Scope of Program: This program manages the effects of cracking caused by SCC,
 IGSCC, or cyclical loading mechanisms for those BWR vessel ID attachment welds that
 are covered by BWRVIP-48-A. The program is an augmented inservice inspection (ISI)
 program that uses the inspection and flaw evaluation criteria in BWRVIP-48-A to detect
 cracking and monitor the effects of cracking on the intended functions of these
 components.
- Preventive Actions: This program is a condition monitoring program and has no
 preventive actions. To mitigate SCC and IGSCC, reactor coolant water chemistry is
 monitored and controlled in accordance with activities that meet the guidelines in
 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
 AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry."
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: The program monitors for cracks caused by
 SCC, IGSCC, and cyclical loading mechanisms. Inspections performed in accordance
 with the guidance in BWRVIP-48-A and the requirements of the ASME Code, Section XI,
 Table IWB-2500-1 are used to interrogate the components for discontinuities that may
 indicate the presence of cracking.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The extent and schedule of the inspections prescribed by
 BWRVIP-48-A and ASME Code, Section XI, are designed to maintain structural integrity
 and ensure that aging effects are discovered and repaired before a loss of intended
 function. The vessel ID attachment welds are visually examined in accordance with the
 requirements of ASME Code, Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category
 B-N-2. In addition, certain attachment welds are subject to augmented examinations.
 BWRVIP-48-A specifies the nondestructive examination methods, inspection locations,

- and inspection frequencies for these augmented examinations. The nondestructive
 examination techniques that are appropriate for the augmented examinations, including
 the uncertainties inherent in delivering and executing these techniques and applicable
 for inclusion in flaw evaluations, are included in BWRVIP-03.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Inspections scheduled in accordance with ASME Code,
 Section XI, Subarticle IWB-2400, and BWRVIP-48-A provide for the timely detection of
 cracking. If indications are detected, the scope of examination is expanded. Any
 indications are evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, and the
 guidance in BWRVIP-48-A. Guidance for the evaluation of crack growth in stainless
 steels (SSs), nickel alloys, and low-alloy steels is provided in BWRVIP-14-A,
 BWRVIP-59-A, and BWRVIP-60-A, respectively.
- Acceptance Criteria: The relevant acceptance criteria are provided in BWRVIP-48-A
 and ASME Code, Section XI, Subsubarticle IWB-3520.
- 14 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 15 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 16 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 17 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 18 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50. 19 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging 20 management program (AMP) for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures 21 and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Repair and replacement activities are conducted in accordance with the guidance in
 BWRVIP-52-A.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- Operating Experience: Cracking due to SCC, IGSCC, and cyclical loading has 36 10. occurred in BWR components. The program guidelines are based on an evaluation of 37 38 available information, including BWR inspection data and information on the causes of 39 SCC, IGSCC, and cracking due to cyclical loading, to determine which attachment welds 40 may be susceptible to cracking from any of these mechanisms. Implementation of this program provides reasonable assurance that cracking will be adequately managed and 41 that the intended functions of the vessel ID attachments will be maintained consistent 42 with the current licensing basis (CLB) for the subsequent period of extended operation. 43

- 1 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
- 2 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 3 Appendix B of the GALL SLB Perport
- 3 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

4 References

- 5 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 6 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 7 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 8 Commission. 2015.

ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of

- 11 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹
- 12 EPRI. BWRVIP-03, Revision 16 (EPRI 105696-R16), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project,
- Reactor Pressure Vessel and Internals Examination Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric
 Power Research Institute. December 2013.
- 15 _____. BWRVIP-14-A (EPRI 1016569), "Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Stainless Steel 16 RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. September 2008.

BWRVIP-59-A (EPRI 1014874), "Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Nickel-Base
 Austenitic Alloys in RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 May 2007.

- 20 _____. BWRVIP-52-A (EPRI 1012119), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Shroud Support 21 and Vessel Bracket Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research 22 Institute. September 2005.
- BWRVIP-48-A (EPRI 1009948), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Vessel ID
 Attachment Weld Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric
 Power Research Institute. November 2004.

BWRVIP-60-A (EPRI 1008871), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Crack Growth in BWR Low Alloy Steel RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. June 2003.

¹GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

1 XI.M5 BOILING WATER REACTOR FEEDWATER NOZZLE

2 **Program Description**

This program is a condition monitoring program for detecting cracking due to fatigue in boiling
water reactor (BWR) feedwater nozzles. Cracking is detected through qualified ultrasonic
examinations, the extent and frequency of which are based on the recommendations in General
Electric Report GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1, "Alternate BWR Feedwater Nozzle
Inspection Requirements." These examinations augment the inservice inspection (ISIs)
specified by Section XI of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code.

- Scope of Program: This program manages the effects of cracking due to fatigue of the
 BWR feedwater nozzles.
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program only; therefore, it has no preventive actions.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The volume of certain critical regions of the
 BWR feedwater nozzle is examined to detect flaws or other discontinuities that may
 indicate the presence of cracks.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Cracking is detected through ultrasonic examinations of critical regions of the BWR feedwater nozzle. These critical regions cover the feedwater nozzle inner radius and bore as depicted in Zones 1, 2, and 3 on Figures 4-1 and 4-2 of GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1. The ultrasonic examination procedures, equipment, and personnel are qualified by performance demonstration in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII.
- For plants without single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers, the examination
 frequency for Zones 1, 2, and 3 is once every 10-year ASME Code, Section XI,
 ISI interval.
- 26 For plants with single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers, the inspection interval 27 for Zones 1 and 2 is in accordance with Table 6-1 of GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, 28 Revision 1. This inspection interval is based on the results of a plant-specific fracture 29 mechanics analysis and the particular type of ultrasonic examination method that is 30 employed. The plant-specific fracture mechanics analysis should use the latest ASME 31 fatigue crack growth rates in a water environment that have been endorsed by the 32 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC). For these plants, the inspection interval 33 for Zone 3 is twice the inspection interval established for Zones 1 and 2, not to exceed 34 once every 10 years.
- Monitoring and Trending: Augmented examinations in accordance with
 GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1 provide for the timely detection of cracks. For
 plants with single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers, the cycles assumed in the
 plant-specific fracture mechanics analysis are monitored in accordance with activities
 that meet the guidelines in GALL-SLR Report AMP X.M1, "Cyclic Load Monitoring." This
 monitoring is used to assess the continued validity of the fracture mechanics analysis.

- Acceptance Criteria: Examination results are evaluated in accordance with
 ASME Code, Section XI, Subsubsection IWB-3130.
- 3 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 4 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 5 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 6 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 7 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 8 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 9 fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both 10 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 11 of this program.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 24 10. **Operating Experience:** NUREG–0619, BWR Feedwater Nozzle and Control Rod Drive 25 Return Line Nozzle Cracking, summarizes cracking that occurred in the feedwater 26 nozzles of several BWRs in the late 1970's. In response to NUREG-0619, licensees implemented various hardware modifications and changes to operating procedures to 27 28 decrease the magnitude and frequency of temperature fluctuations that had led to the 29 cracking. This AMP augments the ASME Code. Section XI, inspections to provide 30 assurance that any further cracking in BWR feedwater nozzles will be detected before 31 there is a loss of intended function.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

35 References

- 36 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 37 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.

- 1 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- 2 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
- 3 Mechanical Engineers.¹
- 4 GE. GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, "Alternate BWR Feedwater Nozzle Inspection Requirements."
- 5 Revision 1. ML003723265. General Electric, May 2000.
- 6 NRC. NRC Generic Letter 81-11, "BWR Feedwater Nozzle and Control Rod Drive Return Line
- 7 Nozzle Cracking (NUREG–0619)." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 8 February 1981.

¹ GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this aging management program.

1 XI.M6 DELETED

1 XI.M7 BOILING WATER REACTOR STRESS CORROSION CRACKING

2 **Program Description**

3 The program to manage intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) in boiling water reactor 4 (BWR) coolant pressure boundary piping made of stainless steel (SS) and nickel-based alloy 5 components is delineated in NUREG–0313, Revision 2, and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 88-01 and its Supplement 1. The material includes 6 7 base metal and welds. The comprehensive program outlined in NUREG-0313, Revision 2 and 8 NRC GL 88-01 describes improvements that, in combination, will reduce the susceptibility to 9 IGSCC. The elements to cause IGSCC consist of a susceptible-material, a significant tensile 10 stress, and an aggressive environment. Sensitization of nonstabilized austenitic SSs containing 11 areater than 0.035 weight percent carbon involves precipitation of chromium carbides at the 12 grain boundaries during certain fabrication or welding processes. The formation of carbides 13 creates a chromium-depleted region that, in certain environments, is susceptible to SCC. 14 Residual tensile stresses are introduced from fabrication processes, such as welding, cold work, 15 surface grinding, –and forming. High levels of dissolved oxygen or aggressive contaminants. such as sulfates or chlorides, accelerate the stress corrosion cracking (SCC) processes. The 16 17 program includes (a) preventive measures to mitigate IGSCC and (b) inspection and flaw evaluation to monitor IGSCC and its effects. The staff-approved Boiling Water Reactor Vessel 18 19 and Internals Project (BWRVIP) (BWRVIP-75-A) report allows for modifications to the inspection

20 extent and schedule described in the GL 88-01 program.

- 22 1. Scope of Program: The program focuses on (a) managing and implementing 23 countermeasures to mitigate IGSCC and (b) performing ISI to monitor IGSCC and its effects on the intended function of BWR piping components within the scope of license 24 25 renewal. The program is applicable to all BWR piping and piping welds made of austenitic-SS and nickel alloy that are 4 inches or larger in nominal diameter containing 26 27 reactor coolant at a temperature above 60 °C [140 °F] during power operation, 28 regardless of code classification. The program also applies to pump casings, valve 29 bodies, and reactor vessel attachments and appurtenances, such as head spray and 30 vent components. Control rod drive return line nozzle caps and associated welds are 31 included in the scope of the program. NUREG–0313, Rev. 2 and NRC GL 88-01, 32 respectively, describe the technical basis and staff guidance regarding mitigation of 33 IGSCC in BWRs. Attachment A of NRC GL 88-01 delineates the staff-approved positions regarding materials, processes, water chemistry, weld overlay reinforcement, 34 35 partial replacement, stress improvement of cracked welds, clamping devices, crack 36 characterization and repair criteria, inspection methods and personnel, inspection 37 schedules, sample expansion, leakage detection, and reporting requirements.
- 38 2. **Preventive Actions:** The BWR SCC program is primarily a condition monitoring 39 program which also relies on countermeasures. Maintaining high water purity reduces 40 susceptibility to SCC or IGSCC. Reactor coolant water chemistry is monitored and 41 maintained in accordance with the Water Chemistry program. The program description, 42 evaluation and technical basis of water chemistry are addressed through implementation of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) 43 44 Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry." In addition, NUREG-0313, Rev. 2 and GL 88-01 45 delineate the guidance for selection of resistant materials and processes that provide

resistance to IGSCC such as solution heat treatment and stress improvement
 processes.

- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* The program detects and sizes cracks and
 detects leakage by using the examination and inspection guidelines delineated in
 NUREG-0313, Rev. 2, and NRC GL 88-01 or the referenced BWRVIP-75-A guideline
 as approved by the NRC staff.
- 7 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The extent, method, and schedule of the inspection and 8 test techniques delineated in NRC GL 88-01 or BWRVIP-75-A are designed to maintain 9 structural integrity and ensure that aging effects are discovered and repaired before the 10 loss of intended function of the component. Modifications to the extent and schedule of 11 inspection in NRC GL 88-01 are allowed in accordance with the inspection guidance in 12 approved BWRVIP-75-A. Prior to crediting hydrogen water chemistry to modify extent 13 and frequency of inspections in accordance with BWRVIP-75-A, the applicant should meet conditions described in the staff's safety evaluations regarding BWRVIP-62-A. The 14 program uses volumetric examinations to detect IGSCC. Inspection can reveal cracking 15 16 and leakage of coolant. The extent and frequency of inspection recommended by the 17 program are based on the condition of each weld (e.g., whether the weldments were 18 made from IGSCC-resistant material, whether a stress improvement process was 19 applied to a weldment to reduce residual stresses, and how the weld was repaired, if it 20 had been cracked).
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* The extent and schedule for inspection, in accordance with the recommendations of NRC GL 88-01 or approved BWRVIP-75-A guidelines, provide timely detection of cracks and leakage of coolant. Indications of cracking are evaluated and trended in accordance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, IWA-3000.
- Applicable and approved BWRVIP-14-A, BWRVIP-59-A, BWRVIP-60-A, and BWRVIP-62-A reports provide guidelines for evaluation of crack growth in SSs, nickel alloys, and low-alloy steels. An applicant may use BWRVIP-61 guidelines for BWR vessel and internals induction heating stress improvement effectiveness on crack growth in operating plants.
- Acceptance Criteria: Any cracking is evaluated in accordance with ASME Code,
 Section XI, IWA-3000 by comparing inspection results with the acceptance standards of
 ASME Code, Section XI, IWB-3000, IWC-3000 and IWD-3000 for Class 1, 2 and 3
 components, respectively.
- 35 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 36 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 37 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 38 39 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging 40 management program (AMP) for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures 41 and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 42
- 43The guidance for weld overlay repair and stress improvement or replacement is provided44in NRC GL 88-01. Corrective action is performed in accordance with IWA-4000

- 18.Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific2portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of310 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an4applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the5confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related6SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 13 10. **Operating Experience:** Intergranular SCC has occurred in small- and large-diameter 14 BWR piping made of austenitic–SS and nickel-base alloys. Cracking has occurred in 15 recirculation, core spray, residual heat removal, control rod drive (CRD) return line 16 penetrations, and reactor water cleanup system piping welds (NRC GL 88-01 and NRC 17 Information Notices (INs) 82-39, 84-41, and 2004-08). The comprehensive program outlined in NRC GL 88-01, NUREG-0313, Rev. 2, and in the staff-approved 18 19 BWRVIP-75-A report addresses mitigating measures for SCC or IGSCC 20 (e.g., susceptible material, significant tensile stress, and an aggressive environment). 21 The GL 88-01 program, with or without the modifications allowed by the staff-approved 22 BWRVIP-75-A report, has been effective in managing IGSCC in BWR reactor coolant 23 pressure-retaining components and will adequately manage IGSCC degradation.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

27 References

- 28 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 29 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
 ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, New York, New York: The American Society of
- 34 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹
- ASME Code Case N-504-4, "Alternative Rules for Repair of Class 1, 2, and 3 Austenitic
 Stainless Steel Piping." Section XI, Division 1. New York, New York: American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. July 2006.
- 38 EPRI. BWRVIP-62-A (EPRI-1021006), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Technical Basis for
 39 Inspection Relief for BWR Internal Components with Hydrogen Injection." Palo Alto, California:
 40 Electric Power Research Institute. April 2010.

 BWRVIP-14-A (EPRI 1016569), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Stainless Steel RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. September 2008.

BWRVIP-59-A, (EPRI 1014874), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
Crack Growth in BWR Nickel-Base Austenitic Alloys in RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California:
Electric Power Research Institute. May 2007.

BWRVIP-75-A (EPRI 1012621), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Technical Basis for
 Revisions to Generic Letter 88-01 Inspection Schedules (NUREG–0313)." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. October 2005.

BWRVIP-60-A (EPRI 108871), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of Stress
 Corrosion Crack Growth in Low Alloy Steel Vessel Materials in the BWR Environment."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 2003.

BWRVIP-61 (EPRI 112076), "BWR Vessel and Internals Induction Heating Stress
 Improvement Effectiveness on Crack Growth in Operating Reactors." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. January 1999.

16 NRC Information Notice 04-08, "Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Leakage Attributable to

Propagation of Cracking in Reactor Vessel Nozzle Welds." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. April 2004.

19 _____. NRC Generic Letter 88-01, "NRC Position on IGSCC in BWR Austenitic Stainless Steel
 20 Piping." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 25, 1988;
 21 Supplement 1, February 1992.

22 _____. NUREG–0313, "Technical Report on Material Selection and Processing Guidelines for
 23 BWR Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping." Rev. 2. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 24 Commission. 1988.

25 _____. NRC Information Notice 84-41, "IGSCC in BWR Plants." Washington, DC:
 26 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1984.

27 _____. NRC Information Notice 82-39, "Service Degradation of Thick Wall Stainless Steel
 28 Recirculation System Piping at a BWR Plant." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 29 Commission. September 1982.

1 XI.M8 BOILING WATER REACTOR PENETRATIONS

2 **Program Description**

3 The program for boiling water reactor (BWR) vessel instrumentation penetrations, control rod 4 drive (CRD) housing and incore-monitoring housing (ICMH) penetrations and standby liquid 5 control (SLC) nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles includes inspection and flaw evaluation in conformance 6 with the guidelines of staff-approved Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project 7 (BWRVIP) Topical Reports BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A and BWRVIP-27-A. The program 8 manages cracking due to cyclic loading, stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and intergranular 9 stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) for these BWR vessel penetrations and nozzles. The 10 inspection and evaluation guidelines of BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A, and BWRVIP-27-A 11 contain generic guidelines intended to present appropriate inspection recommendations to assure safety function integrity. The guidelines of BWRVIP-49-A provide information on the 12 13 type of instrument penetration, evaluate their susceptibility and consequences of failure, and 14 define the inspection strategy to assure safe operation. The guidelines of BWRVIP-47-A 15 provide information on components located in the lower plenum region of BWRs, evaluate their 16 susceptibility and consequences of failure, and define the inspection strategy to assure safe 17 operation. The guidelines of BWRVIP-27-A are applicable to plants in which the SLC system 18 injects sodium pentaborate into the bottom head region of the vessel (in most plants, as a pipe 19 within a pipe of the core plate ΔP monitoring system). The BWRVIP-27-A guidelines address 20 the region where the ΔP and SLC nozzle or housing penetrates the vessel bottom head and 21 include the safe ends welded to the nozzle or housing. Guidelines for repair design criteria are 22 provided in BWRVIP-57-A for instrumentation penetrations, BWRVIP-55-A for CRD housing and 23 ICMH penetrations and BWRVIP-53-A for SLC line.

24 Although this is a condition monitoring program, control of water chemistry helps prevent SCC 25 and IGSCC. The water chemistry program for BWRs relies on monitoring and control of reactor 26 water chemistry based on industry guidelines, such BWRVIP-190 (EPRI 1016579) or later 27 revisions. BWRVIP-190 has three sets of guidelines: (i) one for primary water, (ii) one for 28 condensate and feedwater, and (iii) one for CRD mechanism cooling water. Adequate aging 29 management activities for these components provide reasonable assurance that the long-term 30 integrity and safe operation of BWR vessel instrumentation nozzles, CRD housing and ICMH penetrations and SLC nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles. 31

- Scope of Program: The scope of this program is applicable to BWR instrumentation penetrations, CRD housing and ICMH penetrations and BWR SLC nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles. The program manages cracking due to cyclic loading or SCC and IGSCC using inspection and flaw evaluation in accordance with the guidelines of staff-approved BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A and BWRVIP-27-A.
- Preventive Actions: This program is a condition monitoring program and has no
 preventive actions. However, maintaining high water purity reduces susceptibility to
 SCC or IGSCC. The program description, evaluation, and technical basis of water
 chemistry are presented in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent
 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report aging management program (AMP) XI.M2,
 "Water Chemistry."

- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* The program manages the effects of cracking
 due to SCC/IGSCC on the intended function of the BWR instrumentation nozzles, CRD
 housing and ICMH penetrations, and BWR SLC nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles. The program
 monitors for evidence of surface-breaking linear discontinuities if a visual inspection
 technique is used or for relevant flaw signals if a volumetric ultrasonic testing (UT)
 method is used. In addition, the program includes visual examination to confirm the
 absence of leakage.
- 8 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** The inspection guidelines of BWRVIP-49-A. 9 BWRVIP-47-A and BWRVIP-27-A, along with the existing inspection requirements 10 in American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, are sufficient to monitor for indications of cracking in BWR instrumentation 11 nozzles, CRD housing and ICMH penetrations and BWR SLC nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles, 12 13 and should continue to be followed for the subsequent period of extended operation. The extent and schedule of the inspection and test techniques prescribed by the 14 15 staff-approved BWRVIP inspection guidelines and the ASME Code, Section XI program are designed to maintain structural integrity and ensure that aging effects are discovered 16 17 and repaired before the loss of intended function of the component.
- Instrument penetrations, CRD housing and ICMH penetrations and SLC system nozzles
 or housings are inspected in accordance with the staff-approved BWRVIP inspection
 guidelines and the requirements in the ASME Code, Section XI. These examination
 categories include volumetric examination methods [UT or radiography testing (RT)],
 surface examination methods (liquid penetrant testing or magnetic particle testing), and
 VT-2 visual examination methods.
- 24 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Inspections scheduled in accordance with ASME Code, 25 Section XI, IWB-2400 and approved BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A, or BWRVIP-27-A 26 provides timely detection of cracks. The scope of examination and reinspection is 27 expanded beyond the baseline inspection if flaws are detected. Any indication detected 28 is evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI or other acceptable flaw evaluation criteria, such as the staff-approved BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A, or 29 BWRVIP-27-A guidelines. Applicable and approved BWRVIP-14-A, BWRVIP-59-A, and 30 31 BWRVIP-60-A documents provide additional guidelines for the evaluation of crack 32 growth in stainless steels (SSs), nickel alloys, and low-alloy steels, respectively.
- Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria are given in BWRVIP-49-A for
 instrumentation nozzles, BWRVIP-47-A for CRD housing and ICMH penetrations, and
 BWRVIP-27A for BWR SLC nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles.
- 36 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 37 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 38 39 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 40 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 41 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 42 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 43
- 44 Corrective actions include repair and replacement procedures in staff-approved 45 BWRVIP-57-A, BWRVIP-55-A, BWRVIP-58-A and BWRVIP-53-A that are equivalent to

- those required in ASME Code, Section XI. Guidelines for repair design criteria are
 provided in BWRVIP-57-A for instrumentation penetrations, BWRVIP-55-A for CRD
 housing and ICMH penetrations, and BWRVIP-53-A for SLC line. BWRVIP-58-A
 provides guidelines for internal access weld repair for CRD penetrations.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- The staff finds that licensee implementation of the guidelines in BWRVIP-49-A,
 BWRVIP-47-A, and BWRVIP-27A, as modified, provides an acceptable level of quality
 for inspection and flaw evaluation of the safety-related components addressed in
 accordance with the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B confirmation process and
 administrative controls.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 22 10. **Operating Experience:** Cracking due to SCC or IGSCC has occurred in BWR 23 components made of austenitic SSs and nickel alloys. The program guidelines are 24 based on an evaluation of available information, including BWR inspection data and 25 information about the elements that cause IGSCC, to determine which locations may be 26 susceptible to cracking. Implementation of the program provides reasonable assurance that cracking will be adequately managed so the intended functions of the instrument 27 28 penetrations and SLC system nozzles or housings will be maintained consistent with the CLB for the period of extended operation. 29
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

33 References

- 34 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 35 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.

1 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."

2 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, New York, New York: The American Society of 2 Machanical Engineers, 2012¹

3 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹

4 EPRI. BWRVIP-190 (EPRI 1016579), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Water
5 Chemistry Guidelines-2008 Revision." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
6 October 2008.

BWRVIP-14-A (EPRI 1016569), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Crack Growth in BWR Stainless Steel RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. September 2008.

 BWRVIP-59-A (EPRI 1014874), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Nickel-Base Austenitic Alloys in RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. May 2007.

 BWRVIP-58-A (EPRI 1012618), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, CRD Internal Access Weld Repair." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. October 2005.

BWRVIP-57-A (EPRI 1012111), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Instrument
 Penetration Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 September 2005.

BWRVIP-55-A (EPRI 1012117), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Lower Plenum
 Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 September 2005.

BWRVIP-53-A (EPRI 1012120), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Standby Liquid
 Control Line Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 September 2005.

BWRVIP-47-A (EPRI 1009947), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Lower
 Plenum Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. November 2004.

BWRVIP-60-A (EPRI 1008871), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Stress Corrosion Crack Growth in Low Alloy Steel Vessel Materials in the BWR Environment."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 2003.

BWRVIP-27-A (EPRI 1007279), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Standby
 Liquid Control System/Core Plate △P Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto,
 California: Electric Power Research Institute. August 2003.

BWRVIP-49-A (EPRI 1006602), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Instrument
 Penetration Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. 2002.

¹GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

1 XI.M9 BOILING WATER REACTOR VESSEL INTERNALS

2 **Program Description**

3 The program includes inspection and flaw evaluations in conformance with the guidelines of 4 applicable and staff-approved Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP) 5 documents to provide reasonable assurance of the long-term integrity and safe operation of 6 boiling water reactor (BWR) vessel internal components. The program manages the effects of 7 cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC), intergranular stress corrosion cracking 8 (IGSCC), or irradiation assisted stress corrosion cracking (IASCC), cracking due to cyclic 9 loading (including flow-induced vibration), loss of material due to wear, loss of fracture 10 toughness due to neutron or thermal embrittlement, and loss of preload due to thermal or 11 irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation.

12 The BWRVIP documents provide generic guidelines intended to present the applicable 13 inspection recommendations to assure safety function integrity of the subject safety-related 14 reactor pressure vessel internal components. The guidelines provide information on component 15 description and function; evaluate susceptible locations and safety consequences of failure; 16 provide recommendations for methods, extent, and frequency of inspection; discuss acceptable 17 methods for evaluating the structural integrity significance of flaws detected during these 18 examinations; and recommend repair and replacement procedures

18 examinations; and recommend repair and replacement procedures.

19 In addition, this program provides screening criteria to determine the susceptibility of cast 20 austenitic stainless steel (CASS) components to thermal aging on the basis of casting method, 21 molybdenum content, and percent ferrite, in accordance with the criteria set forth in the 22 May 19, 2000 letter from Christopher Grimes, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), to 23 Mr. Douglas Walters, Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI). The susceptibility to thermal aging 24 embrittlement of CASS components is determined in terms of casting method, molybdenum 25 content, and ferrite content. For low-molybdenum content steels (SA-351 Grades CF3, CF3A, 26 CF8, CF8A, or other steels with ≤0.5 wt. percent molybdenum), only static-cast steels with 27 >20 percent ferrite are potentially susceptible to thermal embrittlement. Static-cast 28 low-molybdenum steels with >20 percent ferrite and all centrifugal-cast low-molybdenum steels 29 are not susceptible. For high-molybdenum content steels (SA-351 Grades CF3M, CF3MA, 30 CF8M or other steels with 2.0 to 3.0 wt. percent molybdenum), static-cast steels with 31 >14 percent ferrite and centrifugal-cast steels with >20 percent ferrite are potentially susceptible 32 to thermal embrittlement. Static-cast high-molybdenum steels with ≤14 percent ferrite and 33 centrifugal-cast high-molybdenum steels with ≤20 percent ferrite are not susceptible. In the 34 susceptibility screening method, ferrite content is calculated by using the Hull's equivalent 35 factors (described in NUREG/CR-4513, Revision 1) or a staff-approved method for calculating delta ferrite in CASS materials. A subsequent license renewal (SLR) applicant may use 36 37 alternative staff-approved screening criteria in determining susceptibility of CASS to neutron and 38 thermal embrittlement.

- 39 The screening criteria are applicable to all cast stainless steel (SS) primary pressure boundary
- 40 and reactor vessel internal components with service conditions above 250 °C [482°F]. The
- 41 screening criteria for susceptibility to thermal aging embrittlement are not applicable to
- 42 niobium-containing steels; such steels require evaluation on a case-by-case basis. For
- 43 "potentially susceptible" components, the program considers loss of fracture toughness due to
- 44 neutron embrittlement or thermal aging embrittlement.

1 This aging management program (AMP) addresses aging degradation of nickel alloy and SS 2 that are used in BWR vessel internal components. When exposed to the BWR vessel 3 environment, these materials can experience neutron embrittlement and a decrease in fracture 4 toughness. CASS, PH martensitic SS (e.g., 15-5 and 17-4 PH steel) and martensitic SS

5 (e.g., 403, 410, 431 steel) are also susceptible to thermal embrittlement. Effects of thermal or

neutron embrittlement can cause failure of these materials in vessel internal components. In 6 7

addition, nickel alloy in a BWR environment is susceptible to IGSCC.

- 9 1. **Scope of Program**: The program is focused on managing the effects of cracking due to 10 SCC, IGSCC, or IASCC, cracking due to cyclic loading (including flow-induced 11 vibration) and loss of material due to wear. This program also includes loss of fracture 12 toughness due to neutron or thermal embrittlement and loss of preload due to thermal or 13 irradiation-enhanced stress relaxation. The program applies to wrought and cast reactor 14 vessel internal components. The program contains inservice inspection (ISI) to monitor 15 the effects of cracking on the intended function of the components, uses staff-approved BWRVIP reports as the basis for inspection, evaluation, repair and/or replacement, as 16 17 needed, and evaluates the susceptibility of nickel alloy, CASS, PH martensitic SS (e.g., 18 15-5 and 17-4 PH steel), martensitic SS (e.g., 403, 410, 431 steel) and other SS (e.g., 304 steel) components to neutron or thermal embrittlement. 19
- 20 The scope of the program includes the following BWR reactor vessel (RV) and 21 RV internal components as subject to the following staff-approved applicable BWRVIP guidelines: 22
- 23 *Core shroud:* BWRVIP-76-A provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation; BWRVIP-02-A, Revision 2, provides guidelines for repair design criteria. 24
- 25 Core plate: BWRVIP-25 provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation; 26 BWRVIP-50-A provides guidelines for repair design criteria.
- 27 Core spray: BWRVIP-18, Revision 1-A provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation; BWRVIP-16-A and BWRVIP-19-A provide guidelines for replacement and 28 29 repair design criteria, respectively.
- 30 Shroud support: BWRVIP-38 provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation; BWRVIP-52-A provides guidelines for repair design criteria. 31
- Jet pump assembly: BWRVIP-41 provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation: 32 BWRVIP-51-A provides guidelines for repair design criteria. 33
- Low-pressure coolant injection (LPCI) coupling: BWRVIP-42-A provides guidelines for 34 inspection and evaluation; BWRVIP-56-A provides guidelines for repair design criteria. 35
- 36 Top guide: BWRVIP-26-A and BWRVIP-183 provide guidelines for inspection and 37 evaluation; BWRVIP-50-A provides guidelines for repair design criteria. The program 38 inspects 5 percent of the top guide locations using enhanced visual inspection 39 technique, EVT-1 within 6 years after entering the subsequent period of extended operation. An additional 5 percent of the top guide locations will be inspected within 40 41 12 years after entering the subsequent period of extended operation.

- 1 Reinspection Criteria:
- BWR/2-5–Inspect 10 percent of the grid beam cells containing control rod drives/blades
 every 12 years with at least 5 percent to be performed within 6 years.
- BWR/6–Inspect the rim areas containing the weld and heat affected zone (HAZ) from the
 top surface of the top guide and two cells in the same plane/axis as the weld every
 6 6 years.
- The top guide inspection locations are those that have high neutron fluence exceeding
 the IASCC threshold. The extent of the examination and its frequency will be based on
 a 10 percent sample of the total population, which includes all grid beam and beam-tobeam crevice slots.
- 11 Control rod drive (CRD) housing and lower plenum components: BWRVIP-47-A
 12 provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation; BWRVIP-55-A provides guidelines for
 13 repair design criteria.
- 14 *Steam dryer*: BWRVIP-139-A provides guidelines for inspection and evaluation for the 15 steam dryer components; BWRVIP-181-A provides guidelines for repair design criteria.
- Preventive Actions: The BWRVIP is a condition monitoring program and has no
 preventive actions. Maintaining high water purity reduces susceptibility to SCC or
 IGSCC. Reactor coolant water chemistry is monitored and maintained in accordance
 with the Water Chemistry program. The program description, evaluation and technical
 basis of water chemistry are presented in Generic Aging Lessons Learned for
 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry."
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The program manages the effects of aging on the intended function of the component by inspecting for cracking and loss of material in accordance with the guidelines of applicable and staff-approved BWRVIP documents and the requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, Table IWB 2500-1.
- 27 Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron embrittlement in CASS materials can occur with a neutron fluence greater than 1×10^{17} n/cm² [E>1 MeV]. Loss fracture toughness 28 of CASS material due to thermal embrittlement is dependent on the material's casting 29 30 method, molybdenum content, and ferrite content in accordance with the criteria set forth 31 in the May 19, 2000, letter from Christopher Grimes, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 32 Commission (NRC), to Mr. Douglas Walters, Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI). A subsequent license renewal applicant may use alternative staff-approved screening 33 34 criteria in determining susceptibility of CASS to neutron and thermal embrittlement. This 35 program does not directly monitor for loss of fracture toughness that is induced by thermal aging or neutron irradiation embrittlement. The impact of loss of fracture 36 37 toughness on component integrity is indirectly managed by using visual or volumetric 38 examination techniques to monitor for cracking in the components.
- Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron or thermal embrittlement cannot be identified
 by typical inservice inspection (ISI) activities. However, by performing visual or other
 inspections, applicants can identify cracks that could lead to failure of a potentially
 embrittled component prior to component failure. Applicants can thus indirectly manage

- the effects of embrittlement in the nickel alloy and SS components by identifying aging
 degradation (i.e., cracks), implementing early corrective actions, and monitoring and
 trending age-related degradation.
- This program also manages loss of preload due to thermal or irradiation-enhanced
 stress relaxation for core plate rim holddown bolts and jet pump assembly holddown
 beam bolts by performing visual inspections or stress analyses to ensure adequate
 structural integrity.
- 8 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The extent and schedule of the inspection and test 9 techniques prescribed by the applicable and staff-approved BWRVIP guidelines are 10 designed to maintain structural integrity and ensure that aging effects will be discovered 11 and repaired before the loss of intended function of BWR vessel internals. Vessel 12 internal components are inspected in accordance with the requirements of 13 ASME Section XI, Subsection IWB, Examination Category B-N-2. The ASME Section XI inspection specifies visual VT-1 examination to detect discontinuities and imperfections. 14 15 such as cracks, corrosion, wear, or erosion, on the surfaces of components. This 16 inspection also specifies visual VT-3 examination to determine the general mechanical 17 and structural condition of the component supports by (a) verifying parameters, such as 18 clearances, settings, and physical displacements and (b) detecting discontinuities and 19 imperfections, such as loss of integrity at bolted or welded connections, loose or missing parts, debris, corrosion, wear, or erosion. BWRVIP program requirements provide for 20 21 inspection of BWR internals to manage loss of material and cracking using appropriate 22 examination techniques such as visual examinations (e.g., EVT-1, VT-1) and volumetric 23 examinations [e.g., ultrasonic testing (UT)].
- The applicable and staff-approved BWRVIP guidelines recommend more stringent
 inspections, such as EVT-1 examinations or ultrasonic methods of volumetric inspection,
 for certain selected components and locations. The nondestructive examination (NDE)
 techniques appropriate for inspection of BWR vessel internals, including the
 uncertainties inherent in delivering and executing NDE techniques in a BWR are
 included in BWRVIP-03.
- 30 Loss of fracture toughness due to neutron or thermal embrittlement is indirectly 31 managed by performing periodic visual inspections capable of detecting cracks in the components. This program also determines whether supplemental inspections are 32 33 necessary in addition to the existing BWRVIP examination guidelines to manage loss of 34 fracture toughness for nickel alloy and SS internals, including welds. If supplemental 35 inspections are determined necessary for BWR vessel internals, the program identifies 36 the components to be inspected and performs supplemental inspections to adequately 37 manage loss of fracture toughness due to neutron or thermal embrittlement. This 38 evaluation for supplemental inspections is based on neutron fluence, thermal aging susceptibility, fracture toughness, and cracking susceptibility (i.e., applied stress, 39 40 operating temperature, and environmental conditions). This program further determines 41 whether supplemental inspections are necessary to manage cracking due to IASCC for 42 nickel alloy and SS internals, including welds. This evaluation is based on neutron fluence and cracking susceptibility. If determined necessary, the program performs the 43 44 supplemental inspections on the internal components identified in the evaluation.
- 45 The inspection technique is capable of detecting the critical flaw size with adequate 46 margin. The critical flaw size is determined based on the service loading condition

- and service-degraded material properties. One example of a supplemental
 examination is VT-1 examination of ASME Code, Section XI, IWA-2210. The initial
 inspection is performed either prior to or within 5 years after entering the subsequent
 period of extended operation.
- 5 If cracking is detected after the initial inspection, the frequency of reinspection should be 6 justified by the applicant based on fracture toughness properties appropriate for the 7 condition of the component. The sample size is 100 percent of the accessible 8 component population, excluding components that may be in compression during 9 normal operations.
- 10 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Inspections are scheduled in accordance with the applicable 11 and staff-approved BWRVIP guidelines provide timely detection of cracks. Each 12 BWRVIP guideline recommends baseline inspections that are used as part of data 13 collection towards trending. The BWRVIP guidelines provide recommendations for expanding the sample scope and reinspecting the components if flaws are detected. 14 Any indication detected is evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI or the 15 16 applicable BWRVIP guidelines. BWRVIP-14-A, BWRVIP-59-A, BWRVIP-60-A, 17 BWRVIP-80-A and BWRVIP-99-A documents provide additional guidelines for 18 evaluation of crack growth in SSs, nickel alloys, and low-alloy steels. BWRVIP-100-A 19 describes flaw evaluation methodologies and fracture toughness data for SS core 20 shroud exposed to neutron irradiation.
- Inspections scheduled in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, IWB-2400 and
 reliable examination methods provide timely detection of cracks. The fracture toughness
 of precipitation-hardened (PH)-martensitic steels, martensitic SSs, and nickel alloys
 susceptible to thermal or neutron embrittlement need to be assessed on a case-by-case
 basis.
- Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria are given in the applicable staff-approved
 BWRVIP documents and ASME Code, Section XI. Flaws detected in the reactor vessel
 internals are evaluated in accordance with the procedures in the applicable
 staff-approved BWRVIP documents and ASME Code, Section XI.
- 30 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 31 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 32 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 33 34 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 35 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 36 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 37 of this program.
- 38 Repair and replacement procedures are equivalent to those requirements in 39 ASME Code Section XI. Repair and replacement is performed in conformance with applicable staff-approved BWRVIP guidelines. Guidelines for performing weld repairs to 40 irradiated internals are described in BWRVIP-97-A. In addition, for core shroud repairs 41 42 or other IGSCC repairs, the program maintains operating tensile stresses below a 43 threshold limit that mitigates IGSCC of X-750 material in accordance with the guidelines in BWRVIP-84. Revision 2. For top guides where cracking is observed, sample size and 44 inspection frequencies are increased in accordance with the BWRVIP guidelines. 45

- 18.Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific2portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of310 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an4applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the5confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related6SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 13 10. **Operating Experience:** There is documentation of cracking in both the circumferential 14 and axial core shroud welds, and in shroud supports. Extensive cracking of 15 circumferential core shroud welds has been documented in NRC Generic Letter (GL) 16 94-03 and extensive cracking in vertical core shroud welds has been documented in 17 NRC Information Notice (IN) 97-17. It has affected shrouds fabricated from Type 304 18 and Type 304L SS, which is generally considered to be more resistant to SCC. Weld 19 regions are most susceptible to SCC, although it is not clear whether this is due to 20 sensitization and/or impurities associated with the welds or the high residual stresses in 21 the weld regions. This experience is reviewed in NRC GL 94-03 and NUREG-1544; 22 some experiences with visual inspections are discussed in NRC IN 94-42. In addition, IASCC was observed in the core shroud beltline region and IGSCC was observed in 23 24 core shroud tie rod upper supports made of X-750 alloy (BWRVIP-76-A).
- Both circumferential (NRC IN 88-03) and radial cracking (NRC IN 92-57) have been
 observed in the shroud support access hole covers that are made from Alloy 600.
 Instances of cracking in core spray spargers have been reviewed in NRC Bulletin 80-13,
 and cracking in core spray pipe has been reviewed in BWRVIP-18.
- 29 Cracking of the core plate has not been reported, but the creviced regions beneath the 30 plate are difficult to inspect. BWRVIP-06R1-A and BWRVIP-25 address the safety 31 significance and inspection requirements for the core plate assembly. Only inspection of core plate bolts (for plants without retaining wedges) or inspection of the retaining 32 33 wedges is required. NRC IN 95-17 discusses cracking in top guides of United States 34 and overseas BWRs. Related experience in other components is reviewed in NRC 35 GL 94-03 and NUREG–1544. Cracking has also been observed in the top guide of a 36 Swedish BWR.
- Instances of cracking have occurred in the jet pump assembly (NRC Bulletin 80-07),
 hold-down beam (NRC IN 93-101), and jet pump riser pipe elbows (NRC IN 97-02).
 Cracking of dry tubes has been observed at 14 or more BWRs. The cracking is
 intergranular and has been observed in dry tubes without apparent sensitization,
 suggesting that IASCC may also play a role in the cracking.
- 42 Two control rod drive mechanism (CRDM) lead screw male couplings were fractured in a
 43 pressurized water reactor (PWR), designed by Babcock & Wilcox (B&W), at Oconee
 44 Nuclear Station (ONS), Unit 3. The fracture was due to thermal embrittlement of 17-4

- precipitation-hardened (PH) material (NRC IN 2007-02). While this occurred at a PWR,
 it also needs to be considered for BWRs.
- IGSCC in the X-750 materials of a tie rod coupling and jet pump hold-down beam was
 observed in a domestic plant.

5 The program guidelines outlined in applicable staff-approved BWRVIP documents are 6 based on an evaluation of available information, including BWR inspection data and 7 information on the elements that cause SCC, IGSCC, or IASCC, to determine which 8 components may be susceptible to cracking. Implementation of the program provides 9 reasonable assurance that cracking will be adequately managed so the intended 10 functions of the vessel internal components will be maintained consistent with the 11 current licensing basis (CLB) for the period of extended operation.

12 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 13 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 14 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

15 References

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 17 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.

ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹

- EPRI. EPRI 3002000628, "Materials Degradation Matrix." Revision 1. Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. May 2013.
- BWRVIP-167NP (EPRI 3002000690) "BWR Vessel and Internals Project Boiling Water
 Reactor Issue Management Tables." Revision 1. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. August 2013.
- BWRVIP-84 (EPRI 1026603), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Guidelines for
 Selection and Use of Materials for Repairs to BWR Internal Components." Revision 2.
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. September 2012.
- BWRVIP-18-A, Revision 1 (EPRI 1025060), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR
 Core Spray Internals Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric
 Power Research Institute. April 2012.

¹GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- BWRVIP-181-A (EPRI 1020997), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Steam Dryer
 Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. July 2010.
- BWRVIP-62-A (EPRI 1021006), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Technical Basis for
 Inspection Relief for BWR Internal Components with Hydrogen Injection." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. November 2010.
- BWRVIP-139-A (EPRI 1018794), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Steam Dryer
 Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
 Institute. July 2009.
- 9 _____. BWRVIP-97-A (EPRI 1019054), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Guidelines for 10 Performing Weld Repairs to Irradiated BWR Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power 11 Research Institute. June 2009.
- BWRVIP-76-A (EPRI 1019057), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Core Shroud Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. October 2009.
- BWRVIP-06R1-A (EPRI 1019058), "Safety Assessment of BWR Reactor Internals."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2009.
- BWRVIP-190 (EPRI 1016579), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project: BWR Water
 Chemistry Guidelines—2008 Revision." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
 Institute. October 2008.
- BWRVIP-99-A (EPRI 1016566), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Crack Growth
 Rates in Irradiated Stainless Steels in BWR Internal Components." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. October 2008.
- BWRVIP-14-A (EPRI 1016569), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Crack Growth in BWR Stainless Steel RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. September 2008.
- BWRVIP-183 (EPRI 1013401), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Top Guide Beam
 Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
 Institute. December 2007.
- BWRVIP-80-A (EPRI 1015457), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Crack Growth in BWR Shroud Vertical Welds." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
 Institute. October 2007.
- BWRVIP-59-A (EPRI 1014874), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Crack Growth in BWR Nickel-Base Austenitic Alloys in RPV Internals." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. May 2007.
- BWRVIP-100-A (EPRI 1013396), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Updated
 Assessment of the Fracture Toughness of Irradiated Stainless Steel for BWR Core Shrouds."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. August 2006.

BWRVIP-44-A (EPRI 1014352), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Underwater Weld
 Repair of Nickel Alloy Reactor Vessel Internals." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
 Institute. August 2006.

BWRVIP-56-A (EPRI 1012118), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, LPCI Coupling
Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
September 2005.

BWRVIP-55-A (EPRI 1012117), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Lower Plenum
 Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 September 2005.

 BWRVIP-52-A (EPRI 1012119), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Shroud Support and Vessel Bracket Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. September 2005.

BWRVIP-51-A (EPRI 1012116), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Jet Pump Repair
 Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. September 2005.

BWRVIP-50-A (EPRI 1012110), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Top Guide/Core
 Plate Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 September 2005.

BWRVIP-42-A (EPRI 1011470), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR LPCI
 Coupling Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. February 2005.

BWRVIP-19-A (EPRI 1012114), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Internal Core
 Spray Piping and Sparger Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. September 2005.

BWRVIP-16-A (EPRI 1012113), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Internal Core
 Spray Piping and Sparger Replacement Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. September 2005.

BWRVIP-02-A, Revision 2 (EPRI 1012837), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR
 Core Shroud Repair Design Criteria." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 October 2005.

BWRVIP-47-A (EPRI 1009947), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Lower
 Plenum Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. November 2004.

BWRVIP-26-A (EPRI 1009946), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Top Guide
 Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
 Institute. November 2004.

BWRVIP-60-A (EPRI 1008871), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of
 Stress Corrosion Crack Growth in Low Alloy Steel Vessel Materials in the BWR Environment."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 2003.

 BWRVIP-45 (EPRI 108707), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Weldability of Irradiated LWR Structural Components." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 2000.

BWRVIP-25 (EPRI 107284), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Core Plate
Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research
Institute. December 2000.

BWRVIP-03 (EPRI 105696 R1, March 30, 1999), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project,
 Reactor Pressure Vessel and Internals Examination Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric
 Power Research Institute. July 1999.

 BWRVIP-38 (EPRI 108823), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Shroud Support Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. September 1997.

BWRVIP-41 (EPRI 108728), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, BWR Jet Pump
 Assembly Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. October 1997.

Lee, S., P.T. Kuo, K. Wichman, and O. Chopra. "Flaw Evaluation of Thermally Aged Cast
 Stainless Steel in Light-Water Reactor Applications." *International Journal of Pressure Vessels*

18 and Piping. pp. 37–44. 1997.

NRC. NUREG/CR–6923, "Expert Panel Report on Proactive Materials Degradation
 Assessment." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2007.

21 _____. NRC Information Notice 2007-02, "Failure of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Lead Screw
 22 Male Coupling at Babcock and Wilcox-Designed Facility." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 23 Regulatory Commission. March 2007.

Letter from Christopher I. Grimes, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, License
 Renewal and Standardization Branch, to Douglas J. Walters, Nuclear Energy Institute, License
 Renewal Issue No. 98-0030, "Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Stainless Steel
 Components." ML003717179. May 19, 2000.

28 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-17, "Cracking of Vertical Welds in the Core Shroud and 29 Degraded Repair." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 1997.

30 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-02, "Cracks Found in Jet Pump Riser Assembly Elbows at
 31 Boiling Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 32 February 1997.

33 _____. NUREG-1544, "Status Report: Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking of BWR Core
 34 Shrouds and Other Internal Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 35 Commission. March 1996.

36 _____. NRC Information Notice 95-17, "Reactor Vessel Top Guide and Core Plate Cracking."
 37 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1995.

- _____. NUREG/CR-4513, "Estimation of Fracture Toughness of Cast Stainless Steels during
 Thermal Aging in LWR Systems." Revision 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. August 1994.
- 4 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-42, "Cracking in the Lower Region of the Core Shroud in
 5 Boiling Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1994.
- 6 _____. NRC Generic Letter 94-03, "Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking of Core Shrouds in 7 Boiling Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1994.
- 8 _____. NRC Information Notice 93-101, "Jet Pump Hold-Down Beam Failure." Washington,
 9 DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1993.
- 10 _____. NRC Information Notice 92-57, "Radial Cracking of Shroud Support Access Hole Cover 11 Welds." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1992.
- 12 _____. NRC Information Notice 88-03, "Cracks in Shroud Support Access Hole Cover Welds."
 13 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1988.
- 14 _____. NRC Bulletin No. 80-13, "Cracking in Core Spray Spargers." Washington, DC:
 15 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1980.
- 16 _____. NRC Bulletin No. 80-07, "BWR Jet Pump Assembly Failure." Washington, DC:
 17 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 1980.
- 18 _____. NRC Bulletin No. 80-07, Supplement 1, "BWR Jet Pump Assembly Failure."
 19 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1980.
- 20 Xu, H. and S. Fyfitch. "Fracture of Type 17-4 PH CRDM Lead Screw Male Coupling Tangs."
- 21 11th International Conference on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power
- 22 Systems-Water Reactors. Stevenson, Washington. American Nuclear Society. 2003.
1 XI.M10 BORIC ACID CORROSION

2 **Program Description**

- 3 The program relies, in part, on implementation of recommendations in the U.S. Nuclear
- 4 Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 88-05 to identify, evaluate, and correct
- 5 borated water leaks that could cause corrosion damage to reactor coolant pressure boundary
- 6 components in pressurized water reactors (PWRs). Potential Improvements to boric acid
- 7 corrosion programs have been identified because of operating experience with cracking of 8 certain nickel allow pressure boundary components [NPC Beculatory Jacua Summary (DIS)]
- 8 certain nickel alloy pressure boundary components [NRC Regulatory Issue Summary (RIS)
- 9 2003-013 and NUREG-1823].
- 10 Borated water leakage from piping and components that are outside the scope of the program
- 11 established in response to NRC GL 88-05 may affect structures and components (SCs) that are
- 12 subject to aging management review (AMR). Therefore, the scope of the monitoring and
- 13 inspections of this program includes all components subject to an AMR that may be adversely
- 14 affected by some form of borated water leakage. The scope of the evaluations, assessments,
- and corrective actions include all observed leakage sources and the affected structures and
- 16 components.
- 17 Borated water leakage may be discovered through activities other than those established
- 18 specifically to detect such leakage. Therefore, the program includes provisions for triggering
- 19 evaluations and assessments when leakage is discovered by other activities. The effects of
- 20 boric acid corrosion on reactor coolant pressure boundary materials in the vicinity of nickel alloy
- 21 components are managed by Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal
- 22 (GALL-SLR) aging management program (AMP) XI.M11B, "Cracking of Nickel-Alloy
- 23 Components and Loss of Material Due to Boric Acid-induced Corrosion in Reactor Coolant
- 24 Pressure Boundary Components (PWRs only)."
- 25 The recommended approaches described in Section 7 of WCAP-15988-NP, Revision 2,
- 26 "Generic Guidance for an Effective Boric Acid Inspection Program for Pressurized Water
- 27 Reactors," provide an acceptable means of fulfilling the activities of this program.

28 Evaluation and Technical Basis

29 1. **Scope of Program**: The program covers any structures or components on which boric 30 acid corrosion may occur (e.g., steel, copper alloy, and aluminum) and electrical 31 components onto which borated reactor water may leak. The program includes 32 provisions in response to the recommendations of NRC GL 88-05. NRC GL 88-05 elicits 33 a program consisting of systematic measures to ensure that corrosion caused by leaking borated water does not lead to degradation of the leakage source or adjacent structures 34 35 and components, to provide assurance that the reactor coolant pressure boundary will 36 have an extremely low probability of abnormal leakage, rapidly propagating failure, or 37 gross rupture. Such a program provides for (a) determination of the principal location of leakage, (b) examinations and procedures for locating small leaks, and (c) engineering 38 39 evaluations and corrective actions to ensure that boric acid corrosion does not lead to 40 degradation of the leakage source or adjacent structures or components. Although 41 NRC GL 88-05 addresses boric acid corrosion of reactor coolant pressure boundary 42 components, the recommendations in NRC GL 88-05 are also effective in managing the 43 aging of other in-scope components.

- Preventive Actions: Minimizing borated water leakage by frequent monitoring of the
 locations where potential leakage could occur and timely cleaning and repair if leakage
 is detected prevents or mitigates boric acid corrosion. In addition, the use of
 corrosion-resistant materials and coatings minimizes the effects of boric acid exposure.
- 5 3. **Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: The AMP monitors the aging effects of loss of 6 material due to boric acid corrosion on the intended function of an affected SC by 7 detection of borated water leakage. Borated water leakage results in deposits of white 8 boric acid crystals and the presence of moisture. Discolored boric acid crystals are an 9 indication of corrosion. Boric acid deposits, borated water leakage, or the presence of 10 moisture that could lead to the identification of loss of material can be monitored through 11 visual examination.
- In order to identify potential plant issues not detected during walkdowns and
 maintenance, the program tracks airborne radioactivity monitors, humidity monitors,
 temperature monitors, reactor coolant system water inventory balancing, and
 containment air cooler thermal performance. The program also looks for evidence of
 boric acid deposits on control rod drive (CRD) mechanism shroud fans, containment air
 recirculation fan coils, containment fan cooler units, and airborne filters.
- 18 Detection of Aging Effects: Degradation of the component due to boric acid corrosion 4. 19 cannot occur without leakage of borated water. Conditions leading to boric acid 20 corrosion, such as crystal buildup and evidence of moisture, are readily detectable by 21 visual inspection, though removal of insulation may be required in some cases. 22 Obstructions to visual inspections are removed unless a technical justification is documented by the program owner. Criteria for removing insulation for bare-metal 23 24 inspections include the safety significance of the location, evidence of leakage from 25 under the insulation, bulging of the insulation, and operating experience. Discoloration, staining, boric acid residue, and other evidence of leakage on insulation surfaces and 26 27 the surrounding area are given particular consideration as evidence of component 28 leakage. The program delineated in NRC GL 88-05 includes guidelines for locating small leaks, conducting examinations, and performing engineering evaluations. In 29 addition, the program includes appropriate interfaces with other site programs and 30 31 activities, such that borated water leakage that is encountered by means other than the 32 monitoring and trending established by this program is evaluated and corrected.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* The program provides monitoring and trending activities as
 delineated in NRC GL 88-05, timely evaluation of evidence of borated water leakage
 identified by other means, and timely detection of leakage by observing boric acid
 crystals during normal plant walkdowns and maintenance. The program maintains a list
 of all borated water leaks to track the condition of components in the vicinity of leaks and
 to identify locations with repeat leakage.
- Acceptance Criteria: Any detected borated water leakage, white or discolored crystal
 buildup, or rust-colored deposits are evaluated to confirm or restore the intended
 functions of affected SCs consistent with the design basis prior to continued service.
- 42 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 43 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 44 specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective
 45 Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes

how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, quality assurance (QA)
 program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

4 Borated water leakage and areas of resulting boric acid corrosion are evaluated and 5 corrected in accordance with the applicable provisions of NRC GL 88-05 and the 6 corrective action program. Any detected boric acid crystal buildup or deposits should be 7 cleaned. NRC GL 88-05 recommends that corrective actions to prevent recurrences of 8 degradation caused by borated water leakage be included in the program 9 implementation. These corrective actions include any modifications to be introduced in 10 the present design or operating procedures of the plant that (a) reduce the probability of reactor coolant leaks at locations where they may cause corrosion damage and (b) entail 11 the use of suitable corrosion resistant materials or the application of protective coatings 12 13 or claddings. When corrective actions include the use of enclosures to contain borated water leakage, the impact of the leakage environment on the potential degradation 14 15 mechanisms of enclosed components is evaluated [NRC Information Notice (IN) 201215]. Such modifications should allow for periodic inspections. 16

- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 29 10. **Operating Experience:** Boric acid corrosion has been observed in nuclear power 30 plants (NPPs) [NRC IN 86-108 (and supplements 1 through 3), IN 2002-11, IN 2002-13, 31 and IN 2003-02] and has resulted in significant impairment of component-intended functions in areas that are difficult to access/observe (NRC Bulletin 2002-01). Boric acid 32 33 leakage can become airborne and can cause corrosion in locations other than in the 34 vicinity of the leak [licensee event reports (LER) 250/2010-005, LER 346/2002-008]. 35 Corrosion rates may be inaccurately predicted due to the installation of a different type of 36 material than indicated on the design documents (LER 346/1998-009) or galvanic 37 corrosion caused by wet boric acid crystals bridging between dissimilar metals [Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) 1000975]. 38
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

42 References

- 43 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 44 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

- EPRI. EPRI 1000975, "Boric Acid Corrosion Guidebook." Revision 1. Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. November 2001.
- Licensee Event Report 250/2010-005, "Containment Liner Through Wall Defect Due to
 Corrosion." ML103620112. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. December 2010.
- 5 Licensee Event Report 346/2002-008, "Containment Air Coolers Collective Significance of
- 6 Degraded Conditions." ML031330192. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
- 7 May 2003
- Licensee Event Report 346/1998-009, "Reactor Coolant System Pressurizer Spray Valve
 Degraded with Two of Eight Body-to-Bonnet Nuts Missing."
- 10 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. August 1999.

NRC. NRC Information Notice 2012-15, "Use of Seal Cap Enclosures to Mitigate Leakage from
 Joints that Use A-286 Bolts." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

13 August 2012.

14 _____. NUREG–1823, "U.S. Plant Experience with Alloy 600 Cracking and Boric Acid
15 Corrosion of Light-Water Reactor Pressure Vessel Materials." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
16 Regulatory Commission. April 2005.

- 17 _____. NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2003-13, "NRC Review of Responses to Bulletin
 18 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Degradation and Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary
 19 Integrity." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 2003.
- 20 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-02, "Recent Experience with Reactor Coolant System
 21 Leakage and Boric Acid Corrosion." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 22 January 2003.
- 23 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-13, "Possible Indicators of Ongoing Reactor Pressure
 24 Vessel Head Degradation." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 25 April 2002.
- 26 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-11, "Recent Experience with Degradation of Reactor
 27 Pressure Vessel Head." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 28 March 2002.
- 29 _____. NRC Bulletin 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Degradation and Reactor
 30 Coolant Pressure Boundary Integrity." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 31 March 2002.
- NRC Information Notice 86-108, "Degradation of Reactor Coolant System Pressure
 Boundary Resulting from Boric Acid Corrosion." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission, December 26, 1986; Supplement 1, April 20, 1987; Supplement 2,
 November 19, 1987; and Supplement 3, January 1995.
- 36 _____. NRC Generic Letter 88-05, "Boric Acid Corrosion of Carbon Steel Reactor Pressure
 37 Boundary Components in PWR Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 38 Commission. March 1988.

- Westinghouse Non-Proprietary Class 3 Report No. WCAP-15988-NP, Rev. 2, "Generic Guidance for an Effective Boric Acid Inspection Program for Pressurized Water Reactors." Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania: Westinghouse Electric Company. June 2012. 1 2 3

1XI.M11BCRACKING OF NICKEL-ALLOY COMPONENTS AND LOSS OF2MATERIAL DUE TO BORIC ACID-INDUCED CORROSION IN3REACTOR COOLANT PRESSURE BOUNDARY COMPONENTS4(PRESSURIZED WATER REACTORS ONLY)

5 **Program Description**

6 This program addresses operating experience of degradation due to primary water stress 7 corrosion cracking (PWSCC) of components or welds constructed from certain nickel alloys 8 (e.g., Alloy 600/82/182) and exposed to pressurized water reactor (PWR) primary coolant at 9 elevated temperature. The initiation and growth of PWSCC cracks have been shown to be a function of several variables, including but not limited to: (i) temperature, (ii) stress, 10 11 (iii) microstructure, (iv) time, and (v) water chemistry. As a result, this program is informed by 12 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report aging management program (AMP) XI.M2, "Water Chemistry." 13

14 In addition to inspections designed to identify cracking of nickel alloy components, this program

also contains inspections designed to potentially identify the presence of boric acid residues,

16 which has been demonstrated by operating experience to lead to loss of material in susceptible

17 carbon and low alloy steel components. Thus, this program is used in conjunction with

GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M10, "Boric Acid Corrosion." Except as required in 10 CFR 50.55a,
 it is not the general intent of this program to manage the aging of components and welds

20 constructed from PWSCC-resistant nickel alloys (e.g., Alloy 690/52/152).

21 Plants have implemented and maintained existing programs to manage cracking due to

22 PWSCC for nickel alloy components and welds, consistent with Electric Power Research

23 Institute (EPRI) MRP-126. The scope of subsequent license renewal may identify additional

nickel alloy components or welds to be included in the applicant's aging management

25 program.

- 27 1. **Scope of Program**: The scope of this program includes three basic groups of 28 components and materials: (i) all nickel alloy components and welds which are identified 29 at the plant in accordance with the guidelines of Electric Power Research Institute 30 (EPRI) Materials Reliability Program (MRP)-126; (ii) nickel alloy components and welds 31 identified in American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME)¹ Code Cases N-770, N-729 and N-722, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a; and (iii) components 32 33 that are susceptible to corrosion by boric acid and may be impacted by leakage of boric acid from nearby or adjacent nickel alloy components previously described. This 34 program manages cracking due to PWSCC and loss of material due to boric acid 35 36 corrosion.
- Preventive Actions: This program is primarily a condition monitoring program. Since
 the cracking of nickel alloys is affected by water quality this program is used in

¹Refer to the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter 1, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code.

conjunction with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry." Additionally, in
 accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a, an applicant may choose to mitigate components in
 lieu of performing required inspections.

- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: Components and welds within the scope of this
 program are inspected for evidence of PWSCC by volumetric, surface, or visual testing.
 In the event boric acid residues or corrosion products are discovered during these
 inspections, the potential for, or extent of, loss of material is evaluated by visual and
 quantitative methods.
- 9 4. Detection of Aging Effects: For nickel alloy components and welds addressed
 10 by regulatory requirements contained in 10 CFR 50.55a, inspections are
 11 conducted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a. Other nickel alloy components and welds
 12 within the scope of this program are inspected in accordance with the guidance in the
 13 EPRI MRP-126 report.
- 14 The program also performs a baseline volumetric or inner-diameter surface inspection of 15 all susceptible nickel alloy branch line connections and associated welds as identified in 16 Table 4-1 of MRP-126 if such components or welds are of a sufficient size to create a 17 loss of coolant accident (LOCA) through a complete failure (guillotine break) or ejection of the component. The baseline inspection is performed prior to the subsequent period of 18 19 extended operation using a gualified method in accordance with Appendix IV or VIII of 20 ASME Code Section XI as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a, or equivalent. 21 Existing periodic inspections using volumetric or surface examination methods may be credited for the baseline inspection. If the baseline inspection indicates the occurrence 22 of PWSCC, periodic volumetric or inner-diameter surface inspections are performed with 23 24 adequate periodicity.
- 25 In addition, this program performs a baseline inspection of bottom-mounted 26 instrumentation (BMI) nozzles of reactor pressure vessels (RPVs) using a qualified volumetric examination method. The inspection is conducted on all susceptible nickel 27 28 alloy BMI nozzles prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. If this inspection indicates the occurrence of PWSCC, periodic volumetric inspections are 29 performed on these nozzles and adequate inspection periodicity is established. 30 31 Alternatively, applicant-proposed and staff-approved mitigation methods may be used to manage the aging effect for these components. 32
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Reactor coolant leakage is calculated and trended on a routine basis in accordance with technical specifications to detect changes in the leakage rates [Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.45]. Flaw evaluation through 10 CFR 50.55a is a means to monitor cracking. Detected flaws are monitored and trended by performing periodic and successive inspections in accordance with ASME Code Cases N-770, N-729 and N-722, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a, and the guidelines in MRP-126.
- Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria are in accordance with applicable sections of
 Section XI of the ASME Code, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a. If any
 boric acid residue or corrosion product is detected, additional actions are performed to
 determine the source of leakage and the impact of boric acid corrosion on adjacent
 components.

- 1 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 4 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 5 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 6 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 7 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 8 of this program.
- Components with relevant unacceptable flaw indications are corrected for further
 services through an implementation of appropriate repair or replacement as dictated by
 10 CFR 50.55a and industry guidelines (e.g., EPRI MRP-126). In addition, detection of
 leakage or evidence of cracking in susceptible components within the scope of this
 program require a scope expansion of current inspection and increased inspection
 frequencies for some components, as required by 10 CFR 50.55a and industry
 guidelines (e.g., EPRI MRP-126).
- Repair and replacement procedures and activities must either comply with
 ASME Section XI, as incorporated in 10 CFR 50.55a or conform to applicable ASME
 Code Cases that have been endorsed in 10 CFR 50.55a by referencing the latest
 version of RG 1.147.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 32 10. **Operating Experience:** This program addresses review of related operating experience, including plant-specific information, generic industry findings, and 33 34 international data. Within the current regulatory requirements, as necessary, the 35 applicant maintains a record of operating experience through the required update of the 36 facility's inservice inspection (ISI) program in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a. Additionally, the applicant follows mandated industry guidelines developed to address 37 operating experience in accordance with Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)-03-08. 38 39 "Guideline for the Management of Materials Issues."
- 40 PWSCC of Alloy 600 components has been observed in domestic and foreign PWRs
 41 [NRC Information Notice (IN) 90-10]. The ingress of demineralizer resins also has
 42 occurred in operating plants (NRC IN 96-11). The Water Chemistry program, GALL-SLR
 43 Report AMP XI.M2, manages the effects of such excursions through monitoring and
 44 control of primary water chemistry. NRC Generic Letter (GL) 97-01 is effective in
 45 managing the effect of PWSCC. PWSCC also has occurred in the vessel head

- penetration (VHP) nozzle of U.S. PWRs as described in NRC Bulletins 2001-01,
 2002-01 and 2002-02. In addition, PWSCC was observed in reactor vessel BMI nozzles
 (NRC IN 2003-11, Supplement 1, and licensee event reports (LER) 50-530/2013-001 00).
- 5 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 6 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 7 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 9 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 10 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear RegulatoryCommission. 2015.
- 13 ASME. ASME Code Case N-770, "Alternative Examination Requirements and Acceptance
- 14 Standards for Class 1 PWR Piping and Vessel Nozzle Butt Welds Fabricated with UNS N06082
- 15 or UNS W86182 Weld Filler Material With or Without Application of Listed Mitigation Activities."
- 16 New York, New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. January 2009.
- 17 _____. ASME Code Case N-722-1, "Additional Examinations for PWR Pressure Retaining
- 18 Welds in Class 1 Components Fabricated with Alloy 600/82/182 Materials. New York,
- 19 New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. January 2009.
- ASME Code Case N-729-1, "Alternative Examination Requirements for PWR Reactor
 Vessel Upper Heads with Nozzles Having Pressure-Retaining Partial-Penetration Welds."
 New York, New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. March 2006.
- EPRI. EPRI MRP-126, "Generic Guidance for Alloy 600 Management." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. November 2004.
- Licensee Event Report 50-530/2013-001-00, "Leakage on Reactor Vessel Bottom-Mounted
 Instrumentation Nozzle 3." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. December 2013.
- NEI. NEI-03-08, "Guideline for the Management of Materials Issues." Revision 2.
 Nuclear Energy Institute. January 2010.
- NRC. NRC Inspection Manual, Inspection Procedure 71111.08, "Inservice Inspection
 Activities." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2015.
- NRC Regulatory Information Summary 2008-25, "Regulatory Approach for Primary
 Water Stress Corrosion Cracking of Dissimilar Metal Butt Welds in Pressurized Water Reactor
 Primary Coolant System Piping." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 October 2008.
- 35 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.45, Revision 1, "Guidance on Monitoring and Responding to
 36 Reactor Coolant System Leakage." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 37 May 2008.

NUREG–1823, "U.S. Plant Experience with Alloy 600 Cracking and Boric Acid
 Corrosion of Light-Water Reactor Pressure Vessel Materials." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. April 2005.

4 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-11, "Leakage Found on Bottom-Mounted Instrumentation
5 Nozzles." Supplement 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
6 January 2004.

NRC Regulatory Guide 1.147, Revision 15, "Inservice Inspection Code Case
 Acceptability." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2004.

9 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-11, "Leakage Found on Bottom-Mounted Instrumentation 10 Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 2003.

NRC Bulletin 2002-02, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head and Vessel Head Penetration
 Nozzle Inspection Programs." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 August 2002.

14 _____. NRC Bulletin 2002-01, "Reactor Pressure Vessel Head Degradation and Reactor
 15 Coolant Pressure Boundary Integrity." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 16 March 2002.

17 _____. NRC Bulletin 2001-01, "Circumferential Cracking of Reactor Pressure Vessel Head
 18 Penetration Nozzles." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 19 August 2001.

20 _____. NRC Generic Letter 97-01, "Degradation of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Nozzle and
 21 Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 22 Commission. April 1997.

23 _____. NRC Information Notice 96-11, "Ingress of Demineralizer Resins Increases Potential for
 24 Stress Corrosion Cracking of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Penetrations." Washington, DC:
 25 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1996.

26 _____. NRC Information Notice 90-10, "Primary Water Stress Corrosion Cracking (PWSCC) of 27 Inconel 600." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1990.

1XI.M12THERMAL AGING EMBRITTLEMENT OF CAST AUSTENITIC2STAINLESS STEEL

3 **Program Description**

4 The reactor coolant system components are inspected in accordance with the American Society 5 of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section XI. This inspection is augmented to detect the effects of loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging 6 7 embrittlement of cast austenitic stainless steel (CASS) piping components except for valve bodies. This aging management program (AMP) includes determination of the potential 8 9 significance of thermal aging embrittlement of CASS components based on casting method, 10 molybdenum content, and percent ferrite. For components for which thermal aging embrittlement is "potentially significant" as defined below, aging management is accomplished 11 12 through either (a) gualified visual inspections, such as enhanced visual examination (EVT-1); 13 (b) a qualified ultrasonic testing (UT) methodology; or (c) a component-specific flaw tolerance 14 evaluation in accordance with the ASME Code, Section XI. Additional inspection or evaluations to demonstrate that the material has adequate fracture toughness are not required for 15 16 components for which thermal aging embrittlement in not significant.

17 For valve bodies, based on the results of the assessment documented in the letter dated

18 May 19, 2000, from Christopher Grimes, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), to

19 Douglas Walters, Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) (May 19, 2000 NRC letter), screening for

significance of thermal aging embrittlement is not required. The existing ASME Code,

21 Section XI inspection requirements are adequate for valve bodies.

22 Reactor vessel internal (RVI) fabricated from CASS are not within the scope of this AMP.

23 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M9 contains aging management guidance for CASS RVI

24 components of boiling water reactors (BWRs).

- 26 Scope of Program: This program manages loss of fracture toughness in ASME Code 1. Class 1 piping components made from CASS. The program includes screening criteria 27 28 to determine which CASS components have the potential for significant loss of fracture 29 toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement and require augmented inspection. The 30 screening criteria are applicable to all primary pressure boundary components 31 constructed from CASS with service conditions above 250 °C [482 °F]. The screening 32 criteria for the significance of thermal aging embrittlement are not applicable to 33 niobium-containing steels; such steels require evaluation on a case-by-case basis.
- 34 Based on the criteria set forth in the May 19, 2000, NRC letter, the potential significance 35 of thermal aging embrittlement of CASS materials is determined in terms of casting 36 method, molybdenum content, and ferrite content. For low-molybdenum content steels (SA-351 Grades CF3, CF3A, CF8, CF8A or other steels with ≤ 0.5 weight percent [wt.%] 37 38 Mo), only static-cast steels with >20% ferrite are potentially susceptible to thermal embrittlement. Static-cast low-molvbdenum steels with ≤20% ferrite and all 39 40 centrifugal-cast low-molybdenum steels are not susceptible. For high-molybdenum 41 content steels (SA-351 Grades CF3M, CF3MA, and CF8M or other steels with 2.0 to 42 3.0 wt.% Mo), static-cast steels with >14% ferrite and centrifugal-cast steels with >20% 43 ferrite thermal embrittlement can be potentially significant, (i.e., screens in). For static-

1 cast high-molybdenum steels with \leq 14% ferrite and centrifugal-cast high-molybdenum 2 steels with $\leq 20\%$ ferrite, thermal aging embrittlement is not significant, (i.e. screens out). 3 In the significance screening method, ferrite content is calculated by using the Hull's 4 equivalent factors (described in NUREG/CR-4513, Revision 1) or a staff-approved 5 method for calculating delta ferrite in CASS materials. A fracture toughness value of 255 kilojoules per square meter (kJ/m²) [1,450 inch-pounds per square inch] at a crack 6 7 extension of 2.5 millimeters [0.1 inch] is used to differentiate between CASS materials for which thermal aging embrittlement is not significant and those for 8 9 which thermal aging embrittlement is potentially significant. Extensive research 10 data indicate that for CASS materials without the potential for significant thermal 11 aging embrittlement, the saturated lower-bound fracture toughness is greater than 255 kJ/m² (NUREG/CR-4513, Revision 1). 12

Table XI.M12-1. Thermal Embrittlement Susceptibility				
Molybdenum (Mo) Content	Fe Content	Casting Method	Potentially Susceptible (Screens In)	Not Susceptible (Screens Out)
Low or ≤ 0.5 wt.%	>20% ferrite	Static	х	_
Low or ≤ 0.5 wt.%	≤20% ferrite	Static	—	Х
Low or ≤ 0.5 wt.%	Any	Centrifugal	—	Х
High or 2.0-3.0 wt.%	>14% ferrite	Static	х	_
High or 2.0-3.0 wt.%	>20% ferrite	Centrifugal	х	—
High or 2.0-3.0 wt.%	≤14% ferrite	Static		Х
High or 2.0-3.0 wt.%	≤20% ferrite	Centrifugal	_	Х

- 13 For valve bodies, screening for significance of thermal aging embrittlement is not needed [and thus there are no AMR line items]. For valve bodies greater than 4 inches nominal 14 15 pipe size (NPS), the existing ASME Code, Section XI inspection requirements are adequate. ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB requires only surface examination 16 17 of valve bodies less than 4 inches NPS. For these valve bodies less than 4 inches NPS. the adequacy of inservice inspection (ISI) according to ASME Code, Section XI has 18 been demonstrated by an NRC-performed bounding integrity analysis (May 19, 2000 19 20 letter).
- 21 2. *Preventive Actions:* This program is a condition monitoring program and does not mitigate thermal aging embrittlement.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The program monitors the effects of loss of
 fracture toughness on the intended function of the component by identifying the CASS
 materials that are susceptible to thermal aging embrittlement.
- The program does not directly monitor for loss of fracture toughness that is induced by thermal aging; instead, the impact of loss of fracture toughness on component integrity is

- indirectly managed by using visual or volumetric examination techniques to monitor for cracking in the components.
- 4. *Detection of Aging Effects:* For valve bodies, and other "not susceptible" CASS piping
 components, no additional inspection or evaluations are needed to demonstrate that the
 material has adequate fracture toughness.
- 6 For piping components for which thermal aging embrittlement is "potentially significant," 7 the AMP provides for qualified inspections of the base metal, such as EVT-1 or a 8 qualified UT methodology, with the scope of the inspection covering the portions 9 determined to be limiting from the standpoint of applied stress, operating time, and environmental considerations. Examination methods that meet the criteria of the 10 11 ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII are acceptable. Alternatively, a plant-specific or 12 component-specific flaw tolerance evaluation, using specific geometry, stress 13 information, material properties, and ASME Code, Section XI can be used to demonstrate that the thermally-embrittled material has adequate toughness. For CASS 14 15 piping 1.6 inches or less in thickness, UT may be performed in accordance with the 16 methodology of Code Case N-824. For CASS piping greater than 1.6 inches in 17 thickness, current UT methodology cannot reliably detect and size cracks; thus EVT-1 is 18 used until a qualified UT methodology can be established. A description of EVT-1 is 19 found in Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP)-03 (Revision 6) 20 and Materials Reliability Program (MRP)-228 for PWRs.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Inspection schedules in accordance with ASME Code,
 Section XI, IWB-2400 or IWC-2400, reliable examination methods, and qualified
 inspection personnel provide timely and reliable detection of cracks. If flaws are
 detected, the period of acceptability is determined from analysis of the flaw, depending
 on the crack growth rate and mechanism.
- 26 6. Acceptance Criteria: Flaws detected in CASS components are evaluated in accordance with the applicable procedures of ASME Code, Section XI. The most recent 27 28 version of the ASME Code, Section IX incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a (2007 edition through 2008 addenda), does not contain any evaluation procedures 29 applicable to CASS with ferrite content \geq 20 percent. (Nonmandatory Appendix C to the 30 31 ASME Code, Section XI states that flaw evaluation methods for CASS with ≥ 20 percent ferrite are currently in the course of preparation.) Therefore, methods used for 32 33 evaluations of flaws detected in CASS piping or components containing \geq 20 percent 34 ferrite, and methods used for flaw tolerance evaluations of such components, must be approved by the NRC staff on a case-by-case basis until such methods are incorporated 35 36 into editions of the ASME Code, Section XI or code cases that are incorporated by 37 reference in 10 CFR 50.55a, or in NRC-approved code cases, as documented in the latest revision to Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.147. NUREG/CR-4513, Revision 1 provides 38 methods for predicting the fracture toughness of thermally aged CASS materials with 39 40 delta ferrite content up to 25 percent.
- 7. *Corrective Actions:* Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet
 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the
 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to

- 1 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-2 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Repair and replacement are performed in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI,
 IWA-4000
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 17 10. *Operating Experience:* The AMP was developed by using research data obtained on
 both laboratory-aged and service-aged materials. Based on this information, the effects
 of thermal aging embrittlement on the intended function of CASS components will be
 effectively managed.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- 29 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹
- 32 _____. ASME Section XI, Division 1, Code Case N-824, "Ultrasonic Examination of Cast
- Austenitic Piping Welds From the Outside Surface." New York, New York: The American
 Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2012.

¹GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- 1 EPRI. BWRVIP-03, (EPRI TR-105696) "BWR Vessel and Internals Project: Reactor Pressure
- 2 Vessel and Internals Examination Guidelines." Revision 6. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
- 3 Research Institute. December 2013.
- MRP-228, "The Materials Reliability Program: Inspection Standard for PWR Internals."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 2009.
- 6 Lee, S., P.T. Kuo, K. Wichman, and O. Chopra. "Flaw Evaluation of Thermally-Aged Cast
- Stainless Steel in Light-Water Reactor Applications." International Journal of Pressure Vessel
 and Piping. pp 37–44. 1997.
- 9 Letter from Christopher I. Grimes, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, License Renewal and
 10 Standardization Branch, to Douglas J. Walters, Nuclear Energy Institute, License Renewal Issue
 11 No. 98-0030, "Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Stainless Steel Components."
- 12 ML003717179. May 19, 2000.
- 13 Letter from Mark J. Maxin, to Rick Libra (BWRVIP Chairman), Safety Evaluation for Electric
- 14 Power Research Institute (EPRI) Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals project (BWRVIP)
- 15 Report TR-105696-R6 (BWRVIP-03), Revision 6, "BWR Vessel and Internals Examination
- 16 Guidelines (TAC No MC2293)." ML081500814. June 2008.
- 17 NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.147, "Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability."
- 18 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2014.

NUREG/CR-4513, "Estimation of Fracture Toughness of Cast Stainless Steels During
 Thermal Aging in LWR Systems." Revision 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

21 Commission. August 1994.

1 XI.M16A DELETED

1 XI.M17 FLOW-ACCELERATED CORROSION

2 **Program Description**

3 This program manages wall thinning caused by flow-accelerated corrosion (FAC), and may also 4 be used to manage wall thinning due to erosion mechanisms. The program is based on 5 commitments made in response to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 89-08, and relies on implementation of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) 6 guidelines in the Nuclear Safety Analysis Center (NSAC)-202L¹ for an effective FAC program. 7 8 The program includes (a) identifying all susceptible piping systems and components; 9 (b) developing FAC predictive models to reflect component geometries, materials, and operating 10 parameters; (c) performing analyses of FAC models and, with consideration of operating 11 experience, selecting a sample of components for inspections: (d) inspecting components: 12 (e) evaluating inspection data to determine the need for inspection sample expansion, repairs, or 13 replacements, and to schedule future inspections; and (f) incorporating inspection data to refine 14 FAC models. The program includes the use of predictive analytical software, such as CHECWORKS[™], that uses the implementation guidance of NSAC-202L. This program may also 15 manage wall thinning caused by mechanisms other than FAC, in situations where periodic 16

17 monitoring is used in lieu of eliminating the cause of various erosion mechanisms.

