



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

October 14, 2015

Mr. Joseph W. Shea
Vice President, Nuclear Licensing
Tennessee Valley Authority
1101 Market Street, LP 3R-C
Chattanooga, TN 37402-2801

SUBJECT: SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 – STAFF REVIEW OF INTERIM EVALUATION ASSOCIATED WITH REEVALUATED SEISMIC HAZARD IMPLEMENTING NEAR-TERM TASK FORCE RECOMMENDATION 2.1 (TAC NOS. MF5267 AND MF5268)

Dear Mr. Shea:

By letter dated March 12, 2012 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML12053A340), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued a request for information pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 50, Section 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter). The request was issued as part of implementing lessons-learned from the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant. Enclosure 1 to the 50.54(f) letter requested that licensees reevaluate seismic hazards at their sites using present-day methodologies and guidance. Enclosure 1, Item 6, of the 50.54(f) letter requested that licensees identify “interim evaluation and actions taken or planned to address the higher seismic hazard relative to the design basis as appropriate, prior to completion of the [seismic] risk evaluation.” In addition to the interim evaluation provided in the March 2014 Seismic Screening and Hazard report, the licensees for the Central and Eastern United States committed to providing the Expedited Seismic Evaluation Process (ESEP) report, an interim evaluation, by December 31, 2014.

By letter dated December 22, 2014¹, Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA, the licensee), provided its ESEP report in a response to Enclosure 1, Item (6) of the 50.54(f) letter, for the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (Sequoyah). The NRC staff assessed the licensee’s implementation of the ESEP guidance through the completion of a reviewer checklist². In support of NRC staff questions, TVA provided responses dated June 12, 2015³, clarifying submittal information. Based on the NRC staff review of the ESEP report and responses to the staff’s questions, the NRC staff concludes that the licensee’s implementation of the interim evaluation meets the intent of the guidance.

The staff concludes that, through the implementation of the ESEP guidance, the licensee identified and evaluated the seismic capacity of certain key installed mitigating strategies

¹ The December 22, 2014, letter can be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML14365A055.

² The Sequoyah ESEP NRC review checklist can be found under ADAMS Accession No. ML15272A422.

³ The TVA response to NRC staff questions can be found ADAMS Accession No. ML15239A287.

equipment that is used for core cooling and containment functions to cope with scenarios that involve a loss of all alternating current power and loss of access to the ultimate heat sink to withstand a seismic event two times the safe shutdown earthquake for Sequoyah. The licensee's ESEP assessment provides additional assurance which supports continued plant safety while the longer-term seismic evaluation is completed to support regulatory decision making. The NRC staff concludes that the licensee responded appropriately to Enclosure 1, Item (6) of the 50.54(f) letter. Application of this review is limited to the interim evaluation as part of the Recommendation 2.1 seismic review.

The NRC staff notes that Enclosure 2 of the December 22, 2014, letter contains Regulatory Commitments to complete the identified evaluations and any necessary modifications for Unit 1 no later than the end of the second planned Unit 1 refueling outage after December 31, 2014, modify the Unit 2 Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feed Pump Control Panel 2-L-381 anchorage no later than the end of the Unit 2, Cycle 20 refueling outage and submit a letter to NRC summarizing the high confidence of low probability of failure results of Commitment 1 and confirming implementation of the plant modifications associated with Commitment 2 within 60-days following completion of ESEP activities.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1115 or via e-mail at Nicholas.DiFrancesco@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Nicholas DiFrancesco". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Nicholas DiFrancesco, Senior Project Manager
Hazards Management Branch
Japan Lessons-Learned Division
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-327 and 50-328

cc: Distribution via Listserv

equipment that is used for core cooling and containment functions to cope with scenarios that involve a loss of all alternating current power and loss of access to the ultimate heat sink to withstand a seismic event two times the safe shutdown earthquake for Sequoyah. The licensee's ESEP assessment provides additional assurance which supports continued plant safety while the longer-term seismic evaluation is completed to support regulatory decision making. The NRC staff concludes that the licensee responded appropriately to Enclosure 1, Item (6) of the 50.54(f) letter. Application of this review is limited to the interim evaluation as part of the Recommendation 2.1 seismic review.

The NRC staff notes that Enclosure 2 of the December 22, 2014, letter contains Regulatory Commitments to complete the identified evaluations and any necessary modifications for Unit 1 no later than the end of the second planned Unit 1 refueling outage after December 31, 2014, modify the Unit 2 Turbine Driven Auxiliary Feed Pump Control Panel 2-L-381 anchorage no later than the end of the Unit 2, Cycle 20 refueling outage and submit a letter to NRC summarizing the high confidence of low probability of failure results of Commitment 1 and confirming implementation of the plant modifications associated with Commitment 2 within 60-days following completion of ESEP activities.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1115 or via e-mail at Nicholas.DiFrancesco@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Nicholas DiFrancesco, Senior Project Manager
 Hazards Management Branch
 Japan Lessons-Learned Division
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-327 and 50-328

cc: Distribution via Listserv

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC

JLD R/F

RidsNrrPMSequoyah

RidsNrrLASLent

RidsNrrOd

DJackson, NRO

RidsNrrDorIpl2-2

RidsRgn2MailCenter

MShams, NRR

NDiFrancesco, NRR

ADAMS Accession Number: **ML15278A052**

* via concurrence e-mail

OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	NRR/JLD/LA	DSEA/RGS2
NAME	SWyman	SLent	DJackson*
DATE	10/1/2015	10/9/2015	9/8/2015
OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/BC	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	
NAME	MShams	NDiFrancesco	
DATE	10/14/2015	10/14/2015	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY