

Entergy Operations, Inc. 17265 River Road Killona, LA 70057-3093 Tel 504 739 6685 Fax 504 739 6698 ijarrel@entergy.com

John P. Jarrell III Manager – Regulatory Assurance Waterford 3

W3F1-2015-0032

April 29, 2015

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Subject: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report -2014 Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3 (Waterford 3) Docket No. 50-382 License No. NPF-38

Dear Sir or Madam:

Attached is the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for the period of January 1 through December 31, 2014. This report is submitted pursuant to the requirements of Waterford 3 Technical Specification Section 6.9.1.7.

This report contains no new commitments. Please contact John P. Jarrell, Regulatory Assurance Manager, at (504) 739-6685 if you have questions regarding this information.

Sincerely, UU JPJ/

Attachment: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report - 2014



W3F1-2015-0032 Page 2

.

cc: Mr. Marc L. Dapas Regional Administrator U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV RidsRgn4MailCenter@nrc.gov

> NRC Senior Resident Inspector Waterford Steam Electric Station Unit 3 Frances.Ramirez@nrc.gov Chris.Speer@nrc.gov

NRC/NRR Project Manager for Waterford 3 Michael.Orenak@nrc.gov

Allyson Howie Entergy Legal, General Sr Councel AHowie@entergy.com Attachment to

W3F1-2015-0032

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report - 2014

(63 pages)



# Annual **Radiological Environmental Operating** Report

# January 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014



**Waterford 3 Steam Electric Station Entergy Operations, Inc.** 

Docket Number 50-382 License Number NPF-38

Originator:

Ann Rubeis

Ann V. Dubois, Chemistry Technician

Clay M. Benton, Chemistry Supervisor

04.07.15

Date

04-09-15

Date

Approved By:

**Reviewed By:** 

rl,

Nicole K. Lawless, Chernistry Manager

4-13.15

Date

,

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SU	MM.	ARY	1
1.0	IN	ITRODUCTION	5
1	.1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program	5
1	.2	Pathways Monitored	5
1	.3	Land Use Census	5
2.0	IN	TERPRETATION AND TRENDS OF RESULTS	20
2	.1	Air Particulate and Radioiodine Sample Results	20
2	2.2	Thermoluminescent Dosimetry Sample Results	20
2	.3	Water Sample Results	21
2	2.4	Sediment Sample Results	21
2	2.5	Milk Sample Results	22
2	2.6	Fish Sample Results	22
2	2.7	Broad Leaf Vegetation Sample Results	22
2	2.8	Land Use Census Results	22
2	2.9	Interlaboratory Comparison Results	22
3.0	R	ADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY	25
3	5.1	2014 Program Results Summary	25

J

# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1.1	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING PROGRAM	6
TABLE 2.1	BIENNIAL LAND USE CENSUS RESULTS	23
TABLE 3.1	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SUMMARY	26

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1	REMP SAMPLES WITHIN 2 MILES OF WATERFORD 3	17
FIGURE 1-2	REMP SAMPLES WITHIN 10 MILES OF WATERFORD 3	18
FIGURE 1-3	REMP SAMPLES WITHIN 50 MILES OF WATERFORD 3	19
FIGURE 2-1	TLD RADIATION DOSE COMPARISON (BY YEAR)	24

# LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

	2014 RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY OF MONITORING RESULTS	30
ATTACHMENT 2	STATISTICAL COMPARISONS	50

#### Summary

The Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report presents data obtained through analyses of environmental samples collected for Waterford 3's (W3) Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for the period January 1 through December 31, 2014. This report fulfills the requirements of W3 Technical Specification 6.9.1.7.

During 2014, gross beta radioactivity was detected in air and drinking/surface water locations. Results obtained at the indicator locations were similar to those obtained at the control location. Therefore, levels continue to remain at background.

#### Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

W3 established the REMP prior to the station becoming operational (1985) to provide data on background radiation and radioactivity normally present in the area. W3 has continued to monitor the environment by sampling air, water, sediment, milk, fish and broad leaf vegetation, as well as measuring radiation directly.

The REMP includes sampling indicator and control locations within a 31-mile radius of the plant. The REMP utilizes indicator locations near the site to show any increases or buildup of radioactivity that might occur due to station operation, and control locations farther away from the site to indicate the presence of only naturally occurring radioactivity. W3 compares indicator results with control, preoperational, and previous years operational results to assess any impact W3 might have on the surrounding environment.

In 2014, W3 collected environmental samples for radiological analysis. Based on the comparison results of indicator locations with control locations and previous studies, it was concluded that overall W3 operations had no significant impact on plant environs. The review of 2014 data, in many cases, showed undetectable radiation levels in the environment and near background levels in significant pathways associated with W3.

#### Harmful Effects or Irreversible Damage

The REMP did not detect any harmful effects or evidence of irreversible damage in 2014. Therefore, no analysis or planned course of action to alleviate problems was necessary.

# **Reporting Levels**

W3's review indicates that no samples equaled or exceeded reporting levels for radioactivity concentration in environmental samples, as outlined in Technical Requirements Manual (TRM) Table 3.12-2 when averaged over any calendar quarter, due to W3 effluents. Therefore, 2014 results did not trigger any radiological monitoring program special reports.

#### **Radioactivity Not Attributable to W3**

The W3 REMP detected radioactivity attributable to other sources three times. These include the 25th Chinese nuclear test explosion in 1980, the radioactivity plume release due to reactor core degradation at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant in 1986, and the airborne release from Dai-ichi, Fukushima following the Tohoku earthquake on March 11, 2011.

#### Comparison to State Program

W3 compared REMP data to the monitoring program of the Environmental Radiological Laboratory – Department of Environmental Quality Laboratory Services Division (ERL-DEQLSD). The ERL-DEQLSD and the W3 REMP entail similar radiological environmental monitoring program requirements. Both programs have obtained similar results over previous years.

#### Sample Deviations

#### Milk Samples

Since milk samples for indicator location MKE-3 were unavailable for all quarters of 2014, broad leaf vegetation sampling was performed as required by TRM Table 3.12-1. Broad leaf vegetation results are in section 2.7. Milk samples were collected from one control location and analyzed for lodine-131 and gamma radionuclides. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

#### • Air Samples

The air sample locations listed below failed to meet the requirement for sample continuity. As described in footnote (1) of TRM Table 3.12-1, deviations are permitted from the required sampling schedule due to malfunction of sampling equipment and other legitimate reasons.

Sample Period	Explanation of Deviation
04/28/14 05/12/14	Sample pump running at low capacity
	•

#### Missed Samples

One TLD located at station N-1 was missing at the time of the first quarter exchange. TLDs located at stations G-4, J-2 and N-1 were missing at the time of the second quarter exchange.

#### • Required Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) Values

All LLDs during this reporting period were within the acceptable limits required by the W3 TRM.

#### • Unavailable Results

W3 received analytical results in adequate time for inclusion in this report. In addition, W3's review identified no missing results.

#### • Program Modifications

No program modifications were made during 2014.

# Attachments

Attachment 1 contains results of air, TLD, water, sediment, milk, fish and broad leaf vegetation collected in 2014. TLDs were analyzed by Stanford Dosimetry. All remaining samples were analyzed by Teledyne Brown Engineering, Inc. Attachment 1 also contains Teledyne's participation in the interlaboratory comparison program during 2014.