- Scope of Program: The FAC program, described by the EPRI quidelines in 19 1. 20 NSAC-202L, includes procedures or administrative controls to assure that structural 21 integrity is maintained for carbon steel piping components containing single- and 22 two-phase flow conditions. This program also includes the pressure retaining portions of 23 pump and valve bodies within these systems. The FAC program was originally outlined in NUREG-1344 and was further described through the NRC GL 89-08. The program 24 25 may also include components that are subject to wall thinning due to erosion mechanisms such as cavitation, flashing, droplet impingement, or solid particle 26 27 impingement in various water systems. Since there are no materials that are known to 28 be totally resistant to wall thinning due to erosion mechanisms, susceptible components 29 of any material may be included in the erosion portion of the program.
- Preventive Actions: The FAC program is a condition monitoring program; no
 preventive action has been recommended in this program. However, it is noted that
 monitoring of water chemistry to control pH and dissolved oxygen content are effective
 in reducing FAC, and the selection of appropriate component material, geometry,
 and hydrodynamic conditions, can be effective in reducing both FAC and
 erosion mechanisms.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: The aging management program (AMP)
 37 monitors the effects of wall thinning due to FAC and erosion mechanisms by measuring

¹As described in this AMP-R2 (Revision 2), -R3 (Revision 3), and –R4 (Revision 4) of NSAC-202L are acceptable versions of the EPRI guideline.

wall thicknesses. In addition, relevant changes in system operating parameters,
 (e.g., temperature, flow rate, water chemistry, operating time), that result from off-normal
 or reduced-power operations are considered for their effects on the FAC models. Also,
 opportunistic visual inspections of internal surfaces are conducted during routine
 maintenance activities to identify degradation.

- 6 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Degradation of piping and components occurs by wall 7 thinning. For FAC, the inspection program delineated in NSAC-202L includes identification of susceptible locations, as indicated by operating conditions or special 8 9 considerations. For periods of extended operation beyond 60 years, piping systems that have been excluded from wall thickness monitoring due to operation less than 2 percent 10 of plant operating time (as allowed by NSAC-202L) will be reassessed to ensure 11 12 adequate bases exist to justify this exclusion. If actual wall thickness information is not available for use in this assessment, a representative sampling approach can be used. 13 14 This program specifies nondestructive examination methods, such as ultrasonic testing 15 (UT) and/or radiography testing (RT), to quantify the extent of wall thinning. Opportunistic visual inspections of up-stream and down-stream piping and components 16 17 are performed during periodic pump and valve maintenance or during pipe replacements to assess internal surface conditions. Wall thicknesses are also measured at locations 18 of suspected wall thinning that are identified by internal visual inspections. A 19 20 representative sample of components is selected based on the most susceptible locations for wall thickness measurements at a frequency in accordance with 21 NSAC-202L guidelines to ensure that degradation is identified and mitigated before the 22 23 component integrity is challenged. Expansion of the inspection sample is described in NSAC-202L, following identification of unexpected or inconsistent inspection results in 24 25 the initial sample. The extent and schedule of the inspections ensure detection of wall 26 thinning before the loss of intended function. Inspections are performed by personnel 27 gualified in accordance with site procedures and programs to perform the specified task.
- 28 For erosion mechanisms, the program includes the identification of susceptible locations 29 based on the extent-of-condition reviews from corrective actions in response to 30 plant-specific and industry operating experience. Components in this category may be treated in a manner similar to other "susceptible-not-modeled" lines discussed in 31 32 NSAC-202L. EPRI 1011231 provides guidance for identifying potential damage locations. EPRI TR-112657 or NUREG/-CR6031 provides additional insights for 33 cavitation. For cavitation, in addition to wall-thinning, the extent-of-condition review may 34 35 need to consider the consequences of vibrational loading caused by cavitation.
- *Monitoring and Trending*: For FAC, CHECWORKS[™] or similar predictive software 36 5. calculates component wear rates and remaining service life based on inspection data 37 38 and changes in operating conditions (e.g., power uprate, water chemistry). Data from each component inspection are used to calibrate the wear rates calculated in the FAC 39 40 model with the observed field data. The use of such predictive software to develop an 41 inspection schedule provides reasonable assurance that structural integrity will be maintained between inspections. The program includes the evaluation of inspection 42 43 results to determine if additional inspections are needed to ensure that the extent of wall thinning is adequately determined, that intended function will not be lost, and that 44 45 corrective actions are adequately identified.
- For erosion mechanisms, the program includes trending of wall thickness measurements
 to adjust the monitoring frequency and to predict the remaining service life of the

- component for scheduling repairs or replacements. Inspection results are evaluated to
 determine if assumptions in the extent-of-condition review remain valid. If degradation is
 associated with infrequent operational alignments, such as surveillances or pump
 starts/stops, then trending activities may need to consider the number or duration of
 these occurrences. Periodic wall thickness measurements of replacement components
 may be required and should continue until the effectiveness of corrective actions has
 been confirmed.
- 8 6. Acceptance Criteria: Components are suitable for continued service if calculations 9 determine that the predicted wall thickness at the next scheduled inspection will meet the 10 minimum allowable wall thickness. The minimum allowable wall thickness is the thickness needed to satisfy the component's design loads under the original code of 11 construction, but additional code requirements may also need to be met. A conservative 12 13 safety factor is applied to the predicted wear rate determination to account for 14 uncertainties in the wear rate calculations and UT measurements. As discussed in 15 NSAC-202L, the minimum safety factor for acceptable wall thickness and remaining service life should not be less than 1.1. 16
- 17 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 18 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 19 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 20 21 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 22 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-23 24 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 25 The program includes reevaluation, repair, or replacement of components for which the 26 acceptance criteria are not satisfied, prior to their return to service. For FAC, long-term 27 corrective actions could include adjusting operating parameters or replacing components 28 with FAC-resistant materials. However, if the wear mechanism has not been identified, then the replaced components should remain in the inspection program because 29 30 FAC-resistant materials do not protect against erosion mechanisms. Furthermore, when 31 carbon steel piping components are replaced with FAC-resistant material, the susceptible components immediately downstream should be monitored to identify any increased 32 wear due to the "entrance effect" as discussed in EPRI 1015072. 33
- For erosion mechanisms, long-term corrective actions to eliminate the cause could include adjusting operating parameters and/or changing components' geometric designs; however, the effectiveness of these corrective actions should be verified. Periodic monitoring activities should continue for any component replaced with an alternate material, since a material that is completely resistant to erosion mechanisms is not available.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.

- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 7 10. **Operating Experience:** Wall-thinning problems in single-phase systems have occurred in feedwater and condensate systems [NRC IE Bulletin No. 87-01; NRC Information 8 9 Notice (IN) 92-35, IN 95-11, IN 2006-08] and in two-phase piping in extraction steam 10 lines (NRC IN 89-53, IN 97-84) and moisture separator reheater and feedwater heater drains (NRC IN 89-53, IN 91-18, IN 93-21, IN 97-84). Observed wall thinning may be 11 12 due to mechanisms other than FAC or less commonly, due to a combination of 13 mechanisms [NRC IN 99-19, LER 483/1999-003, licensee event reports (LER) 499/2005-004, LER 277/2006-003, LER 237/2007-003, LER 254/2009-004]. Vibrational 14 15 loading resulting from cavitation has caused problems (LER 366/2008-001, LER 499/2010-001). 16
- 17 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
- ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 21 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 22 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- EPRI. EPRI 1015072, "Flow-Accelerated Corrosion–The Entrance Effect." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. November 2007.
- EPRI 1011231, "Recommendations for Controlling Cavitation, Flashing, Liquid Droplet
 Impingement, and Solid Particle Erosion in Nuclear Power Plant Piping Systems." Palo Alto,
- 29 California: Electric Power Research Institute. November 2004.
- 30 _____. EPRI TR-112657, "Revised Risk-Informed Inservice Inspection Evaluation Procedure."
- Revision B-A. ML013470102. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 December 1999.
- 33 Licensee Event Report 499/2010-001, "South Texas Project Unit 2, Essential Service Water
- 34 System Leak." ML100710689. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. March 2010.
- Licensee Event Report 254/2009-004, "Quad Cities Unit 1, Pinhole Leak in Core Spray Piping
- Results in Loss of Containment Integrity and Plant Shutdown for Repairs." ML093170206.
 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. November 2009.
- Licensee Event Report 366/2008-001, Hatch Unit 2, "Leak in Vent Pipe to Process Pipe Half
 Coupling in RHRSW System." ML081370590.
- 40 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. May 2008.

XI.M17-4

- 1 Licensee Event Report 237/2007-003, "Dresden Unit 2, High Pressure Coolant Injection System
- 2 Declared Inoperable." ML072750663. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
- 3 September 2007.
- 4 Licensee Event Report 277/2006-003, "Peach Bottom Unit 2, Elbow Leak on Piping Attached to 5 Suppression Pool Results in Loss of Containment Integrity." ML063420059. December 2006
- 6 Licensee Event Report 499/2005-004, "South Texas Project Unit 2, Inoperability of Essential
- 7 Cooling Water 2A and 2B Trains." ML053410155.
- 8 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. November 2005
- 9 Licensee Event Report 483/1999-003, "Callaway, Manual Reactor Trip due to Heater Drain
- 10 System Pipe Rupture Caused by Flow Accelerated Corrosion." ML003712775.
- 11 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. May 2000.
- NSAC. NSAC-202L-R4, "Recommendations for an Effective Flow-Accelerated Corrosion
 Program (3002000563)." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, Nuclear
- 14 Safety Analysis Center (NSAC). November 2013.
- NSAC-202L-R3, "Recommendations for an Effective Flow-Accelerated Corrosion
 Program." (1011838). Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, Nuclear Safety
 Analysis Center (NSAC). May 2006.
- NSAC-202L-R2, "Recommendations for an Effective Flow-Accelerated Corrosion
 Program." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute, Nuclear Safety Analysis
 Center (NSAC). April 1999.
- NRC. NRC License Renewal Interim Staff Guidance 2012-01, "Wall Thinning Due to Erosion
 Mechanisms." ADAMS Accession No. 12352A057. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. April 2013.
- 24 _____. NRC Information Notice 2006-08, "Secondary Piping Rupture at the Mihama Power 25 Station in Japan." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2006.
- 26 _____. NRC Information Notice 99-19, "Rupture of the Shell Side of a Feedwater Heater at the
 27 Point Beach Nuclear Plant." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 28 June 1999.
- 29 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-84, "Rupture in Extraction Steam Piping as a Result of Flow 30 Accelerated Corrosion." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 31 December 1997.
- 32 _____. NRC Information Notice 95-11, "Failure of Condensate Piping Because of
 33 Erosion/Corrosion at a Flow Straightening Device." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 34 Commission. February 1995.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 93-21, "Summary of NRC Staff Observations Compiled During
 36 Engineering Audits or Inspections of Licensee Erosion/Corrosion Programs." Washington, DC:
 37 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1993.

- NUREG/CR-6031, "Cavitation Guide for Control Valves." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. April 1993.
- 3 _____. NRC Information Notice 92-35, "Higher than Predicted Erosion/Corrosion in Unisolable
 4 Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping inside Containment at a Boiling Water Reactor."
 5 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1992.
- NRC Information Notice 91-18, "High-Energy Piping Failures Caused by Wall Thinning."
 Supplement 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1991.
- 8 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-18, "High-Energy Piping Failures Caused by Wall Thinning."
 9 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1991.
- 10 _____. NRC Information Notice 89-53, "Rupture of Extraction Steam Line on High Pressure 11 Turbine." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1989.
- NRC Generic Letter 89-08, "Erosion/Corrosion-Induced Pipe Wall Thinning."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1989.
- 14 _____. NUREG–1344, "Erosion/Corrosion-Induced Pipe Wall Thinning in U.S. Nuclear Power
 15 Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 1989.
- 16 _____. NRC IE Bulletin 87-01, "Thinning of Pipe Walls in Nuclear Power Plants."
- 17 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1987.

1 XI.M18 BOLTING INTEGRITY

2 **Program Description**

- 3 The program manages aging of closure bolting for pressure retaining components. The
- 4 program relies on recommendations for a comprehensive bolting integrity program, as
- 5 delineated in NUREG–1339, and industry recommendations, as delineated in the
- 6 following documents:
- NUREG–1339, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issue 29: Bolting Degradation or Failure in Nuclear Power Plants."
- Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) NP-5769, "Degradation and Failure of Bolting
 in Nuclear Power Plants" (with the exceptions noted in NUREG–1339 for safety-related
 bolting).
- EPRI Report 1015336, "Nuclear Maintenance Application Center: Bolted
 Joint Fundamentals."
- EPRI Report 1015337, "Nuclear Maintenance Applications Center: Assembling
 Gasketed, Flanged Bolted Joints."

16 The program generally includes periodic inspection of closure bolting for indication of loss of 17 preload, cracking, and loss of material due to corrosion, rust, etc. The program also includes 18 preventive measures to preclude or minimize loss of preload and cracking.

Aging Management Program (AMP) XI.M1, "ASME Section XI ISI, Subsections IWB, IWC, and
IWD," includes inspection of safety-related and nonsafety-related closure bolting and
supplements this bolting integrity program. AMPs XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE,"
XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF," XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring," XI.S7, "Inspection of
Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants," and XI.M23, "Inspection of
Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems," manage
inspection of safety-related and nonsafety-related structural bolting.

- Scope of Program: This program manages the effects of aging of closure bolting for pressure retaining components within the scope of license renewal, including both safety-related and nonsafety-related bolting. This program does not manage aging of reactor head closure stud bolting (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M3) or structural bolting (GALL-SLR Report AMPs XI.S1, XI.S3, XI.S6, XI.S7, and XI.M23).
- 32 2. **Preventive Actions:** Selection of bolting material and the use of lubricants and sealants 33 is in accordance with the guidelines of EPRI Reports 1015336 and 1015337 and the 34 additional recommendations of NUREG-1339 to prevent or mitigate stress corrosion 35 cracking (SCC). Of particular note, use of molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) as a lubricant 36 has been shown to be a potential contributor to SCC and should not be used. 37 Preventive measures also include using bolting material that has an actual measured 38 vield strength limited to less than 1.034 megapascals (MPa) [150 kilo-pounds per square 39 inch (ksi)]. Bolting replacement activities include proper torguing of the bolts and 40 checking for uniformity of the gasket compression after assembly. Maintenance

- practices require the application of an appropriate preload based on guidance in EPRI
 documents, manufacturer recommendations, or engineering evaluation.
- 3 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: This program monitors the effects of aging on 4 the intended function of bolting. Specifically, bolting for safety-related pressure retaining 5 components is inspected for leakage, surface discontinuities and imperfections, and 6 clearances and physical displacements for signs of loose joints. Bolting for other 7 pressure retaining components is inspected for signs of leakage. High strength closure 8 bolting {with actual yield strength greater than or equal to 1.034 MPa [150 ksi]}, and 9 bolting for which yield strength is unknown, should be monitored for surface and 10 subsurface discontinuities indicative of cracking.
- 11 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection, Subsections 12 IWB, IWC, and IWD program implements inspection of Class 1, Class 2, and Class 3 pressure retaining bolting in accordance with requirements of ASME Code Section XI.¹ 13 Tables IWB-2500-1, IWC-2500-1, and IWD-2500-1. These include volumetric and visual 14 (VT-1) examinations, as appropriate. In addition, for both ASME Code class bolting and 15 16 non ASME Code class bolting, periodic system walkdowns and inspections (at least 17 once per refueling cycle) ensure detection of leakage at bolted joints before the leakage becomes excessive. Bolting inspections should include consideration of the guidance 18 applicable for pressure boundary bolting in NUREG-1339 and in EPRI NP-5769. 19
- 20 Degradation of pressure boundary closure bolting due to crack initiation, loss of preload, 21 or loss of material may result in leakage from the mating surfaces or joint connections of pressure boundary components. Periodic inspection of pressure boundary components 22 for signs of leakage ensures that age-related degradation of closure bolting is detected 23 24 and corrected before component leakage becomes excessive. Accordingly, pressure 25 retaining bolted connections should be inspected at least once per refueling cycle. The inspections may be performed as part of ASME Code Section XI leakage tests or as part 26 27 of other periodic inspection activities, such as system walkdowns or an external surfaces 28 monitoring program.
- 29 Bolting in locations that preclude detection of joint leakage, such as in submerged 30 environments, is visually inspected for loss of material during maintenance activities. In 31 this case, bolt heads are inspected when made accessible, and bolt threads are inspected when joints are disassembled. At a minimum, in each 10-year period during 32 33 the subsequent period of extended operation, the program includes the inspection of a 34 representative sample of 20 percent of the population of bolt heads and threads (defined as bolts with the same material and environment combination) or a maximum of 35 36 25 bolts per population at each unit. Otherwise, a technical justification of the 37 methodology and sample size used for selecting components for one-time inspection is included as part of the program's documentation. For multi-unit sites where the sample 38 size is not based on the percentage of the population, it is acceptable to reduce the total 39 number of inspections at the site as follows. For two-unit sites, 19 bolt heads and 40 41 threads are inspected per unit and for a three-unit site, 17 bolt heads and threads are 42 inspected per unit. In order to conduct 17 or 19 inspections at a unit in lieu of 25, the

¹Refer to the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter I, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code, Section XI.

applicant states in the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) the basis for why
 the operating conditions at each unit are similar enough (e.g., chemistry) to provide
 representative inspection results. The basis should include consideration of potential
 differences such as the following:

- Are there any systems which have had an out-of-spec water chemistry condition
 for a longer period of time or out-of-spec conditions occurred more frequently?
- For lubricating or fuel oil systems, are there any components that were exposed to the more severe contamination levels?
- For raw water systems, is the water source from different sources where one or
 the other is more susceptible to microbiologically-induced corrosion or other
 aging effects?
- When bolting is associated with submerged pumps, pump performance monitoring
 (e.g., operator walkdowns to confirm sump drainage) provides additional assurance of
 the integrity of bolted joints.
- High strength closure bolting (with actual yield strength greater than or equal to
 1,034 MPa [150 ksi] may be subject to SCC. For bolting with yield strength greater than
 or equal to 1,034 MPa [150 ksi] and bolting for which yield strength is unknown
 (regardless of code classification or size of bolting), volumetric examination in
 accordance to that of ASME Code Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination
 Category B-G-1, should be performed.
- Inspections are performed by personnel qualified in accordance with site procedures and
 programs to perform the specified task. Inspections within the scope of the ASME Code
 follow procedures consistent with the ASME code. Non-ASME Code inspections follow
 site procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance
 offset, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* The inspection schedules of ASME Section XI components are effective and ensure timely detection of applicable aging effects. If a bolting connection for pressure retaining components not covered by ASME Section XI is reported to be leaking, it may be inspected daily or in accordance with the corrective action process. If the leak rate is increasing, more frequent inspections may be warranted.
- Acceptance Criteria: Any indications of aging effects in ASME pressure retaining
 bolting are evaluated in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Code. For other
 pressure retaining bolting, indications of aging should be dispositioned in accordance
 with the corrective action process.
- 7. Corrective Actions: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet
 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the
 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to

- 1 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-2 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Replacement of ASME pressure retaining bolting is performed in accordance with
 appropriate requirements of Section XI of the ASME Code, as subject to the additional
 guidelines and recommendations of EPRI Reports 1015336 and 1015337. Replacement
 of other pressure retaining bolting (i.e., non-ASME Code class bolting) is performed in
 accordance with the guidelines and recommendations of EPRI Reports 1015336
 and 1015337.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 21 10. **Operating Experience:** Degradation of threaded bolting and fasteners in closures for the reactor coolant pressure boundary has occurred from boric acid corrosion, SCC, 22 23 and fatigue loading [NRC IE Bulletin 82-02, NRC Generic Letter (GL) 91-17]. SCC has occurred in high strength bolts used for nuclear steam supply system component 24 25 supports (EPRI NP-5769). The bolting integrity program developed and implemented in 26 accordance with the applicant's docketed responses to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) communications on bolting events have provided an effective means 27 28 of ensuring bolting reliability. These programs are documented in EPRI Reports NP-5769, 1015336, and 1015337 and represent industry consensus. 29
- Degradation related failures have occurred in downcomer tee-quencher bolting in boiling
 water reactors (BWRs) designed with drywells (ADAMS Accession Number
 ML050730347). Leakage from bolted connections has been observed in reactor building
 closed cooling systems of BWRs (licensee event report (LER) 50-341/2005-001).
- 34SCC of A-286 stainless steel (SS) closure bolting has occurred when seal cap35enclosures have been installed to mitigate gasket leakage at valve body-to-bonnet joints36[(NRC Information Notice (IN) 2012-15]. The enclosures surrounding the bolts filled with37hot reactor coolant that had leaked from the joint and mixed with the oxygen-containing38atmosphere trapped within the enclosure. The enclosures did not allow for inspections39of the bolted joints.
- 40 The applicant is to evaluate applicable operating experience to support the conclusion 41 that the effects of aging are adequately managed.

- 1 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
- 2 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
- 3 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 5 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 6 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 7 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 8 Commission. 2015.
- 9 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.²
- 12 EPRI. EPRI 1015337, "Nuclear Maintenance Applications Center: Assembling Gasketed,
- 13 Flanged Bolted Joints." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
- 14 December 2007.

EPRI 1015336, "Nuclear Maintenance Application Center: Bolted Joint Fundamentals."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2007.

EPRI NP-5769, "Degradation and Failure of Bolting in Nuclear Power Plants." Volumes
 1 and 2. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. April 1988.

NRC. NRC Information Notice 2012-15, "Use of Seal Cap Enclosures to Mitigate Leakage From
Joints That Use A-286 Bolts." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
August 2012.

- 22 _____. NRC Morning Report, "Failure of Safety/Relief Valve Tee-Quencher Support Bolts."
 23 ADAMS Accession Number ML050730347. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 24 Commission. March 14, 2005.
- 25 _____. NRC Generic Letter 91-17, "Generic Safety Issue 79, Bolting Degradation or Failure in
 26 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 27 October 1991.
- NUREG–1339, "Resolution of Generic Safety Issue 29: Bolting Degradation or Failure in
 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1990.
- 30 _____. NRC IE Bulletin No. 82-02, "Degradation of Threaded Fasteners in the Reactor Coolant
 31 Pressure Boundary of PWR Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 32 June 1982.

²GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this aging management program.

1 XI.M19 STEAM GENERATORS

2 **Program Description**

3 The Steam Generator program is applicable to managing the aging of steam generator tubes,

4 plugs, sleeves, and secondary side components that are contained within the steam generator 5 (i.e., secondary side internals).

6 The establishment of a steam generator program for ensuring steam generator tube integrity is 7 required by plant technical specifications (TSs). The steam generator tube integrity portion of the TSs at each pressurized water reactor (PWR) contains the same fundamental requirements 8 9 as outlined in the standard TS of NUREG-1430, Volume 1, Revision 4, for Babcock & Wilcox (B&W) PWRs; NUREG-1431, Volume 1, Revision 4, for Westinghouse PWRs; and NUREG-10 11 1432, Volume 1, Revision 4, for Combustion Engineering PWRs. The requirements pertaining 12 to steam generators in these three versions of the standard TSs are essentially identical. The 13 TSs require tube integrity to be maintained and specify performance criteria, condition 14 monitoring requirements, inspection scope and frequency, acceptance criteria for the plugging 15 or repair of flawed tubes, acceptable tube repair methods, and leakage monitoring 16 requirements.

17 The nondestructive examination techniques used to inspect tubes, plugs, sleeves, and

18 secondary side internals are intended to identify components (e.g., tubes, plugs) with

19 degradation that may need to be removed from service or repaired.

20 The Steam Generator program at PWRs is modeled after Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 97-06. 21 Revision 3, "Steam Generator Program Guidelines." This program references a number of 22 industry guidelines (e.g., the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) PWR Steam Generator 23 Examination Guidelines, PWR Primary-to-Secondary Leak Guidelines, PWR Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines, PWR Secondary Water Chemistry Guidelines, Steam Generator Integrity 24 25 Assessment Guidelines, Steam Generator In Situ Pressure Test Guidelines) and incorporates a 26 balance of prevention, mitigation, inspection, evaluation, repair, and leakage monitoring 27 measures. The NEI 97-06 document (a) includes performance criteria that are intended to 28 provide assurance that tube integrity is being maintained consistent with the plant's licensing 29 basis and (b) provides guidance for monitoring and maintaining the tubes to provide assurance 30 that the performance criteria are met at all times between scheduled inspections of the tubes. 31 Steam generator tube integrity can be affected by degradation of steam generator plugs, 32 sleeves, and secondary side internals. Therefore, all of these components are addressed by 33 this aging management program (AMP). The NEI 97-06 program has been effective in 34 managing the aging effects associated with steam generator tubes, plugs, sleeves, and

35 secondary side internals.

- Scope of Program: This program addresses degradation associated with steam generator tubes, plugs, sleeves, and secondary side components that are contained within the steam generator (i.e., secondary side internals). It does not cover degradation associated with the steam generator shell, channel head, nozzles, or welds associated with these components.
- 42 2. *Preventive Actions:* This program includes preventive and mitigative actions for
 43 addressing degradation. Preventive and mitigative measures that are part of the Steam

- 1 Generator program include foreign material exclusion programs, and other primary and 2 secondary side maintenance activities. The program includes foreign material exclusion 3 as a means to inhibit wear degradation and secondary side maintenance activities, such 4 as sludge lancing, for removing deposits that may contribute to degradation. Guidance 5 on foreign material exclusion is provided in NEI 97-06. Guidance on maintenance of 6 secondary side integrity is provided in the EPRI Steam Generator Integrity Assessment 7 Guidelines. Primary side preventive maintenance activities include replacing plugs 8 made with corrosion susceptible materials with more corrosion resistant materials and 9 preventively plugging tubes susceptible to degradation.
- 10 Extensive deposit buildup in the steam generators could affect tube integrity. The EPRI Steam Generator Integrity Assessment Guidelines, which are referenced in NEI 97-06, 11 provide guidance on maintaining the secondary side of the steam generator, including 12 secondary side cleaning. Secondary side water chemistry plays an important role in 13 controlling the introduction of impurities into the steam generator and potentially limiting 14 15 their deposition on the tubes. Maintaining high water purity reduces susceptibility to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) or intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC). 16 17 Water chemistry is monitored and maintained in accordance with the Water Chemistry program. The program description and evaluation and technical basis of monitoring and 18 maintaining water chemistry are addressed in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for 19 20 Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry."
- 21 **Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: There are currently three types of steam 3. 22 generator tubing used in the United States: (i) mill annealed Alloy 600, (ii) thermally treated Alloy 600, and (iii) thermally treated Alloy 690. Mill annealed Alloy 600 steam 23 24 generator tubes have experienced degradation due to corrosion (e.g., primary water 25 SCC, outside diameter SCC, intergranular attack, pitting, and wastage) and 26 mechanically induced phenomena (e.g., denting, wear, impingement damage, and 27 fatigue). Thermally treated Alloy 600 steam generator tubes have experienced degradation due to corrosion (primarily cracking) and mechanically induced phenomena 28 (primarily wear). Thermally treated Alloy 690 tubes have only experienced tube 29 30 degradation due to mechanically induced phenomena (primarily wear). Degradation of tube plugs, sleeves, and secondary side internals have also been observed, depending, 31 32 in part, on the material of construction of the specific component.
- 33 The program includes an assessment of the forms of degradation to which a component is susceptible and implementation of inspection techniques capable of detecting those 34 35 forms of degradation. The parameter monitored is specific to the component and the 36 acceptance criteria for the inspection. For example, the severity of tube degradation may be evaluated in terms of the depth of degradation or measured voltage, dependent 37 38 on whether a depth-based or voltage-based tube repair criteria (acceptance criteria) is being implemented for that specific degradation mechanism. Other parameters 39 monitored include signals of excessive deposit buildup (e.g., steam generator water level 40 41 oscillations), which may result in fatigue failure of tubes or corrosion of the tubes; water chemistry parameters, which may indicate unacceptable levels of impurities; primary-to-42 43 secondary leakage, which may indicate excessive tube, plug, or sleeve degradation; and the presence of loose parts or foreign objects on the primary and secondary side of the 44 steam generator, which may result in tube damage. 45
- 46 Water chemistry parameters are also monitored as discussed in GALL-SLR Report 47 AMP XI.M2. The EPRI PWR Steam Generator Primary-to-Secondary Leakage

- Guidelines (EPRI 1008219) provides guidance on monitoring primary-to-secondary
 leakage. The EPRI Steam Generator Integrity Assessment Guidelines (EPRI 1019038)
 provide guidance on secondary side activities.
- 4 In summary, the NEI 97-06 program provides guidance on parameters to be monitored 5 or inspected.
- A. Detection of Aging Effects: The TSs require that a Steam Generator program be
 established and implemented to ensure that steam generator tube integrity is
 maintained. This requirement ensures that components that could compromise tube
 integrity are properly evaluated or monitored (e.g., degradation of a secondary side
 component that could result in a loss of tube integrity is managed by this program). The
 inspection requirements in the TSs are intended to detect degradation (i.e., aging
 effects), if they should occur.
- The TSs are performance-based, and the actual scope of the inspection and the
 expansion of sample inspections are justified based on the results of the inspections.
 The goal is to perform inspections at a frequency sufficient to provide reasonable
 assurance of steam generator tube integrity for the period of time between inspections.
- The general condition of some components (e.g., plugs and secondary side
 components) may be monitored visually, and, subsequently, more detailed inspections
 may be performed if degradation is detected.
- NEI 97-06 provides additional guidance on inspection programs to detect degradation of
 tubes, sleeves, plugs, and secondary side internals. The frequencies of the inspections
 are based on technical assessments. Guidance on performing these technical
 assessments is contained in NEI 97-06 and the associated industry guidelines.
- 24The inspections and monitoring are performed by qualified personnel using qualified25techniques in accordance with approved licensee procedures. The EPRI PWR Steam26Generator Examination Guidelines (EPRI 1013706) contains guidance on the27qualification of steam generator tube inspection techniques.
- The primary-to-secondary leakage monitoring program provides a potential indicator of a
 loss of steam generator tube integrity. NEI 97-06 and the associated EPRI guidelines
 provide information pertaining to an effective leakage monitoring program.
- 31 5. Monitoring and Trending: Condition monitoring assessments are performed to 32 determine whether the structural- and accident-induced leakage performance criteria were satisfied during the prior operating interval. Operational assessments are 33 performed to verify that structural and leakage integrity will be maintained for the 34 35 planned operating interval before the next inspection. If tube integrity cannot be maintained for the planned operating interval before the next inspection, corrective 36 actions are taken in accordance with the plant's corrective action program. 37 38 Comparisons of the results of the condition monitoring assessment to the predictions of the previous operational assessment are performed to evaluate the adequacy of the 39 40 previous operational assessment methodology. If the operational assessment was not 41 conservative in terms of the number and/or severity of the condition, corrective actions are taken in accordance with the plant's corrective action program. 42

- 1 The TSs require condition monitoring and operational assessments to be performed 2 (although the TSs do not explicitly require operational assessments, these assessments 3 are necessary to ensure that the tube integrity will be maintained until the next 4 inspection). Condition monitoring and operational assessments are done in accordance 5 with the TS requirements and guidance in NEI 97-06 and the EPRI Steam Generator 6 Integrity Assessment Guidelines.
- The goal of the inspection program for all components covered by this AMP is to ensure
 that the components continue to function consistent with the design and licensing basis
 of the facility (including regulatory safety margins).
- Assessments of the degradation of steam generator secondary side internals are
 performed in accordance with the guidance in the EPRI Steam Generator Integrity
 Assessment Guidelines to ensure the components continue to function consistent with
 the design and licensing basis and to ensure TS requirements are satisfied.
- 14 Acceptance Criteria: Assessment of tube and sleeve integrity and plugging or repair 6. 15 criteria of flawed and sleeved tubes is in accordance with plant TSs. The criteria for 16 plugging or repairing steam generator tubes and sleeves are based on the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.121 and are incorporated into 17 plant TSs. Guidance on assessing the acceptability of flaws is also provided in 18 19 NEI 97-06 and the associated EPRI guidelines, including the EPRI Steam Generator 20 In-Situ Pressure Test Guidelines and EPRI Steam Generator Integrity Assessment 21 Guidelines.
- Degraded plugs, degraded secondary side internals, and leaving a loose part or a foreign object in the steam generator are evaluated for continued acceptability on a case-by-case basis. NEI 97-06 and the associated EPRI guidelines provide guidance on the performance of these evaluations. The intent of these evaluations is to ensure that the components affected by parts or objects have adequate integrity consistent with the design and licensing basis of the facility.
- 28 Guidance on the acceptability of primary-to-secondary leakage and water chemistry 29 parameters also are discussed in NEI 97-06 and the associated EPRI guidelines.
- 30 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 31 32 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 33 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 34 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 35 36 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 37 of this program.
- For degradation of steam generator tubes and sleeves (if applicable), the TSs provide
 requirements on the actions to be taken when the acceptance criteria are not met. For
 degradation of other components, the appropriate corrective action is evaluated per
 NEI 97-06 and the associated EPRI guidelines, the American Society of Mechanical
- 1 Engineers (ASME) Code Section XI,¹ 10 CFR 50.65, and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, 2 as appropriate.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9 The adequacy of the preventive measures in the Steam Generator program is confirmed 10 through periodic inspections.
- Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 17 10. *Operating Experience:* Several generic communications have been issued by the NRC
 related to the steam generator programs implemented at plants. The reference section
 lists many of these generic communications. In addition, NEI 97-06 provides guidance
 to the industry for routinely sharing pertinent steam generator operating experience and
 for incorporating lessons learned from plant operation into guidelines referenced in
 NEI 97-06. The latter includes providing interim guidance to the industry, when needed.
- The NEI 97-06 program has been effective at managing the aging effects associated with steam generator tubes, plugs, sleeves, and secondary side components that are contained within the steam generator (i.e., secondary side internals), such that the steam generators can perform their intended safety function.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear
- 34 Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 35 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 36 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

¹Refer to the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter I, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code, Section XI.

- 1 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- 2 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
- Mechanical Engineers. 2013.² 3
- 4 EPRI. EPRI 1019038, "Steam Generator Integrity Assessment Guidelines: Revision 3." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. November 2009. 5
- 6 . EPRI 1016555, "Pressurized Water Reactor Secondary Water Chemistry Guidelines: 7 Revision 7." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. February 2009.
- 8 . EPRI 1014986, "Pressurized Water Reactor Primary Water Chemistry Guidelines: 9 Revision 6." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2007.
- 10 . EPRI 1014983. "Steam Generator In-Situ Pressure Test Guidelines: Revision 3." 11 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. August 2007.
- . EPRI 1013706, "PWR Steam Generator Examination Guidelines: Revision 7." 12 13 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. October 2007.
- 14 . EPRI 1008219, "PWR Primary-to-Secondary Leak Guidelines: Revision 3." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2004. 15
- 16 NEI. NEI 97-06, "Steam Generator Program Guidelines." Rev. 3. Washington, DC: 17 Nuclear Energy Institute. January 2011.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 2013-20, "Steam Generator Channel Head and Tubesheet 18 19 Degradation." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 2013.
- 20 . NRC Information Notice 2013-11, "Crack-Like Indications at Dents/Dings and in the 21 Freespan Region of Thermally Treated Alloy 600 Steam Generator Tubes." Washington DC: 22 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 2013.
- 23 . NRC Information Notice 2012-07, "Tube-To-Tube Contact Resulting in Wear in Once-24 Through Steam Generators." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 2012. 25
- 26 . NUREG-1432, "Standard Technical Specifications for Combustion Engineering Pressurized Water Reactors." Volume 1, Rev. 4. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 27 Commission. April 2012. 28
- 29 _. NUREG–1431, "Standard Technical Specifications for Westinghouse Pressurized Water
- 30 Reactors." Volume 1, Rev 4. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2012.
- 31

²GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this aging management program.

- NUREG–1430, "Standard Technical Specifications for Babcock and Wilcox Pressurized
 Water Reactors." Volume 4, Rev 3. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 April 2012.
- 4 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-21, "Crack-Like Indication in the U-Bend Region of a
 5 Thermally Treated Alloy 600 Steam Generator Tube." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 6 Regulatory Commission. October 2010.
- NRC Information Notice 2010-05, "Management of Steam Generator Loose Parts and
 Automated Eddy Current Data Analysis." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. February 2010.
- 10 _____. NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2009-04, "Steam Generator Tube Inspection 11 Requirements." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2009.
- NRC Information Notice 2008-07, "Cracking Indications in Thermally Treated Alloy 600
 Steam Generator Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 April 2008.
- 15 _____. NRC Information Notice 2007-37, "Buildup of Deposits in Steam Generators."
 16 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2007.
- 17 _____. NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2007-20, "Implementation of Primary-to-Secondary
 18 Leakage Performance Criteria." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 19 August 2007.
- NRC Generic Letter 2006-01, "Steam Generator Tube Integrity and Associated
 Technical Specifications." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 January 2006.
- 23 _____. NRC Information Notice 2005-29, "Steam Generator Tube and Support Configuration."
 24 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 2005.
- 25 _____. NRC Information Notice 2005-09, "Indications in Thermally Treated Alloy 600 Steam
 26 Generator Tubes and Tube-to-Tubesheet Welds." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 27 Commission. April 2005.
- 28 _____. NRC Information Notice 2004-17, "Loose Part Detection and Computerized Eddy
 29 Current Data Analysis in Steam Generators." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 30 Commission. August 2004.
- 31 _____. NRC Information Notice 2004-16, "Tube Leakage Due to a Fabrication Flaw in a
 32 Replacement Steam Generator." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 33 August 2004.
- . NRC Information Notice 2004-10, "Loose Parts in Steam Generators." Washington DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 2004.
- 36 _____. NRC Generic Letter 2004-01, "Requirements for Steam Generator Tube Inspections."
 37 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 2004.

- 1 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-13, "Steam Generator Tube Degradation at Diablo 2 Canyon." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 2003.
- 3 _____. NRC Information Notice 2003-05, "Failure to Detect Freespan Cracks in PWR Steam
 4 Generator Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 2003.
- 5 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-21, "Axial Outside-Diameter Cracking Affecting Thermally
 6 Treated Alloy 600 Steam Generator Tubing." Supplement 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 7 Regulatory Commission. April 2003.
- 8 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-21, "Axial Outside-Diameter Cracking Affecting Thermally
 9 Treated Alloy 600 Steam Generator Tubing." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 10 Commission. June 2002.
- 11 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-02, "Recent Experience with Plugged Steam Generator 12 Tubes." Supplement 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 2002.
- 13 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-02, "Recent Experience with Plugged Steam Generator
 14 Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2002.
- 15 _____. NRC Information Notice 2001-16, "Recent Foreign and Domestic Experience with 16 Degradation of Steam Generator Tubes and Internals." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
- 17 Regulatory Commission. October 2001.
- 18 _____. NRC Regulatory Issue Summary 2000-22, "Issues Stemming from NRC Staff Review of
 19 Recent Difficulties Experienced in Maintaining Steam Generator Tube Integrity."
- 20 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2000.
- 21 _____. NRC Information Notice 2000-09, "Steam Generator Tube Failure at Indian Point 22 Unit 2." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 2000.
- 23 _____. NRC Information Notice 98-27, "Steam Generator Tube End Cracking."
 24 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1998.
- 25 _____. NRC Draft Regulatory Guide DG-1074, "Steam Generator Tube Integrity."
 26 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1998.
- 27 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-88, "Experiences During Recent Steam Generator
 28 Inspections." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1997.
- 29 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-79, "Potential Inconsistency in the Assessment of the
 30 Radiological Consequences of a Main Steam Line Break Associated with the Implementation of
 31 Steam Generator Tube Voltage-Based Repair Criteria." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
- 32 Regulatory Commission. November 1997.
- 33 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-49, "B&W Once-Through Steam Generator Tube Inspection
 34 Findings." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1997.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-26, "Degradation in Small-Radius U-Bend Regions of Steam
 36 Generator Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1997.

- 1 _____. NRC Generic Letter 97-06, "Degradation of Steam Generator Internals."
- 2 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1997.
- 3 _____. NRC Information Notice 96-38, "Results of Steam Generator Tube Examinations."
 4 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1996.
- 5 _____. NRC Information Notice 96-09, "Damage in Foreign Steam Generator Internals."
 6 Supplement 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1996.
- 7 _____. NRC Information Notice 96-09, "Damage in Foreign Steam Generator Internals."
 8 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1996.
- 9 _____. NRC Information Notice 95-40, "Supplemental Information to Generic Letter 95-03,
 10 Circumferential Cracking of Steam Generator Tubes." U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 11 September 1995.
- NRC Generic Letter 95-05, "Voltage-Based Repair Criteria for Westinghouse Steam
 Generator Tubes Affected by Outside Diameter Stress Corrosion Cracking." Washington DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1995.
- 15 _____. NRC Generic Letter 95-03, "Circumferential Cracking of Steam Generator Tubes."
 16 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 1995.
- 17 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-88, "Inservice Inspection Deficiencies Result in Severely
 18 Degraded Steam Generator Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 19 December 1994.
- 20 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-87, "Unanticipated Crack in a Particular Heat of Alloy 600
 21 Used for Westinghouse Mechanical Plugs for Steam Generator Tubes." Washington DC:
 22 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1994.
- 23 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-62, "Operational Experience on Steam Generator Tube
 24 Leaks and Tube Ruptures." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 25 August 1994.
- 26 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-43, "Determination of Primary-to-Secondary Steam
 27 Generator Leak Rate." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1994.
- 28 _____. NRC Information Notice 94-05, "Potential Failure of Steam Generator Tubes Sleeved
 29 With Kinetically Welded Sleeves." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 30 January 1994.
- . NRC Information Notice 93-56, "Weaknesses in Emergency Operating Procedures
 Found as a Result of Steam Generator Tube Rupture." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. July 1993.

34 _____. NRC Information Notice 93-52, Draft NUREG–1477, "Voltage-Based Interim Plugging
 35 Criteria for Steam Generator Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 36 July 1993.

- NRC Information Notice 92-80, "Operation with Steam Generator Tubes Seriously
 Degraded." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 1992.
- 3 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-67, "Problems with the Reliable Detection of Intergranular
 4 Attack (IGA) of Steam Generator Tubing." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 5 Commission. October 1991.
- 6 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-43, "Recent Incidents Involving Rapid Increases in Primary 7 to-Secondary Leak Rate." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 8 July 1991.
- 9 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-19, "Steam Generator Feedwater Distribution Piping 10 Damage." Washington DC: US Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1991.
- 11 _____. NRC Bulletin 89-01, "Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator Tube Mechanical
 12 Plugs." Supplement 2. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1991.
- 13 _____. NRC Information Notice 90-49, "Stress Corrosion Cracking in PWR Steam Generator
 14 Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1990.
- 15 _____. NRC Bulletin 89-01, "Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator Tube Mechanical
- 16 Plugs." Supplement 1. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 17 November 1990.
- NRC Information Notice 89-65, "Potential for Stress Corrosion Cracking in Steam
 Generator Tube Plugs Supplied by Babcock and Wilcox." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Regulatory Commission. September 1989.
- 21 _____. NRC Bulletin 89-01, "Failure of Westinghouse Steam Generator Tube Mechanical
 22 Plugs." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1989.
- 23 _____. NRC Information Notice 88-99, "Detection and Monitoring of Sudden and/or Rapidly
 24 Increasing Primary-to-Secondary Leakage." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 25 Commission. December 1988.
- 26 _____. NRC Information Notice 88-06, "Foreign Objects in Steam Generators."
 27 Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1988.
- 28 _____. NRC Bulletin 88-02, "Rapidly Propagating Cracks in Steam Generator Tubes."
 29 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1988.
- 30 _____. NRC Information Notice 85-37, "Chemical Cleaning of Steam Generators at
 31 Millstone 2." U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1985.
- 32 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide, 1.121, "Bases for Plugging Degraded PWR Steam Generator
 33 Tubes." Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 1976.

1 XI.M20 OPEN-CYCLE COOLING WATER SYSTEM

2 **Program Description**

3 The program relies, in part, on implementing portions of the recommendations for the 4 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 89-13 to ensure that the 5 effects of aging on the open-cycle cooling water (OCCW) (or service water) system will be 6 managed for the period of extended operation. NRC GL 89-13 defines the OCCW system as a 7 system or systems that transfer heat from safety-related systems, structures, and components 8 (SSCs) to the ultimate heat sink. The program is comprised of the aging management aspects 9 of the applicant's response to NRC GL 89-13 including: (a) a program of surveillance and 10 control techniques to preclude biofouling; (b) a program to verify heat transfer capabilities of all 11 safety-related heat exchangers cooled by the OCCW system; and (c) a program for routine 12 inspection and maintenance to ensure that corrosion, erosion, loss of coating integrity, fouling, 13 and biofouling cannot degrade the performance of safety-related systems serviced by the 14 OCCW system. Since the guidance in NRC GL 89-13 was not specifically developed to 15 address aging management, this program includes enhancements to the guidance in NRC 16 GL 89-13 that address operating experience to ensure aging effects are adequately managed.

17 The OCCW system program manages aging effects of components in raw water systems, such

18 as service water, by using a combination of preventive, condition monitoring, and performance

19 monitoring activities. These include: (a) surveillance and control techniques to manage aging 20 effects caused by biofouling, corrosion, erosion, protective coating failures, and fouling in the

21 OCCW system or structures and components (SCs) serviced by the OCCW system;

(b) inspection of components for signs of corrosion, erosion, loss of coating or lining integrity,

fouling, and biofouling; and (c) testing of the heat transfer capability of heat exchangers that

24 remove heat from components important to safety.

25 For buried OCCW system piping, the aging effects on the external surfaces are managed by 26 XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," but the internal surfaces are managed by 27 this program. The aging management of closed-cycle cooling water (CCCW) systems is described in XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems," and is not included as part of this 28 29 program. Service water system components or components in other raw water systems that are not included within the scope of GL 89-13 may be managed by XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal 30 31 Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components." However, water systems for fire 32 protection are managed by XI.M27, "Fire Water System." The loss of coating or lining integrity 33 for components managed by this program may be managed by XI.M42, "Internal 34 Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks." Otherwise, if the OCCW system program manages internal coatings or linings, the program 35

36 includes comparable guidance as provided in XI.M42.

37 Evaluation and Technical Basis

38 1. **Scope of Program**: The program addresses piping, piping components, piping 39 elements, and heat exchanger components exposed to raw water in the OCCW system. 40 The program applies to components constructed of various materials including steel, 41 stainless steel (SS), aluminum, copper alloys, titanium, nickel alloy, fiberglass, polymeric 42 materials, and concrete. The program also applies to internal coatings or linings of 43 OCCW system piping and components that are not being separately managed by a 44 coatings monitoring program. This program references NRC GL 89-13; plant activities in 45 response to NRC GL 89-13 may be credited for this program, as appropriate.

- 1 2. **Preventive Actions**: This program is primarily a condition monitoring program: 2 however, some preventive actions may be effective. Implementation of NRC GL 89-13 3 includes control techniques, such as chemical treatment whenever the potential for 4 biofouling exists. Treatment with chemicals mitigates microbiologically-induced 5 corrosion and buildup of macroscopic biofouling debris from biota such as blue mussels. 6 oysters, or clams. Periodic flushing of infrequently used cooling loops removes 7 accumulations of biofouling agents, corrosion products, debris, and silt. The use of 8 degradation resistant materials and the application of internal coatings or lining may 9 be included.
- 10 **Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: This program addresses loss of material, fouling, 3. and in some materials, cracking. This program: (a) inspects surfaces of components 11 exposed to raw water for presence of fouling; (b) monitors heat transfer performance of 12 13 components affected by fouling in the OCCW system; and (c) monitors the condition of piping and components to ensure that loss of material, loss of coating or lining integrity, 14 15 cracking, and flow blockage do not degrade the performance of the safety-related systems supplied by the OCCW system. For those portions of the OCCW system where 16 17 flow monitoring is not performed, test results from the monitored portions of the system 18 are used to calculate friction (or roughness) factors and are used to confirm that design flow rates will be achieved with the overall fouling identified in the system. If concrete 19 20 piping is being managed, American Concrete Institute (ACI) 349.3R provides an 21 acceptable basis for parameters monitored or inspected.
- 22 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Inspection scope, methods (e.g., visual or volumetric inspections, performance testing), and frequencies are in accordance with the 23 applicant's docketed response to NRC GL 89-13. As noted in NRC GL 89-13, testing 24 frequencies can be adjusted to provide assurance that equipment will perform the 25 intended function between test intervals, but should not exceed 5 years. Visual 26 27 inspections are used to identify fouling, and loss of coating or lining integrity and provide a qualitative assessment for loss of material due to various forms of corrosion and 28 29 erosion. Examinations of polymeric materials should be consistent with the 30 examinations described in aging management program (AMP) XI.M38. Volumetric examinations, such as ultrasonic testing (UT), eddy current testing, and radiography are 31 32 used to quantify the extent of wall thinning or loss of material.
- 33 Inspections and tests are performed by personnel gualified in accordance with site procedures and programs to perform the specified task. Inspections within the scope of 34 35 the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code should follow procedures 36 consistent with the ASME Code. NonASME Code inspections should follow site procedures that include requirements for items such as lighting, distance offset, surface 37 38 coverage, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination. For concrete components, the qualifications of personnel 39 40 performing inspections and evaluations are specified in ACI 349.3R.
- Monitoring and Trending: For heat exchangers that are tested for heat transfer
 capability, test results are trended to verify adequacy of testing frequencies. For heat
 exchangers that are inspected for degradation in lieu of testing, inspection results are
 trended to evaluate adequacy of inspection frequencies. If fouling is identified, the
 system is evaluated for the impact on the heat transfer capability of the system. Friction
 (or roughness) factors are trended to confirm design flow rates can be achieved in the
 portions of the OCCW system where flow monitoring is not performed. Evidence of

- corrosion is evaluated for its potential impact on the integrity of the piping. For ongoing
 degradation due to specific aging mechanisms (e.g., microbiologically-induced
 corrosion), the program includes trending of wall thickness measurements at susceptible
 locations to adjust the monitoring frequency and the number of inspection locations.
- 5 Acceptance Criteria: Predicted wall thicknesses at the next scheduled inspection are 6. 6 greater than the components' minimum wall thickness requirements. As applicable, 7 coatings or linings are intact with no indications of peeling, delaminating, blistering, cracking, flaking, or rusting. For heat exchangers, heat removal capability is within 8 9 design values. For ongoing degradation mechanisms (e.g., microbiologically-induced 10 corrosion), the program includes criteria for the extent or rate of degradation that will prompt more comprehensive corrective actions. If concrete piping is being managed, 11 12 acceptance criteria are derived from ACI 349.3R, as applicable.
- 13 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 14 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 15 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 16 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 17 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 18 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-19 20 related SCs within the scope of this program.
- The program includes reevaluation, repair, or replacement of components that do not meet minimum wall thickness requirements. If fouling is identified, the overall effect for reduction of heat transfer or flow blockage is evaluated. Fouling deposits are removed to determine if loss of material has occurred and to prevent further degradation in the system. For ongoing degradation mechanisms (e.g., microbiologically-induced corrosion), the frequency and extent of wall thickness inspections are increased commensurate with the significance of the degradation.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 40 10. *Operating Experience:* Loss of material due to corrosion, including microbiologicallyinduced corrosion and erosion, has been identified [NRC Information Notice (IN) 85-30, IN 2007-06, licensee event reports (LER) 247/2001-006, LER 306/2004-001, LER 43 483/2005-002, LER 331/2006-003, LER 255/2007-002, LER 454/2007-002, LER 254/2011-001, LER 255/2013-001, LER 286/2014-002]. Protective coatings have failed, leading to unanticipated corrosion (IN 85-24, IN 2007-06, LER 286/2002-001,

- 1 LER 286/2011-003). Reduction in heat transfer and flow blockage due to fouling has 2 occurred in piping and in heat exchangers from protective coating failures, and 3 accumulations of silt and sediment (IN 81-21, IN 86-96, IN 2004-07, IN 2006-17, IN 2007-28, IN 2008-11, LER 413/1999-010, LER 305/2000-007, LER 266/2002-003, 4 5 LER 413/2003-004, LER 263/2007-004, LER 321/2010-002, LER 457/2011-001. LER 457/2011-002, LER 397/2013-002). Cracking due to stress corrosion cracking 6 7 (SCC) has occurred in brass tubing (LER 305/2002-002), and pitting in SS has occurred 8 (LER 247/2013-004).
- 9 The review of plant-specific operating experience during the development of this 10 program is to be broad and sufficiently detailed to detect instances of aging effects that have repeatedly occurred. In some instances, recurring internal corrosion may warrant 11 program enhancements. Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent License 12 Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants (SRP-SLR) Sections 3.2.2.2.8, 3.3.2.2.7, 13 14 and 3.4.2.2.6, "Loss of Material Due to Recurring Internal Corrosion," include criteria to 15 identify instances of recurring internal corrosion and recommendations for augmenting aging management activities. 16
- 17 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
- ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 21 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 22 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- ACI. ACI Standard 349.3R, "Evaluation of Existing Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete
 Structures." Farmington Hills, Michigan. American Concrete Institute. 2002.
- 25 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- 26 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of 27 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹
- 28 Licensee Event Report 286/2014-002, "Technical Specification Prohibited Condition Due to an
- Inoperable Essential Service Water Header as a Result of Socket Weld Leak in Code Class 3
 SW Piping." ML14087A009. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. March 2014.
- 31 Licensee Event Report 397/2013-002, "Main Control Room Cooler Failed Surveillance."
- 32 ML13141A288. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. May 2013.
- 33 Licensee Event Report 255/2013-001, "Technical Specification Required Shutdown Due to a
- 34 Component Cooling Water System Leak." ML13100A019.
- 35 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. April 2013.

¹GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- 1 Licensee Event Report 247/2013-004, "Technical Specification Prohibited Condition Due to an
- 2 Inoperable Essential Service Water Header as a Result of Pin Hole Leaks in Code Class 3 SW
- 3 Piping." ML13319B082. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. November 2013.
- 4 Licensee Event Report 457/2011-002, "Auxiliary Feedwater System Inoperability Due to
- 5 Additional Asiatic Clam Shells in Essential Service Water Supply Piping." ML11263A185.
- 6 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. September 2011.
- 7 Licensee Event Report 457/2011-001, "Asiatic Clam Shells in Essential Service Water Supply
- 8 Piping to the 2A Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Resulted in the Auxiliary Feedwater System
- 9 Inoperability." ML112010177. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. July 2011.
- 10 Licensee Event Report 286/2011-003, "Technical Specification Required Shutdown and a
- 11 Safety System Functional Failure for a Leaking Service Water Pipe Causing Flooding in the SW
- 12 Valve Pit Preventing Access for Accident Mitigation." ML11123A165.
- 13 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. April 2011.
- 14 Licensee Event Report 254/2011-001, "Loss of Both Divisions of Residual Heat Removal
- 15 System." ML11174A039. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. June 2011.
- 16 Licensee Event Report 321/2010-002, "Degraded Plant Service Water Cooling to Main Control
- 17 Room Air Conditioner Results in Loss of Function." ML101650089.
- 18 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. June 2010.
- 19 Licensee Event Report 454/2007-002, "Technical Specification Required Shutdown of Unit 1
- and Unit 2 Due to an Ultimate Heat Sink Pipe Leak." ML080660544.
- 21 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. March 2008.
- Licensee Event Report 263/2007-004, "Degradation of Emergency Service Water Flow to
- 23 Emergency Core Cooling System Room Cooler." ML072430882.
- 24 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. August 2007.
- Licensee Event Report 255/2007-002, "Inoperable Containment Due to Containment Air Cooler
- Through-Wall Flaw." ML070871046. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
 March 2007.
- Licensee Event Report 331/2006-003, "Residual Heat Removal Service Water Pump Inoperable
- 29 Due to Motor Cooler Failures." ML062490486.
- 30 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. August 2006.
- 31 Licensee Event Report 483/2005-002, "Plant Shutdown Required by Technical
- 32 Specification 3.7.8 for an Inoperable Train of Essential Service Water." ML051460343.
- 33 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. May 2005.
- 34 Licensee Event Report 306/2004-001, "Shutdown Required by Technical Specifications Due to
- 35 Two Trains of Containment Cooling Inoperable." ML050890314.
- 36 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. March 2005.
- 37 Licensee Event Report 413/2003-004, "1A Containment Spray System Inoperable for Longer
- than Technical Specifications Allow Due to Heat Exchanger Fouling." ML031970061.
- 39 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. July 2003.

- 1 Licensee Event Report 266/2002-003, "Possible Common Mode Failure of AFW Due to Partial
- 2 Clogging of Recirculation Orifices." ML032890115.
- 3 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. October 2003.
- 4 Licensee Event Report 305/2002-002, "Technical Specifications Required Shutdown: CCW
- 5 System Leak Could Not Be Repaired Within LCO." ML021920465.
- 6 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. July 2002.
- 7 Licensee Event Report 286/2002-001, "Operation in a Condition Prohibited by Technical
- 8 Specifications Due to an Inoperable Service Water Pipe Caused by a Leak that Exceeded
- 9 Allowable Outage Time." ML022000155. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
 10 July 2002.
- 11 Licensee Event Report 247/2001-006, "Pipe Erosion Results in Service Water System Leakage
- 12 in Containment." ML020090594. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
- 13 December 2001.
- 14 Licensee Event Report 305/2000-007, "Alternate Service Water Supply Piping Obstructed."
- 15 ML003726758. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. June 2000
- 16 Licensee Event Report 413/1999-010, "Both Catawba Units Operated Outside Their Design
- 17 Basis and Unit 2 Experienced a Forced Shutdown as a Result of Flow Restriction Caused by
- 18 Corrosion of the Auxiliary Feedwater System Assured Suction Source Piping Due to Inadequate
- 19 Testing." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. July 1999.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 2008-11, "Service Water System Degradation at Brunswick
 Steam Electric Plant Unit." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 22 June 2008.
- 23 _____. NRC Information Notice 2007-28, "Potential Common Cause Vulnerabilities in Essential
 24 Service Water Systems Due to Inadequate Chemistry Controls." Washington, DC:
 25 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 2007.
- 26 _____. NRC Information Notice 2007-06, "Potential Common Cause Vulnerabilities in Essential
 27 Service Water Systems." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 28 February 2007.
- 29 _____. NRC Information Notice 2006-17, "Recent Operating Experience of Service Water
 30 Systems Due to External Conditions." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 31 July 2006.
- 32 _____. NRC Information Notice 2004-07, "Plugging of Safety Injection Pump Lubrication Oil
 33 Coolers with Lakeweed." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2004.
- 34 _____. NRC Generic Letter 89-13, Supplement 1, "Service Water System Problems Affecting
 35 Safety-Related Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 36 April 1990.
- 37 _____. NRC Generic Letter 89-13, "Service Water System Problems Affecting Safety-Related
 38 Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1989.

- NRC Information Notice 86-96, "Heat Exchanger Fouling Can Cause Inadequate
 Operability of Service Water Systems." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 3 Commission. November 1986.

4 _____. NRC Information Notice 85-30, "Microbiologically Induced Corrosion of Containment
5 Service Water System." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
6 April 1985.

NRC Information Notice 85-24, "Failures of Protective Coatings in Pipes and Heat
 Exchangers." U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1985.

9 _____. NRC Information Notice 81-21, "Potential Loss of Direct Access to Ultimate Heat Sink."
 10 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1981.

1 XI.M21A CLOSED TREATED WATER SYSTEMS

2 **Program Description**

3 Nuclear power plants (NPPs) contain many closed, treated water systems. These systems 4 undergo water treatment to control water chemistry and prevent corrosion (i.e., treated water 5 systems). These systems are also recirculating systems in which the rate of recirculation is 6 much higher than the rate of addition of makeup water (i.e., closed systems). The program includes (a) water treatment, including the use of corrosion inhibitors, to modify the chemical 7 8 composition of the water such that the function of the equipment is maintained and such that the 9 effects of corrosion are minimized; (b) chemical testing of the water to ensure that the water 10 treatment program maintains the water chemistry within acceptable guidelines; and 11 (c) inspections to determine the presence or extent of degradation. Depending on the industry 12 standard selected for use in association with this aging management program (AMP) and/or 13 plant operating experience, this program also may include corrosion monitoring (e.g., corrosion 14 coupon testing) and microbiological testing.

15 The water used in systems covered by this AMP may be, but need not be, demineralized and 16 receives chemical treatment, including corrosion inhibitors, unless the systems meet the 17 industry guidance for pure water systems. Otherwise, untreated water systems are addressed using other AMPs, such as Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting 18 19 Components (XI.M38). Examples of systems managed by this AMP include closed-cycle 20 cooling water (CCCW) systems (as defined by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic Letter (GL) 89-13¹); closed portions of heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) 21 22 systems; diesel generator cooling water; and auxiliary boiler systems. Examples of systems not 23 addressed by this AMP include boiling water reactor (BWR) coolant, pressurized water reactor 24 (PWR) primary and secondary water, and PWR/BWR condensate systems. Aging in these 25 systems is managed by the water chemistry AMP (XI.M2) and the American Society of 26 Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Inservice 27 Inspection, Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD AMP (XI.M1)². Treated fire water systems, if 28 present, are also not included in this AMP.

- Scope of Program: This program manages the aging effects of loss of material due to corrosion, cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC), and reduction of heat transfer due to fouling of the internal surfaces of piping, piping components, piping elements and heat exchanger components fabricated from any material and exposed to treated water.
- Preventive Actions: This program mitigates the aging effects of loss of material,
 cracking, and reduction of heat transfer through water treatment. The water treatment

¹NRC GL 89-13 defines a service water system as "the system or systems that transfer heat from safety-related structures, systems, or components to the ultimate heat sink." NRC GL 89-13 further defines a closed-cycle system as a part of the service water system that is not subject to significant sources of contamination, one in which water chemistry is controlled and in which heat is not directly rejected to an ultimate heat sink.

²GALL-SLR Report Chapter 1, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for AMPs

- program includes corrosion inhibitors and is designed to maintain the function of
 associated equipment and minimize the corrosivity of the water and the accumulation of
 corrosion products that can foul heat transfer surfaces.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* This program monitors water chemistry
 parameters (preventive monitoring) and the condition of surfaces exposed to the water
 (condition monitoring). Depending on the industry standard selected for use in
 association with this AMP and/or plant operating experience, this program may also
 include corrosion monitoring (e.g., corrosion coupon testing) and microbiological testing.
- 9 Water chemistry parameters (such as the concentration of iron, copper, silica, oxygen, and hardness, alkalinity, specific conductivity, and pH) are monitored because 10 11 maintenance of optimal water chemistry prevents loss of material and cracking due to corrosion and SCC. The specific water chemistry parameters monitored and the 12 13 acceptable range of values for these parameters are in accordance with industry standard guidance documents produced by the Electric Power Research Institute 14 15 (EPRI), the American Society of Heating Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers, 16 the Cooling Technology Institute, the American Boiler Manufacturer's Association, American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards, water chemistry 17 18 guidelines recommended by the equipment manufacturer, Nalco Water Handbook, or the ASME. For CCCW systems, as defined in NRC GL 89-13, EPRI 1007820 is used. 19 For other systems, the applicant selects an appropriate industry standard document. In 20 21 all cases, the selected industry standard guidance document is used in its entirety for the 22 water chemistry control or guidance.
- The visual appearance of surfaces provides evidence of loss of material. Surface
 discontinuities revealed by surface or volumetric examination techniques provide
 evidence of cracking. The heat transfer capability of heat exchanger surfaces is
 evaluated by either visual inspections to determine surface cleanliness, or functional
 testing to verify that design heat removal rates are maintained.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: In this program, aging effects are detected through water
 testing and periodic inspections. Water testing ensures that the water treatment
 program is effective in maintaining acceptable water chemistry. Water testing is
 conducted in accordance with the selected industry standard. The frequency of water
 testing is in accordance with the selected industry standard, but in no case should the
 testing interval be greater than quarterly unless justified with a documented analysis.
- 34 Because the control of water chemistry may not be fully effective in mitigating the aging 35 effects, inspections are conducted. Visual inspections of internal surfaces are 36 conducted whenever the system boundary is opened. At a minimum, in each 10-year period during the subsequent period of extended operation, a representative sample of 37 20 percent of the population (defined as components having the same material, water 38 39 treatment program, and aging effect combination) or a maximum of 25 components per 40 population at each unit is inspected using techniques capable of detecting loss of material, cracking, and fouling, as appropriate. Technical justification for an alternative 41 42 sampling methodology is included in the program's documentation. For multi-unit sites where the sample size is not based on the percentage of the population, it is acceptable 43 to reduce the total number of inspections at the site as follows. For two-unit sites, 44 45 19 components are inspected per unit and for a three-unit site, 17 components are inspected per unit. In order to conduct 17 or 19 inspections at a unit in lieu of 25, the 46

- subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) includes the basis for why the operating
 conditions at each unit are sufficiently similar (e.g., flowrate, chemistry, temperature,
 excursions) to provide representative inspection results. The basis should include
 consideration of potential differences such as the following:
- Have power uprates been performed and, if so, could more aging have occurred
 on one unit that has been in the uprate period for a longer time period?
- Are there any systems which have had an out-of-spec water chemistry condition
 for a longer period of time or out-of-spec conditions occur more frequently?
- 9 If degradation is identified in the initial sample, additional samples are inspected to 10 determine the extent of the condition.
- 11 The ongoing opportunistic visual inspections are credited towards the representative 12 samples for the loss of material and fouling; however, surface or volumetric 13 examinations are used to detect cracking. The inspections focus on the components 14 most susceptible to aging because of time in service and severity of operating 15 conditions, including locations where local conditions may be significantly more severe 16 than those in the bulk water (e.g., heat exchanger tube surfaces).
- Inspections and tests are performed by personnel qualified in accordance with site
 procedures and programs to perform the specified task. Inspections within the scope of
 the ASME Code should follow procedures consistent with the ASME Code. For
 non-ASME Code inspections, the inspections should follow site procedures that include
 requirements for items such as lighting, distance offset, surface coverage, presence of
 protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Water chemistry data are evaluated against the standards
 contained in the selected industry standard documents. These data are trended, so
 corrective actions are taken, based on trends in water chemistry, prior to loss of intended
 function. Inspection results also are trended with time so that the progression of any
 corrosion or cracking can be evaluated and predicted.
- Acceptance Criteria: Water chemistry concentrations are maintained within the limits
 specified in the selected industry standard documents. Due to the water chemistry
 controls, no age-related degradation is expected. Therefore, any detectable loss of
 material, cracking, or fouling is evaluated in the corrective action program.
- 32 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 33 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 34 specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons 35 Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an 36 37 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, quality assurance (QA) program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-38 39 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Water chemistry concentrations that are not in accordance with the selected industry
 standard document should be returned to an "in specification" condition in accordance
 with the referenced guidelines. Some industry standard documents have time guidelines

- which govern how rapidly "out of specification" conditions should be corrected. If fouling
 is identified, the overall effects on reduction of heat transfer are evaluated. Fouling
 deposits are removed to determine if loss of material has occurred and to prevent further
 degradation in the system.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 17 10. *Operating Experience:* Degradation of CCCW systems due to corrosion product
 buildup [licensee event reports (LER) 327/93-029] or through-wall cracks in supply lines
 (NRC LER 50-280/91-019-00) has been observed in operating plants. In addition, SCC
 of stainless steel (SS) reactor recirculation pump seal heat exchanger coils has been
 attributed to localized boiling of the closed cooling water, concentrating water impurities
 on the coil surfaces (LER 263/2014-001). Accordingly, operating experience
 demonstrates the need for this program.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 28 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 29 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 30 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.³
- 33 EPRI 1007820, "Closed Cooling Water Chemistry Guideline." Palo Alto, California:
- 34 Electric Power Research Institute. April 2004.
- 35 Flynn, Daniel. *The Nalco Water Handbook.* Nalco Company. 2009.

³GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- 1 Licensee Event Report 263-2014-001, "Primary System Leakage Found in Recirculation Pump
- Upper Seal Heat Exchanger." ML14073A599. https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
 March 2014
- 4 Licensee Event Report 327/1993-029, "Inoperable Check Valve in the Component Cooling
- 5 System as a Result of a Build-Up of Corrosion Products between Valve Components."
- 6 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. December 1993.
- 7 Licensee Event Report 280/1991-019, "Loss of Containment Integrity due to Crack in
- 8 Component Cooling Water Piping." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx.
- 9 October 1991.
- 10 NRC. NRC Generic Letter 89-13, Supplement 1, "Service Water System Problems Affecting
- 11 Safety-Related Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 12 April 1990.
- 13 _____. NRC Generic Letter 89-13, "Service Water System Problems Affecting Safety-Related
- 14 Components." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1989.

1 XI.M22 BORAFLEX MONITORING

2 **Program Description**

3 Many neutron-absorbing materials, such as Boraflex, Boral, Metamic, boron steel, and

4 carborundum, are used in spent fuel pools. This aging management program (AMP) addresses

5 aging management of spent fuel pools using Boraflex as the neutron-absorbing material.

6 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M40, "Monitoring of Neutron-Absorbing Material Other Than

7 Boraflex," addresses aging management of spent fuel pools using neutron-absorbing materials

8 other than Boraflex, such as Boral, Metamic, boron steel, and carborundum. When a spent fuel

9 pool criticality analysis credits Boraflex and materials other than Boraflex, the guidance in both

10 AMPs XI.M22 and XI.M40 applies.

11 For Boraflex panels in spent fuel storage racks, gamma irradiation and long-term exposure to 12 the wet fuel pool environment causes shrinkage resulting in gap formation, gradual degradation 13 of the polymer matrix, and the release of silica to the spent fuel storage pool water. This results 14 in the loss of boron carbide in the neutron absorber sheets. A monitoring program for the 15 Boraflex panels in the spent fuel storage racks is implemented to assure that no unexpected 16 degradation of the Boraflex material compromises the criticality analysis in support of the design 17 of spent fuel storage racks. This AMP relies on periodic inspection, testing, monitoring, and 18 analysis of the criticality design to assure that the required 5 percent subcriticality margin is 19 maintained. Therefore, this AMP includes: (a) completing sampling and analysis for silica 20 levels in the spent fuel pool water on a regular basis, such as monthly, guarterly, or annually 21 (depending on Boraflex panel condition), and trending the results by using the Electric Power 22 Research Institute (EPRI) RACKLIFE predictive code or its equivalent; and (b) performing 23 neutron attenuation testing to determine gap formation in Boraflex panels or measuring 24 boron-10 areal density by techniques such as the BADGER device.

- Scope of Program: This program manages the effect of reduction in neutron-absorbing
 capacity due to degradation in sheets of neutron-absorbing material made of Boraflex
 affixed to spent fuel racks.
- 29 2. *Preventive Actions:* This program is a performance monitoring program and does not include preventive actions.
- 31 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The parameters monitored include physical 32 conditions of the Boraflex panels, such as gap formation and decreased boron-10 areal 33 density, and the concentration of the silica in the spent fuel pool. These are conditions 34 directly related to degradation of the Boraflex material. When Boraflex is subjected to 35 gamma radiation and long-term exposure to the spent fuel pool environment, the silicon 36 polymer matrix becomes degraded and silica filler and boron carbide are released into 37 the spent fuel pool water. As indicated in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Information Notice (IN) 95-38 and NRC Generic Letter (GL) 96-04, the loss of 38 39 boron carbide (washout) from Boraflex is characterized by slow dissolution of silica from 40 the surface of the Boraflex and a gradual thinning of the material. Because Boraflex 41 contains about 25 percent silica, 25 percent polydimethyl siloxane polymer, and 42 50 percent boron carbide, sampling and analysis for the presence of silica in the spent fuel pool provide an indication of depletion of boron carbide from Boraflex; however, the 43

- degree to which Boraflex has degraded is ascertained through measurement of the
 boron-10 areal density.
- 3 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** Aging effects on Boraflex panels are detected by 4 monitoring silica levels in the spent fuel storage pool on a regular basis, such as 5 monthly, guarterly, or annually (depending on Boraflex panel condition); by measuring 6 boron-10 areal density on a frequency determined by the material condition of the 7 Boraflex panels, with a minimum frequency of once every 5 years; and by applying predictive methods to the measured results. The amount of boron-10 carbide present in 8 9 the Boraflex panels is determined through direct measurement of boron-10 areal density 10 by periodic verification of boron-10 loss through areal density measurement techniques. such as the BADGER device. Frequent Boraflex testing is sufficient to ensure that 11 12 Boraflex panel degradation does not compromise criticality analysis for the spent fuel pool storage racks. Additionally, changes in the level of silica present in the spent fuel 13 pool water provide an indication of changes in the rate of degradation of Boraflex panels. 14
- 15 5. Monitoring and Trending: The periodic inspection measurements and analysis are 16 compared to values of previous measurements and analysis providing a continuing level 17 of data for trend analysis. Sampling and analysis for silica levels in the spent fuel pool 18 water is performed on a regular basis, such as monthly, quarterly, or annually 19 (depending on Boraflex panel condition), and results are trended using the EPRI 20 RACKLIFE predictive code or its equivalent. Silica concentration is monitored against 21 time to trend degradation. Rapid increases of silica concentration may indicate 22 accelerated Boraflex degradation. The frequency to perform boron-10 areal density testing will be determined by the material condition of the Boraflex panels, with an 23 24 interval not to exceed 5 years.
- Acceptance Criteria: The 5 percent subcriticality margin of the spent fuel racks is
 maintained for the period of extended operation.
- 27 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 28 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 29 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 30 31 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 32 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-33 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 34
- Corrective actions are initiated if the test results find that the 5 percent subcriticality
 margin cannot be maintained because of the current or projected future degradation.
 Corrective actions consist of providing additional neutron-absorbing capacity by Boral[®]
 or boron steel inserts or other options which are available to maintain a subcriticality
 margin of 5 percent.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.

- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 7 10. **Operating Experience:** NRC IN 87-43 addresses the problems of development of tears and gaps (average 1-2 inches, with the largest 4 inches) in Boraflex sheets due to 8 9 gamma radiation-induced shrinkage of the material. NRC IN 93-70, NRC IN 95-38, and 10 NRC GL 96-04 address several cases of significant degradation of Boraflex test coupons due to accelerated dissolution of Boraflex caused by pool water flow through panel 11 12 enclosures and high accumulated gamma dose. In such cases, the Boraflex may be replaced by boron steel inserts or by a completely new rack system using Boral[®]. 13 14 Experience with boron steel is limited; however, the application of Boral[®] for use in the 15 spent fuel storage racks predates the manufacturing and use of Boraflex. The 16 experience with Boraflex panels indicates that coupon surveillance programs are not reliable. Therefore, during the period of extended operation, the measurement of 17 18 boron-10 areal density correlated, through a predictive code, with silica levels in the pool water, is verified. These monitoring programs provide assurance that degradation of 19 20 Boraflex sheets is monitored so that appropriate actions can be taken in a timely manner 21 if significant loss of neutron-absorbing capability is occurring. These monitoring programs provide reasonable assurance that the Boraflex sheets maintain their integrity 22 23 and are effective in performing their intended function.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 28 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 29 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- EPRI. EPRI 1003413, "Guidance and Recommended Procedure for Maintaining and Using
 RACKLIFE Version 1.10." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. April 2002.
- 32 _____. EPRI TR-103300, "Guidelines for Boraflex Use in Spent-Fuel Storage Racks."
 33 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 1993.
- 34 _____. EPRI TR-101986, "Boraflex Test Results and Evaluation, Electric Power Research
 35 Institute." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. March 1993.
- 36 _____. EPRI NP-6159, "An Assessment of Boraflex Performance in Spent-Nuclear-Fuel
 37 Storage Racks." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 1988.
- NRC. NRC Generic Letter 96-04, "Boraflex Degradation in Spent Fuel Pool Storage Racks."
 ML031110008. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 26, 1996.

- 1 NRC. NRC Information Notice 95-38, "Degradation of Boraflex Neutron Absorber in Spent Fuel
- Storage Racks." ML031060277. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 September 8, 1995.

4 NRC. NRC Information Notice 93-70, "Degradation of Boraflex Neutron Absorber Coupons."
 5 ML031070107. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 10, 1993.

6 _____. NRC Information Notice 87-43, "Gaps in Neutron Absorbing Material in High Density
7 Spent Fuel Storage Racks." ML031130349. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
8 Commission. September 8, 1987.

9 _____. BNL-NUREG–25582, "Corrosion Considerations in the Use of Boral in Spent Fuel 10 Storage Pool Racks." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 1979.

11 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.26, Rev. 3, "Quality Group Classifications and Standards for 12 Water, Steam, and Radioactive-Waste-Containing Components of Nuclear Power Plants

(for Comment)." ML003739964. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

14 February 29, 1979.

1XI.M23INSPECTION OF OVERHEAD HEAVY LOAD AND LIGHT LOAD2(RELATED TO REFUELING) HANDLING SYSTEMS

3 **Program Description**

The Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling
Systems program evaluates the effectiveness of maintenance monitoring activities for cranes
and hoists that are within the scope of license renewal. This program primarily addresses the
structural components that make up the bridge and trolley. Many crane systems and
components are not within the scope of this program because they perform an intended function
with moving parts or with a change in configuration, or they are subject to replacement based on
qualified life.

- 11 The program includes periodic visual inspections to detect loss of material due to general
- 12 corrosion on bridge components, rails, and trolley structural components; loss of material due to
- 13 wear on rails; cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) of high strength bolts, and loss of
- 14 preload on bolted connections. NUREG–0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power
- 15 Plants," provides specific guidance on the control of overhead heavy load cranes. The aging
- 16 management activities specified in this program utilize the guidance provided in American
- 17 Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Safety Standard B30.2, "Overhead and Gantry
- 18 Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Single or Multiple Girder, Top Running Trolley Hoist)" or other
- 19 appropriate standards in the ASME B30 series.

- Scope of Program: The program manages (a) the effects of loss of material due to general corrosion on the bridge rails, bridge, and trolley structural components for those cranes that are within the scope of 10 CFR 54.4, (b) the effects of wear on the rails in the rail system, and (c) cracking due to SCC of high strength bolts. The program also manages the effects of loss of preload of bolted connections.
- 26 2. *Preventive Actions*: This program is a condition monitoring program. No preventive actions are identified.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Surface condition is monitored by visual inspection to ensure that loss of material is not occurring due to corrosion or wear.
 Bolted connections are monitored for loose bolts, missing or loose nuts, and other conditions indicative of loss of preload. High strength [actual measured yield strength greater than 150 kilopounds per square inch (ksi) or 1,034 megapascal (MPa)] bolts greater than 1 inch in diameter are monitored for SCC.
- 34 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** Crane rails and structural components are visually 35 inspected at a frequency in accordance ASME B30.2, "Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge, Single or Multiple Girder, Top Running Trolley Hoist)," or other 36 37 appropriate standard in the ASME B30 series. ASME B30.2 establishes inspection frequencies based on the severity of service, as defined by the number and magnitude 38 of lifts. For systems that are infrequently in service, such as containment polar cranes, 39 40 periodic inspections are performed once every refueling cycle just prior to use. Bolted 41 connections are visually inspected for loose bolts or missing nuts at the same frequency 42 as crane rails and structural components. Visual inspection of high strength 43 (actual measured yield strength greater than or equal to 150 ksi or 1,034 MPa) structural

- bolting greater than 1 in [25 mm] in diameter is supplemented with volumetric or surface
 examinations to detect cracking at an interval not to exceed 5 years, unless justified.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Visual inspection activities are performed by personnel
 qualified in accordance with controlled procedures and processes. Deficiencies are
 documented using applicant-approved processes and procedures, such that results can
 be trended; however, the program does not include formal trending.
- Acceptance Criteria: Any visual indication of loss of material due to corrosion or wear
 and any visual sign of loss of bolting preload is evaluated according to ASME B30.2 or
 other applicable industry standard in the ASME B30 series. Volumetric or surface
 examinations confirm the absence of cracking in high strength bolts.
- 11 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 12 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 13 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 14 15 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 16 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both 17 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 18 19 of this program.
- Repairs are performed as specified in ASME B30.2 or other appropriate standard in the
 ASME B30 series.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. Operating Experience: There has been no history of corrosion-related degradation that
 threatened the ability of a crane to perform its intended function. Likewise, because
 cranes have not been operated beyond their design lifetime, there have been no
 significant fatigue-related structural failures. Operating experience indicates that loss of
 bolt preload has occurred, but not to the extent that it has threatened the ability of a
 crane structure to perform its intended function.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 2 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 3 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 4 10 CFR 54.4, "Scope." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 5 ASME. ASME Safety Standard B30.2, "Overhead and Gantry Cranes (Top Running Bridge,
- 6 Single or Multiple Girder, Top Running Trolley Hoist)." New York, New York: American Society
 7 of Mechanical Engineers. 2005.
- 8 NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.160, "Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear
- 9 Power Plants." Revision 2. ML003761662. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
- 10 March 31, 1997.
- NRC Generic Letter 81-07, "Control of Heavy Loads." ML031080524. Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 3, 1981.
- 13 _____. NRC Generic Letter 80-113, "Control of Heavy Loads." ML071080219.
- 14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 22, 1980.
- 15 _____. NUREG–0612, "Control of Heavy Loads at Nuclear Power Plants." ML070250180.
- 16 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 31, 1980.

1 XI.M24 COMPRESSED AIR MONITORING

2 **Program Description**

3 The purpose of the compressed air monitoring program is to provide reasonable assurance of

- 4 the integrity of the compressed air system. The program consists of monitoring moisture
- 5 content, corrosion, and performance of the compressed air system. This includes (a) preventive
- 6 monitoring of water (moisture) and other potential contaminants to keep within the specified
- 7 limits; and (b) inspection of components for indications of loss of material due to corrosion.
- 8 The compressed air monitoring aging management program (AMP) is based on results of the
- 9 plant owner's response to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Generic
- 10 Letter (GL) 88-14 (as applicable to license renewal) and reported in previous NRC Information
- 11 Notice (IN) 81-38; IN 87-28; IN 87-28, Supplement 1; and by the Institute of Nuclear Power
- 12 Operations (INPO) Significant Operating Experience Report (SOER) 88-01. NRC GL 88-14,
- 13 issued after several years of study of problems and failures of instrument air systems,
- recommends that each holder of an operating license perform an extensive design and
- operations review and verification of its instrument air system. NRC GL 88-14 also
- 16 recommends that the licensees describe their program for maintaining proper instrument air
- 17 quality. This AMP does not include all aspects of NRC GL 88-14 because many of the issues in
- 18 the GL are not relevant to license renewal.
- 19 This AMP does not change the applicant's docketed response to NRC GL 88-14 for the rest of
- 20 its operations. The program utilizes the aging management aspects of the applicant's response
- to NRC GL 88-14 for license renewal with regard to preventative measures, inspections of
- components, and testing to ensure that the compressed air system will be able to perform its
- 23 intended function for the period of extended operation. The AMP also incorporates the air
- quality provisions provided in the guidance of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)
 TR 108147. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) operations and
- 25 maintenance standards and guides (ASME OM-2012, Division 2, Part 28) provides additional
- 27 quidance for maintenance of the instrument air system by offering recommended test methods.
- test intervals, parameters to be measured and evaluated, acceptance criteria, corrective
- 29 actions, and records requirements.

- 311.Scope of Program: The program manages the aging effects of loss of material due to
corrosion in compressed air systems.
- Preventive Actions: For the purposes of aging management, moisture and other
 corrosive contaminants in the system's air are maintained below specified limits to
 ensure that the system and components maintain their intended functions. These limits
 are prepared from consideration of the manufacturer's recommendations for individual
 components and guidelines based on ASME OM-2012, Division 2, Part 28;
 ANSI/ISA-7.0.01-1996; and EPRI TR-108147.
- 39 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: Periodic air samples are taken and analyzed for
 40 moisture content, lubricant content, particulate matter and other corrosive contaminants
 41 and hazardous gases. Periodic and opportunistic inspections of accessible internal
 42 surfaces are performed for signs of corrosion and abnormal corrosion products that
 43 might indicate a loss of material within the system.

- 1 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** The program periodically samples and tests the air in the 2 compressed system in accordance with industry standards (i.e., ANSI/ISA-7.0.01-1996). 3 Compressed air systems have in-line dew point instrumentation that either checks 4 continuously using an automatic alarm system or is checked at least daily to ensure that 5 moisture content is within the recommended range. Additionally, periodic visual 6 inspections of critical component internal surfaces (compressors, dryers, after-coolers, 7 and filters) are performed for signs of loss of material due to corrosion. Guidance for 8 inspection frequency and inspection methods of these components is provided in standards or documents such as ASME OM-2012, Division 2, Part 28. 9
- Inspections and tests are performed by personnel qualified in accordance with site
 procedures and programs to perform the specified task.
- 12 5. Monitoring and Trending: If daily readings of system dew points are taken, they are 13 recorded and trended. Air quality analysis results are reviewed to determine if alert levels or limits have been reached or exceeded. This review also checks for unusual 14 trends. ASME OM-2012, Division 2, Part 28, provides guidance for monitoring and 15 16 trending data. Visual inspection results are compared to previous results to ascertain if 17 adverse long-term trends exist. The effects of corrosion are monitored by visual 18 inspection. Test data are analyzed and compared to data from previous tests to provide 19 for the timely detection of aging effects on passive components.
- Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria for air quality moisture limits are established
 based on accepted industry standards, such as ANSI/ISA-7.0.01-1996. Internal
 surfaces should not show signs of corrosion (general, pitting, and crevice) that could
 indicate the potential loss of function of the component. Suppliers' certifications can be
 used to demonstrate that bottled air meets acceptable quality standards.
- 25 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 26 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 27 28 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 29 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 30 31 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafetyrelated structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 32
- Corrective actions are taken if any parameters, such as moisture content in the system air, are out of acceptable ranges, or if corrosion is identified on internal surfaces.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 41 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 42 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 43 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 44 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to

- 1 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and 2 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 3 10. **Operating Experience:** Potentially significant safety-related problems pertaining to air 4 systems have been documented in NRC IN 81-38; IN 87-28; IN 87-28, Supplement 1; 5 and licensee event report (LER) 50-237/94-005-3. Some of the systems that have been 6 significantly degraded or that have failed due to the problems in the air system include 7 the decay heat removal, auxiliary feedwater (AFW), main steam isolation, containment isolation, and fuel pool seal systems. In 2008, one plant incurred an unplanned reactor 8 9 trip from a failure of a mechanical joint in the instrument air system (NRC IN 2008-06). Nevertheless, as a result of NRC GL 88-14 and in consideration of INPO SOER 88-01 10 and EPRI TR-108147, performance of air systems has improved significantly. 11
- 12 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 13 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 14 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 17 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- ANSI. ANSI/ISA-7.0.01-1996, "Quality Standard for Instrument Air." Washington DC:
 American National Standards Institute. 1996.
- ASME. ASME OM-2012, "Performance Testing of Instrument Air Systems in Light-Water
 Reactor Power Plants." Division 2, Part 28. New York, New York: American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2012.
- EPRI. EPRI TR-108147, "Compressor and Instrument Air System Maintenance Guide:
 Revision to NP-7079." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. March 1998.
- INPO. INPO Significant Operating Experience Report 88-01, "Instrument Air System Failures."
 Atlanta, Georgia: Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. May 1988.
- Licensee Event Report 50-237/94-005-3, "Manual Reactor Scram due to Loss of Instrument Air
 Resulting from Air Receiver Pipe Failure Caused by Improper Installation of Threaded Pipe
 during Initial Construction." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. April 3, 1997.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 2008-06, "Instrument Air System Failure Resulting In Manual
 Reactor Trip." ML073540243. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 April 10, 2008.
- 33 _____. NRC Generic Letter 88-14, "Instrument Air Supply Problems Affecting Safety-Related
 34 Components." ML031130440. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 35 August 8, 1988.

36 _____. NRC Information Notice 87-28, "Air Systems Problems at U.S. Light Water Reactors."
 37 Supplement 1. ML031130670. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 38 December 28, 1987.

1 2 _____. NRC Information Notice 87-28, "Air Systems Problems at U.S. Light Water Reactors." ML031130569. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 22, 1987.

_____. NRC Information Notice 81-38, "Potentially Significant Components Failures Resulting from Contamination of Air-Operated Systems." ML 8107230040. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 17, 1981. 3 4

5

1XI.M25BOILING WATER REACTOR (BWR) REACTOR WATER2CLEANUP SYSTEM

3 **Program Description**

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

4 This program is a condition monitoring program that provides inspections to manage the aging 5 effects of cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) or intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) on the intended function of certain austenitic stainless steel (SS) piping in the 6 7 reactor water cleanup (RWCU) system of boiling water reactors (BWRs). Based on the 8 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) criteria related to inspection guidelines for RWCU 9 piping welds outboard of the second isolation valve, the program includes the measures 10 delineated in NUREG-0313. Revision 2. and NRC Generic Letter (GL) 88-01 and its 11 Supplement 1.

NRC GL 88-01 applies to all BWR piping made of austenitic SS that is 4 inches or larger in nominal diameter and contains reactor coolant at a temperature above 93 °C [200 °F] during power operation, regardless of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code classification. NRC GL 88-01 requests, in part, that affected licensees implement an inservice inspection (ISI) program conforming to staff positions for austenitic SS piping covered under the scope of the letter. In response to NRC GL 88-01, affected licensees undertook ISI in accordance with the scope and schedules described in the letter and included affected portions

19 of RWCU piping outboard of the second isolation valves within their ISI programs.

20 The NRC issued GL 88-01, Supplement 1, to provide acceptable alternatives to the staff 21 positions delineated in NRC GL 88-01. In NRC GL 88-01, Supplement 1, the staff noted, in 22 part, that the position stated in NRC GL 88-01 on inspection sample size of RWCU system welds outboard of the second isolation valves had created an unnecessary hardship for affected 23 24 licensees because of the very high radiation levels associated with this portion of RWCU piping. 25 The staff also noted that affected licensees had requested that they be exempted from NRC 26 GL 88-01 with regard to inspection of this piping of the RWCU system. Although NRC 27 GL 88-01, Supplement 1, does not provide explicit generic guidance with regard to staff criteria 28 for reduction or elimination of RWCU weld inspections, it does suggest that the staff would be 29 receptive to modifications to a licensee's original docketed NRC GL 88-01 response for RWCU 30 weld inspections, provided that all issues of reactor safety were adequately addressed. The 31 staff has subsequently allowed individual licensees to modify their docketed responses to GL-88-01 to reduce or eliminate their ISI of RWCU welds in the piping outboard of the second 32 33 isolation valves. This program only applies in cases where the NRC has not previously 34 approved the complete elimination of the augmented GL 88 01 inspections for RWCU system 35 piping outboard the second containment isolation valves.

36 Evaluation and Technical Basis

 Scope of Program: This program provides ISI to manage the aging effects of cracking due to SCC or IGSCC in austenitic SS piping outboard of the second containment isolation valves in the RWCU system.

40The components included in this program are the welds in piping that have a nominal41diameter of 4 inches or larger and that contain reactor coolant at a temperature above4293 °C [200 °F] during power operation, regardless of ASME Code classification.

- 1 2. **Preventive Actions:** The comprehensive program outlined in NUREG–0313 and 2 NRC GL 88-01 addresses improvements in all three elements that, in combination, 3 cause SCC or IGSCC. These elements are a susceptible (sensitized) material, 4 a significant tensile stress, and an aggressive environment. The program delineated in 5 NUREG-0313 and NRC GL 88-01 includes recommendations regarding selection of 6 materials that are resistant to sensitization, use of special processes that reduce residual 7 tensile stresses, and monitoring and maintenance of coolant chemistry. The resistant 8 materials are used for new and replacement components and include low-carbon grades 9 of austenitic SS and weld metal, with a maximum carbon of 0.035 wt.% and a minimum 10 ferrite of 7.5 percent in weld metal and CASS. Special processes are used for existing as well as new and replacement components. These processes include solution heat 11 12 treatment, heat sink welding, induction heating, and mechanical stress improvement. 13 Reactor coolant water chemistry is monitored and maintained in accordance with activities that meet the guidelines in the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent 14 15 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry."
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The aging management program (AMP)
 monitors SCC or IGSCC of austenitic SS piping by detecting and sizing cracks in
 accordance with the guidelines of NUREG-0313, NRC GL 88-01, and NRC GL 88-01,
 Supplement 1.
- 20 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The extent, method, and schedule of the inspections 21 delineated in the NRC inspection criteria for RWCU piping and NRC GL 88-01 are 22 designed to maintain structural integrity and to detect aging effects before the loss of intended function of austenitic SS piping and fittings. Guidelines for the inspection 23 24 schedule, methods, personnel, and sample expansion are based on NRC GL 88-01 and GL 88-01, Supplement 1, and any applicable alternatives to these inspections that were 25 subsequently approved by the NRC. These alternative inspections are implemented in 26 27 accordance with the current licensing basis for the plant. Typically, if all of the GL 89-10 actions had not been satisfactorily completed, then one alternative inspection would 28 29 include 10 percent of the welds every refueling outage. Another alternative inspection 30 would typically include at least 2 percent of the welds or 2 welds every refueling outage. whichever sample is larger, if: (a) all of the GL 89-10 actions had been satisfactorily 31 32 completed, (b) no IGSCC had been detected in RWCU piping welds inboard of the second containment isolation valves, and (c) no IGSCC had been detected in RWCU 33 piping welds outboard of the second containment isolation valves after a minimum of 34 35 10 percent of the susceptible welds were inspected. For example, IGSCC was detected at Peach Bottom on certain welds inboard of primary containment isolation valves. 36 37 Thus, the weld inspection sample size was reduced from 10 percent of the susceptible welds to 2 percent of the susceptible welds, as discussed in the letter from 38 Joseph W. Shea, NRC, to George A. Hunger, Jr., PECO Energy Company, RWCU 39 System Weld Inspections at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3. 40
- 41 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* The extent and schedule for inspection in accordance with
 42 the recommendations of NRC GL 88-01 provide for the timely detection of cracks.
 43 Based on inspection results, NRC GL 88-01 provides guidelines for additional samples
 44 of welds to be inspected when one or more cracked welds are found in a weld category.
- 45 6. Acceptance Criteria: NRC GL 88-01 recommends that any indication detected be
 46 evaluated in accordance with the requirements of ASME Code, Section XI,
 47 Subsection IWB-3640.

- 1 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 4 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 5 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 6 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 7 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 8 of this program.
- 9 The guidelines in NRC GL 88-01 are followed for replacements, stress improvement, 10 and weld overlay repairs.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* IGSCC has occurred in small- and large-diameter BWR piping
 made of austenitic SS. The comprehensive program outlined in NRC GL 88-01 and
 NUREG–0313 addresses improvements in all elements that cause SCC or IGSCC
 (e.g., susceptible material, significant tensile stress, and an aggressive environment)
 and is effective in managing IGSCC in austenitic SS piping in the RWCU system.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 32 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 33 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 34 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 35 Commission. 2015.

1 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."

- 2 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of 3 Machanical Engineers, 2013.¹
- 3 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹

4 Letter from Robert M. Pulsifer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, to Michael A Balduzzi,

5 Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation, "Review of Request to Discontinue Intergranular

- 6 Stress Corrosion Cracking Inspection of RWCU Piping Welds Outboard of the Second
- 7 Containment Isolation Valves (TAC No. MB0468)." ML010780094. March 27, 2001.
- 8 Letter from Joseph W. Shea, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, to George A. Hunger, Jr.,

9 PECO Energy Company, "Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) System Weld Inspections at Peach
 10 Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3 (TAC Nos. M92442 and M92443)." ML090930466.

11 September 15, 1995.

12 NRC. NRC Generic Letter 89-10, "Safety-related Motor Operated Valve Testing and

- 13 Surveillance." ML031150307. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 14 August 3, 1990.

15 _____. NRC Generic Letter 88-01, "NRC Position on IGSCC in BWR Austenitic Stainless Steel
 16 Piping." Supplement 1. ML031130421. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 17 Commission. February 4, 1992.

NRC Generic Letter 88-01, "NRC Position on IGSCC in BWR Austenitic Stainless Steel
 Piping." ML031150675. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 January 27, 1988.

- 21 _____. NUREG–0313, "Technical Report on Material Selection and Processing Guidelines for
- 22 BWR Coolant Pressure Boundary Piping." Rev. 2. ML031470422. Washington, DC:
- 23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 31, 1988.

¹GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.
1 XI.M26 FIRE PROTECTION

2 **Program Description**

3 The Fire Protection aging management program (AMP) includes a fire barrier inspection 4 program. The fire barrier inspection program requires periodic visual inspection of fire barrier 5 penetration seals; fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors; fire damper housings; and periodic 6 visual inspection and functional tests of fire-rated doors to ensure that their operability is 7 maintained. The AMP also includes periodic inspection and testing of the halon/carbon dioxide 8 (CO₂) fire suppression system. Additionally, this AMP is complemented by GALL-SLR Report 9 AMP XI.S6 "Structures Monitoring" which consists of periodic visual inspections by personnel 10 qualified to monitor structures and components (SCs) for applicable aging effects.

11 In accordance with 10 CFR 50.48(a), each operating nuclear power plant (NPP) licensee must

have a fire protection plan that satisfies GDC 3, "Fire protection," of Appendix A, "General
 Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of

Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," to 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing
 Production and Utilization Facilities."

15 Licensees of plants that were licensed to operate before January 1, 1979, must meet

16 the requirements of Appendix R, "Fire Protection Program for Nuclear Power Facilities

17 Operating Prior to January 1, 1979," to 10 CFR Part 50, except to the extent provided for in

18 10 CFR 50.48(b). Licensees of plants licensed to operate after January 1, 1979, must meet the

19 plant-specific fire protection licensing basis. Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.189 "Fire Protection for 20 Nuclear Power Plante" provides guidence for compliance with 10 CEP 50 48/b) and plant

20 Nuclear Power Plants," provides guidance for compliance with 10 CFR 50.48(b) and plant-

21 specific fire protection licensing basis.

As an alternative to 10 CFR 50.48(b) or to plant-specific fire protection licensing basis, licensees

23 may also adopt and maintain a fire protection program that meets 10 CFR 50.48(c), "National

Fire Protection Association Standard NFPA 805" that incorporates by reference National Fire

25 Protection Association (NFPA) 805, "Performance-Based Standard for Fire Protection for Light

Water Reactor Electric Generating Plants, 2001 Edition" with certain exceptions. RG 1.205,
 Rick-Informed, Performance-Based Fire Protection for Existing Light-Water Nuclear Power

28 Plants," provides guidance for compliance with 10 CFR 50.48(c).

29 The deterministic means for meeting these requirements come from 10 CFR Part 50,

30 Appendix R, and 10 CFR 50.48 or from plant-specific requirements incorporated into the

31 operating license of plants licensed after that date. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

32 (NRC) deterministic fire protection requirements are documented in 10 CFR Part 50,

Appendix R and 10 CFR 50.48.

341.Scope of Program: This program manages the effects of loss of material and cracking,35increased hardness, shrinkage and loss of strength on the intended function of the36penetration seals; fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors; fire damper housings; and other37fire resistance materials (e.g., flamastic, 3M fire wrapping, spray-on fire proofing38material, intumescent coating, etc.) that serve a fire barrier function; and all fire-rated39doors (automatic or manual) that perform a fire barrier function. It also manages the40aging effects on the intended function of the halon/CO2 fire suppression system.

41 2. *Preventive Actions:* This is a condition monitoring program. However, the fire hazard
42 analysis assesses the fire potential and fire hazard in all plant areas. It also specifies
43 measures for fire prevention, fire detection, fire suppression, and fire containment and

- 1 alternative shutdown capability for each fire area containing structures, systems, and 2 components important to safety.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* Visual inspection of penetration seals examines
 the surface condition of the seals for any sign of degradation. Visual inspection of the
 surface condition of the fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors and other fire barrier
 materials detects any sign of degradation. Fire damper housings are inspected for signs
 of corrosion and cracking. Fire-rated doors are visually inspected to detect any
 degradation of door surfaces.
- 9 The periodic visual inspections of the surface condition for the halon/CO₂ fire 10 suppression system are performed.
- 11 4. **Detection of Aging Effects**: Visual inspection of penetration seals detects cracking, 12 seal separation from walls and components, and rupture and puncture of seals. Visual inspection by fire protection qualified personnel of not less than 10 percent of each type 13 of seal in walkdowns is performed at a frequency in accordance with an NRC-approved 14 15 fire protection program (e.g., Technical Requirements Manual, Appendix R program) or 16 at least once every refueling outage. Visual inspection by fire protection qualified 17 personnel of the fire barrier walls, ceilings, floors, and doors; fire damper housings; and 18 other fire barrier materials performed in walkdowns at a frequency in accordance with an 19 NRC-approved fire protection program ensure timely detection of cracking, spalling, and 20 loss of material. Visual inspection by fire protection gualified personnel detects any sign 21 of degradation of the fire doors, such as wear and missing parts. Periodic visual 22 inspection and function tests detect degradation of the fire doors before there is a loss of intended function. 23
- Visual inspections of the halon/CO₂ fire suppression system are performed to detect any
 sign of corrosion before the loss of the component intended function.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* The results of inspections of the aging effects of cracking,
 spalling, and loss of material on fire barrier penetration seals, fire barriers, fire dampers,
 and fire doors are trended to provide for timely detection of aging effects so that the
 appropriate corrective actions can be taken.
- 30 6. Acceptance Criteria: Inspection results are acceptable if there are no signs of 31 degradation that could result in the loss of the fire protection capability due to loss of material. The acceptance criteria include (a) no visual indications (outside those allowed 32 33 by approved penetration seal configurations) of cracking, separation of seals from walls 34 and components, separation of layers of material, or ruptures or punctures of seals; (b) no significant indications cracking, spalling, and loss of material of fire barrier walls, 35 36 ceilings, and floors and in other fire barrier materials; (c) no visual indications of missing 37 parts, holes, and wear; (d) no visual indications of cracks or corrosion of fire damper housings; and (e) no deficiencies in the functional tests of fire doors. Also, inspection 38 39 results for the halon/ CO_2 fire suppression system are acceptable if there are no indications of excessive loss of material. 40
- 41 7. *Corrective Actions:* Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 42 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 43 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet
 44 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the

- Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 5 For fire protection SCs identified that are subject to an aging management review for 6 license renewal, the applicant's 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program is used for 7 corrective actions, confirmation process, and administrative controls for aging 8 management during the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 9 During the inspection of penetration seals, if any sign of degradation is detected within 10 that sample, the scope of the inspection is expanded to include additional seals in 11 accordance with the plant's approved fire protection program.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* Silicone foam fire barrier penetration seals have experienced
 splits, shrinkage, voids, lack of fill, and other failure modes [NRC Information Notice
 (IN) 88-56, IN 94-28, and IN 97-70]. Degradation of electrical raceway fire barrier such
 as small holes, cracking, and unfilled seals are found on routine walkdown (NRC IN 9147 and NRC Generic Letter 92-08). Fire doors have experienced wear of the hinges and
 handles.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 34 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 35 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R, "Fire Protection Program for Nuclear Power Facilities Operating
 Prior to January 1, 1979." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.48, "Fire Protection." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.2015.

- NFPA. NFPA 805, *Performance-Based Standard for Fire Protection for Light Water Reactor Electric Generating Plants*. National Fire Protection Association. 2001.
- NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.205, "Risk-Informed, Performance-Based Fire Protection for
 Existing Light-Water Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 1. ML092730314. Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 31, 2009.
- NRC Regulatory Guide 1.189, "Fire Protection for Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 2.
 ML092580550. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 27, 2009.
- NRC Information Notice 97-70, "Potential Problems with Fire Barrier Penetration Seals."
 ML031050108. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, September 19, 1997.
- NRC Information Notice 94-28, "Potential Problems with Fire-Barrier Penetration Seals."
 ML031060475. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 5, 1994.
- NRC Generic Letter 92-08, "Thermo-Lag 330-1 Fire Barrier." ML031130425.
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 17, 1992.
- 14 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-47, "Failure of Thermo-Lag Fire Barrier Material to Pass Fire
 15 Endurance Test." ML031190452. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 16 August 6, 1991.
- 17 _____. NRC Information Notice 88-56, "Potential Problems with Silicone Foam Fire Barrier
 18 Penetration Seals." ML031150042. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 19 August 4, 1988.

1 XI.M27 FIRE WATER SYSTEM

2 **Program Description**

3 This aging management program (AMP) applies to water-based fire protection system 4 components, including sprinklers, nozzles, fittings, valve bodies, fire pump casings, hydrants, 5 hose stations, standpipes, water storage tanks, and aboveground, buried, and underground 6 piping and components that are tested in accordance with the applicable National Fire 7 Protection Association (NFPA) codes and standards. Full-flow testing and visual inspections 8 are conducted to ensure that loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion, 9 microbiologically-induced corrosion or fouling, and flow blockage due to fouling is adequately 10 managed. In addition to NFPA codes and standards, portions of the water-based fire protection 11 system that are: (a) normally dry but periodically are subject to flow (e.g., dry-pipe or preaction 12 sprinkler system piping and valves) and (b) that cannot be drained or allow water to collect, are 13 subjected to augmented testing or inspections. Also, portions of the system (e.g., fire service 14 main, standpipe) are normally maintained at required operating pressure and monitored such 15 that loss of system pressure is immediately detected and corrective actions are initiated.

16 Either sprinklers are replaced before reaching 50 years inservice or a representative sample of 17 sprinklers from one or more sample areas is tested by using the guidance of the 2011 Edition of

18 NFPA 25, "Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems" to

19 ensure that signs of degradation, such as corrosion, are detected in a timely manner. Generic

20 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report AMP XI.M41,

21 "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," is used to monitor the external surfaces of buried

and underground water-based fire protection system piping and tanks.

- 24 1. Scope of Program: Components within the scope of water-based fire protection 25 systems include items such as sprinklers, nozzles, fittings, valve bodies, fire pump 26 casings, hydrants, hose stations, fire water storage tanks, fire service mains, and 27 standpipes. The internal surfaces of water-based fire protection system piping that is 28 normally drained, such as dry-pipe sprinkler system piping, are included within the scope 29 of the AMP. Fire hose stations and standpipes are considered piping in the AMP. Fire 30 hoses and gaskets can be excluded from the scope of license renewal if the standards 31 that are relied upon to prescribe replacement of the hose and gaskets are identified in 32 the scoping methodology description.
- Preventive Actions: Flushes (e.g., NFPA 25 Section 7.3.2.1) mitigate or prevent
 fouling, which can cause flow blockage or loss of material, by clearing corrosion
 products and sediment.
- 36 Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Loss of material could reduce wall thickness of 3. 37 the fire protection piping system components and result in system failure. Flow blockage due to fouling from the buildup of corrosion products or sediment in the system could 38 39 occur. Therefore, the parameters monitored are the system's ability to maintain required 40 pressure, flow rates, and the system's internal corrosion conditions. Periodic flow tests, 41 flushes, and internal and external visual inspections are performed to ensure that the 42 system maintains its intended function. Testing of sprinklers ensures that degradation is 43 detected in a timely manner. When visual inspections are used to detect loss of 44 material, the inspection technique is capable of detecting surface irregularities that could

- indicate an unexpected level of degradation due to corrosion and corrosion product
 deposition. Where such irregularities are detected, follow-up volumetric wall thickness
 examinations are performed. Volumetric wall thickness inspections are conducted on
 portions of water-based fire protection system components that are periodically
 subjected to flow but are normally dry.
- 6 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Water-based fire protection system components are subject to flow testing (except for fire water storage tanks), other testing, and visual inspections. Testing and visual inspections are performed in accordance with
 9 Table XI.M27-1, "Fire Water System Inspection and Testing Recommendations."
- 10a.Flow tests confirm the system is functional by verifying the capability of the11system to deliver water to fire suppression systems at required pressures and12flow rates.
- 13 b. Visual inspections are capable of evaluating: (a) the condition of the external 14 surfaces of components, (b) the conditions of the internal surfaces of 15 components that could indicate wall loss, and (c) the inner diameter of the piping as it applies to the design flow of the fire protection system (i.e., to verify that 16 17 corrosion product buildup has not resulted in flow blockage due to fouling). 18 Internal visual inspections used to detect loss of material are capable of detecting 19 surface irregularities that could be indicative of an unexpected level of 20 degradation due to corrosion and corrosion product deposition. Where such 21 irregularities are detected, follow-up volumetric examinations are performed. When fouling is identified, deposits are removed to determine if loss of material 22 has occurred and to prevent further degradation in the system. 23
- 24c.Visual inspection of yard fire hydrants ensures timely detection of signs of25degradation, such as corrosion. Fire hydrant hose hydrostatic tests, gasket26inspections, and fire hydrant flow tests ensure that fire hydrants can perform their27intended function and provide opportunities to detect degradation before a loss of28intended function can occur.
- Portions of water-based fire protection system components that have been wetted but are normally dry, such as dry-pipe or preaction sprinkler system piping and valves, are subjected to augmented testing and inspections beyond those of Table XI.M27-1. The augmented tests and inspections are conducted on piping segments that cannot be drained or piping segments that allow water to collect:
- In each 5-year interval, beginning 5 years prior to the subsequent period of
 extended operation, either conduct a flow test or flush sufficient to detect
 potential flow blockage, or conduct a visual inspection of 100 percent of the
 internal surface of piping segments that cannot be drained or piping segments
 that allow water to collect.
- In each 5-year interval of the subsequent period of extended operation,
 20 percent of the length of piping segments that cannot be drained or piping
 segments that allow water to collect is subject to volumetric wall thickness
 inspections. Measurement points are obtained to the extent that each potential
 degraded condition can be identified (e.g., general corrosion, microbiologically-

- induced corrosion). The 20 percent of piping that is inspected in each 5-year
 interval is in different locations than previously inspected piping.
- If the results of a 100-percent internal visual inspection are acceptable, and the
 segment is not subsequently wetted, no further augmented tests or inspections
 are necessary.
- For portions of the normally dry piping that are configured to drain (e.g., pipe
 slopes towards a drain point) the tests and inspections of Table XI.M27-1 do not need to
 be augmented.
- 9 The inspections and tests of all water based fire protection components occur at the 10 intervals specified in the 2011 Edition of NFPA 25.
- 11 If the environmental (e.g., type of water, flowrate, temperature) and material that exist on 12 the interior surface of the underground and buried fire protection piping are similar to the conditions that exist within the above grade fire protection piping, the results of the 13 inspections of the above grade fire protection piping can be extrapolated to evaluate the 14 15 condition of buried and underground fire protection piping for the purpose of identifying inside diameter loss of material. If not, additional inspection activities are needed to 16 ensure that the intended function of buried and underground fire protection piping is 17 18 maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) for the subsequent period of 19 extended operation.
- The water-based fire protection systems are normally maintained at required operating pressure and monitored in such a way that loss of system pressure is immediately detected and corrected when acceptance criteria are exceeded. Continuous system pressure monitoring or equivalent methods (e.g., number of jockey fire pump starts or run time) are conducted.
- Inspections and tests are performed by personnel qualified in accordance with site
 procedures and programs to perform the specified task. Noncode inspections and tests
 follow site procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting,
 distance offset, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an
 adequate examination.
- Aging effects associated with fire water system components having only CLB intended functions of leakage boundary (spatial) or structural integrity (attached) as defined in Standard Review Plan for Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR) Table 2.1-4(b) may be managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components." Flow blockage due to fouling need not be managed for these components.

Table XI.M27-1. Fire Water System Inspection and Testing Recommendations ^{1, 2, 5}					
Description	NFPA 25 Section				
Sprinkler Systems					
Sprinkler inspections ⁵	5.2.1.1				
Sprinkler testing ⁷	5.3.1				
Standpipe and Hose Systems					
Flow tests	6.3.1				
Private Fire Service Mains					
Underground and exposed piping flow tests	7.3.1				
Hydrants	7.3.2				
Fire Pumps					
Suction screens	8.3.3.7				
Water Storage Tanks					
Exterior inspections	9.2.5.5				
Interior inspections	9.2.6 ⁴ , 9.2.7				
Valves and System-Wide Testing					
Main drain test	13.2.5				
Deluge valves ⁸	13.4.3.2.2 through 13.4.3.2.5				
Water Spray Fixed Systems					
Strainers (after each system actuation)	10.2.1.6, 10.2.1.7, 10.2.7				
Operation test (refueling outage interval)	10.3.4.3				
Foam Water Sprinkler Systems					
Strainers (refueling outage interval and after each	11.2.7.1				
system actuation)					
Operational Test Discharge Patterns (annually) ⁶	11.3.2.6				
Storage tanks (internal–10 years)	Visual inspection for internal corrosion				
Obstruction Investigation					
Obstruction, internal inspection of piping ³	14.2 and 14.3				

 All terms and references are to the 2011 Edition of NFPA 25. The staff cites the 2011 Edition of NFPA 25 for the description of the scope and periodicity of specific inspections and tests. This table specifies those inspections and tests that are related to age-managing applicable aging effects associated with loss of material and flow blockage for passive long-lived in-scope components in the fire water system. Inspections and tests not related to the above continue to be conducted in accordance with the plant's CLB. If the CLB specifies more frequent inspections than required by NFPA 25 or this table, the plant's CLB continues to be met.

2. A reference to a section includes all subbullets unless otherwise noted. Section 5.2.1.1 includes Sections 5.2.1.1.1 through 5.2.1.1.7.

3. The alternative nondestructive examination methods permitted by Sections 14.2.1.1 and 14.3.2.3 are limited to those that can ensure that flow blockage will not occur.

4. In regard to Sections 9.2.6.4 and 9.2.7: When degraded coatings are detected, the acceptance criteria and corrective action recommendations in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42 are followed in lieu of Section 9.2.7 (1), (2), and (4). When interior pitting or general corrosion (beyond minor surface rust) is detected, tank wall thickness measurements are conducted as stated in Section 9.2.7 (3) in the vicinity of the loss of material. Vacuum box testing as stated in Section 9.2.7 (6) is conducted when pitting, cracks, or loss of material is detected in the immediate vicinity of welds. Bottom-thickness measurements are taken on each tank in the 10-year period before a subsequent period of extended operation unless condition-based bottom thickness measurements have been obtained as described in Section 9.2.7 (5) in the same time period.

5. Items in areas that are inaccessible because of safety considerations such as those raised by continuous process operations, radiological dose, or energized electrical equipment are inspected during each scheduled shutdown but not more often than every refueling outage interval.

Where the nature of the protected property is such that foam cannot be discharged, the nozzles or open sprinklers are inspected for correct orientation and the system tested with air to ensure that the nozzles are not obstructed.
 For wet pipe sprinkler systems, the subsequent license renewal application either:

- Provides a plant-specific evaluation demonstrating that the water is not corrosive to the sprinklers (e.g., corrosion-resistant sprinklers); or
- Proposes a one-time test of sprinklers that have been exposed to water including the sample size, sample selection criteria, and minimum time in service of tested sprinklers; or
 - Proposes to test the sprinklers in accordance with NFPA 25 Section 5.3.1.1.2.

8. If past testing results demonstrate that sufficient nozzles are not obstructed such that full design flow could be achieved, the test frequency does not exceed 3 years. Otherwise, tests are conducted annually except protected components that are inaccessible because of safety considerations such as those raised by continuous process operations, radiological dose, or energized electrical equipment are tested during each scheduled shutdown but not more often than every refueling outage interval.

- 1 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Visual inspection results are monitored and evaluated. 2 System discharge pressure or equivalent methods (e.g., number of jockey fire pump 3 starts or run time) are monitored continuously and evaluated. Results of flow testing (e.g., buried and underground piping, fire mains, and sprinkler), flushes, and wall 4 5 thickness measurements are monitored and trended. Degradation identified by flow 6 testing, flushes, and inspections is evaluated. Rates of degradation are trended in order 7 to confirm that the timing of the next inspection will occur before a loss of intended 8 function of an in-scope component.
- 9 6. Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria are: (a) the water-based fire protection
 10 system is able to maintain required pressure and flow rates, (b) minimum design wall
 11 thickness is maintained, and (c) no fouling exists in the sprinkler systems that could
 12 cause corrosion or flow blockage in the sprinklers.
- 13 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 14 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 15 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 16 17 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 18 19 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 20 of this program.
- If the presence of sufficient foreign organic or inorganic material to obstruct pipe or
 sprinklers is detected during pipe inspections, the material is removed and its source is
 determined and corrected.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* Operating experience (OE) shows that water-based fire
 protection systems are subject to loss of material due to corrosion, microbiologically induced corrosion, or fouling; and flow blockages due to fouling. Loss of material has
 resulted in sprinkler system flow blockages, failed flow tests, and piping leaks.
 Inspections and testing performed in accordance with NFPA standards coupled with
 visual inspections are capable of detecting degradation prior to loss of intended function.
 The following operating experience may be of significance to an applicant's program:
- 43a.In October 2004, a fire main failed its periodic flow test due to a low cleanliness44factor. The low cleanliness factor was attributed to fouling because of an

- 1accumulation of corrosion products on the interior of the pipe wall and2tuberculation. Subsequent chemical cleaning to remove the corrosion products3from the pipe wall revealed several leaks. Corrosion products removed during4the chemical cleaning were observed to settle out in normally stagnant sections5of the water-based fire protection system, resulting in flow blockages in small6diameter piping and valve leak-by.
- 7b.In October 2010, a portion of a preaction spray system failed its functional flow8test because of flow blockages. Two branch lines were found to have significant9blockages. The blockage in one branch line was determined to be a buildup of10corrosion products. A rag was found in the other branch line.
- 11c.In August 2011, an intake fire protection preaction sprinkler system was unable12to pass flow during functional testing. Subsequent visual inspections identified13flow blockages in the inspector's test valve, the piping leading to the inspector's14test valves, and three vertical risers. The flow blockages were determined to be15a buildup of corrosion products.
- 16 The review of plant specific OE during the development of this program is to be broad 17 and detailed enough to detect instances of aging effects that have occurred repeatedly. 18 In some instances, repeatedly occurring aging effects (i.e., recurring internal corrosion) 19 might result in augmented aging management activities. Further evaluation aging 20 management review line items in SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.8, 3.3.2.2.7, and 3.4.2.2.6, "Loss of Material due to Recurring Internal Corrosion," include criteria to determine 21 22 whether recurring internal corrosion is occurring and recommendations related to 23 augmenting aging management activities.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry OE, as discussed in Appendix B of the
 GALL-SLR Report.

- 28 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 29 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- NFPA. NFPA 25, *Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems.* National Fire Protection Association. 2011.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 2013-06, "Corrosion in Fire Protection Piping Due to Air and
 Water Interaction." ADAMS Accession No. ML13031A618. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 Degulatory Commission. March 25, 2012
- 34 Regulatory Commission. March 25, 2013.

1 XI.M29 ABOVEGROUND METALLIC TANKS

2 **Program Description**

3 The Aboveground Metallic Tanks aging management program (AMP) manages the effects of 4 loss of material and cracking on the outside and inside surfaces of aboveground tanks 5 constructed on concrete or soil. All outdoor tanks (except fire water storage tanks) and certain 6 indoor tanks are included. If the tank exterior is fully visible, the tank's outside surfaces may be 7 inspected under the program for inspection of external surfaces [Generic Aging Lessons 8 Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report AMP XI.M36] for visual 9 inspections of external surfaces recommended in this AMP; surface examinations are 10 conducted in accordance with the recommendations of this AMP. This program credits the 11 standard industry practice of coating or painting the external surfaces of steel tanks as a 12 preventive measure to mitigate corrosion. The program relies on periodic inspections to monitor 13 degradation of the protective paint or coating. Tank inside surfaces are inspected by visual or 14 surface examinations as required to detect applicable aging effects.

15 For storage tanks supported on earthen or concrete foundations, corrosion could occur at

16 inaccessible locations, such as the tank bottom. Accordingly, verification of the effectiveness of

17 the program is performed to ensure that significant degradation in inaccessible locations is not

18 occurring and that the component's intended function is maintained during the subsequent

19 period of extended operation. For reasons set forth below, an acceptable verification program

20 consists of thickness measurements of the tank bottom.

- 22 1. **Scope of Program**: Tanks within the scope of this program include all outdoor tanks 23 except the fire water storage tank, constructed on soil or concrete. Indoor large volume 24 storage tanks (i.e., those with a capacity greater than 100,000 gallons) designed to 25 internal pressures approximating atmospheric pressure and exposed internally to water are also included. If the tank exterior is fully visible, tank outside surfaces may be 26 inspected under the program for inspection of external surfaces (GALL-SLR Report AMP 27 28 XI.M36). Aging effects for fire water storage tanks are managed using GALL-SLR 29 Report AMP XI.M27. Visual inspections are conducted on tank insulation and jacketing 30 when these are installed.
- This program may be used to manage the aging effects for coatings/linings that are applied to the internal surfaces of components included in the scope of this program as long as the following are met:
- The recommendations of Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report AMP
 XI.M42 are incorporated into this AMP.
- Exceptions or enhancements associated with the recommendations in
 GALL Report AMP XI.M42 are included in this AMP.
- The Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) supplement for GALL Report
 AMP XI.M42, as shown in SRP-SLR Table 3.0-1, "FSAR Supplement for Aging
 Management of Applicable Systems," is included in the application with a
 reference to this AMP.

- 1 2. **Preventive Actions:** In accordance with industry practice, steel tanks may be coated 2 with protective paint or coating to mitigate corrosion by protecting the external surface of 3 the tank from environmental exposure. For outdoor tanks, sealant or caulking is applied 4 at the interface between the tank external surface and concrete or earthen surface 5 (e.g., foundation, tank interface joint in a partially encased tank) to mitigate corrosion of 6 the tank by minimizing the amount of water and moisture penetrating the interface. 7 Certain tank configurations may minimize the amount of water and moisture penetrating 8 these interfaces by design, (e.g., foundation is sloped in a manner that prevents water 9 from accumulating).
- 10 **Parameters Monitored or Inspected:** The program consists of periodic inspections of 3. metallic tanks (with or without coatings) to manage the effects of corrosion and cracking 11 on the intended function of these tanks. Inspections cover all surfaces of the tank 12 (i.e., outside uninsulated surfaces, outside insulated surfaces, bottom, interior surfaces). 13 The AMP uses periodic plant inspections to monitor degradation of coatings, sealants, 14 15 and caulking because it is a condition directly related to the potential loss of material. Thickness measurements of the bottoms of the tanks are made periodically for the tanks 16 17 monitored by this program as an additional way to ensure that loss of material is not occurring at locations inaccessible for inspection. Periodic internal visual inspections 18 and surface examinations, as required to detect applicable aging effects, are performed 19 20 to detect degradation that could be occurring on the inside of the tank. Where the 21 exterior surface is insulated for outdoor tanks and indoor tanks operated below the dew 22 point, a representative sample of the insulation is periodically removed or inspected to 23 detect the potential for loss of material or cracking underneath the insulation, unless it is 24 demonstrated that the aging effect (i.e., SCC, loss of material) is not applicable, see 25 Table XI.M29-1, "Tank Inspection Recommendations."
- 26 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Tank inspections are conducted in accordance with 27 Table XI.M29-1 and the associated table notes. Degradation of an exterior metallic surface can occur in the presence of moisture; therefore, an inspection of the coating is 28 performed to ensure that the surface is protected from moisture. Periodic visual 29 30 inspections at each outage are conducted to confirm that the paint, coating, sealant, and caulking are intact. The visual inspections of sealant and caulking are supplemented 31 32 with physical manipulation to detect degradation. If the exterior surface is not coated, visual inspections of the tank's surface are conducted within sufficient proximity 33 (e.g., distance, angle of observation) to detect loss of material. If the tank is insulated, 34 35 the inspections include locations where potential leakage past the insulation could be 36 accumulating.
- When necessary to detect cracking in materials susceptible to cracking such as
 stainless steel (SS), and aluminum, the program includes surface examinations. When
 surface examinations are required to detect an aging effect, the program states how
 many surface examinations will be conducted, the area covered by each examination,
 and how examination sites will be selected.

	ank Shell, Roof ⁴ , and Bottom ^{5, 6}	Inspection Frequency	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation	One-time inspection conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32 ⁸	One-time inspection conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32 ⁸ or periodic inspections see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.12, 3.3.2.2.12, or 3.4.2.2.9.	One-time inspection conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32 ⁸	of Tank Shell, Roof, and Bottom	Inspection Frequency	Each refueling outage interval	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation ¹³	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation or demonstrate that SCC is not an applicable aging effect, see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.5, 3.3.2.2.3, or 3.4.2.2.2.	Each refueling outage interval or demonstrate that loss of material is not an applicable aging effect, see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.3, 3.3.2.2.4, or 3.4.2.2.3.	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation or demonstrate that SCC is not an applicable aging effect,
	side Surfaces of Ta	Inspection Technique ³	Visual from inside surface (IS) or	Volumetric from outside surface (OS) ⁷	Visual from IS or Volumetric from OS ⁷	Visual from IS or Volumetric from OS ⁷	kternal Surfaces ⁹ o	Inspection Technique ³	Visual from OS	Volumetric from IS ¹²	Surface ^{10, 11}	Visual from OS	Surface ^{10, 11}
ımendations ^{1, 2}	lentify Degradation of In	Aging Effect Required Aging Management (AERM)	Loss of material	Loss of material	Loss of Material	Loss of Material	lentify Degradation of E	AERM	Loss of material	Loss of material	Cracking	Loss of material	Cracking
-1. Tank Inspection Recom	Inspections to Ic	Environment	Raw water Waste water	Treated water	Treated water	Treated water	Inspections to Id	Environment	Air – indoor uncontrolled Air – outdoor	Soil or concrete	Any indoor air environment		
Table XI.M29-		Material		Steel	Stainless steel ^{8, 14}	Aluminum		Material	Ctool	000		Stainless steel ¹⁴	

Tabl	le XI.M29-	1. Tank Inspection Recon	nmendations ^{1, 2}		
					see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.5, 3.3.2.2.3, or 3.4.2.2.2.
		Soil or concrete	Loss of material	Volumetric from IS ¹²	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation ¹³
		Any indoor air environment	Cracking	Surface ^{10, 11}	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation or demonstrate that SCC is not an applicable aging effect, see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.10, 3.3.2.2.10, or 3.4.2.2.7.
Alum		Any air environment	Loss of material	Visual from OS	One-time inspection conducted in accordance with AMP XI.M32 or demonstrate that loss of material is not an applicable aging effect, see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.13, 3.3.2.2.13, or 3.4.2.2.10.
	5		Loss of material	Visual from OS	Each refueling outage interval
		Air-outdoor	Cracking ¹⁴	Surface ^{10, 11}	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation or demonstrate that SCC is not an applicable aging effect, see SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.10, 3.3.2.2.10, or 3.4.2.2.7.
		Soil or concrete	Loss of Material	Volumetric from IS ¹²	Each 10-year period starting 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation ¹³
. .	GALL-SLF tanks, insp AMP XI.M:	: Report AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Che ections to identify aging of the exte 29. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41	emistry," is used to manage loss rnal surfaces of tank bottoms an 1 is used to manage loss of mate	of material on the internal d tank shells exposed to s rial and cracking for the e	surfaces of fuel oil storage tanks. However, for outdoor fuel oil storage oil or concrete are conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report sternal surfaces of buried tanks.
N	When one before the	time internal inspections in accords start of the subsequent period of ex	ance with these footnotes are us stended operation.	ed in lieu of periodic inspe	ctions, the one-time inspection must occur within the 5-year period
က်	Alternative from the example	inspection methods may be used to ternal surface, inspecting for corro of the AERM a surficient amount	o inspect both surfaces (i.e., inte sion under external insulation fro	irnal, external) or the oppoint the internal surfaces of pours that localized action	site surface (e.g., inspecting the internal surfaces for loss of material the tank) as long as the method has been demonstrated to be effective effects are detected. For example, in some cases, surject to beind
	demonstra examinatic	ted effective by the applicant, the lo read and the applicant, the lo ins are conducted in any areas whe	w frequency electromagnetic ter we frequency electromagnetic ter ere the wall thickness is below no	chnique (LFET) can be us minal, an LFET inspectio	energy are detected. To example, in some cases, subject to being ad to scan an entire surface of a tank. If followup ultrasonic 1 can effectively detect loss of material in the tank shell, roof, or bottom.
4	Nonwettec environme	surfaces on the inside of a tank (e. nt, and AERM.	.g., roof, surfaces above the nor	mal waterline) are inspect	ed in the same manner as the wetted surfaces based on the material,
5.	Visual insk configurati	ections to identify degradation of th on (e.g., tanks with floating covers (ne inside surfaces of tank shell, r or bladders), the SLRA includes	oof, and bottom cover all a justification for how agir	he inside surfaces. Where this is not possible because of the tank's g effects will be detected before the loss of the tank's intended function.
Ö	For tank c inspection	onfigurations in which deleterious m s of the side wall of the tank up to th	naterials could accumulate on the he top of the sludge-affected reg	e tank bottom (e.g., sedim ion.	ent, silt), the internal inspections of the tank's bottom include

Tabl	le XI.M29-1. Tank Inspection Recommendations ^{1,2}
7.	At least 25 percent of the tank's internal surface is to be inspected using a method capable of precisely determining wall thickness. The inspection method is capable of detecting both general and pitting corrosion and be demonstrated effective by the applicant.
œ	At least one tank for each material and environment combination is inspected at each site. The tank inspection can be credited towards the sample population for GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32.
<u>ю</u>	For insulated tanks, the external inspections of tank surfaces that are insulated are conducted in accordance with the sampling recommendations in this AMP. If the initial inspections meet the criteria described in the preceding "Atternatives to Removing Insulation" portion of this AMP, subsequent inspections may consist of external visual inspections of the jacketing in lieu of surface examinations. Tanks with tightly adhering insulation may use the "Alternatives to Removing Insulation for any use the "Alternatives to Removing Insulation" portion of this adhered the inspections may consist of external visual inspections of the jacketing in lieu of surface examinations. Tanks with tightly adhering insulation may use the "Alternatives to Removing Insulation" portion of this AMP for initial and all follow-on inspections.
10.	A one-time inspection conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32 may be conducted in lieu of periodic inspections if an evaluation conducted before the subsequent period of extended operation demonstrates the absence of environmental impacts in the vicinity of the plant due to: (a) the plant being located within approximately 5 miles of a saltwater coastline, or within 1/2 mile of a highway that is treated with salt in the wintertime, or in areas in which the soil contains more than trace amounts of chlorides, (b) cooling towers where the water is treated with chlorine or chlorine compounds, and (c) chloride contamination from other agricultural or industrial sources. The evaluation includes soil sampling in the vicinity of the tank (because soil results indicate atmospheric fallout accumulating in the soil and potentially affecting tank surfaces) and sampling of residue on the top and sides of the tank to ensure that chlorides or other deleterious compounds are not present at sufficient levels to cause pitting corrosion, or cracking.
<u>-</u>	A minimum of either 25 sections of the tank's surface (e.g., 1-square-foot sections for tank surfaces, 1-linear-foot sections of weld length) or 20 percent of the tank's surface are examined. The sample inspection points are distributed in such a way that inspections occur in those areas most susceptible to degradation (e.g., areas where contaminants could collect, inlet and outlet nozzles, welds).
12.	When volumetric examinations of the tank bottom cannot be conducted because the tank is coated, an exception is stated, and the accompanying justification for not conducting inspections includes the considerations in footnote 13, below, or propose an alternative examination methodology.
13.	A one-time inspection conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32 may be conducted in lieu of periodic inspections if an evaluation conducted before the subsequent period of extended operation demonstrates that the soil under the tank is not corrosive using actual soil samples that are analyzed for each individual parameter (e.g., resistivity, pH, redox potential, sulfides, sulfates, moisture) and overall soil corrosivity. The evaluation includes soil sampling from under the tank.
4.	Alternatively, a one-time inspection conducted in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32 may be conducted in lieu of periodic inspections if the bottom of the tank has been cathodically protected in such a way that the availability and effectiveness criteria of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, 'Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks', 'Table XI.M41-3,. "Inspections of Buried Tanks for all Inspection Periods," have been met beginning 5 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation, and the criteria continue to be met throughout the subsequent period of extended operation. If the tank contents are greater than 60 °C [140 °F], or the tank's surface could be greater than 60 °C [140 °F] due to exposure to the environment (e.g., direct sunlight on the surfaces of the tank), stress corrosion cracking is an applicable aging effect and surface examinations are conducted to detect potential cracking. Reference footnote 11 for the
	extent of inspections.

1 If the exterior surface of an outdoor tank or indoor tank exposed to condensation 2 (because the in-scope component being operated below the dew point) is insulated, 3 sufficient insulation is removed to determine the condition of the exterior surface of the 4 tank, unless it is demonstrated that the aging effect (i.e., SCC, loss of material) is not 5 applicable, see Table XI.M29-1, "Tank Inspection Recommendations." At a minimum, 6 during each 10 year period of the subsequent period of extended operation, a minimum 7 of either 25 1 square foot sections or 20 percent of the surface area of insulation is 8 removed to permit inspection of the exterior surface of the tank. Aging effects associated with corrosion under insulation for outdoor tanks may be managed by 9 10 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical 11 Components." 12 The sample inspection points are distributed in such a way that inspections occur on the tank dome (if it is flat), near the bottom, at points where structural supports, pipe, or 13 instrument nozzles penetrate the insulation and where water could collect such as on top 14 15 of stiffening rings. In addition, inspection locations are based on the likelihood of corrosion under insulation occurring (e.g., given how often a potential inspection location 16 17 is subject to alternate wetting and drying in environments where trace contaminants could be present, how long a system at a potential inspection location operates below 18 the dew point). 19 20 Alternatives to Removing Insulation: 21 a. Subsequent inspections may consist of examination of the exterior surface of the insulation for indications of damage to the jacketing or protective outer layer of 22 the insulation when the results of the initial inspection meet the following criteria: 23 24 i. No loss of material due to general, pitting or crevice corrosion, beyond 25 that which could have been present during initial construction is 26 observed, and 27 ii. No evidence of stress corrosion cracking (SCC) is observed.

If the external visual inspections of the insulation reveal damage to the exterior surface
 of the insulation or jacketing, or there is evidence of water intrusion through the
 insulation (e.g., water seepage through insulation seams/joints), periodic inspections
 under the insulation continue as conducted for the initial inspection.

32

33

34 35

36

37 38

39

40

41

- Removal of tightly adhering insulation that is impermeable to moisture is not required unless there is evidence of damage to the moisture barrier. If the moisture barrier is intact, the likelihood of corrosion under insulation (CUI) is low for tightly adhering insulation. Tightly adhering insulation is considered to be a separate population from the remainder of insulation installed on in scope components. The entire population of in scope piping that has tightly adhering insulation is visually inspected for damage to the moisture barrier with the same frequency as for other types of insulation inspection quantities for other types of insulation.
- 42 Potential corrosion of tank bottoms is determined from ultrasonic testing (UT) thickness
 43 measurements of the tank bottoms that are taken whenever the tank is drained or at

- intervals not less than those recommended in Table XI.M29-1. Measurements are taken
 to ensure that significant degradation is not occurring and that the component's intended
 function is maintained during the period of extended operation.
- When inspections are conducted on a sampling basis, subsequent inspections are
 conducted in different locations unless the program states the basis for why repeated
 inspections will be conducted in the same location.
- Inspections and tests are performed by personnel qualified in accordance with site
 procedures and programs to perform the specified task. Inspections and tests within the
 scope of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code follow
 procedures consistent with the ASME code. Noncode inspections and tests follow site
 procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset,
 surface coverage, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure
 an adequate examination.
- Monitoring and Trending: The effects of corrosion of the tank surfaces are detectable
 by visual and surface (for cracking) examination techniques. Based on operating
 experience, periodic inspections provide for timely detection of aging effects. The
 effects of corrosion on the inaccessible external surfaces are detectable by UT thickness
 measurements of the tank bottom and are monitored and trended if significant material
 loss is detected and successive measurements are available.
- 20 6. Acceptance Criteria: Any degradation of paints or coatings (cracking, flaking, or 21 peeling), or evidence of corrosion is reported and requires further evaluation to determine whether repair or replacement of the paints or coatings should be conducted. 22 23 Non-pliable, cracked, or missing sealant and caulking is unacceptable. When degraded 24 sealant or caulking is detected, an evaluation is conducted to determine the need to 25 conduct follow up examination of the tank's surfaces. Indications of cracking are 26 analyzed in accordance with the applicable design requirements for the tank. UT thickness measurements of the tank bottom are evaluated against the design thickness 27 28 and corrosion allowance.
- 7. *Corrective Actions:* The site corrective actions program, quality assurance procedures, site review and approval process, and administrative controls are implemented in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. As discussed in the Appendix for GALL, the staff finds the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, acceptable to address the corrective actions, confirmation process, and administrative controls. Flaws in the caulking or sealant are repaired and followup examination of the tank's surfaces is conducted if deemed appropriate.
- Any loss of material; cracking; degradation of paints or coatings (e.g., cracking, flaking,
 or peeling); or drying, cracking, or missing sealant and caulking is evaluated to
 determine whether the degradation could impact the tank's intended function prior to the
 next scheduled inspection.
- 8. *Confirmation Process:* The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the

- confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9 10. *Operating Experience:* A review of operating experience (OE) reveals that there have
 10 been instances involving defects variously described as wall thinning, pinhole leaks,
 11 cracks, and through wall flaws in tanks. In addition, internal blistering, delamination of
 12 coatings, rust stains, and holidays have been found on the bottom of tanks.
- 13 The review of plant-specific OE during the development of this program is to be broad 14 and detailed enough to detect instances of aging effects that have occurred repeatedly. 15 In some instances, repeatedly occurring aging effects (i.e., recurring internal corrosion) 16 might result in augmented aging management activities. Further evaluation aging 17 management review line items in Standard Review Plan-Subsequent License Renewal 18 (SRP-SLR) 3.2.2.2.8, 3.3.2.2.7, and 3.4.2.2.6, "Loss of Material Due to Recurring 19 Internal Corrosion," include criteria to determine whether recurring internal corrosion is 20 occurring and recommendations related to augmenting aging management activities.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry OE, as discussed in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 25 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 26 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 27 NRC. NRC Information Notice 2013-18, "Refueling Water Storage Tank Degradation."
- 28 ML13128A118. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 13, 2013.

1 XI.M30 FUEL OIL CHEMISTRY

2 **Program Description**

3 The program includes (a) surveillance and maintenance procedures to mitigate corrosion and 4 (b) measures to verify the effectiveness of the mitigative actions and confirm the insignificance 5 of an aging effect. Fuel oil quality is maintained by monitoring and controlling fuel oil 6 contamination in accordance with the plant's technical specifications (TSs). Guidelines of the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standards, such as ASTM D 0975-04, 7 8 D 1796-97, D 2276-00, D 2709-96, D 6217-98, and D 4057-95, also may be used. Exposure to 9 fuel oil contaminants, such as water and microbiological organisms, is minimized by periodic 10 draining or cleaning of tanks and by verifying the quality of new oil before its introduction into the 11 storage tanks. However, corrosion may occur at locations in which contaminants may 12 accumulate, such as tank bottoms. Accordingly, the effectiveness of the program is verified to 13 ensure that significant degradation is not occurring and that the component's intended function 14 is maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. Thickness measurement of 15 the tank bottom is an acceptable verification program.

16 The fuel oil chemistry program is generally effective in removing impurities from areas that

17 experience flow. The GALL-SLR Report identifies those circumstances in which the fuel oil

chemistry program is to be augmented to manage the effects of aging for subsequent license renewal (SLR). For example, the fuel oil chemistry program may not be effective in stagnant

renewal (SLR). For example, the fuel oil chemistry program may not be effective in stagnant areas. Accordingly, in certain cases as identified in this GALL-SLR Report, verification of the

20 areas. Accordingly, in certain cases as identified in this GALL-SLR Report, vehication of the 21 effectiveness of the fuel oil chemistry program is undertaken to ensure that significant

22 degradation is not occurring and that the component's intended function is maintained during

23 the subsequent period of extended operation. As discussed in this GALL-SLR Report for these

specific cases, an acceptable verification program is a one-time inspection of selected

25 components at susceptible locations in the system.

- Scope of Program: Components within the scope of the program are the diesel fuel oil storage tanks, piping, and other metal components subject to aging management review that are exposed to an environment of diesel fuel oil. The program is focused on managing loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion, microbiologically-induced corrosion, and fouling that leads to corrosion of the diesel fuel tank internal surfaces.
- 33 2. **Preventive Actions:** The program reduces the potential for (a) exposure of the storage 34 tanks' internal surface to fuel oil contaminated with water and microbiological organisms. 35 reducing the potential for age-related degradation in other components exposed to 36 diesel fuel oil; and (b) transport of corrosion products, sludge, or particulates to 37 components serviced by the fuel oil storage tanks. Biocides or corrosion inhibitors may be added as a preventive measure. Periodic cleaning of a tank allows removal of 38 39 sediments, and periodic draining of water collected at the bottom of a tank minimizes the 40 amount of water and the length of contact time. Accordingly, these measures are 41 effective in mitigating corrosion inside diesel fuel oil tanks. Coatings, if used, prevent or 42 mitigate corrosion by protecting the internal surfaces of the tank from contact with water 43 and microbiological organisms.

- 1 3. **Parameters Monitored or Inspected:** The program is focused on managing loss of 2 material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion, microbiologically-induced 3 corrosion, and fouling that leads to corrosion of the diesel fuel tank internal surfaces. 4 The aging management program (AMP) monitors fuel oil quality through receipt testing 5 and periodic sampling of stored fuel oil. Parameters monitored include water and 6 sediment content, total particulate concentration, and the levels of microbiological 7 organisms in the fuel oil. Water and microbiological organisms in the fuel oil storage 8 tank increase the potential for corrosion. Sediment and total particulate content may be indicative of water intrusion or corrosion. Periodic visual inspections of tank internal 9 10 surfaces and thickness measurements of the bottoms of the tanks are conducted as an 11 additional measure to ensure that loss of material is not occurring.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Loss of material due to corrosion of the diesel fuel oil tank or other components exposed to diesel fuel oil cannot occur without exposure of the tank's internal surfaces to contaminants in the fuel oil, such as water and microbiological organisms. Periodic multilevel sampling provides assurance that fuel oil contaminants are below unacceptable levels. If tank design features do not allow for multilevel sampling, a sampling methodology that includes a representative sample from the lowest point in the tank may be used.
- 19 At least once during the 10-year period prior to the subsequent period of extended operation, each diesel fuel tank is drained and cleaned, the internal surfaces are visually 20 21 inspected (if physically possible) and volumetrically-inspected if evidence of degradation 22 is observed during visual inspection, or if visual inspection is not possible. During the subsequent period of extended operation, at least once every 10 years, each diesel fuel 23 tank is drained and cleaned, the internal surfaces are visually inspected (if physically 24 possible), and, if evidence of degradation is observed during inspections, or if visual 25 inspection is not possible, these diesel fuel tanks are volumetrically inspected. 26
- Prior to the subsequent period of extended operation, a one-time inspection
 (i.e., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M32) of selected components exposed to diesel fuel oil
 is performed to verify the effectiveness of the Fuel Oil Chemistry program.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Water, biological activity, and particulate contamination
 concentrations are monitored and trended in accordance with the plant's technical
 specifications or at least quarterly. In addition, the inspection results are trended and the
 inspection periodicity is shortened when available evidence, including trending, indicates
 the acceptance criteria may be exceeded before the next scheduled inspection.
- 35 Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria for fuel oil quality parameters are as invoked 6. 36 or referenced in a plant's TSs. Additional acceptance criteria may be implemented using guidance from industry standards and equipment manufacturer or fuel oil supplier 37 recommendations. ASTM D 0975-04 or other appropriate standards may be used to 38 39 develop fuel oil quality acceptance criteria. Suspended water concentrations are in 40 accordance with the applicable fuel oil quality specifications. Corrective actions are taken if microbiological activity is detected. Any degradation of the tank internal surfaces 41 is reported and is evaluated using the corrective action program. Thickness 42 43 measurements of the tank bottom are evaluated against the design thickness and 44 corrosion allowance.

- 1 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 4 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 5 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 6 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 7 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-8 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 9 Corrective actions are taken to prevent recurrence when the specified limits for fuel oil 10 standards are exceeded or when water is drained during periodic surveillance. If 11 accumulated water is found in a fuel oil storage tank, it is immediately removed. In 12 addition, when the presence of biological activity is confirmed, or if there is evidence of 13 corrosion, a biocide is added to fuel oil.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* The operating experience at some plants has included
 identification of water in the fuel, particulate contamination, and biological fouling. In
 addition, when a diesel fuel oil storage tank at one plant was cleaned and visually
 inspected, the inside of the tank was found to have unacceptable pitting corrosion
 (>50 percent of the wall thickness), which was repaired in accordance with American
 Petroleum Institute (API) 653 standard by welding patch plates over the affected area.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 36 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 37 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- API. API 653, "Tank Inspection, Repair, Alteration, and Reconstruction." Washington, DC:
 American Petroleum Institute. April 2009.
- 40 ASTM. ASTM D 0975-04, "Standard Specification for Diesel Fuel Oils." West Conshohocken,
- 41 Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing Materials. 2004.

- ASTM D 4057-95, "Standard Practice for Manual Sampling of Petroleum and Petroleum
 Products." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing Materials. 2000.
- ASTM D 2276-00, "Standard Test Method for Particulate Contaminant in Aviation Fuel
 by Line Sampling." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing Materials.
 2000.
- ASTM D 6217-98, "Standard Test Method for Particulate Contamination in Middle
 Distillate Fuels by Laboratory Filtration." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society
 for Testing Materials. 1998.
- 9 _____. ASTM D 1796-97, "Standard Test Method for Water and Sediment in Fuel Oils by the
 10 Centrifuge Method." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing
 11 Materials. 1997.
- ASTM D 2709-96, "Standard Test Method for Water and Sediment in Middle Distillate
 Fuels by Centrifuge." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing
 Materials. 1996.
- 15 NRC. "Safety Evaluation Report Related to the License Renewal of Three Mile Island Nuclear
- 16 Unit 1, Section 3.0.3.2.12, Fuel Oil Chemistry–Operating Experience." ML091660470.
- 17 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 30, 2009.
- 18 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.137, "Fuel-Oil Systems for Standby Diesel Generators."
- 19 Revision 1. ML003740180. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
- 20 October 31, 1979.

1 XI.M31 REACTOR VESSEL MATERIAL SURVEILLANCE

2 **Program Description**

3 Appendix H of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix H 4 requires implementation of a reactor vessel material surveillance program to monitor the 5 changes in fracture toughness to the ferritic reactor vessel beltline materials which are projected 6 to receive a peak neutron fluence at the end of the design life of the vessel exceeding 7 10¹⁷ n/cm² [E >1MeV]. The surveillance capsules must be located near the inside vessel wall in 8 the beltline region so that the material specimens duplicate, to the greatest degree possible, the 9 neutron spectrum, temperature history, and maximum neutron fluence experienced at the reactor vessel's inner surface. Because of the resulting lead factors, surveillance capsules 10 11 receive equivalent neutron fluence exposures earlier than the inner surface of the reactor 12 vessel. This allows surveillance capsules to be withdrawn prior to the inner surface receiving an equivalent neutron fluence and therefore test results may bound the corresponding operating 13 14 period in the capsule withdrawal schedule.

15 The surveillance program must comply with ASTM International (formerly American Society for 16 Testing and Materials) Standard Practice E 185-82, as incorporated by reference in 17 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H. Because the withdrawal schedule in Table 1 of ASTM E 185-82 is 18 based on plant operation during the original 40-year license term, standby capsules may need 19 to be incorporated into the Appendix H program to ensure appropriate monitoring during the 20 subsequent period of extended operation. Surveillance capsules are designed and located to permit insertion of replacement capsules. If standby capsules will be incorporated into the 21 22 Appendix H program for the subsequent period of extended operation and have been removed 23 from the reactor vessel, these should be reinserted so that appropriate lead factors are 24 maintained and test results will bound the corresponding operating period. This program 25 includes removal and testing of at least one capsule during the subsequent period of extended 26 operation, with a neutron fluence of the capsule between one and one quarter (1.25) 27 times the projected peak vessel neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of 28 extended operation.

As an alternative to a plant-specific surveillance program complying with ASTM E 185-82, an integrated surveillance program (ISP) may be considered for a set of reactors that have similar design and operating features, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, Paragraph III.C. The plant-specific implementation of the ISP is consistent with the latest version of the ISP plan that has received approval by the U.S. Nuclear Paculatory Commission (NPC) for the

- that has received approval by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for the subsequent period of extended operation.
- The objective of this Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program is to provide sufficient material data and dosimetry to (a) monitor irradiation embrittlement to neutron fluence greater than the projected fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, and (b) provide adequate dosimetry monitoring during the operational period. If surveillance capsules are not withdrawn during the subsequent period of extended operation, provisions are
- 40 made to perform dosimetry monitoring.
- 41 The program is a condition monitoring program that measures the increase in Charpy V-notch
- 42 30 foot-pound (ft-lb) transition temperature and the drop in the upper shelf energy (USE) as a
- 43 function of neutron fluence and irradiation temperature. The data from this surveillance program
- are used to monitor neutron irradiation embrittlement of the reactor vessel, and are inputs to the
 neutron embrittlement time-limited aging analysis (TLAAs) described in Section 4.2 of the

- 1 Standard Review Plan for Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR). The Reactor Vessel
- 2 Material Surveillance program is also used in conjunction with AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence
- 3 Monitoring," which monitors neutron fluence for reactor vessel (RV) components and reactor
- 4 vessel internal (RVI) components.

5 In accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, all surveillance capsules, including those 6 previously removed from the reactor vessel, must meet the test procedures and reporting 7 requirements of ASTM E 185-82, to the extent practicable, for the configuration of the specimens in the capsule. Any changes to the capsule withdrawal schedule, including the 8 9 conversion of standby capsules into the Appendix H program and extension of the surveillance 10 program for the subsequent period of extended operation, must be approved by the NRC prior 11 to implementation, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, Paragraph III.B.3. 12 Standby capsules placed in storage (e.g., removed from the reactor vessel) are maintained for 13 possible future insertion.

- 15 The Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program is plant-specific and depends on the
- 16 composition and availability of the limiting materials, the availability of surveillance capsules,
- 17 and the projected neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. In
- 18 accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, an applicant submits its proposed withdrawal
- 19 schedule for NRC approval prior to implementation.
- 20 1. Scope of Program: The program addresses neutron embrittlement of all reactor vessel 21 beltline materials as defined by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G as the region of the reactor 22 vessel that directly surrounds the effective height of the active core and the adjacent 23 regions of the reactor vessel that are predicted to experience sufficient neutron damage to be considered in the selection of the limiting material with regard to radiation damage. 24 Materials with a projected neutron fluence greater than 10^{17} n/cm² (E >1MeV) at the end 25 26 of the license are considered to experience sufficient neutron damage to be included in 27 the beltline. Materials originally monitored within the licensee's existing 10 CFR Part 50. Appendix H, materials surveillance program will continue to serve as the basis for the 28 29 reactor vessel surveillance aging management program (AMP) unless safety 30 considerations for the term of the subsequent period of extended operation would require the monitoring of additional or alternative materials. 31
- For integrated surveillance programs (ISPs), the plant-specific implementation of the ISP in this Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program is maintained consistent with the latest version of the ISP plan that has received approval by the NRC for the subsequent period of extended operation.
- Preventive Actions: This program is a surveillance program; no preventive actions are identified.
- 38 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The program monitors reduction of fracture
 39 toughness of reactor vessel beltline materials due to neutron irradiation embrittlement,
 40 through the periodic testing of material specimens at different intervals that have been
 41 irradiated in the surveillance capsules that are a part of the program. The program also
 42 monitors long term operating conditions of the reactor vessel (i.e., vessel beltline
 43 operating temperature and neutron fluence) that could affect neutron irradiation
 44 embrittlement of the reactor vessel.

- 1 The program uses two parameters to monitor the effects of neutron irradiation: (a) the 2 increase in the Charpy V-notch 30 ft-lb transition temperature and (b) the drop in the 3 Charpy V-notch USE. The program uses neutron dosimeters to benchmark neutron 4 fluence calculations. Low melting point elements or low melting point eutectic alloys 5 may be used as a check on peak specimen irradiation temperature. Results from these 6 temperature monitors are used to ensure that the exposure temperature of the 7 surveillance capsule is consistent with the reactor vessel beltline operating temperature. 8 The Charpy V-notch specimens, neutron dosimeters, and temperature monitors are 9 placed in capsules that are located within the reactor vessel; the capsules are withdrawn periodically to monitor the reduction in fracture toughness due to neutron irradiation. 10
- This program includes removal and testing of at least one capsule during the
 subsequent period of extended operation, with a neutron fluence of the capsule between
 one and one and one quarter (1.25) times the projected peak vessel neutron fluence
 subsequent period of extended operation. Test results are required to be reported
 consistent with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H.
- Because the degree of neutron irradiation embrittlement is a function of the neutron
 fluence, calculations of the capsule fluence and the reactor vessel wall fluence are
 important parts of the program. The methods used to determine both capsule and
 reactor vessel wall fluence values are consistent with Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.190,
 "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining Pressure Vessel Neutron
 Fluence," as described in AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring."
- This program uses separate dosimeter capsules or ex-vessel dosimeters to monitor
 neutron fluence independent of the specimen capsules if there are no capsules installed
 in the reactor vessel.
- 25 **Detection of Aging Effects:** Reactor vessel materials are monitored by a surveillance 4. 26 program in which surveillance capsules are withdrawn from the reactor vessel and tested in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H. The ASTM 27 28 standards referenced in Appendix H describe the methods used to monitor irradiation embrittlement (as described in Element 3, above), selection of materials, and the 29 30 withdrawal schedule for capsules. Because the withdrawal schedule in Table 1 of 31 ASTM E185-82 is based on plant operation during the original 40-year license term, standby capsules may need to be converted to testing program capsules within a 32 33 withdrawal schedule that covers the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 34 Alternatively, an ISP for the subsequent period of extended operation may be 35 considered for a set of reactors that have similar design and operating features in 36 accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, Paragraph III.C. For an ISP, in some cases the plant Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program may result in no 37 surveillance capsules being irradiated in the plant's reactor vessel, with the plant relying 38 39 on data from testing of the ISP capsules from the host plants of the capsules. Additional 40 surveillance capsules may also be needed for the subsequent period of extended operation for an ISP. For ISPs, the plant-specific implementation of the ISP in the 41 42 Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program is maintained consistent with the latest version of the ISP plan that has received approval by the NRC for the subsequent period 43 44 of extended operation.

- If all surveillance capsules have been removed and tested, a plant may seek
 membership in an ISP. In addition, the plant institutes a supplemental neutron
 monitoring program, to meet the requirement of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, III.C.1.b,
 that each reactor in an ISP has an adequate dosimetry program. Alternatively, this
 program can propose implementation of in-vessel irradiation of capsule (s) with
 reconstituted specimens from previously tested capsules and appropriate and neutron
 monitoring.
- 8 If no invessel surveillance capsules are available, an alternative neutron monitoring
 9 program uses alternative dosimetry, either from invessel dosimetry capsules or
 10 ex-vessel capsules, to monitor neutron fluence during the subsequent period of
 11 extended operation. The methods used in this alternative neutron monitoring program
 12 are consistent with RG 1.190, including appropriate benchmarking, as described in
 13 AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring."
- 14 If all surveillance capsules have been removed and tested, operating restrictions are 15 established to ensure that the plant is operated under conditions that are consistent with and bounded by those to which the surveillance capsules were exposed. The exposure 16 conditions of the reactor vessel are monitored to ensure that they are consistent with the 17 18 operating restrictions. If the reactor vessel exposure conditions (neutron flux, spectrum, 19 irradiation temperature, etc.) are altered, then the basis for the projection of neutron 20 fluence to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation is reviewed and, if 21 deemed appropriate, modifications are made to the Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance 22 program. Any changes to the Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program must be 23 submitted for NRC review and approval in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H 24 prior to implementation.
- 25 Monitoring and Trending: The program provides data on neutron embrittlement of the 5. reactor vessel materials and neutron fluence data. These data are to evaluate the 26 27 TLAAs on neutron irradiation embrittlement [e.g., USE, pressurized thermal shock (PTS) 28 and pressure-temperature limits evaluations, etc.] as needed to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, and 10 CFR 50.61 or 29 30 10 CFR 50.61a for the licensed operating period of the plant. The applicable TLAAs are 31 described in subsequent license renewal applications (SLRA) Section 4.2 (see SRP-SLR 32 Section 4.2).
- 33 The plant-specific surveillance program or ISP has at least one capsule that will attain 34 projected neutron fluence equal to or exceeding the peak reactor vessel wall neutron 35 fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. The program 36 withdraws and tests the capsule(s) at an outage in which the capsule receives a neutron 37 fluence of between one and one and one quarter (1.25) times the peak reactor vessel 38 wall neutron fluence projected at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. Test results from this capsule are reported in accordance with 39 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H. If an existing standby capsule that has been previously 40 41 withdrawn from the reactor vessel is used for testing and the capsule does not require 42 additional irradiation, then that (formerly standby) capsule is incorporated into the surveillance capsule withdrawal schedule of the Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance 43 44 program upon receipt of the subsequently renewed license, and reporting of the test 45 results is governed by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H.

- 1 The surveillance program retains additional capsules within the reactor vessel to support 2 additional testing if, for example, the data from the required surveillance capsule turn out 3 to be invalid, or to provide contingencies for future use. If the projected neutron fluence 4 for these additional capsules is expected to be excessive when left in the reactor vessel, 5 the program may propose to withdraw and place one or more untested capsules in 6 storage for future reinsertion and/or testing.
- 7 If a plant has ample capsules remaining for future use, all pulled and tested samples placed in storage with reactor vessel neutron fluence less than 50 percent of the 8 9 projected neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, 10 may be discarded. All pulled and tested samples with a neutron fluence greater than 50 percent of the projected reactor vessel neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent 11 period of extended operation and all untested capsules are placed in storage (these 12 specimens and capsules saved for future reconstitution and reinsertion use) unless 13 14 the applicant has gained NRC approval to discard the pulled and tested samples 15 or capsules.
- 16 If an applicant does not have ample capsules remaining for future use, all pulled and
 17 tested capsules are placed in storage. These specimens are saved for future
 18 reconstitution, in case irradiation embrittlement monitoring by the surveillance program
 19 is reestablished.
- 20Tested surveillance specimens may be removed from storage and used in research21activities (e.g., microstructural examination, mechanical testing, and/or additional22irradiation) without NRC approval if the licensee determines that a sufficient number of23specimens will remain.
- Evaluations of the neutron embrittlement of the reactor vessel materials are based on
 the specific results of the surveillance program or from correlations that utilize the
 material chemistry and the vessel neutron fluence. These evaluation are in accordance
 with NRC RG 1.99, Rev. 2, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials," or the
 PTS rules (10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a), as appropriate, and as governed by
 those documents.
- 30 If the program determines embrittlement using surveillance data, then the applicable 31 bounds of the data, such as cold leg operating temperature and neutron fluence, are used to establish operating restrictions for the plant. If the plant uses an embrittlement 32 trend curve to determine embrittlement (such as those of RG 1.99, Rev. 2, 33 34 10 CFR 50.61, and 10 CFR 50.61a), the program ensures that the operating conditions 35 for the reactor vessel beltline are within the applicability limits of the embrittlement trend 36 curve with respect to parameters such as irradiation temperature, neutron fluence, and 37 flux, or provides technical justification for exceeding these applicability limits.
- Acceptance Criteria: Although there are no specific acceptance criteria that apply
 to the surveillance data themselves, the program provides compliance with
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, and the reactor vessel embrittlement projections are used
 to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G,
 and 10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a, and acceptability of other plant-specific analyses,
 throughout the subsequent period of extended operation.

- 1 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 4 5 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 6 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 7 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-8 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 9 Since the data from this program are used for reactor vessel embrittlement projections to 10 comply with regulations (e.g., 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, requirements, and 10 CFR 50.61 or 10 CFR 50.61a limits) through the subsequent period of extended 11 operation, corrective actions would be necessary if these requirements are not satisfied, 12 or if this program fails to comply with Appendix H of 10 CFR Part 50. If plant operating 13 14 characteristics exceed the operating restrictions identified previously, such as a lower 15 reactor vessel operating temperature or a higher fluence, this program provides that the impact of actual plant operation characteristics on the extent of reactor vessel 16 17 embrittlement is evaluated, and the NRC is notified.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 30 10. *Operating Experience:* The existing reactor vessel material surveillance program
 31 provides sufficient material data and dosimetry to (a) monitor irradiation embrittlement at
 32 the end of the subsequent period of extended operation and (b) determine the need for
 33 operating restrictions on the inlet temperature, neutron fluence, and neutron flux.
- This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 38 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 39 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 40 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, "Fracture Toughness Requirements." Washington, DC:
- 41 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

- 1 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, "Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance Program Requirements."
- 2 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.61, "Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against Pressurized Thermal
 Shock Events." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2010.
- 5 10 CFR 50.61a, "Alternate Fracture Toughness Requirements for Protection Against
 6 Pressurized Thermal Shock Events." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 7 January 2010.
- ASTM. ASTM E 185-82, "Standard Practice for Conducting Surveillance Tests of Light-Water
 Cooled Nuclear Power Reactor Vessels." Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: American Society for
 Testing Materials, (Versions of ASTM E 185 to be used for the various aspects of the reactor
 vessel surveillance program are as specified in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H). 1982.
- Eason, E.D., G.R. Odette, R.K. Nanstad, and T. Yamamoto. "A Physically Based Correlation of
 Irradiation-Induced Transition Temperature Shifts for RPV Steels." ORNL/TM-2006/530.
 MI 081000620. Oak Didae. Temperature Cork Didae National Laboration.
- 14 ML081000630. Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Oak Ridge National Laboratory. November 2007.
- NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.190, "Calculational and Dosimetry Methods for Determining
 Pressure Vessel Neutron Fluence." ML010890301. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 17 Commission. March 31, 2001.
- 18 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.99, "Radiation Embrittlement of Reactor Vessel Materials."
- 19 Rev. 2. ML003740284. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 20 May 31, 1988.

1 XI.M32 ONE-TIME INSPECTION

2 **Program Description**

3 A one-time inspection of selected components is conducted just prior to the beginning of a 4 subsequent period of extended operation (e.g., prior to the second period of extended 5 operation) in order to verify the system-wide effectiveness of an aging management program 6 (AMP) that is designed to prevent or minimize aging to the extent that it will not cause the loss 7 of intended function during the subsequent period of extended operation. For example, effective control of water chemistry under the XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," program can prevent 8 9 some aging effects and minimize others. However, there may be locations that are isolated 10 from the flow stream for extended periods and are susceptible to the gradual accumulation or 11 concentration of agents that promote certain aging effects. This program provides inspections 12 that verify that unacceptable degradation is not occurring. It also may trigger additional actions 13 that ensure the intended functions of affected components are maintained during subsequent 14 period of extended operation.

- 15 This program can also be used to verify the lack of significance of an aging effect. Situations in
- 16 which additional confirmation is appropriate include: (a) an aging effect is not expected to
- 17 occur, but the data are insufficient to rule it out with reasonable confidence; or (b) an aging
- 18 effect is expected to progress very slowly in the specified environment, but the local

environment may be more adverse than generally expected. For these cases, confirmation
 demonstrates that either the aging effect is not occurring or that the aging effect is occurring

21 very slowly and does not affect the component's or structure's intended function during the

22 subsequent period of extended operation based on prior operating experience data.

In addition, for steel components exposed to water environments that do not include corrosion
inhibitors as a preventive action (i.e., treated water, reactor coolant, raw water, or waste water),
this program verifies that long-term loss of material due to general corrosion will not cause a
loss of intended function [e.g., pressure boundary, leakage boundary (spatial), structural
integrity (attached)].

- This program does not address Class 1 piping less than 4 inches nominal pipe size. That piping
 is addressed in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M35, "ASME Code Class 1 Small-Bore Piping."
- The elements of the program include: (a) determination of the sample size of components to be inspected based on an assessment of materials of fabrication, environments, plausible aging effects, and operating experience; (b) identification of the inspection locations in the system or component based on the potential for the aging effect to occur; (c) determination of the examination technique, including acceptance criteria that would be effective in managing the aging effect for which the component is examined; and (d) evaluation of the need for follow-up examinations to monitor the progression of aging if age-related degradation is found that could
- 37 jeopardize an intended function before the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 38 The program may include a review of routine maintenance, repair, or inspection records to
- 39 confirm that selected components have been inspected for aging degradation within the
- 40 recommended time period for the inspections related to the subsequent period of extended
- 41 operation, and that significant aging degradation has not occurred. A one-time inspection
- 42 program is acceptable to verify the effectiveness of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water
- Chemistry," GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry," and GALL-SLR Report AMP
 XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis," where the environment in the subsequent period of extended

1 operation is expected to be equivalent to that in the prior operating period and for which no

2 aging effects have been observed. However, the one-time inspection for environments that do

3 not fall in the above category, or of any other action or program created to verify the

- 4 effectiveness of an AMP and confirm the absence of an aging effect, is to be reviewed by the
- 5 staff on a plant-specific basis.

6 This program cannot be used for structures or components with known age-related degradation

- 7 mechanisms or when the environment in the subsequent period of extended operation is not
- 8 expected to be equivalent to that in the prior operating period. Periodic inspections are
- 9 proposed in these cases.

- 11 1. **Scope of Program**: The scope of this program includes systems and components that are subject to aging management using GALL-SLR Report AMPs XI.M2, "Water 12 13 Chemistry;" XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry;" and XI.M39, "Lubricating Oil Analysis;" and for 14 which no aging effects have been observed or for which the aging effect is occurring 15 very slowly and will not affect the component's or structure's intended function during the 16 subsequent period of extended operation based on prior operating experience data. The scope of this program also may include other components and materials where the 17 18 environment in the period of extended operation is expected to be equivalent to that in 19 the prior operating period and for which no aging effects have been observed. The 20 scope of this program includes managing long-term loss of material due to general 21 corrosion for steel components. Long-term loss of material due to general corrosion for steel components need not be managed if two conditions are met: (i) the environment 22 23 for the steel components includes corrosion inhibitors as a preventive action; and 24 (ii) periodic wall thickness measurements on a representative sample of each environment have been conducted every 5 years up to at least the 50th year of 25 26 operation. Environments such as treated water, reactor coolant, raw water, and waste 27 water do not typically include corrosion inhibitors.
- 28 The program cannot be used for structures or components:
- Subjected to known age-related degradation mechanisms as determined based on a review of plant-specific and industry operating experience for the prior operating period,
- When the environment in the subsequent period of extended operation is not 33 expected to be equivalent to that in the prior operating period, or
- When aging effects that do not meet acceptance criteria are identified during the one-time inspection conducted in the prior operating period or during the review of plant-specific or industry operating experience.
- 37 Periodic inspections are proposed in these cases.
- Preventive Actions: One-time inspection is a condition monitoring program. It does not include methods to mitigate or prevent age-related degradation.
- 40 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* The program monitors parameters directly
 41 related to the age-related degradation of a component. Examples of parameters

monitored and the related aging effect are provided in the table in Element 4, below.
 Inspection is performed using a variety of nondestructive examination (NDE) methods,
 including visual, volumetric, and surface techniques.

- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Elements of the program include (a) determination of the sample size of components to be inspected based on an assessment of materials of fabrication, environment, plausible aging effects, and operating experience;
 (b) identification of the inspection locations in the system or component based on the potential for the aging effect to occur; and (c) determination of the examination
 technique, including acceptance criteria that would be effective in managing the aging effect for which the component is examined.
- 11 The inspection includes a representative sample of each population (defined as 12 components having the same material, environment, and aging effect combination) and, 13 where practical, focuses on the bounding or lead components most susceptible to aging due to time in service, and severity of operating conditions. A representative sample 14 15 size is 20 percent of the population or a maximum of 25 components at each unit. 16 Otherwise, a technical justification of the methodology and sample size used for selecting components for one-time inspection is included as part of the 17 18 program's documentation.
- 19 The program relies on established NDE techniques, including visual, ultrasonic, and 20 surface techniques. Inspections and tests are performed by personnel qualified in 21 accordance with site procedures and programs to perform the type of examination specified. Inspections and tests within the scope of the ASME Code¹ follow procedures 22 consistent with the ASME Code. Non-ASME Code inspections follow site procedures 23 24 that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, surface 25 coverage, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination. In addition, a description of enhanced visual examination 26 27 (EVT)-1 is found in Boiling Water Reactor Vessel and Internals Project (BWRVIP)-03 28 and Materials Reliability Program (MRP)-228.
- The inspection and test techniques have a demonstrated history of effectiveness in
 detecting the aging effect of concern. Typically, the one-time inspections are performed
 as indicated in the following table.
- 32 When using this AMP to conduct one-time inspections of aluminum piping, piping components and tanks exposed to air, aluminum structures and components (SCs) are 33 34 grouped by material type. The high strength heat treatable aluminum alloys (i.e., 2xxx 35 and 7xxx series) may be treated as a separate population when performing inspections 36 and interpreting results due to their relatively lower corrosion resistance. The relative susceptibility of moderate and lower strength alloys varies based on composition 37 (primarily weight percent Cu, Mg, and Fe) and temper designation. Grouping of air 38 39 environments consistent with the Detection of Aging Effects program element of 40 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38 is acceptable.

¹ Refer to the GALL-SLR Report, Chapter I, for acceptable editions and addenda of the ASME Code, Section XI.

Table XI.M32-1. Examples of Parameters Monitored or Inspected and Aging Effect for Specific							
Structure or Component ¹							
	Aging Parameter(s)						
Aging Effect	Mechanism	Monitored	Inspection Method ²				
Loss of Material Crevice Corrosion Surface Condition Visual (e.g., VT-1) or Volumetric or Wall Thickness (e.g., UT)							
Loss of Material General Surface Condition Visual (e.g., VT-3) or Volumetric							
	Corrosion	Or Wall Thickness	(e.g., UT)				
Loss of Material	induced	or Wall Thickness	(e.g., UT)				
Loss of Material	Pitting Corrosion	Surface Condition or Wall Thickness	Visual (e.g., VT-1) or Volumetric (e.g., UT)				
Loss of Material Erosion Surface Condition or Wall Thickness Visual (e.g., VT-3) or Volumetric (e.g., UT)							
Long-term Loss of Material	Long-term Loss General corrosion Wall Thickness Volumetric (e.g., UT) of Material						
Reduction of Heat Transfer	Fouling	Tube Fouling	Visual (e.g., VT-3)				
Cracking	SCC or Cyclic Loading	Surface Condition or Cracks	Enhanced Visual (e.g., EVT-1) or Surface Examination (magnetic particle, liquid penetrant) or Volumetric (radiographic testing or UT)				
¹ The examples provided in the table may not be appropriate for all relevant situations. If the applicant chooses to use an alternative to the recommendations in this table, a technical justification is provided as an exception to this AMP. This exception lists the aging management review line item component, examination technique, acceptance criteria, evaluation standard, and a description of the justification. ² Visual inspection may be used only when the inspection methodology examines the surface potentially experiencing the aging effect.							

1 With respect to inspection timing, the sample of components inspected before the end of 2 the current operating term needs to be sufficient to provide reasonable assurance that 3 the aging effect will not compromise any intended function during the subsequent period 4 of extended operation. Specifically, inspections need to be completed early enough to 5 ensure that the aging effects that may affect intended functions early in the subsequent 6 period of extended operation are appropriately managed. Conversely, inspections need 7 to be timed to allow the inspected components to attain sufficient age to ensure that the 8 aging effects with long incubation periods (i.e., those that may affect intended functions near the end of the subsequent period of extended operation) are identified. Within 9 these constraints, the applicant schedules the inspection no earlier than 10 years prior to 10 11 the subsequent period of extended operation.

- 12 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Inspection results for each material, environment, and aging effect are compared to those obtained during previous inspections when available.
- Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criterion for this program considers both the
 results of each individual inspection and the compiled results of the inspections for each
 material, environment and aging effect combinations.
- For individual inspections, any indication or relevant conditions of degradation detected are evaluated. Acceptance criteria may be based on applicable ASME or other appropriate standards, design basis information, or vendor-specified requirements and recommendations. For example, ultrasonic thickness measurements are compared to predetermined limits.

- For the compiled results of the inspections of each material, environment, and aging effect combination, the results must demonstrate that: (a) aging effects have not occurred; or (b) the progression of an aging effect is such that based on a projection of the observed degradation, all components in the material, environment, and aging effect combination will meet acceptance criteria at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 7 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 8 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 9 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 10 11 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 12 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-13 related SCs within the scope of this program. 14
- 15 Additional inspections are conducted if one of the baseline inspections does not meet 16 acceptance criteria. The number of increased inspections is determined in accordance 17 with the site's corrective action process; however, there are no fewer than 5 additional 18 inspections for each baseline inspection that did not meet acceptance criteria. At multi-19 unit sites, the additional inspections include inspections at all of the units with the same 20 material, environment, and aging effect combination. Where there are multiple instances 21 of inspections not meeting acceptance criteria, a periodic inspection program is 22 developed for the specific combination(s) of material, environment, and aging effect.
- Where the compiled results of the inspections of a material, environment, and aging
 effect combination does not meet the above acceptance criteria, a periodic inspection
 program is developed for the specific material, environment, and aging effect
 combination. The periodic inspection program is implemented at any of the units on site
 with same combination(s) of material, environment, and aging effect.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 40 10. *Operating Experience:* The elements that comprise inspections associated with this
 41 program (the scope of the inspections and inspection techniques) are consistent with
 42 industry practice. An applicant's operating experience with detection of aging effects
 43 should be adequate to demonstrate that the program is capable of detecting the
 44 presence or noting the absence of aging effects in the components, materials, and

- environments where one-time inspection is used to confirm system-wide effectiveness of
 another preventive or mitigative AMP.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
- 5 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 7 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 8 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 9 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 10 Commission. 2015.
- 11 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
- The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.²
- EPRI. MRP-228, "Materials Reliability Program: Inspection Standard for PWR Internals."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 2009.
- 16 _____. BWRVIP-03 (EPRI 105696-R6), "BWR Vessel and Internals Project: Reactor Pressure
- 17 Vessel and Internals Examination Guidelines." ML040440261. Palo Alto, California: Electric
- 18 Power Research Institute. January 2004.

²GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this aging management program.
1 XI.M33 SELECTIVE LEACHING

2 **Program Description**

3 The program for selective leaching of materials ensures the integrity of the components made of

- 4 gray cast iron and copper alloys (except for inhibited brass) that contain greater than 15 percent
- 5 zinc (> 15 percent Zn) or 8 percent aluminum (>8 percent Al) exposed to a raw water,
- 6 closed-cycle cooling water (CCCW), treated water, waste water, soil, or ground water
- 7 environment. Depending on the environment, the aging management program (AMP) includes
- 8 one-time, or opportunistic or periodic visual inspections of selected components that are
- 9 susceptible to selective leaching, coupled with mechanical examination techniques
- 10 (e.g., chipping, scraping). Destructive examinations of components to determine the presence
- of and depth of dealloying through wall thickness are also conducted. These techniques can determine whether loss of material due to selective leaching is occurring and whether selective
- determine whether loss of material due to selective leaching is occurring and whether selecti leaching will affect the ability of the components to perform their intended function for the
- 13 leaching will allect the ability of the components to perform their intende
- 14 subsequent period of extended operation.
- 15 The selective leaching process involves the preferential removal of one of the alloying
- 16 components from the material. Dezincification (loss of zinc from brass) and graphitization
- 17 (removal of iron from cast iron) are examples of such a process. Susceptible materials exposed
- 18 to high operating temperatures, stagnant-flow conditions, and a corrosive environment
- 19 (e.g., acidic solutions for brasses with high zinc content and dissolved oxygen) are conducive to
- 20 selective leaching.

- Scope of Program: Components include piping, valve bodies and bonnets, pump casings, and heat exchanger components that are susceptible to selective leaching. The materials of construction for these components may include gray cast iron and uninhibited brass containing greater than 15 percent zinc or greater than 8 percent aluminum. These components may be exposed to raw water, CCCW, treated water, waste water, soil, or ground water.
- Dependent on plant-specific operating experience and implementation of preventive
 actions, certain components may be excluded from the scope of this program in each
 10-year inspection interval as follows:
- The internal surfaces of internally-coated components for which loss of coating
 integrity is managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M42, "Internal
 Coatings/Linings for In-Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and
 Tanks"
- The external surfaces of buried components that are externally-coated in accordance with Table XI.M41-1, of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks," and where direct visual examinations of buried piping in the scope of license renewal have not revealed any coating damage
- The external surfaces of buried gray cast iron components that have been
 cathodically protected since installation and meet the criteria for Preventive
 Actions Category C in Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License

- 1Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report AMP XI.M41 Table XI.M41-2, "Inspections of2Buried Pipe"
- The external surfaces of buried copper alloy components that meet the above cathodic protection recommendations, if technical justification is submitted with the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) that demonstrates the effectiveness of cathodic protection in the prevention of selective leaching for those alloys.
- 8 2. *Preventive Actions:* Although the program does not provide guidance on preventive actions, water chemistry control consistent with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," or GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M21A, "Closed Treated Water Systems," to control pH and concentration of corrosive contaminants, and treatment to minimize dissolved oxygen can be effective in minimizing selective leaching.
- Barameters Monitored or Inspected: This program monitors visual appearance
 (e.g., color, porosity, abnormal surface conditions), surface conditions through
 mechanical examination techniques (e.g., chipping, scraping), and the presence of and
 depth of dealloying through wall thickness through destructive examinations
- 17 4. **Detection of Aging Effects**: Inspections and examinations consist of the following:
- Visual inspections of all accessible surfaces. In certain copper-based alloys selective leaching can be detected by visual inspection through a change in color from a normal yellow color to a reddish copper color or green copper oxide.
 Graphitized cast iron cannot be reliably identified through visual examination, as the appearance of the graphite surface layer created by selective leaching does not always differ appreciably from uncorroded cast iron.
- Mechanical examination techniques, such as chipping and scraping, augment
 visual inspections for gray cast iron components.
- Destructive examinations are used to determine the presence of and depth of dealloying through wall thickness of components.
- One-time and periodic inspections are conducted of a representative sample of each
 population. A population is defined as the same material and environment combination.
 Opportunistic inspections are conducted whenever components are opened, or buried or
 submerged surfaces are exposed.
- 32 One-time inspections are only conducted for components exposed to CCCW or treated water when no plant-specific operating experience of selective leaching exists in these 33 environments. In the 10-year period prior to a subsequent period of extended operation, 34 35 a sample of 3 percent of the population or a maximum of 10 components per population 36 at each unit are visually and mechanically (for gray cast iron components) inspected. Inspections, where possible, focus on the bounding or lead components most 37 38 susceptible to aging based on time-in-service and severity of operating conditions for 39 each population.
- 40 Opportunistic and periodic inspections are conducted for components exposed to raw 41 water, waste water, soil, or ground water and for components in CCCW or treated water

1 where plant-specific operating experience includes selective leaching in these 2 environments. Opportunistic inspections are conducted whenever components are opened, or buried or submerged surfaces are exposed. Periodic inspections are 3 4 conducted in the 10-year period prior to a subsequent period of extended operation and 5 in each 10-year period during a period of extended operation. In these periodic 6 inspections, a sample of 3 percent of the population or a maximum of 10 components 7 per population are visually and mechanically (for gray cast iron components) inspected 8 at each unit. When inspections are conducted on piping, a 1-foot axial length section is 9 considered as one inspection. In addition, two destructive examinations are performed 10 in each material and environment population in each 10-year period at each unit. Otherwise, a technical justification of the methodology and sample size used for 11 12 selecting components for inspection is included as part of the program's documentation. 13 The number of visual and mechanical inspections may be reduced by two for each component that is destructively examined beyond the minimum number of destructive 14 15 examinations recommended in each 10-year interval. Inspections, where possible, 16 focus on the bounding or lead components most susceptible to aging based on 17 time-in-service and severity of operating conditions for each population. Opportunistic inspections may be credited as periodic inspections as long as the inspection locations 18 selection criteria are met. 19

20 For multi-unit sites where the sample size is not based on the percentage of the population and the inspections are conducted periodically (not one-time inspections), it is 21 22 acceptable to reduce the total number of inspections at the site as follows. For two unit 23 sites, eight visual and mechanical inspections and two destructive examinations are conducted at each unit. For three unit sites, seven visual and mechanical and one 24 25 destructive examination are conducted at each unit. In order to conduct the reduced 26 number of inspections, the applicant states in the SLRA the basis for why the operating conditions at each unit are similar enough (e.g., flowrate, chemistry, temperature, 27 28 excursions) to provide representative inspection results. The basis should include 29 consideration of potential differences such as the following:

 Have power uprates been performed and if so, could more aging have occurred on one unit that has been in the uprate period for a longer time period?

32

33

- Are there any systems which have had an out-of-spec water chemistry condition for a longer period of time or out-of-spec conditions occurred more frequently?
- For raw water systems, is the water source from different sources where one or
 the other is more susceptible to microbiologically-induced corrosion or other
 aging effects?
- For similar environments (i.e., soil and groundwater, or raw water and waste water), the populations may be combined as long as an evaluation is conducted to determine the more severe environment and the inspections and examinations are conducted on components in the most severe environment, with one inspection being conducted in the less severe environment.
- Dependent on plant-specific operating experience and implementation of preventive
 actions, the number of inspections for certain components exposed to soil or
 groundwater may be adjusted as follows. When minor through-wall coating damage has
 been identified in plant-specific operating experience, but the components are coated in

- accordance with Table XI.M41-1 of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, the inspection
 sample size may be reduced by 50 percent of that recommended in the "detection of
 aging effects" program element of this AMP if the following conditions are met:
- There were no more than two instances of coating damage identified in each
 10-year period of the prior operating period
- An analysis demonstrates that, if the pipe surface area affected by the coating damage is assumed to have been a through-wall hole, the pipe could be shown to meet unreinforced opening criteria of the applicable piping code
- 9 Inspections follow site procedures that include inspection parameters such as lighting,
 10 distance offset, surface coverage, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning
 11 processes that ensure an adequate examination.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Trending of destructive examination results to indicate the progression of dealloying is performed. The extent of degradation (e.g., dealloyed wall thickness, percent dealloying) is projected until the next inspection period or end of the period of extended operation to confirm the component's intended functions will be maintained.
- Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria are: (a) for copper-based alloys, no
 noticeable change in color from the normal yellow color to the reddish copper color or
 green copper oxide; (b) for gray cast iron, the absence of a surface layer that can be
 easily removed by chipping or scraping or identified in the destructive examinations; and
 (c) components meet system design requirements such as minimum wall thickness,
 extended to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 23 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 24 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 25 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 26 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 27 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 28 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 29 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and component (SCs) within the scope of 30 this program.
- 31 When the acceptance criteria are not met such that it is determined that the affected 32 component should be replaced prior to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, additional inspections are performed. The number of additional inspections is 33 34 equal to the number of failed inspections for each material and environment population 35 with a minimum of five additional visual and mechanical inspections when visual and mechanical inspections(s) did not meet acceptance criteria and a minimum of one 36 37 additional destructive examination when destruction examination(s) did not meet 38 acceptance criteria. If any of the additional inspections do not meet the acceptance criteria, the number of additional inspections continues as described above until in the 39 40 last set of inspections all of the components meet the acceptance criteria.
- The program includes a process to evaluate difficult-to-access surfaces (e.g., heat
 exchanger shell interiors, exterior of heat exchanger tubes) if unacceptable inspection
 findings occur within the same material and environment population.

- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 13 10. *Operating Experience:* Operating experience shows that selective leaching has been
 detected in components constructed from cast iron, brass, bronze, and aluminum
 bronze. The following operating experience may be of significance to an
 applicant's program:
- 17a.In March 2013, a licensee submitted an American Society of Mechanical18Engineers (ASME) Code Section XI relief request because it had detected19weeping through aluminum bronze (susceptible to dealloying) valve bodies20exposed to sea water. The degraded area was characterized by corrosion debris21or wetness that returned following cleaning and drying of the surface.22(ADAMS Accession Numbers ML13091A038 and ML14182A634).
- 23 b. During a one-time inspection for selective leaching, a licensee identified 24 degradation in four gray cast iron valve bodies in the service water system 25 exposed to raw water. The mechanical test used by the licensee to identify the 26 graphitization was tapping and scraping of the surface. The licensee sand blasted two of the valve bodies and, after all of the graphite was removed, the 27 28 licensee determined that the leaching progressed to a depth of approximately 3/32 inch. Based on the estimated corrosion rate, the licensee determined that 29 30 the valve bodies had adequate wall thickness for at least 20 years of additional 31 service. (ADAMS Accession Number ML14017A289).
- 32c.Based on visual inspections conducted as part of implementing a one-time33inspection for selective leaching, a licensee identified selective leaching in a gray34cast iron drain plug of an auxiliary feedwater (AFW) pump outboard bearing35cooler. Possible selective leaching was also found on multimatic valves on the36underside of the clapper. As a result, the licensee incorporated quarterly37inspections of the components in its preventive surveillance and periodic38maintenance program. (ADAMS Accession Number ML13122A009).
- 39d.In September 2008, a licensee identified the dealloying of an aluminum bronze40strainer drum exposed to brackish water. This was identified after an unexpected41material failure occurred, during a planned maintenance evolution at an offsite42repair facility. The maintenance evolution involved rigging the strainer drum material43position for a machining operation. During the rigging, the strainer drum material44failed at the rigging attachment point to the strainer. This failure of the strainer45drum exposed the inner portion of the drum material where dealloying of the

- 1 drum was visually observed during an inspection. (ADAMS Accession 2 Number ML092400531). 3 A licensee has reported occurrences of selective leaching of aluminum bronze e. 4 components for an extensive number of years. The licensee is evaluating 5 changes to its current approach to managing selective leaching in order to 6 address the aging effect during the period of extended operation (e.g., enhanced 7 testing, metallurgical analyses of degraded components to trend material properties). (ADAMS Accession Number ML13045A356). 8 9 f. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Information Notice (IN) 84-71, Graphitic Corrosion of Cast Iron in Salt Water, September 06, 1984. 10
- 11g.NRC IN 94-59, Accelerated Dealloying of Cast Aluminum-Bronze Valves Caused12by Microbiologically Induced Corrosion, August 17, 1994.
- 13 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
- ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 17 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 18 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 19 EPRI. EPRI TR-107514, "Age Related Degradation Inspection Method and Demonstration."
- 20 Electric Power Research Institute. April 1998.
- 21 Fontana, M.G. *Corrosion Engineering*. McGraw Hill. p 86-90. 1986.

1 XI.M35 ASME CODE CLASS 1 SMALL-BORE PIPING

2 **Program Description**

This program is a condition monitoring program for detecting cracking in small-bore, American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code Class 1 piping. The program augments the inservice inspections (ISI) specified by ASME Code, Section XI, for certain ASME Code Class 1 piping that is less than 4 inches nominal pipe size (NPS) and greater than or equal to 1 inch NPS.

8 Industry operating experience demonstrates that welds in ASME Code Class 1 small-bore 9 piping are susceptible to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and cracking due to thermal or vibratory fatigue loading. Such cracking is frequently initiated from the inside diameter of the 10 11 piping; therefore, volumetric examinations are needed to detect cracks. However, ASME Code, 12 Section XI, generally does not call for volumetric examinations of this class and size of piping. 13 Specifically, ASME Code, Section XI, Subsubarticle IWB-1220, exempts all components that are 14 less than or equal to 1 inch NPS from volumetric examinations. In addition, with the exception of certain pressurized water reactor (PWR) high pressure safety injection system piping 15 16 components, ASME Code, Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, calls for surface examinations and 17 visual inspections during system leakage tests of piping components that are less than 18 4 inches NPS.

19 This program supplements the ASME Code, Section XI, examinations with volumetric 20 examinations, or alternatively, destructive examinations, to detect cracks that may originate 21 from the inside diameter of butt welds, socket welds, and their base metal materials. The 22 examination schedule and extent is based on plant-specific operating experience and whether 23 actions have been implemented that would successfully mitigate the causes of any past 24 cracking. The program relies on a sample size as specified in Table XI.M35-1 as means to determine whether cracking is occurring in the total population of ASME Code Class 1 25 26 small-bore piping in the plant.

- Scope of Program: This program manages the effects of SCC and cracking due to thermal or vibratory fatigue loading for certain ASME Code Class 1 small-bore piping.
 For the purposes of this program, small-bore piping includes piping that is less than 4 inches NPS and greater than or equal to 1 inch NPS. PWR high pressure safety injection system piping components that are subject to volumetric examinations in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, Item No. B9.22, are not within the scope of this program.
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program only; therefore, it has no preventive actions.
- 37 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* Cracking is detected through either destructive
 38 or nondestructive examinations of piping welds and base metal materials. The volume
 39 of these materials is examined to detect flaws or other discontinuities that may indicate
 40 the presence of cracks.
- 4. *Detection of Aging Effects:* A sample of ASME Code Class 1 small-bore piping welds
 42 is examined in accordance with the categories specified in Table XI.M35-1. The initial

- schedule of examinations, either one-time for Categories A and B or periodic for
 Category C, is based on plant-specific operating experience and whether actions that
 would successfully mitigate the causes of any past cracking have been implemented.
 Periodic examinations are implemented as per Category C if the one-time examinations
 detect any unacceptable flaws or relevant conditions. The scope of the examinations
 includes both full penetration (butt) welds and partial penetration (socket) welds.
- 7 The welds to be examined are selected from those locations that are determined to be 8 the most risk significant and most susceptible to SCC and cracking due to thermal or 9 vibratory fatigue loading. Other factors, such as plant-specific and industry operating 10 experience, accessibility, and personnel exposure, can also be considered to select the most appropriate locations for the examinations. The guidelines from Electric Power 11 Research Institute (EPRI) Technical Report 1011955, "Materials Reliability Program: 12 13 Management of Thermal Fatigue in Normally Stagnant Non-Isolable Reactor Coolant System Branch Lines (MRP-146)," and Technical Report 1018330, "Materials Reliability 14 15 Program: Management of Thermal Fatigue in Normally Stagnant Non-Isolable Reactor Coolant System Branch Lines–Supplemental Guidance (MRP-146S)," may be used to 16 17 determine the locations that are most susceptible to thermal fatigue.
- 18 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* For plants that fall within Categories A and B, a one-time 19 examination provides confirmation that cracking is not occurring or that it is occurring so 20 slowly that it will not affect the component's intended function during the subsequent 21 period of extended operation. Periodic examinations provide for the timely detection of 22 cracks for those plants that fall within Category C. If a component containing flaws or relevant conditions is accepted for continued service by analytical evaluation, then it is 23 24 subsequently reexamined to meet the intent of ASME Code, Section XI, Subsubarticle IWB-2420. 25
- Acceptance Criteria: Examination results are evaluated in accordance ASME Code,
 Section XI, Paragraph IWB-3132.
- 28 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 29 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 30 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 31 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 32 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 33 fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both 34 35 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 36 of this program.
- The corrective actions are to include examinations of additional ASME Code Class 1
 small-bore piping welds to meet the intent of ASME Code, Section XI,
 Subsubarticle IWB-2430. In addition, for those plants that fell within Categories A and B,
 periodic examinations are then implemented in accordance with the schedule specified
 in Category C.
- 8. *Confirmation Process:* The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the

- confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9 **Operating Experience:** Through-wall cracking in ASME Code Class 1 small-bore 10. 10 piping has occurred at a number of plants. Causes include SCC and thermal and 11 vibratory fatigue loading as described in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Information Notice (IN) 97-46, "Unisolable Crack in High-Pressure Injection Piping." This 12 13 program augments the ASME Code, Section XI, inspections to provide assurance that cracks will be detected before there is a loss of intended function. Licensee Event 14 15 Reports (LERs) 50-259/2008-002 and LER 50-317/2012-002 provide a sample of relevant operating experience. 16
- 17 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing
- 18 review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in Appendix B of
- 19 the GALL-SLR Report.

- 21 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 22 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components."
 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.¹
- 28 EPRI. EPRI Technical Report 1018330, "Materials Reliability Program: Management of
- 29 Thermal Fatigue in Normally Stagnant Non-Isolable Reactor Coolant System Branch Lines –
- 30 Supplemental Guidance (MRP-146S)." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
- 31 December 2008.
- 32 _____. EPRI Technical Report 1011955, "Materials Reliability Program: Management of
- 33 Thermal Fatigue in Normally Stagnant Non-Isolable Reactor Coolant System Branch Lines
- 34 (MRP-146)." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 2005.

¹GALL-SLR Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code, Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- 1 NRC. LER 50-317/2012-002, "Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Leakage Due to Tubing
- 2 High Cyclic Fatigue." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 3 September 2012.

LER 50-259/2008-002 and LER 50-259/2008-002-01, "ASME Code Class 1 Pressure
Boundary Leak on an Instrument Line Connected to the Reactor Vessel." Washington, DC:
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2009.

NRC Information Notice 97-46, "Unisolable Crack in High-Pressure Injection Piping."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1997.

Table XI.M	35-1 Examinati	ions			
Category	Plant Operating Experience	Mitigation	Examination Schedule	Sample Size	Examination Method
۲	No age-related cracking ^{(1) (2)}	Not applicable	One-time: completed within 6 years prior to the start of the subsequent period of extended operation	Full penetration (butt) welds: 3% of total population per unit, up to $10^{(4)}$ Welds: 3% of total population per unit, up to $10^{(4)}$	Volumetric or destructive ^{(5) (6)}
۵	Age-related cracking ⁽²⁾	Yes ⁽³⁾	One-time: completed within 6 years prior to the start of the subsequent period of extended operation	Full penetration (butt) welds: 10% of total population per unit, up to 25 ⁽⁴⁾ Partial penetration (socket) welds: 10% of total population per unit, up to 25 ⁽⁴⁾	Volumetric or destructive ^{(5) (6)}
O	Age-related cracking ⁽²⁾	Q	Periodic: first examination completed within the 6 years prior to the start of the subsequent period of extended operation with subsequent examinations every 10 years thereafter	Full penetration (butt) welds: 10% of total population per unit, up to 25 ⁽⁴⁾ Partial penetration (socket) welds: 10% of total population per unit, up to 25 ⁽⁴⁾	Volumetric or destructive ^{(5) (6)}
NOTES: (1) Must h. (2) Age-rel (3) Actions typical reps (4) The we (5) Volume examinatio (6) Each p	ave no history of a lated cracking inclu s must have been t air or replacement a lds to be examined stric examinations i n volume of interes artial penetration (a	ge-related crac Jdes piping lea aken to mitigat activities. d are selected must employ te st.	king. ks or other flaws where fatigue or stress corrosion cra te the cause of the cracking. These actions, such as d from locations that are determined to be the most risk schniques that have been demonstrated to be capable ubject to destructive examination may be credited twic	tcking are contributing factors. design changes, would general significant and most susceptib of detecting flaws and discont te towards the total number of e	lly go beyond ole to cracking. tinuities in the examinations.

1XI.M36EXTERNAL SURFACES MONITORING OF2MECHANICAL COMPONENTS

3 **Program Description**

4 The External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components program is based on system 5 inspections and walkdowns. This program consists of periodic visual inspections of metallic and 6 polymeric components, such as piping, piping components, ducting, heat exchanger 7 components, and seals. The program manages aging effects through visual inspection of 8 external surfaces for evidence of loss of material, cracking, fouling, changes in material 9 properties, reduced thermal insulation resistance, and reduction of heat transfer due to fouling. 10 When appropriate for the component and material, physical manipulation may be used to augment visual inspection to confirm the absence of elastomer hardening and loss of strength. 11 12 This program may also be used to manage cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in 13 aluminum and stainless steel (SS) components exposed to aqueous solutions and air 14 environments containing halides.

Reduced thermal insulation resistance due to moisture intrusion, associated with insulation that is jacketed, is managed by visual inspection of the condition of the jacketing when the insulation has an intended function to reduce heat transfer from the insulated components. Outdoor insulated components, and indoor components exposed to condensation, have portions of the insulation inspected or removed to determine whether the exterior surface of the component is

degrading or has the potential to degrade. Loss of material due to boric acid corrosion is
 managed by the Boric Acid Corrosion program [Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent]

22 License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report aging management program (AMP) XI.M10].

- 24 1. **Scope of Program:** This program visually inspects the external surfaces of mechanical 25 components for loss of material, hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer 26 degradation, and reduction of heat transfer due to fouling and monitors the external 27 surfaces of metallic components for leakage due to cracking. Visual inspections are 28 conducted on insulation jacketing to ensure that the function of the thermal insulation is 29 not impaired by moisture intrusion. Visual inspections are also conducted on outdoor 30 insulated components, and indoor insulated components exposed to condensation 31 (because the in-scope component is operated below the dew point) to determine 32 whether the exterior surface of the component is degrading or has the potential to 33 degrade. Cracking of SS and aluminum components exposed to aqueous solutions and 34 air environments containing halides may also be managed by this program. Visual 35 inspections or surface examinations are used to manage cracking. This program also 36 visually inspects and monitors the external surfaces of elastomeric and polymeric 37 components for changes in material properties (such as hardening and loss of strength), cracking, and loss of material due to wear. The program also inspects heat exchanger 38 39 surfaces exposed to air for evidence of reduction of heat transfer due to fouling. Cementitious components are inspected for changes in material properties, cracking, 40 41 and loss of material.
- The program also may be credited with managing loss of material from internal surfaces
 of metallic components and with loss of material, cracking, and change in material
 properties from the internal surfaces of polymers, for cases in which material and

- environment combinations are the same for internal and external surfaces such that
 external surface condition is representative of internal surface condition. When credited,
 the program describes the component's internal environment and the credited similar
 external component environment inspected.
- 5 Underground piping and tanks that are below grade but are contained within a tunnel or 6 vault such that they are in contact with air and are located where access for inspection is 7 restricted, are managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, "Buried and Underground 8 Piping and Tanks." Below grade components that are accessible during normal 9 operations or refueling outages for which access is not restricted are managed by 10 this program.
- Preventive Actions: Depending on the material, components may be coated to
 mitigate corrosion by protecting the external surface of the component from
 environmental exposure. Inspections to verify the integrity of the insulation jacketing can
 limit or prevent water in-leakage in the insulation.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: This program uses periodic plant system
 inspections and walkdowns to monitor for material degradation, accumulation of debris,
 and leakage. This program inspects components such as piping, piping components,
 ducting, seals, insulation jacketing, and air-side heat exchangers. For metallic
 components, coatings deterioration is an indicator of possible underlying degradation.
 Cementitious components are visually inspected for indications of changes in material
 properties, loss of material, and cracking.
- Periodic surface examinations are conducted if this program is being used to manage
 cracking in SS or aluminum components. Visual inspections for leakage or surface
 cracks are an acceptable alternative to conducting surface examinations to detect
 cracking if it has been demonstrated that cracks will be detected prior to challenging the
 structural integrity or intended function of the component.
- 27 Examples of inspection parameters for metallic components include:
- Surface discontinuities and imperfections (loss of material)
- Loss of wall thickness (loss of material)

36

37

- 30 Flaking or oxide-coated surfaces (loss of material)
- Corrosion stains on thermal insulation (loss of material)
- Protective coating degradation (cracking, flaking, and blistering)
- Surface examinations for the detection of cracks on the external surfaces of SS
 and aluminum components exposed to air and aqueous solutions
 containing halides
 - Leakage for detection of cracks on SS and aluminum components exposed to-air and aqueous-containing halides (cracking)
- 38 Accumulation of debris that could impede heat transfer

The aging effects for elastomeric and flexible polymeric components are monitored
 through a combination of visual inspection and manual or physical manipulation of the
 material. Manual or physical manipulation of the material includes touching, pressing

- on, flexing, bending, or otherwise manually interacting with the material. The purpose of
 the manual manipulation is to reveal changes in material properties, such as hardness,
 and to make the visual examination process more effective in identifying aging effects
 such as cracking.
- 5 Examples of inspection parameters for elastomers and polymers include:
- Surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, and dimensional change (e.g., "ballooning"
 and "necking")
- 8 Loss of thickness
- 9 Discoloration
- 10 Exposure of internal reinforcement for reinforced elastomers
- Hardening as evidenced by a loss of suppleness during manipulation where the component and material are appropriate to manipulation
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: This program manages the aging effects of loss of material, cracking, changes in material properties using visual inspection, reduced thermal insulation resistance, and reduction of heat transfer due fouling. For coated surfaces, confirmation of the integrity of the coating is an effective method for managing the effects of corrosion on the metallic surface.
- 18 Inspections are performed by personnel qualified in accordance with site procedures and 19 programs to perform the specified task. When required by the American Society of 20 Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, inspections are conducted in accordance with the applicable code requirements. Non-ASME Code inspections and tests follow site 21 22 procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, surface coverage, and presence of protective coatings that ensure an adequate 23 24 examination. The inspections are capable of detecting age-related degradation and are 25 performed at a frequency not to exceed one refueling cycle. This frequency accommodates inspections of components that may be in locations normally accessible 26 27 only during outages (e.g., high dose areas). Surfaces that are not readily visible during plant operations and refueling outages are inspected when they are made accessible 28 and at such intervals that would ensure the components' intended functions are 29 30 maintained.
- 31 Periodic visual inspections or surface examinations are conducted on SS and aluminum 32 to manage cracking. Periodic visual inspections are conducted where it has been demonstrated that leakage or surface cracks can be detected prior to a crack 33 34 challenging the structural integrity or intended function of the component. If visual inspections have not been demonstrated to effectively detect cracks prior to challenging 35 the structural integrity or intended function of the component then a representative 36 37 sample of surface examinations is conducted every 10 years during the period of 38 extended operation. A minimum of 20 percent of the population (components having the same material, environment, and aging effect combination) or maximum of 39 25 components per population is inspected. The 20 percent minimum is surface area 40 41 inspected unless the component is measured in linear feet, such as piping.

1 Alternatively, any combination of 1-foot length sections and components can be used to 2 meet the recommended extent of 25 inspections.

3 In some instances, thermal insulation (e.g., calcium silicate) has been included in 4 scope to reduce heat transfer from components to ensure that functions described in 5 10 CFR 54.4(a) are successfully accomplished. When metallic jacketing has been used, 6 it is acceptable to conduct external visual inspections of the jacketing to ensure that 7 there is no damage to the jacketing that would permit in leakage of moisture as long as the jacketing has been installed in accordance with plant-specific procedures that 8 9 include configuration features such as minimum overlap, location of seams, etc. If plant-specific procedures do not include these features, an alternative inspection 10 11 methodology should be proposed.

12 Component surfaces that are insulated and exposed to condensation (because the 13 in-scope component is operated below the dew point), and insulated outdoor components, (aging effects associated with corrosion under insulation for outdoor tanks 14 may be managed by this AMP or GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M29, "Aboveground 15 16 Metallic Tanks") are periodically inspected every 10 years during the period of extended 17 operation. For all outdoor components and any indoor components exposed to condensation (because the in-scope component is operated below the dew point), 18 inspections are conducted of each material type (e.g., steel, SS, copper alloy, aluminum) 19 and environment (e.g., air outdoor, moist air, air accompanied by leakage) where 20 21 condensation or moisture on the surfaces of the component could occur routinely or 22 seasonally. In some instances, significant moisture can accumulate under insulation during high humidity seasons, even in conditioned air. A minimum of 20 percent of the 23 24 in-scope piping length, or 20 percent of the surface area for components whose 25 configuration does not conform to a 1-foot axial length determination (e.g., valve, accumulator, tank) is inspected after the insulation is removed. Alternatively, any 26 27 combination of a minimum of 25 1-foot axial length sections and components for each material type is inspected. Inspection locations should focus on the bounding or lead 28 29 components most susceptible to aging because of time in service, severity of operating 30 conditions (e.g., amount of time that condensate would be present on the external surfaces of the component), and lowest design margin. The following are alternatives to 31 32 removing insulation after the initial inspection:

- 33a.Subsequent inspections may consist of examination of the exterior surface of the
insulation with sufficient acuity to detect indications of damage to the jacketing or
protective outer layer (if the protective outer layer is waterproof) of the insulation
when the results of the initial inspection meet the following criteria:
- i. No loss of material due to general, pitting, or crevice corrosion beyond
 that which could have been present during initial construction is observed,
 and
- 40 ii. No evidence of SCC is observed.
- 41 If: (a) the external visual inspections of the insulation reveal damage to the exterior
 42 surface of the insulation or jacketing, (b) there is evidence of water intrusion
 43 through the insulation (e.g., water seepage through insulation seams/joints), or
 44 (c) the protective outer layer (where jacketing is not installed) is not waterproof,

- 1 periodic inspections under the insulation should continue as conducted for the 2 initial inspection.
- 3 b. Removal of tightly adhering insulation that is impermeable to moisture is not 4 required unless there is evidence of damage to the moisture barrier. If the 5 moisture barrier is intact, the likelihood of corrosion under insulation (CUI) is low 6 for tightly adhering insulation. Tightly adhering insulation is considered to be a 7 separate population from the remainder of insulation installed on in-scope 8 components. The entire population of in-scope piping that has tightly adhering 9 insulation is visually inspected for damage to the moisture barrier with the same 10 frequency as for other types of insulation inspections. These inspections are not credited towards the inspection quantities for other types of insulation. 11
- 12 Visual inspection will identify indirect indicators of elastomer and flexible polymer 13 hardening and loss of strength, including the presence of surface cracking, crazing, discoloration, and, for elastomers with internal reinforcement, the exposure of reinforcing 14 fibers, mesh, or underlying metal. Visual inspections cover 100 percent of accessible 15 16 component surfaces. Visual inspection will identify direct indicators of loss of material 17 due to wear to include dimension change, scuffing, and, for flexible polymeric materials 18 with internal reinforcement, the exposure of reinforcing fibers, mesh, or underlying metal. 19 Manual or physical manipulation can be used to augment visual inspection to confirm the absence of hardening and loss of strength for elastomers and flexible polymeric 20 21 materials [e.g., heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) flexible connectors] 22 where appropriate. The sample size for manipulation is at least 10 percent of available 23 surface area.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* This program uses standardized monitoring and trending
 activities to track degradation. Deficiencies are documented using approved processes
 and procedures, such that results can be trended. However, the program does not
 include formal trending. Inspections are performed at frequencies identified in
 Element 4, Detection of Aging Effects.
- 29 Acceptance Criteria: For each component and aging effect combination, the 6. 30 acceptance criteria are defined to ensure that the need for corrective actions will be 31 identified before loss of intended functions. Acceptance criteria are developed from 32 plant-specific design standards and procedural requirements, current licensing basis 33 (CLB), industry codes or standards (e.g., ASME Code Section III, ANSI/ASME B31.1), 34 and engineering evaluation. Acceptance criteria, which permit degradation, are based on maintaining the intended function(s) under all CLB design loads. The evaluation 35 36 projects the degree of observed degradation to the end of the subsequent period of 37 extended operation or the next scheduled inspection, whichever is shorter. Where possible, acceptance criteria are quantitative (e.g., minimum wall thickness, percent 38 shrinkage allowed in an elastomeric seal). Where gualitative acceptance criteria are 39 used, the criteria are clear enough to reasonably ensure that a singular decision is 40 41 derived based on the observed condition of the systems, structures, and components 42 (SSCs). For example, cracks are absent in rigid polymers, the flexibility of an elastomeric sealant is sufficient to ensure that it will properly adhere to surfaces. Electric 43 Power Research Institute (EPRI) technical reports, TR-1007933, "Aging Assessment 44 45 Field Guide," and TR-1009743, "Aging Identification and Assessment Checklist," provide general guidance for evaluation of materials and criteria for their acceptance when 46 47 performing visual/tactile inspections.

- 1 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 4 5 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 6 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 7 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 8 of this program.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* External surface inspections through system inspections and
 walkdowns have been in effect at many utilities since the mid-1990s in support of the
 Maintenance Rule (10 CFR 50.65) and have proven effective in maintaining the
 material condition of plant systems. The elements that comprise these inspections
 (e.g., the scope of the inspections and inspection techniques) are consistent with
 industry practice.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 31 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 32 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear
 Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 35 10 CFR 54.4(a), "Scope." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 36 EPRI. EPRI Technical Report 1009743, "Aging Identification and Assessment Checklist."
 37 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. August 2004.
- 38 _____. EPRI Technical Report 1007933, "Aging Assessment Field Guide." Palo Alto,
- 39 California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2003.

- INPO. INPO Good Practice TS-413, *Use of System Engineers*. INPO 85-033. Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. May 1988. 1 2

1 XI.M37 FLUX THIMBLE TUBE INSPECTION

2 **Program Description**

3 The Flux Thimble Tube Inspection is a condition monitoring program used to inspect for thinning 4 of the flux thimble tube wall, which provides a path for the incore neutron flux monitoring system 5 detectors and forms part of the reactor coolant system (RCS) pressure boundary. Flux thimble 6 tubes are subject to loss of material at certain locations in the reactor vessel where flow-induced 7 fretting causes wear at discontinuities in the path from the reactor vessel instrument nozzle to 8 the fuel assembly instrument guide tube. A nondestructive examination methodology, such as 9 eddy current testing (ECT) or other applicant-justified and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 10 Commission (NRC)-accepted inspection method, is used to monitor for wear of the flux thimble 11 tubes. This program implements the recommendations of NRC Inspection and Enforcement (IE) Bulletin 88-09, as described below. 12

- Scope of Program: The flux thimble tube inspection encompasses all of the flux thimble tubes that form part of the RCS pressure boundary. The instrument guide tubes are not in the scope of this program. Within scope are the licensee responses to IE Bulletin 88-09, as accepted by the staff in its closure letters on the bulletin, and any amendments to the licensee responses as approved by the staff.
- Preventive Actions: The program consists of inspection and evaluation and provides
 no guidance on preventive actions.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Flux thimble tube wall thickness is monitored to detect loss of material from the flux thimble tubes during the period of extended operation.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: An inspection methodology (such as ECT) that has been demonstrated to be capable of adequately detecting wear of the flux thimble tubes is used to detect loss of material during the period of extended operation. Justification for methods other than ECT should be provided unless use of the alternative method has been previously accepted by the NRC.
- 29 Examination frequency is based upon actual plant-specific wear data and wear predictions that have been technically justified as providing conservative estimates of 30 flux thimble tube wear. The interval between inspections is established such that no flux 31 32 thimble tube is predicted to incur wear that exceeds the established acceptance criteria 33 before the next inspection. The examination frequency may be adjusted based on 34 plant-specific wear projections. Rebaselining of the examination frequency should be 35 justified using plant-specific wear-rate data unless prior plant-specific NRC acceptance 36 for the rebaselining is received outside the license renewal process. If design changes 37 are made to use more wear-resistant thimble tube materials [e.g., chrome-plated 38 stainless steel (SS)], sufficient inspections are conducted at an adequate inspection 39 frequency, as described above, for the new materials.
- 40 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Flux thimble tube wall thickness measurements are trended
 41 and wear rates are calculated based on plant-specific data. Wall thickness is projected
 42 using plant-specific data and a methodology that includes sufficient conservatism to

- ensure that wall thickness acceptance criteria continue to be met during plant operation
 between scheduled inspections.
- 3 6. Acceptance Criteria: Appropriate acceptance criteria, such as percent through-wall 4 wear, are established, and inspection results are evaluated and compared with the 5 acceptance criteria. The acceptance criteria are technically justified to provide an 6 adequate margin of safety to ensure that the integrity of the reactor coolant system 7 pressure boundary is maintained. The acceptance criteria include allowances for factors such as instrument uncertainty, uncertainties in wear scar geometry, and other potential 8 9 inaccuracies, as applicable, to the inspection methodology chosen for use in the 10 program. Acceptance criteria different from those previously documented in the applicant's response to IE Bulletin 88-09 and amendments thereto, as accepted by the 11 12 NRC, should be justified.
- 13 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 14 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 15 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 16 17 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent Licensing Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 18 19 fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 20 21 of this program.
- Flux thimble tubes with wall thickness that do not meet the established acceptance criteria are isolated, capped, plugged, withdrawn, replaced, or otherwise removed from service in a manner that ensures the integrity of the reactor coolant system pressure boundary is maintained. Analyses may allow repositioning of flux thimble tubes that are approaching the acceptance criteria limit. Repositioning of a tube exposes a different portion of the tube to the discontinuity that is causing the wear.
- Flux thimble tubes that cannot be inspected over the tube length, that are subject to wear due to restriction or other defects, and that cannot be shown by analysis to be satisfactory for continued service are removed from service to ensure the integrity of the reactor coolant system pressure boundary
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

- 10. Operating Experience: In IE Bulletin 88-09 the NRC requested that licensees
 implement a flux thimble tube inspection program due to several instances of leaks and
 due to licensees identifying wear. Utilities established inspection programs in
 accordance with IE Bulletin 88-09, which have shown excellent results in identifying and
 managing wear of flux thimble tubes. However, leakage events due to accelerated wear
 have occurred (see NRC EN Report 42822, dated August 31, 2006).
- As discussed in IE Bulletin 88-09, the amount of vibration the thimble tubes experience
 is determined by many plant-specific factors. Therefore, the only effective method for
 determining thimble tube integrity is through inspections, which are adjusted to account
 for plant-specific wear patterns and history.
- 11 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 12 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 13 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 15 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 16 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 17 NRC. NRC Licensee Event Notification [EN] 42822, "Technical Specification Required
- Shutdown Due to Unidentified Reactor Coolant System Leak." Washington, DC: U.S. NuclearRegulatory Commission. August 2006.
- 20 _____. NRC IE Bulletin 88-09, "Thimble Tube Thinning in Westinghouse Reactors." 21 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1988.
- 22 _____. NRC Information Notice No. 87-44, "Thimble Tube Thinning in Westinghouse Reactors."
 23 Supplement 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1988.
- 24 _____. NRC Information Notice No. 87-44, "Thimble Tube Thinning in Westinghouse Reactors."
 25 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 1987.

1XI.M38INSPECTION OF INTERNAL SURFACES IN MISCELLANEOUS2PIPING AND DUCTING COMPONENTS

3 **Program Description**

4 The program consists of inspections of the internal surfaces of metallic piping, piping 5 components, and piping elements, ducting, heat exchanger components, polymeric and elastomeric components, and other components exposed to uncontrolled indoor air, outdoor air, 6 7 air with borated water leakage, condensation, moist air, diesel exhaust, fuel oil, lubricating oil, 8 and any water system other than open-cycle cooling water system [age-managed by Generic 9 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report aging 10 management program (AMP) XI.M20], closed treated water system, (age-managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M21A), and fire water system (age-managed by GALL-SLR Report 11 12 AMP XI.M27). However, elastomers and flexible polymeric components exposed to raw water, 13 closed-cycle cooling water, and fire water are managed by this program. In addition, fire water 14 system components with only a leakage boundary (spatial) or structural integrity (attached) 15 intended function are managed by this program.

16 These internal inspections are performed during the periodic system and component

17 surveillances or during the performance of maintenance activities when the surfaces are made

18 accessible for visual inspection. The program includes visual inspections to ensure that existing

environmental conditions are not causing material degradation that could result in loss of a
 component's intended functions. For certain materials, such as flexible polymers, physical

21 manipulation or pressurization to detect hardening or loss of strength is used to augment the

visual examinations conducted under this program. This program may also be used to manage

23 cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in aluminum and stainless steel (SS)

24 components exposed to aqueous solutions and air environments containing halides. If visual

25 inspection of internal surfaces is not possible, then the applicant needs to provide a

26 plant-specific program.

27 This program, as written, is not intended for use on components in which recurring internal

28 corrosion is evident based on a search of plant-specific operating experience conducted during

29 the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) development. If operating experience

indicates that there has been recurring internal corrosion, a plant-specific program will be
 necessary unless this program, or another new or existing program, includes augmented

32 requirements to ensure that any recurring aging effects are adequately managed (e.g., Standard

33 Review Plan-Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR) Sections 3.2.2.2.8, 3.3.2.2.7, 3.4.2.2.6).

Following failure due to recurring internal corrosion, this program may be used if the failed

35 material is replaced by one that is more corrosion resistant in the environment of interest, or

36 corrective actions have been taken to prevent recurrence of the recurring internal corrosion.

37 Evaluation and Technical Basis

 Scope of Program: This program includes the internal surfaces of piping, piping components, piping elements, ducting, heat exchanger components, polymeric and elastomeric components, and other components. Inspections are performed when the internal surfaces are accessible during the performance of periodic surveillances or during maintenance activities or scheduled outages. This program is not intended for components where loss of intended function has occurred due to age-related degradation. Cracking of SS and aluminum components exposed to agueous solutions and air environments containing halides may also be managed by this program. Visual
 inspections or surface examinations are used to manage cracking.

3 For situations in which the material and environment combinations are similar for the 4 internal and external surfaces such that the external surface condition is representative 5 of the internal surface condition, external inspections of components may be credited for 6 managing: (a) loss of material and cracking of internal surfaces of metallic components, 7 and (b) loss of material, cracking, and change in material properties from the internal surfaces of polymeric components. When credited, the program describes the 8 9 component's internal environment and the credited external component's environment inspected and provides the basis to justify that the external and internal surface 10 condition and environment are sufficiently similar. 11

- Preventive Actions: This program is a condition monitoring program to detect signs of degradation and does not provide guidance for prevention.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: This program manages loss of material, cracking, reduction of heat transfer due to fouling, and changes in material properties.
 This program monitors surface conditions or wall thickness to identify loss of material due to corrosion mechanisms for metals and loss of material due to erosion and wear for elastomers and polymers. This program also monitors for changes in visual appearance for elastomers and polymers and suppleness to identify changes in materials properties of elastomers and flexible polymers.
- Periodic surface examinations are conducted if this program is being used to manage
 cracking in SS or aluminum components. Visual inspections for leakage or surface
 cracks are an acceptable alternative to conducting surface examinations to detect
 cracking if it has been demonstrated that cracks will be detected prior to challenging the
 structural integrity or intended function of the component.
- 26 Indicators of loss of material for metallic components include the following:
- Surface discontinuities and imperfections
- 28 Loss of wall thickness
- Flaking or oxide-coated surfaces
- Debris from the air environment accumulating on heat exchanger tube surfaces
 (reduction of heat transfer due to fouling)
- Surface examinations for the detection of cracks on the surfaces of SS and aluminum components exposed to air and aqueous solutions containing halides
- Leakage for detection of cracks on the surfaces of SS and aluminum
 components exposed to air and aqueous solutions containing halides

36

3 Surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, loss of sealing, and dimensional change (e.g., "ballooning" and "necking") 4 5 Loss of wall thickness 6 Discoloration • 7 Exposure of internal reinforcement for reinforced elastomers • 8 Hardening as evidenced by a loss of suppleness during manipulation where the 9 component and material are appropriate to manipulation 10 Detection of Aging Effects: Visual and mechanical (e.g., involving manipulation or 4. 11 pressurization of elastomers and flexible polymeric components) inspections conducted under this program are opportunistic in nature; they are conducted whenever piping, 12 heat exchangers, or ducting are opened for any reason. At a minimum, in each 10-year 13 14 period during the subsequent period of extended operation, a representative sample of 15 20 percent of the population (defined as components having the same material, environment, and aging effect combination) or a maximum of 25 components per 16 17 population is inspected at each unit. Otherwise, a technical justification of the methodology and sample size used for selecting components for inspection is included 18 19 as part of the program's documentation. For multi-unit sites where the sample size is 20 not based on the percentage of the population, it is acceptable to reduce the total 21 number of inspections at the site as follows. For two-unit sites, 19 components are 22 inspected per unit and for a three-unit site, 17 components are inspected per unit. In 23 order to conduct 17 or 19 inspections at a unit in lieu of 25, the applicant states in the 24 SLRA the basis for why the operating conditions at each unit are similar enough (e.g., flowrate, chemistry, temperature, excursions) to provide representative inspection 25 26 results. The basis should include consideration of potential differences such as 27 the following: 28 Have power uprates been performed and if so, could more aging have occurred • 29 on one unit that has been in the uprate period for a longer time period? 30 Are there any systems which have had an out-of-spec water chemistry condition • 31 for a longer period of time or out-of-spec conditions occurred more frequently? 32 For raw water systems, is the water source from different sources where one or • the other is more susceptible to microbiologically-induced corrosion or other 33 aging effects? 34 35 For components exposed to diesel exhaust, have certain diesels more operating • 36 more frequently and thus exposed to more cool down transients such that more deleterious materials could accumulate? 37 38 Where practical, the inspection includes a representative sample of the system population and focuses on the bounding or lead components most susceptible to aging 39 because of time in service and severity of operating conditions. This minimum sample 40

Indicators of loss of material and changes in material properties of elastomeric

and polymeric materials include the following:

1

2

•

- 1 size does not override the opportunistic inspection basis of this aging management 2 program (AMP). Opportunistic inspections continue even though in a given 10 year 3 period, 20 percent or 25 components might have already been inspected. An inspection 4 of a component in a more severe environment may be credited as an inspection for the 5 specified environment and for the same material and aging effects in a less severe 6 environment (e.g., a moist air environment is more severe than an indoor controlled air 7 environment because the moisture in the former environment is more likely to result in 8 loss of material than would be expected from the normally dry surfaces associated with 9 the latter environment). Alternatively, similar environments (e.g., internal uncontrolled 10 indoor, controlled indoor, dry air environments) can be combined into a larger population 11 provided that the inspections occur on components located in the most 12 severe environment.
- 13 Periodic visual inspections or surface examinations are conducted on SS and aluminum 14 to manage cracking. Periodic visual inspections are conducted where it has been 15 demonstrated that leakage or surface cracks can be detected prior to a crack challenging the structural integrity or intended function of the component. If visual 16 17 inspections have not been demonstrated to effectively detect cracks prior to challenging the structural integrity or intended function of the component then a representative 18 sample of surface examinations is conducted every 10 years during the period of 19 20 extended operation. A minimum of 20 percent of the population (components having the same material, environment, and aging effect combination) or maximum of 21 22 25 components per population is inspected. The 20 percent minimum is surface area 23 inspected unless the component is measured in linear feet, such as piping. Alternatively, any combination of 1-foot length sections and components can be used to 24 25 meet the recommended extent of 25 inspections.
- 26 To determine the condition of internal surfaces of buried and underground piping, 27 inspections of the interior surfaces of accessible piping may be credited if the accessible and buried or underground component material, environment, and aging effects are 28 29 similar. When inspections of the interior surfaces of accessible components with similar 30 material, environment, and aging effects as the interior surfaces of buried or 31 underground piping are not conducted, the sample population will be inspected using 32 volumetric or internal visual inspections capable of detecting loss of material on the internal surfaces of the buried or underground piping. 33
- 34 Visual inspections include all accessible surfaces. Inspections and tests are performed 35 by personnel qualified in accordance with site procedures and programs to perform the specified task. Unless otherwise required [e.g., by the American Society of Mechanical 36 Engineers (ASME) code], inspections follow site procedures that include inspection 37 38 parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, surface coverage, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination. The 39 40 inspection procedures must be capable of detecting the aging effect(s) under 41 consideration. These inspections provide for the detection of aging effects before the loss of component function. Visual inspection of flexible polymeric components is 42 43 performed whenever the component surface is accessible. Visual inspection can provide indirect indicators of the presence of surface cracking, crazing, and 44 discoloration. For elastomers with internal reinforcement, visual inspection can detect 45 46 the exposure of reinforcing fibers, mesh, or underlying metal. Visual and tactile inspections are performed when the internal surfaces become accessible during the 47 48 performance of periodic surveillances or during maintenance activities or scheduled

- outages. Visual inspection provides direct indicators of loss of material due to wear,
 including dimensional change, scuffing, and the exposure of reinforcing fibers, mesh, or
 underlying metal for flexible polymeric materials with internal reinforcement.
- Manual or, physical manipulation or pressurization of flexible polymeric components is
 used to augment visual inspection, where appropriate, to assess loss of material or
 strength. The sample size for manipulation is at least 10 percent of accessible surface
 area, including visually identified suspect areas. For flexible polymeric materials,
 hardening, loss of strength, or loss of material due to wear is expected to be detectable
 before any loss of intended function.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* This program uses standardized monitoring and trending activities to track degradation. Deficiencies are documented using approved processes and procedures such that results can be trended. However, the program does not include formal trending. Inspections are performed at frequencies identified in Element 4, Detection of Aging Effects.
- 15 Acceptance Criteria: For each component and aging effect combination, the 6. 16 acceptance criteria are defined to ensure that the need for corrective actions is identified 17 before the loss of intended functions. Acceptance criteria are developed from 18 plant-specific design standards and procedural requirements, current licensing basis 19 (CLB), industry codes or standards (e.g., ASME Code Section III, ANSI/ASME B31.1), 20 and engineering evaluation. Acceptance criteria, which permit degradation, are based 21 on maintaining the intended function(s) under all CLB design loads. The evaluation projects the degree of observed degradation to the end of the subsequent period of 22 extended operation or the next scheduled inspection, whichever is shorter. Where 23 24 possible, acceptance criteria are quantitative (e.g., minimum wall thickness, percent 25 shrinkage allowed in an elastomeric seal). Where qualitative acceptance criteria are used, the criteria is clear enough to reasonably ensure that a singular decision is derived 26 27 based on the observed condition of the systems, structures, and components (SSC). 28 For example, cracks are absent in rigid polymers, the flexibility of an elastomeric sealant is sufficient to ensure that it will properly adhere to surfaces. 29
- 30 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 31 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 32 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 33 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 34 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 35 36 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 37 of this program.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 44 9. *Administrative Controls*: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 45 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,

- associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 5 10. **Operating Experience:** Inspections of internal surfaces during the performance of 6 periodic surveillance and maintenance activities have been in effect at many utilities in 7 support of plant component reliability programs. These activities have proven effective in maintaining the material condition of plant SSCs. The elements that comprise these 8 9 inspections (e.g., the scope of the inspections and inspection techniques) are consistent 10 with industry practice and staff expectations. The applicant evaluates recent operating experience and provides objective evidence to support the conclusion that the effects of 11 12 aging are adequately managed.
- 13 The review of plant-specific operating experience during the development of this program is to be broad and detailed enough to detect instances of aging effects that 14 15 have occurred repeatedly. In some instances, repeatedly occurring aging effects 16 (i.e., recurring internal corrosion) might result in augmented aging management 17 activities. Further evaluation aging management review line items in SRP-SLR Sections 3.2.2.2.8, 3.3.2.2.7, and 3.4.2.2.6, "Loss of Material due to Recurring Internal Corrosion," 18 19 include criteria to determine whether recurring internal corrosion is occurring and 20 recommendations related to augmenting aging management activities.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 25 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 26 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- EPRI. EPRI Technical Report 1009743, "Aging Identification and Assessment Checklist."
 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. August 2004.
- 29 _____. EPRI Technical Report 1007933, "Aging Assessment Field Guide." Palo Alto,
 30 California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2003.
- 31 INPO. INPO Good Practice TS-413, "Use of System Engineers." INPO 85-033. Institute of
- 32 Nuclear Power Operations. May 1988.

1 XI.M39 LUBRICATING OIL ANALYSIS

2 **Program Description**

3 The purpose of the Lubricating Oil Analysis program is to ensure that the oil environment in the 4 mechanical systems is maintained to the required quality to prevent or mitigate age-related 5 degradation of components within the scope of this program. This program maintains oil 6 systems contaminants (primarily water and particulates) within acceptable limits, thereby 7 preserving an environment that is not conducive to loss of material or reduction of heat transfer. 8 Lubricating oil testing activities include sampling and analysis of lubricating oil for detrimental 9 contaminants. The presence of water or particulates may also be indicative of inleakage and 10 corrosion product buildup.

- 11 Although primarily a sampling program, the lubricating oil analysis program is generally effective
- in monitoring and controlling impurities. The GALL-SLR Report identifies when the program is
 to be augmented to manage the effects of aging for subsequent license renewal (SLR).
- Accordingly, in certain cases identified in this GALL-SLR Report, verification of the effectiveness
- 15 of the Lubricating Oil Analysis program is undertaken to ensure that significant degradation is
- 16 not occurring and that the component's intended function is maintained during the subsequent
- 17 period of extended operation. For these specific cases, an acceptable verification program is a
- 18 one-time inspection of selected components at susceptible locations in the system.

- Scope of Program: The program manages the aging effects of loss of material due to corrosion or reduction of heat transfer due to fouling. Components within the scope of the program include piping, piping components, and piping elements; heat exchanger tubes; reactor coolant pump elements; and any other plant components subject to aging management review (AMR) that are exposed to an environment of lubricating oil (including nonwater-based hydraulic oils).
- Preventive Actions: The Lubricating Oil Analysis program maintains oil system contaminants (primarily water and particulates) within acceptable limits.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: This program performs a check for water and a
 particle count to detect evidence of contamination by moisture or excessive corrosion.
- 30 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** Moisture or corrosion products increase the potential for. 31 or may be indicative of, loss of material due to corrosion and reduction of heat transfer 32 due to fouling. The program performs periodic sampling and testing of lubricating oil for 33 moisture and corrosion particles in accordance with industry standards. The program 34 recommends sampling and testing of the old oil following periodic oil changes or on a 35 schedule consistent with equipment manufacturer's recommendations or industry 36 standards (e.g., ASTM D 6224-02). Plant-specific operating experience also may be 37 used to augment manufacturer's recommendations or industry standards in determining 38 the schedule for periodic sampling and testing when justified by prior sampling results.
- In certain cases, as identified by the AMR Items in this GALL-SLR Report, inspection of
 selected components is to be undertaken to verify the effectiveness of the program and
 to ensure that significant degradation is not occurring and that the component intended
 function is maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation.

- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Oil analysis results are reviewed to determine if alert levels or limits have been reached or exceeded. This review also checks for unusual trends.
- Acceptance Criteria: Water and particle concentration should not exceed limits based
 on equipment manufacturer's recommendations or industry standards. Phase-separated
 water in any amount is not acceptable.
- 6 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 7 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 8 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 9 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 10 11 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 12 fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 13 14 of this program.
- 15 Corrective actions may include increased monitoring, corrective maintenance, further 16 laboratory analysis, and engineering evaluation of the system. If a limit is reached or 17 exceeded, actions to address the condition are taken.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 30 10. *Operating Experience*: The operating experience at some plants has identified
 31 (a) water in the lubricating oil and (b) particulate contamination. However, no instances
 32 of component failures attributed to lubricating oil contamination have been identified.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 37 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 38 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 39 ASTM. ASTM D 6224-02, "Standard Practice for In-Service Monitoring of Lubricating Oil for
- 40 Auxiliary Power Plant Equipment." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society of
- 41 Testing Materials. 2002.

XI.M40 MONITORING OF NEUTRON-ABSORBING MATERIALS 1 2 OTHER THAN BORAFLEX

3 **Program Description**

4 Many neutron-absorbing materials are used in spent fuel pools. This aging management 5 program (AMP) addresses aging management of spent fuel pools that use materials other 6 than Boraflex, such as Boral. Metamic, boron steel, and Carborundum. Information Notice 7 (IN) 2009-26. Degradation of Neutron-Absorbing Materials in the Spent Fuel Pool, discusses the 8 degradation of Carborundum as well as the deformation of Boral panels in spent fuel pools. 9 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M22, "Boraflex Monitoring," addresses aging management of spent 10 fuel pools that use Boraflex as the neutron-absorbing material. When a spent fuel pool criticality analysis credits both Boraflex and materials other than Boraflex, the guidance in both AMPs 11

- 12 XI.M22 and XI.M40 applies.
- 13 A monitoring program is implemented to assure that degradation of the neutron-absorbing
- 14 material used in spent fuel pools that could compromise the criticality analysis will be detected.
- 15 The AMP relies on periodic inspection, testing, monitoring, and analysis of the criticality design
- 16 to assure that the required 5 percent subcriticality margin is maintained during the period
- 17 of subsequent license renewal (SLR).

- 19 1. Scope of Program: The AMP manages the effects of aging on neutron-absorbing 20 components/materials other than Boraflex used in spent fuel racks.
- 21 2. **Preventive Actions:** This AMP is a condition monitoring program. Therefore, there are 22 no preventative actions.
- 23 3. **Parameters Monitored or Inspected:** For these materials, gamma irradiation and/or 24 long-term exposure to the wet pool environment may cause loss of material and 25 changes in dimension (such as gap formation, formation of blisters, pits and bulges) that 26 could result in loss of neutron-absorbing capability of the material. The parameters 27 monitored include the physical condition of the neutron-absorbing materials, such as 28 in-situ gap formation, geometric changes in the material (formation of blisters, pits, and 29 bulges) as observed from coupons or *in situ*, and decreased boron-10 areal density, etc. 30 The parameters monitored are directly related to determination of the loss of material or 31 loss of neutron absorption capability of the material(s).
- 32 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** The loss of material and the degradation of neutron 33 absorbing material capacity are determined through coupon and/or direct *in-situ* testing. 34 Such testing should include periodic verification of boron loss through boron-10 areal 35 density measurement of coupons or through direct *in-situ* techniques. In addition to measuring boron content, testing should also be capable of identifying indications of 36 37 geometric changes in the material (blistering, pitting, and bulging). The frequency of the inspection and testing depends on the condition of the neutron-absorbing material and is 38 39 determined and justified with plant-specific operating experience by the licensee. The 40 maximum interval between inspections for polymer-based materials (e.g., Carborundum, 41 Tetrabor), regardless of operating experience, should not exceed 5 years. The 42 maximum interval between inspections for nonpolymer-based materials [(e.g., Boral,

- Metamic, Boralcan, borated stainless steel (SS)], regardless of operating experience,
 should not exceed 10 years.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending*: The measurements from periodic inspections and analysis are compared to baseline information or prior measurements and analysis for trend analysis. The approach for relating the measurements to the performance of the spent fuel neutron absorber materials is specified by the applicant, considering differences in exposure conditions, vented/nonvented test samples, and spent fuel racks, etc.
- 8 6. Acceptance Criteria: Although the goal is to ensure maintenance of the 5 percent subcriticality margin for the spent fuel pool, the specific acceptance criteria for the measurements and analyses are specified by the applicant.
- 11 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 12 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 13 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 14 15 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 16 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-17 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 18
- Corrective actions are initiated if the results from measurements and analysis indicate
 that the 5 percent subcriticality margin cannot be maintained because of current or
 projected future degradation of the neutron-absorbing material. Corrective actions may
 consist of providing additional neutron-absorbing capacity with an alternate material, or
 applying other options, which are available to maintain the subcriticality margin.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 36 10. Operating Experience: Applicants for license renewal reference plant-specific
 37 operating experience and industry experience to provide reasonable assurance that the
 38 program is able to detect degradation of the neutron absorbing material in the applicant's
 39 spent fuel pool. Some of the industry operating experience that should be included is
 40 listed below:
- Loss of material from the neutron absorbing material has been seen at many
 plants, including loss of aluminum, which was detected by monitoring the
 aluminum concentration in the spent fuel pool. One instance of this was

- 1 documented in the Vogtle license renewal application Water Chemistry 2 Program B.3.28. 3 2. Blistering has also been noted at many plants. Examples include blistering at 4 Seabrook and Beaver Valley. 3. 5 The significant loss of neutron-absorbing capacity of the plate-type Carborundum 6 material has been reported at Palisades. 7 4. The coupon testing program at Kewaunee has observed loss of boron-10 areal 8 density of Tetrabor. 5. 9 The coupon testing programs at Calvert Cliffs Unit 1 and Crystal River Unit 3 have observed weight loss of sheet-type Carborundum. 10 11 The applicant should describe how the monitoring program described above is capable 12 of detecting the aforementioned degradation mechanisms.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 17 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 18 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 19 Letter from Christopher J. Schwarz, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., Palisades Nuclear Plant,
- 20 to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Commitments to Address Degraded Spent Fuel
- 21 Pool Storage Rack Neutron Absorber. ML082410132. August 2008.
- 22 Letter from James A. Spina, Constellation Energy Nuclear Generation Group, to the
- 23 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Calvert Cliffs 1 Response to Request for Additional
- 24 Information–Long-Term Carborundum Coupon Surveillance Program. ML080140341.
- 25 January 2008.
- 26 Letter from Jon A. Franke, Progress Energy, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
- 27 Crystal River Unit 3–Response to Request for Additional Information for the Review of the
- Crystal River Unit 3, Nuclear Generating Plant, License Renewal Application. ML100290366.
 January 2010.
- 30 Letter from Kevin L. Ostrowski, FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company, to the U.S. Nuclear
- 31 Regulatory Commission, Supplemental Information for the Review of the Beaver Valley Power
- 32 Station, Units 1 and 2, License Renewal Application (TAC Nos. MD6593 and MD6594) and
- 33 License Renewal Application Amendment No. 34. ML090220216. January 2009.
- 34 Letter from Mark E. Warner, FPL Energy Seabrook Station, to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
- 35 Commission, Seabrook Station Boral Spent Fuel Pool Test Coupons Report Pursuant to
- 36 10 CFR Part 21.21, ML032880525. October 2003.

- 1 NRC. Interim Staff Guidance LR-ISG-2009-01, "Aging Management of Spent Fuel Pool
- Neutron-Absorbing Materials Other Than Boraflex." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2010.

4 _____. NRC Information Notice 2009-26, "Degradation of Neutron-Absorbing Materials in the 5 Spent Fuel Pool." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 2009.

- 6 Southern Nuclear Operating Company. "License Renewal Application Vogtle Electric
- Generating Plant Units 1 and 2." ML071840360. Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.
 June 2007.
1 XI.M41 BURIED AND UNDERGROUND PIPING AND TANKS

2 **Program Description**

This aging management program (AMP) manages the aging of the external surfaces of buried and underground piping and tanks. It addresses piping and tanks composed of any material,

5 including metallic, polymeric, and cementitious materials. This program manages aging through

6 preventive, mitigative, inspection, and in some cases, performance monitoring activities. It

7 manages applicable aging effects such as loss of material, cracking, and changes in material

8 properties (for cementitious piping only).

9 Depending on the material, preventive and mitigative techniques may include external coatings,

10 cathodic protection, and the quality of backfill. Also, depending on the material, inspection

11 activities may include electrochemical verification of the effectiveness of cathodic protection,

- 12 nondestructive evaluation of pipe or tank wall thicknesses, hydro testing of the pipe,
- 13 performance monitoring of fire mains, and visual inspections of the pipe or tank from the
- 14 exterior.
- 15 This program does not provide aging management of selective leaching. The Selective

16 Leaching program (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M33) is applied in addition to this program for 17 applicable materials and environments.

18 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 19 1. **Scope of Program:** This program manages the effects of aging of the external surfaces 20 of buried and underground piping and tanks constructed of any material including 21 metallic, polymeric, and cementitious materials. The term "polymeric" material refers to 22 plastics, or other polymers that comprise the structural element of the component. The program addresses aging effects such as loss of material, cracking, and changes in 23 24 material properties (for cementitious piping only). The program also manages loss of 25 material due to corrosion of piping system bolting within the scope of this program. The 26 Bolting Integrity Program (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M18) manages other aging effects 27 associated with piping system bolting.
- Preventive Actions: Preventive actions utilized by this program vary with the material
 of the tank or pipe and the environment (e.g., air, soil, concrete) to which it is exposed.
 There are no recommended preventive actions for titanium alloy, super austenitic
 stainless steels, and nickel alloy materials. Preventive actions for buried and
 underground piping and tanks are conducted in accordance with Table 1 of the National
 Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE) SP0169-2007 and the following:

	Table XI.M41-1. Prev		ventive	Actions for Buried and Underg	ound Piping and Tanks	
	Mater	ial		Buried	Underground	
	Stainle	ess Steel		C, B	None	
	Steel			C, CP, B	C	
	Сорре	er alloy		C, CP, B	C	
	Alumir	num alloy		C, CP, B	None	
	Ceme	ntitious		C, B	None	
	Polym	er		В	None	
	C: Coatings; CP	: Cathodic F	Protection	n; B: Backfill		
1 2 3 4 5 6	a.	For burie based or environm Coatings Engineer	d stainl i the en ients). are in a s (NAC	ess steel or cementitious piping o vironmental conditions (e.g., stair Applicants provide justification wh accordance with Table 1 of the Na E) SP0169-2007 or Section 3.4 o	r tanks, coatings are provided less steel in chloride containing ien coatings are not provided. ational Association of Corrosion f NACE RP0285-2002.	
7 8 9	b.	For burie undergro with Tabl	d steel, und ste e 1 of N	copper alloy, and aluminum alloy eel and copper alloy piping and tai NACE SP0169-2007 or Section 3.	[,] piping and tanks, and tks, coatings are in accordance 4 of NACE RP0285-2002.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	C.	Cathodic RP0285- and othe the syste SP0169- implemen 10 CFR I critical po	protect 2002. r consid m. The 2007 m nt catho Part 50 otential	tion is in accordance with NACE S The system is operated so that the derations described in the standar e system monitoring interval discu- ay not be extended beyond one y odic protection need not be qualified Appendix B. To prevent damage should not be more negative than	P0169-2007 or NACE e cathodic protection criteria ds are met at every location in ssed in Section 10.3 of NACE rear. The equipment used to ed in accordance with to the coating, the limiting -1,200 mV.	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	d.	Backfill is Section 3 compone polymeric RP0285- apply onl the staff backfill) a	s consis 3.6. The ent that c mater 2002. y if the also co accepta	etent with SP0169-2007 Section 5 e staff considers backfill that is loc meets ASTM D 448-08 size numb ials) to meet the objectives of NA4 For stainless steel and cementitio component is coated. For materin nsiders the use of controlled low s ble to meet the objectives of SP0	2.3 or NACE RP0285-2002, ated within 6 inches of the per 67 (size number 10 for CE SP0169-2007 and NACE us materials, backfill limits als other than aluminum alloy, strength materials (flowable 169-2007.	
26	e.	Alternativ	es to tl	ne preventive actions in Table XI.I	vl41-1 are as follows:	
27 28		i. A th	broade ie LRA.	er range of coatings may be used	if justification is provided in	
29 30 31		ii. B ba ag	ackfill c ackfill w ging eff	uality may be demonstrated by pl /hile conducting the inspections d ects" program element of this AM	ant records or by examining the escribed in the "detection of P.	
32 33		iii. F A	or fire r ssociat	nains installed in accordance with ion (NFPA) 24, preventive actions	National Fire Protection beyond those in NFPA 24	

1 2 3 4 5		need not be provided if: (a) the system undergoes either a periodic flow test in accordance with NFPA 25; (b) the activity of the jockey pump (e.g., number of pump starts, run time) is monitored as described in "detection of aging effects" program element of this AMP; or (c) an annual system leakage rate test is conducted.
6 7 9 10 11 12 13	i	Failure to provide cathodic protection in accordance with Table XI.M41-1 may be acceptable if justified in the LRA. The justification addresses soil sample locations, soil sample results, the methodology and results of how the overall soil corrosivity was determined, pipe to soil potential measurements and other relevant parameters. Inspections in excess of those recommended in the "detection of aging effects" program element of this AMP may be necessary based on plant-specific operating experience.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		If cathodic protection is not provided for any reason, the applicant reviews the most recent 10 years of plant specific operating experience to determine if degraded conditions that would not have met the acceptance criteria of this AMP have occurred at the facility. This search includes components that are not in-scope for license renewal if, when compared to in-scope piping, they are similar materials and coating systems and are buried in a similar soil environment. The results of this expanded plant specific operating experience search are included in the LRA.
22	3. Paran	eters Monitored or Inspected:
23 24	a.	Visual inspections of buried or underground piping or tanks, or their coatings, are performed to monitor for:
25 26 27		 loss of material due to general, pitting, crevice, and microbiological- induced corrosion for aluminum alloy, copper alloy, steel, stainless steel, super austenitic, and titanium alloy components;
28 29		ii. cracking due to stress corrosion cracking for stainless steel and susceptible aluminum alloy materials;
30		iii. loss of material due to wear for polymeric materials;
31 32		 iv. cracking, spalling, and corrosion or exposure of rebar for asbestos cement pipe, and concrete pipe;
33 34		 v. cracking, blistering, change in color due to water absorption for high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and fiberglass components; and
35 36 37		 vi. cracking due to aggressive chemical attack and leaching; changes in material properties due to aggressive chemical attack for reinforced concrete and asbestos cement piping.
38 39 40	b.	Ultrasonic testing (UT) may be performed to monitor wall thickness. Pit depth gages, calipers or other techniques qualified for measuring wall thickness may also be used.

 Pipe-to-soil potential and the cathodic protection current are monitored for steel, copper alloy, and aluminum alloy piping and tanks in contact with soil to determine the effectiveness of cathodic protection systems.

1

2

3

4 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** Methods and frequencies used for the detection of aging 5 effects vary with the material and environment of the buried and underground piping and tanks. Inspections of buried and underground piping and tanks are conducted 6 7 in accordance with Table XI.41-2 and the following. There are no inspection 8 recommendations for titanium alloy, super austenitic, or nickel alloy materials. 9 Table XI.41-2 inspection quantities are for a single unit plant. For two-unit sites, the 10 inspection quantities (i.e., not the percentage of pipe length) are increased by 50 11 percent. For a three-unit site, the inspection quantities are doubled. For multi-unit sites 12 the inspections are distributed evenly among the units. Modifications to Table XI.41-2 may be appropriate if exceptions are taken to program element 2, "preventive actions," 13 14 or in response to plant-specific operating experience.

15 Inspections of buried and underground piping and tanks are conducted during each 16 10 year period, commencing 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended 17 operation. Piping inspections are typically conducted by visual examination of the external surfaces of pipe or coatings. Tank inspections are conducted externally by 18 19 visual examination of the surfaces of the tank or coating or internally by volumetric methods. Opportunistic inspections are conducted for in scope piping whenever they 20 21 become accessible. Visual inspections are supplemented with surface and/or volumetric 22 nondestructive testing if evidence of wall loss beyond minor surface scale is observed.

Table XI.M41-2. Inspection of Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks					
	Inspections of Buried Piping				
Material	Preventive Action Categories	Inspection See section 4.c. for extent of inspections			
Stainless Steel		1 inspection			
Polymoria	Backfill is in accordance with preventive actions program element	1 inspection			
Polymenc	Backfill is not in accordance with preventive actions program element	The smaller of 1% of the length of pipe or 2 inspections			
Cementitious		1 inspection			
	С	The smaller of 0.5% of the piping length or 1 inspection			
Stool	D	The smaller of 1% of the piping length or 2 inspections			
51661	E	The smaller of 5% of the piping length or 3 inspections			
	F	The smaller of 10% of the piping length or 6 inspections			

Table XI.M41-2. Insp	Table XI.M41-2. Inspection of Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks				
		Inspections of	Buried Piping	V	
		С		The smaller of 0.5% of the piping length or 1 inspection	
0		D		The smaller of 1% of the piping length or 2 inspections	
Copper alloy		E		The	smaller of 5% of the
		F	:	The	smaller of 10% of the
		C	;	The smaller of 0.5% of the	
		<u> </u>)	piping The	smaller of 1% of the
Aluminum alloy			·	piping The	smaller of 5% of the
			-	piping	length or 3 inspections
		F	:	The s piping	smaller of 10% of the length or 6 inspections
Inspectio	ns of B	uried Tanks and	Underground	Piping a	nd Tanks
Material	Βι	uried Tanks	Underground	Piping	Underground Tanks
Stainless Steel		All tanks	1 inspecti	on	All tanks
Polymeric		All tanks	1 inspecti	on	None
Cementitious		All tanks		on 50% of	None
Steel		All tanks	the piping length or 2 inspections		All tanks
Copper alloy or Aluminum alloy		All tanks The smaller of 1% of the length of piping or All tanks 1 inspection		All tanks	
	The Preventive Action Categories are used as follows:				
A: Category A no longer use	ed.				
B: Category B no longer use	ed.				
C: Category C applies when a. Cathodic protection and	i: was insta	alled or refurbished 5	years prior to the e	nd of the ir	nspection period of interest;
 b. Cathodic protection subsequent period of periods in which the non-operating hours 	b. Cathodic protection has operated at least 85 percent of the time since either 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation or since installation/refurbishment, whichever is shorter. Time periods in which the cathodic protection system is off-line for testing do not have to be included in the total non-operating hours; and				
c. Cathodic protection has provided effective protection for buried piping as evidenced by meeting the acceptance criteria of Table XI.41-3 of this AMP at least 80 percent of the time since either 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation or since installation/refurbishment, whichever is shorter. As found results of annual surveys are to be used to demonstrate locations within the plant's population of buried pipe where cathodic protection acceptance criteria have, or have not, been met.					
D: Inspection criteria provide the plant has demonstrated, AMP, that external corrosion	ed for Cat in accord control is	egory D piping may t ance with Section e.i s not required.	be used for those po v. of the "preventive	ertions of in actions" p	n-scope buried piping where program element of this

E: Inspection criteria provided for Category E piping may be used for those portions of the plant's population of buried piping where:
a. An analysis, conducted in accordance with the "preventive actions" program element of this AMP, has

Table XI.	.M41-2	. Inspection of Buried and Underground Piping and Tanks
d	lemonstr	ated that installation or operation of a cathodic protection system is impractical; or
b. A	A cathodi	c protection system has been installed but all or portions of the piping covered by that system fail
to	o meet a	ny of the criteria of Category C piping above, provided:
i	i. Co thi	natings and backfill are provided in accordance with the "preventive actions" program element of s AMP; and
i	ii. Pla	ant-specific operating experience is acceptable (i.e., no leaks in buried piping due to external
	CO CO	rrosion, no significant coating degradation or metal loss in more than 10 percent of inspections nducted); and
ii	ii. So the	il has been demonstrated to be not corrosive for the material type. In order to demonstrate that e soil is not corrosive, the applicant:
	1)	Obtains a minimum of three sets of soil samples in each soil environment (e.g., moisture content, soil composition) in the vicinity in which in-scope components are buried.
	2)	Tests the soil for soil resistivity, corrosion accelerating bacteria, pH, moisture, chlorides, sulfates, and redox potential.
	3)	Determines the potential soil corrosivity for each material type of buried in-scope piping. In addition to evaluating each individual parameter, the overall soil corrosivity is determined.
	4)	Conducts soil testing prior to submitting the application and once in each 10-year period starting 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.
	5)	Provides a summary of the results and conclusions of the soil testing in the LRA.
F: Inspection	ion criter	ia provided for Category F piping is used for those portions of in-scope buried piping which cannot tegory C. D. or F.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a.	Transitioning to a Higher Number of Inspections: Plant specific conditions can result in transitioning to a higher number of inspections than originally planned at the beginning of a 10 year interval. For example, degraded performance of the cathodic protections system could result in transitioning from Preventive Action Category C to Preventive Action Category E. Coating, backfill, or the condition of exposed piping that do not meet acceptance criteria could result in transitioning from Preventive Action Category E to Preventive Action Category F. If this transition occurs in the latter half of the current 10 year interval, the timing of the additional examinations is based on the severity of the degradation identified and is commensurate with the consequences of a leak or loss of function, but in all cases, the examinations are completed within 4 years after the end of the particular 10 year interval. These additional inspections conducted in an inspection interval cannot be credited towards the number of inspections stated in Table XI.41-2 for the 10 year interval.
15	b.	Exceptions to Table XI.41-2 inspection quantities:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		i. Where piping constructed of steel, copper alloy, or aluminum alloy has been coated with the same coating system and the backfill has the same requirements, the total inspections for this piping may be combined to satisfy the recommended inspection quantity. For example, for Preventive Action Category F, 10 percent of the total of the associated steel, copper alloy, or aluminum alloy is inspected; or 6 10 foot segments of steel, copper alloy, or aluminum alloy piping is inspected.
23 24 25 26		ii. For buried piping, inspections may be reduced to one-half the number of inspections indicated in Table XI.41-2 when performance of the indicated inspections necessitates excavation of piping that has been fully backfilled using controlled low strength material. The inspection quantity

XI.M41-6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10			is rounded up (e.g., where three inspections are recommended in Table XI.41-2, two inspections are conducted). In conducting these inspections, the backfill may be excavated and the pipe examined, or the soil around the backfill may be excavated and the controlled low strength material backfill examined. The backfill inspection includes excavation of the top surfaces and at least 50 percent of the side surface to visually inspect for cracks in the backfill that could admit groundwater to the external surfaces of the piping components. When conducting inspection of backfill based on the number of inspections designated for that material type, 10 linear feet of the backfill is exposed for each inspection.
11 12 13 14		iii.	When Preventive Action Category A or C is met for all materials except for aluminum alloys, no inspections are necessary if all the piping constructed from a specific material type is fully backfilled using controlled low strength material.
15 16		iv.	If all of the in scope polymeric material is nonsafety related, the inspection quantities for Preventive Action Category B may be reduced by half.
17 18		V.	Buried polymeric tanks are only inspected if backfill is not in accordance with the preventive actions.
19 20 21		vi.	Stainless steel tanks are inspected when they are not coated and the underground environment is potentially exposed to in-leakage of groundwater or rain water.
22 23 24		vii.	Steel, copper alloy, and aluminum alloy buried tanks are not inspected if the cathodic protection provided for the tank met the criteria for Preventive Action Category C.
25	C.	Guidar	nce related to the extent of inspections for piping is as follows:
26 27 28		i.	When the inspections are based on the number of inspections in lieu of percentage of piping length, 10 feet of piping is exposed for each inspection.
29 30 31 32		ii.	When the percentage of inspections for a given material type results in an inspection quantity of less than 10 feet, then 10 feet of piping is inspected. If the entire run of piping of that material type is less than 10 feet in total length, then the entire run of piping is inspected.
33 34 35 36 37		iii.	If fire protection piping is inspected by excavations in lieu of alternative testing (e.g., flow test, jockey pump monitoring, leak rate testing) and the extent of inspections is not based on the percentage of piping in the material group, then two additional inspections are added to the inspection quantity for that material type.
38 39 40 41	d.	Piping based Charac efficac	inspection location selection: Piping inspection locations are selected on risk (i.e., susceptibility to degradation and consequences of failure). cteristics such as coating type, coating condition, cathodic protection y, backfill characteristics, soil resistivity, pipe contents, and pipe function

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9			are considered. For many piping systems, External Corrosion Direct Assessment (ECDA), as described in NACE SP0502-2010, "Pipeline External Corrosion Direct Assessment Methodology," has been effective in identifying pipe locations that merit further inspection. Opportunistic examinations of nonleaking pipes may be credited toward examinations if the location selection criteria are met. The use of guided wave ultrasonic or other advanced inspection techniques is encouraged for the purpose of determining the piping locations that will be inspected. These methods may not be substituted for the inspections listed in the table.
10		e.	Alternatives to visual examination of piping are as follows:
11 12 13 14 15 16			 Fire mains are inspected in accordance with Table XI.41-2, unless they are either: (a) subjected to a flow test as described in Section 7.3 of NFPA 25 at a frequency of at least one test in each one-year period; (b) the activity of the jockey pump (e.g., pump starts, run time) is monitored on an interval not to exceed one month; or (c) an annual system leak rate test is conducted.
17 18 19 20 21			ii. At least 25 percent of the in-scope piping constructed from the material under consideration is hydrostatically tested on an interval not to exceed 5 years. The piping is pressurized to 110 percent of the design pressure of any component within the boundary with test pressure being held for 8 hours.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30			iii. At least 25 percent of the in-scope piping constructed from the material under consideration is internally inspected by a method capable of precisely determining pipe wall thickness. The inspection method has been demonstrated to be capable of detecting both general and pitting corrosion and is qualified by the applicant. UT examinations, in general, satisfy this criterion. As of the effective date of this document, guided wave ultrasonic examinations do not meet the intent of this paragraph. If internal inspections are to be conducted in lieu of direct visual examination, they are conducted at an interval not to exceed 10 years.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		f.	Guidance related to the extent of inspections for tanks is as follows. Examinations are conducted from the external surface of the tank using visual techniques or from the internal surface of the tank using volumetric techniques. A minimum of 25 percent coverage is obtained. This area includes at least some of both the top and bottom of the tank. If the tank is inspected internally by volumetric methods, the method is: capable of determining tank wall thickness, demonstrated to be capable of detecting both general and pitting corrosion, and qualified by the applicant. Double wall tanks may be examined by monitoring the annular space for leakage.
40 41 42 43 44 45	5.	<i>Monite</i> system in the o throug (or sim result o	bring and Trending : For piping and tanks protected by cathodic protection is, potential difference and current measurements are trended to identify changes effectiveness of the systems and/or coatings. If aging of fire mains is managed in monitoring jockey pump activity (or similar parameter), the jockey pump activity pilar parameter) is trended to identify changes in pump activity that may be the of increased leakage from buried fire main piping. Likewise, if leak rate testing is

1 conducted, leak rates are trended. Where wall thickness measurements are conducted, 2 the results are trended when follow up examinations are conducted. 3 6. Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria associated with this AMP are: 4 For coated piping or tanks, there is either no evidence of coating degradation, or a. 5 the type and extent of coating degradation is evaluated as insignificant by an 6 individual possessing a NACE Coating Inspector Program Level 2 or 3 inspector 7 gualification, or an individual who has attended the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Comprehensive Coatings Course and completed the EPRI 8 9 Buried Pipe Condition Assessment and Repair Training Computer Based Training Course. 10 11 b. Cracking, blistering, gouges, or wear of nonmetallic piping is evaluated. 12 Cementitious piping may exhibit minor cracking and spalling provided there is no C. evidence of leakage, exposed rebar, or reinforcing "hoop" bands. 13 14 d. Backfill is acceptable if the inspections do not reveal evidence that the backfill 15 caused damage to the component's coatings or the surface of the component (if 16 not coated). 17 e. Flow test results for fire mains are in accordance with NFPA 25, Section 7.3. 18 f. For hydrostatic tests, the test acceptance criteria are that there are no visible 19 indications of leakage, and no drop in pressure within the isolated portion of the piping, that is not accounted for by a temperature change in the test media or by 20 guantified leakage across test boundary valves. 21 22 Changes in jockey pump activity (or similar parameter) that cannot be attributed g. to causes other than leakage from buried piping, are not occurring. 23 24 h. When fire water system leak rate testing is conducted, leak rates are within 25 acceptance limits of plant specific documents. i. Criteria for soil-to-pipe potential when using a saturated CSE reference electrode 26 27 is as stated in Table XI.41-3, or acceptable alternatives as stated below. 28 Alternatives to the -850 mV criterion for steel piping in Table XI.41-3 are as j. 29 follows. 30 i. 100 mV minimum polarization 31 ii. -750 mV relative to a CSE, instant off where soil resistivity is 32 greater than 10,000 ohm-cm to less than 100,000 ohm-cm

	Table XI.M41-3. Cathodic Protect	ion Acceptance Criteria
	Material	Criteria ^{1,2}
	Steel	-850 mV relative to a CSE, instant off
	Copper alloy	100 mV minimum polarization
	Aluminum alloy	100 mV minimum polarization
	¹ Plants with sacrificial anode systems state t criteria in the application. ² Where an impressed current cathodic protect avoid an excessive level of potential that coun negative than -1,000 mV relative to a CSE a embrittlement of the high-strength prestressing	he test method and acceptance criteria and the basis for the method and ction system is utilized with prestressed concrete pipe, steps are taken to Id damage the prestressing wire. Therefore, polarized potentials more re avoided to prevent hydrogen generation and possible hydrogen ng wire.
1 2 3	iii. −650 n greater iv. Verify l	nV relative to a CSE, instant off where soil resistivity is than 100,000 ohm-cm ess than 1 mil/year (mpy) loss of material
4 5 6 7 8 9	When using the 100 mV, -75 the -850 mV criterion for stee of the most anodic metal is in verify the effectiveness of the corrosion rate is less than 1 r rate probes.	0 mV, or -650 mV polarization criteria as an alternative to el piping, means to verify the effectiveness of the protection corporated into the program. One acceptable means to e cathodic protection system, or to demonstrate that the npy, is to use installed electrical resistance corrosion
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The acceptance criterion (for protection system is operating criterion is related to the performant relationship to available corror piping system under consider corrosion rate is acceptable f boundary) of the piping under verified:	external loss of material) to demonstrate that a cathodic g in a satisfactory manner is 1 mpy or less. This 1 mpy ormance of the cathodic protection system and has no psion allowances or to the remaining operational life of the ration. Applicants separately evaluate whether a 1 mpy rom the perspective of the intended function (e.g., pressure r consideration. The external loss of material rate is
18	 Every year when verif 	ying less than 1 mpy loss of material.
19	Every 2 years when u	sing the 100 mV minimum polarization.
20 21	 Every 5 years when u resistivity soils. The s 	sing the −750 or −650 criteria associated with higher oil resistivity is verified every 5 years.
22	If electrical resistance corrosi	on rate probes will be used, the application states:
23 24 25	 The qualifications of the probes and the mospecialist"). 	he individuals that will determine the installation locations of ethods of use (e.g., NACE CP-4, "Cathodic Protection
26 27 28 29	 How the impact of sig collectors, shielding d piping) and local soil use of probe data. 	nificant site features (e.g., large cathodic protection current ue to large objects located in the vicinity of the protected conditions will be factored into placement of the probes and

- 1 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 4 5 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 6 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 7 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-8 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 9a.Where damage to the coating has been evaluated as significant and the damage10was caused by nonconforming backfill, an extent of condition evaluation is11conducted to ensure that the as-left condition of backfill in the vicinity of the12observed damage will not lead to further degradation.
- b. If coated or uncoated metallic piping or tanks show evidence of corrosion, the
 remaining wall thickness in the affected area is determined to ensure that the
 minimum wall thickness is maintained. This may include different values for
 large area minimum wall thickness, and local area wall thickness. If the wall
 thickness meets minimum wall thickness requirements, recommendations for
 expansion of sample size (see 7.c.) do not apply.
- 19 Where the coatings, backfill, or the condition of exposed piping does not meet C. 20 acceptance criteria, the degraded condition is repaired or the affected component 21 is replaced. In addition, an expansion of sample size is conducted. The number of inspections within the affected piping categories are doubled or increased by 22 5, whichever is smaller. If the acceptance criteria are not met in any of the 23 24 expanded samples, an analysis is conducted to determine the extent of condition 25 and extent of cause. The number of the follow on inspections is determined 26 based on the extent of condition and extent of cause.
- 27 The timing of the additional examinations is based on the severity of the 28 degradation identified and is commensurate with the consequences of a leak or 29 loss of function. However, in all cases, the expanded sample inspection is 30 completed within the 10-year interval in which the original inspection was 31 conducted or, if identified in the latter half of the current 10 year interval, within 4 32 years after the end of the 10 year interval. The number of inspections may be limited by the extent of piping or tanks subject to the observed degradation 33 34 mechanism.
- 35The expansion of sample inspections may be halted in a piping system or portion36of system that will be replaced within the 10-year interval in which the inspections37were conducted or, if identified in the latter half of the current 10 year interval,38within 4 years after the end of the 10 year interval.
- 39d.Unacceptable cathodic protection survey results are entered into the plant40corrective action program.
- 41 e. Sources of leakage detected during pressure tests are identified and corrected.
- 42f.When using the alternatives to the -850 mV relative to a CSE instant off43acceptance criterion for the cathodic protection system, the application states

- what actions will be taken if the measured external loss of material acceptance
 criterion, or internal loss of material rates (if opportunistic inspections are
 conducted by other AMPs) is exceeded.
- 4g.When using the option of monitoring the activity of a jockey pump instead of5inspecting buried fire water system piping (see 4.e.i.), a flow test or system leak6rate test is conducted by the end of the next refueling outage or as directed by7the current licensing basis, whichever is shorter, when unexplained changes in8jockey pump activity (or equivalent equipment or parameter) are observed.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 21 10. **Operating Experience**: Operating experience shows that buried and underground piping and tanks are subject to corrosion. Corrosion of buried oil, gas, and hazardous 22 23 materials pipelines have been adequately managed through a combination of inspections and mitigative techniques, such as those prescribed in NACE SP0169-2007 24 25 and NACE RP0285-2002. Given the differences in piping and tank configurations 26 between transmission pipelines and those in nuclear facilities, it is necessary for the applicant to evaluate both plant-specific and nuclear industry operating experience and 27 28 to modify its AMP accordingly. The following examples of industry experience may be of significance to an applicant's program: 29
- 30a.In August 2009, a leak was discovered in a portion of buried aluminum pipe31where it passed through a concrete wall. The piping is in the condensate transfer32system. The failure was caused by vibration of the pipe within its steel support33system. This vibration led to coating failure and eventual galvanic corrosion34between the aluminum pipe and the steel supports. (ADAMS Accession Number35ML093160004).
- b. In June 2009, an active leak was discovered in buried piping associated with the condensate storage tank. The leak was discovered because elevated levels of tritium were detected. The cause of the through-wall leaks was determined to be the degradation of the protective moisture barrier wrap that allowed moisture to come in contact with the piping resulting in external corrosion.
 41 (ADAMS Accession Number ML093160004).
- 42 c. In April 2010, while performing inspections as part of its buried pipe program, a
 43 licensee discovered that major portions of their auxiliary feedwater (AFW) piping
 44 were substantially degraded. The licensee's cause determination attributes the

1 2 3		cause of the corrosion to the failure to properly coat the piping "as specified" during original construction. The affected piping was replaced during the next refueling outage. (ADAMS Accession Number ML103000405).
4 5 7 8 9	d.	In November 2013, minor weepage was noted in a 10-inch service water supply line to the emergency diesel generators while performing a modification to a main transformer moat. Coating degradation was noted at approximately 10 locations along the exposed piping. The leaking and unacceptable portions of the degraded pipe were clamped and recoated until a permanent replacement could be implemented. (ADAMS Accession Number ML13329A422).
10 11 12	The p ongoir Apper	rogram is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ng review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in ndix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
13	References	
14 15	10 CFR Part Washington,	50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants." DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
16 17	ASTM. ASTM Construction.	I Standard D 448-08, "Classification for Sizes of Aggregate for Road and Bridge "West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International. 2008.
18 19	NACE. NAC or Submerge	E Standard Practice SP0169-2007, "Control of External Corrosion on Underground d Metallic Piping Systems." Houston, Texas: NACE International. 2007.
20 21	NAC of Prestresse	E Standard RP0100-2004, "Standard Recommended Practice, Cathodic Protection d Concrete Cylinder Pipelines." Houston, Texas: NACE International. 2004.
22 23 24	. NAC Storage Tank April 2002.	E Recommended Practice RP0285-2002, "Corrosion Control of Underground Systems by Cathodic Protection." Houston, Texas: NACE International.
25 26	NFPA. NFPA Their Appurte	A Standard 24, "Standard for the Installation of Private Fire Service Mains and enances." Quincy, Massachusetts. National Fire Protection Association. 2010.
27 28 29	. NFP/ Based Fire P 2008.	A Standard 25, "Standard for the Inspection, Testing, and Maintenance of Water- rotection Systems." Quincy, Massachusetts. National Fire Protection Association.
30 31	ISO. ISO 155 Transportatio	589-1, "Petroleum and Natural Gas Industries–Cathodic Protection of Pipeline n Systems–Part 1: On Land Pipelines." Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland:

Transportation Systems–Part 1: On Land Pipelines." Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization. November 2003. 32

1XI.M42INTERNAL COATINGS/LININGS FOR IN SCOPE PIPING, PIPING22COMPONENTS, HEAT EXCHANGERS, AND TANKS

3 **Program Description**

4 Proper maintenance of internal coatings/linings is essential to ensure that the intended functions 5 of in scope components are met. Degradation of coatings/linings can lead to loss of material of base materials and downstream effects such as reduction in flow, reduction in pressure, or 6 7 reduction in heat transfer when coatings/linings become debris. The program consists of 8 periodic visual inspections of internal coatings/linings exposed to closed-cycle cooling water 9 (CCCW), raw water, treated water, treated borated water, waste water, fuel oil, and lubricating 10 oil. Where the visual inspection of the coated/lined surfaces determines that the coating/lining is deficient or degraded, physical tests are performed, where physically possible, in conjunction 11 12 with the visual inspection. Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Report 1019157, "Guideline 13 on Nuclear Safety Related Coatings," provides information on the American Society for Testing 14 and Materials (ASTM) standard guidelines and coatings. American Concrete Institute (ACI) 15 Standard 201.1R 08, "Guide for Conducting a Visual Inspection of Concrete in Service," 16 provides guidelines for inspecting concrete.

17 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 18 1. **Scope of Program**: The scope of the program is internal coatings/linings for in scope 19 piping, piping components, heat exchangers, and tanks exposed to CCCW, raw water, 20 treated water, treated borated water, waste water, fuel oil, and lubricating oil where loss 21 of coating or lining integrity could prevent satisfactory accomplishment of any of the 22 component's or downstream component's current licensing basis (CLB) intended 23 functions identified under 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3). The aging effects 24 associated with fire water tank internal coatings/linings are managed by Generic Aging 25 Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) aging management 26 program (AMP) XI.M27, "Fire Water System," instead of this AMP. However, where the 27 fire water storage tank internals are coated, the Fire Water System Program and Final 28 Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) Summary Description of the Program should be 29 enhanced to include the recommendations associated with training and gualification of 30 personnel and the "corrective actions" program element. The Fire Water System 31 Program should also be enhanced to include the recommendations from the 32 "acceptance criteria" program element.
- If a coating/lining has a qualified life, and it will be replaced prior to the end of its
 qualified life without consideration of extending the life through condition monitoring, it
 would not be considered long lived and therefore, it would not be within the scope of
 this AMP.
- 37 Coatings/linings are an integral part of an in scope component. The CLB-intended 38 function(s) of the component dictates whether the component has an intended 39 function(s) that meets the scoping criteria of 10 CFR 54.4(a). Internal coatings/linings 40 for in scope piping, piping components, heat exchangers, and tanks are not evaluated as 41 standalone components to determine whether they meet the scoping criteria of 42 10 CFR 54.4(a). It is immaterial whether the coating/lining has an intended function 43 identified in the CLB because it is the CLB-intended function of the component that 44 dictates whether the component is in scope and thereby the aging effects of the

1 2		coating/ compor	/lining integral to the component must be evaluated for potential impact on the nent's and downstream component's intended function(s).
3 4 5 6 7		An appl in-scope that is s (e.g., G water ce	icant may elect to manage the aging effects for internal coatings/linings for e piping, piping components, heat exchangers, and tanks in an alternative AMP specific to the component or system in which the coatings/linings are installed ALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M20, "Open-Cycle Cooling Water System," for service oatings/linings) as long as the following are met:
8 9			 The recommendations of this AMP are incorporated into the alternative program.
10 11			 Exceptions or enhancements associated with the recommendations in this AMP are included in the alternative AMP.
12 13 14 15			• The FSAR supplement for this AMP as shown in Standard Review Plan- Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR) Table 3.0-1, "FSAR Supplement for Aging Management of Applicable Systems," is included in the application with a reference to the alternative AMP.
16 17 18		For con by this p need no	nponents where the aging effects of internally coated/lined surfaces are managed program, loss of material, cracking, and loss of material due to selective leaching of be managed for these components by another program.
19 20	2.	Preven recomm	<i>tive Actions</i> : The program is a condition monitoring program and does not nend any preventive actions.
21 22 23	3.	Parame coatings Aging n	eters Monitored or Inspected: Visual inspections are intended to identify s/linings that do not meet acceptance criteria, such as peeling and delamination. nechanisms associated with coatings/linings are described as follows:
24		•	Blistering–formation of bubbles in a coating/lining
25 26		•	Cracking–formation of breaks in a coating/lining that extend through to the underlying surface
27 28		•	Flaking–detachment of pieces of the coating/lining itself either from its substrate or from previously applied layers
29 30		•	Peeling–separation of one or more coats or layers of a coating/lining from the substrate
31 32		•	Delamination–separation of one coat or layer from another coat or layer, or from the substrate
33 34		•	Rusting–corrosion of the substrate that occurs beneath or through the applied coating/lining
35 36		•	Spalling–a fragment, usually in the shape of a flake, detached from a concrete member.

- Physical damage consists of removal or reduction of the thickness of coating/lining by
 mechanical damage. For the purposes of this AMP, this would include damage such as
 that which could occur downstream of a throttled valve as a result of cavitation or
 erosion. It does not include physical damage caused by actions such as installing
 scaffolding or assembly and disassembly of flanged joints.
- 6 Physical testing is intended to identify the extent of potential degradation of the 7 coating/lining.
- 8 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Baseline coating/lining inspections occur in the 10-year 9 period prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Subsequent inspections 10 are based on an evaluation of the effect of a coating/lining failure on the inscope 11 component's intended function, potential problems identified during prior inspections, 12 and known service life history. Subsequent inspection intervals are established by a coating specialist gualified in accordance with an ASTM International standard endorsed 13 in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.54. However, inspection intervals should not exceed those 14 in Table XI.M42-1, "Inspection Intervals for Internal Coatings/Linings for Tanks, Piping, 15 16 Piping Components, and Heat Exchangers."
- The extent of baseline and periodic inspections is based on an evaluation of the effect of
 a coating/lining failure on the in-scope component's intended function(s), potential
 problems identified during prior inspections, and known service life history; however, the
 extent of inspection is not any less than the following for each coating/lining material and
 environment combination.
- All tanks–all accessible internal surfaces
- All heat exchangers–all accessible internal surfaces
- 24 Piping-either inspect a representative sample of 73 1-foot axial length • 25 circumferential segments of piping or 50 percent of the total length of each coating/lining material and environment combination, whichever is less at each 26 27 unit. The inspection surface includes the entire inside surface of the 1-foot 28 sample. If geometric limitations impede movement of remote or robotic 29 inspection tools, the number of inspection segments is increased in order to cover an equivalent of 73 1-foot axial length sections. For example, if the remote 30 tool can only be maneuvered to view one-third of the inside surface, 219 feet of 31 32 pipe is inspected.
- Where documentation exists that manufacturer recommendations and industry consensus documents (i.e., those recommended in RG 1.54, or earlier versions of those standards) were complied with during installation, the extent of piping inspections may be reduced to the lesser of 25 1-foot axial length circumferential segments of piping or 20 percent of the total length of each coating/lining material and environment combination at each unit.

- 1 2 For multiunit sites where the piping sample size is not based on the percentage of the population, it is acceptable to reduce the total number of inspections at the site as follows:

Inspection	Components, and near Exchangers
Category ²	Inspection Interval
A	6 years ³
B ^{4,5}	4 years
 Inspection Ca A. No peelin has been No cracki B. Prior insp As an alte condition inspects e segments 	tegories ig, delamination, blisters, or rusting are observed during inspections. Any cracking and flaking found acceptable in accordance with the "acceptance criteria" program element of this AMP. Ing or spalling in cementitious coatings/linings. vection results do not meet Category A. ernative to conducting inspections at the intervals in inspection Category B, an extent of inspection is conducted prior to the end of the next refueling outage. The extent of condition either double the number of components or an additional 5 piping inspections (i.e., 5 1-foot is of piping). If Inspection Category A criteria is satisfied for the other coatings in the initial nd the expanded scope, Inspection Category A may be used for subsequent inspections.
 If the following a. The i reduction of the b. The i the c value Subsequent in coatings/lining 	g conditions are met, the inspection interval may be extended to 12 years: identical coating/lining material was installed with the same installation requirements in ndant trains (e.g., piping segments, tanks) with the same operating conditions and at least one e trains is inspected every 6 years. coating/lining is not in a location subject to erosion that could result in mechanical damage to coating/lining (e.g., certain heat exchanger end bells, piping downstream of certain control es). Inspections for Inspection Category B are reinspections at the original location(s), when the gs have not been repaired, replaced, or removed, as well as inspections of new locations.
 When conduct demonstrate r change in con Internal inspection res 	ting inspections for Inspection Category B, if two sequential subsequent inspections no change in coating/lining condition (i.e., at least three consecutive inspections with no idition), subsequent inspections at those locations may be conducted to Inspection Category A ction intervals for diesel fuel oil storage tanks may meet either Table XI.42-1, or if the ults meet Inspection Category A, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M30, "Fuel Oil Chemistry."
• For reco insta	two-unit sites, 55 1-foot axial length sections of piping (19 if manufacturer ommendations and industry consensus documents were complied with during allation) are inspected per unit.
• For reco insta	a three-unit site, 49 1-foot axial length sections of piping (17 if manufacturer ommendations and industry consensus documents were complied with during allation) are inspected per unit.
In o SLF (e.g rest	rder to conduct the reduced number of inspections, the applicant states in the A the basis for why the operating conditions at each unit are similar enough ., flowrate, temperature, excursions) to provide representative inspection Ilts.
The coating the metal to based on s	g/lining environment includes both the environment inside the component and which the coating/lining is attached. Inspection locations are selected usceptibility to degradation and consequences of failure.
Coating/lini not required	ng surfaces captured between interlocking surfaces (e.g., flange faces) are d to be inspected unless the joint has been disassembled to allow access for

1 an internal coating/lining inspection or other reasons. For areas not readily accessible 2 for direct inspection, such as small pipelines, heat exchangers, and other equipment, 3 consideration is given to the use of remote or robotic inspection tools. 4 Either of the following options [i.e., item (a) or (b)] is an acceptable alternative to the 5 inspections recommended in this AMP when all of the following conditions exist: 6 Loss of coating or lining integrity cannot result in downstream effects such as • 7 reduction in flow, drop in pressure, or reduction in heat transfer for in scope 8 components, 9 The component's only CLB intended function is leakage boundary (spatial) or • 10 structural integrity (attached) as defined in SRP LR Table 2.1-4(b), 11 The internal environment does not contain chemical compounds that could cause • accelerated corrosion of the base material if coating/lining degradation resulted in 12 13 exposure of the base metal, 14 The internal environment would not promote microbiologically-induced corrosion • 15 of the base metal, 16 The coated/lined components are not located in the vicinity of uncoated • 17 components that could cause a galvanic couple to exist, and 18 The design for the component did not credit the coating/lining (e.g., the corrosion 19 allowance was not zero). 20 A representative sample of external wall thickness measurements can be (a) 21 performed every 10 years commencing 10 years prior to the subsequent 22 period of extended operation to confirm the acceptability of the corrosion rate of the base metal. For heat exchangers and tanks, a representative 23 24 sample includes 25 percent coverage of the accessible external surfaces. 25 For piping, a representative sample size is defined above. The grid dimensions for the representative sample should be consistent with those 26 27 for inspections for flow-accelerated corrosion. 28 (b) In lieu of external wall thickness measurements, use GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M36, "External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components," 29 and GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in 30 Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components," or other appropriate 31 internal surfaces inspection program (e.g., GALL-SLR Report 32 AMP XI.M20, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI. GALL-SLR Report M21A) to 33 manage loss of coating or lining integrity. 34 35 In addition, where loss of coating or lining integrity cannot result in downstream effects such as reduction in flow, drop in pressure, or reduction in heat transfer for in-scope 36 37 components, a representative sample of external wall thickness measurements can be performed every 10 years commencing 10 years prior to the subsequent period of 38 extended operation to confirm the acceptability of the corrosion rate of the base metal in 39 lieu of visual inspections of the coatings/linings. A representative sample size is 40

- described above with grid dimensions being those consistent with inspections for
 flow-accelerated corrosion.
- The training and qualification of individuals involved in coating/lining inspections and evaluating degraded conditions is conducted in accordance with an ASTM International standard endorsed in RG 1.54 including staff limitations associated with a particular standard, except for cementitious materials. For cementitious coatings/linings inspectors should have a minimum of 5 years of experience inspecting or testing concrete structures or cementitious coatings/linings or a degree in the civil/structural discipline and a minimum of 1 year of experience.
- 10 5. **Monitoring and Trending:** A preinspection review of the previous two inspections. 11 when available (i.e., two sets of inspection results may not be available to review for the 12 baseline and first subsequent inspection of a particular coating/lining location), is 13 conducted that includes reviewing the results of inspections and any subsequent repair activities. A coatings specialist prepares the post-inspection report to include: a list and 14 location of all areas evidencing deterioration, a prioritization of the repair areas into 15 16 areas that must be repaired before returning the system to service and areas where 17 repair can be postponed to the next refueling outage, and where possible, photographic documentation indexed to inspection locations. When corrosion of the base material is 18 the only issue related to coating/lining degradation of the component and external wall 19 thickness measurements are used in lieu of internal visual inspections of the 20 21 coating/lining, the corrosion rate of the base metal is trended.
- 22 6. Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria are as follows:
- 23 a. There are no indications of peeling or delamination.
- 24b.Blisters are evaluated by a coatings specialist qualified in accordance with an25ASTM International standard endorsed in RG 1.54 including staff limitations26associated with use of a particular standard. Blisters should be limited to a few27intact small blisters that are completely surrounded by sound coating/lining28bonded to the substrate. Blister size and frequency should not be increasing29between inspections (e.g., ASTM D714-02, "Standard Test Method for Evaluating30Degree of Blistering of Paints").
- 31c.Indications such as cracking, flaking, and rusting are to be evaluated by a32coatings specialist qualified in accordance with an ASTM International standard33endorsed in RG 1.54 including staff limitations associated with use of a34particular standard.
- 35d.Minor cracking and spalling of cementitious coatings/linings is acceptable36provided there is no evidence that the coating/lining is debonding from the37base material.
- e. As applicable, wall thickness measurements, projected to the next inspection,
 meet design minimum wall requirements.
- 40f.Adhesion testing results, when conducted, meet or exceed the degree of41adhesion recommended in plant specific design requirements specific to the42coating/lining and substrate.

- 1 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 2 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 3 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 4 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 5 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 6 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both 7 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 8 of this program.
- Coatings/linings that do not meet acceptance criteria are repaired, replaced, or removed.
 Physical testing is performed where physically possible (i.e., sufficient room to conduct testing) or examination is conducted to ensure that the extent of repaired or replaced coatings/linings encompasses sound coating/lining material.
- 13 As an alternative, coatings exhibiting indications of peeling and delamination may be returned to service if: (a) physical testing is conducted to ensure that the remaining 14 15 coating is tightly bonded to the base metal; (b) the potential for further degradation of the 16 coating is minimized, (i.e., any loose coating is removed, the edge of the remaining 17 coating is feathered); (c) adhesion testing using ASTM International standards endorsed 18 in RG 1.54 (e.g., pull-off testing, knife adhesion testing) is conducted at a minimum of 19 3 sample points adjacent to the defective area; (d) an evaluation is conducted of the 20 potential impact on the system, including degraded performance of downstream 21 components due to flow blockage and loss of material or cracking of the coated 22 component; and (e) followup visual inspections of the degraded coating are conducted within 2 years from detection of the degraded condition, with a reinspection within an 23 24 additional 2 years, or until the degraded coating is repaired or replaced.
- If coatings/linings are credited for corrosion prevention (e.g., corrosion allowance in
 design calculations is zero, the "preventive actions" program element credited the
 coating/lining) and the base metal has been exposed or it is beneath a blister, the
 component's base material in the vicinity of the degraded coating/lining is examined to
 determine if the minimum wall thickness is met and will be met until the next inspection.
- 30 If a blister is not repaired, physical testing is conducted to ensure that the blister is 31 completely surrounded by sound coating/lining bonded to the surface. Physical testing consists of adhesion testing using ASTM International standards endorsed in RG 1.54. 32 33 Where adhesion testing is not possible due to physical constraints, another means of 34 determining that the remaining coating/lining is tightly bonded to the base metal is conducted such as lightly tapping the coating/lining. Acceptance of a blister to remain 35 36 in-service should be based both on the potential effects of flow blockage and 37 degradation of the base material beneath the blister.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 44 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 45 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,

- associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 10. Operating Experience: The inspection techniques and training of inspection personnel associated with this program are consistent with industry practice and have been demonstrated effective at detecting loss of coating or lining integrity. Not to exceed inspection intervals have been established that are dependent on the results of previous plant specific inspection results. The following examples describe operating experience pertaining to loss of coating or lining integrity for coatings/linings installed on the internal surfaces of piping systems:
- 12 In 1982, a licensee experienced degradation of internal coatings in its spray pond a. 13 piping system. This issue contains many key aspects related to coating 14 degradation. These include installation details such as improper curing time. restricted availability of air flow leading to improper curing, installation layers that 15 16 were too thick, and improper surface preparation (e.g., oils on surface, surface 17 too smooth). The aging mechanisms included severe blistering, moisture entrapment between layers of the coating, delamination, peeling, and widespread 18 rusting. The failure to install the coatings to manufacturer recommendations 19 resulted in flow restrictions to the ultimate heat sink and blockage of an 20 21 emergency diesel generator governor oil cooler. [Information Notice (IN) 85-24, 22 "Failures of Protective Coatings in Pipes and Heat Exchangers"].
- b. During an U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection, the staff found
 that coating degradation, which occurred as a result of weakening of the
 adhesive bond of the coating to the base metal due to turbulent flow, resulted in
 the coating eroding away and leaving the base metal subject to wall thinning and
 leakage. (ADAMS Accession Number ML12045A544).
- 28 In 1994, a licensee replaced a portion of its cement lined steel service water C. piping with piping lined with polyvinyl chloride material. The manufacturer stated 29 that the lining material had an expected life of 15-20 years. An inspection in 30 31 1997 showed some bubbles and delamination in the coating material at a flange. 32 A 2002 inspection found some locations that had lack of adhesion to the base 33 metal. In 2011, diminished flow was observed downstream of this line. 34 Inspections revealed that a majority of the lining in one spool piece was loose or missing. The missing material had clogged a downstream orifice. A sample of 35 the lining was sent to a testing lab where it was determined that cracking was 36 37 evident on both the base metal and water side of the lining and there was a noticeable increase in the hardness of the in service sample as compared to an 38 unused sample. (ADAMS Accession Number ML12041A054). 39
- 40d.A licensee has experienced multiple instances of coating degradation resulting in
coating debris found downstream in heat exchanger end bells. None of the
debris had been large enough to result in reduced heat exchanger performance.
(ADAMS Accession Number ML12097A064).
- 44e.A licensee experienced continuing flow reduction over a 14 day period, resulting45in the service water room cooler being declared inoperable. The flow reduction

- 1occurred due to the rubber coating on a butterfly valve becoming detached.2(ADAMS Accession Number ML073200779).
- f. At an international plant, cavitation in the piping system damaged the coating of a
 piping system, which subsequently resulted in unanticipated corrosion through
 the pipe wall. (ADAMS Accession Number ML13063A135).
- 6g.A licensee experienced degradation of the protective concrete lining which7allowed brackish water to contact the unprotected carbon steel piping resulting in8localized corrosion. The degradation of the concrete lining was likely caused by9the high flow velocities and turbulence from the valve located just upstream of10the degraded area. (ADAMS Accession Number ML072890132).
- h. A licensee experienced through wall corrosion when a localized area of coating degradation resulted in base metal corrosion. The cause of the coating degradation is thought to have been nonage related mechanical damage.
 (ADAMS Accession Number ML14087A210).
- 15i.A licensee experienced through wall corrosion when a localized polymeric repair16of a rubber lined spool failed. (ADAMS Accession Number ML14073A059).
- j. A licensee experienced accelerated galvanic corrosion when loss of coating integrity occurred in the vicinity of carbon steel components attached to AL6XN components. (ADAMS Accession Number ML12297A333).
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

23 References

- 24 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 25 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 26 10 CFR 54.4(a), "Scope." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- ACI. ACI Standard 201.1R-08, "Guide for Conducting a Visual Inspection of Concrete in
 Service." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2008.
- 29 _____. ACI Standard 349.3R-02, "Evaluation of Existing Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete 30 Structures." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2002.
- ASTM. ASTM 6677-07, "Standard Test Method for Evaluating Adhesion by Knife."
 West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International. 2013.
- ASTM D7167-12, "Standard Guide for Establishing Procedures to Monitor the
 Performance of Safety-Related Coating Service Level III Lining Systems in an Operating
 Nuclear Power Plant." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International. 2012.
- 36 _____. ASTM D4541-09, "Standard Test Method for Pull-Off Strength of Coatings Using 37 Portable Adhesion Testers." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International. 2011.

- ASTM D714-02, "Standard Test Method for Evaluating Degree of Blistering of Paints."
 West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM International. 2009.
- ASTM D4538-05, "Standard Terminology Relating to Protective Coating and Lining
 Work for Power Generation Facilities." West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: ASTM
 International. 2006.
- 6 EPRI. EPRI Report 1019157, "Guideline on Nuclear Safety-Related Coatings." Revision 2.
- 7 (Formerly TR-109937 and 1003102), Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 8 December 2009.
- 9 NRC Regulatory Guide 1.54, "Service Level I, II, and III Protective Coatings Applied to Nuclear
 10 Power Plants." Revision 2. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October
 11 2010.
- 12 _____. NRC Information Notice 85-24, "Failures of Protective Coatings in Pipes and Heat 13 Exchangers." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1985.

1 XI.S1 ASME SECTION XI, SUBSECTION IWE

2 **Program Description**

3 10 CFR 50.55a imposes the inservice inspection (ISI) requirements of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code¹, Section XI, 4 5 Subsection IWE, for steel containments (Class MC) and steel liners for concrete containments 6 (Class CC). The scope of Subsection IWE includes steel containment shells and their integral 7 attachments, steel liners for concrete containments and their integral attachments, containment 8 penetrations, hatches, airlocks, moisture barriers, and pressure-retaining bolting. The 9 requirements of ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWE, with the additional requirements 10 specified in 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2), are supplemented herein to constitute an existing program 11 applicable to managing aging of steel containments, steel liners of concrete containments, and 12 other containment components for the subsequent period of extended operation.

13 The primary ISI method specified in IWE is visual examination (general visual, VT-3, VT-1).

14 Limited volumetric examination (ultrasonic thickness measurement) and surface examination

15 (e.g., liquid penetrant) may also be necessary in some instances to detect aging effects. IWE

specifies acceptance criteria, corrective actions, and expansion of the inspection scope when

17 degradation exceeding the acceptance criteria is found.

18 Subsection IWE requires examination of coatings that are intended to prevent corrosion. Aging

19 management program (AMP) XI.S8 is a protective coating monitoring and maintenance program

that is recommended to ensure emergency core cooling system (ECCS) operability, whether or not the GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S8 is credited in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S1.

The program attributes are supplemented to incorporate aging management activities,

recommended in the Final Interim Staff Guidance LR-ISG-2006-01, needed to address the
 potential loss of material due to corrosion in the inaccessible areas of the boiling water reactor

25 (BWR) Mark I steel containment.

The attributes also are supplemented to recommend surface or augmented examination of two-ply bellows for detection of cracking described in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Information Notice (IN) 92-20, "Inadequate Local Leak Rate Testing," and to include preventive actions to ensure bolting integrity. The program is also supplemented to perform surface examination of stainless steel (SS) and dissimilar metal welds of penetration sleeves, penetration bellows, vent line bellows; and volumetric examination of metal shell or liner

32 surfaces that are inaccessible from one side, during each inspection interval.

33 Evaluation and Technical Basis

Scope of Program: The scope of this program addresses the pressure-retaining
 components of steel containments and steel liners of concrete containments specified in
 Subsection IWE-1000 and are supplemented to address aging management of potential
 corrosion in inaccessible areas of the drywell shell exterior of BWR Mark I steel
 containments. The components within the scope of Subsection IWE are Class Metal

¹Refer to the GALL Report, Chapter I, for applicability of other editions of the ASME Code, Section XI.

- 1 Containment (MC) pressure-retaining components (steel containments) and their integral 2 attachments, metallic shell and penetration liners of Class CC containments and their integral attachments, containment moisture barriers, containment pressure-retaining 3 4 bolting, and metal containment surface areas, including welds and base metal. The 5 concrete portions of containments are inspected in accordance with Subsection IWL. 6 Subsection IWE requires examination of coatings that are intended to prevent corrosion, 7 including those inside BWR suppression chambers. XI.S8 is a protective coating monitoring and maintenance program that is recommended to ensure ECCS operability. 8 whether or not the GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S8 is credited in GALL-SLR Report 9 10 AMP XI.S1. 11 Subsection IWE exempts the following from examination: 12 (a) Components that are outside the boundaries of the containment, as 13 defined in the plant-specific design specification; 14 Embedded or inaccessible portions of containment components that met (b) the requirements of the original construction code of record; 15 16 Components that become embedded or inaccessible as a result of (C) 17 containment structure (i.e., steel containments [Class MC] and steel liners 18 of concrete containments [Class CC]) repair or replacement, provided the requirements of IWE-1232 and IWE-5220 are met; and 19 20 (d) Piping, pumps, and valves that are part of the containment system or that 21 penetrate or are attached to the containment vessel (governed by IWB 22 or IWC). 23 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(ix) and IWE-2420 (2006 and later editions/addenda) specify 24 additional requirements for inaccessible areas. It states that the licensee is to evaluate 25 the acceptability of inaccessible areas when conditions exist in accessible areas that could indicate the presence of or result in degradation to such inaccessible areas. 26 Examination requirements for containment supports are not within the scope of 27 28 Subsection IWE.
- 29 2. Preventive Action: The ASME Code Section XI, Subsection IWE, is a condition 30 monitoring program. The program is supplemented to include preventive actions that 31 ensure that moisture levels associated with an accelerated corrosion rate do not exist in 32 the exterior portion of the BWR Mark I steel containment drywell shell. The actions 33 consist of ensuring that the sand pocket area drains and/or the refueling seal drains are 34 clear. The program is also supplemented to include preventive actions to ensure bolting 35 integrity, as discussed in Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) documents (such as 36 EPRI NP-5067 and TR-104213), American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards, and American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) specifications, as 37 38 applicable. The preventive actions should emphasize proper selection of bolting 39 material and lubricants, and appropriate installation torque or tension to prevent or 40 minimize loss of bolting preload and cracking of high-strength bolting. If the structural bolting consists of ASTM A325 and/or ASTM A490 bolts (including respective equivalent 41 twist-off type ASTM F1852 and/or ASTM F2280 bolts), the preventive actions for 42 storage, lubricant selection, and bolting and coating material selection discussed in 43

- Section 2 of Research Council for Structural Connections (RCSC) publication
 "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-Strength Bolts," need to be considered.
- 3 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Table IWE-2500-1 references the applicable 4 sections in IWE-2300 and IWE-3500 that identify the parameters examined or 5 monitored. Noncoated surfaces are examined for evidence of cracking, discoloration, 6 wear, pitting, excessive corrosion, arc strikes, gouges, surface discontinuities, dents, 7 liner plate bulges, and other signs of surface irregularities. Painted or coated surfaces. 8 including those inside BWR suppression chambers, are examined for evidence of 9 flaking, blistering, peeling, discoloration, liner bulges, and other signs of potential 10 distress of the underlying metal shell or liner. Stainless steel (SS) and dissimilar metal welds of penetration sleeves, penetration bellows, and vent line bellows; and steel 11 bellows components that are subject to cyclic loading but have no current licensing basis 12 13 (CLB) fatigue analysis, are monitored for cracking. The moisture barriers are examined for wear, damage, erosion, tear, surface cracks, or other defects that permit intrusion of 14 15 moisture in the inaccessible areas of the pressure retaining surfaces of the metal containment shell or liner. Pressure-retaining bolting is examined for loosening and 16 17 material conditions that cause the bolted connection to affect either containment 18 leak-tightness or structural integrity.
- Subsequent license renewal applicants with BWR Mark I steel containments should
 periodically monitor the sand pocket area drains and/or the refueling seal drains for
 water leakage. The applicants should also ensure the drains are clear to prevent
 moisture levels associated with accelerated corrosion rates in the exterior portion of the
 drywell shell.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: The examination methods, frequency, and scope of
 examination specified in 10 CFR 50.55a and Subsection IWE ensure that aging effects
 are detected before they compromise the design-basis requirements. IWE-2500-1 and
 the requirements of 10 CFR 50.55a provide information regarding the examination
 categories, parts examined, and examination methods to be used to detect aging.
- Regarding the extent of examination, all accessible surfaces receive at least a general 29 30 visual examination as specified in Table IWE-2500-1 and the requirements of 31 10 CFR 50.55a. The acceptability of inaccessible areas of the steel containment shell or concrete containment steel liner is evaluated when conditions are found in accessible 32 33 areas that could indicate the presence of, or could result in, flaws or degradation in such 34 inaccessible areas. IWE-1240 requires augmented examinations (Examination 35 Category E-C) of containment surface areas subject to or susceptible to accelerated 36 degradation. A VT-1 visual examination is performed for areas accessible from both 37 sides, and volumetric (ultrasonic thickness measurement) examination is performed for areas accessible from only one side. Liner plate bulges should be evaluated for 38 39 corrosion potential.
- The requirements of ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE and 10 CFR 50.55a are
 supplemented to perform surface examination, in addition to visual examination, to
 detect cracking in (a) SS and dissimilar metal welds of penetration sleeves, penetration
 bellows, and vent line bellows; and (b) steel bellows components that are subject to
 cyclic loading but have no CLB fatigue analysis. The supplemental surface examination
 of dissimilar metal welds may be performed in accordance with Table IWE-2500-1,
 Examination Category E-F, as specified in the 1995 edition with 1996 addenda of the

ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWE. Components for which supplemental surface
 examination is not feasible are identified and appropriate Appendix J leak rate tests
 (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S4) justified to detect cracking are conducted in lieu of the
 supplemental surface examination. For two-ply bellows of the type described in NRC
 IN 92-20 for which it is not possible to perform a valid local leak rate test, augmented
 examination using qualified enhanced techniques that can detect cracking is
 recommended.

8 The requirements of ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE and 10 CFR 50.55a are further 9 supplemented to require volumetric examination of metal shell or liner surfaces that are 10 inaccessible from one side, during each inspection interval. The supplemental examination consists of (1) a sample of one-foot square randomly selected locations and 11 (2) a sample of one-foot square locations focused on areas most likely to experience 12 degradation. The sample size, locations, frequency and schedule for each set of 13 volumetric examinations should be determined on a plant-specific basis during 14 15 each interval.

- 16 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* With the exception of inaccessible areas, all surfaces are monitored by virtue of the examination requirements on a scheduled basis.
- 18 IWE-2420 specifies that:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

- (a) The sequence of component examinations established during the first inspection interval shall be repeated during successive intervals, to the extent practical.
- (b) When examination results require evaluation of flaws or areas of degradation in accordance with IWE-3000, and the component is acceptable for continued service, the areas containing such flaws or areas of degradation shall be reexamined during the next inspection period listed in the schedule of the inspection program of IWE-2411 or IWE-2412, in accordance with Table IWE-2500-1, Examination Category E-C.
- 29(c)When the reexaminations required by IWE-2420(b) reveal that the flaws30or areas of degradation remain essentially unchanged for the next31inspection period, these areas no longer require augmented examination32in accordance with Table IWE-2500-1 and the regular inspection33schedule is continued.
- 34IWE-3120 requires examination results to be compared with recorded results of prior35inservice examinations and evaluated for acceptance.
- Applicants for subsequent license renewal (SLR) for plants with BWR Mark I
 containment should augment IWE monitoring and trending requirements to address
 inaccessible areas of the drywell. The applicant should consider the following
 recommended actions based on plant-specific operating experience.
- 40(a)Develop a corrosion rate that can be inferred from past ultrasonic testing41(UT) examinations or establish a corrosion rate using representative42samples in similar operating conditions, materials, and environments. If

1degradation has occurred, provide a technical basis using the developed2or established corrosion rate to demonstrate that the drywell shell will3have sufficient wall thickness to perform its intended function through the4subsequent period of extended operation.

5

6

7

8 9

- (b) Demonstrate that UT measurements performed in response to NRC Generic Letter (GL) 87-05, "Request for Additional Information Assessment of Licensee Measures to Mitigate and/or Identify Potential Degradation of Mark I Drywells" did not show degradation inconsistent with the developed or established corrosion rate.
- 10 6. Acceptance Criteria: IWE-3000 provides acceptance standards for components of 11 steel containments and liners of concrete containments. IWE-3410 refers to criteria to 12 evaluate the acceptability of the containment components for service following the 13 preservice examination and each inservice examination. Most of the acceptance standards rely on visual examinations. Areas that are suspect require an engineering 14 15 evaluation or require correction by repair or replacement. For some examinations, such 16 as augmented examinations, numerical values are specified for the acceptance 17 standards. For the containment steel shell or liner, material loss locally exceeding 18 10 percent of the nominal containment wall thickness or material loss that is projected to 19 locally exceed 10 percent of the nominal containment wall thickness before the next 20 examination are documented. Such areas are corrected by repair or replacement in 21 accordance with IWE-3122 or accepted by engineering evaluation. Cracking of SS and 22 dissimilar metal welds of penetration sleeves, penetration bellows, and vent line bellows; and steel bellows components that are subject to cyclic loading but have no CLB fatigue 23 24 analysis is corrected by repair or replacement or accepted by engineering evaluation. Where applicable, the program should establish quantitative acceptance criteria for 25 26 containment liner bulges consistent with the CLB for the liner.
- 27 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 28 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 29 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 30 31 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 32 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-33 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 34
- 35 Subsection IWE states that components whose examination results indicate flaws or 36 areas of degradation that do not meet the acceptance standards listed in IWE-3500 are 37 acceptable if an engineering evaluation indicates that the flaw or area of degradation is 38 nonstructural in nature or has no effect on the structural integrity of the containment. 39 Components that do not meet the acceptance standards are subject to additional examination requirements, and the components are repaired or replaced to the extent 40 41 necessary to meet the acceptance standards of IWE-3000. For repair of components 42 within the scope of Subsection IWE, IWE-3124 states that repairs and reexaminations are to comply with IWA-4000. IWA-4000 provides repair specifications for pressure 43 44 retaining components, including metal containments and metallic liners of 45 concrete containments.

1 For BWR Mark I steel containments, if moisture has been detected or suspected in the 2 inaccessible area on the exterior of the containment drywell shell or the source of 3 moisture cannot be determined subsequent to root cause analysis, then: 4 Include in the scope of SLR any components that are identified as a (a) 5 source of moisture, if applicable, such as the refueling seal or cracks in 6 the SS liners of the refueling cavity pool walls, and perform an aging 7 management review (AMR). 8 (b) Pursuant to Subsection IWE-1240, identify in the inspection program 9 affected drywell surfaces requiring augmented examination for the subsequent period of extended operation in accordance with 10 Table IWE-2500-1, Examination Category E-C. 11 12 Conduct augmented inspections of the identified drywell surfaces using (C) examination methods that are in accordance with Subsection IWE-2500. 13 14 (d) Demonstrate, through use of augmented inspections performed in 15 accordance with Subsection IWE, that corrosion is not occurring or that corrosion is progressing so slowly that the age-related degradation will 16 not jeopardize the intended function of the drywell shell through the 17 18 subsequent period of extended operation. 19 **Confirmation Process:** The confirmation process is addressed through those specific 8. 20 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an 21 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the 22 23 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related 24 SCs within the scope of this program. 25 When areas of degradation are identified, an evaluation is performed to determine whether repair or replacement is necessary. If the evaluation determines that repair or 26 replacement is necessary, Subsection IWE specifies confirmation that appropriate 27 28 corrective actions have been completed and are effective. Subsection IWE states that repairs and re-examinations are to comply with the requirements of IWA-4000. 29 Re-examinations are conducted in accordance with the requirements of IWA-2200, and 30 31 the recorded results are to demonstrate that the repair meets the acceptance standards 32 set forth in IWE-3500. 33 Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA 9. 34 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, 35 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 36 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and 37 38 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program. 39 IWA-6000 provides specifications for the preparation, submittal, and retention of records 40 and reports. 41 10. **Operating Experience:** ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE, was incorporated into 42 10 CFR 50.55a in 1996. Prior to this time, operating experience pertaining to

- 1 degradation of steel components of containment was gained through the inspections 2 required by 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J and ad hoc inspections conducted by licensees 3 and the NRC. NRC IN 86-99, IN 88-82, IN 89-79, IN 2004-09, IN 2010-12 and NUREG-1522 described occurrences of corrosion in steel containment shells and 4 5 containment liners. NRC GL 87-05 addressed the potential for corrosion of BWR Mark I 6 steel drywells in the "sand pocket region." IN 2011-15 described occurrences of 7 corrosion in BWR Mark I steel containments, both inside the suppression chamber 8 (torus) and outside the drywell. IN 2014-07 described operating experience concerning degradation of floor weld leak-chase channel systems of the steel containment shell and 9 10 concrete containment steel liner that could affect leak tightness and aging management 11 of containment structures.
- 12 NRC IN 97-10 identified specific locations where concrete containments are susceptible to liner plate corrosion; IN 92-20 described instances of two-ply containment bellows 13 14 cracking for which leak rate testing was inadequate for detection, resulting in loss of leak 15 tightness. Based on occurrences of transgranular stress corrosion cracking (SCC), NUREG-1611 (Tables 1 and 2) recommends augmented examination on the surfaces of 16 17 two-ply bellow bodies using gualified enhanced techniques so that cracking can be detected. Other operating experience indicates that foreign objects embedded in 18 concrete have caused through-wall corrosion of the liner plate at a few plants with 19 20 reinforced concrete containments. NRC Technical Report, "Containment Liner Corrosion Operating Experience Summary" dated August 2, 2011, summarizes the 21 22 industry operating experience related to containment liner corrosion and containment 23 liner bulges.
- NRC IN 2006-01 described through-wall cracking and its probable cause in the torus
 of a BWR Mark I containment. The cracking was identified by the licensee in the
 heat-affected zone at the high-pressure coolant injection (HPCI) turbine exhaust pipe
 torus penetration. The licensee concluded that the cracking was most likely initiated by
 cyclic loading due to condensation oscillation during HPCI operation. These
 condensation oscillations induced on the torus shell may have been excessive due to a
 lack of an HPCI turbine exhaust pipe sparger that many licensees have installed.
- The program is to consider the liner plate and containment shell corrosion and cracking
 concerns described in these generic communications and technical report.
 Implementation of the ISI requirements of Subsection IWE, in accordance with
 10 CFR 50.55a, augmented to consider operating experience, and as recommended in
 LR-ISG-2006-01, is a necessary element of aging management for steel components of
 steel and concrete containments through the subsequent period of extended operation.
- Degradation of threaded bolting and fasteners in closures for the reactor coolant
 pressure boundary has occurred from boric acid corrosion, stress corrosion cracking
 (SCC), and fatigue loading (NRC IE Bulletin 82-02, NRC GL 91-17). SCC has occurred
 in high strength bolts used for nuclear steam supply system component supports (EPRI
 NP-5769).
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

1 References

- 2 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 3 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

4 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled
5 Power Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

6 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory7 Commission. 2015.

- 8 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
- 9 Subsection IWA, General Requirements." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code
- 10 New York, New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.²
- ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components, Subsection IWE, Requirements for Class MC and Metallic Liners of Class CC Components of Light-Water Cooled Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 2013
- 14 New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.

ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWL, Requirements for Class CC Concrete Components of Light-Water Cooled
 Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, New York, New York: The

18 American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.

EPRI. EPRI TR-104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. December 1995.

EPRI NP-5067, "Good Bolting Practices, A Reference Manual for Nuclear Power Plant
 Maintenance Personnel." Volume 1: Large Bolt Manual, 1987; Volume 2: Small Bolts and
 Threaded Fasteners. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 1990.

EPRI NP-5769, "Degradation and Failure of Bolting in Nuclear Power Plants."
 Volumes 1 and 2. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. April 1988.

NRC. NRC Information Notice 2014-07, "Degradation of leak-Chase Channel Systems for Floor
Welds of Metal Containment Shell and Concrete Containment Metallic Liner." ML14070A114.
Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 2014.

29 _____. NRC Technical Report, "Containment Liner Corrosion Operating Experience Summary."
 30 ML112070867. Revision 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 31 August 2011.

32 _____. NRC Information Notice 2011-15, "Steel Containment Degradation and Associated
 33 License Renewal Aging Management Issues." ML111460369. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 34 Regulatory Commission. August 2011.

²GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- NRC Information Notice 2010-12, "Containment Liner Corrosion." Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 2010.
- 3 _____. NRC Information Notice 2006-01, "Torus Cracking in a BWR Mark I Containment."
 4 ML053060311. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2006.

5 _____. Staff Position and Rationale for the Final License Renewal Interim Staff Guidance
6 LR-ISG-2006-01, "Plant-Specific Aging Management Program for Inaccessible Areas of Boiling
7 Water Reactor (BWR) Mark I Steel Containments Drywell Shell." Washington, DC:
8 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2006.

9 _____. NRC Information Notice 2004-09, "Corrosion of Steel Containment and Containment 10 Liner." ML041170030. Washington DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2004.

NRC Information Notice 97-10, "Liner Plate Corrosion in Concrete Containment."
 ML031050365. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1997.

- NUREG–1611, "Aging Management of Nuclear Power Plant Containments for License
 Renewal." ML071650341. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 September 1997.
- NUREG-1522, "Assessment of Inservice Conditions of Safety-Related Nuclear Plant
 Structures." ML06510407. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 June 1995.
- NRC Information Notice 92-20, "Inadequate Local Leak Rate Testing."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1992.
- 21 _____. NRC Generic Letter 91-17, "Generic Safety Issue 79, Bolting Degradation or Failure in
 22 Nuclear Power Plants." ML0311140534. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 23 Commission. October 1991.
- 24 _____. NRC Information Notice 86-99, "Degradation of Steel Containments." ML031250248,
 25 ML 031250234. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, December 8, 1986.
 26 Supplement 1 February 1991.
- 27 _____. NRC Information Notice 89-79, "Degraded Coatings and Corrosion of Steel
 28 Containment Vessels." ML031190089. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 29 Commission. December 1989. Supplement 1 June 1989.
- 30 _____. NRC Information Notice 88-82, "Torus Shells with Corrosion and Degraded Coatings in
 31 BWR Containments." ML031150069, ML082910476. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear
 32 Regulatory Commission. October 1988. Supplement 1 May 1989.
- 33 _____. NRC Generic Letter 87-05, "Request for Additional Information Assessment of Licensee
 34 Measures to Mitigate and/or Identify Potential Degradation of Mark I Drywells." ML031140335.
 35 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1987.
- . NRC IE Bulletin No. 82-02, "Degradation of Threaded Fasteners in the Reactor Coolant
 Pressure Boundary of PWR Plants." ML03120720. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. June 1982.

- 1 2 Research Council on Structural Connections. "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-Strength Bolts." December 2009.

1 XI.S2 ASME SECTION XI, SUBSECTION IWL

2 **Program Description**

3 10 CFR 50.55a imposes the examination requirements of the American Society of Mechanical 4 Engineers (ASME) Boling and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL,¹ for 5 reinforced and prestressed concrete containments (Class CC). The scope of IWL includes 6 reinforced concrete and unbonded post-tensioning systems. ASME Code, Section XI, 7 Subsection IWL and the additional requirements specified in 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2) constitute an 8 existing mandated program applicable to managing aging of containment reinforced concrete 9 and unbonded post-tensioning systems, and supplemented herein, for subsequent license 10 renewal (SLR). Containments with grouted tendons may require an additional plant-specific aging management program (AMP), based on the guidance in U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 11 Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.90. "Inservice Inspection of Prestressed Concrete 12 13 Containment Structures with Grouted Tendons," to address the adequacy of prestressing 14 forces.

- 15 The primary inspection method specified in IWL-2500 is visual examination, supplemented by
- 16 testing. For prestressed containments, tendon wires are tested for yield strength, ultimate
- 17 tensile strength, and elongation. Tendon corrosion protection medium is analyzed for alkalinity,

18 water content, and soluble ion concentrations. The quantity of free water contained in the

19 anchorage end cap and any free water that drains from tendons during the examination is

20 documented. Samples of free water are analyzed for pH. Prestressing forces are measured in 21 selected sample tendons. IWL specifies acceptance criteria, corrective actions, and expansion

- selected sample tendons. IWL specifies acceptance criteria, corrective actions, and expans
 of the inspection scope when degradation exceeding the acceptance criteria is found.
- The Code specifies augmented examination requirements following post-tensioning system
 repair/replacement activities.

25 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 26 1. Scope of Program: Subsection IWL-1000 specifies the components of concrete 27 containments within its scope. The components within the scope of Subsection IWL are 28 reinforced concrete and unbonded post-tensioning systems of Class CC containments. as defined by CC-1000. The program also includes testing of the tendon corrosion 29 30 protection medium and the pH of free water. Subsection IWL exempts from examination portions of the concrete containment that are inaccessible (e.g., concrete 31 32 covered by liner, foundation material, or backfill or obstructed by adjacent structures or 33 other components).
- 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2)(viii) and the 2009 and later editions/addenda of the Code specify
 additional requirements for inaccessible areas. The Code states that the licensee is to
 evaluate the acceptability of concrete in inaccessible areas when conditions exist in
 accessible areas that could indicate the presence of or result in degradation to such
 inaccessible areas. Steel liners for concrete containments and their integral attachments

¹GALL-SLR Report Chapter 1, Table 1 identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use of aging management programs.

are not within the scope of Subsection IWL but are included within the scope of
 Subsection IWE. Subsection IWE is evaluated in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S1, "ASME
 Section XI, Subsection IWE."

- Preventive Action: ASME Code Section XI, Subsection IWL is a condition monitoring
 program. However, the program includes actions to prevent or minimize corrosion of the
 prestressing tendons by maintaining corrosion protection medium chemistry within
 acceptable limits specified in IWL.
- 8 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Table IWL-2500-1 specifies two categories for 9 examination of concrete surfaces: (i) Category L-A for all accessible concrete surfaces and (ii) Category L-B for concrete surfaces surrounding anchorages of tendons selected 10 11 for testing in accordance with IWL-2521. Both of these categories rely on visual 12 examination methods. Concrete surfaces are examined for evidence of damage or 13 degradation, such as concrete cracks. IWL-2510 specifies that concrete surfaces are examined for conditions indicative of degradation, such as those defined in American 14 Concrete Institute (ACI) 201.1R and ACI 349.3R. Table IWL-2500-1 also specifies 15 16 Category L-B for test and examination requirements for unbonded post tensioning 17 systems. The number of tendons selected for examination is in accordance with 18 Table IWL-2521-1. Additional augmented examination requirements for post-tensioning 19 system repair/replacement activities are to be in accordance with Table IWL-2521-2. Tendon anchorage and wires or strands are visually examined for cracks, corrosion, and 20 21 mechanical damage. Tendon wires or strands are also tested for yield strength, ultimate 22 tensile strength, and elongation. The tendon corrosion protection medium is tested by 23 analysis for alkalinity, water content, and soluble ion concentrations. The pH of free 24 water samples is analyzed.
- 25 Detection of Aging Effects: The frequency and scope of examinations specified in 4. 10 CFR 50.55a and Subsection IWL ensure that aging effects would be detected before 26 27 they would compromise the design-basis requirements. The frequency of inspection is 28 specified in IWL-2400. Concrete inspections are performed in accordance with Examination Category L-A. Under Subsection IWL, inservice inspection (ISI) of concrete 29 30 and unbonded post-tensioning systems is required at 1, 3, and 5 years following the 31 initial structural integrity test. Thereafter, inspections are performed at 5-year intervals. 32 For sites with multiple plants, the schedule for ISI is provided in IWL-2421. In the case of tendons, only a sample of the tendons of each tendon type requires examination 33 during each inspection. 34
- 35 The tendons to be examined during an inspection are selected on a random basis. 36 Regarding detection methods for aging effects, all accessible concrete surfaces receive 37 General Visual examination (as defined by the ASME Code). Selected areas, such as 38 those that indicate suspect conditions and concrete surface areas surrounding tendon anchorages (Category L-B), receive a more rigorous Detailed Visual examination 39 (as defined by the ASME Code). Prestressing forces in sample tendons are measured. 40 41 In addition, one sample tendon of each type is detensioned. A single wire or strand is 42 removed from each detensioned tendon for examination and testing. These visual examination methods and testing would identify the aging effects of accessible concrete 43 44 components and prestressing systems in concrete containments. Examination of 45 corrosion protection medium and free water is tested for each examined tendon as 46 specified in Table IWL-2525-1.
- 1 5. Monitoring and Trending: Except in inaccessible areas, all concrete surfaces are 2 monitored on a regular basis by virtue of the examination requirements. Inspection 3 results are documented and compared to previous results to identify changes from prior 4 inspections. Quantitative measurements are recorded and trended for all applicable 5 parameters monitored or inspected, and the use of photographs or surveys is 6 recommended. Photography and its variations may be used to trend aging effects such 7 as cracking, spalling, delamination, pop-outs, or other age-related concrete degradation 8 as illustrated in ACI 201.1R. Photographic records may be used to document and trend 9 the type, severity, extent and progression of degradation.
- 10 For prestressed containments, trending of prestressing forces in tendons is required in accordance with the acceptance by examination criteria in IWL-3220. In addition to the 11 random sampling used for tendon examination, one tendon of each type is selected from 12 the first-year inspection sample and designated as a common tendon. Each common 13 tendon is then examined during each inspection. Corrosion protection medium 14 15 chemistry and free water pH are monitored for each examined tendon. This procedure provides monitoring and trending information over the life of the plant. 10 CFR 50.55a 16 17 and Subsection IWL also require that prestressing forces in all inspection sample tendons be measured by lift-off or equivalent tests and compared with acceptance 18 standards based on the predicted force for that type of tendon over its life. 19
- Acceptance Criteria: IWL-3000 provides acceptance criteria for concrete
 containments. In addition, this program includes quantitative acceptance criteria for
 concrete surfaces based on the "Evaluation Criteria" provided in Chapter 5 of
 ACI 349.3R.
- 24 The acceptance standards for the unbonded post-tensioning system are quantitative in 25 nature. For the post-tensioning system, quantitative acceptance criteria are given for 26 tendon force and elongation, tendon wire or strand samples, and corrosion protection 27 medium. Free water in the tendon anchorage areas is not acceptable, as specified in 28 IWL-3221.3. If free water is found, the recommendations in Table IWL-2525-1 are followed. 10 CFR 50.55a and Subsection IWL do not define the method for calculating 29 30 predicted tendon prestressing forces for comparison to the measured tendon lift-off 31 forces. The predicted tendon forces are calculated in accordance with RG 1.35.1. 32 "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containments," which provides an acceptable methodology for use through the 33 subsequent period of extended operation. 34
- 35 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 36 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 37 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 38 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 39 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 40 41 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-42 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Subsection IWL specifies that items for which examination results do not meet the
 acceptance standards are to be evaluated in accordance with IWL-3300,
 "Evaluation," and described in an engineering evaluation report. The report is to
 include an evaluation of whether the concrete containment is acceptable without

- 1 repair of the item and, if repair is required, the extent, method, and completion date of 2 the repair or replacement. The report also identifies the cause of the condition and 3 the extent, nature, and frequency of additional examinations. Subsection IWL also 4 provides repair procedures to follow in IWL-4000. This includes requirements for the 5 concrete repair, repair of reinforcing steel, and repair of the post-tensioning system.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 18 IWA-1400 specifies the preparation of plans, schedules, and inservice inspection (ISI)
 19 summary reports. In addition, written examination instructions and procedures,
 20 verification of qualification level of personnel who perform the examinations, and
 21 documentation of a QA program are specified. IWA-6000 specifically covers the
 22 preparation, submittal, and retention of records and reports.
- 23 10. **Operating Experience:** ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL was incorporated into 24 10 CFR 50.55a in 1996. Prior to this time, the prestressing tendon inspections were 25 performed in accordance with the guidance provided in RG 1.35, "Inservice Inspection of 26 Ungrouted Tendons in Prestressed Concrete Containments." Operating experience pertaining to degradation of reinforced concrete in concrete containments was gained 27 28 through the inspections required by 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(4) (i.e., Subsection IWL), 10 CFR Part 50. Appendix J. and ad hoc inspections conducted by licensees and the 29 30 NRC. NUREG–1522, "Assessment of Inservice Condition of Safety-Related Nuclear 31 Power Plant Structures," described instances of cracked, spalled, and degraded 32 concrete for reinforced and prestressed concrete containments. The NUREG also 33 described cracked anchor heads for the prestressing tendons at three prestressed concrete containments. NRC IN 99-10, Rev. 1, "Degradation of Prestressing Tendon 34 Systems in Prestressed Concrete Containment," described occurrences of degradation 35 in prestressing systems. IN 2010-14, "Containment Concrete Surface Condition 36 37 Examination Frequency and Acceptance Criteria," describes issues concerning the containment concrete surface condition examination frequency and acceptance criteria. 38 The program considers the degradation concerns described in these generic 39 communications. Implementation of Subsection IWL, in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a, 40 41 is a necessary element of aging management for concrete containments through the 42 subsequent period of extended operation.
- 43 NRC Inspection Report 05000302/2009007 documents operating experience of an
 44 unprecedented delamination event that occurred during a major containment
 45 modification of a post-tensioned concrete containment. Although the event is not
 46 considered attributable to an aging mechanism, aging characteristics of prestressed

- concrete containments and lessons learned should be an important consideration for
 major containment modification repair/replacement activities, especially those involving
 significant detensioning and retensioning of tendons, during the subsequent period of
 extended operation.
- 5 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 6 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 7 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 9 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 10 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled
 Power Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear RegulatoryCommission. 2015.
- ACI. ACI Standard 201.1R-08, "Guide for Conducting a Visual Inspection of Concrete in
 Service." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2008.
- ACI Standard 349.3R, "Evaluation of Existing Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete Structures." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2002.
- ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
- 20 Subsection IWA, General Requirements." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.
- 21 New York, New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.² 2013.
- ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWE, Requirements for Class MC and Metallic Liners of Class CC Components of
 Light-Water Cooled Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York,
 New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWL, Requirements for Class CC Concrete Components of Light-Water Cooled
 Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The
 American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.90, "Inservice Inspection of Prestressed Concrete Containment
 Structures with Grouted Tendons." ML11249A008. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. November 2012.

²GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- 1 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-14, "Containment Concrete Surface Condition 2 Examination Frequency and Acceptance Criteria." ML101600151. Washington, DC:
- 3 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. August 2010.

4 _____. NRC Information Notice 99-10, Revision 1, "Degradation of Prestressing Tendon
5 Systems in Prestressed Concrete Containment." Revision 1. ML031500244. Washington DC:
6 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 1995.

NUREG–1522, "Assessment of Inservice Condition of Safety-Related Nuclear Power
 Plant Structures." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1995.

9 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.35.1, "Determining Prestressing Forces for Inspection of 10 Prestressed Concrete Containments." ML0037400403. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear 11 Regulatory Commission. July 1990.

12 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.35, "Inservice Inspection of Ungrouted Tendons in

13 Prestressed Concrete Containments." ML003740007. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear

14 Regulatory Commission. July 1990.

1 XI.S3 ASME SECTION XI, SUBSECTION IWF

2 **Program Description**

3 The 10 CFR 50.55a, imposes the inservice inspection (ISI) requirements of the American 4 Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (B&PV).¹ 5 Section XI, for Class 1, 2, 3, and metal containment (MC) piping and components and their 6 associated supports. ISI of supports for ASME piping and components is addressed in Section 7 XI, Subsection IWF. ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWL and the additional requirements 8 specified in 10 CFR 50.55a(b)(2) constitute an existing mandated program applicable to 9 managing aging of containment reinforced concrete and unbonded post-tensioning systems, 10 and supplemented by guidance herein, for subsequent license renewal (SLR). This evaluation 11 covers the 2004 edition of the ASME Code as approved in 10 CFR 50.55a. This program supplements ASME Code. Section XI, Subsection IWF, which constitutes an existing mandated 12 13 program applicable to managing aging of ASME Class 1, 2, 3, and MC component supports for 14 subsequent license renewal (SLR).

15 The scope of inspection for supports is based on sampling of the total support population. The

16 sample size varies depending on the ASME Class. The largest sample size is specified for the

17 most critical supports (ASME Class 1). The sample size decreases for the less critical supports

(ASME Class 2 and 3). Discovery of support deficiencies during regularly scheduled
 inspections triggers an increase of the inspection scope in order to ensure that the full extent of

20 deficiencies is identified. The primary inspection method employed is visual examination.

21 Degradation that potentially compromises support function or load capacity is identified for

22 evaluation. ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF specifies acceptance criteria and corrective

23 actions. Supports requiring corrective actions are reexamined during the next inspection period.

The requirements of subsection IWF are supplemented to include monitoring of high-strength bolting (actual measured yield strength greater than or equal to 150 kilo-pounds per square inch (ksi) or 1,034 megapascals (MPa) for cracking. This program emphasizes proper selection of bolting material, lubricants, and installation torque or tension to prevent or minimize loss of bolting preload and cracking of high-strength bolting. This program includes inspections of randomly selected additional supports for each group of materials used and the environments to which they are exposed outside of the existing IWF sample population.

31 Evaluation and Technical Basis

 Scope of Program: This program addresses ASME Class 1, 2, 3, and MC component supports. The scope of the program includes support members, structural bolting, high-strength structural bolting (actual measured yield strength greater than or equal to 150 ksi or 1,034 MPa), anchor bolts, support anchorage to the building structure, accessible sliding surfaces, constant and variable load spring hangers, guides, stops, and vibration isolation elements. The acceptability of inaccessible areas (e.g., portions of supports encased in concrete, buried underground, or encapsulated by guard pipe) is evaluated when

¹GALL-SLR Report Chapter 1, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for AMPs.

- conditions exist in accessible areas that could indicate the presence of, or result in,
 degradation to such inaccessible areas.
- 3 2. **Preventive Action:** Operating experience and laboratory examinations show that the 4 use of molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2) as a lubricant is a potential contributor to stress 5 corrosion cracking (SCC), especially when applied to high-strength bolting. Thus, 6 molybdenum disulfide and other lubricants containing sulfur should not be used. 7 Preventive measures also include using bolting material that has actual measured vield 8 strength less than 150 ksi or 1.034 MPa. Bolting replacement and maintenance 9 activities include proper selection of bolting material and lubricants, and appropriate 10 installation torque or tension, as recommended in Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) documents (e.g., EPRI NP-5067 and EPRI TR-104213), American Society for 11 12 Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards, and American Institute of Steel Construction 13 (AISC) Specifications, as applicable. If bolting within the scope of the program consists of ASTM A325 and/or ASTM A490 bolts (including respective equivalent twist-off type 14 15 ASTM F1852 and/or ASTM F2280 bolts), the preventive actions for storage, lubricant selection, and bolting and coating material selection discussed in Section 2 of Research 16 17 Council for Structural Connections (RCSC) publication "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-Strength Bolts" need to be used. 18
- 19 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The parameters monitored or inspected include corrosion: deformation: misalignment of supports; missing, detached, or loosened 20 21 support items; cracking of welds; improper clearances of guides and stops; and improper 22 hot or cold settings of spring supports and constant load supports. Accessible areas of 23 sliding surfaces are monitored for debris, dirt, or indications of excessive loss of material 24 due to wear that could prevent or restrict sliding as intended in the design basis of the 25 support. Elastomeric vibration isolation elements are monitored for cracking, loss of 26 material, and hardening. All bolting within the scope of the program is monitored for 27 corrosion, loss of integrity of bolted connections due to self-loosening, and material conditions that can affect structural integrity. In addition, the concrete around anchor 28 bolts is monitored for cracking. High strength bolting in sizes greater than 1 inch 29 30 nominal diameter, including ASTM A325 and/or ASTM A490 bolts (including respective equivalent twist-off type ASTM F1852 and/or ASTM F2280 bolts), should be monitored 31 32 for SCC.
- 33 **Detection of Aging Effects:** The program requires that a sample of ASME Class 1, 2, 4. 34 and 3 piping supports that are not exempt from examination and 100 percent of supports 35 other than piping supports (Class 1, 2, 3, and MC), be examined as specified in 36 Table IWF-2500-1. The sample size examined for ASME Class 1, 2, and 3 component supports is as specified in Table IWF-2500-1, plus an additional 5 percent of Class 1, 2, 37 38 and 3 piping supports. The additional supports are randomly selected from the remaining population of IWF piping supports. The extent, frequency, and examination 39 40 methods are designed to detect, evaluate, or repair age-related degradation before there 41 is a loss of component support intended function. The VT-3 examination method specified by the program can reveal loss of material due to corrosion and wear, 42 43 verification of clearances, settings, physical displacements, loose or missing parts, debris or dirt in accessible areas of the sliding surfaces, or loss of integrity at bolted 44 connections. The VT-3 examination can also detect loss of material and cracking of 45 46 elastomeric vibration isolation elements. Elastomeric vibration isolation elements should 47 be felt to detect hardening if the vibration isolation function is suspect. IWF-3200 specifies that visual examinations that detect surface flaws which exceed acceptance 48

- criteria may be supplemented by either surface or volumetric examinations to determine
 the character of the flaw.
- 3 For high-strength bolting in sizes greater than 1 inch nominal diameter, volumetric 4 examination comparable to that of ASME Code Section XI, Table IWB-2500-1, 5 Examination Category B-G-1 should be performed to detect cracking in addition to the 6 VT-3 examination. This volumetric examination may be waived with plant-specific 7 iustification. High-strength ASTM A325, and/or ASTM A490 bolting (including respective equivalent twist-off type ASTM F1852 and/or ASTM F2280 bolts), in sizes greater than 8 1 inch nominal diameter, within the scope of this program is not exempt from volumetric 9 10 examination unless additional justification is provided.
- 11 5. Monitoring and Trending: The ASME Class 1, 2, 3, and MC component supports are 12 examined periodically, as specified in Table IWF-2500-1. As required by IWF-2420(a), the sequence of component support examinations established during the first inspection 13 14 interval is repeated during each successive inspection interval, to the extent practical. 15 Component supports whose examinations do not reveal unacceptable degradation are 16 accepted for continued service. Verified changes of conditions from prior examination 17 are recorded in accordance with IWA-6230. Component supports whose examinations reveal unacceptable conditions and are accepted for continued service by corrective 18 19 measures or repair/replacement activity are reexamined during the next inspection 20 period. When the reexamined component support no longer requires additional 21 corrective measures during the next inspection period, the inspection schedule may 22 revert to its regularly scheduled inspection. Examinations that reveal indications which 23 exceed the acceptance standards and require corrective measures are extended to include additional examinations in accordance with IWF-2430. If a component support 24 25 does not exceed the acceptance standards of IWF-3400 but is repaired to as-new condition, the sample is increased or modified to include another support that is 26 27 representative of the remaining population of supports that were not repaired.
- Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance standards for visual examination are specified in
 IWF-3400. IWF-3410(a) identifies the following conditions as unacceptable:
- 30 (a) Deformations or structural degradations of fasteners, springs, clamps, or other
 31 support items;
- 32 (b) Missing, detached, or loosened support items, including bolts and nuts;
- 33 (c) Arc strikes, weld spatter, paint, scoring, roughness, or general corrosion on close
 34 tolerance machined or sliding surfaces;
- 35 (d) Improper hot or cold positions of spring supports and constant load supports;
- 36 (e) Misalignment of supports; and
- 37 (f) Improper clearances of guides and stops.
- 38 Other unacceptable conditions include:
- 39 (a) Loss of material due to corrosion or wear

- 1 (b) Debris, dirt, or excessive wear that could prevent or restrict sliding of the sliding 2 surfaces as intended in the design basis of the support;
 - (c) Cracked or sheared bolts, including high-strength bolts, and anchors; and

3

4

5

- (d) Loss of material, cracking, and hardening of elastomeric vibration isolation elements that could reduce the vibration isolation function.
- 6 The above conditions may be accepted provided the technical basis for their acceptance 7 is documented.
- 8 *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 7. 9 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 10 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 11 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 12 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 13 fulfill the corrective actions element of this aging management program (AMP) for both 14 15 safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components (SCs) within the scope 16 of this program.
- Identification of unacceptable conditions triggers an expansion of the inspection scope,
 in accordance with IWF-2430, and reexamination of the supports requiring corrective
 actions during the next inspection period, in accordance with IWF-2420(b). In
 accordance with IWF-3122, supports containing unacceptable conditions are evaluated
 or tested or corrected before returning to service. Corrective actions are delineated in
 IWF-3122.2. IWF-3122.3 provides an alternative for evaluation or testing to substantiate
 structural integrity and/or functionality.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 36 10. Operating Experience: Degradation of threaded bolting and fasteners has occurred from boric acid corrosion, SCC, and fatigue loading U.S. Nuclear Regulatory 37 38 Commission (NRC) Inspection and Enforcement (IE) Bulletin 82-02, "Degradation of Threaded Fasteners In the Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary of PWR Plants," NRC 39 40 Generic Letter (GL) 91-17, "Generic Safety Issue 79, Bolting Degradation of Failure in 41 Nuclear Power Plants"). SCC has occurred in high-strength bolts used for nuclear steam supply system (NSSS) component supports (EPRI NP-5769). NRC Information Notice 42 43 (IN) 2009-04 describes deviations in the supporting forces of mechanical constant

- supports, from code allowable load deviation, due to age-related wear on the linkages
 and increased friction between the various moving parts and joints within the constant
 support, which can adversely affect the analyzed stresses of connected piping systems.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 8 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants.
- 9 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear RegulatoryCommission. 2015.
- 12 ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
- 13 Subsection IWB, Requirements for Class 1 Components of Light-Water Cooled Power Plants."
- The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.²
- 15 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- 16 _____. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
- Subsection IWC, Requirements for Class 2 Components of Light-Water Cooled Power Plants."
 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWD, Requirements for Class 3 Components of Light-Water Cooled Power Plants."
 The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The American Society of
- 23 Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWE, Requirements for Class MC and Metallic Liners of Class CC Components of
 Light-Water Cooled Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York,
 New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWF, Requirements for Class 1, 2, 3, and MC Component Supports of Light-Water
 Cooled Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York:
 The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.
- 32 EPRI. EPRI TR-104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide." Palo Alto, California:
 33 Electric Power Research Institute. December 1995.

²GALL Report Chapter I, Table 1, identifies the ASME Code Section XI editions and addenda that are acceptable to use for this AMP.

- EPRI NP-5067, "Good Bolting Practices, A Reference Manual for Nuclear Power Plant
 Maintenance Personnel." Volume 1: Large Bolt Manual, 1987; Volume 2: Small Bolts and
 Threaded Fasteners, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 1990.
- EPRI NP-5769, "Degradation and Failure of Bolting in Nuclear Power Plants."
 Volumes 1 and 2. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. April 1988.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 2009-04, "Age-Related Constant Support Degradation."
 ML090340754. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 2009.
- 8 _____. NRC Generic Letter 91-17, "Generic Safety Issue 79, Bolting Degradation or Failure in
 9 Nuclear Power Plants." ML031140534. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 10 Commission. October 1991.
- NRC IE Bulletin 82-02, "Degradation of Threaded Fasteners in the Reactor Coolant
 Pressure Boundary of PWR Plants." ML03120720. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. June 1982.
- 14 Research Council on Structural Connections. "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-15 Strength Bolts." 2009.

XI.S4 10 CFR PART 50, APPENDIX J 1

2 **Program Description**

- 3 A typical primary reactor containment system consists of a containment structure (containment),
- 4 and a number of electrical, mechanical, equipment hatch, and personnel air lock penetrations.
- 5 As described in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 50, Appendix J,
- 6 "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled Power Reactors,"
- 7 (Appendix J) periodic containment leak rate tests are required to ensure that (a) leakage
- 8 through these containments or systems and components penetrating these containments does
- not exceed allowable leakage rates specified in the Technical Specification (TS) and (b) integrity 9
- 10 of the containment structure is maintained during its service life.
- 11 This aging management program (AMP) credits the existing program required by
- 12 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J, and augments it to ensure that all containment pressure-retaining 13
- components are managed for age-related degradation.
- 14 Appendix J provides two options, Option A and Option B, to meet the requirements of a
- 15 containment leak rate test (LRT) program. Option A is prescriptive with all testing performed on
- 16 specified periodic intervals. Option B is a performance-based approach. The U.S. Nuclear
- 17 Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.163, "Performance-Based
- 18 Containment Leak-Test Program" and Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 94-01, Industry Guideline
- 19 for Implementing Performance-Based Option for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, as approved by
- 20 the NRC final safety evaluation for NEI 94-01, Revision 3, provide additional information
- 21 regarding Option B. Three types of tests are performed under either Option A or Option B, or a
- 22 mix as adopted by licensees on a voluntary basis.
- 23 Type A integrated leak rate tests (ILRTs) determine the overall containment integrated leakage
- 24 rate, at the calculated peak containment internal pressure (Pa) related to the design basis loss

25 of coolant accident (LOCA). Type B (containment penetration leak rate) tests detect local leaks

- 26 and measure leakage across each pressure-containing or leakage-limiting boundary of
- 27 containment penetrations.
- 28 Type C (containment isolation valve leak rate) tests detect local leaks and measure leakage
- 29 across containment isolation valves installed in containment penetrations or lines penetrating
- 30 the containment. If Type C tests are not performed under this program, they could be
- 31 included under an Inservice Testing Program for systems containing the isolation valves
- 32 Ie.g., American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code for Operation and Maintenance
- 33 of Nuclear Power Plants (NPPs) or ASME Code, Section XI, Division 1, Rules for inservice
- 34 inspection (ISI) of NPP Components, incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a].
- 35 Appendix J requires a general visual inspection of the accessible interior and exterior surfaces
- 36 of the containment structures and components (SCs) to be performed prior to any Type A test
- 37 and at periodic intervals between tests based on the performance of the containment system.
- 38 The visual inspections required by ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE and ASME Section XI,
- 39 Subsection IWL are acceptable substitutes for the general visual inspection. The purpose of the 40 Appendix J general visual inspection is to uncover any evidence of structural deterioration that
- 41 may affect the containment structure leakage integrity or the performance of the Type A test.

1 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- Scope of Program: The scope of the containment LRT program includes the
 containment system and related systems and components penetrating the containment
 pressure-retaining or leakage-limiting boundary. Containment pressure-retaining
 boundary components within the scope of subsequent license renewal (SLR) and
 excluded from Appendix J testing must still be age-managed. Other programs may be
 credited for aging management of these components; however, the component and the
 proposed AMP should be clearly identified.
- 9 2. *Preventive Action*: The containment LRT program is a performance monitoring program with no specific preventive actions.
- Parameters Monitored or Inspected: The monitored parameters are leakage rates
 through the containment shell, containment liner, penetrations, associated welds, access
 openings, and associated pressure boundary components.
- 14 Detection of Aging Effects: A containment LRT program is effective in detecting 4. 15 leakage rates of the containment pressure boundary components, including seals and 16 gaskets, and in identifying and correcting sources of leakage. While the calculation of 17 leakage rates and satisfactory performance of containment leak rate testing 18 demonstrates the leakage integrity of the containment, it does not by itself provide 19 information that would indicate that age-related degradation has initiated or that the 20 capacity of the containment may have been reduced for other types of loading 21 conditions. This would be achieved with the implementation of acceptable containment 22 ISI programs such as ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE (GALL-SLR Report AMP 23 XI.S1), and ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S2).
- 24 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Because the containment LRT program is repeated 25 periodically throughout the operating license period, the entire containment pressure 26 boundary is monitored over time. The frequency of these tests depends on which option 27 (A or B) is selected. With Option A, testing is performed on a regular fixed time interval 28 as defined in Appendix J. In the case of Option B, acceptable performance in prior tests 29 meeting leakage rate limits serves as a basis to adjust the testing interval. For valves 30 and penetrations administrative leakage rate limits may be set lower than the regulatory 31 acceptance criteria for early detection of age-related degradation.
- Acceptance Criteria: Plant TS define the regulatory acceptance criteria for leakage
 rate limits. The regulatory acceptance criteria meet the requirements as set forth in
 Appendix J, and are part of each plant's licensing basis.
- 35 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 36 37 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 38 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 39 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 40 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 41 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-42 related SCs within the scope of this program.

- Corrective actions are taken in accordance with Appendix J and NEI 94-01. When
 leakage rates do not meet the acceptance criteria, an evaluation is performed to identify
 the cause of the unacceptable performance and appropriate corrective actions
 are taken.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- Results of the containment LRT program are documented as described in Appendix J, to
 demonstrate that the acceptance criteria for leakage rates have been satisfied. The test
 results that exceed the acceptance criteria are assessed under 10 CFR 50.72 and
 10 CFR 50.73.
- 10. *Operating Experience:* To date, Appendix J, containment LRT program, in conjunction
 with the containment ISI program, have been effective in preventing unacceptable
 leakage through the containment pressure boundary. Implementation of Option B for
 testing frequency must be consistent with plant-specific operating experience.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- NRC Information Notice (IN) 92-20, "Inadequate Local Leak Rate Testing," describes
 operating experience of inadequate local leak rate testing of two-ply steel expansion
 bellows that were used on some piping penetrations.

- 32 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 33 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, "Primary Reactor Containment Leakage Testing for Water-Cooled
 Power Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.
- 38 10 CFR 50.72, "Immediate Notification Requirements for Operating Nuclear Power Reactors."
- 39 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

10 CFR 50.73, "Licensee Event Report System." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2015.

ASME. ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
 Subsection IWE, Requirements for Class MC and Metallic Liners of Class CC Components of
 Light-Water Cooled Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York,
 New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.

ASME Section XI, "Rules for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Power Plant Components,
Subsection IWL, Requirements for Class CC Concrete Components of Light-Water Cooled
Power Plants." The ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code. New York, New York: The
American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 2013.

- NEI. NEI 94-01, "Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of
 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J." Rev. 3-A. ML12221A202. Nuclear Energy Institute. July 2012.
- 13 _____. NEI 94-01, "Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of
 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J." Rev. 2-A. Nuclear Energy Institute. October 2008.
- 15 NRC. "Final Safety Evaluation for Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) Topical Report (TR) 94-01,

16 Revision 2, Industry Guideline for Implementing Performance-Based Option of 10 CFR, Part 50,

Appendix J." ML081140105. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.June 2008.

"Final Safety Evaluation for Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Report No.
 1009325, Revision 2, Risk Impact Assessment of Extended Integrated Leak Rate Testing
 Intervals." ML072970208. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 August 2007.

23 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.163, "Performance-Based Containment Leak-Test Program."
 24 Revision 0. ML003740058. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
 25 September 1995.

26 _____. NRC Information Notice 92-20, "Inadequate Local Leak Rate Testing." ML031200473.
 27 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1992.

1 XI.S5 MASONRY WALLS

2 **Program Description**

3 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Inspection and Enforcement Bulletin (IEB) 80-11, "Masonry Wall Design," and NRC Information Notice (IN) 87-67, "Lessons Learned 4 5 from Regional Inspections of Licensee Actions in Response to IEB 80-11," constitute an 6 acceptable basis for a masonry wall aging management program (AMP). IEB 80-11 required 7 (a) the identification of masonry walls in close proximity to or having attachments from safety-8 related systems or components and (b) the evaluation of design adequacy and construction 9 practice. NRC IN 87-67 recommended plant-specific condition monitoring of masonry walls and 10 administrative controls to ensure that the evaluation basis developed in response to NRC IEB 11 80-11 is not invalidated by (a) deterioration of the masonry walls (e.g., new cracks not 12 considered in the reevaluation), (b) physical plant changes such as installation of new safety-13 related systems or components in close proximity to masonry walls, or (c) reclassification of 14 systems or components from nonsafety-related to safety-related, provided appropriate 15 evaluation is performed to account for such occurrences.

16 Important elements in the evaluation of many masonry walls during the NRC IEB 80-11 program

included (a) installation of steel edge supports to provide a sound technical basis for boundary
 conditions used in seismic analysis and (b) installation of steel bracing to ensure stability or

conditions used in seismic analysis and (b) installation of steel bracing to ensure stability or
 containment of unreinforced masonry walls during a seismic event. Consequently, in addition to

20 the development of cracks in the masonry walls, loss of function of the structural steel supports

21 and bracing would also invalidate the evaluation basis. The steel edge supports and steel

22 bracings are considered component supports and aging effects are managed by the Structures

23 Monitoring program (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6).

The program consists of periodic visual inspection of masonry walls within the scope of subsequent license renewal (SLR) to detect loss of material and cracking of masonry units and mortar. The aging effects that could impact masonry wall intended function or potentially invalidate its evaluation basis are entered into the corrective action process for further analysis, repair, or replacement.

Since the issuance of NRC IEB 80-11 and NRC IN 87-67, the NRC promulgated 10 CFR 50.65,
"Maintenance Rule." For SLR, masonry walls may be inspected as part of GALL-SLR Report
AMP XI.S6 conducted for the Maintenance Rule, provided the 10 attributes described below are
incorporated in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6. The aging effects on masonry walls that are

33 considered fire barriers are managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M26, "Fire Protection."

34 Evaluation and Technical Basis

 Scope of Program: The scope includes all masonry walls identified as performing intended functions in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4. Masonry walls consist of solid or hollow concrete block, mortar, grout, steel bracing, reinforcing and supports. The aging effects on masonry walls that are considered fire barriers are also managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M26, Fire Protection, as well as being managed by this program. Aging effects on the steel elements of masonry walls are managed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6.

42 2. *Preventive Action:* This is a condition monitoring program and no specific preventive actions are required.

- 13.**Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: The primary parameters monitored are potential2shrinkage and/or separation, cracking of masonry walls, cracking or loss of material at3the mortar joints and gaps between the supports and masonry walls that could impact4the intended function or potentially invalidate its evaluation basis.
- 5 **Detection of Aging Effects:** Visual examination of the masonry walls by gualified 4. 6 inspection personnel is sufficient. In general, masonry walls are inspected every 7 5 years. Walls that are both unreinforced and unbraced are inspected every 3 years. Provisions exist for more frequent inspections in areas where significant loss of material. 8 9 cracking, or other signs of degradation are observed to ensure there is no loss of 10 intended function between inspections. In addition, masonry walls that are fire barriers are visually inspected in accordance with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M26. Steel 11 elements of masonry walls are visually inspected under the scope of GALL-SLR Report 12 13 AMP XI.S6.
- Monitoring and Trending: Condition monitoring for evidence of shrinkage and/or
 separation and cracking of masonry is achieved by periodic examination. Inspection
 results are documented and compared to previous inspections to identify changes or
 trends in the condition of masonry walls. Crack widths and lengths, and gaps between
 supports and masonry walls, are measured and assessed for trends. Degradation
 detected from monitoring is evaluated. Photographic records may be used to document
 and trend the type, severity, extent and progression of degradation.
- 21 6. Acceptance Criteria: For each masonry wall, observed degradation (e.g., shrinkage 22 and/or separation, cracking of masonry walls, cracking or loss of material at the mortar joints and gaps between the supports and masonry walls) are assessed against the 23 24 evaluation basis to confirm the degradation has not invalidated the original evaluation 25 assumptions or impacted the capability to perform the intended functions. Further evaluation is conducted to determine if corrective action is required when the 26 27 degradation is determined to impact the intended function of the wall or invalidate its 28 evaluation basis. Safety-related equipment near or adjacent to masonry walls should be inspected to ensure the affected masonry walls are being properly managed for aging. 29 Degraded conditions that are accepted without repair or other corrective actions are 30 31 technically justified or supported by engineering evaluation.
- 7. *Corrective Actions:* Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective
 Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes
 how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs
 within the scope of this program.
- A corrective action option is to develop a new analysis or evaluation basis that accounts
 for the degraded condition of the wall (i.e., acceptance by further evaluation). Other
 alternatives include repair or replacing the degraded wall.
- 8. *Confirmation Process:* The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the

- confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9 10. **Operating Experience:** Since 1980, masonry walls that perform an intended function 10 have been systematically identified through licensee programs in response to NRC 11 Inspection and Enforcement Bulletin (IEB) 80-11, NRC Generic Letter (GL) 87-02, and 12 10 CFR 50.48. NRC IN 87-67 documented lessons learned from the NRC IEB 80-11 13 program and provided recommendations for administrative controls and periodic inspection to ensure that the evaluation basis for each safety-significant masonry wall is 14 15 maintained. NUREG-1522 documents instances of observed cracks and other 16 deterioration of masonry-wall joints at nuclear power plants (NPPs). Whether conducted 17 as a stand-alone program or as a part of structures monitoring, a masonry wall AMP that incorporates the recommendations delineated in NRC IN 87-67 should ensure that the 18 19 intended functions of all masonry walls within the scope of license renewal are maintained for the subsequent period of extended operation. 20
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 25 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 26 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.48, "Fire Protection." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.2015.
- 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear
 Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 31 10 CFR 54.4, "Scope." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- NRC. NUREG–1522, "Assessment of Inservice Condition of Safety-Related Nuclear Power
 Plant Structures." ML06510407. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 34 June 1995.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 87-67, "Lessons Learned from Regional Inspections of
 36 Licensee Actions in Response to IE Bulletin 80-11." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 37 Commission. December 1987.
- 38 _____. NRC Generic Letter 87-02, "Verification of Seismic Adequacy of Mechanical and
- 39 Electrical Equipment in Operating Reactors, Unresolved Safety Issue (USI) A-46."
- 40 ML031150371. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 1987.

- _____. NRC IE Bulletin 80-11, "Masonry Wall Design." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1980. 1 2

1 XI.S6 STRUCTURES MONITORING

2 **Program Description**

- 3 Implementation of structures monitoring under 10 CFR 50.65 (the Maintenance Rule) is
- 4 addressed in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.160,
- 5 and Nuclear Management and Resources Council (NUMARC) 93-01. These two documents
- and supplemental guidance herein provide guidance for development of licensee-specific
- 7 programs to monitor the condition of structures and structural components within the scope
- 8 of the license renewal rule, such that there is no loss of structure or structural component
- 9 intended function.
- 10 The structures monitoring program consists primarily of periodic visual inspections by personnel
- 11 qualified to monitor structures and components (SCs), including protective coatings, for
- 12 applicable aging effects from degradation mechanisms, such as those described in the
- 13 American Concrete Institute (ACI) Standards 349.3R, ACI 201.1R, and Structural Engineering
- 14 Institute/American Society of Civil Engineers Standard (SEI/ASCE) 11. Visual inspections are
- 15 supplemented with volumetric or surface examinations to detect stress corrosion cracking
- 16 (SCC) in high-strength (actual measured yield strength greater than or equal to 150 thousand-
- 17 pound per square inch [ksi] or greater than or equal to 1,034 MPa) structural bolts greater than

18 1 inch [25 mm] in diameter. Identified aging effects are evaluated by qualified personnel using
 19 criteria derived from industry codes and standards contained in the plant current licensing

- bases, including ACI 349.3R, ACI 318, SEI/ASCE 11, and the American Institute of Steel
- 21 Construction (AISC) specifications, as applicable.
- The program includes preventive actions to ensure structural bolting integrity. The program also includes periodic sampling and testing of groundwater and the need to assess the impact of any changes in its chemistry on below grade concrete structures.

25 **Evaluation and Technical Basis**

- 26 1. Scope of Program: The scope of the program includes all structures, SCs, component 27 supports, and structural commodities in the scope of license renewal that are not 28 covered by other structural aging management programs (AMPs) (i.e., "ASME 29 Section XI, Subsection IWE" (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S1); "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL" (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S2); "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF" 30 (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S3); "Masonry Walls" (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S5); and 31 32 NRC RG 1.127, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power 33 Plants" (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S7).
- 34 Examples of structures, SCs, and commodities in the scope of the program are concrete 35 and steel structures, structural bolting and high-strength structural bolting 36 (actual measured yield strength greater than or equal to 150 ksi or greater than or equal 37 to 1,034 MPa), anchor bolts and embedments, component support members, steel edge 38 supports and steel bracings associated with masonry walls, pipe whip restraints and jet 39 impingement shields, transmission towers, panels and other enclosures, racks, sliding 40 surfaces, sump and pool liners, electrical cable trays and conduits, trash racks associated with water control structures, electrical duct banks, manholes, doors, 41 penetration seals, seismic joint filler and other elastomeric materials, and tube tracks. 42 43 Associated coatings are also included as an indication of the condition of the 44 underlying material.

- The scope of this program includes periodic sampling and testing of groundwater. The
 scope may also include inspection of masonry walls and water-control structures
 provided all the attributes of "Masonry Walls" (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S5) and
 "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants"
 (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S7) are incorporated in the attributes of this program.
- 6 2. **Preventive Action**: The structures monitoring program is primarily a condition 7 monitoring program; however, the program includes preventive actions to ensure structural bolting integrity, as discussed in Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) 8 9 documents (such as EPRI NP-5067 and TR-104213), American Society for Testing and 10 Materials (ASTM) standards, and American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) specifications, as applicable. The preventive actions emphasize proper selection of 11 12 bolting material and lubricants, and appropriate installation torque or tension to prevent or minimize loss of bolting preload and cracking of high-strength bolting. If the structural 13 bolting consists of ASTM A325 and/or ASTM A490 bolts (including respective equivalent 14 15 twist-off type ASTM F1852 and/or ASTM F2280 bolts), the preventive actions for storage, lubricant selection, and bolting and coating material selection discussed in 16 17 Section 2 of Research Council for Structural Connection (RCSC) publication "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-Strength Bolts," need to be used. 18
- 193.Parameters Monitored or Inspected: For each structure/aging effect combination, the20specific parameters monitored or inspected depend on the particular structure, SC, or21commodity. Parameters monitored or inspected are commensurate with industry codes,22standards, and guidelines and also consider industry and plant-specific operating23experience. ACI 349.3R and SEI/ASCE 11 provide an acceptable basis for selection of24parameters to be monitored or inspected for concrete and steel structural elements and25for steel liners, joints, coatings, and waterproofing membranes (if applicable).
- For concrete structures, parameters monitored include loss of material, cracking, 26 27 increase in porosity and permeability, loss of strength, and reduction in concrete anchor 28 capacity due to local concrete degradation. Steel SCs are monitored for loss of material due to corrosion. Structural steel bracing and edge supports associated with masonry 29 30 walls are inspected for deflection or distortion, loose bolts, loss of material due to 31 corrosion, and coating degradation. Painted or coated areas are examined for evidence 32 of flaking, blistering, cracking, peeling, delamination, discoloration, and other signs of distress that could indicate degradation of the underlying material. 33
- Bolting within the scope of the program is monitored for loss of material, loose bolts,
 missing or loose nuts, and other conditions indicative of loss of preload. In addition,
 concrete around anchor bolts is monitored for cracking. High-strength structural bolts
 greater than 1 inch [25 mm] in diameter are monitored for stress corrosion cracking
 (SCC). However, ASTM A325 and ASTM A490 bolts (or equivalent) used in civil
 structures have not been shown to be prone to SCC. Therefore, SCC potential need not
 be evaluated for high-strength bolts of those classifications when used in civil structures.
- Accessible sliding surfaces are monitored for indication of significant loss of material due
 to wear or corrosion, and for accumulation of debris or dirt. Elastomeric vibration
 isolators, structural sealants, and seismic joint fillers are monitored for cracking, loss of
 material, and hardening. Groundwater chemistry (pH, chlorides, and sulfates) is
 monitored periodically to assess its impact, if any, on below-grade concrete structures.
 If through-wall leakage or groundwater infiltration is identified, leakage volumes and

- chemistry are monitored and trended for signs of concrete or steel
 reinforcement degradation.
- If necessary for managing settlement and erosion of porous concrete subfoundations,
 the continued functionality of a site dewatering system is monitored.
- 5 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** Structures are monitored under this program using 6 periodic visual inspection of each structure/aging effect combination by a qualified 7 inspector to ensure that aging degradation will be detected and quantified before there is 8 loss of intended function. It may be necessary to enhance or supplement visual 9 inspections with nondestructive examination, destructive testing and/or analytical 10 methods, based on the conditions observed or the parameter being monitored. Visual inspection of high-strength structural bolting greater than 1 inch [25 mm] in diameter is 11 12 supplemented with volumetric or surface examinations to detect cracking. Visual 13 inspection of elastomeric elements is supplemented by tactile inspection to detect 14 hardening if the intended function is suspect. The inspection frequency depends on 15 safety significance and the condition of the structure as specified in NRC RG 1.160. In general, all structures are monitored on an interval not to exceed 5 years. The program 16 17 includes provisions for more frequent inspections based on an evaluation of the observed degradation. The responsible engineer for this program evaluates 18 groundwater chemistry with a frequency that can identify potential seasonal variations 19 20 (e.g., guarterly or semiannually). Groundwater is sampled from a location that is representative of the groundwater in contact with structures within the scope of license 21 22 renewal. Inspector gualifications should be consistent with industry guidelines and 23 standards and guidelines for implementing the requirements of 10 CFR 50.65. Qualifications of inspection and evaluation personnel specified in ACI 349.3R are 24 25 acceptable for inspection of concrete structures.
- Indications of groundwater infiltration or through-concrete leakage should lead to
 corrective actions. Corrective actions may include engineering evaluation, more frequent
 inspections, or destructive testing of affected concrete to validate existing concrete
 properties, including concrete pH levels. When leakage volumes allow, corrective
 actions should include analysis of the leakage pH, along with mineral, chloride, sulfate
 and iron content in the water.
- The program recommends the use of accepted nondestructive examination (NDE)
 techniques, when applicable, to supplement visual inspections.
- The structures monitoring program addresses detection of aging affects for inaccessible, below-grade concrete structural elements. For plants with nonaggressive ground water/soil (pH > 5.5, chlorides < 500 ppm, or sulfates <1,500 ppm), the program recommends: (a) evaluating the acceptability of inaccessible areas when conditions exist in accessible areas that could indicate the presence of, or result in, degradation to such inaccessible areas and (b) examining representative samples of the exposed portions of the below grade concrete, when excavated for any reason.
- For plants with aggressive ground water/soil (pH < 5.5, chlorides > 500 ppm, or sulfates
 > 1,500 ppm) and/or where the concrete structural elements have experienced
 degradation, a plant-specific AMP accounting for the extent of the degradation
 experienced should be implemented to manage the concrete aging during the period of
 extended operation. The plant-specific AMP includes focused inspections of

- below-grade, inaccessible concrete structural elements exposed to aggressive
 groundwater/soil, on an interval not to exceed 5 years.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Results of periodic inspections are documented and
 compared to previous results to identify changes from prior inspections. Quantitative
 measurements and qualitative data are recorded and trended for all applicable
 parameters monitored or inspected, and the use of photographs or surveys is
 encouraged. Photographic records may be used to document and trend the type,
 severity, extent and progression of degradation.
- 9 Quantitative baseline inspection data should be established per the acceptance criteria 10 described herein prior to the period of subsequent license renewal (SLR).
- 11 6. Acceptance Criteria: Inspection results are evaluated by gualified engineering personnel based on acceptance criteria selected for each structure/aging effect to 12 13 ensure that the need for corrective actions is identified before loss of intended functions. 14 The criteria are derived from applicable codes and standards that include but are not 15 limited to ACI 349.3R, ACI 318, SEI/ASCE 11, or the relevant AISC specifications and 16 consider industry and plant operating experience. The criteria are directed at the 17 identification and evaluation of degradation that may affect the ability of the structure or 18 component to perform its intended function. Justified quantitative acceptance criteria are 19 used whenever applicable. For concrete, the quantitative acceptance criteria of ACI 349.3R are acceptable. Applicants who are not committed to ACI 349.3R and elect 20 to use plant-specific criteria for concrete structures should describe the criteria and 21 22 provide a technical basis for deviations from those in ACI 349.3R. Loose bolts and nuts 23 and cracked high-strength bolts are not acceptable unless accepted by engineering 24 evaluation. Structural sealants are acceptable if the observed loss of material, cracking, and hardening will not result in loss of sealing. Elastomeric vibration isolation elements 25 are acceptable if there is no loss of material, cracking, or hardening that could lead to 26 27 the reduction or loss of isolation function. Acceptance criteria for sliding surfaces are 28 (a) no indications of excessive loss of material due to corrosion or wear and (b) no 29 debris or dirt that could restrict or prevent sliding of the surfaces as required by design. The structures monitoring program is to contain sufficient detail on acceptance criteria to 30 31 conclude that this program attribute is satisfied.
- 32 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 33 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 34 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 35 36 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 37 38 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-39 related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 7 10. **Operating Experience:** NUREG–1522 documents the results of a survey sponsored in 1992 by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation to obtain information on the types of 8 9 distress in the concrete and steel SCs, the type of repairs performed, and the durability 10 of the repairs. Licensees who responded to the survey reported cracking, scaling, and leaching of concrete structures. The degradation was attributed to drying shrinkage, 11 12 freeze-thaw, and abrasion. The NUREG also describes the results of NRC staff 13 inspections at six plants. The staff observed concrete degradation, corrosion of 14 component support members and anchor bolts, cracks and other deterioration of 15 masonry walls, and groundwater leakage and seepage into underground structures. 16 Information Notice (IN) 2011-20 discusses an instance of groundwater infiltration leading 17 to alkali-silica reaction degradation in below-grade concrete structures, while IN 2004-05 18 and IN 2006-13 discuss instances of through-wall water leakage from spent fuel pools. Many license renewal applicants have found it necessary to enhance their structures 19 20 monitoring program to ensure that the aging effects of SCs in the scope of 10 CFR 54.4 21 are adequately managed during the period of extended operation. There is reasonable 22 assurance that implementation of the structures monitoring program described above 23 will be effective in managing the aging of the in-scope SC supports through the period 24 of SLR.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear
 Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 33 10 CFR 54.4, "Scope." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- AISC. "AISC Specification for Steel Buildings." Chicago, Illinois: American Institute of Steel
 Construction, Inc. 2005.
- ACI. ACI Standard 349.3R, "Evaluation of Existing Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete
 Structures." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2002.
- ACI Standard 318, "Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete and Commentary." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 1995.
- 40 _____. ACI Standard 201.1R-08, "Guide for Conducting a Visual Inspection of Concrete in 41 Service." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2008.

- ASCE. SEI/ASCE 11-99, "Guideline for Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings."
 Reston, Virginia: American Society of Civil Engineers. 2000.
- 3 EPRI. EPRI TR-104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide." Palo Alto, California:
 4 Electric Power Research Institute. December 1995.

EPRI NP-5067, "Good Bolting Practices, A Reference Manual for Nuclear Power Plant
 Maintenance Personnel." Volume 1: Large Bolt Manual, 1987; Volume 2: Small Bolts and
 Threaded Fasteners. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 1990.

NEI. NUMARC 93-01, "Industry Guideline for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at
Nuclear Power Plants." Rev. 4A. ML11116A198. Nuclear Energy Institute. 2011.

NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.160, "Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear
 Power Plants." ML1136100898. Revision 3. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission. 2012.

- 13 _____. NRC Information Notice 2011-20, "Concrete Degradation by Alkali-Silica Reaction."
 14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2011.
- 15 _____. NRC Information Notice 2006-13, "Groundwater Contamination due to Undetected
 16 Leakage of Radioactive Water." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 17 July 2006.
- 18 _____. NRC Information Notice 2004-05, "Spent Fuel Pool Leakage to Onsite Groundwater."
 19 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2004.
- 20 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.142, "Safety-Related Concrete Structures for Nuclear Power
 21 Plants (Other than Reactor Vessels and Containments)." Revision 2. ML013100274.
 22 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1997.
- 23 _____. NUREG–1522, "Assessment of Inservice Condition of Safety-Related Nuclear Power
 24 Plant Structures." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1995.

25 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.127, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated With
 26 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 1. ML003739392. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 27 Commission. 1978.

- 28 RCSC. "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-Strength Bolts." Chicago, Illinois.
- 29 Research Council on Structural Connections. 2009.

1XI.S7INSPECTION OF WATER-CONTROL STRUCTURES2ASSOCIATED WITH NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

3 **Program Description**

4 This program describes an acceptable basis for developing an inservice inspection (ISI) and 5 surveillance program for dams, slopes, canals, and other raw water-control structures 6 associated with emergency cooling water systems or flood protection of nuclear power plants 7 (NPPs). The program addresses age-related deterioration, degradation due to environmental 8 conditions, and the effects of natural phenomena that may affect water-control structures. The 9 program recognizes the importance of periodic monitoring and maintenance of water-control 10 structures so that the consequences of age-related deterioration and degradation can be 11 prevented or mitigated in a timely manner.

- The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.127, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants," provides additional detailed guidance for an inspection program for water-control structures, including guidance on engineering data compilation, inspection activities, technical evaluation, inspection frequency, and the content of inspection reports. NRC RG 1.127 delineates current NRC practice in
- 17 evaluating ISI programs for water-control structures.
- 18 An aging management program (AMP) addressing water-control structures, commensurate with
- 19 the program elements described below, is expected regardless of whether a plant is committed
- 20 to NRC RG 1.127. Aging management of water-control structures and components (SCs) may
- be included in "Structures Monitoring" (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6); however, details
- pertaining to water-control structures, as described herein, should be explicitly incorporated and
- 23 identified in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6 program attributes if this approach is taken.
- 24 Attributes evaluated below do not include inspection of dams. For dam inspection and
- 25 maintenance, programs under the regulatory jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory
- 26 Commission (FERC) or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), continued through the
- subsequent period of extended operation, are adequate for the purpose of aging management.
- For programs not falling under the regulatory jurisdiction of FERC or the USACE the staff
- evaluates the effectiveness of the AMP based on compatibility to the common practices of the
- 30 FERC and USACE programs.

31 Evaluation and Technical Basis

32 1. Scope of Program: The scope includes raw water-control structures associated with 33 emergency cooling water systems or flood protection of NPPs. The water-control 34 structures included in the program are concrete structures, embankment structures, 35 spillway structures and outlet works, reservoirs, cooling water channels and canals, flood 36 protection walls and gates, and intake and discharge structures. The scope of the 37 program also includes structural steel, and high-strength structural bolting 38 (actual measured yield strength greater than or equal to 150 kilo-pounds per square inch [150 ksi] or greater than or equal to 1.034 megapascals (MPa) associated with 39 40 water-control structures, steel or wood piles and sheeting required for the stability of 41 embankments and channel slopes, and miscellaneous steel, such as sluice gates and 42 trash racks. Associated coatings are also included as an indication of the condition of 43 the underlying material.

- 1 2. **Preventive Action**: This is a condition monitoring program. The program is augmented 2 to include preventive actions to ensure structural bolting integrity, as discussed in 3 Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) documents (such as EPRI NP-5067 and 4 TR-104213), American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards, and 5 American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC) specifications, as applicable. The 6 preventive actions emphasize proper selection of bolting material and lubricants, and 7 appropriate installation torgue or tension to prevent or minimize loss of bolting preload 8 and cracking of high-strength bolting. If the structural bolting consists of ASTM A325 9 and/or ASTM A490 bolts (including respective equivalent twist-off type ASTM F1852 10 and/or ASTM F2280 bolts), the preventive actions for storage, lubricant selection, and bolting and coating material selection discussed in Section 2 of Research Council for 11 12 Structural Connections (RCSC) (publication "Specification for Structural Joints Using 13 High-Strength Bolts" need to be used).
- 143.**Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: NRC RG 1.127 identifies parameters to be15monitored and inspected for water-control structures.
- Parameters to be monitored and inspected for concrete structures are those described in
 American Concrete Institute (ACI) 201.1R and ACI 349.3R. These include cracking,
 movements (e.g., settlement, heaving, and deflection), conditions at junctions with
 abutments and embankments, loss of material, increase in porosity and permeability,
 seepage, and leakage.
- 21 Parameters to be monitored and inspected for earthen embankment structures include 22 settlement, depressions, sink holes, slope stability (e.g., irregularities in alignment and variances from originally constructed slopes), seepage, proper functioning of drainage 23 24 systems, and degradation of slope protection features. Parameters monitored for 25 channels and canals include erosion or degradation that may impose constraints on the function of the cooling system and present a potential hazard to the safety of the plant. 26 27 Submerged emergency canals (e.g., artificially dredged canals at the river bed or the 28 bottom of the reservoir) are monitored for sedimentation, debris, or instability of slopes that may impair the function of the canals under extreme low flow conditions. 29
- Further details of parameters to be monitored and inspected for these and other
 water-control structures are specified in Section C of NRC RG 1.127.
- 32 Steel components are monitored for loss of material due to corrosion.
- Painted or coated areas are examined for evidence of flaking, blistering, cracking,
 peeling, delamination, discoloration, and other signs of distress that could indicate
 degradation of the underlying material.
- Bolting within the scope of the program is monitored for loss of material, loose bolts,
 missing or loose nuts, and other conditions indicative of loss of preload. In addition,
 concrete around anchor bolts is monitored for cracking. High-strength (actual measured
 yield strength ≥ 150 ksi or 1,034 MPa) structural bolts greater than 1 inch [25 mm] in
 diameter are monitored for stress corrosion cracking (SCC), with the exception of
 ASTM A325 and ASTM A490 bolts (including equivalent twist-off type F1852 and F2280
 bolts) used in civil structures, which have not shown to be prone to SCC.

1 Accessible sliding surfaces are monitored for indication of loss of material due to wear or 2 corrosion, and accumulation of debris or dirt.

Wooden components are monitored for loss of material and change in
 material properties.

5 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** Inspection of water-control structures is conducted under 6 the direction of licensed professional engineers experienced in the investigation, design, 7 construction, and operation of these types of facilities. Qualifications of inspection and 8 evaluation personnel specified in ACI 349.3R are acceptable for reinforced concrete 9 water control structures. Visual inspections are primarily used to detect degradation of water-control structures. In some cases, instruments have been installed to measure 10 11 the behavior of water-control structures. Available records and readings of installed 12 instruments are to be reviewed to detect any unusual performance or distress that may 13 be indicative of degradation. Periodic inspections are to be performed at least once every 5 years. This interval has been shown to be adequate to detect degradation of 14 15 water-control structures before a loss of an intended function. The program includes 16 provisions for increased inspection frequency based on an evaluation of the observed 17 degradation. The program also includes provisions for special inspections immediately 18 following the occurrence of significant natural phenomena, such as large floods, 19 earthquakes, hurricanes, tornadoes, or intense local rainfalls. The responsible engineer 20 for this program evaluates raw water and ground water chemistry with a frequency that 21 can identify potential seasonal variations (e.g. quarterly or semiannually). Ground water 22 is sampled from a location that is representative of the water in contact with structures 23 within the scope of subsequent license renewal (SLR).

- Visual inspection of high-strength (actual measured yield strength ≥ 150 ksi or
 1,034 MPa) structural bolting greater than 1 inch [25 mm] in diameter is supplemented
 with volumetric or surface examinations to detect cracking.
- 27 The program addresses detection of aging affects for inaccessible, below-grade, and 28 submerged concrete structural elements. For plants with nonaggressive raw water and 29 ground water/soil (pH > 5.5, chlorides < 500 parts per million [ppm], or sulfates 30 < 1,500 ppm), the program includes (a) evaluation of the acceptability of inaccessible 31 areas when conditions exist in accessible areas that could indicate the presence of, or result in, degradation to such inaccessible areas and (b) examination of representative 32 33 samples of the exposed portions of the below-grade concrete when excavated for any 34 reason. Submerged concrete structures may be inspected during periods of low tide or 35 when dewatered and accessible. Plant-specific justification is provided in the subsequent license renewal application (SLRA) for the acceptability of submerged 36 37 concrete if inspections do not occur within the 5 year interval. Areas covered by silt, vegetation, or marine growth are not considered inaccessible and are cleaned and 38 39 inspected in accordance with the standard inspection frequency.
- For plants with aggressive raw water (pH < 5.5, chlorides > 500 ppm, or sulfates
 > 1,500 ppm) or groundwater/soil and/or where the structural elements have
 experienced degradation, a plant-specific AMP accounting for the extent of the
 degradation experienced is implemented to manage aging during the subsequent period
 of extended operation. The plant-specific AMP includes inspections of below-grade,
 inaccessible structural elements exposed to aggressive raw water or ground water/soil

- 1 on an interval not to exceed 5 years, and submerged structural elements are visually 2 inspected (e.g., dewatering, divers) at least once every 5 years.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Results of periodic inspections are documented and
 compared to previous results to identify changes from prior inspections. Quantitative
 measurements and qualitative data are recorded and trended for all applicable
 parameters monitored or inspected, and the use of photographs or surveys is
 encouraged. Photographic records may be used to document and trend the type,
 severity, extent and progression of degradation.
- 9 Quantitative baseline inspection data should be established per the acceptance criteria 10 described herein prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 11 6. Acceptance Criteria: "Evaluation Criteria" provided in Chapter 5 of ACI 349.3R provide 12 acceptance criteria (including quantitative criteria) for concrete and specifies criteria for further evaluation. Although not required, plant-specific acceptance criteria based on 13 14 Chapter 5 of ACI 349.3R are acceptable. Acceptance criteria for earthen structures, 15 such as canals and embankments, are consistent with programs falling within the 16 regulatory jurisdiction of the FERC or the USACE. Loose bolts and nuts, cracked 17 high-strength bolts, and degradation of piles and sheeting are accepted by engineering evaluation or subject to corrective actions. Engineering evaluation is documented and 18 19 based on codes, specifications, and standards such as AISC specifications, Structural 20 Engineering Institute/American Society of Civil Engineers Standard (SEI/ ASCE) 11-99, "Guideline for Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings," and those 21 22 referenced in the plant's current licensing basis (CLB).
- 23 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 24 25 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 26 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 27 28 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-29 30 related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 31 When inspection findings indicate that significant changes have occurred, the conditions are to be evaluated. This includes a technical assessment of the causes of distress or 32 33 abnormal conditions, an evaluation of the behavior or movement of the structure, and 34 recommendations for remedial or mitigating measures. Indications of groundwater 35 infiltration or through-concrete leakage are assessed for aging effects. This may include 36 engineering evaluation, more frequent inspections, or destructive testing of affected concrete to validate existing concrete properties, including concrete pH levels. When 37 38 leakage volumes allow, assessments include analysis of the leakage pH, along with 39 mineral, chloride, sulfate and iron content in the water.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.

- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 7 10. **Operating Experience:** Degradation of water-control structures has been detected. through NRC RG 1.127 programs, at a number of NPPs, and, in some cases, it has 8 9 required remedial action. NRC NUREG-1522, "Assessment of Inservice Conditions of 10 Safety-Related Nuclear Plant Structures" described instances and corrective actions of 11 severely degraded steel and concrete components at the intake structure and pump 12 house of coastal plants. Other degradation described in the NUREG include appreciable 13 leakage from the spillway gates, concrete cracking, corrosion of spillway bridge beam 14 seats of a plant dam and cooling canal, and appreciable differential settlement of the 15 outfall structure of another. No loss of intended functions has resulted from these occurrences. Therefore, it can be concluded that the inspections implemented in 16 17 accordance with the guidance in NRC RG 1.127 have been successful in detecting significant degradation before loss of intended function occurs. 18
- 19 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 20 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in 21 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 23 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 24 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- ACI. ACI Standard 201.1R-08, "Guide for Conducting a Visual Inspection of Concrete in
 Service." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2008.
- ACI Standard 349.3R, "Evaluation of Existing Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete
 Structures." Farmington Hills, Michigan: American Concrete Institute. 2002.
- AISC. "AISC Specification for Steel Buildings." Chicago, Illinois: American Institute of Steel
 Construction, Inc. 2010.
- ASCE. SEI/ASCE 11-99, "Guideline for Structural Condition Assessment of Existing Buildings."
 Reston, Virginia: American Society of Civil Engineers. 2000.
- EPRI. EPRI TR-104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide." Palo Alto, California:
 Electric Power Research Institute. December 1995.
- EPRI NP-5067, "Good Bolting Practices, A Reference Manual for Nuclear Power Plant
 Maintenance Personnel." Volume 1: Large Bolt Manual, 1987; Volume 2: Small Bolts and
 Threaded Fasteners. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 1990.
- 38 NRC. NUREG–1522, "Assessment of Inservice Conditions of Safety-Related Nuclear Plant
- 39 Structures." ML06510407. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- 40 June 1995.

 NRC Regulatory Guide 1.160, "Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants." ML12216A016. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1993.

3 _____. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.127, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated With
 4 Nuclear Power Plants." ML003739392. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 5 Commission. March 1978.

6 RCSC. "Specification for Structural Joints Using High-Strength Bolts." Research Council on7 Structural Connections. December 2009.

1 XI.S8 PROTECTIVE COATING MONITORING AND MAINTENANCE

2 **Program Description**

Proper maintenance of protective coatings inside containment (defined as Service Level I in the
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.54, Revision 1, or latest
version) is essential to ensure operability of post-accident safety systems that rely on water
recycled through the containment sump/drain system. Degradation of coatings can lead to
clogging of Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) suction strainers, which reduces flow
through the system and could cause unacceptable head loss for the pumps.

- 9 Maintenance of Service Level I coatings applied to carbon steel and concrete surfaces inside
- 10 containment (e.g., steel liner, steel containment shell, structural steel, supports, penetrations,
- and concrete walls and floors) also serve to prevent or minimize loss of material due to
- 12 corrosion of carbon steel components and aids in decontamination. Regulatory Position C4 in
- 13 NRC RG 1.54, Revision 2, describes an acceptable technical basis for a Service Level I
- 14 coatings monitoring and maintenance program that can be credited for managing the effects of
- 15 corrosion for carbon steel elements inside containment. American Society for Testing and
- Materials (ASTM) D 5163-08 and endorsed years of the standard in NRC RG 1.54 are
 acceptable and considered consistent with NUREG–1801. In addition, Electric Power Research
- Institute (EPRI) Report 1019157, Guidelines for Inspection and Maintenance of Safety-related
- 19 Protective Coatings, provides additional information on the ASTM standard guidelines.
- 20 A comparable program for monitoring and maintaining protective coatings inside containment,
- developed in accordance with NRC RG 1.54, Revision 2, is acceptable as an aging
- 22 management program (AMP) for license renewal.
- 23 Service Level I coatings credited for preventing corrosion of steel containments and steel liners
- for concrete containments are subject to requirements specified by the American Society of
- 25 Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel (B&PV) Code, Section XI,
- 26 Subsection IWE (GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S1). However, this program (GALL-SLR Report
- 27 AMP XI.S8) reviews Service Level I coatings to ensure that the protective coating monitoring
- and maintenance program are adequate for license renewal.

29 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 30 1. Scope of Program: The minimum scope of the program is Service Level I coatings 31 applied to steel and concrete surfaces inside containment (e.g., steel liner, steel 32 containment shell, structural steel, supports, penetrations, and concrete walls and 33 floors), defined in NRC RG 1.54, Revision 2, as follows: "Service Level I coatings are used in areas inside the reactor containment where the coating failure could adversely 34 35 affect the operation of post-accident fluid systems and thereby impair safe shutdown." 36 The scope of the program also should include any Service Level I coatings that are credited by the licensee for preventing loss of material due to corrosion in accordance 37 with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S1. 38
- Preventive Action: The program is a condition monitoring program and does not
 recommend any preventive actions. However, for plants that credit coatings to minimize
 loss of material, this program is a preventive action.

- 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Regulatory Position C4 in NRC RG 1.54,
 Revision 1, states that "ASTM D 5163-96 provides guidelines that are acceptable to the
 NRC staff for establishing an inservice coatings monitoring program for Service Level I
 coating systems in operating nuclear power plants..." ASTM D 5163-96 has been
 superseded by ASTM D 5163-08. ASTM D 5163-08 identifies the parameters monitored
 or inspected to be "any visible defects, such as blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling,
 rusting, and physical damage."
- 8 4. Detection of Aging Effects: ASTM D 5163-08, paragraph 6, defines the inspection 9 frequency to be each refueling outage or during other major maintenance outages, as 10 needed. ASTM D 5163-08, paragraph 9, discusses the gualifications for inspection personnel, the inspection coordinator, and the inspection results evaluator. 11 ASTM D 5163-08, subparagraph 10.1, discusses development of the inspection plan 12 13 and the inspection methods to be used. It states that a general visual inspection shall be conducted on all readily accessible coated surfaces during a walk-through. After a 14 15 walk-through, or during the general visual inspection, thorough visual inspections shall be carried out on previously designated areas and on areas noted as deficient during the 16 17 walk-through. A thorough visual inspection shall also be carried out on all coatings near sumps or screens associated with the ECCS. This subparagraph also addresses field 18 documentation of inspection results. ASTM D 5163-08, subparagraph 10.5, identifies 19 20 instruments and equipment needed for inspection.
- 21 Monitoring and Trending: ASTM D 5163-08 identifies monitoring and trending 5. 22 activities in subparagraph 7.2, which specifies a preinspection review of the previous two monitoring reports, and in subparagraph 11.1.2, which specifies that the inspection 23 24 report should prioritize repair areas as either needing repair during the same outage or 25 as postponed to future outages, but under surveillance in the interim period. The assessment from periodic inspections and analysis of total amount of degraded coatings 26 27 in the containment is compared with the total amount of permitted degraded coatings to ensure post-accident operability of the ECCS. 28
- 29 Acceptance Criteria: ASTM D 5163-08, subparagraphs 10.2.1 through 10.2.6, 10.3, 6. 30 and 10.4, contains one acceptable method for the characterization, documentation, and 31 testing of defective or deficient coating surfaces. Additional ASTM and other recognized test methods are available for use in characterizing the severity of observed defects and 32 deficiencies. The evaluation covers blistering, cracking, flaking, peeling, delamination, 33 and rusting. ASTM D 5163-08, paragraph 11, addresses evaluation. It specifies that the 34 35 inspection report is to be evaluated by the responsible evaluation personnel, who 36 prepare a summary of findings and recommendations for future surveillance or repair. 37 and prioritization of repairs.
- 38 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 39 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 40 41 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 42 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 43 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-44 45 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.

- 1 A recommended corrective action plan is required for major defective areas so that 2 these areas can be repaired during the same outage, if appropriate.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 15 10. Operating Experience: NRC Information Notice (IN) 88-82, NRC Bulletin 96-03, NRC Generic Letter (GL) 04-02, and NRC GL 98-04 describe industry experience pertaining 16 to coatings degradation inside containment and the consequential clogging of sump 17 strainers. NRC RG 1.54, Revision 1, was issued in July 2000. Monitoring and 18 19 maintenance of Service Level I coatings conducted in accordance with Regulatory 20 Position C4 is expected to be an effective program for managing degradation of Service 21 Level I coatings and, consequently, an effective means to manage loss of material due to corrosion of carbon steel structural elements inside containment. 22
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in
 Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

- 27 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 28 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 29 ASTM. ASTM D 5163-08, "Standard Guide for Establishing a Program for Condition
- 30 Assessment of Coating Service Level I Coating Systems in Nuclear Power Plants."
- 31 West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing and Materials. 2008.
- 32 _____. ASTM D 5163-05, "Guide for Establishing Procedures to Monitor the Performance of
- 33 Coating Service Level I Coating Systems in an Operating Nuclear Power Plant."
- 34 West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing and Materials. 2005.
- 35 _____. ASTM D 5163-96, "Standard Guide for Establishing Procedures to Monitor the
- 36 Performance of Safety Related Coatings in an Operating Nuclear Power Plant."
- 37 West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania: American Society for Testing and Materials. 1996.
- 38 EPRI. EPRI Report 1019157, "Guideline on Nuclear Safety-Related Coatings." Revision 2.
- (Formerly TR-109937and 1003102). Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 December 2009.

- 1 . EPRI Report 1003102, "Guideline on Nuclear Safety-Related Coatings." Revision 1.
- 2 (Formerly TR-109937). Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 3
- November 2001.

4 NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.54, "Service Level I, II, and III Protective Coatings Applied to 5 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 2. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 6 October 2010.

7 . NRC Generic Letter 04-02, "Potential Impact of Debris Blockage on Emergency 8 Recirculation During Design Basis Accidents at Pressurized-Water Reactors." Washington, DC: 9 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 2004.

10 . NRC Regulatory Guide 1.54, "Service Level I, II, and III Protective Coatings Applied to Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 1. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 11 12 July 2000.

13 . NRC Generic Letter 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-Of-Coolant Accident Because of 14 Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment." 15 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. July 1998. 16

17 _. NRC Information Notice 97-13, "Deficient Conditions Associated With Protective Coatings at Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 18 19 March 1997.

20 . NRC Bulletin 96-03, "Potential Plugging of Emergency Core Cooling Suction Strainers by Debris in Boiling-Water Reactors." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 21 22 May 1996.

23 . NRC Information Notice 88-82, "Torus Shells with Corrosion and Degraded Coatings in BWR Containments." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 24 25 November 1988.

26 . NRC Regulatory Guide 1.54, "Quality Assurance Requirements for Protective Coatings 27 Applied to Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1973. 28

1XI.E1ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR ELECTRICAL CABLES AND2CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.493ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

4 **Program Description**

- 5 The purpose of this aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that
- 6 the intended functions of electrical cable insulating material (e.g., power, control, and
- 7 instrumentation) and connection insulating material that are not subject to the environmental
- 8 qualification (EQ) requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 are maintained consistent with the current
- 9 licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 10 In most areas within a nuclear power plant (NPP), the actual operating environment
- 11 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) is less severe than the plant design basis
- 12 environment. However, in a limited number of localized areas, the actual environment may be
- 13 more severe than the anticipated plant design basis environment. These localized areas are
- 14 characterized as "adverse localized environments" that represent a limited plant area where the
- 15 operating environment is significantly more severe than the plant design environment.
- 16 An adverse localized environment is an environment that exceeds the most limiting environment
- 17 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the electrical insulation of cable and connectors.
- 18 Electrical insulation used in electrical cables and connections may degrade more rapidly than
- 19 expected when exposed to an adverse localized environment. Cable or connection electrical
- insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment may increase the rate of aging of a
- 21 component or have an adverse effect on operability.
- Adverse localized environments are identified through the use of an integrated approach. This
 approach includes, but is not limited to; (a) the review of EQ program radiation levels,
 temperatures, and moisture levels, (b) recorded information from equipment or plant
- instrumentation, (c) as-built and field walk down data (e.g., cable routing data base), (d) a plant
- spaces scoping and screening methodology, (d) the review of relevant plant-specific and
 industry operating experience including:
- Identification of work practices that have the potential to subject in-scope cable and
 connection electrical insulation to an adverse localized environment (e.g., equipment
 thermal insulation removal and restoration)
- Corrective actions involving in-scope electrical cable and connection electrical insulation
 material service life (current operating term)
- Previous walk-downs including visual Inspection of accessible cable and connection
 electrical insulation
- Environmental monitoring (e.g., long term periodic environmental monitoring–
 temperature, radiation, or moisture).

Periodic environmental monitoring consists of a representative number of environmental
measurements taken over a sufficient period of time and periodically evaluated to establish the
environment for condition monitoring electrical insulation. Plant environmental data can be used
in an aging evaluation in different ways, such as; (a) directly applying the plant data in the

- 1 evaluation, or (b) using the plant data to demonstrate conservatism. The methodology
- 2 employed for monitoring, data collection, and the analysis of localized component environmental
- 3 data (including temperature, radiation, and moisture) is documented in the record of the
- analysis. Documentation is also provided, as applicable, on the applicability of methodologies
- 5 utilizing data that is collected and evaluated one time, or is of limited duration.
- 6 This AMP specifically addresses cables and connection electrical insulation at plants whose
- 7 configuration is such that most (if not all) cables and connections including cable and
- 8 connections identified as subjected to an adverse localized environment are accessible.
- 9 Accessible in-scope cables and connection from accessible areas are visually inspected for
- 10 cable and connection degradation. In-scope cable and connection electrical insulation is also
- tested (e.g., testing comprised of one or more tests utilizing mechanical, electrical, or chemical
- means implemented on a sampling basis) and represents, with reasonable assurance, both
- 13 accessible and inaccessible in-scope cable and connection electrical insulation degradation
- 14 including cable and connection electrical insulation identified as subject to an adverse
- 15 localized environment.
- 16 Accessible in-scope cable and connection inspection is considered a visual inspection
- 17 performed from the floor, with the use of scaffolding as available, without the opening of junction
- boxes, pull boxes, or terminal boxes. The purpose of the visual inspection is to identify adverse
- 19 localized environments (employing diagnostic tools such as thermography as applicable).
- 20 These potential adverse localized environments are then evaluated which may require further
- inspection using scaffolding or other means (e.g., opening of junction boxes, pull boxes,
- accessible pull points (e.g., conduits), panels, terminal boxes, and junction boxes) to assess
- 23 cable and connector electrical insulation aging degradation.
- This AMP, as noted, is a cable and connection electrical insulation condition monitoring program
 that utilizes sampling. The visual inspection portion of the AMP uses accessible cable and
 connection electrical insulation visual inspection as representative of inaccessible cable and
 connection electrical insulation subject to the same environment.
- 28 The cable condition monitoring portion of the AMP utilizes component sampling for cable and 29 connection electrical insulation testing. The following factors are considered in the development 30 of the electrical insulation sample: environment including identified adverse localized 31 environments (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.), voltage level, circuit loading, and connection type, location (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.) and the electrical 32 insulation composition. The component sampling methodology utilizes a population that 33 34 includes a representative sample of in-scope electrical cable and connection types regardless of 35 whether or not the component was included in a previous aging management or maintenance 36 program. The technical basis for the sample selections is documented.
- Electrical insulation material for cables and connectors previously identified and dispositioned during the first period of extended operation as subjected to an adverse localized environment are evaluated for cumulative aging effects during the subsequent period of extended operation aging management. If an unacceptable condition or situation is identified for cable or connection electrical insulation by visual inspection or test, corrective action is taken including a determination as to whether the same condition or situation is applicable to other in-scope accessible and inaccessible cable or connection electrical insulation (e.g., extent of condition).
- 44 As such, this program does not apply to plants in which most cables are inaccessible.
1 As stated in NUREG/CR–5643, "the major concern is that failures of deteriorated cable systems

2 (cables, connection electrical insulation) might be induced during accident conditions." Since

- 3 the cable and connection electrical insulation is not subject to the EA requirements of
- 4 10 CFR 50.49, an AMP is needed to manage the aging mechanisms and effects for the
- 5 subsequent period of extended operation. This AMP provides reasonable assurance that the
- 6 insulation for electrical cables and connections will perform its intended function for the7 subsequent period of extended operation.
- __ . . ._ .

- Scope of Program: This AMP applies to accessible cable and connection electrical
 insulation within the scope of license renewal including in-scope cables and connections
 subjected to an adverse localized environment.
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program and no actions are taken as part of this program to prevent or mitigate aging degradation.
- 14 Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Accessible in-scope cable and connection 3. electrical insulation is visually inspected for cable and connection insulation surface 15 anomalies including identification of in-scope cable and connection electrical insulation 16 17 subject to an adverse localized environment. The cable insulation visual inspection portion of the AMP uses the cable or connection jacket material as representative of the 18 aging effects experienced by the cable and connector electrical insulation. In-scope 19 20 cable and connection electrical insulation evaluated for signs of reduced electrical insulation resistance due to an adverse localized environment of temperature, moisture, 21 22 radiation and oxygen that includes radiolysis, photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) 23 of organics, radiation induced oxidation, moisture intrusion, or contamination (e.g., chemical, oil, or solvents) indicated by signs of electrical insulation embrittlement, 24 25 discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling or surface contamination.
- 26 An adverse localized environment is a plant-specific condition; therefore, the applicant should clearly define the most limiting temperature, radiation, and moisture 27 28 environments and there basis. The applicant reviews plant specific operating 29 experience for the period of extended operation for previously identified and mitigated adverse localized environments cumulative aging effects applicable to in-scope cable 30 31 and connection electrical insulation (i.e., service life). The applicant should also inspect 32 for adverse localized environments for each of the most limiting cable and connection 33 electrical insulation plant environments (e.g., caused by temperature, radiation, 34 moisture, or contamination), for accessible cables and connections that are within the 35 scope of license renewal.
- 36 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Aging effects resulting from temperature, radiation, or 37 moisture causes surface abnormalities in the cable jacket, and connection material. Accessible electrical cables and connections are tested for reduced electrical insulation 38 resistance and visually inspected for cable jacket and connection electrical insulation 39 40 surface anomalies such as embrittlement, discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling or surface contamination. Cable and connection electrical insulation are inspected to 41 42 identify cable and connection insulation installed in an adverse localized environment. 43 Plant specific operating experience is also evaluated to identify in-scope cable and connection insulation previously subjected to adverse localized environment during the 44 period of extended operation. Cable and connection insulation is evaluated to confirm 45

that the dispositioned corrective actions continue to support in-scope cable and
 connection intended functions during the subsequent period of extended operation.

3 The inspection and testing of accessible cable and connection insulation material is used 4 to evaluate the adequacy of inaccessible cable and connection electrical insulation. 5 Accessible electrical cables and connections found in the performance of this AMP or 6 previously subjected to an adverse localized environment are visually inspected and 7 tested at least once every 10 years. This is an adequate period to preclude failures of the cables and connection electrical insulation since experience has shown that aging 8 9 degradation is a slow process. The first inspection and test for SLR is to be completed 10 prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Cable jacket and connection insulation are inspected and tested at least once prior to the subsequent period of 11 extended operation. Visual inspection and testing may include thermography and one or 12 more proven condition monitoring test methods applicable to the cable and connection 13 14 insulation.

- This AMP, as noted, is a cable and connection electrical insulation condition monitoring 15 16 program that utilizes sampling. The following factors are considered in the development 17 of the cable and connection insulation test sample: environment including identified 18 adverse localized environments (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.), voltage 19 level, circuit loading, and connection type, location (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.) insulation material. The component sampling methodology utilizes a 20 21 population that includes a representative sample of in-scope electrical cable and 22 connection types regardless of whether or not the component was included in a previous 23 aging management or maintenance program. The technical basis for the sample selection is documented. 24
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP,
 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the test or
 visual inspection program selected. However, condition monitoring of cable and
 connection insulation utilizing visual inspection and test results that are trendable
 provide additional information on the rate of cable or connection insulation degradation.
- 306.Acceptance Criteria: Electrical cable and connection insulation material test results are31to be within the acceptance criteria, as identified in the applicant's procedures. Visual32inspection results show that accessible cable and connection insulation material are free33from visual indications of surface abnormalities that indicate cable or connection34insulation aging effects exist. An unacceptable indication is defined as a noted condition35or situation that, if left unmanaged, could potentially lead to a loss of the36intended function.
- 37 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 38 39 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 40 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 41 42 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-43 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 44

- 1 Unacceptable test results and visual indications of cable and connection electrical 2 insulation abnormalities are subject to an engineering evaluation. Such an evaluation 3 considers the age and operating environment of the component as well as the severity of 4 the abnormality and whether such an abnormality has previously been correlated to 5 degradation of cable or connection insulation. Corrective actions include, but are not 6 limited to, testing, shielding, or otherwise mitigating the environment or relocation or 7 replacement of the affected cables or connections. When an unacceptable condition or 8 situation is identified, a determination is made as to whether the same condition or 9 situation is applicable to additional in-scope accessible and inaccessible cables or 10 connections (extent of condition).
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 23 10. **Operating Experience:** Industry operating experience has identified cable and 24 connection insulation aging effects due to adverse localized environments caused by 25 elevated temperature, radiation, or moisture. For example, cable and connection insulation located near steam generators, pressurizers, or process may be subjected to 26 27 an adverse localized environment. These environments have been found to cause 28 degradation of electrical cable and connection electrical insulation that are visually observable, such as color changes or surface abnormalities. These visual indications 29 30 along with cable condition monitoring can be used as indicators of cable and connection 31 insulation degradation.
- This AMP considers the technical information and guidance provided in
 NUREG/CR-5643, IEEE Std. 1205-2014, SAND96-0344, EPRI TR-109619,
 NUREG/CR-7000, IN 2010-25, IN 2010-26, 2010-2, RG 1.218, Generic Letter 2007-01,
 IEEE Std.422-2012 and IEEE Std. 576-2000.
- The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, as discussed in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

39 References

- 40 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 41 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 42 EPRI. EPRI TR-109619, "Guideline for the Management of Adverse Localized Equipment
- 43 Environments." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 1999.

- 1 IEEE. IEEE 422-2012, "Guide for the Design and Installation of Cable Systems in Power
- Generating Stations." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers.
 2012.
- 4 _____. IEEE Std. 1205-2000, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring and Mitigating Aging
 5 Effects on Class 1E Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations." New York,
 6 New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2000.
- 7 _____. IEEE 576-2000 "Recommended Practice for Installation, Termination, and Testing of
 8 Insulated Power Cable as Used in Industrial and Commercial Applications." New York,
 9 New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2000.
- NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.218, "Condition-Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables Used In
 Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2012.
- 12 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-26, "Submerged Electrical Cables." Washington, DC:
 13 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 2010.
- 14 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-25, "Inadequate Electrical Connections." Washington,
 15 DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2010.
- 16 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-2, "Construction Related Experience With Cables
 17 Connectors, and Junction Boxes." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 18 January 2010.
- NUREG/CR-7000, "Essential Elements of an Electric Cable Condition Monitoring
 Program." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2010.
- Generic Letter 2007-01, "Inaccessible or Underground Power Cable Failures that
 Disable Accident Mitigation Systems or Cause Plant Transients." Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. February 7, 2007.
- 24 _____. NUREG/CR-5643, "Insights Gained From Aging Research." Washington, DC:
 25 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1992.
- SNL. SAND96-0344, "Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power Plants Electrical Cable and Terminations." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia National Laboratories.
- 28 September 1996.

1XI.E2ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR ELECTRICAL CABLES AND22CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.4933ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS USED IN4INSTRUMENTATION CIRCUITS

5 **Program Description**

6 The purpose of this aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that

7 the intended functions of electrical cables and connections (that are not subject to the

8 environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 and are used in instrumentation

9 circuits with sensitive, high-voltage, low-level current signals) are maintained consistent with the

10 current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation.

11 In most areas within a nuclear power plant (NPP) the actual operating environment

12 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) is less severe than the plant design bases

13 environment. However, in a limited number of localized areas, the actual environment may be

14 more severe than the plant design bases environment. These localized areas are characterized

15 as "adverse localized environments" that represent a limited plant area where the operating

16 environment is significantly more severe than the plant design basis environment. An adverse

17 localized environment is based on the most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or

18 moisture) for the cable or connection insulation.

19 An adverse localized environment is an environment that exceeds based on the most limiting

20 environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the insulation of cable and

21 connections or insulation material. Electrical insulation materials used in electrical cables and

connections may degrade more rapidly than expected when exposed to an adverse localized

23 environment. Cable or connection electrical insulation material subjected to an adverse

localized environment may increase the rate of aging of a component or have an adverse effecton operability.

Adverse localized environments are identified through the use of an integrated approach. This
 approach includes, but is not limited to; (a) the review of EQ program radiation levels,
 temperature, and moisture information, (b) recorded information from equipment or plant

instrumentation, (c) as-built and field walk down data (e.g., cable routing data base) (d) a plant

30 spaces scoping and screening methodology, (e) the review of relevant plant-specific and

31 industry operating experience including;

- Identification of work practices that have the potential to subject in-scope cable and
 connection electrical insulation to an adverse localized environment (e.g., equipment
 thermal insulation removal and restoration)
- Corrective actions involving in-scope electrical cable and connection electrical insulation
 service life (current operating term)
- Previous walk downs including visual Inspection of accessible cable and connection
 electrical insulation
- Environmental monitoring e.g., periodic environmental monitoring temperature,
 radiation or moisture)

1 Exposure of electrical insulation to adverse localized environments caused by temperature,

- 2 radiation, or moisture can result in reduced electrical insulation resistance, moisture intrusion
- 3 related connection failures, or errors induced by thermal transients. Reduced electrical
- insulation resistance causes an increase in leakage currents between conductors and from
 individual conductors to ground. A reduction in electrical insulation resistance is a concern for
- 5 individual conductors to ground. A reduction in electrical insulation resistance is a concern for 6 all circuits, but especially those with sensitive, high voltage, low-level current signals, such as
- 7 radiation monitoring and nuclear instrumentation circuits, because a reduced insulation
- 8 resistance (IR) may contribute to signal inaccuracies.

9 In this AMP, in addition to the evaluation and identification of adverse localized environments,

10 either of two methods can be used to identify the existence of electrical insulation aging effects

- 11 for cables and connections. In the first method, calibration results or findings of surveillance
- testing programs are evaluated to identify the existence of electrical cable and connection insulation aging degradation. In the second method, direct testing of the cable system is
- 13 insulation aging d14 performed.
- 15 This AMP applies to high-range-radiation and neutron flux monitoring instrumentation cables in
- 16 addition to other cables used in high voltage, low-level current signal applications that are
- 17 sensitive to reduction in electrical insulation resistance. For these cables, GALL-SLR Report
- 18 AMP XI.E1 does not apply.
- As stated in NUREG/CR–5643, "the major concern is that failures of deteriorated cables might
 be induced during accident conditions." Since the cable and connection electrical insulation is
 not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49, an AMP is needed
 to manage the aging mechanisms and effects for the subsequent period of extended operation.
 This AMP provides reasonable assurance that the electrical insulation for electrical cables and
- 24 connections will perform its intended function for the subsequent period of extended operation.

