

Beaver Valley Power Station - Units 1 & 2

2014 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company
FENOC

Beaver Valley Power Station - Units 1 & 2
Unit 1 License No. DPR-66
Unit 2 License No. NPF-73

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

Report Preparation and Submittal Requirements: The Beaver Valley Power Station (BVPS) Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) was prepared and submitted in accordance with the requirements contained in the following documents:

- BVPS Integrated Technical Specifications, Administrative Control 5.6.1
- Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment T, Control 6.9.2, “*Controls for RETS and REMP Programs*”
- BVPS procedure 1/2-ENV-01.05, “*Compliance with Regulatory Guide 1.21 and Technical Specifications*”
- BVPS procedure 1/2-ENV-02.01, “*Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program*”
- NUREG-1301, “Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Guidance: Standard Radiological Effluent Controls for Pressurized Water Reactors, Generic Letter 89-01, Supplement No.1, April 1991”
- BVPS Condition Report No. 2014-11925: 2nd Quarter REMP TLDs missing in field
- BVPS Condition Report No. 2014-00685: Reduction in milk sample locations – Halstead Dairy closing
- BVPS Condition Report No. 2014-15056: REMP Drinking Water sample damaged in transit results in missed surveillance
- SAP Notification 600873875: 2014 RETS and REMP Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

Report Overview:

The AREOR provides a detailed summary of the BVPS Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). During the report period, samples of air, water, shoreline sediment, milk, fish, food crops, feed crops, vegetation, and direct radiation (in the vicinity of the BVPS site) have been measured, analyzed, evaluated, and summarized. During the report period, the BVPS radioactive effluent releases (as performed in accordance with the Radiological Effluent Technical Specification (RETS) program), did not exceed the limits identified in the BVPS Operating License, Technical Specifications and/or the ODCM. **The results of the REMP verify that the effluent releases did not impact the environment with a measurable concentration of radioactive materials and/or levels of radiation that are higher than expected.**

Description of Pre-operational REMP (1974 – 1975):

A pre-operational REMP program was performed during the period 1974 through 1975. At that time, samples were collected and analyzed to determine the amount of radioactivity present in the environment prior to BVPS operation. The resulting values are considered a “baseline” to which current sample analyses can be compared. A summary of the pre-operational data is summarized in Table 2-3 of this report.

Description of Operational REMP (1976 – Present):

The operational REMP program was initiated during calendar year 1976 and continued through the report period. During the past **thirty eight (38)** years, radiation and radioactivity in the environment was monitored within a 10-mile radius of the site. A description of the operational REMP program is outlined in Table 2-1 of this report. In general, two (2) types of samples were collected and compared during the report period, and are described as follows:

- **Control Samples:** These samples are collected from areas that are beyond measurable influence of BVPS operation, and are used as reference data. Normal background radiation levels, or radiation present due to causes other than BVPS operation, can thus be compared to the environment surrounding the BVPS site. During the report period, **three hundred sixty eight (368)** analyses were performed on samples from the control locations. In addition, **eight (8)** analyses were completed for Thermo Luminescent Dosimeters (TLDs) at the control locations. Results of the analyses from the control locations are summarized in Table 2-2 of this report.
- **Indicator Samples:** Indicator samples are collected to determine the radiological impact of BVPS operation in the environment. These samples are collected from various locations near the BVPS site. At a minimum, the samples are collected from areas where the BVPS contribution would indicate the most significant radiological impact. During the report period, **one thousand eight hundred sixteen (1,816)** analyses were performed on samples collected from **eighty three (83)** indicator locations. In addition, **five hundred seventeen (517)** analyses were completed for TLDs at the indicator locations. Results of the analyses from the indicator locations are also summarized in Table 2-2 of this report.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

- **Comparisons:** Current analysis results from the indicator samples were compared to both current control sample values and the pre-operational baseline to determine if changes in radioactivity levels were attributable to BVPS operation.

Determination of Environmental Impact

- **2014 Sample Media and Analyses:** Results for drinking water, surface water, precipitation, groundwater, shoreline stream sediment, fish, cow milk, goat milk, feedstuff, foodcrops, air particulate and air radioiodine media remained consistent with previous data. Minor increases and decreases were noted in most sample media, and any positive results attributable to the BVPS operation were consistent with station data of authorized radioactive discharges, and were within limits permitted by the operating license and the ODCM. Other radioactivity detected was attributable to naturally occurring radionuclides, previous nuclear weapons tests, other man-made sources, and to the normal statistical fluctuation for activities near the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD).
- **Airborne Exposure Pathway:** This ODCM required pathway was evaluated via sampling of airborne radioiodine and airborne particulates. The results during this report period were similar to previous years. There was no notable increase in natural products and no detectable fission products or other radionuclides in the airborne particulate media during the year attributed to effluent releases from BVPS.
- **Direct Exposure Pathway:** This ODCM required pathway was evaluated via measurement of environmental radiation doses by use of Thermo Luminescent Dosimeters (TLDs). The results of TLD processing have indicated a stable trend and compare well with previous years.
- **Ingestion Exposure Pathway:** This ODCM required pathway was evaluated via sampling of milk, fish, and foodcrops (leafy vegetables).

For milk samples, Strontium-90 (attributable to past atmospheric weapons testing), was detected at levels similar to those of previous years. The gamma spectrometry analyses indicated positive results for naturally occurring Potassium-40 at average environmental levels.

The fish samples indicated below LLD levels in each of the sample analyses.

Foodcrop (leafy vegetation) samples indicated naturally occurring Potassium-40 at average environmental levels.

- **Waterborne Exposure Pathway:** This ODCM pathway was evaluated via samples of drinking water, ground (well) water, surface (river) water and river sediment.

Water samples were analyzed for Tritium and gamma-emitting radionuclides. Tritium was not identified in any of these water samples. Iodine-131 analysis of drinking water indicated

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX


positive analyses, but the values were consistent with Iodine-131 at the upstream surface (river) water control location, and was not due to liquid effluent releases from BVPS.

Sediment samples were collected from upstream of the site, at the discharge point of BVPS liquid effluent releases, and downstream of the site. Analysis of samples indicated naturally occurring radionuclides Potassium-40, Thallium-208, Bismuth-214, Lead-212, Lead-214, Radium-226, and Actinium-228 in all results. The analyses also indicated Cesium-137, but the values were consistent with Cesium-137 at the control location, and most likely caused by previous nuclear weapons tests. Cobalt-58 and Cobalt-60 were identified in some of the samples that were obtained at the shorelines of the BVPS Main Outfall Facility. This is not unusual because the BVPS site discharges Cobalt-58 and Cobalt-60 in liquid waste effluents. The activity detected at these sample locations is consistent with discharge data of authorized liquid effluent releases, and all liquid effluent releases during the report period did not exceed the release concentration limits set forth in the ODCM.

- **Other Exposure Pathways:** In addition to the samples collected from the exposure pathways described above, other media (i.e., precipitation and feedstuff) were also collected. Results were consistent with previous years, with no degrading trends.
- **Offsite Groundwater Monitoring:** A total of **four (4)** offsite groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for Tritium and by gamma spectrometry during the report period. The samples were collected on a semi-annual basis from **two (2)** locations within **four (4)** miles of the site. The locations included one (1) well in Hookstown, PA; and one (1) well in Georgetown, PA. No gamma-emitting radionuclides were detected in the analyses. **All tritium results were less than the pre-operational value.**
- **Supplemental Sample Sites:** The REMP program includes supplemental sampling sites in addition to the required sites set forth in the ODCM. The supplemental sites include five (5) air sampling sites, one (1) surface water site, **two (2) groundwater sites**, three (3) precipitation sites, two (2) sediment sites, and one (1) milk animal feedstuff site.
- **Population Dose vs. Natural Background:** During the report period, the total calculated 0-50 mile population dose was **61** man-mrem (liquid releases), and **10** man-mrem (gaseous releases). The average individual population dose from BVPS operation was much less than <1 mrem. For information, the National Academy of Sciences 1990 BEIR Report shows that the typical dose to an individual from background (natural radiation exposure including radon) is an estimated average of 296 mrem per year. The following graph in Figure i-1 illustrates that the average individual population dose was not affected from BVPS operation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

Figure i-1
 Graph of Annual Average Population Dose: BVPS Dose and Natural Background Dose



- Summary:** During the report period, radioactive effluent releases from the BVPS site did not exceed the limits identified in the BVPS Operating License, Technical Specifications and/or the ODCM. The BVPS operational REMP program was followed throughout the report period. The results demonstrate the adequacy of radioactive effluent control at BVPS, and that BVPS operation did not adversely affect the surrounding environment. Positive results attributable to BVPS operation were consistent with station data of authorized radioactive discharges and were within limits permitted by the NRC license and the ODCM. Other radioactivity detected was attributable to naturally occurring radionuclides, previous nuclear weapons tests, other man-made sources, and to the normal statistical fluctuation for activities near the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

Inter-laboratory Comparison Programs:

- **Split Sample Program:** BVPS shared split samples with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) in support of their nuclear power plant monitoring program. The shared media and number of locations were typically comprised of milk (2), surface water (3), river sediment (1), fish (1), foodcrops (2), co-located air particulate/air iodine (4), and TLD (24). The split sample program was coordinated by the state, and the results are not provided with this report.
- **Spike Sample Program:** Spiked samples were provided by an independent laboratory and then analyzed by the REMP contractor laboratory. The samples were provided throughout the report period and included water samples, milk samples, filter paper samples and charcoal cartridge samples. All **one hundred eight (108)** analyses performed by the contactor laboratory on the spiked samples met the NRC comparison criteria.

Special Reports:

- **SINCE** no reporting levels were exceeded during 2014, **THEN** no Special Reports were required. For information, a Special Report shall be submitted to the NRC when (1) levels of radioactivity in an environmental sampling medium exceeds the limits specified in ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q Table 3.12-2, and when (2) the results of the following calculation are ≥ 1.0 (for calculations performed when more than one radionuclide is detected in the sampling medium):

$$\frac{\text{Concentration (1)}}{\text{Limit Level (1)}} + \frac{\text{Concentration (2)}}{\text{Limit Level (2)}} + \dots \geq 1.0$$

Land Use Census Results:

Highlights from the most recent Land Use Census are documented in letter NPD3NRE:1043, dated September 26, 2014 and are summarized as follows:

- **Nearest Residence:** The location has not changed since the previous census. The nearest inhabited residence is at 209 Ferry Hill Road, Shippingport, PA (0.4 miles, E).
- **Nearest Garden:** The location has changed since the previous census. The closest garden location is at the Pringle Residence, 1221 Virginia Ave., Midland, PA (1.0 miles, in the NW Sector). The previous sampling location at the Cox Residence, 238 State Route 168, Hookstown, PA (0.760 miles, in the SSW Sector) was available for sampling cabbage but did not meet all the requirements of NUREG-1301.
- **Nearest Dairy Cow:** The location has not changed since the previous census. The location remains at the Searight Dairy, 948 McCleary Road, RD 1, Hookstown, PA (2.097 miles, SSW). However, this location closed during 2014 and is in the process of selling the cows. The closest milking cow location was at the Brunton's Dairy, 3681 Ridge Road, Aliquippa, PA (6.158 miles, SE).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

- Nearest Doe Goat: The location has changed since the previous census. The closest location is now the Covert Residence, 930 Pine Street, Hookstown, PA (1.900 miles, SW).
- Prevailing Winds: The prevailing wind direction for Ground Releases was identified by showing the highest D/Q in the **east sector**. The prevailing wind direction for elevated releases was identified by showing the highest D/Q in the **ESE sector**. The REMP properly monitors the environment with air particulate sampling stations in some sectors and direct radiation TLDs in all Sectors.
- 2015 Dairy Cow and Doe Goat Sampling Locations: The Dairy Cow sampling locations will change in 2015. Since Brunton's Dairy and Searight's dairy closed during 2014 the dairy cow sampling will be performed at Brunton Dairy, 3681 Ridge Road, Aliquippa, PA (6.158 miles, SE), and Windsheimer Dairy, RD 1 Burgettstown, PA (10.476 miles, SSW). The Doe Goat sampling locations will be at Covert Residence, 930 Pine Street, Hookstown, PA (1.900 miles, SW), as well as Searight Dairy, 948 McCleary Road, RD1, Hookstown, PA (2.097 miles, SSW) and the Ferry Residence, 227 Calhoun Road, Aliquippa, PA (3.320 miles, SE). It is unknown at this time whether or not goat milk samples will be available from the Searight Dairy or Ferry Residence next season.
- D/Q for Milch Animal Locations: None of the 2014 milch animal sampling locations experienced a >20% increase in deposition parameter (D/Q).
- D/Q for Offsite Dose Determination: There was no adverse effect on the current ODCM methodology used for offsite dose determination from effluent releases. Specifically, a linear regression analysis of D/Q did not yield any valid locations where the offsite dose could have increased >20% more than the offsite dose previously calculated using current ODCM methodology.
- D/Q Historical Comparison: There is no adverse trend in D/Q when comparing 2000 to 2014 data to the ODCM default D/Q values, which validates that there was no adverse effect on the current ODCM methodology used for offsite dose determination from effluent releases. Specifically, the analysis of D/Q did not yield any valid locations where the offsite dose could have increased >20% more than the offsite dose previously calculated using current ODCM methodology. Therefore, a change in ODCM receptor location and/or a change to meteorology at the current ODCM receptor location were not required.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

Deviations, Changes and Adjustments to the Normal Sampling Program

- **Deviation from Normal Milk Sampling & Analysis Schedule:** There was a deviation from the required milk sampling and analysis schedule for the reporting period. Not enough milk samples are available from locations within the 5 mile radius this year. The unavailability of milk caused the REMP to not meet the ODCM sample requirements in 1/2-ODC-2.03 and in 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q Table 3.12-1 stating that a minimum of four (4) milk locations shall be sampled. This initiated the ODCM requirement for sampling two additional garden locations based upon the highest predicted annual average D/Q when milk locations are not available.
- **Deviation from Normal Surface and Drinking Water Sampling and Analysis Schedule:** There was one deviation from the Drinking Water sampling schedule due to a sample destroyed during transit. This issue was documented in Condition Report #2014-15056.
- **Deviation from Normal Air Particulate & Iodine Sampling and Analysis Schedule:** There were no deviations from the required water sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.
- **Deviation from Normal Direct Radiation Monitoring:** The direct radiation monitoring by thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) was missing data for a quarterly sampling period on three (3) occasions. This issue was documented in Condition Reports #2014-11925.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report Preparation and Submittal Requirements.....	ii
Report Overview	iii
Description of Pre-operational REMP (1974 – 1975)	iii
Description of Operational REMP (1976 – Present)	iii
Determination of Environmental Impact	iv
Inter-laboratory Comparison Programs	vii
Special Reports	vii
Land Use Census Results.....	vii
Deviations, Changes and Adjustments to the Normal Sampling Program	ix

SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION

A. Radiation Fundamentals.....	1-1
B. Radiation and Radioactivity	1-1
C. Units of Measurement	1-4
D. Lower Limit of Detection	1-4
E. Scope and Objectives of the REMP Program.....	1-4
F. Description of the Beaver Valley Site	1-5