Attachment 2 contains statistical comparisons of:

- TLD measurements from stations grouped by distance
- TLD radiation dose to historical data by location
- Gross beta activity measurements on air particulate filters
- Gross beta activity measurements in surface/drinking water samples

#### 1.0 Introduction

#### 1.1 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

W3 established the REMP to ensure that plant operating controls properly function to minimize any associated radiation endangerment to human health or the environment. The REMP is designed for:

- Analyzing important pathways for anticipated types and quantities of radionuclides released into the environment.
- Considering the possibility of a buildup of long-lived radionuclides in the environment and identifying physical and biological accumulations that may contribute to human exposures.
- Considering the potential radiation exposure to plant and animal life in the environment surrounding W3.
- Correlating levels of radiation and radioactivity in the environment with radioactive releases from station operation.

#### 1.2 Pathways Monitored

The airborne, direct radiation, waterborne and ingestion pathways are monitored as required by W3 TRM Table 3.12-1. A description of the W3 REMP utilized to monitor the exposure pathways is described in Table 1.1 and shown in Figures 1-1, 1-2 and 1-3.

Section 2.0 of this report provides a discussion of 2014 sampling results with Section 3.0 providing a summary of results for the monitored exposure pathways.

#### 1.3 Land Use Census

W3 conducts a land use census biennially, as required by Section 3.12.2 of the TRM. The purpose of this census is to identify changes in uses of land within five miles of W3 that would require modifications to the REMP and the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM). The most important criteria during this census are to determine the location in each sector of the nearest:

- 1) Residence
- 2) Animal milked for human consumption  $\frac{2}{2}$
- 3) Garden of greater than 50  $m^2$  (500 ft<sup>2</sup>) producing broad leaf vegetation.

W3 conducts the land use census by:

- Field surveys in each meteorological sector out to five miles in order to confirm:
  - > Nearest permanent residence
  - > Nearest garden and approximate size
  - Nearest beef cow
  - Nearest food product
  - Nearest milking animal
- Identifying locations on maps, measuring distances to W3 and recording results on data sheets.
- Comparing current census results to previous results.

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Airborne	Radioiodine and Particulates Three samples from close to the three SITE BOUNDARY locations, in different sectors, in or near sectors having the highest calculated annual average ground level D/Q.	<ul> <li>APQ-1 (NW, 0.81 Miles) – (West bank) Located in soybean/sugarcane field off LA 18 east of LA 18/3141 intersection.</li> <li>APF-1 (ESE, 0.35 Miles) – (West bank) Located on north side of Secondary Meteorological Tower.</li> <li>APC-1 (NE, 0.67 Miles) – (East bank) Located inside Little Gypsy Cooling Water Intake Structure fence.</li> </ul>	Continuous sampler operation with sample collection bi- weekly, or more frequently if required by dust loading.	Radioiodine Canister – I-131 analysis bi-weekly. Particulate Sampler – Gross beta radioactivity analysis following filter change. Gamma isotopic analysis of composite (by location) quarterly.
	Radioiodine and Particulates One sample from the vicinity of a community having the highest calculated annual average ground level D/Q.	APP-1 (WNW, 0.84 Miles) – (West bank) Located in soybean/sugarcane field on Short St. in Killona.		
	Radioiodine and Particulates One sample from a control location, as for example 15 -30 km distant and in the least prevalent wind direction.	<b>APE-30 (E, 25.2 Miles)</b> – (West bank) Located on roof of Entergy Office building on Delaronde St. in Algiers. (Control)		

Exposure	Requirement	Sample Point Description,	Sampling and	Type and Frequency
Pathway		Distance and Direction	Collection Frequency	Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	TLDs An inner ring of stations, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the SITE BOUNDARY.	<ul> <li>A-2 (N, 1.27 Miles) – (East bank) Located on pole on LA 628 at Zephrin L. Perriloux Fire House.</li> <li>B-1 (NNE, 0.75 Miles) – (East bank) Located on fence west of Little Gypsy.</li> <li>C-1 (NE, 0.67 Miles) – (East bank) Located on fence at Little Gypsy Cooling Water Intake structure.</li> <li>D-2 (ENE, 1.24 Miles) – (East bank) Located on pole on levee at west entrance to Bonnet Carre Spillway.</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.

