



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

September 4, 2015

Vice President, Operations  
Entergy Operations, Inc.  
River Bend Station  
5485 U.S. Highway 61N  
St. Francisville, LA 70775

SUBJECT: RIVER BEND STATION, UNIT 1 – INTERIM STAFF RESPONSE TO  
REEVALUATED FLOOD HAZARDS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO  
10 CFR 50.54(f) INFORMATION REQUEST – FLOOD-CAUSING MECHANISM  
REEVALUATION (TAC NO. MF3675)

Dear Sir or Madam:

The purpose of this letter is to provide a summary of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff's assessment of the re-evaluated flood-causing mechanisms described in the March 12, 2014 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML14073A647), flood hazard reevaluation report (FHRR) submitted by Entergy Operations, Inc. (Entergy, the licensee) for River Bend Station, Unit 1 (River Bend), as well as supplemental information resulting from requests for additional information and audits.

By letter dated March 12, 2012, the NRC issued a request for information pursuant to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, Section 50.54(f) (hereafter referred to as the 50.54(f) letter). (ADAMS Accession No. ML12053A340). The request was issued as part of implementing lessons-learned from the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant. Enclosure 2 to the 50.54(f) letter requested licensees to re-evaluate flood-causing mechanisms using present-day methodologies and guidance. Concurrently, with the reevaluation of flooding hazards, licensees were required to develop and implement mitigating strategies in accordance with NRC Order EA-12-049, "Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML12054A735). On March 30, 2015, the Commission provided Staff Requirements Memoranda (SRM) (ADAMS Accession No. ML15089A236) to COM-SECY-14-0037, "Integration of Mitigating Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events and the Reevaluation of Flooding Hazards," dated November 21, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14309A256), affirming that licensees need to address the reevaluated flooding hazards within their mitigating strategies for beyond-design-basis external events.

The NRC staff has reviewed the flood hazard information submitted by the licensee and has summarized the results of the review in the tables provided as an Enclosure to this letter. Table 1 provides the current design-basis flood hazard mechanisms. Table 2 provides the reevaluated flood hazard mechanisms; however, the reevaluated flood hazard mechanisms bounded by the current design-basis (Table 1) are not included.

The NRC staff has concluded that the licensee's reevaluated flood hazards information, as summarized in the Enclosure, is suitable for the assessment of mitigating strategies developed in response to Order EA-12-049 (i.e., defines the mitigating strategies flood hazard information described in guidance documents currently being finalized by the industry and NRC staff), for


River Bend. Further, the staff has concluded that the licensee's reevaluated flood hazard information is a suitable input for other assessments associated with Near Term Task Force Recommendation 2.1 "Flooding". The NRC staff plans to issue a staff assessment documenting the basis for these conclusions at a later time.

In addition, NEI 12-06 "Diverse and Flexible Coping Strategies (FLEX) implementation Guide" is currently being revised. This revision will include a methodology to perform a Mitigating Strategies Assessment (MSA) with respect to the reevaluated flood hazards. Once this methodology is endorsed by the NRC, flood event duration parameters and applicable flood associated effects should be considered as part of the River Bend MSA. The NRC staff will evaluate the flood event duration parameters (including warning time and period of inundation) and flood-related associated effects developed by the licensee during the NRC staff's review of the MSA.

As stated above, Table 2 of the enclosure to this letter describes the reevaluated flood hazards that exceed the current design basis. In order to complete its response to the information requested by Enclosure 2 to the 50.54(f) letter, the licensee is expected to submit an integrated assessment or a focused evaluation, as appropriate, to address these reevaluated flood hazards, as described in NRC letter, "Coordination of Request for Information Regarding Flooding Hazard Reevaluation and Mitigating Strategies for Beyond Design Bases External Events" (ADAMS Accession No. ML15174A257). This letter describes the changes in the NRC's approach to the flood hazard reevaluations that were approved by the Commission in its SRM to COMSECY-15-0019, "Closure Plan for the Reevaluation of Flooding Hazards for Operating Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML15209A682).

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6197 or e-mail at Victor.Hall@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Victor E. Hall". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "V" and a distinct "E".

Victor E. Hall, Senior Project Manager  
Hazards Management Branch  
Japan Lessons-Learned Division  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-458

Enclosure:  
Summary of Results of Flooding  
Hazard Re-Evaluation Report

cc w/encl: Distribution via Listserv

ENCLOSURE:

SUMMARY TABLES OF  
REEVALUATED FLOOD HAZARD LEVELS

**Table 1. Current Design Basis Flood Hazards for Use in the MSA**

<b>Mechanism</b>	<b>Stillwater Elevation</b>	<b>Waves/Runup</b>	<b>Design Basis Hazard Elevation</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<b>Local Intense Precipitation</b>				
Unit 1	96.0 ft MSL	Not applicable	96.0 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-1
Unit 2	80.3 ft MSL	Not applicable	80.3 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-1
<b>Streams and Rivers</b>				
West Creek PMF	94.3 ft MSL	Not applicable	94.3 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-4
Mississippi River	54.5 ft MSL	Not applicable	54.5 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-1
Grants Bayou	101.8 ft MSL	Not applicable	101.8 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-1
<b>Failure of Dams and Onsite Water Control/Storage Structures</b>	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	FHRR Table 4.1-1
<b>Storm Surge</b>	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	FHRR Table 4.1-1
<b>Seiche</b>	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	FHRR Table 4.1-1
<b>Tsunami</b>	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	FHRR Table 4.1-1

**Table 1. Current Design Basis Flood Hazards for Use in the MSA**

<b>Mechanism</b>	<b>Stillwater Elevation</b>	<b>Waves/ Runup</b>	<b>Design Basis Hazard Elevation</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<b>Ice-Induced Flooding</b>	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	FHRR Table 4.1-1
<b>Channel Migrations/Diversions</b>	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	No impact on the site identified	FHRR Table 4.1-1

Note: Reported values are rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a foot.

**Table 2. Reevaluated Flood Hazards for Flood-Causing Mechanisms for Use in the MSA**

<b>Mechanism</b>	<b>Stillwater Elevation</b>	<b>Waves/Runup</b>	<b>Reevaluated Hazard Elevation</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<b>Local Intense Precipitation</b> Unit 1	98.3 ft MSL	Minimal	98.3 ft MSL	FHRR Tables 4.1-1, 4.1-2 & 4.1-3
<b>Streams and Rivers</b> West Creek PMF	95.1 ft MSL	Not applicable	95.1 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-4
Mississippi River	59.7 ft MSL	Not applicable	59.7 ft MSL	FHRR Table 4.1-1

Note 1: The licensee is expected to develop flood event duration parameters and applicable flood associated effects to conduct the MSA. The staff will evaluate the flood event duration parameters (including warning time and period of inundation) and flood associated effects during its review of the MSA.

Note 2: Reevaluated hazard mechanisms bounded by the current design basis (see Table 1) are not included in this table.

Note 3: Reported values are rounded to the nearest one-tenth of a foot.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-6197 or e-mail at Victor.Hall@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

*/RA/*

Victor E. Hall, Senior Project Manager  
Hazards Management Branch  
Japan Lessons-Learned Division  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-458

Enclosure:  
Summary of Results of Flooding  
Hazard Re-Evaluation Report

cc w/encl: Distribution via Listserv

**DISTRIBUTION:**

PUBLIC	JLD R/F	RidsNrrJLD Resource
LQuinn-Willingham	RidsNroDsea Resource	RidsNrrDorlPl4-2 Resource
RidsRgn4MailCenter Resource	RidsOpaMail Resource	ARivera-Verona
MWillingham	MShams	RRivera
KErwin	BHarvey	RidsAcrcAcnw_MailCtr Resource
ACampbell	CCook	RidsOgcMailCenter Resource
RidsNrrLASLent	RidsNrrPMRiverBend Resource	RidsNrrDorl Resource
VHall		

**ADAMS Accession Nos.: PKG ML15230A010 LTR: ML15212A727; ENCL: ML15219A667 \*via email**

OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	NRR/JLD/LA	NRO/DSEA/RHM2/TR*	NRO/DSEA/RHM2/BC*
NAME	AMinarik	SLent	WSharp	ARivera-Varona
DATE	8 / 18 /15	7/ 31 / 15	08/17/15	08/17/15
OFFICE	NRR/JLD/JHMB/BC	OGC*	NRR/JLD/JHMB/PM	
NAME	MShams	SClark	VHall	
DATE	8 / 18 /15	08/14/15	09/04/15	

**OFFICIAL RECORD COPY**