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

A. Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program	2-1
1. Program Description	2-1
2. Summary of Results	2-6
3. Quality Control Program.....	2-6
4. Program Changes	2-6
B. Air Monitoring.....	2-24
1. Characterization of Air and Meteorology	2-24
2. Air Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques	2-24
3. Results and Conclusions	2-25
C. Monitoring of Shoreline Stream Sediment and Soil.....	2-28
1. Characterization of Shoreline Stream Sediment and Soil	2-28
2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques.....	2-28
3. Results and Conclusions	2-29
D. Monitoring of Feedstuff and Foodcrops	2-34
1. Characterization of Farm Products.....	2-34
2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques.....	2-35
3. Results and Conclusions	2-36
E. Monitoring of Local Cow and Goat Milk.....	2-40
1. Description – Milch Animal Locations.....	2-40
2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques.....	2-40
3. Results and Conclusions	2-42
F. Environmental Radiation Monitoring.....	2-46
1. Description of Regional Background Radiation and Sources.....	2-46
2. Locations and Analytical Procedures.....	2-46
3. Results and Conclusions	2-46

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

G. Monitoring of Fish.....	2-51
1. Description	2-51
2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques.....	2-51
3. Results and Conclusions	2-51
H. Monitoring of Surface Water, Drinking Water, Groundwater and Precipitation	2-54
1. Description of Water Sources	2-54
2. Sampling and Analytical Techniques.....	2-55
3. Results and Conclusions	2-57
I. Estimates of Radiation Dose to Man	2-64
1. Pathways to Man – Calculational Models.....	2-64
2. Results of Calculated Population Dose to Man – Liquid Effluent Releases.....	2-64
3. Results of Calculated Population Dose to Man – Gaseous Effluent Releases...	2-64
4. Conclusions.....	2-65

SECTION 3 – LAND USE CENSUS

A. Land Use Census Overview	3-1
B. Nearest Residence.....	3-1
C. Nearest Garden >500 sqft	3-1
D. Nearest Dairy Cow	3-1
E. Nearest Doe Goat.....	3-1
F. Nearest Beef Cattle.....	3-1
G. Projection for 2015 Dairy Cow Sampling Locations	3-2
H. Projection for 2015 Doe Goat Sampling Locations.....	3-2
I. D/Q for Milch Animal Locations	3-2
J. D/Q for Offsite Dose Determination	3-2
K. D/Q Historical Comparison	3-2

SECTION 4 – SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM AND SPIKE SAMPLE INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

A. Split Sample Program (Inter-Laboratory Comparison, Part 1 of 2)	4-1
B. Spike Sample Program (Inter-Laboratory Comparison, Part 2 of 2).....	4-1
C. Conclusions	4-3

SECTION 5 – CORRECTIONS TO PREVIOUS RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT(S)

A. Corrections to Previous Radiological Environmental Operating Report(s)	5-1
---	-----

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

AREOR TABLES

Table 2-1	Operational Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program.....	2-2
Table 2-2	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary	2-7
Table 2-3	Pre-Operational Environmental Radiological Monitoring Program Summary	2-21
Table 2-4	Comparison of Natural Radiation Exposure Versus Calculated Population Dose to Man – Liquid Effluent Releases.....	2-66
Table 2-5	Comparison of Natural Radiation Exposure Versus Calculated Population Dose to Man – Gaseous Effluent Releases.....	2-67
Table 3-1	Location of Nearest Residences, Gardens, Dairy Cows, Doe Goats	3-3
Table 4-1	Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program, Spiked Samples - 1 st Quarter.....	4-4
Table 4-2	Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program, Spiked Samples - 2 nd Quarter.....	4-5
Table 4-3	Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program, Spiked Samples - 3 rd Quarter	4-6
Table 4-4	Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program, Spiked Samples - 4 th Quarter	4-7

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY and INDEX

AREOR FIGURES

Figure i-1	Graph of Annual Average Population Dose: BVPS Dose and Natural Background Dose	vi
Figure 1-1	Geographical Map and Principal Communities in 50-mile Radius of the Beaver Valley Power Station	1-7
Figure 2-1	Environmental Monitoring Locations - Air Sampling Stations.....	2-26
Figure 2-2	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Gross Beta in Air Particulates	2-27
Figure 2-3	Environmental Monitoring Locations - Shoreline Sediments and Soil.....	2-31
Figure 2-4	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137, Cobalt-58 & 60 in Sediment	2-32
Figure 2-5	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137 in Soil.....	2-33
Figure 2-6	Environmental Monitoring Locations – Feedstuff and Foodcrops.....	2-38
Figure 2-7	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137 in Feedstuff and Foodcrops	2-39
Figure 2-8	Environmental Monitoring Locations – Milk.....	2-44
Figure 2-9	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Iodine-131 & Sr-90 in Milk	2-45
Figure 2-10	Environmental Monitoring Locations – TLDs	2-48
Figure 2-11	Graph of Annual Average Exposure: Direct Radiation in Environment.....	2-50
Figure 2-12	Environmental Monitoring Locations – Fish.....	2-52
Figure 2-13	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137 in Fish	2-53
Figure 2-14	Environmental Monitoring Locations – Ground Water, Surface Water, Drinking Water and Precipitation	2-59
Figure 2-15	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Iodine-131 in Surface Water, and Drinking Water	2-60
Figure 2-16	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Tritium in Surface Water.....	2-61
Figure 2-17	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Tritium in Ground Water	2-62
Figure 2-18	Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Tritium in Drinking Water	2-63

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

A. Radiation Fundamentals

Radiation is the conveyance of energy through space. For example, heat emanating from a stove is a form of radiation, as are light rays, microwaves, and radio waves. All matter consists of atoms, which are comprised of positively charged particles (protons), negatively charged particles (electrons), and non-charged/neutral particles (neutrons). The relatively large particles (protons and neutrons) are packed tightly together in a cluster at the center of the atom called the nucleus, while the smaller particles (electrons) orbit around the nucleus. In an electrically neutral atom, the negative charges of the electrons are balanced by the positive charges of the protons. Due to their dissimilar charges, the protons and electrons have a strong attraction for each other. This holds the atom together. Other attractive forces between the protons and neutrons keep the densely packed protons from repelling each other, and prevent the nucleus from breaking apart.

B. Radiation and Radioactivity

The following provides an alphabetical glossary of terms associated with radiation, radioactivity, and the radioactive decay process. The terms discussed include Alpha Particles, Beta Particles, Gamma Rays, Genetic Effects, Half-life, Ionization, Isotopes, Neutrons, Radiation, Radioactive Decay, Radionuclides and Somatic Effects.

Alpha Particles: Particulate and electromagnetic radiation each travel through matter differently because of their different properties. Alpha particles contain 2 protons and 2 neutrons, are relatively large, and carry an electrical charge of +2. Alpha particles are ejected from the nucleus of a radioactive atom at speeds ranging from 2,000 to 20,000 miles per second. However, due to its comparatively large size, an alpha particle usually does not travel very far before it loses most of its energy through collisions and interactions with other atoms. As a result, a sheet of paper or a few centimeters of air can easily stop alpha particles.

Beta Particles: Beta particles are very small, and comparatively fast particles, traveling at speeds near the speed of light (186,000 miles per second). Beta particles have an electrical charge of either +1 or -1. Because they are so small and have a low charge, they do not collide and interact as often as alpha particles, so they can travel farther. Beta particles can usually travel through several meters of air, but may be stopped by a thin piece of metal or wood.

Gamma Rays: Gamma rays are pure energy and travel at the speed of light. They have no measurable charge or mass, and generally travel much farther than alpha or beta particles before being absorbed. After repeated interactions, the gamma ray loses its energy and vanishes. The range of a gamma ray in air varies, depending on the ray's energy and interactions. Very high-energy gamma radiation can travel a considerable distance, where as low energy gamma radiation may travel only a few feet in air. Lead is used as shielding material for gamma radiation because of its density. Several inches of lead or concrete may be needed to effectively shield gamma rays.

Genetic Effects: The effects of ionizing radiation which are observed in the offspring of the exposed individual that could occur as a result of ionizing radiation interacting with the genes in the human cells.

Half-life: The length of time an atom remains radioactive is defined in terms of half-life, which is the amount of time required for a radioactive substance to lose half of its activity through the process of radioactive decay. Radionuclides that have infrequent emissions have a long half-life, where as, radionuclides that have more frequent emissions have a short half-life.

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

Ionization: Through interactions with atoms, alpha, beta, and gamma radiation lose their energy. When these forms of radiation interact with any form of material, the energy they impart may cause atoms in that material to become ions, or charged particles. Normally, an atom has the same number of protons as electrons, thus, the number of positive and negative charges cancel, in which the atom is electrically neutral. When one or more electrons are removed, an ion is formed. Ionization is one of the processes that may result in damage to biological systems.


Isotopes: A group of identical atoms containing the same number of protons make up an element. In fact, the number of protons an atom contains determines its chemical identity. For instance, all atoms with one proton are hydrogen atoms, and all atoms with eight protons are oxygen atoms. However, the number of neutrons in the nucleus of an element may vary. Atoms with the same number of protons but different numbers of neutrons are called isotopes. Different isotopes of the same element have the same chemical properties, and many are stable or non-radioactive. An unstable or radioactive isotope of an element is called a radioisotope, a radioactive atom, or a radionuclide. Radionuclides usually contain an excess amount of energy in the nucleus. The excess energy is usually due to a surplus or deficit in the number of neutrons in the nucleus. Radionuclides such as uranium-238, beryllium-7 and potassium-40 occur naturally. Others are man-made, such as iodine-131, cesium-137, and cobalt-60.

Neutrons: Neutrons come from several sources, including the interactions of cosmic radiation with the earth's atmosphere and nuclear reactions within operating nuclear power reactors. However, neutrons are not of environmental concern since the neutron source at nuclear power stations is sealed within the containment building. Because neutrons have no charge, they are able to pass very close to the nuclei of the material through which they are traveling. As a result, neutrons may be captured by one of these nuclei or they may be deflected. When deflected, the neutron loses some of its energy. After a series of these deflections, the neutron has lost most of its energy. At this point, the neutron moves about as slow as the atoms of the material through which it is traveling, and is called a thermal neutron. In comparison, fast neutrons are much more energetic than thermal neutrons and have greater potential for causing damage to the material through which they travel. Fast neutrons can have from 200 thousand to 200 million times the energy of thermal neutrons. Neutron shielding is designed to slow fast neutrons and absorb thermal neutrons. Neutron shielding materials commonly used to slow neutrons down are water or polyethylene. The shield is then completed with a material such as cadmium, to absorb the now thermal neutrons. Concrete is also used to form an effective neutron shield because it contains water molecules and can be easily molded around odd shapes.

Radiation: This is the conveyance of energy through space. For instance, heat emanating from a stove is a form of radiation, as are light rays, microwaves, and radio waves. Ionizing radiation is another type of radiation and has similar properties to those of the examples listed above. Ionizing radiation consists of both electromagnetic radiation and particulate radiation. Electromagnetic radiation is energy with no measurable mass that travels with a wave-like motion through space. Included in this category are gamma rays and X-rays. Particulate radiation consists of tiny, fast moving particles which, if unhindered, travel in a straight line through space. The three types of particulate radiation of concern to us are alpha particles, which are made up of 2 protons and 2 neutrons; beta particles, which are essentially free electrons; and neutrons. The properties of these types of radiation will be described more fully in the Range and Shielding section.

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

Radioactive Decay: Radioactive atoms, over time, will reach a stable, non-radioactive state through a process known as radioactive decay, which is the release of energy from an atom through the emission of ionizing radiation. Radioactive atoms may decay directly to a stable state or may go through a series of decay stages, called a radioactive decay series, and produce several daughter products that eventually result in a stable atom. The loss of energy through radioactive decay may transform the atom into a chemically different element. For example, when uranium-238 decays, it emits an alpha particle and, as a result, the atom loses 2 protons and 2 neutrons. Since the number of protons in the nucleus of an atom determines its chemical identity, then when the uranium-238 atom loses the 2 protons and 2 neutrons, it is transformed into an atom of thorium-234. Thorium-234 is one of the 14 successive daughter products of uranium-238. Radon is another daughter product, and the decay series ends with stable lead-206. The following example is part of a known radioactive decay series, called the uranium series, which begins with uranium-238 and ends with Lead-206. The information provided in the upper portion of each block is the isotope name, while the information provided in the lower portion of each block is the half-life.



Radionuclides: See description for “isotopes”.

Somatic Effects: The effects of ionizing radiation develop in the directly exposed individual, including an unborn child. Somatic effects can be divided further into acute and chronic effects. Acute effects develop shortly after exposure to large amount of radiation. Chronic effects are a result of exposure to radiation over an extended period of time.

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

C. Units of Measurement

Activity (Curie): This relates the number of atoms in a sample that disintegrate (decay) per unit of time. Each time an atom disintegrates, radiation is emitted. The curie (Ci) is the unit used to describe the activity of a material and indicates the rate at which the atoms of a radioactive substance are decaying. One curie indicates the disintegration of 37 billion atoms per second. A curie is a unit of activity, not a quantity of material. Thus, the amount of material required to produce one curie varies. A smaller unit of the curie is used when discussing the low concentrations of radioactivity detected in environmental samples. For instance, the picocurie (pCi) represents one trillionth of a curie.

Absorbed Dose (rad): This is a term used to describe the radiation energy absorbed by any material exposed to ionizing radiation, and can be used for both particulate and electromagnetic radiation. The rad is the unit used to measure the absorbed dose. It is defined as the energy of ionizing radiation deposited per gram of absorbing material (1 rad = 100 erg/g). The rate of absorbed dose is usually given in rad/hr. The rad is not used to quantify biological damage caused by ionizing radiation.

Dose Equivalent (rem): Biological damage due to alpha, beta, gamma and neutron radiation may result from ionizing radiation. Some types of radiation, especially alpha particles, cause dense local ionization and can result in up to 20 times the amount of biological damage for the same energy imparted as do gamma or X-rays. Therefore, a quality factor must be applied to account for the different ionizing capabilities of various types of ionizing radiation. When the quality factor is multiplied by the absorbed dose (rad) the result is the dose equivalent. Dose equivalent is an estimate of the possible biological damage resulting from exposure to a particular type of ionizing radiation and is measured in rem. An example of this conversion from absorbed dose (rad) to dose equivalent (rem) uses the quality factor for alpha radiation, which is equal to 20. Thus, 1 rad of alpha radiation is equal to 20 rem. Since beta and gamma radiation each have a quality factor of 1, then 1 rad of either beta or gamma radiation is equal to 1 rem. Neutrons have a quality factor ranging from 2 to 10. In terms of radiation, the rem is a relatively large unit. Therefore, a smaller unit known as the millirem, is often used and one millirem (mrem) is equal to 1/1000 of a rem.

D. Lower Limit of Detection

The Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) for environmental samples is a calculated value that represents an a-priori (before-the-fact) limit for the smallest concentration (i.e.; pCi per unit mass or volume) of radioactive material in a sample that will be detected with 95% probability, and with 5% probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a real signal. A calculated LLD must consider analytical variables such as standard deviation of the background counting rate, counting efficiency, sample size, fractional radiochemical yield, radioactive decay constant, and elapsed time between sample collection and time of counting.

E. Scope and Objectives of the REMP Program

The environmental program consists of environmental monitoring for radioactivity in the vicinity of BVPS. Environmental sampling and analyses include air, water, milk, vegetation, river sediments, fish, and ambient radiation levels in areas surrounding the site. The results of these media are assessed to determine impacts of the plant operation on the environment. The Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) for BVPS summarizes the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) conducted by the FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating Company during the report period.

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

F. Description of the Beaver Valley Site

BVPS is located on the south bank of the Ohio River in the Borough of Shippingport, Beaver County, Pennsylvania, on a 453 acre tract of land. The site is approximately one mile from Midland, Pennsylvania, five miles from East Liverpool, Ohio, and twenty-five miles from Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Figure 1-1 shows the site location in relation to the principal population centers. Population density in the immediate vicinity of the site is relatively low. The population within a five mile radius of the plant is approximately 18,000. The only area within the radius of concentrated population is the Borough of Midland, Pennsylvania, with a population of approximately 2,635 as determined from the 2010 U.S. Census.

The site lies in a valley along the Ohio River. It extends from the river (elevation 665 feet above sea level) to a ridge along the border south of the Beaver Valley Power Station at a maximum elevation of 1160 feet. Plant grade level is approximately 735 feet above sea level.

BVPS is on the Ohio River at river mile 34.8, a location on the New Cumberland Pool that is 3.1 river miles downstream from Montgomery Lock and Dam, and 19.6 miles upstream from New Cumberland Lock and Dam. The Pennsylvania-Ohio-West Virginia border is located 5.2 river miles downstream from the site. The river flow is regulated by a series of dams and reservoirs on the Beaver, Allegheny, Monongahela and Ohio Rivers and their tributaries. During the report period, the Ohio River flow (as obtained from the Corps of Engineers – Water Resources Engineering) at the New Cumberland Dam ranged from 15,208 cubic feet per second (minimum monthly average) to 72,348 cubic feet per second (maximum monthly average). The mean flow during the report period was approximately 42,265 cubic feet per second.

Water temperature of the Ohio River typically varies from 30° Fahrenheit to 76° Fahrenheit. The minimum temperatures occur in January and/or February and maximum temperatures in July and/or August. Water quality in the Ohio River at the site location is affected primarily by the water quality of the Allegheny, Monongahela and Beaver rivers.

The climate of the area may be classified as humid continental. The predominant wind direction is typically from the southwest in summer and from the west in winter. The National Climatic Data Center indicates the following data for the Beaver Falls, PA area:

- The total annual precipitation during the report period was 40.79 inches.
- The average mean temperature during the report period was 49.10° Fahrenheit.

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

The basic features of the Beaver Valley Power Station Units 1 and 2 are tabulated below:


	<u>Beaver Valley Unit 1</u>	<u>Beaver Valley Unit 2</u>
Licensed Power Level	2900 – megawatts thermal	2900 – megawatts thermal
Type of Power	PWR	PWR
No. of Reactor Coolant Loops	3	3
No. of Steam Generators & Type	3 - Vertical	3 - Vertical
Steam Used by Main Turbine	Saturated	Saturated

The BVPS units utilize two separate systems (primary and secondary) for transferring heat from the source (the reactor) to the receiving component (turbine-generator). Because the two systems are isolated from each other, primary and secondary waters do not mix, and radioactivity in the primary system water is normally isolated from the secondary system. Reactor coolant in the primary system is pumped through the reactor core and steam generators by means of reactor coolant pumps. Heat is transferred from the primary system to the secondary system in the steam generators. The steam is then formed and delivered to the main unit turbine, which drives the electrical generator. The steam is condensed after passing through the turbine, and returned to the steam generators to begin another steam/water cycle.

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

Figure 1-1

Geographical Map and Principal Communities
in 50-mile Radius of the Beaver Valley Power Station



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

A. Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

1. Program Description

The program consists of monitoring water, air, soil, river bottoms (sediment), feedstuff, vegetation, foodcrops, cow's milk, ambient radiation levels in areas surrounding the site, and aquatic life as summarized in Table 2-1. Further description of each portion of the program (Sampling Methods, Sample Analysis, Discussion and Results) are included in Sections 2-B through 2-I of this report.

2-B - Air Monitoring

2-C - Monitoring of Shoreline Stream Sediment and Soil

2-D - Monitoring of Feedstuff and Foodcrops

2-E - Monitoring of Local Cow and Goat Milk

2-F - Environmental Radiation Monitoring

2-G - Monitoring of Fish

2-H - Monitoring of Surface Water, Drinking Water, Groundwater and Precipitation

2-I - Estimates of Radiation Dose to Man

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-1

Operational Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

Section	Sample Type	Sample Site No.	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Preparation / Analysis Frequency	Analysis
1	Air Particulate & Radionuclide	13	Hookstown, PA (Old Meyer Farm)	Continuous Sampling with Sample Collection at least weekly	Weekly - Air Particulate Weekly – Charcoal Quarterly Composite (e)	Gross Beta (b) Iodine-131 Gamma Scan
		27	Aliquippa, PA (Brunton Farm)			
		28	Sherman Farm			
		29B	Beaver, Pa (Friendship Ridge)			
		30	Shippingport, PA (Cook's Ferry Substation)			
		32	Midland, PA (North Substation)			
		46.1	Industry, PA (McKeel's Service - Rt. 68)			
		47	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)			
		48 (a)	Weirton, WV (Water Tower - Collier Way)			
		51	Aliquippa, PA (Sheffield Substation)			
2	Direct Radiation	10	Shippingport, PA (Post Office)	Continuous (TLD)	Quarterly (f)	Gamma Dose
		13	Hookstown, PA (Old Meyer Farm)			
		14	Hookstown, PA			
		15	Georgetown, PA (Post Office)			
		27	Aliquippa, PA (Brunton Farm)			
		28	Sherman Farm			
		29B	Beaver, PA (Friendship Ridge)			
		30	Shippingport, PA (Cook's Ferry Substation)			
		32	Midland, PA (North Substation)			
		33-44	BVPS Site Perimeter Locations			
		45	Raccoon Township, PA (Christian House Baptist Chapel - Rt. 18)			
		45.1	Raccoon Township, PA (Kennedy's Corner)			
		46	Industry, PA (Midway Drive)			
		46.1	Industry, PA (McKeel's Service - Rt. 68)			
		47	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)			
		48 (a)	Weirton, WV (Water Tower - Collier Way)			
		51	Aliquippa, PA (Sheffield Substation)			
		52-56	BVPS Site Perimeter Locations			
		59	236 Green Hill Road, Aliquippa, PA			
		60	444 Hill Road, Georgetown, PA			
		70	236 Engle Road, Industry, PA			
		71	Brighton Township, PA (First Western Bank)			
		72	Ohioview, PA (Lutheran Church – Rear)			
		73	618 Squirrel Run Road, Industry, PA			
		74	37 Poplar Avenue, Monaca, PA (CCBC)			
		75	117 Holt Road, Aliquippa, PA			
		76	Raccoon Township, PA (Elementary School)			
		77	3614 Green Garden Road, Aliquippa, PA			
		78	Raccoon Township, PA (Municipal Building)			
		79	106 Rt. 151, Aliquippa, PA			
		80	Raccoon Township, PA (Park Office -Rt. 18)			
		81	Millcreek United Presbyterian, Church Hookstown, PA			
		82	2697 Rt. 18, Raccoon Twp, PA			
		83	735 Mill Creek Road, Hookstown, PA			
		84	Hancock County, WV (Senior Center)			
		85	2048 Rt. 30, West Chester, WV			
		86	1090 Ohio Avenue, East Liverpool, OH			
		87	50103 Calcutta Smith Ferry Road, Calcutta, OH			
		88A	Route 168, Midland Heights, PA			
		89	488 Smith Ferry Road, Ohioville, PA			
90	6286 Tuscarawras Road, Midland, PA					
91	Pine Grove Road & Doyle Road, Industry, PA					
92	Georgetown, PA (Georgetown Road Substation)					
93	104 Linden, Midland, PA (Sunrise Hills)					
94	Hookstown, PA (McCleary & Pole Cat Hollow Roads)					
95	832 McCLeary Road, Hookstown, P)					
111-						
112	BVPS Site Perimeter Locations					

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-1 (Continued)

Operational Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

Section	Sample Type	Sample Site No.	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Preparation / Analysis Frequency	Analysis
3	Surface Water	49A ^(a)	Industry, PA (Upstream of Montgomery Dam)	Weekly Grab Sample ^(b)	Weekly Sample from Site 49A only	Iodine-131
		2.1	Midland, PA (ATI Allegheny Ludlum)	Weekly Intermittent Composite Sample ^(b)	Monthly Composite of Weekly Sample ^(c)	Gamma Scan
		5	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)	Daily Grab Sample Collected Weekly ^(b)	Quarterly Composite ^(c)	Tritium (H-3)
4	Groundwater	14A 15B	Hookstown, PA (Downstream) Georgetown, PA (Downstream)	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual	Gamma Scan Tritium (H-3)
5	Drinking Water	4	Midland, PA (Water Department)	Intermittent ^(d) Sample Collected Weekly	Weekly Composite of Daily sample ^(d)	Iodine-131
		5	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)		Monthly Composite ^(d) Quarterly Composite ^(d)	Gamma Scan Tritium (H-3)
6	Shoreline Sediment	2A 49A ^(a)	BVPS Outfall Vicinity Industry, PA (Upstream of Montgomery Dam)	Semi-Annual	Semi-Annual	Gamma Scan
		50	New Cumberland, WV (Upstream of Dam)			
7	Milk	* ^(k)		Weekly ^(e)	Weekly Samples from Searight only	Weekly Iodine-131 from Searight only
		27 96 ^(a) 113 ^(k) 114 ^(k)	Aliquippa, PA (Brunton Farm) Burgettstown, PA (Windsheimer Farm) Hookstown, PA (Halstead Farm) Hookstown, PA (Covert Residence)	Biweekly ^(f) When animals are on pasture; monthly at other times	All other samples & analyses are Biweekly during grazing, but Monthly during other times	Gamma Scan Iodine-131 Strontium-89 Strontium-90
		* ^(k)				
8	Fish	2A 49A ^(a)	BVPS Outfall Vicinity Industry, PA (Upstream of Montgomery Dam)	Semi-Annual	Composite of edible parts by species ^(g)	Gamma Scan on edible parts
9	Food Crops	10 ^{(l)(m)} 15 ^{(l)(m)} 12 ^{(l)(m)} 46 ^{(l)(m)} 48 ^{(a)(l)(m)} * ^{(l)(m)}	Shippingport, PA Georgetown, PA Racoon Township, PA Industry, PA Weirton, WV	Annual at Harvest if available	Composite of each sample species	Gamma Scan Iodine-131 on green leafy vegetables

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-1 (Continued)

Operational Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

Section	Sample Type	Sample Site No.	Sample Location	Sample Frequency	Sample Preparation / Analysis Frequency	Analysis
10	Feedstuff & Summer Forage	25	Hookstown, PA (Searight Farm)	Monthly	Monthly	Gamma Scan
11	Soil	13A 22 27B 29A 30A 32A 46B 47A 48 (a) 51A	Hookstown, PA (Old Meyer Farm) South of BVPS, Transmission Lines Aliquippa, PA (Brunton Farm) Beaver, PA (Nicol Farm) Shippingport, PA (Cook's Ferry Substation) Midland, PA (North Substation) Industry, PA (Willows Inn - Rt. 68) East Liverpool, OH (Water Department) Weirton WV (Water Tower - Collier Way) Aliquippa, PA (Sheffield Substation)	Every Three (3) Years (2009, 2012, 2015)	12 Core Samples 3" Deep (2" diameter at each location approx. 10' radius)	Gamma Scan
12	Precipitation	30 47 48 (a)	Shippingport, PA (Cook's Ferry Substation) East Liverpool, OH (Water Department) Weirton WV (Water Tower-Collier Way)	Weekly grab samples when available	Quarterly Composite (c)	Gamma Scan Tritium (H-3)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-1 (Continued)

Operational Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

Notes for Table 2-1

- (a) Control Sample Station: These Locations which are presumed to be outside the influence of plant effluents.
- (b) Particulate Samples are not counted within 24 hours after filter change. Perform Gamma isotopic analysis on each sample when gross beta is greater than 10 times the yearly mean of control samples.
- (c) Long-term composite samples are obtained from short-term composite samples at the specified locations.
- (d) Composite samples are collected at intervals not exceeding 2 hours.
- (e) Weekly milk sample from the Searight Dairy is analyzed for Iodine-131 only.
- (f) Milk samples are collected bi-weekly when animals are grazing. The milk samples are collected monthly at other times.
- (g) The fish samples contain whatever species are available.
IF adequate sample size is available, THEN the sample is separated according to species, and compositing will provide one sample of each species.
IF adequate sample size is not available, THEN separation by species is not practical. Therefore edible parts of all fish in the sample are mixed to provide one sample.
- (h) Composite samples are obtained by collecting an aliquot at intervals not exceeding 2 hours at location 2.1. The water treatment plant operator at location 5 obtains the weekly grab sample from the daily composite grab samples. For location 49A, the weekly grab sample is obtained by a field technician.
- (i) Two (2) TLDs are collected quarterly from each monitoring location.
- (k) ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Table 3.12-1 requires three (3) dairies to be selected on basis of highest potential thyroid dose using milch census data. See Section 2-E of this report (Monitoring of Local Cows Milk) for specific locations sampled.
- (l) Three (3) garden locations required by 1/2-ODC-2.03, Attachment A Table 3.0-1; Sites designated by 1/2-ODC-2.03 Attachment B Figure 3.0-5. Sampling locations may be altered by the REMP Administrator at any time based on availability.
- (m) When there are not enough milk sample locations available to meet the ODCM requirements, three (3) different types of broad leaf vegetation are to be sampled at each of two (2) indicator locations based on the highest predicted annual average ground D/Q (as determined from the previous year's Land Use Census results), in addition to those samples described in Note (l). Three (3) different types of broad leaf vegetation shall also be sampled at one (1) control location when in this condition.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

2. Summary of Results

All results of this monitoring program are summarized in Table 2-2. This table is prepared in the format specified by the NRC via the Branch Technical Position in NUREG-1301, and in accordance with Beaver Valley Power Station Offsite Dose Calculation Manual. Summaries of results of analysis of each media are discussed in Sections 2-B through 2-H and an assessment of radiation doses are given in Section 2-I. Table 2-3 summarizes BVPS pre-operational ranges for the various sampling media during the years 1974 and 1975. Comparisons of pre-operational data with operational data indicate the ranges of values are generally in good agreement for both periods of time.

Activity detected was attributed to naturally occurring radionuclides, BVPS effluents, previous nuclear weapons tests or to the normal statistical fluctuation for activities near the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD).

The conclusion from all program data is that the operation of BVPS has resulted in no significant changes to the environment.

3. Quality Control Program

The Quality Control Program implemented by BVPS to assure reliable performance by the contractor and the supporting QC data are presented and discussed in Section 4 of this report.

4. Program Changes

There was one significant change to the sampling program during the report period that will be effective for the 2015 growing season. Available milk sampling stations have been declining within the radius of BVPS (described in more detail in section 2-E of this report.) Searight's Dairy farm stopped producing milk as of December 13th 2013 and has been removed from the sampling program for future growing seasons (see NRC / DEP letter L-13-169 and CR-2013-03554). Halstead Dairy farm gave notice on January 13th 2014 that the dairy would be closing and no longer be producing milk. The dairy will be removed from the sampling program when milk samples are no longer available (see NRC / DEP letter L-14-079 and CR-2014-00685). Vegetation sample requirements in the ODCM were increased in order to accommodate the decline in dairy farms and remain effective.