- Scope of Program: This AMP applies to electrical cables and connections
 (cable system) electrical insulation used in circuits with sensitive, high voltage, low-level
 current signals. Examples of these circuits include radiation monitoring and nuclear
 instrumentation that are subject to aging management review (AMR) and subjected to
 adverse localized environments caused by temperature, radiation, or moisture.
- Preventive Actions: This is a performance monitoring program and no actions are taken as part of this program to prevent or mitigate aging degradation.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected:* The parameters monitored are determined from
 the specific calibration, surveillances, or testing performed and are based on the
 specific instrumentation circuit under surveillance or calibration, as documented in
 plant procedures.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Review of calibration results or findings of surveillance
 programs can provide an indication of the existence of aging effects based on
 acceptance criteria related to instrumentation circuit performance. By reviewing the
 results obtained during normal calibration or surveillance, an applicant may detect
 severe aging degradation prior to the loss of the cable and connection intended function.
 The first reviews are completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation

and at least every 10 years thereafter. Calibration or surveillance results that do not
 meet acceptance criteria are reviewed for aging effects when the results are available.

3 Cable system testing is conducted when the calibration or surveillance program does not 4 include the cabling system in the testing circuit, or as an alternative to the review of 5 calibration results described above. A proven cable system test for detecting 6 deterioration of the electrical insulation system (such as insulation resistance tests, time 7 domain reflectometry tests, or other testing judged to be effective in determining cable system insulation condition as justified in the application) is performed. The test 8 9 frequency of the cable system is determined by the applicant based on engineering evaluation, but the test frequency is at least once every 10 years. The first test is to be 10 completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. 11

- Monitoring and Trending: Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP,
 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the test or
 visual inspection program selected. However, inspection and test results that are
 trendable provide additional information on the rate of cable or connection degradation.
- Acceptance Criteria: Calibration results or findings of surveillance and cable system testing are to be within the acceptance criteria, as set out in the applicant's procedures.
- 18 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 19 20 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 21 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 22 23 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-24 25 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Corrective actions, such as recalibration and circuit trouble-shooting, are implemented when calibration, surveillance, or cable system test results do not meet the acceptance criteria. An engineering evaluation is performed when the acceptance criteria are not met. Such an evaluation is to consider the significance of the calibration, surveillance, or cable system test results and whether the review of calibration and surveillance results or the cable system testing frequency needs to be increased.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific
 portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of
 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an
 applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related
 SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

- 10. *Operating Experience:* The program is informed and enhanced when necessary
 through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating
 experience, consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- 4 Operating experience has identified that a change in temperature across a high range 5 radiation monitor cable in containment resulted in a substantial change in the reading of 6 the monitor. Changes in instrument calibration can be caused by degradation of the 7 circuit cable or connection electrical insulation and represents a possible indication of 8 electrical cable degradation.
- 9 This AMP considers the technical information and guidance provided in
- 10 EPRI TR-109619, EPRI TR-110379, EPRI TR-112582, IEEE Std. 1205- 2014, 11 NRC IN 93-33, NUREG/CR–5643, NUREG/CR–5772, NUREG/CR–5461, and 12 RG 1.218.
- 13 **References**
- 14 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 15 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- EPRI. EPRI TR-112582, "High Range Radiation Monitor Cable Study: Phase 2." Palo Alto,
 California: Electric Power Research Institute. May 2000.
- 18 _____. EPRI TR-109619, "Guideline for the Management of Adverse Localized Equipment
 19 Environments, Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 1999.
- 20 _____. EPRI TR-110379, "High Range Radiation Monitor Cable Study: Phase 1." Palo Alto,
 21 California: Electric Power Research Institute. November 1998.
- IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205- 2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring and Mitigating Aging
 Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear
- 24 Facilities." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- NRC. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.218, "Condition Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables Used
 in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S, Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2012.
- 27 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-45, "Environmental Qualification Deficiency for Cables and
 28 Containment Penetration Pigtails." Supplement 1. Washington, DC: U. S, Nuclear Regulatory
 29 Commission. February 1998.
- 30 _____. NRC Information Notice 97-45, "Environmental Qualification Deficiency for Cables and
 31 Containment Penetration Pigtails." Washington, DC: U. S, Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 32 July 1997.
- 33 _____. NRC Information Notice 93-33: "Potential Deficiency of Certain Class IE
 34 Instrumentation and Control Cables." Washington, DC: U.S, Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 35 April 1993.
- 36 _____. NUREG/CR–5772, "Aging, Condition Monitoring and Loss-of-Coolant Accident (LOCA)
 37 Tests of Class IE Electrical Cables Vol. 1 and 2." Washington, DC: U.S, Nuclear Regulatory
 38 Commission. August 1992 October 1992.

- _____. NUREG/CR–5643, "Insights Gained From Aging Research." Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1992.
- 3 _____. NUREG/CR–5461, "Aging of Cables, Connections, and Electrical Penetrations
 4 Assemblies Used In Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 5 Commission. July 1990.
- 6 SNL. SAND96-0344, "Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power Plants-
- 7 Electrical Cable and Terminations." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia National Laboratories.
 8 September 1996.

XI.E3A ELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE MEDIUM 1 2 **VOLTAGE POWER CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.49** 3 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Program Description 4

5 The purpose of the aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that 6 the intended functions of inaccessible power cables (operating voltages of 2kV to 35kV) that are 7 not subject to the environmental qualification (EQ) requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 are 8 maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation. This AMP applies to all inaccessible or below grade (e.g., direct buried, 9 10 buried conduit, duct bank, embedded raceway, cable trench, vaults, or manholes) medium 11 voltage cable (operating voltages of 2kV to 35kV) within the scope of subsequent license 12 renewal (SLR) exposed to adverse localized environments primarily due to significant moisture.

- 13 In most areas within a nuclear power plant (NPP), the actual operating environment
- 14 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) is less severe than the anticipated plant design basis
- environment. However, in a limited number of localized areas, the actual environment may be 15
- 16 more severe than the anticipated plant design basis environment. These localized areas are
- 17 characterized as "adverse localized environments" that represent a limited plant area where the
- 18 operating environment is significantly more severe than the anticipated plant design basis
- 19 environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable and applicable connection
- electrical insulation. 20

21 Most electrical cables in NPPs are located in dry environments. However, some cables are

- 22 inaccessible or below grade, located in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct
- 23 banks, vaults, or direct buried installations that may be exposed to water intrusion due to wetting 24 or submergence. When an inaccessible medium voltage power cables (and associated
- 25 connections) are exposed to wetting, submergence, or other adverse localized environment
- 26 conditions for which it was not designed, an accelerated aging effect of reduced insulation
- 27 resistance may occur, causing a decrease in the dielectric strength of the electrical insulation.
- 28 Inaccessible medium voltage power cable electrical insulation may degrade more rapidly than
- 29 expected when exposed to an adverse localized environment. Electrical insulation subjected to
- 30 an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component; have an
- 31 adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable.
- 32 Adverse localized environments are identified through the use of an integrated approach. This
- 33 approach includes, but is not limited to; (a) the review of EQ zone program radiation,
- 34 temperature and moisture information for various plant areas as applicable to inaccessible
- 35 medium voltage power cable, (b) recorded information from equipment or plant instrumentation (e.g., applicable periodic environmental monitoring of in-scope inaccessible medium voltage 36
- cable installations), (c) as-built and field walk down data (e.g., cable routing data base), (d) a 37
- 38 plant spaces scoping and screening methodology, and (e) the review of relevant plant-specific
- 39 and industry operating experience including:
- 40 (a) Identification of work practices, including work records that have the potential to subject
- 41 in-scope inaccessible medium voltage power cable to an adverse localized environment
- 42 (e.g., equipment thermal insulation removal and restoration).

- 1 (b) Corrective actions involving for scope inaccessible medium voltage electrical insulation
- 2 aging degradation on electrical insulation service life (current operating term).

(c) Previous inspections (e.g., cable vaults, and manholes) for medium voltage cable electrical
 insulation aging degradation associated with cable wetting and submergence.

In this AMP, periodic actions are taken to prevent inaccessible medium voltage cables from
being exposed to significant moisture. Significant moisture is defined as exposure to moisture
that lasts more than a few days (i.e., long term wetting or submergence over a continuous
period). Cable wetting or submergence that occurs for a limited time as drainage occurs by
either automatic or passive drains is not considered an adverse localized environment for this
AMP.

- 11 The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on
- 12 plant-specific operating experience over time with cable wetting or submergence. Inspections
- 13 are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inspection
- 14 occurs at least once annually with the first inspection for SLR completed prior to the subsequent
- 15 period of extended operation. Inspection frequencies are adjusted based on inspection results
- 16 including plant specific operating experience but with a minimum inspection frequency of at
- 17 least once annually. Inspections are also performed after event driven occurrences, such as
- 18 heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding.
- 19 Examples of periodic actions to prevent inaccessible medium voltage cable exposure to
- significant moisture include inspection for water collection in cable manholes and conduits and
- 21 draining water, as needed. However, these periodic actions may not be sufficient to ensure that
- water is not trapped elsewhere in the raceways. For example, water accumulation and
- submergence could occur from, (a) a duct bank conduit with low points in the routing;
- (b) raceways settling or cracking due to soil settling over a long period of time; (c) manhole
 covers not being watertight; (d) raceway locations subject to a high water table (e.g., high
- 26 seasonal cycles); and (e) uncertainties exist concerning wetting and submergence even when
- 27 duct banks are sloped with the intention to minimize water accumulation.
- 28 Experience has shown that insulation degradation may occur if the cables are exposed to
- 29 continuous wetting or submergence. Although variances exist in the aging mechanisms and
- 30 effects depending on cable insulation material and manufacture, periodic actions are necessary
- 31 to minimize the potential for insulation degradation.
- 32 In addition to the above periodic actions, in-scope inaccessible medium voltage power cables 33 exposed to significant moisture are tested to determine the condition of the electrical insulation 34 (e.g., identify degradation due to reduced electrical insulation resistance). The specific type of 35 test considered is to be a proven technique for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation 36 system (e.g., test is applicable to the specific cable construction: shielded and nonshielded and 37 the insulation material under test). Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory; electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cables or 38 39 testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected to the same environment and
- 40 exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment.
 - 41 One or more tests may be required per cable construction and electrical insulation material, to
 - 42 determine the condition of the cable and that in-scope inaccessible medium voltage cable will
 - 43 continue to meet its intended function during the subsequent period of extended operation. A
 - 44 plant specific inaccessible medium voltage cable test matrix that documents inspection

- 1 methods, test methods, and acceptance criteria for the applicant's plant specific in-scope
- 2 inaccessible medium voltage power cables is developed as part of this AMP.

The first tests for license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with subsequent tests performed at least once every 6 years thereafter. For inaccessible medium power cables exposed to significant moisture, test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of aging degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience but with a minimum test frequency of at least once every 6 years.

As stated in NUREG/CR-5643, "the major concern is that failures of deteriorated cable systems
(cables, connections, and penetrations) might be induced during accident conditions." Because
the cables are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49, an
AMP is required to manage the aging effects. This AMP provides reasonable assurance the
insulation material for electrical cables will perform its intended function for the period of
extended operation.

- Scope of Program: This AMP applies to inaccessible or below grade medium voltage (operating voltages of 2kV to 35kV) power cable installations (e.g., direct buried, buried conduit, duct bank, embedded raceway, cable trench, vaults, or manholes) within the scope of license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments primarily due to significant moisture.
- 21 Significant moisture is defined as exposure to moisture that lasts more than a few days 22 (i.e., long term wetting or submergence over a continuous period). Cable wetting or 23 submergence that occurs for a limited time as demonstrated by either automatic or 24 passive drainage is not considered an adverse localized environment for this AMP. 25 In-scope inaccessible medium voltage cable splices subjected to wetting or 26 submergence are also included within the scope of this program. Submarine or other 27 cables designed for continuous wetting or submergence are also included in this AMP as a onetime inspection with additional periodic test and inspections determined by the 28 29 onetime test/inspection results and industry and plant specific aging degradation 30 operating experience with the applicable cable electrical insulation.
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program. However, periodic actions
 are taken to prevent inaccessible medium voltage power cable from being exposed to
 significant moisture, such as identifying and inspecting in-scope accessible cable conduit
 ends and cable manholes/vaults for water collection, and draining the water, as needed.
- The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on plant-specific operating experience with cable wetting or submergence. The inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inspection occurs at least once annually with the first inspection for SLR completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. The annual inspection frequency is consistent with inspection procedure 71111.06.
- Inspections are also performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain,
 thawing of ice and snow, or flooding. Plant specific parameters are established for the
 initiation of an event driven inspection. Inspections include direct indication that cables

- are not wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures are
 intact. Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and passive drains) and associated
 alarms are inspected and their operation verified periodically. The periodic inspection
 includes documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems are effective
 in preventing cable exposure to significant moisture or cables are not found submerged
 when water is manually pumped from manholes or vaults.
- If water is found during inspection (i.e., cable exposed to significant moisture), corrective
 actions are taken to keep the cable dry and to assess cable degradation (i.e., through
 inspection and additional cable testing). The aging management of the physical
 structure, including cable support structures of cable vaults/manholes is managed by
 Generic Aging Lessons Learned Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
 AMP XI.S6.
- Barameters Monitored or Inspected: Inspection for water collection is performed based on plant-specific operating experience with water accumulation over time.
- Inaccessible or below grade inaccessible medium voltage power cables within the scope
 of license renewal exposed to significant moisture are also tested to determine the
 condition of the electrical insulation. The specific type of test to be used is a proven
 technique capable of detecting reduced insulation resistance of the cable's insulation
 system due to wetting or submergence.
- 20 4. **Detection of Aging Effects:** For inaccessible medium voltage power cables exposed to 21 significant moisture, test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of aging degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. 22 23 Cable testing occurs at least once every 6 years. The first tests for license renewal are 24 to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with following tests 25 performed at least once every 6 years thereafter. This is an adequate period to monitor 26 performance of the cable and take appropriate corrective actions since experience has shown that although a slow process, aging degradation could be significant. 27
- 28 The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a 29 proven test for detecting aging degradation of the cable electrical insulation system 30 (e.g., selected test is applicable to the specific cable construction: shielded and 31 nonshielded, and the insulation material under test). Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection 32 and testing of cables or testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected 33 34 to the same environment and exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment. 35 A plant specific inaccessible medium voltage cable test matrix is developed to document 36 inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to in-scope inaccessible 37 medium voltage cable for each cable type (e.g., electrical insulation, 38 shielded/nonshielded, or fabrication.
- Monitoring and Trending: Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP
 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the test or
 visual inspection program selected. However, condition monitoring cable test and
 inspection results, utilizing the same visual inspection and test methods that are
 trendable and repeatable, provide additional information on the rate of cable or
 connection insulation degradation.

- Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria for each test or inspection are defined by
 the specific type of test performed and the specific cable tested. Acceptance criteria for
 inspections for water accumulation are defined by the direct indication that cable support
 structures are intact and cables are not subject to significant moisture. Dewatering
 systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their
 operation verified.
- 7 7. **Corrective Actions:** Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 8 9 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 10 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 11 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 12 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-13 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program. 14
- 15 Unacceptable test results and visual indications of electrical insulation material 16 abnormalities are subject to an engineering evaluation. Such an evaluation considers the 17 age and operating environment of the component as well as the severity of the 18 abnormality and whether such an abnormality has previously been correlated to 19 degradation of cable or connection electrical insulation. When an unacceptable condition or situation is identified, a determination is made as to whether the same condition or 20 21 situation is applicable to additional in-scope accessible and inaccessible cables or 22 connections (extent of condition).
- Corrective actions may include, but are not limited to, installation of permanent drainage
 systems, (e.g., sump pumps, passive drainage systems and alarms), more frequent
 cable testing or inspections, repair (e.g., replace degraded cable sections with splices
 accessible), replacement of the affected cable, and root cause assessment of cable
 failures assessments, including forensic evaluations, with the AMP enhanced as
 necessary consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 41 10. *Operating Experience*: Operating experience has shown that electrical insulation
 42 materials undergo accelerated degradation either through water tree formation or due to
 43 other aging mechanisms when subjected to significant moisture. Inaccessible medium
 44 voltage cable subjected to significant moisture may result in an accelerated decrease in

the dielectric strength of the conductor electrical insulation. Minimizing exposure to
 moisture mitigates the potential for the development of reduced insulation resistance.

3 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 2007-001 4 concerning inaccessible or below grade cables to (a) inform licensees that the failure of 5 certain power cables can affect the functionality of multiple accident mitigation systems or cause plant transients and (b) gather information from licensees on the monitoring of 6 7 inaccessible or below grade power cable failures for all cables that are within the scope of the Maintenance Rule. The data obtained from the GL responses show an increasing 8 9 trend of cable failures. The GL 2007-01 summary report noted that the predominant 10 factor contributing to cable failures at nuclear power plants was due to moisture intrusion/submergence. These cables were failing within the plants' 40-year initial 11 12 operating period.

The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience consistent with
 the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

16This AMP considers the technical information and generic communication guidance17provided in RG 1.218, NUREG/CR–5643; IEEE Std. 1205-2014; EPRI 109619; EPRI18103834-P1-2; NRC IN 2002-12; NRC IN 2010-26: NRC IN 1986-49; NRC GL 2007-01;19NRC GL 2007-01 Summary Report; NRC Inspection Procedure, Attachment 71111.06,20NRC Inspection Procedure, Attachment 71111.01; RG 1.211, RG 1.218; and21NUREG/CR–7000.

22 References

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- EPRI. EPRI TR-109619, "Guideline for the Management of Adverse Localized Equipment
 Environments." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 1999.
- 27 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging
- Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear
 Facilities." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- 30 NRC. NRC Inspection Manual, Attachment 71111.06, "Flood Protection Measures."
 31 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 2012.
- 32 _____. Regulatory Guide 1.218, "Condition Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables Used in
 33 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 34 April 2012.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-26, "Submerged Electrical Cables." Washington, DC:
 36 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 2010.
- NUREG/CR-7000, "Essential Elements of an Electric Cable Condition Monitoring
 Program." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2010.

- _____. Regulatory Guide 1.211, "Qualification of Safety-Related Cables and Field Splices for
 Nuclear Power Plants." Rev 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 April 2009.
- 4 _____. NRC Inspection Manual, Attachment 71111.01, "Adverse Weather Protection."
 5 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2009.
- NRC Generic Letter 2007-01, "Inaccessible or Underground Power Cable Failures that
 Disable Accident Mitigation Systems or Cause Plant Transients." Summary Report.
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 12, 2008.
- 9 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-12, "Submerged Safety-Related Electrical Cables."
 10 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2002.
- NRC Information Notice 1986-49, "Age/Environment Induces Electrical Cable Failures."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1986.

1XI.E3BELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE INSTRUMENT2AND CONTROL CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.493ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

4 **Program Description**

5 The purpose of the aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that the intended functions of inaccessible or below grade instrument and control cables that are not 6 7 subject to the environmental qualification (EQ) requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 are maintained 8 consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation. This AMP applies to all inaccessible or below grade (e.g., installed in buried conduit, 9 10 embedded raceway, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, vaults, manholes, or direct 11 buried installations) instrumentation and control cable within the scope of subsequent license 12 renewal (SLR) exposed to adverse localized environments primarily due to significant moisture.

13 In most areas within a nuclear power plant (NPP), the actual operating environment

14 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) is less severe than the anticipated plant design basis

15 environment. However, in a limited number of localized areas, the actual environment may be

16 more severe than the anticipated plant design basis environment. These localized areas are

17 characterized as "adverse localized environments" that represent a limited plant area where the

operating environment is significantly more severe than the anticipated plant design basis
 environment. An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment

environment. An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment
 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable applicable connections electrical

20 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable applicable connect 21 insulation (e.g., splice).

22 Most electrical cables in NPPs are located in dry environments. However, some cables are 23 inaccessible or below grade, cables located in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, 24 duct banks, vaults, or direct buried installations that may be exposed to water intrusion due to 25 wetting or submergence. When an electrical cable is exposed to wetting, submergence, or 26 other adverse localized environments for which it was not designed, an aging effect of reduced electrical insulation resistance may occur, causing a decrease in the dielectric strength of the 27 28 conductor electrical insulation. If so equipped, the degradation of the cable shield due to water 29 intrusion may introduce electrical grounds and noise into the circuit.

30 Inaccessible instrumentation and control cable electrical insulation including shields as

31 applicable may degrade more rapidly than expected when exposed to an adverse localized

32 environment. Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could have an

adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable. Although the risk

34 contribution due to a failure of an inaccessible instrument and control cable is limited due to

system architecture, a common aging stressor such as submergence may represent a common
 aging mechanism that if not anticipated in the design or mitigated in service, may lead to

37 multiple random failures and compromise system defense-in-depth and diversity.

38 Adverse localized environments are identified through the use of an integrated approach. This

39 approach includes, but is not limited to; (a) the review of EQ program radiation, temperature and

40 moisture information for various plant areas as applicable to inaccessible instrumentation and

41 control cable, (b) recorded information from equipment or plant instrumentation (e.g., applicable

42 periodic environmental monitoring of in-scope inaccessible instrumentation and control cable

43 installations), (c) as-built and field walk down data (e.g., cable routing data base), (d) a plant

- spaces scoping and screening methodology, and (d) the review of relevant plant-specific and
 industry operating experience including;
- Identification of work practices, including work records that have the potential to subject
 in-scope inaccessible instrumentation and control cable to an adverse localized
 environment (e.g., equipment thermal insulation removal and restoration).
- Corrective actions involving in-scope inaccessible instrumentation and control electrical
 insulation aging degradation on electrical insulation service life (current operating term).
- Previous inspections (e.g., cable vaults, and manholes) for instrumentation and control
 cable electrical insulation aging degradation associated with cable wetting and
 submergence.

In this AMP, periodic actions are taken to prevent inaccessible instrumentation and control cables from being exposed to significant moisture. Significant moisture is defined as exposure to moisture that lasts more than a few days (i.e., long term wetting or submergence over a continuous period). Cable wetting or submergence that occurs for a limited time as demonstrated by either automatic or passive drains is not considered an adverse localized

16 environment for this AMP.

17 The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on plant-18 specific operating experience over time with cable wetting or submergence. Inspections are 19 performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inspection occurs 20 at least once annually with the first inspection for SLR completed prior to the subsequent period 21 of extended operation. Inspection frequencies are adjusted based on inspection results 22 including plant specific operating experience but with a minimum inspection frequency of at 23 least once annually. Inspections are also performed after event driven occurrences, such as 24 heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding.

25 Examples of periodic actions to prevent inaccessible instrumentation and control cable

26 exposure to significant moisture include inspection for water collection in cable manholes,

vaults, and conduits and draining water, as needed. However, these periodic actions may not
 be sufficient to ensure that water is not trapped elsewhere in the raceways. For example water

29 accumulation and submergence could occur from, (a) a duct bank conduit with low points in the

30 routing; (b) raceway settling or cracking due to soil settling over a long period of time;

31 (c) manhole and cable trench covers not being watertight; (d) raceway locations subject to a

high water table (e.g., high seasonal cycles), and (e) uncertainties concerning wetting and

33 submergence even when duct banks are sloped with the intention to minimize water

34 accumulation.

35 Although aging mechanisms and effects due to significant moisture appear limited compared

36 with inaccessible medium voltage cable (e.g., lower instrument and control voltage levels do not

37 support water tree formation for example), operating experience has shown that insulation

38 degradation may occur if inaccessible instrumentation and control cables are exposed to

39 continuous wetting or submergence. Although variances may exist in the aging mechanisms

40 and effects depending on electrical insulation material, manufacture, and application, periodic

41 actions are necessary to minimize the potential for insulation degradation due to significant

42 moisture.

1 In addition to the above periodic actions, in-scope inaccessible instrumentation and control 2 cables exposed to significant moisture are tested to determine the condition of the electrical 3 insulation (e.g., identify degradation due to reduced electrical insulation resistance). The 4 specific type of test considered is to be a proven technique for detecting deterioration of the 5 cable insulation system (e.g., test is applicable to the specific cable construction: shielded and 6 nonshielded and the electrical insulation under test). Tests may include combinations of *in-situ* 7 or laboratory, electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and 8 testing of cables or testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected to the same 9 environment and exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment.

10 For a large installed number of inaccessible instrumentation and control cable, a sample test 11 methodology may be employed. A technical justification of the methodology and sample size 12 used for selecting inaccessible instrumentation and control cables under test is included as part 13 of the applicant's AMP's basis documentation. Inaccessible instrument and control cable 14 factors are considered for sampling (e.g., voltage level, cable construction, cable type, insulation 15 material, and location). If an unacceptable condition or situation is identified in the selected sample, a determination is made as to whether the same condition or situation is applicable to 16 17 other inaccessible instrumentation and control cable not tested and whether the tested sample 18 population should be expanded.

19 One or more tests may be required per cable construction and electrical insulation material to

20 determine the condition of the cable and that in-scope inaccessible instrumentation and control

cable will continue to meet its intended function during the subsequent period of extended
 operation. A plant specific inaccessible instrumentation and control cable test matrix that

22 operation. A plant specific inaccessible instrumentation and control cable test matrix that 23 documents inspection methods, test methods, and acceptance criteria is developed as part of

24 this AMP.

25 The first tests for SLR are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation

26 with subsequent tests performed at least once every 6 years thereafter. For inaccessible

instrumentation and control cables exposed to significant moisture, test frequencies are
 adjusted based on test results (including trending of aging degradation where applicable) and

20 adjusted based on test results (including trending of aging degradation where applicable) and 29 plant specific operating experience but with a minimum test frequency of at least once every

30 6 years.

As stated in NUREG/CR–5643, "the major concern is that failures of deteriorated cable systems
(cables, connections, and penetrations) might be induced during accident conditions." Because
the cables are not subject to the EQ requirements of 10 CFR 50.49, an AMP is required to
manage the aging effects. This AMP provides reasonable assurance that insulation material for

35 electrical cables will perform its intended function for the period of extended operation.

- Scope of Program: This AMP applies to all inaccessible or below grade (e.g., installed in buried conduit, embedded raceway, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, vaults, manholes, or direct buried installations) instrumentation and control cable within the scope of subsequent license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments primarily due to significant moisture.
- 42 Significant moisture is defined as exposure to moisture that lasts more than a few days 43 (i.e., long term wetting or submergence over a continuous period). Cable wetting or

- submergence that occurs for a limited time as demonstrated by either automatic or passive drainage is not considered an adverse localized environment for this AMP.
- In-scope inaccessible instrumentation and control cable splices subjected to wetting or
 submergence are included within the scope of this program. Cables designed for
 continuous wetting or submergence are also included in this AMP as a onetime
 inspection with additional periodic tests and inspections determined by the
 test/inspection results and industry and plant specific aging degradation operating
 experience with the applicable cable electrical insulation.
- 9 2. *Preventive Actions:* This is a condition monitoring program. However, periodic actions are taken to prevent inaccessible instrumentation and control cable from being exposed to significant moisture, such as identifying and inspecting in-scope accessible cable conduit ends and cable manholes/vaults for water collection, and draining the water, as needed.
- 14The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on15plant-specific operating experience with cable wetting or submergence. The inspections16are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic17inspection occurs at least once annually with the first inspection for SLR completed prior18to the subsequent period of extended operation. The annual inspection frequency is19consistent with inspection procedure 71111.06.
- 20 Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing 21 of ice and snow, or flooding. Plant specific parameters are established for the initiation of an event driven inspection. Inspections include direct indication that cables are not 22 23 wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures are intact. 24 Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and passive drains) and associated alarms are 25 inspected and their operation verified periodically. The periodic Inspection includes documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping 26 of manholes or vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable exposure to significant 27 28 moisture.
- If water is found during inspection (i.e., cable exposed to significant moisture), corrective
 actions are taken to keep the cable dry and to assess cable degradation (i.e., through
 inspection and additional cable testing). The aging management of the physical
 structure, including cable support structures, of cable vaults/manholes is managed by
 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent Licensing Renewal (GALL SLR) Report
 AMP XI.S6.
- 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Inspection for water collection is performed
 based on plant-specific operating experience with water accumulation over time.
 Inaccessible or below grade instrumentation and control cables within the scope of SLR
 exposed to significant moisture are tested to determine the condition of the conductor
 electrical insulation. The specific type of test(s) to be used is a proven technique
 capable of detecting reduced insulation resistance of the cable's insulation system due
 to wetting or submergence.
- 42 4. Detection of Aging Effects: For inaccessible instrumentation and control cables
 43 exposed to significant moisture, test frequencies are adjusted based on test results
 44 (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating

experience. Cable testing occurs at least once every 6 years. The first tests for SLR are
to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests
performed at least once every 6 years thereafter. This is an adequate period to monitor
performance of the cable and take appropriate corrective actions since experience has
shown that although a slow process, but that aging degradation could be significant.

6 The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a 7 proven test for detecting aging degradation of the cable insulation system (e.g., the selected test is applicable to the specific cable construction: shielded and nonshielded. 8 9 and the insulation material under test). Tests may include combinations of in-situ or laboratory, electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and 10 testing of cables or testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected to the 11 same environment and exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment. A plant 12 specific instrumentation and control test matrix is developed to document inspections, 13 14 test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible 15 instrumentation and control cable for each type (e.g., electrical insulation, shielded/nonshielded, or fabrication). 16

- 17 For a large installed number of inaccessible instrumentation and control cable, a sample 18 test methodology may be employed. A technical justification of the methodology and 19 sample size used for selecting inaccessible instrumentation and control cables under 20 test should be included as part of the applicant's AMP's basis documentation. 21 Inaccessible instrument and control cable factors are considered for sampling 22 (e.g., voltage level, cable construction, cable type, insulation material, and location). If an unacceptable condition or situation is identified in the selected sample, a 23 24 determination is made as to whether the same condition or situation is applicable to 25 other inaccessible instrumentation and control cable not tested and whether the tested 26 sample population should be expanded. The corrective action program is used to 27 evaluate the condition and determine appropriate corrective action.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP
 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the test or
 visual inspection program selected. However, condition monitoring cable test and
 inspection results utilizing the same visual inspection and test methods that are
 trendable and repeatable provide additional information on the rate of cable or
 connection insulation degradation.
- Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria for each test or inspection are defined by
 the specific type of test performed and the specific cable tested. Acceptance criteria for
 inspections for water accumulation are defined by the direct indication that cable support
 structures are intact and cables are not subject to significant moisture. Dewatering
 systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their
 operation verified.
- 7. *Corrective Actions:* Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as
 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those
 specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective
 Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes
 how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the
 corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs
 within the scope of this program.

- 1 Unacceptable test results and visual indications of electrical insulation material 2 abnormalities are subject to an engineering evaluation. Such an evaluation considers the 3 age and operating environment of the component as well as the severity of the 4 abnormality and whether such an abnormality has previously been correlated to 5 degradation of cable or connection electrical insulation. When an unacceptable condition 6 or situation is identified, a determination is made as to whether the same condition or 7 situation is applicable to additional in-scope accessible and inaccessible cables or 8 connections (extent of condition).
- Corrective actions may include, but are not limited to, installation of permanent drainage
 systems, (e.g., sump pumps, passive drainage systems and alarms), more frequent
 cable testing or inspections, repair (e.g., replace degraded cable sections), replacement
 of the affected cable, and root cause assessment of cable failures including forensic
 evaluations as applicable, with the AMP enhanced as necessary consistent with the
 discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 27 10. **Operating Experience:** Operating experience has shown that electrical insulation 28 materials undergo accelerated degradation either through water tree formation or due to 29 other aging mechanisms when subjected to significant moisture. Inaccessible 30 instrumentation and control cable subjected to significant moisture may result in an 31 accelerated decrease in the dielectric strength of the conductor electrical insulation. Minimizing exposure to significant moisture mitigates the potential for the development 32 33 of reduced insulation resistance and, if so equipped, the degradation of the cable shield 34 due to water intrusion which may introduce unwanted grounds and noise into the circuit.
- 35 The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 2007-001 36 concerning inaccessible or below grade cables to (a) inform licensees that the failure of certain power cables can affect the functionality of multiple accident mitigation systems 37 or cause plant transients and (b) gather information from licensees on the monitoring of 38 39 inaccessible or below grade power cable failures for all cables that are within the scope 40 of the Maintenance Rule. The data obtained from the GL responses show an increasing 41 trend of cable failures. The GL 2007-01 summary report noted that the predominant 42 factor contributing to cable failures at NPPs was due to moisture intrusion/submergence. These cables were failing within the plants' 40-year initial licensing period. 43

The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and
 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating consistent with the
 discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

This AMP considers the technical information and generic communication guidance
provided in RG 1.218, NUREG/CR–5643; IEEE Std. 1205-2014; EPRI 109619; EPRI
103834-P1-2; NRC IN 2002-12; NRC IN 2010-26: NRC IN 1986-49; NRC GL 2007-01;
NRC GL 2007-01 Summary Report; NRC Inspection Procedure, Attachment 71111.06,
NRC Inspection Procedure, Attachment 71111.01; RG 1.211, RG 1.218; and
NUREG/CR–7000.

10 References

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 12 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 13 EPRI. EPRI TR-109619, "Guideline for the Management of Adverse Localized Equipment
- 14 Environments." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 1999.
- 15 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging
- 16 Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear
- 17 Facilities." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- 18 NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.218, "Condition Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables Used in
 19 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 20 April 2012.
- 21 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-26, "Submerged Electrical Cables." Washington, DC:
 22 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 2010.
- 23 _____. NUREG/CR–7000, "Essential Elements of an Electric Cable Condition Monitoring
 24 Program." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2010.
- 25 _____. NRC Inspection Manual, Attachment 71111.06, "Flood Protection Measures."
 26 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 2009.
- 27 _____. NRC Inspection Manual, Attachment 71111.01, "Adverse Weather Protection."
 28 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2009.
- 29 _____. Regulatory Guide 1.211, "Qualification of Safety-Related Cables and Field Splices for
 30 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 31 April 2009.
- 32 _____. NRC Generic Letter 2007-01, "Inaccessible or Underground Power Cable Failures that
 33 Disable Accident Mitigation Systems or Cause Plant Transients." Summary Report.
 34 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2008.
- 35 _____. NRC Information Notice 2002-12, "Submerged Safety-Related Electrical Cables."
 36 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2002.

- 1 2 _____. NRC Information Notice 1986-49, "Age/Environment Induces Electrical Cable Failures." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1986.

1XI.E3CELECTRICAL INSULATION FOR INACCESSIBLE LOW22VOLTAGE POWER CABLES NOT SUBJECT TO 10 CFR 50.4933ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

4 **Program Description**

5 The purpose of the aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that the intended functions of inaccessible or below grade low voltage power cables (i.e., typical 6 operating voltage of less than 1,000v-but no greater than 2kV) that are not subject to the 7 8 environmental gualification (EQ) requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 are maintained consistent with 9 the current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation. This 10 AMP applies to all inaccessible or below grade (e.g., installed in buried conduit, embedded 11 raceway, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, vaults, manholes, or direct buried 12 installations) low voltage power cable within the scope of subsequent license renewal (SLR) 13 exposed to adverse localized environments primarily due to significant moisture.

14 In most areas within a nuclear power plant (NPP), the actual operating environment

15 (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) is less severe than the anticipated plant design basis

16 environment. However, in a limited number of localized areas, the actual environment may be

17 more severe than the anticipated plant design basis environment. These localized areas are

18 characterized as "adverse localized environments" that represent a limited plant area where the

19 operating environment is significantly more severe than the anticipated plant design basis

20 environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation.

21 Most electrical cables in NPPs are located in dry environments. However, some cables are 22 inaccessible or below grade, cables located in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, 23 duct banks, vaults, or direct buried installations that may be exposed to water intrusion due to 24 wetting or submergence. When an inaccessible electrical cable is exposed to wetting, 25 submergence, or other adverse localized environments for which it was not designed, an aging 26 effect of reduced electrical insulation resistance may occur causing a decrease in the dielectric 27 strength of the conductor electrical insulation. Therefore, this AMP considers inaccessible low 28 voltage power cable exposed to wetting or submergence or other adverse localized 29 environments for which the cable was not designed, as potentially subject to an aging effect of 30 reduced insulation resistance causing a decrease in the dielectric strength of the conductor 31 electrical insulation.

32 Inaccessible low voltage power cable electrical insulation may degrade more rapidly than

33 expected when exposed to an adverse localized environment. Electrical insulation subjected to

an adverse localized environment could have an adverse effect on operability, or potentially

35 lead to failure of the cable's insulation system.

36 Adverse localized environments are identified through the use of an integrated approach. This

approach includes, but is not limited to; (a) the review of EQ program radiation, temperature and
 moisture information for various plant areas as applicable to inaccessible low voltage power

38 rioisture information for various plant areas as applicable to inaccessible low voltage power 39 cable, (b) recorded information from equipment or plant instrumentation (e.g., applicable

40 periodic environmental monitoring of in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable

41 installations), (c) as-built and field walk down data (e.g., cable routing data base), (d) a plant

42 spaces scoping and screening methodology, and (d) the review of relevant plant-specific and

43 industry operating experience including;

- Identification of work practices, including work records that have the potential to subject in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable to an adverse localized environment (e.g., equipment thermal insulation removal and restoration).
- Corrective actions involving in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable electrical
 insulation aging degradation on electrical insulation service life (current operating term).
- Previous inspections (e.g., cable vaults, and manholes) for inaccessible low voltage
 cable electrical insulation aging degradation associated with cable wetting and
 submergence.

In this AMP, periodic actions are taken to prevent inaccessible low voltage power cables from
being exposed to significant moisture. Significant moisture is defined as exposure to moisture
that lasts more than a few days (i.e., long term wetting or submergence over a continuous
period). Cable wetting or submergence that occurs for a limited time as demonstrated by either
automatic or passive drains is not considered an adverse localized environment for this AMP.

- 14 The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on
- 15 plant-specific operating experience over time with cable wetting or submergence. The
- 16 inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic
- 17 inspection occurs at least annually with the first inspection for SLR completed prior to the
- 18 subsequent period of extended operation. Inspection frequencies are adjusted based on
- 19 inspection results including plant specific operating experience but with a minimum inspection
- 20 frequency of at least annually. Inspections are also performed after event driven occurrences,
- such as heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding.
- Examples of periodic actions are inspecting for water collection in cable manholes, vaults, and 22 conduits and draining water, as needed. However, the periodic actions may not be sufficient to 23 24 ensure that water is not trapped elsewhere in the raceways. For example, (a) if a duct bank 25 conduit has low points in the routing, there could be potential for continuous submergence at these low points; (b) raceways may settle or crack due to soil settling over a long period of time; 26 27 (c) manhole and cable trench covers may not be watertight; (d) raceway locations subject to a 28 high water table (e.g., high seasonal cycles); and (e) uncertainties concerning wetting and 29 submergence even when duct banks are sloped with the intention to minimize water 30 accumulation.
- Although specific aging mechanisms and effects due to significant moisture are not documented
 for low voltage power cable and the voltage levels are considered low enough not to support
 water tree formation. Operating experience suggests that insulation degradation may occur if
 inaccessible low voltage power cables are exposed to continuous wetting or submergence.
 Although variances may exist in the aging mechanisms and effects depending on cable
 electrical insulation material, manufacture, and application, periodic actions are necessary to
 minimize the potential for insulation degradation due to significant moisture.
- In addition to the above periodic actions, in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cables exposed to significant moisture are tested to determine the condition of the electrical insulation (e.g., identify degradation due to reduced electrical insulation resistance). The specific type of test considered is to be a proven technique for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., test is applicable to the specific cable construction and electrical insulation under test). Tests may include combinations of *in-situ* or laboratory, electrical, physical, or chemical
- 44 testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cables or testing of coupons or

1 abandoned or removed cables subjected to the same environment and exposed to the same or

2 bounding inservice environment. One or more tests, as required per cable construction and

- 3 insulation material, are used to determine the condition of the cable and ensure that in-scope
- 4 inaccessible low voltage power cables will continue to meet their intended function during the
- subsequent period of extended operation. A plant specific inaccessible low voltage power cable
 test matrix that documents inspection methods, test methods, and acceptance criteria is
- 7 developed as part of this AMP.
- 8 The first tests for SLR are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation

9 with subsequent tests performed at least once every 6 years thereafter. For inaccessible low

10 voltage power cables exposed to significant moisture, test frequencies are adjusted based on

- 11 test results (including trending of aging degradation where applicable) and plant specific
- 12 operating experience but with a minimum test frequency of at least once every 6 years.

As stated in NUREG/CR–5643, "the major concern is that failures of deteriorated cable systems
(cables, connections, and penetrations) might be induced during accident conditions." Because
the cables are not subject to the EQ requirements of 10 CFR 50.49, an AMP is required to
manage the aging effects. This AMP provides reasonable assurance that insulation material for

17 electrical cables will perform its intended function for the period of extended operation.

- Scope of Program: This AMP applies to all inaccessible or below grade (e.g., installed in buried conduit, embedded raceway, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, vaults, manholes, or direct buried installations) low voltage power cable within the scope of SLR exposed to adverse localized environments primarily due to significant moisture.
- Significant moisture is defined as exposure to moisture that lasts more than a few days
 (i.e., long term wetting or submergence over a continuous period). Cable wetting or
 submergence that occurs for a limited time as demonstrated by either automatic or
 passive drainage is not considered an adverse localized environment for this AMP.
- In-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable splices subjected to wetting or
 submergence are included within the scope of this program. Cables designed for
 continuous wetting or submergence are also included in this AMP as a onetime
 inspection with additional periodic test and inspections determined by the test/inspection
 results and industry and plant specific aging degradation operating experience with the
 applicable cable electrical insulation.
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program. However, periodic actions
 are taken to prevent inaccessible low voltage power cable from being exposed to
 significant moisture, such as identifying and inspecting in-scope accessible cable conduit
 ends and cable manholes/vaults for water collection, and draining the water, as needed.
- The inspection frequency for water collection is established and performed based on
 plant-specific operating experience with cable wetting or submergence. The inspections
 are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic
 inspection occurs at least once annually with the first inspection for SLR completed prior
 to the subsequent period of extended operation. The annual inspection frequency is
 consistent with inspection procedure 71111.06.

1 Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing 2 of ice and snow, or flooding. Plant specific parameters are established for the initiation 3 of an event driven inspection. Inspections include direct indication that cables are not 4 wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures are intact. 5 Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and passive drains) and associated alarms are 6 inspected and their operation verified periodically. The periodic Inspection includes 7 documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping 8 of manholes or vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable exposure to significant 9 moisture.

If water is found during inspection (i.e., cable exposed to significant moisture), corrective
 actions are taken to keep the cable dry and to assess cable degradation (i.e., through
 inspection and cable testing). The aging management of the physical structure,
 including cable support structures, of cable vaults/manholes is managed by Generic
 Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent Licensing Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report
 AMP XI.S6.

- 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: Inspection for water collection is performed
 based on plant-specific operating experience with water accumulation over time.
 Inaccessible or below grade low voltage power cables within the scope of SLR exposed
 to significant moisture are tested to determine the condition of the electrical conductor
 insulation. The specific type of test(s) to be used is a proven technique capable of
 detecting reduced insulation resistance of the cable's insulation system due to wetting
 or submergence.
- 23 4. Detection of Aging Effects: For inaccessible low voltage power cables exposed to 24 significant moisture, test frequencies are adjusted based on test results 25 (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. Cable testing occurs at least once every 6 years. The first tests for SLR are 26 27 to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests 28 performed at least once every 6 years thereafter. This is an adequate period to monitor performance of the cable and take appropriate corrective actions since experience has 29 30 shown that although a slow process, aging degradation could be significant.
- The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting aging degradation of the cable electrical insulation system (e.g., the selected test is applicable to the specific cable construction: shielded and nonshielded, and the insulation material under test).
- Tests may include combinations of *in-situ* or laboratory; electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cables or testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected to the same environment and exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment. A plant specific inaccessible low voltage test matrix is developed to document inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable types.
- 41 5. *Monitoring and Trending:* Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP
 42 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the test or
 43 visual inspection program selected. However, condition monitoring cable test and
 44 inspection results utilizing the same visual inspection and test methods that are

- trendable and repeatable provide additional information on the rate of cable or
 connection insulation degradation.
- 6. Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria for each test or inspection are defined by
 the specific type of test performed and the specific cable tested. Acceptance criteria for
 inspections of manholes are defined by the direct indication that cable support structures
 are intact and cables are not submerged. Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and
 drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their operation verified.
- 8 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 9 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 10 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 11 GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, 12 13 Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related structures and components within the scope of this 14 15 program.
- 16 Corrective actions may include, but are not limited to, installation of permanent drainage 17 systems, (e.g., sump pumps, passive drainage systems and alarms), more frequent 18 cable testing or inspections, repair (e.g., replace degraded cable sections), replacement 19 of the affected cable, and root cause assessment of cable failures including forensic 20 evaluations as applicable, with the AMP enhanced as necessary consistent with the 21 discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 34 10. *Operating Experience*: Operating experience has shown that electrical insulation
 35 materials are susceptible water intrusion failures including water tree formation. Aging
 36 effects of reduced insulation resistance due to other aging mechanisms and effects may
 37 also result in a decrease in the dielectric strength of the conductor insulation. Minimizing
 38 exposure to moisture mitigates the potential for the development of reduced
 39 insulation resistance.
- 40The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 2007-00141concerning inaccessible or below grade cables to (a) inform licensees that the failure of42certain power cables can affect the functionality of multiple accident mitigation systems43or cause plant transients and (b) gather information from licensees on the monitoring of44inaccessible or below grade power cable failures for all cables that are within the scope

- of the Maintenance Rule. The data obtained from the GL responses show an increasing
 trend of cable failures. The GL 2007-01 summary report noted that the predominant
 factor contributing to cable failures at NPPs was due to moisture intrusion/submergence.
 These cables are failing within the plants' 40-year initial licensing period.
- 5 The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and 6 ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating experience, consistent with 7 the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

This AMP considers the technical information and generic communication guidance
provided in RG 1.218, NUREG/CR–5643; IEEE Std. 1205-2014; EPRI 109619; EPRI
103834-P1-2; NRC IN 2002-12; NRC IN 2010-26: NRC IN 1986-49; NRC GL 2007-01;
NRC GL 2007-01 Summary Report; NRC Inspection Procedure, Attachment 71111.06,
NRC Inspection Procedure, Attachment 71111.01; RG 1.211, RG 1.218; and
NUREG/CR–7000.

14 References

15 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."

16 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.

EPRI. EPRI TR-109619, "Guideline for the Management of Adverse Localized Equipment
 Environments." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 1999.

19 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging

20 Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear

21 Facilities." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.

NRC. Regulatory Guide 1.218, "Condition Monitoring Techniques for Electric Cables Used in
 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 April 2012.

- 25 _____. NRC Information Notice 2010-26, "Submerged Electrical Cables." Washington, DC:
 26 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. December 2010.
- 27 _____. NUREG/CR–7000, "Essential Elements of an Electric Cable Condition Monitoring
 28 Program." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. January 2010.
- 29 _____. NRC Inspection Manual, Attachment 71111.06, "Flood Protection Measures."
 30 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 2009.
- 31 _____. NRC Inspection Manual, Attachment 71111.01, "Adverse Weather Protection."
 32 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. April 2009.
- 33 _____. Regulatory Guide 1.211 "Qualification of Safety-Related Cables and Field Splices for
 34 Nuclear Power Plants." Revision 0. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 35 April 2009.
- 36 _____. NRC Generic Letter 2007-01, "Inaccessible or Underground Power Cable Failures that
 37 Disable Accident Mitigation Systems or Cause Plant Transients." Summary Report.
 38 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2008.

NRC Information Notice 2002-12, "Submerged Safety-Related Electrical Cables."
 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2002.

3 _____. NRC Information Notice 1986-49, "Age/Environment Induces Electrical Cable Failures."
 4 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. June 1986.

5 SNL. SAND96-0344, "Aging Management Guideline for Commercial Nuclear Power Plants-

6 Electrical Cable and Terminations." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia National Laboratories.

7 September 1996.

1 XI.E4 METAL ENCLOSED BUS

2 **Program Description**

The purpose of this aging management program (AMP) is to provide an internal and external inspection of metal enclosed bus (MEB) within the scope of subsequent license renewal (SLR) to identify age-related degradation of electrical insulating material (i.e., porcelain, xenoy, thermoplastic organic polymers), and metallic and elastomer components (e.g., gaskets, boots, and sealants). This AMP provides reasonable assurance that in-scope MEBs will be maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation.

10 MEBs are electrical buses installed on electrically insulated supports that are constructed with

11 each phase conductor enclosed in a separate metal enclosure (isolated phase bus), all

12 conductors enclosed in a common metal enclosure (nonsegregated bus), or all phase

13 conductors in a common metal enclosure, but separated by metal barriers between phases

14 (segregated bus). The conductors are adequately separated and insulated from ground by

15 insulating supports or bus electrical insulation. The MEBs are used in power systems to

16 connect various elements in electric power circuits, such as switchgear, transformers, main

17 generators, and diesel generators.

18 Cable bus is a variation on MEB which is similar in construction to an MEB, but instead of

segregated or nonsegregated electrical buses, cable bus is comprised of a fully enclosed metal

20 enclosure that utilizes three-phase insulated power cables installed on insulated support blocks.

21 Cable bus may omit the top cover or use a louvered top cover and enclosure. Both the cable

bus and enclosures are not sealed against intrusion of dust, industrial pollution, moisture, rain,

23 ice and therefore may introduce debris into the internal cable bus assembly.

24 Consequently, cable bus construction and arrangements are such that it may not readily fall

25 under a specific Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL) Report AMP (e.g., GALL-SLR Report

AMP XI.E1, GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E4, or GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E6). GALL-SLR

27 Report AMP XI.E1 calls for a visual inspection of accessible insulated cables and connections

subject to an adverse localized environment which may not be applicable to cable bus due to
 inaccessibility or applicability of the aging mechanisms and effects addressed by GALL-SLR

30 Report AMP XI.E1. GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E4 includes tests and inspections of the internal

and external portions of the MEB. The MEB Internal and external inspections and tests may not

32 be entirely applicable to cable bus aging mechanisms and effects. GALL-SLR Report AMP

33 XI.E6 applies to the metallic parts of cable connections at equipment termination points. As a

result, cable bus due to its construction, constitutes a component with possible aging

35 mechanisms and effects that may not be addressed by GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E6.

36 Therefore, the GALL-SLR Report recommends cable bus aging mechanisms and effects be

37 evaluated as a plant specific further evaluation including further evaluation of a plant specific

38 AMP and any associated AMPs (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring,"

39 XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components," and

40 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring") as applicable.

41 Industry operating experience indicates that the primary failure modes of MEBs have been

42 caused by cracked electrical insulation, moisture, debris, loose connections, corrosion, or

43 excessive dust buildup internal to the bus housing. Cracked insulation has resulted from high

44 ambient temperature and contamination from bus bar joint compounds. Cracked electrical

45 insulation in the presence of moisture or debris has caused phase-to-phase or phase-to-ground

- 1 electrical paths, which has resulted in catastrophic failure of the buses. Significant ohmic
- 2 heating of bus work may result in loosening of bolted connections associated with repeated
- 3 cycling of connected loads. (Bus failure has led to loss of power to electrical loads connected to
- 4 the buses, causing subsequent reactor trips and initiating unnecessary challenges to plant
- 5 systems and operators.)
- 6 MEBs may experience increased resistance of connection due to loosening of bolted bus duct 7 connections caused by repeated thermal cycling of connected loads. This phenomenon can 8 occur in heavily loaded circuits (i.e., those exposed to appreciable ohmic heating). For
- 9 example, SAND 96-0344 identified instances of termination loosening at several plants due to
- 10 thermal cycling and NRC Information Notice (IN) 2000-14 identified torque relaxation of splice
- 11 plate connecting bolts as one potential cause of MEB failures.
- 12 This AMP includes the inspection of all bus duct and MEB bolted connections within the scope 13 of license renewal for increased resistance of connections.

- 15 Scope of Program: This AMP manages the age-related degradation effects for 1. 16 electrical bus bar bolted connections, bus bar electrical insulation, bus bar insulating 17 supports, bus enclosure assemblies (internal and external), and elastomers. This program does not manage the aging effects on external bus structural supports, which 18 are managed under GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring." 19 20 Alternatively, the aging effects on elastomers can be managed under GALL-SLR Report 21 AMP XI.M38, "Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components," and the external portions of MEB enclosure assemblies can be managed 22 23 under GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.S6, "Structures Monitoring."
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program and no actions are taken as part of this program to prevent or mitigate aging degradation.
- 26 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: This AMP provides for the inspection of the 27 internal and external portions of the MEB. Internal portions (bus enclosure assemblies) of the MEB are inspected for cracks, corrosion, foreign debris, excessive dust buildup, 28 29 and evidence of water intrusion. The bus electrical insulation material is inspected for 30 signs of reduced insulation resistance due to thermal/thermoxidative degradation of 31 organics/thermoplastics, radiation-induced oxidation, moisture/debris intrusion, or ohmic 32 heating, as indicated by embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, or swelling, indicate overheating or aging degradation. The internal bus insulating supports 33 are inspected for structural integrity and signs of cracks. Bolted connections are 34 35 inspected for increased resistance of connection (e.g., loose or corroded MEB bolted connections and hardware including cracked or split washers). Alternatively, bolted 36 37 connections covered with heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., may be visually inspected for electrical insulation material surface abnormalities. The external 38 39 portions of the MEB, including accessible gaskets, boots, and sealants, are inspected for 40 hardening and loss of strength due to elastomer degradation that could permit water or foreign debris to enter the bus. MEB external surfaces are inspected for loss of material 41 due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion. MEBs are generally accessible structures 42 43 and as such are inspected and tested in their entirety. However, depending on particular plant configurations, some segments of the MEB may be considered inaccessible due to 44 45 close proximity to other permanent structures (e.g., nearby walls, ducts, cable trays,
- equipment or other structural elements). For inaccessible MEB internal or external
 segments, the applicant demonstrates (e.g., through alternative analysis, inspection, test
 or plant operating experience) that the inaccessible MEB segments evaluation, together
 with the accessible MEB inspection and test program, will continue to maintain the MEB
 consistent with the current licensing basis during the subsequent period of extended
 operation.
- 7 **Detection of Aging Effects:** MEB internal surfaces are visually inspected for aging 4. degradation including cracks, corrosion, foreign materials debris, excessive dust buildup, 8 9 and evidence of moisture intrusion. MEB insulating material is visually inspected for 10 signs of embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, or surface contamination. Internal bus insulating supports are visually inspected for structural 11 12 integrity and signs of cracks. MEB external surfaces are visually inspected for loss of 13 material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion. Accessible elastomers (e.g., gaskets, boots, and sealants) are inspected for degradation including surface 14 15 cracking, crazing, scuffing, dimensional change (e.g., "ballooning" and "necking"), shrinkage, discoloration, hardening and loss of strength. 16
- 17 Bolted connections are inspected for increased resistance of connection by using 18 thermography or by measuring connection resistance using a micro ohmmeter. When 19 thermography is employed by the applicant, the applicant demonstrates with a documented evaluation that thermography is effective in identifying MEB increased 20 21 resistance of connection (e.g., infrared viewing windows installed, or demonstrated test 22 equipment capability). In addition to thermography or resistance measurement, bolted connections not covered with heat shrink tape or boots are visually inspected for 23 24 increased resistance of connection (e.g., loose or corroded bolted connections and 25 hardware including cracked or split washers).
- The first inspection for measuring connection resistance is completed prior to the
 subsequent period of extended operation and every 10 years thereafter. This is an
 adequate period to preclude failures of the MEBs since experience has shown that MEB
 aging degradation is a slow process.
- As an alternative to measuring connection resistance of bolted connections, for accessible bolted connections covered with heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., the applicant may use visual inspection of insulation material to detect surface anomalies, such as embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, or surface contamination. When alternative visual inspection is used to check MEB bolted connections, the first inspection is completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation and every 5 years thereafter.
- Monitoring and Trending: Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP
 because the ability to trend inspection results is limited. However, results that are
 trendable provide additional information on the rate of degradation.
- Acceptance Criteria: MEB electrical insulation materials are free from regional
 indications of surface anomalies such as embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting,
 discoloration, and swelling, or surface contamination. MEB internal surfaces show no
 indications of corrosion, cracks, foreign debris, excessive dust buildup, or evidence of
 moisture intrusion. Accessible elastomers (e.g., gaskets, boots, and sealants) show no
 indications of surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, dimensional change (e.g., "ballooning"

and "necking"), shrinkage, discoloration, hardening, and loss of strength. MEB external
 surfaces are free from loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion.

MEB bolted connections are below the maximum allowed temperature (e.g., comparison of compartment temperatures, trending of temperature over time, or comparison to a baseline thermography signature) for the application when thermography is used or a low resistance value appropriate for the application when resistance measurement is vised. Visual inspection of bolted connections not covered with heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., are free from corrosion, loose connections and hardware including cracked or split washers.

- When the visual inspection alternative for MEB bolted connections is used, the absence
 of embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, surface
 contamination of the electrical insulation material provides positive indication that the
 bolted connections are not loose.
- 14 *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 7. 15 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 16 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet 17 Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 18 19 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 20 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-21 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 22 Corrective actions are taken and an engineering evaluation is performed when the 23 acceptance criteria are not met. Corrective actions include, but are not limited, to 24 cleaning, drying, increased inspection frequency, replacement, or repair of the affected MEB components. An engineering evaluation is performed when the acceptance criteria 25 26 are not met to ensure that the MEB intended function can be maintained consistent with 27 the CLB. The engineering evaluation considers the significance of the calibration, 28 surveillance, inspection or test results; the operability of the component; the report ability of the event; the extent of the concern; the potential root causes for not meeting the 29 30 acceptance criteria; the corrective actions required; and the likelihood of recurrence. If 31 an unacceptable condition or situation is identified, (e.g., internal surface degradation including cracks, corrosion, foreign debris, excessive dust buildup, moisture intrusion, 32 33 insulating material embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, or 34 surface contamination) a determination is made as to whether the same condition or situation is applicable to MEB bolted connections not inspected or tested. Further, when 35 36 acceptance criteria are not met, a determination is made as to whether the surveillance, 37 inspection, or test, including frequency intervals, needs to be modified.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 44 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 45 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,

- associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 5 10. Operating Experience: The program is informed and enhanced when necessary
 6 through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating
 7 experience, consistent with as discussed in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

8 Industry experience has shown that failures have occurred on MEBs caused by cracked 9 electrical insulation and moisture or debris buildup internal to the MEB. Experience also 10 has shown that bus connections in the MEBs exposed to appreciable ohmic heating

11 during operation may experience loosening due to repeated cycling of connected loads.

12 **References**

- 13 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 14 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- EPRI. "Switchgear and Bus Maintenance Guide." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute, Nuclear Maintenance Application Center. December 2006.
- 17 _____. "Cable System Management." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute.
 18 2002.
- "Electrical Connector Application Guidelines." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power
 Research Institute. December 2002
- 21 _____. "Infrared Thermography Guide." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research 22 Institute. 2002.
- 23 _____. "Plant Support Engineering: License Renewal Electrical Handbook."
- 24 Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. 2001.
- IAEA. Ageing Management for Nuclear Power Plants." Safety Guide No. NS-G-2.12, IAEA.
 Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency. February 2009.
- 27 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205- 2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring and Mitigating Aging
- 28 Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear
 29 Facilities." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 2010-25, "Inadequate Electrical Connections." Washington, DC:
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 2010.
- 32 _____. NRC Information Notice 2000-14, "Non-Vital Bus Fault Leads to Fire and Loss of Offsite
 33 Power." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 2000.
- 34 _____. NRC Information Notice 98-36, "Inadequate or Poorly Controlled, Non-Safety-Related
- 35 Maintenance Activities Unnecessary Challenged Safety Systems." Washington, DC:
- 36 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 1998.

_____. NUREG/CR-5461, "Aging of Cables, Connections, and Electrical Penetration Assemblies Used in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory

- 1 2 3 Commission. July 1990.
- _____. NRC Information Notice 89-64, "Electrical Bus Bar Failures." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 1989. 4 5

1 XI.E5 FUSE HOLDERS

2 **Program Description**

3 The purpose of this aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that 4 that the intended functions of fuse holders within the scope of subsequent license renewal 5 (SLR) are maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent 6 period of extended operation. The fuse holder program was developed specifically to address 7 aging management of fuse holder insulation material and fuse holder metallic clamp aging 8 mechanisms and effects. This AMP utilizes visual inspection and testing to identify age-related 9 degradation for both fuse holder electrical insulation material and fuse holder metallic clamps. 10 Visual inspection and testing provides reasonable assurance that the applicable aging effects 11 are identified and fuse holder insulator and metallic clamp are age managed.

- Fuse holders (fuse blocks) are classified as a specialized type of terminal block because of the similarity in fuse holder design and construction to that of a terminal block. Fuse holders are typically constructed of blocks of rigid insulating material, such as phenolic resins. Metallic clamps (clips) are attached to the blocks to hold each end of the fuse. The clamps, which are typically made of copper, are either (a) spring-loaded clips which allow the fuse ferrules or
- 17 (b) blades to slip in and be held in place, bolt lugs, to which the fuse ends are bolted.
- 18 The scope of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E1, "Electrical Insulation for Electrical Cables and

19 Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements," includes

20 cable and connection electrical insulation material but not the metallic portion of cables and

21 connections. This AMP inspects both the fuse holder electrical insulation material and the

22 metallic portion of the fuse holder (metallic clamps).

Industry operating experience has shown that repetitive removal and reinsertion of fuses during
 maintenance or surveillance activities can lead to degradation of the fuse holders. Fuse holders
 where fuses are removed and replaced frequently for maintenance or surveillance activities are
 also included in this AMP to manage the aging effects of these repetitive activities.

- 27 The metallic portion of fuse holders that are within the scope of SLR and subject to aging 28 management are tested for the following aging stressors: increased resistance of connection 29 due to chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation or fatigue caused by ohmic heating, 30 thermal cycling, electrical transients, and frequent removal and insertion, or vibration. The 31 specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test and is to be a proven test for 32 detecting increased resistance of connection of fuse holder metallic clamps, such as
- 33 thermography, contact resistance testing, or other appropriate testing justified in the application.
- 34 Fuse holders within the scope of SLR are visually inspected to provide an indication of the
- 35 condition of the electrical insulation portion of the fuse holders. Fuse holders are visually
- 36 inspected for electrical insulation surface anomalies indicating signs of reduced insulation
- 37 resistance due to thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis and photolysis
- 38 [ultraviolet (UV) sensitive materials only] of organics; radiation-induced oxidation, and moisture
- 39 intrusion as indicated by signs of embrittlement, discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling or
- 40 surface contamination.
- 41 As stated in NUREG–1760, "Aging Assessment of Safety-Related Fuses Used in Low and
- 42 Medium-Voltage Applications in Nuclear Power Plants," licensees have experienced a number
- 43 of age-related failures. The major concern is that failures of a deteriorated cable system

(cables, connections including fuse holders, and penetrations) might be induced during accident
 conditions. Since they are not subject to the environmental qualification (EQ) requirements of
 10 CFR 50.49, an AMP is required to manage the aging effects. This AMP ensures that fuse
 holders, including both the insulation and metallic components will maintain the ability to
 perform their intended function for the period of extended operation.

6 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- 7 1. Scope of Program: This AMP manages the metallic portion (metallic clamps) of in-8 scope fuse holders that are susceptible to the following aging effects; increased 9 resistance of connection due to chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation or 10 fatigue caused by ohmic heating, thermal cycling, electrical transients, frequent removal 11 and replacement, or vibration. The electrical insulation portion of the fuse holder is 12 visually inspected for electrical insulation surface abnormalities indicating signs of 13 reduced insulation resistance due to thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics. radiolysis and photolysis [ultraviolet (UV) sensitive materials only] of organics; radiation-14 15 induced oxidation, and moisture intrusion as indicated by signs of embrittlement, 16 discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling or surface contamination.
- Preventive Actions: This is a condition monitoring program and no actions are taken as part of this program to prevent or mitigate aging degradation.
- 19 3. **Parameters Monitored or Inspected**: The metallic portion (metallic clamps) of the fuse 20 holder is tested to provide an indication of increased resistance of connection due to chemical contamination, corrosion, and oxidation or fatigue caused by ohmic heating, 21 22 thermal cycling, electrical transients, frequent removal and replacement or vibration. 23 The electrical insulation material portion of the fuse holder is visually inspected to identify insulation surface anomalies indicating signs of reduced insulation resistance 24 25 due to thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis and photolysis (UV sensitive materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation, and moisture 26 27 intrusion as indicated by signs of embrittlement, discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling 28 or surface contamination.
- 29 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Fuse holders within the scope of license renewal are visually inspected and tested at least once every 10 years to provide an indication of the 30 condition of the fuse holder. Testing of the fuse holder metallic portion includes 31 32 thermography, contact resistance testing, or other appropriate testing methods. Visual 33 inspection includes inspection for electrical insulation surface anomalies indicating signs 34 of reduced insulation resistance. Visual inspection and testing at least once every 10 years is an adequate period to preclude failures of the fuse holders since experience 35 has shown that aging degradation is a slow process. The first visual inspections and 36 37 tests for SLR are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.
- Monitoring and Trending: Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP
 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the
 inspection and specific type of test chosen. However, results that are trendable provide
 additional information on the rate of degradation.
- 42 6. Acceptance Criteria: The acceptance criteria for each visual inspection and test are
 43 defined by the specific type of inspection or test performed and the specific type of fuse
 44 holder tested. When thermography is used, the metallic clamp of the fuse holder needs

- 1 to be below the maximum allowed temperature for the application; otherwise, a low 2 resistance value appropriate for the application is applicable when resistance 3 measurement is used. Test acceptance criteria show that fuse holders are free from the 4 aging effects of increased resistance of connection due to chemical contamination, 5 corrosion, and oxidation or fatigue caused by ohmic heating, thermal cycling, electrical 6 transients, frequent removal and replacement, or vibration. Visual inspection 7 acceptance criteria show that fuse holders are free of electrical insulation surface 8 anomalies indicating signs of reduced insulation resistance due to 9 thermal/thermoxidative degradation of organics, radiolysis and photolysis (UV sensitive 10 materials only) of organics; radiation-induced oxidation, and moisture intrusion as indicated by signs of embrittlement, discoloration, cracking, melting, swelling or 11 12 surface contamination.
- 13 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 14 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 15 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 16 17 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 18 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-19 20 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- 21 Corrective actions, such as recalibration and circuit trouble-shooting, are implemented 22 when calibration, surveillance, cable or component inspection or test results do not meet the acceptance criteria. An engineering evaluation is performed when the acceptance 23 24 criteria are not met in order to ensure that the intended functions of the electrical cable system can be maintained consistent with the current licensing basis. Such an 25 evaluation is to consider the significance of the calibration, surveillance, or cable system 26 27 inspection or test results; the operability of the component; the reportability of the event; the extent of the concern; the potential root causes for not meeting the acceptance 28 criteria; the corrective actions required; and likelihood of recurrence. When an 29 30 unacceptable condition or situation is identified, a determination is made as to whether 31 the calibration, surveillance, inspection, or the cable system test frequency needs to be 32 modified.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 39 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 40 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 41 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 42 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 43 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 44 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

10. **Operating Experience**: The program is informed and enhanced when necessary
 through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating
 experience, consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.

4 Operating experience has shown that loosening of fuse holder metallic clamps due to 5 chemical contamination, corrosion, oxidation or fatigue caused by ohmic heating, 6 thermal cycling, electrical transients, frequent removal and replacement, vibration, and 7 electrical insulation surface (i.e., fuse blocks) abnormalities indicate signs of reduced insulation resistance are aging mechanisms which if left unmanaged, can lead to a loss 8 9 of electrical continuity function. NUREG-1760 documents fuse holder failures due to 10 fatique and recommends the review of maintenance procedures (e.g., fuse control programs) to minimize removal and reinsertion of fuses to de-energize components 11 12 (as this can lead to degradation of the fuse holder assembly).

13 **References**

- 14 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 15 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 16 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging
- 17 Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear
- 18 Facilities." New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.

NRC. NUREG–1760, "Aging Assessment of Safety-Related Fuses Used in Low- and MediumVoltage Applications in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission. May 2002.

22 _____. NRC Information Notice 91-78, "Status Indication of Control Power for Circuit Breakers
 23 Used in Safety-Related Application." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 24 November 1991.

NUREG–1760, "Aging Assessment of Safety-Related Fuses Used in Low- and MediumVoltage Applications in Nuclear Power Plants." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission. May 2002. NRC Information Notice 87-42, "Diesel Generator Fuse Contacts."
Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. September 1987.

29 _____. NRC Information Notice 86-87, "Loss of Offsite Power Upon an Automatic Bus 30 Transfer." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. October 1986.

1XI.E6ELECTRICAL CABLE CONNECTIONS NOT SUBJECT TO210 CFR 50.49 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION3REQUIREMENTS

4 **Program Description**

5 The purpose of the this aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance 6 that the intended functions of the metallic parts of electrical cable connections that are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 and susceptible to 7 8 age-related degradation resulting in increased resistance of the connection This AMP manages 9 the aging mechanisms and effects associated with the metallic portion of electrical connections 10 that result in increased resistance of connection due to thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical 11 transients, vibration, chemical contamination, corrosion, or oxidation such that the metallic 12 portions of the electrical cable connections are maintained consistent with the current licensing 13 basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation.

14 Cable connections are used to connect cable conductors to other cable conductors or electrical devices. Connections associated with cables within the scope of license renewal are part of this 15 16 AMP. Examples of connections used in nuclear power plants (NPPs) include bolted connectors, 17 coaxial/triaxial connections, compression/crimped connectors, splices butt or bolted), stress cone, and terminal block. Most connections involve insulating material and metallic parts. This 18 19 AMP focuses on the metallic parts of the electrical cable connections. This AMP provides 20 testing, on a sampling basis, to ensure that either aging of metallic cable connections is not 21 occurring and/or that the existing preventive maintenance program is effective. Testing 22 confirms the absence of age-related degradation of cable connections resulting in increased 23 resistance of connection due to thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical transients, vibration, 24 chemical contamination, corrosion, or oxidation.

25 GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E1, "Electrical Insulation Material for Electrical Cables and

Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements,"

27 manages the aging of insulating material but not the metallic parts of the electrical connections 28 and is based on a visual inspection of accessible cables and connections. However, visual

and is based on a visual inspection of accessible cables and connections. However, visual
 inspection alone may not be sufficient to detect the aging effects from thermal cycling, ohmic

- 30 heating, electrical transients, vibration, chemical contamination, corrosion, or oxidation on the
- 31 metallic parts of cable connections.

32 Electrical cable connections exposed to appreciable ohmic or ambient heating during operation may experience increased resistance of connection caused by repeated cycling of connected 33 loads or of the ambient temperature environment. Different materials used in various cable 34 35 system components can produce situations where stresses between these components change 36 with repeated thermal cycling. For example, under loaded conditions, ohmic heating may raise 37 the temperature of a compression terminal and cable conductor well above the ambient 38 temperature, thereby causing thermal expansion of both components. Thermal expansion coefficients of different materials may alter mechanical stresses between the components and 39 may adversely impact the termination. When the current is reduced, the affected components 40 41 cool and contract. Repeated cycling in this fashion can cause loosening of the termination and 42 may lead to increased resistance of connection or eventual separation of compression-type 43 terminations. Threaded connectors may also loosen if subjected to significant thermally-44 induced stress and cycling.

- 1 Cable connections within the scope of license renewal are tested at least once every 10 years
- 2 or at least once every 5 years if only visual inspection is used to provide an indication of the
- 3 integrity of the cable connections. The first visual inspections and tests for license renewal are
- 4 to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.
- 5 The specific type of test to be performed is a proven test for detecting increased resistance of 6 connection, such as thermography, contact resistance testing, or another appropriate test. As
- 7 an alternative to measurement of cable connections, for the accessible cable connections that
- 8 are covered with insulation materials such as tape, the applicant may perform visual inspection
- 9 of insulation material to detect aging effects for covered cable connections. The basis for
- 10 performing only a periodic visual inspection is documented.
- 11 This AMP, as described, is a sampling program. The following factors are considered for
- 12 sampling: voltage level (medium and low voltage), circuit loading (high loading), connection
- type and location (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.). The technical basis for the
- sample selections should be documented. If an unacceptable condition or situation is identified
- 15 in the selected sample, a determination is made as to whether the same condition or situation is
- applicable to other connections not tested. The corrective action program is used to evaluate
- 17 the condition and determine appropriate corrective action.
- 18 This AMP is not applicable to cable connections in harsh environments since they are already
- addressed by the requirements of 10 CFR 50.49. Even though cable connections may not be
- 20 exposed to harsh environments, increased resistance of connection is a concern due to the
- 21 cable connection aging mechanisms and effects discussed above.

22 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- Scope of Program: Cable connections associated with cables within the scope of license renewal that are external connections terminating at active or passive devices, are in the scope of this AMP. Wiring connections internal to an active assembly are considered part of the active assembly and, therefore, are not within the scope of this AMP. This AMP does not include high-voltage (>35 kilovolts) switchyard connections. The cable connections covered under the environmental qualification (EQ) program are not included in the scope of this program.
- 30 2. *Preventive Actions*: This is a condition monitoring program, and no actions are taken
 31 as part of this program to prevent or mitigate aging degradation.
- 32 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected: This AMP focuses on the metallic parts of the 33 connection. Periodic testing provides an indication of increased resistance of connection 34 due to thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical transients, vibration, chemical 35 contamination, corrosion, or oxidation. Representative samples of each type of electrical cable connection are tested. The following factors are considered for sampling: voltage 36 37 level (medium and low voltage), circuit loading (high load), connection type, and location 38 (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.). The technical basis for the sample 39 selection is documented.
- 4. Detection of Aging Effects: A representative sample of electrical connections within
 the scope of license renewal are tested prior to and during the SLR period of extended
 operation. Periodic testing of in scope connections manages the aging mechanisms and
 effects requiring management during the SLR period of extended operation. Testing

- 1 may include thermography, contact resistance testing, or other appropriate testing 2 methods without removing the connection insulation. Periodic testing provides additional 3 confirmation to support industry operating experience that shows that electrical 4 connections have not experienced a high degree of failures, and that existing installation 5 and maintenance practices are effective. Twenty percent of a connector type population 6 with a maximum sample of 25 constitutes a representative connector sample size. Otherwise a technical justification of the methodology and sample size used for selecting 7 8 components under test should be included as part of the applicant's AMP's 9 documentation.
- A representative sample of electrical connections within the scope of license renewal will
 be tested at least once every 10 years. The first tests for license renewal are to be
 completed prior the SLR period of extended operation.
- 13 As an alternative to measurement testing for accessible cable connections that are covered with heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., the applicant may use a 14 visual inspection of insulation materials to detect surface anomalies, such as 15 16 embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling or surface 17 contamination. When this alternative visual inspection is used to check cable 18 connections, the first inspection is completed prior to the SLR period of extended 19 operation and at least every 5 years thereafter. The basis for performing only the alternative periodic visual inspection to monitor age-related degradation of cable 20 21 connections is documented.
- 5. *Monitoring and Trending*: Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP,
 because the ability to trend visual inspection and test results is dependent on the
 specific test or visual inspection program selected. However, condition monitoring
 inspection or test results that are trendable provide additional information on the rate of
 electrical connection degradation.
- Acceptance Criteria: Cable connections should not indicate abnormal temperatures for the application when thermography is used. Alternatively, connections should exhibit a low resistance value appropriate for the application when resistance measurement is used. When the visual inspection alternative for covered cable connections is used, the absence of embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling or surface contamination indicates that the covered cable connection components are not loose.
- 33 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 34 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 35 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 36 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report 37 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to 38 39 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-40 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Corrective actions, such as sample expansion, increased inspection frequency, and
 replacement or repair of the affected cable connection components are implemented
 when calibration, surveillance, or cable system inspection or test results do not meet the
 acceptance criteria. An engineering evaluation is performed when the acceptance
 criteria are not met in order to ensure that the intended functions of the electrical cable

- system can be maintained consistent with the CLB. Such an evaluation is to consider
 the significance of the calibration, surveillance, or cable system inspection or test results;
 the operability of the component; the reportability of the event; the extent of the concern;
 the potential root causes for not meeting the acceptance criteria; the corrective actions
 required; and likelihood of recurrence. When an unacceptable condition or situation is
 identified, a determination is also made as to whether the tested sample size calibration,
 surveillance, inspection, or the cable system test frequency needs to be modified.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 20 10. *Operating Experience*: The program is informed and enhanced when necessary
 21 through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating
 22 experience, consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- 23 Electrical cable connections exposed to thermal cycling, ohmic heating, electrical 24 transients, vibration, chemical contamination, corrosion, or oxidation during operation 25 may experience increased resistance of connection. There have been limited numbers 26 of age-related failures of cable connections reported. An applicant's operating experience with connection reliability and aging effects should be adequate to 27 28 demonstrate the AMP effectiveness of GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.E6, "Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements " 29 including the program's capability to detect the presence or noting the absence of aging 30 31 effects for electrical cable connections.
- The program includes provisions for the continuous review of plant-specific and industry operating experience, including research and development results (for instance, aging prediction model development, new acceptability criteria, nondestructive test methods, etc.) such that the effectiveness of the program is evaluated and any necessary actions or modifications to the AMP are performed.

37 References

- 38 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 39 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 40 EPRI. EPRI 1003471, "Electrical Connector Application Guidelines." Palo Alto, California:
- 41 Electric Power Research Institute. December 2002.

- 1 _____. EPRI 109619, "Guideline for the Management of Adverse Localized Equipment 2 Environments." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. June 1999.
- 3 _____. EPRI 104213, "Bolted Joint Maintenance & Application Guide." Palo Alto, California:
 4 Electric Power Research Institute. December 1995.
- 5 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring and Mitigating Aging
 6 Effects on Class 1E Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations." New York,
 7 New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- Licensee Event Report 3612007005, "San Onofre Unit 2, Loose Electrical Connection Results in
 Inoperable Pump Room Cooler." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. 2009.
- 10 Licensee Event Report 3612007006, "San Onofre Units 2 and 3, Loose Electrical Connection
- 11 Results in One Train of Emergency Chilled Water (ECW) System Inoperable."
- 12 https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. 2009.
- Licensee Event Report 3612008006, "San Onofre 2, Loose Connection Bolting Results in
 Inoperable Battery and TS Violation." https://lersearch.inl.gov/LERSearchCriteria.aspx. 2009.
- 15 NEI. NEI White Paper, "GALL-SLR AMP XI.E6 (Electrical Cables)." ML062770105.
- 16 Washington, DC: Nuclear Energy Institute. September 2006.

17 _____. Staff's Response to the NEI White Paper on Generic Aging Lessons Learned (GALL)
18 Report Aging Management Program (AMP) XI.E6, "Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject to
19 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements." ML070400349. Washington DC:
20 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 2007.

- 21 NRC. NUREG/CR-5643, "Insights Gained From Aging Research." Washington, DC:
- 22 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1992.

1 XI.E7 HIGH VOLTAGE INSULATORS

2 **Program Description**

The purpose of the aging management program (AMP) is to provide reasonable assurance that the intended functions of high voltage insulators within the scope of subsequent license renewal (SLR) are maintained consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) through the subsequent period of extended operation. The high voltage insulator program was developed specifically to

7 age manage high voltage insulators susceptible to adverse localized environments.

8 The High Voltage Insulators program includes visual inspections to identify insulation and 9 metallic component degradation. Visual inspection provides reasonable assurance that the 10 applicable aging effects are identified and high voltage insulator age degradation is managed. 11 Insulation materials used in high voltage insulators may degrade more rapidly than expected in 12 an adverse environment. The component parts of the insulator are made of porcelain, 13 malleable iron, aluminum, galvanized steel, and cement. Loss of material due to mechanical 14 wear or various airborne contaminates such as dust, salt, fog, cooling tower plume, and 15 industrial effluent can contaminate the insulator surface leading to reduced insulation 16 resistance. Surface rust in metallic parts may appear where galvanizing is worn. With 17 significant airborne contamination such as salt, surface rust in metallic parts may become significant such that the insulator no longer will support the conductor. Excessive surface 18

19 contaminates or loss of material can lead to insulator flashover.

The high-voltage insulators within the scope of this program are to be visually inspected at least twice per year. For high voltage insulators that are coated, the visual inspection is performed at least once every 5 years. The first inspections for the subsequent period of extended operation are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. The high voltage insulator program provides reasonable assurance that adverse environments are identified and high voltage insulator aging effects are age managed during the subsequent period of extended operation.

27 Evaluation and Technical Basis

- Scope of Program: This AMP manages the age related degradation effects of within scope high voltage insulators susceptible to airborne contaminants including dust, salt, fog, cooling tower plume, industrial effluent or loss of material. The high voltage insulators within the scope of the subsequent period of extended operation are those credited for recovery of offsite power.
- 2. *Preventive Actions*: The High Voltage Insulators AMP is a condition monitoring
 program that relies on visual inspections and high voltage insulator coating and cleaning
 to manage high voltage insulator aging effects. High Voltage Insulator periodic visual
 inspections are performed to prevent the buildup of contaminates on the insulator
 surface. The periodic coating or cleaning of high voltage insulators limits high voltage
 insulator surface contamination and may reduce the frequency of periodic visual
 inspection and cleaning depending on plant operating experience.
- 3. *Parameters Monitored or Inspected*: The high-voltage insulators within the scope of
 this program are visually inspected at least twice per year. For high voltage insulators
 that are coated, the visual inspection is performed at least once every 5 years. This is
 an adequate period to detect aging effects before a loss of component intended function

- occurs since operating experience has shown that high voltage insulator aging
 degradation is a slow process. High Voltage Insulator surfaces are visually inspected to
 detect reduced insulation resistance aging effects including cracks, foreign debris, and
 significant salt, dust, cooling tower plume and industrial effluent contamination. Metallic
 parts of the insulator are visually inspected to detect loss of material due to mechanical
 wear or corrosion.
- 7 4. Detection of Aging Effects: Visual inspection is used to detect insulator loss of 8 material and reduced insulation resistance due to presence of insulator surface
 9 contamination. Visual inspections may be supplemented with infrared thermography
 10 inspections to detect high voltage insulator reduced insulation resistance. The first
 11 inspection for SLR is to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended
 12 operation.
- 13 5. *Monitoring and Trending*: Trending actions are not included as part of this AMP,
 14 because the ability to trend visual inspection results is limited. However, inspection
 15 results that are trendable provide additional information on the rate of insulator
 16 degradation including optimization of inspection frequencies.
- Acceptance Criteria: High voltage insulator surfaces are free of contamination such as significant salt or dust buildup or other contaminants. Metallic parts must be free of loss of materials due to pitting, crevice, and general corrosion. Acceptance criteria will be based on temperature rise above a reference temperature for the application when thermography is used. The reference temperature will be ambient temperature or a baseline temperature based on data from the same type of high voltage insulator being inspected.
- 24 7. *Corrective Actions*: Results that do not meet the acceptance criteria are addressed as 25 conditions adverse to quality or significant conditions adverse to quality under those 26 specific portions of the quality assurance (QA) program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the 27 28 Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50. Appendix B. QA program to 29 fulfill the corrective actions element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-30 31 related structures and components (SCs) within the scope of this program.
- Corrective actions are taken and an engineering evaluation is performed when the acceptance criteria are not met. Corrective actions will be based on the observed degradation. The evaluation will consider the significance of the inspection results, the extent of the concern, the potential root causes, and the corrective actions required. If an unacceptable condition is identified, a determination is made as to whether the same condition or situation is applicable to other high voltage insulators. Corrective actions will be implemented when inspection results do not meet the acceptance criteria.
- 8. Confirmation Process: The confirmation process is addressed through those specific portions of the QA program that are used to meet Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to fulfill the confirmation process element of this AMP for both safety-related and nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.

- 9. Administrative Controls: Administrative controls are addressed through the QA
 program that is used to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
 associated with managing the effects of aging. Appendix A of the GALL-SLR Report
 describes how an applicant may apply its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program to
 fulfill the administrative controls element of this AMP for both safety-related and
 nonsafety-related SCs within the scope of this program.
- 7 10. *Operating Experience*: The program is informed and enhanced when necessary
 8 through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-specific and industry operating
 9 experience, consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.
- 10 This AMP considers the technical information and guidance provided in
- 11 NUREG/CR-5643, IEEE Std. 1205-2000, SAND96-0344, EPRI 1001997, EPRI 1013475, 12 and EPRI TR-109619.

13 References

- 14 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
- 15 Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2015.
- 16 IEEE. IEEE Std. 1205-2014, "IEEE Guide for Assessing, Monitoring, and Mitigating Aging
- 17 Effects on Electrical Equipment Used in Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear
- 18 Facilities," New York, New York: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014.
- EPRI. EPRI 1013475, "Plant Support Engineering: License Renewal Electrical Handbook."
 Revision 1. Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. February 2007.
- 21 _____. EPRI 1001997, "Parameters that Influence the Aging and Degradation of Overhead 22 Conductors." Palo Alto, California: Electric Power Research Institute. December 2003.
- NRC. NRC Information Notice 93-95: "Storm-Related Loss of Offsite Power Events Due to Salt
 Buildup on Switchyard Insulators." Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 1993.
- 26 _____. NUREG/CR-5643, "Insights Gained From Aging Research." Washington, DC: 27 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. March 1992.

able XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	Immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		The program provides reasonable assurance that the intended functions of electrical cable insulating material (e.g., power, control, and instrumentation) and connection insulating material that are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49 are maintained consistent with the current licensing basis through the subsequent period of extended operation.		
	Electrical Insulation for Electrical Cables and Connections Not	The program is a cable and connection insulation material condition monitoring program that utilizes sampling. The component sampling methodology utilizes a population that includes a representative sample of in-scope electrical cable and connection types regardless of whether or not the component was included in a previous aging management or maintenance program. The technical basis for the sample selection is documented.	First inspection for license renewal	GALL VI / SRP
1	Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements	The program applies to accessible electrical cable and connection electrical insulation material within the scope of license renewal including in-scope cables and connections subjected to an adverse localized environment. Accessible in-scope electrical cable and connection electrical insulation material is visually inspected and tested for cable and connection insulation surface anomalies indicating signs of reduced electrical insulation resistance.	extended operation	o. S
		Visual Inspection and testing may include thermography and one or more proven condition monitoring test methods applicable to the cable and connection insulation material. Electrical cable and connection insulation material test results are to be within the acceptance criteria, as identified in the applicant's procedures. Visual inspection results show that accessible cable and connection insulation material are free from visual indications of surface		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		abnormalities that indicate cable or connection electrical insulation aging effects exist. When acceptance criteria are not met, a determination is made as to whether the surveillance, inspection, or tests, including frequency intervals, need to be modified. The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant- specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging		
		models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report. [The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]		
XI.E2	Electrical Insulation for Electrical Cables and Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification	The program applies to electrical cables and connections (cable system) electrical insulation material used in circuits with sensitive, high voltage, low-level current signals. Examples of these circuits include radiation monitoring and nuclear instrumentation that are subject to aging management review and subjected to adverse localized environments caused by temperature, radiation, or moisture.	First review of calibration results or findings of surveillance test results or cable tests for license renewal completed	GALL VI / SRP 3.6
	Requirements Used in Instrumentation Circuits	The program evaluates electrical insulation material for cable and connection subjected to an adverse localized environment. In addition to the evaluation and identification of adverse localized environments, either of two methods can be used to identify the existence of cable and	extended operation	

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement St	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ims for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		connection insulation material aging degradation.		
		In the first method, calibration results or findings of surveillance testing programs are evaluated to identify the existence of electrical cable and connection insulation material aging degradation.		
		In the second method, direct testing of the cable system is performed. By reviewing the results obtained during normal calibration or surveillance, an applicant may detect severe aging degradation prior to the loss of the cable and connection intended function. The review of calibration results or findings of surveillance tests is performed at least once every 10 years.		
		The test frequency of the cable system is determined by the applicant based on engineering evaluation, but the test frequency is at least once every 10 years. In cases where cables are not included as part of calibration or surveillance program testing circuit, a proven cable test shown to be effective in determining cable system electrical insulation condition as justified in the applicant's aging management program is performed. The first reviews and tests are completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.		
		The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant- specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manager	nent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.		
		[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]		
	Electrical Insulation	The program applies to inaccessible or underground (e.g., installed in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, underground vaults, or direct buried installations) medium voltage power cable (operating voltage; 2.3kV to 35kv) within the scope of license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments due primarily to significant moisture. An adverse localized environment is based on the	First tests or first	
XI.E3A	for Inaccessible Medium Voltage Power Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements	most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation. Significant moisture is considered an adverse localized environment for these in scope inaccessible cables. The cables included in this program are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49.	inspections for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VI / SRP 3.6
		Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable's insulation system.		
		Although a condition monitoring program, periodic inspections are performed to prevent inaccessible		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manager	nent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		cable from being exposed to significant moisture. These inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inspection occurs at least annually with the first inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding.		
		Both the periodic and event driven inspections include direct indication that cables are not wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures are intact. Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their operation verified. Inspections include documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping manholes and vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable submergence.		
		Test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. The first tests for subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests performed at least every 6 years thereafter. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., one or more tests may be required depending to the specific cable construction: shielded and non-shielded, and the		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement St	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	nent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		insulation material under test).		
		Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory; electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cables or testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected to the same environment and exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment. A plant specific inaccessible medium voltage test matrix is developed to document inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible medium voltage power cable types.		
		[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]		
XI.E3B	Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Instrument and Control Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements	The program applies to inaccessible or underground (e.g., installed in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, underground vaults, or direct buried installations) instrument and control cable, within the scope of license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments due primarily to significant moisture. An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation. Significant moisture is considered an adverse localized environment for these in scope inaccessible cables. The cables included in this	First tests or first inspections for subsequent cense renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managen	nent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		program are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49.		
		Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse effect on operability, or potentially lead to failure of the cable's insulation system.		
		In scope inaccessible instrument and control cables submarine or other cables designed for continuous wetting or submergence are also included in this program as a onetime inspection with additional test and inspection frequencies determined by the onetime test, inspection results, and plant specific operating history		
		Although a condition monitoring program, periodic inspections are performed to prevent inaccessible cable from being exposed to significant moisture. These inspections are performed periodically based on water accumulation over time. The periodic inconsists of the formed be		
		inspection occurs at reast annually with the first inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain,		
		thawing of ice and snow, or flooding. Both the periodic and event driven inspections include direct indication that cables are not wetted or submerged, and that cable/splices and cable support structures		
		are intact, Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their operation verified. Inspections include		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managen	nent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping manholes and vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable submergence. Test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. The first tests for subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests performed at least every 6 years thereafter. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting deterioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., one or more tests may be required depending to the specific cable construction: shielded and non-shielded, and the insulation material under test). Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory; electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and control cables, a sample test methodology may be employed. A plant specific inaccessible instrument and control cables voltage test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the same or bounding inservice environment. For a large instrument and control cables voltage test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible instrument and control cables, and instrument and control cables, and sumple test methods, and acceptance criteria		

ms for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References	GALL VI / SRP 3.6
nent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*	First tests or first inspections for license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	The program applies to inaccessible or underground (e.g., installed in buried conduits, cable trenches, cable troughs, duct banks, underground vaults, or direct buried installations) low voltage power cable (operating voltage; 1000v – but less than 2kV) within the scope of license renewal exposed to adverse localized environments due primarily to significant moisture. An adverse localized environment is based on the most limiting environment (e.g., temperature, radiation, or moisture) for the cable electrical insulation. Significant moisture is considered an adverse localized environment for these in scope inaccessible cables. The cables included in this program are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of 10 CFR 50.49. Electrical insulation subjected to an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse localized environment could increase the rate of aging of a component and therefore have an adverse localized environment and therefore have an adverse localized environment could in this program. In scope included within the scope of this program. In scope included in this program as a onetime inspection with additional test and inspection results, and plant specific operating history Although a condition monitoring program, periodic inspections are performed to prevent inaccessible cable from being exposed to significant moisture. These inspections are performed periodically based on water
FSAR Supplement St	GALL-SLR Program	Electrical Insulation for Inaccessible Low Voltage Power Cables Not Subject To 10 CFR 50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements
Table XI-01.	AMP	XI.E3C

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manageme	ent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		accumulation over time. The periodic inspection occurs at least annually with the first inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections are performed after event driven occurrences, such as heavy rain, thawing of ice and snow, or flooding. Both the periodic and event driven inspections include direct indication that cable/splices and cable support structures are intact, Dewatering systems (e.g., sump pumps and drains) and associated alarms are inspected and their operation verified. Inspections include documentation that either automatic or passive drainage systems, or manually pumping manholes and vaults is effective in preventing inaccessible cable submergence. Test frequencies are adjusted based on test results (including trending of degradation where applicable) and plant specific operating experience. The first tests for subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation with tests performed at least every 6 years thereafter. The specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test, and is to be a proven test for detecting detenioration of the cable insulation system (e.g., one or more tests may be required depending to the specific cable construction: shielded and non-shielded, and the insulation material under test).		
		Tests may include combinations of situ or laboratory, electrical, physical, or chemical testing. Testing may include inspection and testing of cables or testing of coupons or abandoned or removed cables subjected to the same environment and exposed to the same or bounding inservice environment. For a large installed number of		

ems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References		GALL VI / SRP 3.6
ent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*		First inspection for subsequent license renewal completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	inaccessible low voltage power cables, a sample test methodology may be employed. A plant specific inaccessible low voltage test matrix is developed to document inspections, test methods, and acceptance criteria applicable to the applicant's in-scope inaccessible low voltage power cable types.	The program requires the visual inspection of metal enclosed bus (MEB) internal surfaces to detect age- related degradation, including cracks, corrosion, foreign debris, excessive dust buildup, and evidence of moisture intrusion. MEB insulating material is visually inspected for signs of embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, swelling, discoloration, or surface contamination, which may indicate overheating supports are visually inspected for structural insulating supports are visually inspected for structural integrity and signs of cracks. MEB external surfaces are visually inspected for loss of material due to general, pitting, and crevice corrosion. Accessible elastomers (e.g., gaskets, boots, and sealants) are inspected for degradation, including surface cracking, crazing, scuffing, and changes in dimensions (e.g., "ballooning" and "necking"), shrinkage, discoloration, hardening and loss of strength. Bolted connection by using thermography or by measuring connection resistance using a micro-ohmmeter. When thermography is employed by the applicant, the applicant demonstrates with a documented evaluation that thermography is effective in identifying MEB increased resistance of connection (e.g., infrared viewing windows installed, or demonstrated test equipment capability).
FSAR Supplement S	GALL-SLR Program		Metal Enclosed Bus
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.E4

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement St	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manageme	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		The first inspection using thermography or measuring connection resistance is completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation and at least every 10 years thereafter.		
		As an alternative to thermography or measuring connection resistance of accessible bolted connections covered with heat shrink tape, sleeving, insulating boots, etc., the applicant may use visual inspection of the electrical insulation to detect surface anomalies, such as		
		embrittlement, cracking, chipping, melting, discoloration, swelling, or surface contamination. When alternative visual inspection is used to check MEB bolted connections, the first inspection is completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation and every 5 years thereafter.		
		Cable bus is a variation on MEB with similar in construction to an MEB, but instead of segregated or non-segregated electrical buses, cable bus is comprised of a fully enclosed metal enclosure that utilizes three-phase insulated power cables installed on insulated support blocks. Cable bus may omit the top cover or use a louvered top cover and		
		enclosure. Both cable bus enclosures are not sealed against the intrusion of dust, industrial pollution, moisture, rain, or ice and therefore may be allow debris into the internal cable bus assembly. Cable bus construction and arrangement are such that it does not readily fall under a specific GALL Report AMP (e.g., GALL-SLR Report AMP		
		Xi.E4 or GALL-SLRT Report AMP XI.E1). Therefore, cable bus is evaluated as a plant specific aging management program with a plant specific further evaluation.		
		The program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant-		

SAR Supplement Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Ag	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Ag	ing Manageme	nt of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR Applicable GALL-SLR
ALL-SLR Program Description of Program	Description of Progran		Implementation Schedule*	Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
specific and industry operating experienc research and development (e.g., test me models, acceptance criterion) such that t the AMP is evaluated consistent with the Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.	specific and industry operating experienc research and development (e.g., test mel models, acceptance criterion) such that t the AMP is evaluated consistent with the Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.	e including thods, aging he effectiveness of discussion in		
[The FSAR Summary description also in specific discussion of applicable commit conditions, enhancements, or exception applicants aging management program]	[The FSAR Summary description also in specific discussion of applicable commit conditions, enhancements, or exception applicants aging management program]	cludes a plant ments, license s applied to the		
The program was developed to specifica management of fuse holder insulation me holder metallic clamp aging mechanisms scope fuse holders located inside an acti switchgear, power supplies, power invert battery chargers) and subject to fatigue c fuse removal and replacement (e.g., surv testing, and calibration) are also within th AMP.	The program was developed to specifica management of fuse holder insulation me holder metallic clamp aging mechanisms scope fuse holders located inside an acti switchgear, power supplies, power invert battery chargers) and subject to fatigue c fuse removal and replacement (e.g., surv testing, and calibration) are also within th AMP.	Ily address aging aterial and fuse and effects. In ve device (e.g., ers, control boards, aused by frequent eillance, functional e scope of this	irst tests for ubsequent license	
The scope of GALL-SLR Report AMP X Insulation for Electrical Cables and Cor Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmenta Requirements," includes cable and con insulation material but not the metallic connections. This AMP inspects both th electrical insulation material and the me fuse holder (metallic clamps).	The scope of GALL-SLR Report AMP X Insulation for Electrical Cables and Con Subject to 10 CFR 50.49 Environmenta Requirements," includes cable and con insulation material but not the metallic connections. This AMP inspects both th electrical insulation material and the me fuse holder (metallic clamps).	(1.E1, "Electrical Inections Not I Qualification nection electrical ortion of cables and ne fuse holder stallic portion of the	enewal completed rior to the ubsequent period of xtended operation	GALL VI / SRP 3.6
The program utilizes visual inspection a age-related degradation for both fuse h insulation material and fuse holder met	The program utilizes visual inspection a age-related degradation for both fuse h insulation material and fuse holder met	and testing to identify older electrical allic clamps. The		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement St	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		specific type of test performed is determined prior to the initial test and is to be a proven test for detecting increased resistance of connection of fuse holder metallic clamps, or other appropriate testing justified in the applicant's aging management program.		
		Fuse holders within the scope of license renewal are visually inspected and tested at least once every 10 years to provide an indication of the condition of the fuse holder. The first visual inspections and tests for license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.		
		When acceptance criteria are not met, a determination is made as to whether the inspections, or tests, including frequency intervals, need to be modified.		
		This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant- specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.		
		[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]		
XI.E6	Electrical Cable Connections Not Subject to 10 CFR	The program provides reasonable assurance that the metallic parts of electrical cable connections that are not subject to the environmental qualification requirements of	First tests for subsequent license renewal completed	GALL VI / SRP 3.6

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
	50.49 Environmental Qualification Requirements	10 CFR 50.49 and susceptible to age-related degradation resulting in increased resistance of the connection are adequately managed. External cable connections associated with in-scope cables that terminate at active or passive devices are in the scope of this AMP. Wiring connections internal to an active assembly are considered part of the active assembly and, therefore, are not within the scope of this AMP.	prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	
		The cable connections covered under the Environmental Qualification (EQ) program are not included in the scope of this program. This AMP does not include high-voltage (>35 kilovolts) switchyard connections.		
		This program is a sampling program. The following factors are considered for sampling: voltage level (medium and low voltage), circuit loading (high loading), connection type, and location (high temperature, high humidity, vibration, etc.). Twenty percent of a connector type population with a maximum sample of 25 constitutes a representative connector sample size. Otherwise a technical justification of the methodology and sample size used for selecting components under test should be included as part of the applicant's AMP documentation. The specific type of test to be performed is a proven test for detecting increased resistance of connection.		
		As an alternative to thermography or resistance measurement of cable connections for the accessible cable connections that are covered with electrical insulation materials such as tape, the applicant may perform visual inspection of the electrical insulation material to detect aging effects for covered cable connections. The basis for		

tems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References		GALL VI / SRP 3.6
nent of Applicable Syst	Implementation Schedule*		New AMP
Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manage	Description of Program	performing only a periodic visual inspection is documented. A representative sample of electrical connections within the scope of license renewal will be tested at least once every 10 years or at least once every 5 years if only visual inspection is used to provide an indication of the connection integrity. The first visual inspections and tests for license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent license renewal are to be completed prior to the subsequent license renewal period of extended operation. This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant- specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report. [The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]	The program was developed specifically to address aging management of high voltage insulator aging mechanisms and effects. This AMP manages the age related degradation effects of within scope high voltage insulators susceptible to airborne contaminates including dust, salt, fog, cooling tower plume, industrial effluent or loss of material. The high voltage insulators within the scope of the subsequent period of extended operation are those credited for recovery of offsite power.
FSAR Supplement Si	GALL-SLR Program		High Voltage Insulators New AMP
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.E7

XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	Immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manageme	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		This program includes visual inspections to identify insulation and metallic component degradation. High voltage insulator surfaces are visually inspected to detect reduced insulation resistance aging effects including cracks, foreign debris, and excessive salt, dust, cooling tower plume and industrial effluent contamination. Metallic parts of the insulator are visually inspected to detect loss of material due to mechanical wear or corrosion.		
		The high-voltage insulators within the scope of this program are to be visually inspected at least twice per year. For high voltage insulators that are coated, the visual inspection is performed at least once every 5 years.		
		The first inspections for the subsequent period of extended operation are to be completed prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.		
		This program is informed and enhanced when necessary through the systematic and ongoing review of both plant- specific and industry operating experience including research and development (e.g., test methods, aging models, acceptance criterion) such that the effectiveness of the AMP is evaluated consistent with the discussion in Appendix B of the GALL-SLR Report.		
		[The FSAR Summary description also includes a plant specific discussion of applicable commitments, license conditions, enhancements, or exceptions applied to the applicants aging management program]		
	ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection,	The program consists of periodic volumetric, surface, and/or visual examination of American Society of Mechanical	SLR program is implemented prior to	GALL IV / SRP

ims for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References	3.1 GALL VII / SRP 3.3	GALL IV / SRP 3.1	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
nent of Applicable Syster	Implementation Schedule*	the subsequent period of extended operation	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manage	Description of Program	Engineers (ASME) Class 1, 2, and 3 pressure-retaining components, including welds, pump casings, valve bodies, integral attachments, and pressure-retaining bolting for assessment, signs of degradation, and corrective actions. This program is in accordance with the ASME Code Section XI edition and addenda approved in accordance with provisions of 10 CFR 50.55a during the period of extended operation.	This program mitigates aging effects of loss of material due to corrosion, cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (SCC), and related mechanisms, and reduction of heat transfer due to fouling in components exposed to a treated water environment. Chemistry programs are used to control water chemistry for impurities (e.g., chloride, fluoride, and sulfate) that accelerate corrosion. This program relies on monitoring and control of water chemistry to keep peak levels of various contaminants below the system-specific limits, based on Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) guidelines (a) BWRVIP-190 (EPRI 1016579, BWR Water Chemistry Guidelines – 2008 Revision) for BWRs or (b) EPRI 1014986 (PWR Primary Water Chemistry – Revision 6) and EPRI 1016555 (PWR Secondary Water Chemistry – Revision 7) for pressurized water reactors (PWRs).	The program includes (a) in-service inspection (ISI) in conformance with the requirements of the ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB, Table IWB-2500-1, and (b) preventive measures to mitigate cracking. The program also relies on recommendations to address reactor head stud bolting degradation as delineated in NRC Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.65, Revision 1.
FSAR Supplement Si	GALL-SLR Program	Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD	Water Chemistry	Reactor Head Closure Stud Bolting
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.M2	XI.M3
Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	tent of Applicable Syste	ims for SLR
--------------	-----------------------------------	--	--	--
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
XI.M4	BWR Vessel ID Attachment Welds	The program is a condition monitoring program that manages cracking in the reactor vessel inside diameter attachment welds. This program relies on visual examinations to detect cracking. The examination scope, frequencies, and methods are in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Table-IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-N-2, and BWRVIP-48-A, "Vessel ID Attachment Weld Inspection and Flaw Evaluation Guidelines," dated November 2004. The scope of the examinations is expanded when flaws are detected. Any indications are evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, or the guidance in BWRVIP 48-A. Crack growth evaluations follow the guidance in BWRVIP-14-A, "Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Stainless Steel RPV Internals, dated September 2008; BWRVIP-59-A, "Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Nickel-Base Austenitic Alloys in RPV Internals," dated May 2007; or BWRVIP-60-A, "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Evaluation of Crack Growth in BWR Low Alloy Steel RPV Internals," dated June 2003; as appropriate. The acceptance criteria are in BWRVIP-48-A and ASME Section XI, Subsubarticle IWB-3520. Repair and replacement activities are conducted in accordance with BWRVIP-52-A, "Shroud Support and Vessel Bracket Repair Design Criteria," dated September 2005.	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M5	BWR Feedwater Nozzle	Description for plants that do not have single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers: This program is a condition monitoring program that manages the effects of cracking in the reactor vessel feedwater nozzles. This program implements the guidance in GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1, "Alternate BWR	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manageme	ent of Applicable Syste	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		Feedwater Nozzle Inspection Requirements," dated May 2000. Cracking is detected through ultrasonic examinations of critical regions of the BWR feedwater nozzle, as depicted in Zones 1, 2, and 3 on ["Figure 4-1," if the nozzle is clad, or "Figure 4-2," if the nozzle is un-clad] of GE NE 523 A71-0594-A, Revision 1. The ultrasonic examination procedures, equipment, and personnel are qualified by performance demonstration in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII. The examination frequency for all three zones is once every 10-year ASME Code, Section XI, in-service inspection interval. Examination results are evaluated in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB-3130.		
		Description for plants that have single sleeve interference fit feedwater spargers: This program is a condition monitoring program that manages the effects of cracking in the reactor vessel feedwater nozzles. This program implements the guidance in GE-NE-523-A71-0594-A, Revision 1, "Alternate BWR Feedwater Nozzle Inspection Requirements," dated May 2000. Cracking is detected through ultrasonic examinations of critical regions of the BWR feedwater nozzle, as depicted in Zones 1, 2, and 3 on ["Figure 4-1," if the nozzle is clad, or "Figure 4-2," if the nozzle is un-clad] of GE NE 523 A71-0594-A, Revision 1.		
		The ultrasonic examination procedures, equipment, and personnel are qualified by performance demonstration in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII. The examination frequency for Zones 1 and 2 is once every [X] years, and the examination frequency for Zone 3 is once every [Y] years. Examination results are evaluated		

ems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References		GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VI / SRP 3.3	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
nent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*		SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	in accordance with ASME Code, Section XI, Subsection IWB-3130.	The program manages cracking due to intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) for all BWR piping and piping welds made of austenitic stainless steel and nickel alloy that are 4 inches or larger in nominal diameter containing reactor coolant at a temperature above 93 °C (200 °F) during power operation, regardless of code classification. The program performs volumetric examinations to detect and manage IGSCC in accordance with NRC Generic Letter (GL) 88-01. Modifications to the extent and schedule of inspection in GL 88-01 are allowed in accordance with the inspection guidance in staff-approved BWRVIP-75-A. This program relies on the staff-approved positions that are described in NUREG-0313, Revision 2, and GL 88-01 and its Supplement 1 regarding selection of IGSCC-resistant materials, solution heat treatment and stress improvement processes, water chemistry, weld overlay reinforcement, partial replacement, clamping devices, crack characterization and repair criteria, inspection methods and personnel, inspection schedules, sample expansion, leakage detection, and reporting requirements.	The program includes BWR instrumentation penetrations, control rod drive (CRD) housing and incore-monitoring housing (ICMH) penetrations, and standby liquid control nozzles/Core ΔP nozzles. The program manages cracking due to cyclic loading or stress corrosion cracking by performing inspection and flaw evaluation in accordance
FSAR Supplement Su	GALL-SLR Program		BWR Stress Corrosion Cracking	BWR Penetrations
Table XI-01.	AMP		ZM.IX	XI.M8

ems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References		GALL IV / SRP 3.1
ent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*		SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	with the guidelines of staff-approved BWRVIP-49-A, BWRVIP-47-A and BWRVIP-27-A and the requirements in the ASME Code, Section XI. The examination categories include volumetric examination methods (ultrasonic testing or radiography testing), surface examination methods (liquid penetrant testing or magnetic particle testing), and visual examination methods.	The program includes inspections and flaw evaluations in conformance with the guidelines of applicable staff- approved BWRVIP documents, and to ensure the long-term integrity and safe operation of BWR vessel internal components that are fabricated of nickel alloy and stainless steel (including martensitic stainless steel, cast stainless steel including martensitic stainless steel including martensitic stainless steel including martensitic stainless steel (including martensitic stainless steel (including martensitic stainless steel (including martensitic stainless steel (including martensitic stainless steel). The program manages the effects of cracking due to stress steel and associated welds). The program manages the effects of cracking due to stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC), or irradiation-assisted stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC), or irradiation stress and loss of fracture toughness on component integrity is indirectly managed by using visual or volumetric examination techniques to monitor for cracking in the components. This program also manages loss of preload for core plate rim holddown bolts and iet pump assembly
FSAR Supplement S	GALL-SLR Program		BWR Vessel Internals
Table XI-01.	AMP		6M.IX

ems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References				GALL IV / SRP 3.1	GALL V / SRP 3.2	GALL VI / SRP 3.6	GALL VII / SRP 3.3	GALL VIII / SRP 3.4	GALL III / SRP 3.5
ent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*						SLR program is implemented prior to	the subsequent period of extended operation		
Immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	holddown beam bolts by performing visual inspections or stress analyses to ensure adequate structural integrity.	This program performs evaluations to determine whether supplemental inspections in addition to the existing BWRVIP examination guidelines are necessary to adequately manage loss of fracture toughness due to thermal or neutron embrittlement and cracking due to IASCC for the subsequent period of extended operation. If the evaluations determine that supplemental inspections are necessary for certain components based on neutron fluence, cracking susceptibility and fracture toughness, the program conducts the sublemental inspections for	adequate aging management.	This program relies, in part, on the response to NRC Generic Letter 88-05, "Boric Acid Corrosion of Carbon Steel	te actor Pressure Boundary Components in PWK Plants, to identify, evaluate, and correct borated water leaks that could cause corrosion damage to reactor coolant pressure	inspections, evaluations, and corrective actions for all components subject to aging management review that may	be auversery anected by some form of borated water leakage.	This program includes provisions to initiate evaluations and assessments when leakage is discovered by activities not associated with the program. This program follows the	Burdance described in Section 7 of WCAF - 13900-14F, Revision 2, "Generic Guidance for an Effective Boric Inspection Program for Pressurized Water Reactors."
FSAR Supplement Si	GALL-SLR Program							Boric Acid Corrosion		
Table XI-01.	AMP							XI.M10		

ims for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References	GALL IV / SRP 3.1				
nent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation				
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	This program addresses operating experience of degradation due to primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) of components or welds constructed from certain nickel alloys (e.g., Alloy 600/82/182) and exposed to pressurized water reactor primary coolant at elevated temperature. The scope of this program includes the following groups of components and materials: (a) all nickel alloy components and welds which are identified in EPRI MRP-126; (b) nickel alloy components and welds which are identified in ASME Code Cases N-770, N-729 and N-722, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR 50.55a; and (c) components that are susceptible to corrosion by boric acid and may be impacted by leakage of boric acid from nearby or adjacent nickel alloy components previously described. This program is used in conjunction with GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry" because water chemistry can affect the cracking of nickel alloys. The completeness of the plant's EPRI MRP-126 program is also verified prior to entering the subsequent period of extended operation.				
FSAR Supplement S GALL-SLR Program GALL-SLR Program Cracking of Nickel- Alloy Components and Loss of Material due to Boric Acid- Induced Corrosion in Reactor Coolant Pressure Boundary Components (PWRS only)						
Table XI-01.	AMP	XI.M11B				

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ims for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		accordance with EPRI MRP-126.		
		This program also performs an inspection of bottom- mounted instrumentation (BMI) nozzles of reactor pressure vessels using a qualified volumetric examination method. The inspection is conducted on all BMI nozzles prior to the subsequent period of extended operation to ensure adequate management of cracking due to PWSCC. If this inspection indicates the occurrence of PWSCC, periodic volumetric inspections are performed on these nozzles and adequate inspection periodicity is established. Alternatively, plant-proposed and staff-approved mitigation methods may be used to manage the aging effect for these components.		
XI.M12	Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS)	The program consists of the determination of the susceptibility potential significance of loss of fracture toughness due to thermal aging embrittlement of CASS piping, piping, piping components, and piping elements in both the BWR and PWR reactor coolant pressure boundaries emergency core cooling system (ECCS) systems, including interfacing pipe lines to the chemical and volume control system and to the spent fuel pool; and in BWR ECCS systems, including interfacing pipe lines to the chemical and volume control system in regard to the drywell and suppression chamber spray system in regard to thermal aging embrittlement based on the casting method, molybdenum content, and ferrite percentage. For potentially susceptible piping, piping components, and piping elements, aging management is accomplished either through enhanced volumetric examination, enhanced visual examination, or a component-specific flaw tolerance evaluation.	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2
XI.M17	Flow-Accelerated	The program is based on the response to NRC Generic Letter	SLR program is	GALL IV / SRP

ems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References	3.1 GALL V / SRP GALL VII / SRP 3.3 3.4	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3
ent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*	implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	89-08, "Erosion/Corrosion-Induced Pipe Wall Thinning," and relies on implementation of the Electric Power Research Institute guidelines in the Nuclear Safety Analysis Center 202L [(as applicable) Revision 2, 3, or 4], "Recommendations for an Effective Flow Accelerated Corrosion Program." The program includes the use of predictive analytical software [(as applicable) This program also manages wall thinning caused by mechanisms other than FAC, in situations where periodic monitoring is used in lieu of eliminating the cause of various erosion mechanisms.] This program includes (a) identifying all susceptible piping systems and components; (b) developing FAC predictive models to reflect component geometries, materials, and operating parameters; (c) performing analyses of FAC models and, with consideration of operating experience, selecting a sample of components for inspection sidentifies, or replacements; (e) evaluating inspection sidentifies of incorporating inspection simple expansion, repairs, or replacements; end to schedule future inspections; and (f) incorporating inspection data to refine FAC models.	This program focuses on closure bolting for pressure- retaining components and relies on recommendations for a comprehensive bolting integrity program, as delineated in NUREG-1339, and industry recommendations, as delineated in EPRI NP-5769, with the exceptions noted in NUREG-1339 for safety-related bolting. The program also relies on industry recommendations for comprehensive bolting maintenance, as delineated in the EPRI TR-104213, 1015336 and 1015337.
FSAR Supplement St	GALL-SLR Program	Corrosion (FAC)	Bolting Integrity
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.M18

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement St	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ims for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		The program generally includes periodic inspection of closure bolting for indications of loss of preload, cracking, and loss of material due to corrosion, rust, etc. The program also includes preventive measures to preclude or minimize loss of preload and cracking. A related aging management program (AMP) XI.M1, "ASME Section XI Inservice Inspection (ISI) Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," includes inspection (ISI) Subsections IWB, IWC, and IWD," includes inspection of safety-related and nonsafety-related closure bolting and supplements this bolting integrity program. Other related programs, AMPs XI.S1, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"; XI.S3, "ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE"; XI.S3, "Inspection of Water-Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plant"; and XI.M23, "Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems," manage the inspection of safety-related and nonsafety related structural bolting.		GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
XI.M19	Steam Generators	This program consists of aging management activities for the steam generator tubes, plugs, sleeves, and secondary side components. This program is governed by plant technical specifications, commitments to NEI 97-06, Revision 3, and the associated EPRI guidelines. The program also includes foreign material exclusion as a means to inhibit wear degradation, and secondary side maintenance activities, such as sludge lancing, for removing deposits that may contribute to component degradation. The program performs volumetric examination on steam generator tubes in accordance with the requirements in the technical specifications to detect aging effects, if they should occur. The technical specifications require condition monitoring and operational assessments to be performed to ensure that the tube integrity will be maintained until the	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1

FSAR Supplement Summaries for GALL-SLI	ummaries for GALL-SLI	र Report Chapter XI Aging Managen	ent of Applicable Syste	ms for SLR
GALL-SLR Program		Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP SLR Chapter References
next inspe assessme specificat Revision (steam gei guidance	next inspe assessme specificat Revision (steam gei guidance	ection. Condition monitoring and operational ents are done in accordance with the technical ion requirements and guidance in NEI 97-06, 3. The program also includes inspections of nerator components in accordance with the in NEI 97-06, Revision 3.		
The progr to NRC G Problems applicable open-cycl surveillan transfer of maintenar	The progr to NRC G Problems applicable open-cycl surveillan transfer of	am relies, in part, on implementing the response eneric Letter 89-13, "Service Water System Affecting Safety-Related Equipment," [(if :) and includes nonsafety-related portions of the e cooling water system]. The program includes (a) ce and control of biofouling, (b) tests to verify heat heat exchangers, (c) routine inspection and note to ensure that corrosion. erosion. protective	SLR program is implemented prior to	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2
Upen-Cycle Cooling coating fail Water System performanc water syste guidance ir experience	coating fail performanc water syste guidance ir experience	ure, fouling, and biofouling cannot degrade the ce of systems serviced by the open-cycle cooling em. This program includes enhancements to the n NRC GL 89-13 that address operating to ensure aging effects are adequately	the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
This is a m monitoring mitigation a	This is a m monitoring mitigation a	itigation program that also includes a condition program to verify the effectiveness of the activities. The program consists of (a) water	Program should be	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
Closed Treated treatment, ii Water Systems effects of co	treatment, ii modify the c effects of co	ncluding the use of corrosion inhibitors, to chemical composition of the water such that the prrosion are minimized: (b) chemical testing of	implemented prior to subsequent period of extended operation	GALL V / SRP 3.2
the water to maintains t and (c) insp	the water to maintains t and (c) insp	be ensure that the water treatment program be water chemistry within acceptable guidelines; bections to determine the presence or extent of		GALL VII / SRP 3.3

FSAR Supplement Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging M	Immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging M	anagem	int of Applicable Syste	ms for SLR Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-
GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program		Implementation Schedule*	Report and SKr SLR Chapter References
degradation. The program uses ((as applica 1007820, Closed Cooling Water Chemistry (corrosion coupon testing and microbiologica	degradation. The program uses ((as applica 1007820, Closed Cooling Water Chemistry (corrosion coupon testing and microbiologica	tble) e.g., EPRI Guideline, and I testing).		GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
The program consists of (a) neutron attenue ("blackness testing") to determine gap form (b) sampling for the presence of silica in the along with boron loss, and (c) monitoring ar criticality to assure that the required 5% sub margin is maintained. This program is imple response to NRC GL 96-04.	The program consists of (a) neutron attenua ("blackness testing") to determine gap form (b) sampling for the presence of silica in the along with boron loss, and (c) monitoring ar criticality to assure that the required 5% sub margin is maintained. This program is imple response to NRC GL 96-04.	ation testing ation, s spent fuel pool id analysis of -criticality mented in	SLR program is mplemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
The program evaluates the effectiveness of monitoring activities for cranes and hoists. includes periodic visual inspections to dete- includes periodic visual inspections to dete- includes periodic visual inspections to dete- bridge, rail, and trolley structural componen Dverhead Heavy Dverhead Heavy Preload on bolted connections. Volumetric examinations confirm the absence of cracki examinations confirm the absence of cracki strength bolts. This program relies on the g NUREG-0612, ASME B30.2, and other app standards in the ASME B30.2, and other app standards in the ASME B30.2, and other app standards in the maintenance rule requirem 10 CFR 50.65.	The program evaluates the effectiveness of monitoring activities for cranes and hoists. includes periodic visual inspections to dete- bridge, rail, and trolley structural componen preload on bolted connections. Volumetric examinations confirm the absence of cracki strength bolts. This program relies on the g NUREG-0612, ASME B30.2, and other app standards in the ASME B30.2, and other app standards in the ASME B30.2 series. These of comply with the maintenance rule requirem 10 CFR 50.65.	f maintenance The program ct degradation of tts and loss of or surface ing in high juidance in ropriate cranes must also ents provided in	SLR program is mplemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
The program consists of monitoring moistur corrosion, and performance of the compres including (a) preventive monitoring of water including (a) preventive monitoring of water including (a) preventive monitoring of water other contaminants to keep within the speci other contaminants to components for indications material due to corrosion. This program is i NRC GL 88-14 and INPO's Significant Ope Experience Report (SOER) 88-01. It also re guidance from the American Society of Mec	The program consists of monitoring moistur corrosion, and performance of the compres including (a) preventive monitoring of water other contaminants to keep within the speci (b) inspection of components for indications material due to corrosion. This program is i NRC GL 88-14 and INPO's Significant Ope Experience Report (SOER) 88-01. It also re guidance from the American Society of Mec	e content and sed air system, (moisture), and fifed limits and s of loss of n response to rating clies on the chanical	SLR program is mplemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3

stems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References		GALL VII, SRP	d 3.3 3.3	mt 3.3 s
nent of Applicable Sy	Implementation Schedule*		SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent perio of extended operatior	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent perio of extended operation	Program is implemented 5 years before the subsequer period of extended operation. Inspection
ient Summaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Mana	Description of Program	Engineers (ASME) operations and maintenance standards and guides (ASME OM-S/G-2012, Division 2, Part 28) and American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/ISA-S7.0.1- 1996, and EPRI TR-10847 for testing and monitoring air quality and moisture. Additionally, periodic visual inspections of component internal surfaces are performed for signs of loss of material due to corrosion.	This program includes ISI and monitoring and control of reactor coolant water chemistry. Related to the inspection guidelines for the reactor water cleanup system (RWCU) inspections of RWCU piping welds that are located outboard of the second containment isolation valve, the program includes measures delineated in per the guidelines of NUREG-0313, Revision 2, and NRC GL 88-01, GL 88-01 Supplement 1, and any applicable NRC-approved alternatives to these guidelines and ISI in conformance with the ASME Section XI.	This program includes fire barrier inspections. The fire barrier inspection program requires periodic visual inspection of fire barrier penetration seals, fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors, fire damper housings, and periodic visual inspection and functional tests of fire-rated doors to ensure that their operability is maintained. The program also includes periodic inspection and testing of halon/carbon dioxide fire suppression systems.	This program is a condition monitoring program that manages aging effects associated with water-based fire protection system components. This program manages loss of material, fouling, and flow blockage because of fouling by conducting periodic visual inspections, tests, and
FSAR Supplement Si	GALL-SLR Program		BWR Reactor Water Cleanup System	Fire Protection	Fire Water System
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.M25	XI.M26	XI.M27

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	nent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		flushes performed in accordance with the 2011 Edition of NFPA 25. Testing or replacement of sprinklers that have been in place for 50 years is performed in accordance with NFPA 25. In addition to NFPA codes and standards, portions of the water-based fire protection system that are: (a) normally dry but periodically subjected to flow and (b) cannot be drained or allow water to collect are subjected to augmented testing beyond that specified in NFPA 25, including: (a) periodic system full flow tests at the design piping volumetric wall-thickness examinations. The water-based fire protection system is normally maintained at required operating pressure and is monitored such that loss of system pressure and is monitored and corrective actions initiated. Piping wall thickness measurements are conducted when visual inspections detect surface irregularities indicative of unexpected levels of degradation. When the presence of sufficient organic or inorganic material sufficient to obstruct piping or sprinklers is detected, the material is removed and the source is detected and corrected. Non-code inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adequate examination.	of wetted normally dry piping segments that cannot be drained or that allow water to collect begin 5 years before the subsequent period of extended operation during the subsequent period of extended operation	
XI.M29	Aboveground Metallic Tanks	This program is a condition monitoring program that manages aging effects associated with outdoor tanks sited on soil or concrete and indoor large-volume tanks containing water designed with internal pressures approximating atmospheric pressure that are sited on concrete or soil, including the [applicant to list the specific tanks that are in the program scope]. The program includes	Program is implemented and inspections begin 10 years before the subsequent period of extended operation.	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		preventive measures to mitigate corrosion by protecting the external surfaces of steel components per standard industry practice. Sealant or caulking is used for outdoor tanks at the concrete-component interface. This program manages loss of material and cracking by conducting periodic internal and external visual and surface examinations. Inspections of caulking or sealant are supplemented with physical manipulation. Surface exams are conducted to detect cracking when susceptible materials are conducted to ensure that significant degradation is not occurring. The external surfaces of insulated tanks are conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with ASME code plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and surface conditions.		GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
XI.M30	Fuel Oil Chemistry	This program relies on a combination of surveillance and maintenance procedures. Monitoring and controlling fuel oil contamination in accordance with the guidelines of American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standards D1796, D2276, D2709, and D4057 maintains the fuel oil quality. Exposure to fuel oil contaminants, such as water and microbiological organisms, is minimized by periodic cleaning/draining of tanks and by verifying the quality of new oil before its introduction into the storage tanks.	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manager	nent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
XI.M31	Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance	This program requires implementation of a reactor vessel material surveillance program to monitor the changes in fracture toughness to the ferritic reactor vessel belitine materials which are projected to receive a peak neutron fluence at the end of the design life of the vessel exceeding 10 ¹⁷ n/cm ² (E >1MeV). The surveillance capsules must be located near the inside vessel exceeding 10 ¹⁷ n/cm ² (E >1MeV). The surveillance capsules must be located near the inside vessel wall in the belitine region so that the material specimes duplicate, to the greatest degree possible, the neutron spectrum, temperature history, and maximum neutron fluence experienced at the reactor vessel's inner surface. Because of the reactor vessel's inner surface. Because of the reactor vessel's inner surface capsules receive equivalent neutron fluence exposures earlier than the inner surface receiving an equivalent prior to the inner surface receiving an equivalent neutron fluence and therefore test results may bound the corresponding operating period in the capsule withdrawal schedule. This surveillance program must comply with ASTM International (formerly American Society for Testing and Materials) Standard Practice E 185- 82, as incorporated by reference in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H. Because the withdrawal schedule in Table 1 of ASTM E 185-82 is based on plant operation during the original 40-year initial license term, standby capsules may need to be incorporated into the Appendix H program to ensure appropriate monitoring during the subsequent period of extended operation. Surveillance capsules are designed and located to	The surveillance capsule withdrawal schedule revised before the subsequent period of extended operation	Reactor Vessel Surveillance

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managen	nent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
				Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	SLR Chapter References
		permit insertion of replacement capsules. If standby capsules will be incorporated into the Appendix H program for the subsequent period of extended operation and have been removed from the reactor vessel, these should be reinserted so that appropriate lead factors are maintained and test results will bound the corresponding operating period. This program includes removal and testing of at least one capsule during the subsequent period of extended operation, with a neutron fluence of the capsule between one and two times the projected peak vessel neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of extended operation.		
		program complying with ASTM E 185-82, an integrated surveillance program (ISP) may be considered for a set of reactors that have similar design and operating features, in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, Paragraph III.C. The plant-specific implementation of the ISP is consistent with the latest version of the ISP plan that has received approval by the NRC for the subsequent period of extended operation.		
		The objective of this Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program is to provide sufficient material data and dosimetry to (a) monitor irradiation embrittlement to neutron fluences greater than the projected neutron fluence at the end of the subsequent period of operation, and (b) provide adequate dosimetry monitoring during the operational period. If surveillance capsules are		

FSAR Supplement St	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
LR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
	not withdrawn during the subsequent period of extended operation, provisions are made to perform dosimetry monitoring.		
	This program is a condition monitoring program that measures the increase in Charpy V-notch 30 foot-pound (ft-lb) transition temperature and the		
	drop in the upper-shelf energy as a function of neutron fluence and irradiation temperature. The data from this surveillance program are used to		
	monitor neutron irradiation embrittlement of the reactor vessel, and are inputs to the neutron embrittlement time-limited acing analyses (TI AAs)		
	described in Section 4.2 of the Standard Review Plan for Subsequent License Renewal (SRP-SLR). The Reactor Vessel Material Surveillance program		
	is also used in conjunction with AMP X.M2, "Neutron Fluence Monitoring," which monitors neutron fluence for reactor vessel components and		
	reactor vessel internal components.		
	In accordance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix H, all surveillance capsules. including those previously removed		
	from the reactor vessel, must meet the test procedures and reporting requirements of ASTM E 185-82, to the extent		
	practicable, for the configuration of the specimens in the capsule. Any changes to the capsule withdrawal schedule.		
	including the conversion of standby capsules into the		
	Appendix H program and extension of the surveillance program for the subsequent period of extended operation.		
	must be approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission		
	(NRC) prior to implementation, in accordance with 10 CFR		
	Part 50, Appendix H, Paragraph III.B.3. Standby capsules placed in storage (e.g., removed from the reactor vessel)		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		are maintained for possible future insertion.		
XI.M32	One-Time Inspection	The program is a condition monitoring program consisting of a one-time inspection of selected components to verify: (a) the system-wide effectiveness of an AMP that is designed to prevent or minimize aging to the extent that it will not cause the loss of intended function during the subsequent period of extended operation; (b) the insignificance of an aging effect; and (c) that long-term loss of materials will not cause a loss of intended function for steel components exposed to environments that do not include corrosion inhibitors as a preventive action, and where periodic wall thickness measurements on a representative sample of each environment are not conducted every 5 years up to at least 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation. This program provides inspections that verify that unacceptable degradation is not occurring. It also may trigger additional actions that ensure the intended functions of affected components are maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. The elements of the program include (a) determination of the sample size of components to be inspected based on an assessment of materials of fabrication, environment, plausible aging effects, and operating experience, (b) identification of the inspection locations in the system or component based on the potential for the aging effect to	Inspections should be conducted prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1 GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

Systems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- n SLR Chapter References		GALL IV / SRP 3.1 3.1 GALL V / SRP ior 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VII / SRP 3.4
nent of Applicable {	Implementation Schedule*		SLR program shou be implemented pri to the subsequent period of extended operation
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manager	Description of Program	occur, (c) determination of the examination technique, including acceptance criteria that would be effective in managing the aging effect for which the component is examined, and (d) an evaluation of the need for follow-up examinations to monitor the progression of aging if age- related degradation is found that could jeopardize an intended function before the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. This program is not used for structures or components with known age-related degradation mechanisms or when the environment in the subsequent period of extended operating periods. Periodic inspections are conducted in these cases. Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and surface conditions.	This program is a condition monitoring program that includes a one-time inspection for components exposed to a closed-cycle cooling water or treated water environment when plant-specific operating experience has not revealed selective leaching in these environments. Opportunistic and periodic inspections are conducted for raw water, waste water, soil, and groundwater environments, and for closed-cycle cooling water and treated water environments when plant-specific operating experience has revealed selective leaching in these environments. Visual inspections coupled with mechanical examination techniques such as chipping or scraping are conducted.
FSAR Supplement 5	GALL-SLR Program		Selective Leaching
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.M33

ร
dealloying, thro composition) a water, waste w for closed-cycle environments v revealed select Inspections and loss of materia perform their in extended opera accordance wit inspection para surface condition met such that i should be repla period of exten performed.
This program a XI requirements Class 1 piping a diameter less th equal to NPS 1 volumetric inspo This program in and all full and I program include occurring, there manage aging-I effectiveness of period of extend

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable System	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		locations that are susceptible to cracking. This program is applicable to systems that have not experienced cracking of ASME Code Class 1 small-bore piping. This program can also be used for systems that experienced cracking but have implemented design changes to effectively mitigate cracking. (Measure of effectiveness includes (1) the one- time inspection sampling is statistically significant; (2) samples will be selected as described in Element 5; and (3) no repeated failures over an extended period of time.) For systems that have experienced cracking and operating experience indicates design changes have not been implemented to effectively mitigate cracking, periodic inspection is proposed, as managed by a plant-specific AMP. Should evidence of cracking be revealed by a one- time inspection, a periodic inspection is also proposed, as managed by a plant-specific AMP.		
XI.M36	External Surfaces Monitoring of Mechanical Components	This program is a condition monitoring program that manages loss of material, cracking, changes in material properties (of cementitious components), hardening and loss of strength (of elastomeric components), and reduced thermal insulation resistance. Periodic visual inspections, not to exceed a refueling outage interval, of metallic, polymeric, insulation jacketing (insulation when not jacketed), and cementitious components are conducted. For certain materials, such as flexible polymers, physical manipulation or pressurization to detect hardening or loss of strength is used to augment the visual examinations conducted under this program. A sample of outdoor indoor insulated components exposed to condensation (due to the in-scope component being operated below the dew point), are periodically inspected every 10 years during the	Program is implemented 6 months before the subsequent period of extended operation and inspections begin during the subsequent period of extended operation.	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ms for SLR
AMA	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		subsequent period of extended operation. Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset, and surface conditions. Acceptance criteria are such that the component will meet its intended function until the next inspection or the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. Qualitative acceptance criteria are clear enough to reasonably ensure a singular decision is derived based on observed conditions.		
XI.M37	Flux Thimble Tube Inspection	The program inspects for the thinning of flux thimble tube walls, which provides a path for the in-core neutron flux monitoring system detectors and forms part of the reactor coolant system pressure boundary. Flux thimble tubes are subject to loss of material at certain locations in the reactor vessel where flow-induced fretting causes wear at discontinuities in the path from the reactor vessel instrument nozzle to the fuel assembly instrument guide tube. A periodic nondestructive examination methodology, such as eddy current testing or other applicant-justified and US NRC-accepted inspection methods is used to monitor flux thimble tube wear. This program implements the recommendations of NRC Bulletin 88-09, "Thimble Tube Thinning in Westinghouse Reactors."	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
XI.M38	Inspection of Internal Surfaces in Miscellaneous Piping and Ducting Components	This program is a condition monitoring program that manages loss of material, cracking, and hardening and loss of strength of polymeric materials. This program consists of visual inspections of all accessible internal surfaces of metallic piping, piping components, ducting, heat exchanger components, polymeric and elastomeric components, and other components that are exposed to environments of uncontrolled indoor air, outdoor air, air with borated water	Program is implemented 6 months before the subsequent period of extended operation and inspections begin during the subsequent period of extended	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement St	ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ient of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
				Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	SLR Chapter References
		leakage, condensation, moist air, diesel exhaust, and any water environment other than open-cycle cooling water, closed-cycle cooling water, and fire water. Elastomers exposed to open-cycle, closed-cycle cooling water, and fire water are managed by this program.	operation.	3.4 GALL VI / SRP 3.6
		These internal inspections are performed during the periodic system and component surveillances or during the performance of maintenance activities when the surfaces are made accessible for visual inspection. At a minimum, in		
		each Tu-year period during the period of extended operation a representative sample of 20 percent of the population (defined as components having the same combination of material, environment, and aging effect) or a maximum of 25 components per population is inspected. Where practical, the inspections focus on the bounding or lead		
		components most susceptible to aging because of time in service, and severity of operating conditions. Opportunistic inspections continue in each period despite meeting the sampling limit. For certain materials, such as flexible polymers, physical manipulation or pressurization to detect hardening or loss of strength is used to augment the visual examinations conducted under this program. If visual inspection of internal surfaces is not possible, a plant- specific program is used.		
		Inspections not conducted in accordance with ASME Code Section XI requirements are conducted in accordance with plant-specific procedures including inspection parameters such as lighting, distance, offset and surface conditions. Acceptance criteria are such that the component will meet its intended function until the next inspection or the end of the subsequent period of extended operation. Qualitative acceptance criteria are clear enough to reasonably ensure a		

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		singular decision is derived based on observed conditions.		
		This program ensures that the oil environment in the mechanical systems is maintained to the required quality. This program ensures that oil systems are maintained free		GALL V / SRP 3.2
XI.M39	Lubricating Oil Analysis	preserving an environment that is not conducive to loss of material or reduction of heat transfer. Testing activities	implemented prior to the subsequent period	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
	,	nicide sampling and analysis of lubricating on for detrimental contaminants. The presence of water or particulates may also indicate in-leakage and corrosion product buildup.	or extended operation	GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
	Monitoring of	This program relies on periodic inspection, testing, monitoring, and analysis of the criticality design to assure that the required 5 percent sub-criticality margin is	SLR program should be implemented prior	
XI.M40	Neutron-Absorbing Materials other than Boraflex	condition of the neutron-absorbing material, such as visual appearance, dimensional measurements, weight, geometric changes (e.g., formation of blisters, pits, and bulges), and boron areal density as observed from coupons or in situ.	to the subsequent period of extended operation	3.3
		This program is a condition monitoring program that manages the aging effects associated with the external surfaces of buried and underground piping and tanks such	SLR program should	GALL V / SRP 3.2
XI.M41	Buried and Underground Piping	as loss of material, cracking and changes in material properties (for cementitious piping). It addresses piping and tanks composed of any material, including metallic,	be implemented before the subsequent period of extended	GALL VII / SRP 3.3
		polymeric, and cementitious materials.	operation	GALL VIII / SRP
		The program also manages aging through preventive and mitigative actions, (i.e., coatings, backfill quality, and		J.4

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		cathodic protection) The number of inspections is based on the effectiveness of the preventive and mitigative actions. Annual cathodic protection surveys are conducted. Where the acceptance criteria for the effectiveness of the cathodic protection is other than -850 mV instant off, actual loss of material rates are measured from in-situ coupons. Inspections are conducted by qualified individuals. Adverse inspection results result in additional inspections. If a reduction in the number of inspections recommended in GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M41, Table XI.M41-2, is claimed based on a lack of soil corrosivity as determined by soil testing, soil testing is conducted once in each 10-year period starting 10 years prior to the subsequent period of extended operation.		
XI.M42	Internal Coatings/Linings for In Scope Piping, Piping Components, Heat Exchangers, and Tanks	This program is a condition monitoring program that manages degradation of coatings/linings that can lead to loss of material of base materials and downstream effects such as reduction in flow, reduction in pressure or reduction in heat transfer when coatings/linings become debris. This program manages these aging effects by conducting periodic visual inspections of all coatings/linings applied to the internal surfaces of in-scope components exposed to closed-cycle cooling water, raw water, treated water, treated borated water, waste water, lubricating oil or fuel oil where loss of coating or lining integrity could impact the component's or downstream component's current licensing basis intended function(s). For tanks and heat exchangers, all accessible surfaces are inspected. Piping inspections are sampling-based. The training and qualification of individuals involved in coating/lining inspections of non- cementitious coatings/linings are conducted in accordance	Program is implemented no later than six months before the subsequent period of extended operation and inspections begin no later than the last trefueling outage before the subsequent period of extended	GALL V / SRP 3.2 GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL VIII / SRP 3.4

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Manageme	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		with ASTM International Standards endorsed in RG 1.54 including guidance from the staff associated with a particular standard. For cementitious coatings, training and qualifications are based on an appropriate combination of education and experience related to inspecting concrete surfaces. Peeling and delamination is not acceptable. Blisters are evaluated by a coatings specialist with the blisters being surrounded by sound material and with the size and frequency not increasing. Minor cracks in cementitious coatings are acceptable provided there is no evidence of debonding. All other degraded conditions are evaluated by a coatings specialist. For coated/lined surfaces determined to not meet the acceptance criteria, physical testing is performed where physically possible (i.e., sufficient room to conduct testing) in conjunction with repair or replacement of the coating/lining.	operation.	
XI.S1	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE Inservice Inspection (IWE)	This program is in accordance with ASME Section XI, Subsection IWE, consistent with 10 CFR 50.55a "Codes and standards," with supplemental recommendations. The AMP includes periodic visual, surface, volumetric examinations, and leak rate testing, where applicable, of metallic pressure-retaining components of steel containments and concrete containments for signs of degradation, damage, irregularities including liner plate bulges, and for coated areas distress of the underlying metal shell or liner, and corrective actions. Acceptability of inaccessible areas, indicate the presence of, or could result in, flaws or degradation in inaccessible areas. This program also includes aging management for the potential loss of material due to corrosion in the	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL II / SRP 3.5

ems for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References		GALL II / SRP 3.5	GALL II / SRP 3.5 GALL III / SRP 3.5
ent of Applicable Syst	Implementation Schedule*		SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	inaccessible areas of the BWR Mark I steel containment, and surface examination for the detection of cracking of structural bolting. In addition, the program includes supplemental surface or enhanced examinations to detect cracking for specific components [identify components], and supplemental volumetric examinations by sampling locations susceptible to loss of thickness due to corrosion of containment shell or liner that is inaccessible from one side. Inspection results are compared with prior recorded results in acceptance of components for continued service.	This program consists of (a) periodic visual inspection of concrete surfaces for reinforced and pre-stressed concrete containments, (b) periodic visual inspection and sample tendon testing of un-bonded post-tensioning systems for pre-stressed concrete containments for signs of degradation, assessment of damage, and corrective actions, and testing of the tendon corrosion protection medium and free water. Measured tendon lift-off forces are compared to predicted tendon forces calculated in accordance with RG 1.35.1. The Subsection IWL requirements are supplemented to include quantitative acceptance criteria for concrete surfaces based on the "Evaluation Criteria" provided in Chapter 5 of ACI 349.3R.	This program consists of periodic visual examination of piping and component supports for signs of degradation, evaluation, and corrective actions. This program recommends additional inspections beyond the inspections required by the 10 CFR 50.55a ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF program. This includes inspections of an additional 5 percent of supports outside of the existing IWF sample population. For high-strength bolting in sizes greater than 1 inch nominal diameter volumetric examination
FSAR Supplement Si	GALL-SLR Program		ASME Section XI, Subsection IWL Inservice Inspection (IWL)	ASME Section XI, Subsection IWF Inservice inspection (IWF)
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.S2	XI.S3

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	mmaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syster	ms for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		comparable to that of ASME Code Section XI, Table IWB- 2500-1, Examination Category B-G-1 should be performed to detect cracking in addition to the VT-3 examination.		
		If a component support does not exceed the acceptance standards of IWF-3400 but is electively repaired to as-new condition, the sample is increased or modified to include another support that is representative of the remaining population of supports that were not repaired.		
XI.S4	10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J	This program consists of monitoring leakage rates through the containment system, its shell or liner, associated welds, penetrations, isolation valves, fittings, and other access openings to detect degradation of the containment pressure boundary. Corrective actions are taken if leakage rates exceed acceptance criteria. This program is implemented in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50 Appendix J, RG 1.163 and/or NEI 94-01.	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL II / SRP 3.5
XI.S5	Masonry Walls	This program consists of inspections, based on IE Bulletin 80-11 and plant-specific monitoring proposed by IN 87-67, for managing shrinkage, separation, gaps, loss of material and cracking of masonry walls such that the evaluation basis is not invalidated and intended functions are maintained.	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL III / SRP 3.5
XI.S6	Structures Monitoring	This program consists of periodic visual inspection and monitoring the condition of concrete and steel structures, structural components, component supports, and structural commodities to ensure that aging degradation (such as those described in ACI 349.3R, ACI 201.1R, SEI/ASCE 11, and other documents) will be detected, the extent of degradation determined, evaluated, and corrective actions	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	GALL VII / SRP 3.3 GALL II / SRP 3.5 GALL III / SRP

ims for SLR	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References	3.5 GALL VI / SRP 3.6	GALL III / SRP 3.5	GALL III / SRP 3.5
nent of Applicable Syste	Implementation Schedule*		SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation	SLR program is implemented prior to the subsequent period of extended operation
ummaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	Description of Program	taken prior to loss of intended functions. Inspections also include seismic joint fillers, elastomeric materials; and steel edge supports and steel bracings associated with masonry walls, and periodic evaluation of groundwater chemistry and opportunistic inspections for the condition of below grade concrete, and of protective coatings for substrate materials. Quantitative results (measurements) and qualitative data from periodic inspections are trended with photographs and surveys for the type, severity, extent, and progression of degradation. The acceptance criteria are derived from applicable consensus codes and standards. For concrete structures, the program includes personnel qualifications and quantitative acceptance criteria of ACI 349.3R.	This program consists of inspection and surveillance of raw- water control structures associated with emergency cooling systems or flood protection. The program also includes structural steel and structural bolting associated with water- control structures. In general, parameters monitored should be in accordance with Section C.2 of R.G. 1.127 and quantitative measurements should be recorded for all applicable parameters monitored or inspected. Inspections should occur at least once every 5 years. Structures exposed to aggressive water require additional plant- specific investigation.	This program ensures that a monitoring and maintenance program implemented in accordance with RG 1.54 is adequate for the subsequent period of extended operation. The program consists of guidance for selection, application, inspection, and maintenance of protective coatings. Maintenance of Service Level I coatings applied to carbon steel and concrete surfaces inside containment (e.g., steel liner, steel containment shell, structural steel, supports,
FSAR Supplement S	GALL-SLR Program		Inspection of Water- Control Structures Associated with Nuclear Power Plants	Protective Coating Monitoring and Maintenance
Table XI-01.	AMP		XI.S7	XI.S8

Table XI-01.	FSAR Supplement Su	immaries for GALL-SLR Report Chapter XI Aging Managem	ent of Applicable Syste	ems for SLR
AMP	GALL-SLR Program	Description of Program	Implementation Schedule*	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP- SLR Chapter References
		penetrations, and concrete walls and floors) serve to prevent or minimize loss of material due to corrosion of carbon steel components and aids in decontamination. Degraded coatings in the containment are assessed periodically to ensure post-accident operability of the ECCS.		
SRP-SLR Appendix A	Plant-Specific AMP	The [fill in name of program] Program is a [prevention, mitigation, condition monitoring, performance monitoring] program that manages aging effects associated with [list	Program should be implemented prior to subsequent period of	GALL IV / SRP 3.1
		component type or system as applicable that are in the scope of the program]. Preventive or mitigative actions include [fill in kev actions when applicable]. The program	extended operation	GALL V / SKP 3.2
		manages [list the AERM] by conducting [periodic, one-time] [describe inspection methods and tests] of [all components or a representative sample of components] within the scope		GALL VII / SRP 3.3
		of the program. [When applicable, periodic inspections are conducted every XX years commencing prior to or during the subsequent period of extended operation 1 [Describe		GALL VIII / SRP 3.4
		how inspection and test implementing procedures are controlled (e.g., non-ASME Code inspections and tests		GALL II-III / SRP 3.5
		itions such procedures that include inspection parameters for items such as lighting, distance offset, presence of protective coatings, and cleaning processes that ensure an adomate examination)1 Qualitative accentance criteria are		GALL VI / SRP 3.6
		derived based on observed conditions. When the acceptance of the a		
_		that the affected component should be replaced prior to the end of the subsequent period of extended operation, additional inspections are performed.		
*An applicant neec renewal applicati	I not incorporate the implement ion to any future aging manage license to ensure that the anni	tation schedule into its FSAR. However, the reviewer should verify that the appement activities to be completed before the subsequent period of extended open icant will complete these activities no later than the committed date	licant has identified and commi ration. The staff expects to imp	itted in the license oose a license condition
and to the top	ווסמוסס וה מוממו הומו היה בעעו			

1	APPENDIX A

2 QUALITY ASSURANCE FOR AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

1 QUALITY ASSURANCE FOR AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

2 The subsequent licensing renewal (SLR) applicant must demonstrate that the effects of aging 3 on structure and component (SC) subject to an aging management review (AMR) will be 4 managed in a manner that is consistent with the current licensing basis (CLB) of the facility for 5 the subsequent period of extended operation. Therefore, those aspects of the AMR process 6 that affect the quality of safety-related SCs are subject to the quality assurance (QA) 7 requirements of Appendix B of 10 CFR Part 50. For nonsafety-related SCs subject to an AMR, 8 the existing 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, QA program may be used to address the elements of 9 corrective actions, confirmation process, and administrative controls on the following bases: 10 Criterion XVI of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, requires that measures be established to ٠

 Criterion XVI of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, requires that measures be established to ensure that conditions adverse to quality, such as failures, malfunctions, deviations, defective material and equipment, and nonconformances, are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, measures must be implemented to ensure that the cause of the condition is determined and that corrective action is taken to preclude repetition. In addition, the cause of the significant condition adverse to quality and the corrective action implemented must be documented and reported to appropriate levels of management.

To preclude repetition of significant conditions adverse to quality, the confirmation process element (Element 8) for SLR aging management programs (AMPs) consists of follow-up actions to verify that the corrective actions implemented are effective in preventing a recurrence. As an example, for the management of internal piping corrosion, the GALL-SLR Report AMP XI.M2, "Water Chemistry," may be used to minimize the piping's susceptibility to corrosion. However, it also may be necessary to institute a condition monitoring program that uses ultrasonic inspection to verify that corrosion is indeed insignificant.

25 As required by 10 CFR 50.34(b)(6)(i), the final safety analysis report (FSAR) submitted 26 by a nuclear power plant license applicant includes information on the applicant's 27 organizational structure, allocations of responsibilities and authorities, and personnel 28 gualification requirements. 10 CFR 50.34(b)(6)(ii) also notes that Appendix B of 29 10 CFR Part 50 sets forth the requirements for managerial and administrative controls 30 used for safe operation. Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.36(c)(5), administrative controls related 31 to organization and management, procedures, record keeping, review and audit, and 32 reporting ensure the safe operation of the facility. Programs that are consistent with the 33 requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, also satisfy the administrative controls 34 element necessary for AMPs for SLR.

Notwithstanding the suitability of its provisions to address quality-related aspects of the AMR
process for SLR, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, covers only safety-related SCs. Therefore,
absent a commitment by the applicant to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,
QA program to include nonsafety-related SCs subject to an AMR for SLR, the AMPs applicable
to nonsafety-related SCs include alternative means to address corrective actions, confirmation
processes, and administrative controls. Such alternate means are subject to review by the NRC
on a case-by-case basis.

An example summary program description of the QA program for the FSAR supplement is shown below.

~

Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter References	GALL-SLR IV / SRP-SLR 3.1 GALL-SLR V / SRP-SLR 3.2 GALL-SLR VII / SRP-SLR 3.3 GALL-SLR VII / SRP-SLR 3.4 GALL SLR II-III/ SRP-SLR 3.5 GALL-SLR VI / SRP-SLR 3.6
Implementation Schedule	Existing program
Description of Program	The QA program, developed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, provides the basis for the corrective actions, confirmation process, and administrative controls elements of AMPs. The scope of this existing QA program is expanded to also include nonsafety- related SCs subject to AMPs.
GALL-SLR Program	Quality Assurance
GALL-SLR AMP	GALL-SLR Appendix A

1	APPENDIX B

2 OPERATING EXPERIENCE FOR AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS
1 OPERATING EXPERIENCE FOR AGING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

2 Operating experience is a crucial element of an effective aging management program (AMP). It provides the basis to support all other elements of the AMP and, as a continuous feedback 3 4 mechanism, drives changes to these elements to ensure the overall effectiveness of the AMP. 5 Operating experience should provide objective evidence to support the conclusion that the 6 effects of aging are managed adequately so that the structure- and component-intended 7 function(s) will be maintained during the subsequent period of extended operation. 8 Pursuant to Part 54, "Requirements for Renewal of Operating Licenses for Nuclear Power 9 Plants," Section 21(a)(3), of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 54.21(a)(3)),

10 licensing renewal applicants are required to implement programs for the ongoing review of

11 operating experience, such as those established in accordance with Item I.C.5, "Procedures for

12 Feedback of Operating Experience to Plant Staff," of NUREG–0737, "Clarification of TMI Action

13 Plan Requirements."

14 The systematic review of plant-specific and industry operating experience concerning aging

15 management and age-related degradation ensures that the SLR AMPs are, and will continue to

16 be, effective in managing the aging effects for which they are credited. The AMPs should either

17 be enhanced or new AMPs developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through the

18 evaluation of operating experience that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed.

19 AMPs should be informed by the review of operating experience on an ongoing basis,

20 regardless of the AMP's implementation schedule.

21 Acceptable Use of Existing Programs

22 Programs and procedures relied upon to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B,

23 and provisions in NUREG–0737, Item I.C.5, may be used for the capture, processing, and

evaluation of operating experience concerning age-related degradation and aging management

25 during the term of a renewed operating license. As part of meeting the provisions of NUREG-

26 0737, Item I.C.5, the applicant should actively participate in the Institute of Nuclear Power

27 Operations' (INPOs') operating experience program (formerly the Significant Event Evaluation

and Information Network (SEE-IN) program endorsed in NRC Generic Letter 82-04, "Use of

INPO SEE-IN Program"). These programs and procedures may also be used for the translation
 of recommendations from the operating experience evaluations into plant actions

of recommendations from the operating experience evaluations into plant actions
 (e.g., enhancement of AMPs and development of new AMPs). While these programs and

31 (e.g., enhancement of AMPs and development of new AMPs). While these programs and 32 procedures establish a majority of the functions necessary for the ongoing review of operating

32 experience, they are also subject to further review as discussed below.

34 Areas of Further Review

To ensure that the programmatic activities for the ongoing review of operating experience are adequate for SLR, the following points should be addressed:

37 The programs and procedures relied upon to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, • Appendix B, and provisions in NUREG-0737, Item I.C.5, explicitly apply to and 38 39 otherwise would not preclude the consideration of operating experience on age-related 40 degradation and aging management. Such operating experience can constitute 41 information on the structures and components (SCs) identified in the integrated plant 42 assessment; their materials, environments, aging effects, and aging mechanisms; the AMPs credited for managing the effects of aging; and the activities, criteria, and 43 44 evaluations integral to the elements of the AMPs. To satisfy this criterion, the applicant

- should use the option described in the "Standard Review Plan for Review of Subsequent
 License Renewal Applications for Nuclear Power Plants," Section A.2, "Quality
 Assurance for Aging Management Programs (Branch Technical Position IQMB-1),"
 Position 2, to expand the scope of its 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program to include
 nonsafety-related SCs.
- All final license renewal interim staff guidance documents and revisions to the
 GALL-SLR Report should be considered as sources of industry operating experience
 and evaluated accordingly. There should be a process to identify such documents and
 process them as operating experience.
- All incoming plant-specific and industry operating experience should be screened to determine whether it may involve age-related degradation or impacts to aging management activities.
- A means should be established within the corrective action program to identify, track,
 and trend operating experience that specifically involves age-related degradation. There
 should also be a process to identify adverse trends and to enter them into the corrective
 action program for evaluation.
- 17 Operating experience items identified as potentially involving aging should receive • 18 further evaluation. This evaluation should specifically take into account the following: 19 (a) systems, structures, and components, (b) materials, (c) environments, (d) aging effects, (e) aging mechanisms, (f) AMPs, and (g) the activities, criteria, and evaluations 20 21 integral to the elements of the AMPs. The assessment of this information should be 22 recorded with the operating experience evaluation. If it is found through evaluation that 23 any effects of aging may not be adequately managed, then a corrective action should be 24 entered into the 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program to either enhance the AMPs or 25 develop and implement new AMPs.
- 26 Assessments should be conducted on the effectiveness of the aging management ٠ 27 programs and activities. These assessments should be conducted on a periodic basis 28 that is not to exceed once every five years. They should be conducted regardless of whether the acceptance criteria of the particular AMPs have been met. The 29 30 assessments should also include evaluation of the aging management program or 31 activity against the latest NRC and industry guidance documents and standards that are 32 relevant to the particular program or activity. If there is an indication that the effects of 33 aging are not being adequately managed, then a corrective action is entered into the 34 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, program to either enhance the AMPs or develop and implement new AMPs, as appropriate. 35
- Training on age-related degradation and aging management should be provided to those personnel responsible for implementing the AMPs and those personnel who may submit, screen, assign, evaluate, or otherwise process plant-specific and industry operating experience. The scope of training should be linked to the responsibilities for processing operating experience. This training should occur on a periodic basis and include provisions to accommodate the turnover of plant personnel.
- Guidelines should be established for reporting plant-specific operating experience on
 age-related degradation and aging management to the industry. This reporting should
 be accomplished through participation in the INPOs' operating experience program.

- Any enhancements necessary to fulfill the above criteria should be put in place no later
 than the date the subsequently renewed operating license is issued and implemented on
 an ongoing basis throughout the term of the subsequently renewed license.
- 4 The programmatic activities for the ongoing review of plant-specific and industry experience
- 5 concerning age-related degradation and aging management should be described in the
- 6 subsequent license renewal application (SLRA), including the Final Safety Analysis Report
- 7 (FSAR) supplement. Alternate approaches for the future consideration of operating experience
- 8 are subject to NRC review on a case-by-case basis. An example summary program description
- 9 of the operating experience program for the FSAR supplement is shown below.

GALL-SLR AMP	GALL-SLR Program	In Description of Program	Implementation Schedule	Applicable GALL-SLR Report and SRP-SLR Chapter References
GALL-SLR Appendix B	Operating Experience	This program captures the operating experience from plant-specific and industry sources and is systematically reviewed on an ongoing basis in accordance with the QA program, which meets the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, and the operating experience program, which meets the provisions of NUREG-0737, "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," Item I.C.5, "Procedures for Feedback of Operating Experience to Plant Staff." This program interfaces with and relies on active program, as endorsed by the NRC. In accordance with these programs, all incoming operating experience items are screened to determine whether they may involve age-related degradation or aging management impacts. Items so identified are further evaluated and the AMPs are either enhanced or new AMPs are developed, as appropriate, when it is determined through these evaluations that the effects of aging may not be adequately managed. Training on age-related degradation and aging management is provided to those personnel responsible for implementing the AMPs and who may submit, screen, assign, evaluate, or otherwise process plant-specific and industry operating experience. Plant-specific operating experience associated with aging management and age-related degradation is reported to the industry in accordance with guidelines	Existing Program	GALL-SLR IV / SRP- SLR 3.1 GALL-SLR V / SRP- SLR 3.2 GALL-SLR VII / SRP- SLR 3.3 GALL-SLR VII / SRP- SLR 3.4 GALL-SLR VII / SRP- SLR 3.5 GALL-SLR VI / SRP- SLR 3.6 SLR 3.6

	[
NRC FORM 335 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	1 REPORT NUMBER (Assigned by NRC, Add Vol., Supp., Rev., and Addendum Numbers, If any) NUREG-2191, Vol. 2 Draft 3. DATE REPORT PUBLISHED				
NRCMD 3 7					
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET					
(See instructions on the reverse)					
2 TITLE AND SUBTITLE					
		VEAD			
Jeneric Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report,	December	2015			
Volume 2	December 2015				
Draft Report for Comment	4 FIN OR GRANT NUMBER				
5 AUTHOR(S)	6 TYPE OF REPORT				
	Technical				
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	1001111011				
	7 PERIOD COVERED (Inclusive Dates)				
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS 111 NRC. provide Division. Office or Region, U.S. Nuclear Regula	tory Commission and r	mailing address of			
contractor, provide name and mailing address)					
Division of License Renewal					
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation					
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission					
9 SPONSORING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, type "Same as above", if contractor, provide NRC Division Commission and mailing address)	n, Office or Region, U	S Nuclear Regulatory			
Same as item 8, above					
10 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
11 ABSTRACT (200 words or less)					
The Generic Aging lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report contain	ns the staff's gener	ric evaluation of			
the aging management programs for subsequent license renewal (i.e., for operation from 60 to 80 y	ears). The guidar	ice documents			
for first license renewal (i.e., for operation from 40 to 60 years) have been reviewed to determine v	where the program	s for first license			
renewal should be augmented for subsequent license renewal. The report recognizes that there wil	l be new issues du	e to long-term			
exposure to radiation and high temperature that will present new challenges to nuclear power plant managers in maintaining safety					
and reliability. This document identifies the structures and components in the scope of license renewal and provides generic					
programs for addressing these aging issues. Where it was not possible to develop a generic program, this document suggests that					
aging issues of addressed by a further evaluation of a plant-specific aging management program. The aging management programs in this document are intended for utilities wishing to submit subsequent license renewal applications. However, the programs					
in this document are intended for utilities wisning to submit subsequent license renewal applications. However, the programs described in this document may be used for applications for first license renewal					
desenved in this document may be used for applications for first neerse renewal.					
12 KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS (List words or phrases that will assist researchers in locating the report.)	13 AVAILABI	LITY STATEMENT			
License Renewal Further Evaluations		unlimited			
Long-term Operations	14 SECURIT	Y CLASSIFICATION			
Aging	(This Page)				
Nuclear Safety	Unclassified (This Report)				
Aging Mechanisms					
Aging Effects	unclassified				
Aging Management Programs	15 NUMBER OF PAGES				
Subsequent License Renewal Second License Renewal					
	IS PRICE				
NRC FORM 335 (12-2010)					
• •					





NUREG-2191, Vol. 2 Draft

Generic Aging Lessons Learned for Subsequent License Renewal (GALL-SLR) Report

December 2015