Exposure	Requirement	Sample Point Description,	Sampling and	Type and Frequency
Pathway		Distance and Direction	Collection Frequency	Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	TLDs An inner ring of stations, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the SITE BOUNDARY.	<ul> <li>E-1 (E, 0.41 Miles) – (West bank) Located on pole on LA 18 east of Waterford 3 plant entrance.</li> <li>F-2 (ESE, 1.15 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence on LA 3142 south of LA 18.</li> <li>G-2 (SE, 1.26 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence on LA 3142 north of railroad overpass.</li> <li>H-2 (SSE, 1.54 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence on LA 3142 north of LA 3127/3142 intersection.</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	TLDs An inner ring of stations, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the SITE BOUNDARY.	J-2 (S, 1.38 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence south of LA 3127 west of LA 3127/3142 intersection.	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.
		K-1 (SSW, 1.06 Miles) – (West bank) Located on stop sign at entrance to Entergy Education Center on LA 3127.		
		L-1 (SW, 1.06 Miles) – (West bank) Located on gate on LA 3127 west of LA 3127/3142 intersection.		
		M-1 (WSW, 0.76 Miles) – (West bank) Located on south gate of Waterford 1 and 2.		
		N-1 (W, 0.98 Miles) – (West bank) Located on pole at corner of Railroad Avenue and School House Road.		

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	TLDs An inner ring of stations, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the SITE BOUNDARY.	<ul> <li>P-1 (WNW, 0.84 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence enclosing air sample station APP-1.</li> <li>Q-1 (NW, 0.81 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence enclosing air sample station APQ-1.</li> <li>R-1 (NNW, 0.51 Miles) – (West bank) Located at Waterford 1 and 2 Cooling Water Intake Structure.</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.
	TLDs An outer ring of stations, 1 in 10 of the meteorological sectors in the 6 to 8 km ranges from the site.	<b>A-5 (N, 4.59 Miles)</b> – (East bank) Located on pole at intersection of Oswald Avenue and US 61.		

Exposure	Requirement	Sample Point Description,	Sampling and	Type and Frequency
Pathway		Distance and Direction	Collection Frequency	Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	TLDs An outer ring of stations, 1 in 10 of the meteorological sectors in the 6 to 8 km ranges from the site.	<ul> <li>B-4 (NNE, 3.75 Miles) – (East bank) Located on pole near weigh station on US 61.</li> <li>D-5 (ENE, 4.09 Miles) – (East bank) Located on gate on shell road north of US61/LA48 intersection.</li> <li>F-4 (ESE, 3.53 Miles) – (West bank) Located on pole behind house at 646 Aquarius St. in Hahnville.</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.


Exposure	Requirement	Sample Point Description,	Sampling and	Type and Frequency
Pathway		Distance and Direction	Collection Frequency	Of Analyses
Direct Radiation	TLDs An outer ring of stations, 1 in 10 of the meteorological sectors in the 6 to 8 km ranges from the site.	<ul> <li>E-5 (E, 4.08 Miles) – (East bank) Located on fence on Wesco Street off LA 48.</li> <li>G-4 (SE, 3.30 Miles) – (West bank) Located on pole on LA 3160 north of railroad track.</li> <li>H-8 (SSE, 8.13 Miles) – (West bank) Located on pole in front of Hahnville High School.</li> <li>P-6 (WNW, 5.58 Miles) – (West bank) Located on fence at LA 640/railroad track intersection.</li> <li>Q-5 (NW, 5.01 Miles) – (West bank) Located on pole on LA 18 across from Mississippi River marker 137.</li> </ul>	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses	
Direct Radiation	TLDs An outer ring of stations, 1 in 10 of the meteorological sectors in the 6 to 8 km ranges from the site.	<b>R-6 (NNW, 5.52 Miles)</b> – (East bank) Located on fence on LA 3223 near railroad crossing.	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.	
	<b>TLDs</b> The balance of the stations to be in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools, and in 1 or 2 areas to serve as control stations.	<ul> <li>F-9 (ESE, 8.18 Miles) – (East bank) Located on fence north of railroad tracks on Jonathan Street.</li> <li>G-8 (SE, 7.74 Miles) – (West bank) Located on back fence of Luling Entergy Office.</li> <li>E-15 (E, 11.7 Miles) – (East bank) Located on fence on Alliance Avenue.</li> </ul>			

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses	
Direct Radiation	<b>TLDs</b> The balance of the stations to be in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools, and in 1 or 2 areas to serve as control stations.	J-15 (S, 11.7 Miles) - (West bank) Located on pole near LA 631/Hwy 90 intersection in Des Allemands. E-30 (E, 25.2 Miles) - (West bank) Located at entrance to Entergy office on Delaronde St. in Algiers. (Control)	Quarterly	Gamma dose quarterly.	
Waterborne	Surface Water One sample upstream One sample downstream	<ul> <li>SWP-7 (WNW, 7.37 Miles) - (West bank) Located at St. John Parish Waterworks in Edgard. (Control)</li> <li>SWF-2 (ESE, 1.51 Miles) - (West bank) Located at Dow Chemical Plant drinking water canal.</li> <li>SWE-5 (E, 4.59 Miles) - (East bank) Located at St. Charles Parish Waterworks in New Sarpy.</li> <li>SWK-1 (SSW, 0.49 Miles) - (West bank) Located at 40 Arpent Canal south of the plant.</li> </ul>	Composite sample over one quarter period.	Gamma isotopic analysis quarterly. Composite for tritium analysis quarterly.	

Exposure	Requirement	Sample Point Description,	Sampling and	Type and Frequency
Pathway		Distance and Direction	Collection Frequency	Of Analyses
Waterborne	Drinking Water One sample upstream One sample downstream	<ul> <li>DWP-7 (WNW, 7.37 Miles) - (West bank) Located at St. John Parish Waterworks in Edgard. (Control)</li> <li>DWF-2 (ESE, 1.51 Miles) - (West bank) Located at Dow Chemical Plant drinking water canal.</li> <li>DWE-5 (E, 4.59 Miles) - (East bank) Located at St. Charles Parish Waterworks in New Sarpy.</li> </ul>	Composite sample over one month period when I-131 analysis is performed, quarterly composite otherwise.	I-131 analysis on each composite when the dose calculated for the consumption of the water is greater than one mrem per year. Composite for gross beta and gamma isotopic
				analyses quarterly. Composite for tritium analysis quarterly.
	Sediment from Shoreline One sample upstream	SHWQ-6 (NW, 5.99 Miles) – (East bank) Located on LA 628 east of Reserve ferry landing. (Control)	Annually	Gamma isotopic analysis annually.
	One sample downstream	SHWE-3 (E, 2.99 Miles) – (West bank) Located at Foot Ferry landing on LA 18.		
		SHWK-1 (SSW, 0.49 Miles) – (West bank) Located at 40 Arpent Canal south of plant.		
Ingestion	Milk Samples from milking animals in the three locations within 5 km distance having the highest dose potential. If there are none, then, one sample from milking animals in each of the three areas between 5 to 8 km distant where doses are calculated to be greater than 1 mrem per year.	MKE-3 (E, 2.35 Miles) - (West bank) Located at the Zeringue's house on LA 18 in Taft.	Quarterly	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analysis quarterly.