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Air Particulate and Radioiodine

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / cubic meter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Gross Beta 520	< 0.002	0.024 (468 / 468) 0.009 - 0.039	No. 13 Old Meyer Farm 1.5 miles SW	0.025 (52 / 52) 0.011 - 0.037	No. 48 Weirton, WV Water Tower Collier Way 16.3 miles SSW	0.025 (52 / 52) 0.011 - 0.038	0
I-131 520	< 0.04	LLD (0 / 468)		LLD (0 / 468)	No. 48 Weirton, WV Water Tower Collier Way 16.3 miles SSW	LLD (0 / 52)	0
Gamma 40							
Be-7	NA	0.067 (36 / 36) 0.051 - 0.087	No. 51 Aliquippa, PA 8.0 miles E	0.073 (4 / 4) 0.055 - 0.087	No. 48 Weirton, WV Water Tower Collier Way 16.3 miles SSW	0.069 (4 / 4) 0.051 - 0.081	NA
Co-60	NA	LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 4)	NA
Cs-134	< 0.0005	LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Cs-137	< 0.0005	LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Ba-La-140	NA	LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 36)		LLD (0 / 4)	NA

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Drinking Water

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / liter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
I-131 155	< 0.5	0.4 (20 / 103) 0.3 - 0.6	No. 4 Midland Water 1.3 miles NW	0.4 (13 / 52) 0.3 - 0.6	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.7 (28 / 52) 0.2 - 1.4	0
H-3 12	< 200	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Gamma 36							
Mn-54	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Fe-59	< 10	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Co-58	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Co-60	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Zn-65	< 10	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Zr-Nb-95	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Cs-134	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Cs-137	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Ba-La-140	< 10	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Surface Water

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / liter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
I-131 52	< 0.5				No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.7 (28 / 52) 0.2 - 1.4	0
H-3 12	< 200	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Gamma 36							
Mn-54	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Fe-59	< 10	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Co-58	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Co-60	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Zn-65	< 10	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Zr-Nb-95	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Cs-134	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Cs-137	< 5	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Ba-La-140	< 10	LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 24)		LLD (0 / 12)	0

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Ground Water

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / liter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
H-3 4	< 200	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Gamma 4					Note: There is no longer a well available for sampling in Shippingport		
Mn-54	< 5	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Fe-59	< 10	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Co-58	< 5	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Co-60	< 5	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Zn-65	< 10	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Zr-Nb-95	< 5	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Cs-134	< 5	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Cs-137	< 5	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			
Ba-La-140	< 10	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)			

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Precipitation Water

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / liter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
H-3 12	< 200	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)	No. 48 Weirton, WV Water Tower Collier Way 16.3 miles SSW	LLD (0 / 4)	0
Gamma 12							
Mn-54	< 5	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Fe-59	< 10	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Co-58	< 5	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Co-60	< 5	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Zn-65	< 10	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Zr-Nb-95	< 5	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Cs-134	< 5	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Cs-137	< 5	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0
Ba-La-140	< 10	LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 8)		LLD (0 / 4)	0

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Milk

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / liter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
I-131 48	< 0.5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)	No. 96 Burgettstown, PA Windsheimer Farm 10.4 miles SSW	LLD (0 / 20)	0
Sr-89 48	< 2.0	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Sr-90 48	< 0.7	1.0 (20 / 28) 0.5 - 2.9	No. 114 Hookstown, PA Covert Residence 1.9 miles SW	1.6 (6 / 6) 1.0 - 2.9	No. 96 Burgettstown, PA Windsheimer Farm 10.4 miles SSW	1.1 (15 / 20) 0.6 - 2.1	NA
Gamma 48							
K-40	< 150	1417 (28 / 28) 1231 - 1852	No. 114 Hookstown, PA Covert Residence 1.9 miles SW	1573 (6 / 6) 1372 - 1852	No. 96 Burgettstown, PA Windsheimer Farm 10.4 miles SSW	1346 (20 / 20) 1281 - 1428	NA
Mn-54	< 5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Fe-59	< 10	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Co-58	< 5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Co-60	< 5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Zn-65	< 10	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Zr-Nb-95	< 5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	NA
Cs-134	< 5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	0
Cs-137	< 5	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	0
Ba-La-140	< 10	LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 28)		LLD (0 / 20)	0

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Fish

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Wet

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Gamma 16					No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE		
Mn-54	< 0.05	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Fe-59	< 0.10	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Co-58	< 0.05	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Co-60	< 0.05	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Zn-65	< 0.10	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Zr-Nb-95	< 0.01	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	NA
Cs-134	< 0.05	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Cs-137	< 0.05	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	0
Ba-La-140	< 0.01	LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 10)		LLD (0 / 6)	NA

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Foodcrops

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Wet

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
I-131 19	< 0.06	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	0
Gamma 19							
K-40	NA	3.00 (16 / 16) 1.44 - 8.44	No. 12A Raccoon, PA 2.3 miles E	4.05 (12 / 12) 2.13 - 8.44	No. 48B Weirton, WV 16.5 miles SSW	4.03 (3 / 3) 2.29 - 5.73	NA
Mn-54	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
Fe-59	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
Co-58	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
Co-60	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
Zn-65	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
Zr-Nb-95	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
Cs-134	0.04	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	0
Cs-137	0.06	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)	No. 48B Weirton, WV 16.5 miles SSW	LLD (0 / 3)	0
Ba-La-140	NA	LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 16)		LLD (0 / 3)	NA
C-14 4	NA	228.7 (2 / 2) 226.7 - 230.8	No. 10B Shippingport, PA 1.0 miles ENE	228.7 (2 / 2) 226.7 - 230.8	No. 48 Weirton, WV 16.5 miles SSW	228.7 (2 / 2) 224.7 - 232.6	NA

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Feedstuff

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Wet

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Gamma 12							
Be-7	< 0.2	1.49 (4 / 12) 0.21 - 4.31	No. 25 Searight Farm 948 McCleary Road Hookstown, PA 2.1 miles SSW	1.49 (4 / 12) 0.21 - 4.31	No. 25 Searight Farm 948 McCleary Road Hookstown, PA 2.1 miles SSW	1.49 (4 / 12) 0.21 - 4.31	NA
K-40	< 0.15	10.39 (12 / 12) 6.24 - 13.25	No. 25 Searight Farm 948 McCleary Road Hookstown, PA 2.1 miles SSW	10.39 (12 / 12) 6.24 - 13.25	No. 25 Searight Farm 948 McCleary Road Hookstown, PA 2.1 miles SSW	10.39 (12 / 12) 6.24 - 13.25	NA
Mn-54	< 0.02	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
Fe-59	< 0.04	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
Co-58	< 0.02	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
Co-60	< 0.02	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
Zn-65	< 0.04	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
Zr-Nb-95	< 0.03	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
Ru-103	< 0.03	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA
I-131	< 0.06	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Cs-134	< 0.04	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Cs-137	< 0.06	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	0
Ba-La-140	< 0.01	LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)		LLD (0 / 12)	NA

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Sediment (page 1 of 2)

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Dry

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Gamma 6							
K-40	NA	8.78 (4 / 4) 8.56 - 9.07	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	8.82 (2 / 2) 8.56 - 9.07	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	10.27 (2 / 2) 10.07 - 10.47	NA
Mn-54	< 0.02	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Fe-59	< 0.03	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Co-58	< 0.02	0.20 (2 / 4) LLD - 0.34	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.20 (2 / 2) 0.06 - 0.34		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Co-60	< 0.02	0.27 (2 / 4) LLD - 0.38	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.27 (2 / 2) 0.15 - 0.38		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Zn-65	< 0.04	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Zr-95	< 0.03	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Nb-95	< 0.03	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Cs-134	< 0.06	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	0
Cs-137	< 0.08	0.07 (4 / 4) 0.03 - 0.10	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.10 (2 / 2) 0.09 - 0.10	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.07 (1 / 2) 0.07 - 0.07	0
Ba-La-140	< 0.03	LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 4)		LLD (0 / 2)	NA
Tl-208	NA	0.28 (4 / 4) 0.26 - 0.30	No. 50 Upstream New Cumberland Dam 11.8 miles WSW	0.28 (2 / 2) 0.27 - 0.28	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.31 (2 / 2) 0.30 - 0.31	NA

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Sediment (page 2 of 2)

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Dry

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Bi-214	NA	0.70 (4 / 4) 0.62 - 0.78	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.70 (2 / 2) 0.62 - 0.78	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.88 (2 / 2) 0.83 - 0.93	NA
Pb-212	NA	0.89 (4 / 4) 0.71 - 1.15	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.95 (2 / 2) 0.74 - 1.15	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.96 (2 / 2) 0.77 - 1.14	NA
Pb-214	NA	0.84 (4 / 4) 0.76 - 0.91	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.91 (2 / 2) 0.91 - 0.91	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.97 (2 / 2) 0.85 - 1.09	NA
Ra-226	NA	1.65 (4 / 4) 1.43 - 1.90	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	1.67 (2 / 2) 1.43 - 1.90	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	1.73 (2 / 2) 1.72 - 1.73	NA
Ac-228	NA	0.93 (4 / 4) 0.78 - 1.05	No. 2A BVPS Outfall Vicinity 0.2 miles WSW	0.93 (2 / 2) 0.86 - 1.00	No. 49A Industry, PA Upstream of Montgomery Dam 5.0 miles NE	0.96 (2 / 2) 0.92 - 0.99	NA

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Soil (page 1 of 2)

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Dry

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Gamma							
<p>Note: Soil Sampling is performed every three (3) years. Sampling was performed in 2012, and is next due in 2015.</p>							
K-40							
Mn-54							
Fe-59							
Co-58							
Co-60							
Zn-65							
Zr-95							
Nb-95							
Cs-134							
Cs-137							

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: Soil (page 2 of 2)

Unit of Measurement: (picoCuries / gram) Dry

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Note: Soil Sampling is performed every three (3) years. Sampling was performed in 2012, and is next due in 2015.							
Ba-La-140	NA						
Tl-208	NA						
Bi-214	NA						
Pb-212	NA						
Pb-214	NA						
Ra-226	NA						
Ac-228	NA						

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.
Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-2 (Continued)

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 1 and Unit 2

Docket No.: 50-334 / 50-412

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania

Reporting Period: Calendar Year - 2014

Medium: External Radiation

Unit of Measurement: (mR / Quarter)

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection LLD ^(a)	All Indicator Locations Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Locations with Highest Annual Mean		Control Location		Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements ^(c)
			Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	Name Distance and Direction	Mean (fraction) ^(b) Range ^(b)	
Gamma 525	4.6	17.8 (517 / 517) 10.7 - 33.5	No. 112 BVPS Site Perimeter Location 0.3 miles SSE	26.5 (8 / 8) 20.0 - 33.5	No. 48 Weirton, WV Water Tower Collier Way 16.4 miles SSW	19.5 (8 / 8) 18.1 - 21.2	0

^a Nominal Lower Limit of Detection

^b Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only.

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses (fraction)

^c Nonroutine Reported Measurements (Reference: ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q, Control 3.12.1)

NA = Not Applicable (Naturally Occurring Radionuclides Not required by ODCM)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-3
Pre-Operational Environmental Radiological Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Docket No.: 50-334

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania Reporting Period: Calendar years 1974 - 1975

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit of Measurement)	Analysis and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)	All Indicator Locations Mean, (f) Range		
Sediments (picoCurie /gram) dry	Gross Alpha (0)	--	--	--	--
	Gross Beta (33)	1	18	(33/33)	5 - 30
	Sr-90 (0)	--	--	--	--
	U-234, 235, 238 (0)	--	--	--	--
	Gamma (33)	--	13	(33/33)	2 - 30
	K-40	1.5	13	(33/33)	2 - 30
	Cs-137	0.1	0.4	(21/33)	0.1 - 0.6
	Zr/Nb-95	0.05	0.8	(12/33)	0.2 - 3.2
	Ce-144	0.3	0.5	(3/33)	0.4 - 0.7
	Ru-106(a)	0.3	1.5	(3/33)	1.3 - 1.8
Others	--	--	< LLD	--	
Foodcrops (picoCurie /gram) dry	Gamma (8)	--	--	--	--
	K-40	1	33	(8/8)	10 - 53
	Cs-137	0.1	0.2	(1/8)	--
	Zr/Nb-95	0.05	0.2	(1/8)	--
	Ru-106(a)	0.3	0.8	(1/8)	--
Others	--	--	< LLD	--	
Feedstuff (picoCurie /gram) dry	Gross Beta (80)	0.05	19	(80/80)	8 - 50
	Sr-89 (81)	0.025	0.2	(33/81)	0.04 - 0.93
	Sr-90 (81)	0.005	0.4	(78/81)	0.02 - 0.81
	Gamma (81)	--	--	--	--
	K-40	1	19	(75/81)	5 - 46
	Cs-137	0.1	0.5	(6/81)	0.2 - 1.6
	Ce-144	0.3	1.5	(5/81)	0.9 - 2.6
	Zr/Nb-95	0.05	0.8	(13/81)	0.2 - 1.8
	Ru-106(a)	0.3	1.4	(12/81)	0.6 - 2.3
Others	--	--	< LLD	--	
Soil - Template Samples - (picoCurie /gram) dry	Gross Alpha (0)	--	--	--	--
	Gross Beta (64)	1	22	(64/64)	14 - 32
	Sr-89 (64)	0.25	0.4	(1/64)	--
	Sr-90 (64)	0.05	0.3	(48/64)	0.1 - 1.3
	U-234, 235, 238 (0)	--	--	--	--
	Gamma (64)	--	--	--	--
	K-40	1.5	13	(63/64)	5 - 24
	Cs-137	0.1	1.5	(56/64)	0.1 - 6.8
	Ce-144	0.3	1.1	(7/64)	0.2 - 3
	Zr/Nb-95	0.05	0.3	(13/64)	0.1 - 2
	Ru-106(a)	0.3	1.1	(3/64)	0.5 - 2
Others	--	--	< LLD	--	

(f) Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-3 (Continued)

Pre-Operational Environmental Radiological Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station Docket No.: 50-334

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania Reporting Period: Calendar years 1974 - 1975

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit of Measurement)	Analysis and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)	All Indicator Locations Mean, (f) Range		
Soil - Core Samples - (picoCurie /gram) dry	Gross Alpha (0)	--	--	--	--
	Gross Beta (8)	1	21	(8/8)	16 - 28
	Sr-89 (8)	0.25		< LLD	
	Sr-90 (8)	0.05	0.2	(5/8)	0.08 - 0.5
	Gamma (8)	--		--	
	K-40	1.5	13	(8/8)	7 - 20
	Cs-137	0.1	1.2	(7/8)	0.2 - 2.4
	Co-60	0.1	0.2	(1/8)	--
	Others	--		< LLD	
Surface Water (picoCurie / liter)	Gross Alpha (40)	0.3	0.75	(5/40)	0.6 - 1.1
	Gross Beta (120)	0.6	4.4	(120/120)	2.5 - 11.4
	Gamma (1)	10 - 60		< LLD	
	Tritium (121)	100	300	(120/121)	180 - 800
	Sr-89 (0)	--		--	
	Sr-90 (0)	--		--	
	C-14 (0)	--		--	
Drinking Water (picoCurie / liter)	I-131 (0)	--		--	
	Gross Alpha (50)	0.3	0.6	(4/50)	0.4 - 0.8
	Gross Beta (208)	0.6	3.8	(208/208)	2.3 - 6.4
	Gamma (0)	--		--	
	Tritium (211)	100	310	(211/211)	130 - 1000
	C-14 (0)	--		--	
	Sr-89 (0)	--		--	
Sr-90 (0)	--		--		
Ground Water (picoCurie / liter)	Gross Alpha (19)	0.3		< LLD	
	Gross Beta (76)	0.6	2.9	(73/75)(b)	1.3 - 8.0
	Tritium (81)	100	440	(77/81)	80 - 800
	Gamma (1)	10 - 60		< LLD	
Air Particulates and Gaseous (picoCurie /cubic meter)	Gross Alpha (188)	0.001	0.003	(35/188)	0.002 - 0.004
	Gross Beta (927)	0.006	0.07	(927/927)	0.02 - 0.32
	Sr-89 (0)	--		--	
	Sr-90 (0)	--		--	
	I-131 (816)	0.04	0.08	(2/816)	0.07 - 0.08
	Gamma (197)	--		--	
	Zr/Nb-95	0.005	0.04	(122/197)	0.01 - 0.16
	Ru-106	0.010	0.04	(50/197)	0.02 - 0.09
	Ce-141	0.010	0.02	(3/197)	0.01 - 0.04
	Ce-144	0.010	0.02	(44/197)	0.01 - 0.04
	Others	--		< LLD	
(f) Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location.					

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-3 (Continued)

Pre-Operational Environmental Radiological Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: Beaver Valley Power Station **Docket No.:** 50-334

Location of Facility: Beaver County, Pennsylvania **Reporting Period:** Calendar years 1974 - 1975

Medium or Pathway Sampled (Unit of Measurement)	Analysis and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)	All Indicator Locations Mean, (f) Range		
Milk (picoCurie / liter)	I-131 (91)	0.25	0.6	(4/91)	0.3 - 0.8
	Sr-89 (134)	5	7	(4/134)	6 - 11
	Sr-90 (134)	1	5.3	(132/134)	1.5 - 12.8
	Gamma (134)	--	--	--	--
	Cs-137 (134)	10	13	(19/134)	11 - 16
	Others		< LLD		
External Radiation (milli Roentgen / day)	γ - Monthly (599)	0.5 mR*	0.20	(599/599)	0.08 - 0.51
	γ - Quarterly (195)	0.5 mR*	0.20	(195/195)	0.11 - 0.38
	γ - Annual (48)	0.5 mR*	0.19	(48/48)	0.11 - 0.30
Fish (picoCurie / gram) wet	Gross Beta (17)	0.01	1.9	(15/17)	1.0 - 3.2
	Sr-90 (17)	0.005	0.14	(17/17)	0.02 - 0.50
	Gamma (17)	0.5			
	K-40 (17)	--	2.4	(17/17)	1.0 - 3.7
	Others		--	< LLD	

* LLD in units of mR - Lower end of useful integrated exposure detectability range for a passive radiation detector (TLD).

- (a) May include Ru-106, Ru-103, Be-7.
- (b) One outlier not included in mean. (Water taken from dried-up spring with high sediment and potassium content. Not considered typical groundwater sample).
- (f) Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

B. Air Monitoring

1. Characterization of Air and Meteorology

The air in the vicinity of the site contains pollutants typical for an industrial area. Air flow is generally from the southwest in summer and from the west in the winter.

2. Air Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques

a. Program

The air is sampled for gaseous radioiodine and radioactive particulates at each of ten (10) offsite air sampling stations. The locations of these stations are listed in Table 2-1 and shown on a map in Figure 2-1.

Samples are collected at each of these stations by continuously drawing two cubic feet per minute of atmosphere air through a glass fiber filter paper and a charcoal cartridge. The glass fiber filter paper is used for collection of airborne particulates, while the charcoal cartridge is used for collection of radioiodine. Samples are collected on a weekly basis.

The charcoal cartridge is used in the weekly analysis of airborne Iodine-131. The glass fiber filter papers are analyzed each week for gross beta, then composited by station each quarter for gamma spectrometry analysis. In order to reduce interference from short-lived naturally occurring radioactivity (e.g. Radon and Thorium), the glass fiber filter papers are allowed to decay prior to performing beta analysis in a low background counting system.

b. Procedures

Gross Beta Analysis of Filter Paper: Analysis is performed by placing the glass fiber filter paper from the weekly air sample in a 2 inch planchet followed by analysis in a low background, gas flow proportional counter.

Gamma Emitter Analysis of Filter Paper: Analysis is performed by stacking all of the glass fiber filter papers collected from each monitoring station during the quarter and scanning the composite on a high resolution germanium gamma spectrometer.

Iodine-131 Analysis of Charcoal Cartridge: Analysis is performed by a gamma scan of each charcoal cartridge.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of data is presented in Table 2-2.

a. Airborne Radioactive Particulates

Gross Beta: A total of **five hundred twenty (520)** weekly samples from ten (10) locations were analyzed for gross beta. The results were comparable to that of previous years. Figure 2-2 indicates the weekly average concentration of gross beta in air particulates.

Gamma Spectrometry: A total of **forty (40)** quarterly samples were composited from ten (10) locations and analyzed for gamma spectrometry. **Naturally occurring Beryllium-7 was identified in thirty six of thirty six (36 of 36) indicator samples, and four of four (4 of 4) control samples. No other gammas were identified.** A summary of the analysis results during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown on Figure 2-2.

Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: **There were no deviations from the required airborne particulate sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.**

Summary: Based on the analytical results, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in air particulate radioactivity during the report period.

b. Airborne Radioiodine

Iodine-131: A total of **five-hundred-twenty (520)** weekly charcoal filter samples were analyzed for Iodine-131. **Iodine-131 was not identified in any of the four hundred sixty eight (468) indicator samples, nor was it identified in any of the fifty two (52) control samples.**


Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: **There were no deviations from the required airborne radioiodine sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.**

Summary: Based on analytical results, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in airborne radioiodine during the report period.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-1

Environmental Monitoring Locations - Air Sampling Stations




Sample Type	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Sample Point Description
Air Particulate & Radioiodine	13	11-SW	1.49	Hookstown, PA (Old Meyer Farm)
	27	7-SE	6.14	Aliquippa, Pa (Brunton Farm)
	28	1-N	8.60	Beaver Falls, PA (Sherman Farm)
	29B	3-NE	7.97	Beaver, PA (Friendship Ridge)
	30	4-ENE	0.43	Shippingport, PA (Cook's Ferry Substation)
	32	15-NW	0.75	Midland, PA (North Substation - Rt. 68)
	46.1	2-NNE/ 3-NE	2.28	Industry, PA (McKeels Service - Rt. 68)
	47	14-WNW	4.88	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)
	48	10-SSW	16.40	Weirton, WV (Water Tower, Collier Way)
	51	5-E	8.00	Aliquippa, PA (Sheffield Substation)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-2

Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Gross Beta in Air Particulates



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

C. Monitoring of Shoreline Stream Sediment and Soil

1. Characterization of Shoreline Stream Sediment and Soil

The stream sediment (river bottoms) consists largely of sand and silt. Soil samples may vary from sand and silt to a heavy clay with variable amounts of organic material.

2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques

a. Program

Shoreline stream sediment was collected semi-annually above the Montgomery Dam, in the vicinity of the BVPS outfall structure, and above the New Cumberland Dam. A Ponar or Eckman dredge is used to collect the sample. The sampling locations are also listed in Table 2-1 and are shown in Figure 2-3.

Although not required by the ODCM, soil samples are collected every three (3) years. Soil samples were collected at each of the ten (10) locations during 2012 and are scheduled to be collected again in 2015. At each location, twelve (12) core samples (3" diameter by 2" deep) are gathered at prescribed points on a 10 foot radius circle. Each location is permanently marked with reference pins. Each set of samples is systematically selected by moving along the radius in such a manner as to assure representative undisturbed samples. Sampling locations are listed in Table 2-1 and are shown in Figure 2-3.

Shoreline stream sediment and soil are analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides.

b. Analytical Procedures

Gamma Emitter Analysis of Stream Sediment: Analysis is performed in a 300 mL plastic bottle and analyzed by gamma spectrometry.

Gamma Emitter Analysis of Soil: Although not required by the ODCM, analysis is performed in a 300 mL plastic bottle and analyzed by gamma spectrometry.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of the analysis results during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown on Figure 2-4 and Figure 2-5.

a. Shoreline Stream Sediment

Gamma Spectrometry: A total of six (6) sediment samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. Naturally occurring Potassium-40, Thallium-208, Lead-212, Lead-214, Bismuth-214, Radium-226 and Actinium-228, were detected in four of four (4 of 4) indicator samples and two of two (2 of 2) control samples.

Cesium-137: Radionuclide Cesium-137 was identified in four of four (4 of 4) indicator samples and one of two (1 of 2) control samples. The results were similar to that of previous years (current annual range = 0.03 to 0.10 picoCurie / gram) and less than the pre-operational level of 0.4 picoCurie / gram. Also, because Cesium-137 was identified at the control location (upstream), then it was not due to plant effluent releases and is most likely residual contamination due from previous nuclear weapons tests.

Cobalt-58: Radionuclide Cobalt-58 was identified in two of four (2 of 4) indicator samples and zero of two (0 of 2) control samples. The samples which indicated Cobalt-58 were obtained at the shore line of the BVPS Main Outfall Facility. The results were slightly higher than previous years (current annual range = LLD to 0.34 picoCurie / gram), and the data is slightly higher than the BVPS Main Outfall Facility pre-operational level of 0.098 picoCurie / gram.

Cobalt-60: Radionuclide Cobalt-60 was identified in two of four (2 of 4) indicator samples and zero of two (0 of 2) control samples. The samples which indicated Cobalt-60 were obtained at the shore line of the BVPS Main Outfall Facility. The results were similar to previous years (current annual range = LLD to 0.38 pico Curie / gram), and the data is currently slightly higher than the BVPS Main Outfall Facility pre-operational level of 0.4 picoCurie / gram.

Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: There were no deviations from the required sediment sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.

Summary: The identification of Cobalt-58 and Cobalt-60 in the shoreline stream sediment near the main outfall facility is not unusual because the plant discharges these radionuclides in liquid effluent releases. The analyses are consistent with discharge data of authorized liquid effluent releases, and all liquid effluent releases during the report period did not exceed the release limits set forth in the ODCM.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM


b. Soil

Although not required by ODCM, soil is sampled every three (3) years. Soil was last sampled in 2012 and will be performed during the 2015 calendar year.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-3

Environmental Monitoring Locations - Shoreline Sediments and Soil





Sample Type	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Sample Point Description
Soil	13A	11-SW	1.49	Hookstown, PA (Old Meyer Farm)
	22	8-SSE	0.28	South of BVPS, Transmission Lines
	27B	7-SE	6.19	Aliquippa, PA (Brunton Farm)
	29A	3-NE	8.09	Beaver, PA (Nicol Farm)
	30A	4-ENE	0.43	Shippingport, PA (Cooks Ferry Substation)
	32A	15-NW	0.74	Midland, PA (North Substation)
	46B	3-NE	2.66	Industry, PA (Willows Inn – Rt. 68)
	47A	14-WNW	4.89	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)
	48	10-SSW	16.40	Weirton, WV (Collier Way Water Tower)
	51A	5-E	7.99	Aliquippa, PA (Sheffield Substation)
Sediment	2A	12-WSW	0.31	Shippingport, PA (BVPS Outfall Vicinity)
	49A	3-NE	4.93	Industry, PA (Upstream Montgomery Dam)
	50	12-WSW	11.77	New Cumberland, WV (Upstream of Dam)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-4


Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137, Cobalt-58 & Cobalt-60 in Sediment



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-5

Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137 in Soil



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

D. Monitoring of Feedstuff and Foodcrops

1. Characterization of Farm Products

According to the 2007 Census of Agriculture⁽¹⁾, there were eight hundred twenty four 824 farms in Beaver County. Total market value of production (Crops and Livestock) was \$15,187,000.00. Some of the principal sources of revenue (>\$50,000.00) are as follows:

Milk and Other Dairy Products from Cows	\$5,647,000.00
Nursery, Greenhouse, Floriculture and Sod	\$2,813,000.00
Grains, Oil Seeds, Dry Beans and Dry Peas	\$1,243,000.00
Other Crops and Hay	\$1,120,000.00
Vegetables, Melons, Potatoes and Sweet Potatoes	\$989,000.00
Fruits, Tree Nuts and Berries	\$449,000.00
Poultry and Eggs	\$327,000.00
Cut Christmas Trees, and Short Rotation Woody Crops	\$204,000.00
Horses, Ponies, Mules, Burros, and Donkeys	\$182,000.00
Sheep, Goats and their Products	\$90,000.00
Hogs & Pigs	Undisclosed Amount
Aquaculture	Undisclosed Amount
Cattle and Calves	Undisclosed Amount
Other Animals and Other Animal Products	Undisclosed Amount

(1) http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2007/Online_Highlights/County_Profiles/Pennsylvania/index.asp

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques

a. Program

Feedstuff: Although not required by the ODCM, representative samples of feedstuff (cattle feed) are collected monthly from the nearest dairy farm (Searight Dairy) and analyzed by gamma spectrometry. See Figure 2-6.

Foodcrops (leafy vegetables): Foodcrops are collected at garden locations during the growing season. Leafy vegetables (e.g. cabbage) are obtained from Shippingport, Georgetown, and Industry, Pennsylvania. Samples are obtained from two (2) additional locations based upon the highest predicted annual average ground D/Q when milk locations are unavailable. Samples are also obtained from the control location in Weirton, West Virginia. All samples are analyzed for gamma emitters by gamma spectrometry. Samples are also analyzed by radiochemical analysis for Iodine-131.

b. Procedures

Gamma Emitter Analysis of Foodcrops: Analysis is performed by scanning a dried, homogenized sample with a gamma spectrometry system. A high resolution germanium detector is utilized with this system. Samples of feedstuff and foodcrops are loaded into tare weight 300 or 150 mL plastic bottles or 1-liter Marinelli containers, weighed and the net weight of the sample is determined prior to scanning for gamma emitters.

Gamma Emitter Analysis of Feedstuff: Although not required by the ODCM, analysis is performed by scanning a dried, homogenized sample with a gamma spectrometry system. A high resolution germanium detector is utilized with this system. Samples of feedstuff and foodcrops are loaded into tare weight 300 or 150 mL plastic bottles or 1-liter Marinelli containers, weighed and the net weight of the sample is determined prior to scanning for gamma emitters.

Iodine-131 Analysis of Foodcrops: Analysis is performed by radiochemistry. A stable iodide carrier is added to a chopped sample, which is then leached with a sodium hydroxide solution, evaporated to dryness and fused in a muffle furnace. The melt is dissolved in water, filtered and then treated with sodium hypochlorite. The iodate is then reduced to iodine with hydroxylamine hydrochloride and is extracted with toluene. It is then back-extracted as iodide into sodium bisulfite solution and precipitated as palladium iodide. The precipitate is weighed for chemical yield and is mounted on a nylon planchet for low level beta counting.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Carbon-14 Analysis of Foodcrops: Analysis is performed by accelerator mass spectrometry radiocarbon dating. Samples are converted into solid graphite via combustion and various chemical reactions. Samples (and reference materials) are pressed into metal discs and loaded onto a target wheel. Ions from a Cesium gun are fired at the discs to create negatively charged carbon atoms. Ions are then passed along a stripper causing them to lose their electrons and gain a triple positive charge. At this point, only carbon atoms remain because other molecules in the sample cannot exist in this triple positive state. For mass analysis, a magnetic field is applied to the moving particles which causes them to deflect along the path they are traveling in relation to their masses, allowing the number of particles to be measured.

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of the analysis results during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown on Figure 2-7.

a. Feedstuff

Gamma Spectrometry: Although not required by the ODCM, a total of **twelve (12)** samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry. **Naturally occurring Potassium-40 was identified in twelve of twelve (12 of 12) samples. Naturally occurring Beryllium-7 was found in four of twelve (4 of 12) samples.**

Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: There were no deviations from the required feedstuff sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.

Summary: The data from the feedstuff analyses was consistent with previous data. Based on the analytical results, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in radioactivity in the feedstuff during the report period.

b. Foodcrops

Iodine-131: A total of **nineteen (19) samples** were analyzed for Iodine-131. **No detectable concentrations were present in the sixteen (16) indicator samples or the three (3) control samples.**

Gamma Spectrometry: A total of **nineteen (19) samples** were analyzed by gamma spectrometry. **Naturally occurring Potassium-40 was identified in sixteen of sixteen (16 of 16) indicator samples and three of three (3 of 3) control samples.**

Carbon-14: Although not required by the ODCM, a total of **four (4) samples** were analyzed. **Radionuclide carbon-14 was identified in two of two (2 of 2) indicator samples and two of two (2 of 2) control samples.**


Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: There were no deviations from the required foodstuff sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Summary: The data from the foodcrops analyses was consistent with previous data. Based on the analytical results, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in radioactivity in the foodcrops during the report period.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-6



Environmental Monitoring Locations – Feedstuff and Foodcrops


Sample Type	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Sample Point Description
Feed	25	10-SSW	2.10	Hookstown, PA (Searight Farm)
Food	10*	*	*	Shippingport, PA
	15*	*	*	Georgetown, PA
	46*	*	*	Industry, PA
	48*	*	*	Weirton, WV
	*	*	*	2 locations based on highest predicted D/Q

Individual garden locations may change based upon availability. The requirements are met as long as one garden is sampled from each of these communities.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-7

Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137 in Feedstuff and Foodcrops



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

E. Monitoring of Local Cow and Goat Milk

1. Description - Milch Animal Locations

Samples of fresh milk are obtained from milch animals at locations and frequencies noted in Table 2-1. The milk is analyzed for its radioiodine content, gamma emitters, Strontium-89 and Strontium-90.

Detailed field surveys are performed during the grazing season to locate and enumerate milch animals within a five (5) mile radius of the site. Survey data for the most recent survey conducted is shown in Section 3, Land Use Census.

2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques

a. Program

Cow milk was collected from the **one (1)** reference dairy farms within a 10-mile radius of the BVPS. These milk samples were obtained at the **Brunton Dairy Farm (6.1 miles SE)**.

Cow milk was also collected from **one (1)** other dairy farm within a 10-mile radius of the BVPS site. **The cow milk samples obtained at the Halstead Dairy Farm (5.1 miles SSW)** was selected based on milch animal surveys and evaluations of meteorological data (i.e. deposition parameters). It was added to the sampling program to ensure the highest potential milk pathway for radioiodine uptake is evaluated. **BVPS was notified that Halstead Dairy Farm had closed in samples were not obtained after January 2014. There was one goat milk location available at the Covert Residences (1.9 miles SW) from which samples were obtained.** The dairies are subject to change based upon availability of milk or when more recent data (milch animal census, and/or change in meteorological conditions) indicate other locations are more appropriate.

Cow milk was also collected from the **one (1)** control location dairy farm outside of the 10-mile radius. These milk samples were obtained at the **Windsheimer Dairy Farm (10.4 miles SSW)**.

The cow milk sample from the **Brunton Dairy Farm (6.1 miles SE)** is collected and analyzed monthly for Iodine-131 using a method that ensures a high sensitivity. Samples from each of the other dairies are collected monthly when cows are indoors and bi-weekly when cows are grazing. The monthly and/or bi-weekly sample is analyzed for principle gamma emitters (including Cesium-137 by high resolution germanium gamma spectrometry), and Iodine-131 high sensitivity analysis. Although not required by the ODCM, the monthly and/or bi-weekly sample is also analyzed for Strontium-89, Strontium-90.

The location of each is shown in Figure 2-8 and described below.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-8

Table of Local Cow and Goat Locations

Site	Dairy	Approximate Number of Animals being Milked	Distance and Direction from Midpoint between Unit 1 and Unit 2 Reactor	Collection Period
25*	Searight Dairy 948 McCleary Road Hookstown, PA	0 Cows Dairy Closed end of 2013	2.1 miles SSW	January thru December
27	Brunton Dairy 3681 Ridge Road Aliquippa, PA	96 Cows	6.1 miles SE	January thru December
96	Windsheimer Dairy RD #11 Burgettstown, PA	76 Cows	10.4 miles SSW	January thru December
113*	Halstead Dairy 104 Tellish Drive Hookstown, PA	0 Cows Dairy Closed beginning of 2014	5.1 miles SSW	January thru December
* Highest potential pathway dairies based on evaluation of deposition parameters				

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

b. Procedure

Iodine-131 Analysis of Milk: The milk samples are chemically prepared, and then analyzed with a low-level beta counting system.

Gamma Emitter Analysis of Milk: This is determined by gamma spectrometry analysis of a 1 liter Marinelli container of milk.

Strontium-90 Analysis of Milk: Although not required by the ODCM, the milk samples are prepared by adding a stable strontium carrier and evaporating to dryness, then ashing in a muffle furnace, followed by precipitating phosphates. Strontium is purified in all samples by the Argonne method using 3 grams of extraction material in a chromatographic column. Stable yttrium carrier is added and the sample is allowed to stand for a minimum of 5 days for the in-growth of Yttrium-90 (Y-90). Yttrium is then precipitated as hydroxide dissolved and re-precipitated as oxalate. The yttrium oxalate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is counted in a low-level beta counter to infer Strontium-90 activity.

Strontium-89 Analysis of Milk: Although not required by the ODCM, the Strontium-89 activity is determined by precipitating strontium carbonate (SrCO_3) from the sample after Yttrium separation. This precipitate is mounted on a nylon planchet and is covered with an 80 mg/cm^2 aluminum absorber for low level beta counting. Chemical yields of Strontium and Yttrium are determined by gravimetric means.

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of the analysis results during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of Iodine-131 and Strontium-90 analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown on Figure 2-9.

- a. Strontium-89: Although not required by the ODCM, a total of **forty eight (48)** milk samples were analyzed for Strontium-89 during the report period. Strontium-89 was **not detected** in any of the **twenty eight (28)** indicator samples, nor was it detected in any of the **twenty (20)** control samples.
- b. Strontium-90: Although not required by the ODCM, a total of **forty eight (48)** milk samples were analyzed for Strontium-90 during the report period. Strontium-90 was **detected in twenty of twenty eight (20 of 28)** indicator samples and **fifteen of twenty (15 of 20)** control samples. The levels detected were attributed to previous nuclear weapons tests and are **within the expected range**.
- c. Gamma Spectrometry: A total of **forty eight (48)** milk samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. **Naturally occurring Potassium-40 was present in twenty eight of twenty eight (28 of 28)** indicator samples and **twenty of twenty (20 of 20)** control samples. No other gamma-emitting radionuclides were identified during analysis.


SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

- d. Iodine-131: A total of forty eight (48) milk samples were analyzed for Iodine-131 during the report period. Iodine-131 was not detected in any of the twenty eight (28) indicator samples, nor was it detected in any of the twenty (20) control samples.
- e. Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis: There was a deviation from the required milk sampling and analysis schedule for the reporting period. Sufficient milk samples were not available from locations within the 5 mile radius this year. The unavailability of milk caused the REMP to not meet the ODCM sample requirements in 1/2-ODC-2.03 and in 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment Q Table 3.12-1 stating that a minimum of four (4) milk locations shall be sampled. This initiated the ODCM requirement for sampling two (2) additional garden locations based upon the highest predicted annual average D/Q when milk locations are not available.
- f. Summary: Based on all the analytical results and the comparison to pre-operational levels, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in radioactivity in the milk during the report period compared to previous years.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-8

Environmental Monitoring Locations - Milk





Sample Type	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Sample Point Description
Milk	25	10-SSW	2.1	Hookstown, PA (Searight Farm)
	27	7-SE	6.1	Aliquippa, PA (Brunton Farm)
	96	10-SSW	10.4	Burgettstown, PA (Windsheimer Farm)
	113	10-SSW	5.1	Hookstown, PA (Halstead Farm)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-9

Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Iodine-131 & Sr-90 in Milk



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Environmental Radiation Monitoring

1. Description of Regional Background Radiation and Sources

Historical information for regional background was obtained from Reuter-Stokes instruments that were previously located within a five (5) mile radius of the BVPS site. Data is no longer available from these instruments, but historical data indicated that the background exposure rates ranged from 6 $\mu\text{R/hr}$ to 12 $\mu\text{R/hr}$.

The sources of background radiation are affected by the terrain in the vicinity of BVPS, where as, the local hills (i.e. altitude variations of 300-400 feet) and densely wooded areas contribute to variations in background radiation. Other sources (e.g. Radon) are affected by the geological features of the region, which are characterized by nearly flat-laying sedimentary beds of the Pennsylvania age. For information, the local sedimentary beds of limestone alternate with sandstone and shale with abundant interbedded coal layers. Pleistocene glacial deposits partially cover the older sedimentary deposits in the northwest. Most of the region is underlain by shale, sandstone, and some coal beds of the Conemaugh Formation. Outcrops of sandstone, shale, and limestone of the Allegheny Formation exist within the Ohio River Valley and along major tributary streams.

2. Locations and Analytical Procedures

Ambient external radiation levels around the site were measured using thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs).

During the report period, there were a total of **sixty six (66)** environmental TLD locations. This is comprised of **forty four (44)** offsite locations, along with **twenty two (22)** fence perimeter locations. The offsite TLD locations are plotted on Figure 2-10, but the fence perimeter locations are not plotted due to the large scale of the figure.

The TLDs were annealed at the Contractor Central Laboratory shortly before placing the TLDs in their field locations. The radiation dose accumulated in-transit between the Central Laboratory, the field location, and the Central Laboratory was corrected by transit controls maintained in lead shields at both the Central Laboratory and the field office. All dosimeters were exposed in the field for a calendar quarter, in a specific holder that contains two (2) TLDs at each location.

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of the TLD results during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown on Figure 2-11.

TLD Analysis: During the report period, the average quarterly external exposure rate (as measured from TLD) was **17.8 mR** at the **sixty six (66)** indicator locations, and **19.5 mR** at the control location. This external exposure rate is comparable to previous years. As expected, there was some variation in external exposure rate among locations and seasons.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: There were some deviations from the required TLD sampling and analysis schedule during the report period. Specifically, the following events occurred:

Station #94 (Hookstown – McCleary & Pole Cat Hollow Rds, 2.2 miles SSE) was missing two of the two (2 of 2) TLDs for the 2nd quarter 2014 and one of two (1 of 2) TLDs for 3rd quarter 2014 (documented in Condition Report #2014-11925).


1/2-ODC-2.03, ODCM: Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program lists REMP sample stations #94 as one of forty four (1 of 44) TLD locations. 1/2-ODC-3.03, ODCM: Controls for RETS and REMP Programs, only requires that forty (40) offsite locations be obtained with quarterly collection of at least two (2) TLDs at each site. Therefore, BVPS still meets the minimum ODCM requirements by having complete data for forty three of forty four (43 of 44) sample stations available.

Summary: The quarterly TLD external exposure rates are comparable to that of the previous decade. There was no evidence of anomalies that could be attributed to the operation of BVPS. It should also be noted that the average external exposure rate at the indicator locations was less than average external exposure rate at the control location. Based on all the analytical results and the comparison to pre-operational levels, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in external exposure in the vicinity of the site during the report period. The TLD exposure rates also confirm that changes from natural radiation levels, if any, are negligible.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-10

Environmental Monitoring Locations - TLDs



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-10 (Continued)


TLD Locations

SOUTHEAST QUADRANT							
Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location
27	7-SE	6.14	Brunton Dairy Farm Aliquippa, PA	78	7-SE	2.72	Raccoon Twp Municipal Building Raccoon Township, PA
45.1	6-ESE	1.92	Kennedy's Corners Raccoon Township, PA	79	8-SSE	4.46	106 State Route 151 Green Twp. Aliquippa, PA
51	5-E	8.00	Sheffield Substation Aliquippa, PA	80	9-S	8.27	Park Office, State Route 18 Raccoon Township, PA
59	6-ESE	0.99	236 Green Hill Road Aliquippa, PA	82	9-S	6.99	2697 State Route 18 Raccoon Twp, PA
76	6-ESE	3.80	Raccoon Elementary School Raccoon Township, PA	94	8-SSE	2.25	McCleary & Pole Cat Hollow Road Hookstown, PA
77	6-ESE	5.52	3614 Green Garden Road Aliquippa, PA				
NORTHWEST QUADRANT							
Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location
15	14-WNW	3.75	Post Office Georgetown, PA	87	14-WNW	7.04	50103 Calcutta Smith Ferry Road Calcutta, OH
32	15-NW	0.75	North Substation Midland, PA	88A	15-NW	2.8	Route 168 Midland Heights PA
47	14-WNW	4.88	Water Department East Liverpool, OH	89	15-NW	4.72	488 Smith's Ferry Road Ohioville, PA
60	13-W	2.51	444 Hill Road Georgetown, PA	90	16-NNW	5.20	6286 Tuscarawras Road Midland, PA
86	13-W	6.18	1090 Ohio Avenue East Liverpool, OH	93	16-NNW	1.10	104 Linden - Sunrise Hills Midland, PA
NORTHEAST QUADRANT							
Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location
10	3-NE 4-ENE	0.94	Post Office Shippingport, PA	70	1-N	3.36	236 Engle Road Industry, PA
28	1-N	8.60	Sherman Farm Brighton Twp, PA	71	2-NNE	6.01	First Western Bank Brighton Township, PA
29B	3-NE	7.97	Friendship Ridge Beaver, PA	72	3-NE	3.25	Ohioview Lutheran Church – Rear Raccoon Twp, PA
30	4-ENE	0.43	Cook's Ferry Substation Shippingport, PA	73	4-ENE	2.48	618 Squirrel Run Road Industry, PA
45	5-E	2.19	Christian House Baptist Chapel, State Rte 18 Raccoon Township, PA	74	4-ENE	6.92	137 Poplar Avenue (CCBC) Monaca, PA
46	3-NE	2.49	Midway Drive Industry, PA	75	5-E	4.08	117 Holt Road Aliquippa, PA
46.1	2-NNE 3-NE	2.28	McKeel's Service, State Route 68 Industry, PA	91	2-NNE	3.89	Pine Grove Road & Doyle Road Industry, PA
SOUTHWEST QUADRANT							
Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Location
13	11-SW	1.49	Old Meyer Farm Hookstown, PA	84	11-SW	8.35	Senior Center Hancock County, WV
14	11-SW	2.53	Hookstown, PA	85	12-WSW	5.73	2048 State Route 30 West Chester, WV
48	10-SSW	16.40	Collier Way Water Tower Weirton, WV	92	12-WSW	2.81	Georgetown Road Substation Georgetown, PA
81	9-S	3.69	Millcreek United Presbyterian Church Hookstown, PA	95	10-SSW	2.37	832 McCleary Road Hookstown, PA
83	10-SSW	4.26	735 Mill Creek Road, Hookstown, PA				

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-11

Graph of Annual Average Exposure: Direct Radiation in Environment



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

G. Monitoring of Fish

1. Description

During the report period, fish species collected for the radiological monitoring program included sauger, redhorse, channel catfish, freshwater drum, smallmouth bass, carp, gizzard shad, sheepshead, shorthead redhorse.