Exposure Pathway	Requirement	Sample Point Description, Distance and Direction	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency Of Analyses	
Ingestion	<u>Milk</u> One sample from milking animals at a control location 15 – 30 km distant and in the least prevalent wind direction.	MKA-31 (N, 31.2 Miles) – (East bank) Located at 18736 Sisters Road, Ponchatoula, LA. (Control)	Quarterly	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analysis quarterly.	
	Fish and Invertebrates One sample of each commercially and recreational important species in vicinity of plant discharge area.	- FH-2 (Distance/Direction Not Applicable) – Downstream of the plant discharge structure. FH-3 (Distance/Direction Not Applicable) – (Westbank) Waterways downstream of plant discharge directed to 40 Arpent Canal.	Sample in season, or annually if they are not seasonal	Gamma isotopic analysis on edible portion.	
	One sample of same species in area not influenced by plant discharge.	FH-1 (Distance/Direction Not Applicable) – Upstream of the plant intake structure. (Control)			
	<u>Broadleaf</u> Samples of one to three different kinds of broadleaf vegetation grown nearest each of two different off-site locations of highest predicted annual average ground level D/Q if milk sampling is not performed.	BLQ-1 (NW, 0.83 Miles) – (West bank) Located near air sample station APQ-1. BLB-1 (NNE, 0.81 Miles) – (East bank) Located west of Little Gypsy on LA 628.	Quarterly	Gamma isotopic and I-131 analysis.	
	One sample of each of the similar broadleaf vegetation grown 15 – 30 km distant in the least prevalent wind direction if milk sampling is not performed.	BLE-20 (E, 19.7 Miles) – (West bank) Located on property of Nine Mile Point in Westwego. (Control)			



17

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

2


0

2

4 Miles

Surface Water


.



# FIGURE 1-2

18

J



#### 2.0 Interpretation and Trends of Results

### 2.1 Air Particulate and Radioiodine Sample Results

Samples of airborne particulate and radioiodine were collected at four indicator locations and one control location and analyzed for gross beta radionuclides, lodine-131 and gamma radionuclides (quarterly air particulate filter composites only). W3 did not detect any gamma radionuclides in the quarterly air particulate composites or lodine-131 in the radioiodine cartridges during the reporting period as has been the case in previous years. Indicator gross beta air particulate results for 2014 were similar to those background levels obtained in previous years of the operational REMP and well below preoperational levels as seen below. Results are reported as annual average pCi/m<sup>3</sup>.

Monitoring Period	<u>Result</u>
Preoperational	0.080
1983 – 2013	0.020
2014	0.020

Table 3.1, which includes gross beta concentrations for 2014, provides a comparison of the indicator and control means. It further emphasizes that the airborne pathway continues to remain at background levels. In addition, as shown in Attachment 2, the standard "t" test was used to compare average gross beta activity from each indicator station to the average gross beta activity at the control station. The results from this test show the average activity detected at all indicator stations is statistically the same as the average activity detected at the control station. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

#### 2.2 Thermoluminescent Dosimetry Sample Results

The average exposure rates during 2014 are consistent with those from the preoperational program and the previous five years of operation as seen in Figure 2-1. In particular, the preoperational survey indicates that exposure rates ranged between 11 and 33 mrem/standard quarter with an average of 20 mrem/standard quarter. The range during the previous five years of operation was 9 to 16 mrem/standard quarter with an average exposure rate of 12 mrem/standard quarter.

A comparison of the indicator results to the control results, as seen in Table 3.1, shows that the average indicator is slightly higher than that of the control. As shown in Attachment 1, Table 2.1, several indicator locations are higher than the control by a few mrem with a maximum difference of six mrem.

As shown in Attachment 2, Table 2.1, the standard "t" test was used to compare average exposure rates for TLD stations located in groups 0-2 miles and 2-5 miles from the plant to those > 5 miles. The results indicate that the average exposure rates 0-2 miles from the plant are statistically the same as >5 miles while those 2-5 miles are statistically higher.

The differences between indicator locations and the control, and TLD stations grouped by distance from the plant are expected due to a variety of factors not related to W3 plant operations that can affect background radiation in the vicinity of each TLD station. Direct radiation measurements at each TLD station have remained statistically the same in 2014 as previous years of operation as evidenced on Attachment 2, Table 2.2. In addition, Radiological Gaseous Effluents for 2014 were only a small fraction of the limits and are not expected to have any impact on environmental TLD measurements.