2. Sampling Program and Analytical Techniques

a. Program

Fish samples are collected semi-annually in the New Cumberland pool of the Ohio River at the Beaver Valley effluent discharge point and upstream of the Montgomery Dam. The edible portion of each species caught is analyzed by gamma spectroscopy. Fish sampling locations are shown in Figure 2-12.

b. Procedure

A sample is prepared in a standard tare weight 300 mL plastic bottle and scanned for gamma emitting nuclides with gamma spectrometry system which utilizes a high resolution germanium detector.

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of the analysis results during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown on Figure 2-13.

Gamma Spectrometry: A total of sixteen (16) fish samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. Gamma emitting radionuclides were not detected in any of the ten (10) indicator samples, nor were they detected in any of the six (6) control samples.


Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: There were no deviations from the required fish sampling and analysis schedule during the report period.

Summary: Based on the analytical results, the operation of BVPS did not contribute any measurable increase in radioactivity in the Ohio River fish population during the report period.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-12

Environmental Monitoring Locations - Fish




Sample Type	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Sample Point Description
Fish	2A	12-WSW	0.31	BVPS Outfall Vicinity
	49A	3-NE	4.93	Industry, PA (Upstream Montgomery Dam)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-13

Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Cesium-137 in Fish



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

H. Monitoring of Surface Water, Drinking Water, Groundwater, and Precipitation

1. Description of Water Sources

The Ohio River is the main body of water in the area. It is the main surface water supply for generation of drinking water in the area. The Beaver Valley Power Station obtains water from the Ohio River for plant make-up water and discharges water to the Ohio River via NPDES discharge points (e.g. cooling tower blowdown, liquid effluent releases, etc).

The Ohio River is the main surface water supply source for towns, municipalities, and industries both upstream and downstream of the BVPS site. The nearest user of the Ohio River as a potable water source is Midland Borough Municipal Water Authority. The intake of the treatment plant is approximately 1.5 miles downstream of the Midland Borough Municipal Water Authority and is located on the opposite side of the river. The next downstream user is East Liverpool, Ohio and is approximately 6 miles downstream. The heavy industries in Midland, as well as other users downstream, also use river water for cooling purposes.

Groundwater occurs in large volumes in the gravel terraces which lie along the river, and diminishes considerably in the bedrock underlying the site. Normal well yields in the bedrock are less than ten (10) gallons per minute (gpm) with occasional wells yielding up to 60 gpm.

In general, the BVPS site experiences cool winters and moderately warm summers with ample annual precipitation evenly distributed throughout the year. The National Climate Data Center indicated the total annual precipitation during the report period for the Beaver Falls, PA area was 40.79 inches.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

2. Sampling and Analytical Techniques

a. Surface (Raw River) Water

The sampling program of river water includes three (3) sampling points along the Ohio River.

Raw water samples are collected daily at the East Liverpool (Ohio) Water Treatment Plant, sample location 5, [River Mile 41.2], and the made into a weekly composite sample. One automatic river water sampler is located at the ATI-Allegheny Ludlum (formerly J&L Steel) river water intake, sample location 2.1, [River Mile 36.2]. The automatic sampler takes a 20-40 mL sample every 15 minutes and samples are collected on a weekly basis. The weekly samples are then combined for a monthly composite sample for each location. The monthly composite samples are analyzed for gamma emitters. In addition, a quarterly composite sample is prepared from the monthly composites for each sample point. Quarterly composites are analyzed for Hydrogen-3 (Tritium).

A weekly grab sample is taken upstream of the Montgomery Dam, sample location 49 [River Mile 29.6]. This upstream sample at the Montgomery Dam is the control sample. The weekly grab samples upstream of the Montgomery Dam are analyzed for Iodine-131. Weekly grab samples are then made into monthly composites are analyzed for gamma emitters. Quarterly composites are prepared from each of the monthly composites. The quarterly composites are analyzed for Tritium.

Locations of each sample point are shown in Figure 2-14.

b. Drinking Water (Public Supplies)

Drinking water (i.e. treated water) is collected at both the Midland, PA Water Treating Plant, sample location 4, and East Liverpool, OH Water Treating Plant, sample location 5. An automatic sampler at each location collects 20-40 mL every 20 minutes, which is then combined for a weekly composite sample. The weekly composite sample from each location is analyzed for Iodine-131. Monthly composites are prepared from the weekly samples and are analyzed by gamma spectrometry. In addition, a quarterly composite sample is prepared for each sample point from the monthly composites. Quarterly composites are analyzed for Tritium.

A weekly grab sample is taken upstream of the Montgomery Dam, sample location 49A [River Mile 29.6]. This upstream sample at the Montgomery Dam is the control sample. The weekly grab samples upstream of the Montgomery Dam are analyzed for Iodine-131. Weekly grab samples are then made into monthly composites are analyzed by gamma spectrometry. Quarterly composite are prepared from each of the monthly composites. The quarterly composites are analyzed for Tritium.

Locations of each sample point are shown in Figure 2-14.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

c. Groundwater

Although not required by the ODCM, semi-annual grab samples were collected from **two (2) locations** within four (4) miles of the site (see Figure 2-14). These locations are:

One (1) well in Hookstown, PA

One (1) well in Georgetown, PA

Each ground water sample is analyzed for Tritium and is analyzed by gamma spectrometry.

d. Precipitation

Although not required by the ODCM, precipitation is collected in Shippingport, PA, East Liverpool, OH, and Weirton, WV. Precipitation, when available, is collected each week and combined for into quarterly composite samples from the weekly samples. The quarterly composites are analyzed for Tritium and gamma emitters. Locations of each of the sample points are shown in Figure 2-14.

e. Procedures

Gamma Analysis of Drinking Water and Surface Water: The analysis is performed by placing one liter of the sample into a Marinelli container and analyzing on a high resolution germanium gamma spectrometry system. Although not required by the ODCM, this analysis is also performed on Groundwater and Precipitation samples.

Tritium Analysis of Drinking Water and Surface Water: The Tritium is determined in water samples by liquid scintillation analysis. Although not required by the ODCM, this analysis is also performed on Surface Water, Groundwater and Precipitation samples.

Iodine-131 Analysis of Drinking Water: The sample is chemically prepared and analyzed with a low-level beta counting system. Although not required by the ODCM, this analysis is also performed on Surface Water samples.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

3. Results and Conclusions

A summary of the analysis results of water samples (surface water, drinking water, ground water, and precipitation) during the report period are listed in Table 2-2. A trend graph of analyses (including the pre-operational period through the report period) is shown in Figures 2-15 through 2-18.

a. Surface Water

Tritium: A total of **twelve (12)** surface water samples were analyzed for tritium during the report period. **Tritium was not detected in the eight (8) indicator samples, nor was it detected in the four (4) control samples.**

Gamma Spectrometry: A total of **thirty six (36)** surface water samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. Gamma emitting radionuclides were **not detected** in the **twenty four (24)** indicator samples, nor were they detected in the **twelve (12)** control samples.

Iodine-131: Although not required by the ODCM, a total of **fifty two (52)** surface water control samples were analyzed for iodine-131 using radiochemical methods during the report period. Iodine-131 was detected in **twenty eight of fifty two (28 of 52)** weekly control samples, of which **zero (0)** analysis exceeded the reporting level of 2 picoCurie / liter. The results were similar to previous years, (current annual range = **0.2 to 1.4** picoCurie / liter). The positive results were detected at the control location, which is five (5) miles upstream (not influenced by BVPS operation). Identification of iodine-131 during the report period was most likely due to medical diagnostic and treatment procedures performed at upstream facilities.

b. Drinking Water

Tritium: A total of **twelve (12)** drinking water samples were analyzed for tritium during the report period. **Tritium was not detected in any of the eight (8) indicator samples nor was it detected in any of the four (4) control samples.**

Gamma Spectrometry: A total of **thirty six (36)** drinking water samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. Gamma emitting radionuclides were **not detected** in any of the **twenty four (24)** indicator samples, nor were they detected in any of the **twelve (12)** control samples.

Iodine-131: A total of **one hundred fifty five (155)** drinking water samples were analyzed for Iodine-131 (using radiochemical methods) during the report period. Iodine-131 was detected in **twenty of one hundred three (20 of 103)** indicator samples and **twenty eight of fifty two (28 of 52)** control samples. **Some of the positive results at the downstream location exceeded the positive results from the upstream surface water Control location, but none of these analyses exceeded the reporting level of 2 picoCurie / liter. Because positive results were detected in the upstream control sample, some positive results are most likely due to medical diagnostic and treatment procedures performed at upstream**

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

facilities, and not caused by BVPS operations. However, the analyses are also consistent with discharge data of authorized liquid effluent releases, and all liquid effluent releases during the report period did not exceed the release limits set forth in the ODCM.

c. Groundwater

Tritium: Although not required by ODCM, a total of four (4) groundwater samples were analyzed for Tritium during the report period. Samples at the control station were not obtained due to lack of operating water wells. Tritium was not detected in any of the four (4) indicator samples.

Gamma Spectrometry: Although not required by ODCM, a total of four (4) groundwater samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. Gamma emitting radionuclides were not detected in any of the four (4) indicator samples.

d. Precipitation

Tritium: Although not required by ODCM, a total of twelve (12) precipitation samples were analyzed for Tritium during the report period. Tritium was not detected in the eight (8) indicator samples, and it was not detected in the four (4) control samples.

Gamma Spectrometry: Although not required by ODCM, a total of twelve (12) precipitation samples were analyzed by gamma spectrometry during the report period. Gamma emitting radionuclides were not detected in the eight (8) indicator samples, nor were they detected in the four (4) control samples.


e. Deviations from Required Sampling and Analysis Schedule: There was one deviation from the ODCM required water sampling and analysis schedule during the report. A drinking water sample from location #5 was lost during transit the week of September 12th. The sample was taken and shipped according to BVPS procedures. During transportation the sample container broke and the majority of the content was spilled and unable to be recovered (CR-2014-15056).

f. Summary: Data from the water sample analyses demonstrate that BVPS did not contribute a significant increase of radioactivity in the local river, in the drinking water, in the well water, or in the precipitation. The analytical results confirm that the station assessments, prior to authorizing radioactive discharges, are adequate and that the environmental monitoring program is sufficiently sensitive.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-14

Environmental Monitoring Locations -
 Ground Water, Surface Water, Drinking Water and Precipitation




Sample Type	Site No.	Sector	Distance (miles)	Sample Point Description
Drinking Water	4	15-NW	1.26	Midland, PA (Water Department)
	5	14-WNW	4.90	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)
Surface Water	2.1	14-WNW	1.43	Midland, PA (ATI Allegheny Ludlum)
	5	14-WNW	4.90	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)
	49A	3-NE	4.93	Industry, PA (Upstream Montgomery Dam)
Ground Water	14A	11-SW	2.61	Hookstown, PA
	15B	14-WNW	3.75	Georgetown, PA
Precipitation	30	4-ENE	0.43	Shippingport, PA (Cook's Ferry Substation)
	47	14-WNW	4.88	East Liverpool, OH (Water Department)
	48	10-SSW	16.40	Weirton WV (Water Tower, Collier Way)

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-15


Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Iodine-131 in Surface Water & Drinking Water



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-16


Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Tritium in Surface Water



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-17


Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Tritium in Ground Water



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Figure 2-18

Graph of Annual Average Concentration: Tritium in Drinking Water



SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

I. Estimates of Radiation Dose to Man

1. Pathways to Man - Calculation Models

The radiation doses to man as a result of BVPS operations were calculated for both gaseous and liquid effluent pathways using computer codes for the ARERAS/MIDAS computer system. These computer codes are equivalent to NRC computer codes XOQDOQ2, GASPAR, and LADTAP. Dose factors listed in the ODCM are used to calculate doses from radioactive noble gases in discharge plumes. BVPS effluent data, based on sample analysis were used as the radionuclide activity input.

All liquid and gaseous effluent radionuclides listed in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report were used as input source terms to the computer codes.

All batch and continuous gaseous effluent releases were included in the dose assessment calculations. The release activities are based on laboratory analysis. Meteorological data collected by the BVPS Meteorology System was also used as input to the computer codes. The usage factors were obtained from the BVPS Final Environmental Statements or Regulatory Guide 1.109, except when more recent or specific data was available.

All radioactive liquid effluents are released by batch mode after analysis by gamma spectrometry. Each batch is diluted by cooling tower blowdown water prior to discharge into the Ohio River via the main outfall (River Mile 35.0). The actual data from these analyses are tabulated and used as the radionuclide source term input to the computer code. The usage factors were obtained from the BVPS Final Environmental Statements or Regulatory Guide 1.109, except when more recent or specific data was available.

The total population doses were evaluated for all liquid and gaseous effluent pathways up to 50 miles. For these evaluations, a total population of approximately 4 million people was used. An estimate of the populations are listed in the BVPS-2 UFSAR Section 2.1.3.1 for 0-10 miles and Section 2.1.3.2 for 10-50 miles.

2. Results of Calculated Population Dose to Man - Liquid Effluent Releases

During the report period, the calculated dose to the entire population within 50 miles of the plant is presented in Table 2-4 for BVPS liquid effluent releases. Also shown in the table is a comparison to natural radiation exposure.

3. Results of Calculated Population Dose to Man – Gaseous Effluent Releases

During the report period, the calculated dose to the entire population within 50 miles of the plant is presented in Table 2-5 for BVPS airborne effluent releases. Also shown in the table is a comparison to natural radiation exposure. The doses include the contribution of all pathways.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

4. Conclusions

Based upon the estimated dose to individuals from the natural background radiation exposure in Tables 2-4 and 2-5, the incremental increase in total body dose to the 50-mile population from the operation of BVPS - Unit 1 and 2, is less than **0.000025%** of the annual background dose.

The calculated doses to the public from the operation of BVPS - Unit 1 and 2, are below ODCM annual limits and resulted in only a small incremental dose to that which area residents already received as a result of natural background. The doses constituted no meaningful risk to the public.

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-4

Comparison of Natural Radiation Exposure Versus
 Calculated Population Dose to Man - Liquid Effluent Releases

<u>TYPICAL DOSE TO INDIVIDUALS</u>		
<u>FROM NATURAL RADIATION EXPOSURE</u> ^(a)		
Ambient Gamma Radiation	=	58 millirem / year
Radionuclides in Body	=	40 millirem / year
Global Fallout	=	< 1 millirem / year
Radon	=	198 millirem / year
Average Individual	=	296 millirem / year
(Total from all sources shown above)		
^(a) National Academy of Sciences, "The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation," BEIR Report, 1990		

0-50 mile Population Dose from BVPS Liquid Effluent Releases		
	Man-millirem	Largest Isotope Contributor
Total Dose	61	Tritium
Average Dose (per Individual)	0.0000153	Tritium

Comparison of Individual Dose BVPS Liquid Effluent Releases Versus Natural Background Radiation	
	Millirem
BVPS Liquid Effluent Release Dose	0.0000153
Natural Radiation Exposure	296

SECTION 2 – ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Table 2-5

Comparison of Natural Radiation Exposure Versus
 Calculated Population Dose to Man – Gaseous Effluent Releases

<u>TYPICAL DOSE TO INDIVIDUALS</u>		
<u>FROM NATURAL RADIATION EXPOSURE</u> ^(a)		
Ambient Gamma Radiation	=	58 millirem / year
Radionuclides in Body	=	40 millirem / year
Global Fallout	=	< 1 millirem / year
Radon	=	198 millirem / year
Average Individual	=	296 millirem / year
(Total from all sources shown above)		
(b) National Academy of Sciences, “The Effects on Populations of Exposure to Low Levels of Ionizing Radiation,” BEIR Report, 1990		

0-50 mile Population Dose from BVPS Gaseous Effluent Releases		
	Man-millirem	Largest Isotope Contributor
Total	10	Tritium
Average (per Individual)	0.0000025	Tritium

Comparison of Individual Dose BVPS Gaseous Effluent Releases Versus Natural Background Radiation	
	Millirem
BVPS Gaseous Effluent Release Dose	0.0000025
Natural Radiation Exposure	296

SECTION 3 – LAND USE CENSUS

A. **Land Use Census Overview:** A Land Use Census was conducted June 2 through September 12, 2014 to comply with:

- Offsite Dose Calculation Manual procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, “*Controls for RETS and REMP Programs*”, Attachment R, Control 3.12.2, and Surveillance Requirement 4.12.2.1
- BVPS REMP procedure 1/2-ENV-04.02, “*Milch Animal Sampling Location Determination & ODCM Procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Control 3.12.2 Action Statements a and b Compliance Determination*”

The Land Use Census indicated that no changes were required in the current sampling locations, and no changes were required to the methodology used for determination of offsite dose from plant releases. A numerical summary of the Land Use Census results are provided in Table 3-1. The following information is also provided to clarify the Land Use Census as documented in letter NPD3NRE:1043, dated September 26, 2014:

- B. **Nearest Residence:** The location has not changed since the previous census. The nearest inhabited residence is at **209 Ferry Hill Road, Shippingport, PA** (0.4 miles, E).
- C. **Nearest Garden >500 sqft:** The location has changed since the previous census. The closest garden location is at the **Pringle Residence**, 1221 Virginia Ave., Midland, PA (1.0 miles, in the NW Sector). The previous sampling location at the **Cox Residence**, 238 State Route 168, Hookstown, PA (0.760 miles, in the SSW Sector) was available for sampling cabbage but did not meet all the requirements of NUREG-1301.
- D. **Nearest Dairy Cow:** The location has not changed since the previous census. The location remains at the **Searight Dairy**, 948 McCleary Road, RD 1, Hookstown, PA (2.097 miles, SSW). However, this location closed during 2014 and is in the process of selling the cows. The closest milking cow location was at the **Brunton’s Dairy**, 3681 Ridge Road, Aliquippa, PA (6.158 miles, SE).
- E. **Nearest Doe Goat:** The location has changed since the previous census. The closest location is now the **Covert Residence**, 930 Pine Street, Hookstown, PA (1.900 miles, SW). Samples from this location started in August 2014.

SECTION 3 – LAND USE CENSUS

- F. **Projection for 2015 Dairy Cow Sampling Locations:** Using a linear regression analysis of deposition parameters (D/Q), Dairy Cow sampling locations were determined to remain at the same locations used in 2014:
- **Brunton Dairy**, 3681 Ridge Road, Aliquippa, PA (6.158 miles SE)
 - **Windsheimer Dairy**, RD 1 Burgettstown, PA (10.476 miles SSW)
 - **Searight's Dairy and Halstead Dairy** have been removed from the 2015 REMP sampling program due to the dairies closing for various reasons and thus no longer producing milk for sampling (CR-2013-03554 and CR-2014-00685). Letters have been sent to the DEP and NRC (L-13-169 dated May 3rd 2013 and L-14-079 dated February 11th, 2014).
- G. **Projection for 2015 Doe Goat Sampling Locations:** The linear regression analysis also indicated that there may be a Doe Goat sampling location in 2014. The Doe Goat sampling location for 2015 may be as follows:
- **Covert Residence**, 930 Pine Street, Hookstown PA (1.900 miles, SW)
If Goat Milk becomes available from this site:
 - **Searight Dairy**, 948 McCleary Rd, Hookstown PA (2.097 miles SSW)
 - **Ferry Residence**, 227 Calhoun Road, Aliquippa PA (3.320 miles SE)
- H. **D/Q for Milch Animal Locations:** None of the 2014 milch animal sampling locations experienced a >20% increase in D/Q. Therefore, a Special Report per ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment R, Control 3.12.2 Action "a" and/or Action "b" was not required.
- I. **D/Q for Offsite Dose Determination:** There was no adverse effect on the current ODCM methodology used for offsite dose determination from effluent releases. Specifically, a linear regression analysis of D/Q did not yield any valid locations where the offsite dose could have increased >20% more than the offsite dose previously calculated using current ODCM methodology. Therefore, a Special Report per ODCM procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03, Attachment R, Control 3.12.2 Action "a" and/or Action "b" was not required.
- J. **D/Q Historical Comparison:** There is no adverse trend in D/Q when comparing 2000 to 2014 data to the ODCM default D/Q values. This validates that there was no adverse effect on the current ODCM methodology used for offsite dose determination from effluent releases. Specifically, the analysis of D/Q did not yield any valid locations where the offsite dose could have increased >20% more than the offsite dose previously calculated using current ODCM methodology. Therefore, a change in ODCM receptor location and/or a change to meteorology at the current ODCM receptor location are not required.

SECTION 3 – LAND USE CENSUS

Table 3-1

Location of Nearest Residences, Gardens, Dairy Cows and Doe Goats

SECTOR	RESIDENCES	GARDENS	DAIRY COWS	DOE GOATS
	0 to 5 miles (miles)	0 to 5 miles (miles)	0 to 5 miles (miles)	0 to 5 miles (miles)
N	1.584	1.584	None	None
NNE	1.661	1.8	None	None
NE	0.4	3.3	None	None
ENE	0.603	1.047	None	None
E	0.4^b	2.0	None	3.402
ESE	0.850	1.713	None	None
SE	1.583	1.3	None ^a	3.320^b
SSE	1.102	2.4	None	None
S	1.399	1.5	None	None
SSW	0.760	2.215 ^b	2.097^b	2.097^b
SW	1.453	1.453	None	1.900
WSW	1.394	2.5	None	None
W	2.204	None	None	None
WNW	2.742	2.8	None	None
NW	0.885	1.0	None	None
NNW	0.902	2.4	2.442	None

^a Although there are no Dairy Cows within 5 miles in this sector, a large local dairy located at 6.158 miles is included in the milk sampling program.

^b Distances shown in Bold print are the nearest location for that receptor.

SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

A. Split Sample Program (Inter-Laboratory Comparison, Part 1 of 2): BVPS participates in a split sample program with the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) in support of their nuclear power plant monitoring program.

- BVPS provided split samples to PADEP throughout the report period. The shared media and number of locations were typically comprised of milk (1), surface water (3), sediment (1), fish (1), and food crops (2).
- PADEP has co-located continuous air particulate & air iodine sample stations with four (4) of the BVPS locations.
- PADEP has co-located TLDs with twenty-four (24) of the BVPS TLDs.

B. Spike Sample Program (Inter-Laboratory Comparison, Part 2 of 2): BVPS participates in a spike sample program with an Independent Laboratory. This program is used to independently verify sample analyses performed by the BVPS Contractor Laboratory.

- **Acceptance Criteria:** The NRC criteria listed in NRC Inspection Procedure 84750, 03/15/94, Inspection Guidance 84750-03 is used as acceptance criteria for comparisons of results of spiked samples between the Contractor Lab and the Independent Lab. These comparisons are performed by dividing the comparison standard (Independent Lab result) by its associated uncertainty to obtain the resolution. The comparison standard value is multiplied by the ratio values obtained from the following table to find the acceptance band for the result to be compared. However, in such cases in which the counting precision of the standard yields a resolution of less than 4, a valid comparison is not practical, and therefore, not performed.

NRC Criteria	
Resolution	Ratio
< 4	--
4 - 7	0.50 - 2.00
8 - 15	0.60 - 1.66
16 - 50	0.75 - 1.33
51 - 200	0.80 - 1.25
> 200	0.85 - 1.18

SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

Participation in an Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program is required by BVPS Unit 1 and 2 Offsite Dose Calculation Manual procedure 1/2-ODC-3.03 Attachment S Control 3.12.3. For the report period, the requirement was met by the Contractor Lab analyzing NIST traceable spiked samples supplied by an Independent Lab.

During the report period, BVPS used (Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory – Northbrook, IL) as the Contractor Laboratory, and (Analytics – Atlanta, GA) as the Independent Laboratory.

The spiked samples included air particulate filter papers, charcoal cartridges, water samples, and milk samples. The samples were submitted by the Independent Laboratory to the Contractor Laboratory for analysis. The “spiked to” values were used for calculating comparison Acceptance Criteria.

- **Spiked Milk & Water Samples:** The spiked sample results (i.e. the BVPS criteria) for each calendar quarter are reported in Table 4-1 through Table 4-4, respectively. The following summary is provided:
 - A total of **forty-eight (48)** gamma spectrometry radionuclide analyses were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **four (4)** milk samples.
 - A total of **forty-eight (48)** gamma spectrometry radionuclide analyses were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **four (4)** water samples.
 - A total of **four (4)** chemical analyses for I-131 were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **four (4)** milk samples.
 - A total of **four (4)** I-131 analyses were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **four (4)** water samples.
 - A total of **four (4)** Tritium analyses were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **four (4)** water samples.
 - Comparison of results of the spiked milk and water samples showed acceptable agreement with the NRC acceptance criteria. **One sample, 4th quarter milk and water sample for strontium-89 (Sr-89) failed the acceptance criteria. The vendor laboratory was immediately notified of the failure and asked to re-perform the analyses, if necessary. The vendor re-analyzed the sample and the result was within the acceptable criteria. The vendor provided a corrected report and all analyses met the acceptance criteria. All one hundred eight (108) analyses met the NRC acceptance criteria.**

SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

- **Spiked Filter Paper and Charcoal Cartridge Samples:** The spiked sample results for each calendar quarter are reported in Table 4-1 through Table 4-4, respectively. The following summary is provided:
 - Gross Beta (cesium-137) analyses were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **two (2)** filter paper samples.
 - Iodine-131 analyses were performed by the Contractor Laboratory on **two (2)** charcoal cartridge samples.
 - Comparison of results of the spiked filter paper and charcoal cartridge samples showed acceptable agreement with the NRC acceptance criteria. All **four (4)** analyses performed by the Contractor Laboratory met the NRC acceptance criteria.

C. Conclusions

- **Results of Split Sample Program:**

The split sample program is coordinated by the state, and the results are not included in this report.

- **Results of Spike Sample Program:**

Based on the Inter-Laboratory comparison data, BVPS considers all analyses provided throughout the report period by the Contractor Laboratory to be acceptable with respect to both accuracy and measurement. A comparison of the data is provided in the following tables. **All analyses for the 2014 report period were within the NRC Acceptance Criteria.**

**SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE
 INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM**

Table 4-1

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program Spiked Samples – 1st Quarter

Sample Date, Type and Identification No.	Resolution	Resolution	Required Ratio Band	Ratio Env Inc: Analytics	Comparison
05/29/14 Water Ind. Lab: E10942 Con. Lab: SPW-2365	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.95	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.19	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.90	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.10	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT	
05/1/14 Water Ind. Lab: E10869 Con. Lab: SPW-1914	H-3	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
05/29/14 Milk Ind. Lab: E10943 Con. Lab: SPMI-2364	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.84	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.93	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.04	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.87	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.06	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT	
05/1/14 Filter Paper Ind. Lab: E10872 Con. Lab: SPAP-1915	Cs-137 (Gross Beta)	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.17	AGREEMENT
05/1/14 Charcoal Cartridge Ind. Lab: E10873 Con. Lab: SPCH-1913	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.95	AGREEMENT

**SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE
INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM**

Table 4-2

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program Spiked Samples – 2nd Quarter

Sample Date, Type and Identification No.	Resolution	Resolution	Required Ratio Band	Ratio Env Inc: Analytics	Comparison
06/12/14 Water Ind. Lab: E10940 Con. Lab: SPW-2692	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.92	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.07	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.89	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.07	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.02	AGREEMENT
Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT	
06/12/14 Water Ind. Lab: E10939 Con. Lab: SPW-2694	H-3	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
06/12/14 Milk Ind. Lab: E10941 Con. Lab: SPMI-2693	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.02	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.95	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.86	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.02	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.08	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT
Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT	

**SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE
INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM**

Table 4-3

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program Spiked Samples – 3rd Quarter

Sample Date, Type and Identification No.	Resolution	Resolution	Required Ratio Band	Ratio Env Inc: Analytics	Comparison
09/11/14 Water Ind. Lab: E10989 Con. Lab: SPW-4853	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.90	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.95	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.94	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.95	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.05	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.89	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.05	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
09/11/14 Water Ind. Lab: E10988 Con. Lab: SPW-4854	H-3	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
09/11/14 Milk Ind. Lab: E10990 Con. Lab: SPMI-4855	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.87	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.85	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.94	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.89	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.05	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
	Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
09/11/14 Filter Paper Ind. Lab: E10991 Con. Lab: SPAP-4856	Cs-137 (Gross Beta)	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.12	AGREEMENT
09/11/14 Charcoal Cartridge Ind. Lab: E10992 Con. Lab: SPCH-4857	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.94	AGREEMENT

**SECTION 4 - SPLIT SAMPLE PROGRAM and SPIKE SAMPLE
 INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM**

Table 4-4

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program Spiked Samples – 4th Quarter

Sample Date, Type and Identification No.	Resolution	Resolution	Required Ratio Band	Ratio Env Inc: Analytics	Comparison
12/04/14 Water Ind. Lab: E11055 Con. Lab: SPW-6824	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.88	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.96	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.91	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.98	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.90	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT	
12/04/14 Water Ind. Lab: E11054 Con. Lab: SPW-6823	H-3	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
12/04/14 Milk Ind. Lab: E11056 Con. Lab: SPMI-6825	Sr-89	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.86	AGREEMENT
	Sr-90	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.88	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.92	AGREEMENT
	I-131	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Ce-141	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.00	AGREEMENT
	Cr-51	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Cs-134	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.89	AGREEMENT
	Cs-137	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.99	AGREEMENT
	Co-58	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT
	Mn-54	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.01	AGREEMENT
	Fe-59	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.05	AGREEMENT
	Zn-65	60	0.80 - 1.25	1.03	AGREEMENT
Co-60	60	0.80 - 1.25	0.97	AGREEMENT	

**SECTION 5 – CORRECTIONS TO PREVIOUS RADIOLOGICAL
ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT(S)**

Corrections to Previous Radiological Environmental Operating Report(s): There are no corrections to previous reports at this time.