### 2.3 Water Sample Results

Analytical results for 2014 drinking/surface water samples were similar to those reported in previous years.

#### Drinking/Surface Water

Drinking water samples also serve as surface water samples for W3. Therefore, monthly and quarterly gamma spectroscopy and tritium analyses of drinking water also satisfy the surface water sampling requirement.

Composite drinking/surface water samples were collected from two indicators and one control location and analyzed for lodine-131, gamma radionuclides and tritium. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs.

Although gross beta was detected in the drinking/surface water samples, results for the indicator locations were below preoperational years and slightly above previous operational years as seen below. Results are reported as annual average pCi/l.

Monitoring Period	<u>Result</u>
Preoperational	7.0
1983 – 2013	4.7
2014	5.4

Table 3.1, which includes gross beta concentrations for 2014, provides a comparison of the indicator and control means. It shows that the waterborne pathway continues to remain at background levels. In addition, as shown in Attachment 2, the standard "t" test was used to compare average gross beta activity from indicator stations to the average gross beta activity from the control station. The results from the test show the average activity detected at one indicator station is statistically the same as the average activity detected at the control station and one indicator station is statistically higher than the mean for the control location. Results are consistent with historical data stated above. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

#### Surface Water

Surface water samples were collected from one indicator location and analyzed for gamma radionuclides and tritium. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

#### 2.4 Sediment Sample Results

Sediment samples were collected from two indicator locations and one control location and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

# 2.5 Milk Sample Results

Since milk samples for indicator location MKE-3 were unavailable for all quarters of 2014, broad leaf vegetation sampling was performed as required by TRM Table 3.12-1. Broad leaf vegetation results are in section 2.7. Milk samples were collected from one control location and analyzed for lodine-131 and gamma radionuclides. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

### 2.6 Fish Sample Results

Fish samples were collected from two indicators and one control location and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

# 2.7 Broadleaf Vegetation Sample Results

Broadleaf vegetation samples were collected from two indicators and one control location and analyzed for lodine-131 and gamma radionuclides. Results indicate that all measurements were below the calculated LLDs. Therefore, W3 concluded that plant operations had no significant impact on this pathway during 2014.

# 2.8 Land Use Census Results

In compliance with the Waterford 3 ODCM and TRM, the land use census was conducted September 22 – September 24, 2014. The nearest residence, garden, beef cow, food product and milk animal in each sector within a five mile radius of the plant was located by visual inspection and verbal inquiry.

Two new garden locations (sectors P and Q) were identified in 2014. Residence, milk cow, and goat locations remained unchanged for 2014. Beef cows located in sector E at a distance of 2.9 miles from the plant have been removed. A soybean field is located in sector N at a distance of 0.6 miles from the plant. Based upon the locations identified in this survey, the locations identified in previous surveys and the locations currently being used to calculate dose commitments from liquid and gaseous effluents released from W3, no REMP sampling location changes are necessary. Results of the 2014 biennial census are shown in Table 2.1.

# 2.9 Interlaboratory Comparison Results

Teledyne Brown Engineering, Inc. analyzed interlaboratory comparison samples for W3 to fulfill the requirements of Section 5.7.2 of the ODCM. Attachment 1 contains these results.

#### **TABLE 2.1**

Sector	Sector Direction Distance from Plant						
		Residence	Garden	Milk Cows	Beef Cows	Goats	Food Products
А	N	1.3	1.7	٨	4.8	۸	4.1
В	NNE	1.1	1.3	۸	^	۸	1.3
С	NE	0.9	1.0	^	^	^	^
D	ENE	0.9	3.2	^	^	۸	^
E	E	2.2	2.2	**2.3	2.3	* 3.2	0.3
F	ESE	3.1	2.2	^	2.3	^	0.3
G	SE	4.0	4.1	^	2.4	^	0.3
н	SSE	^	^	^	^	^	0.3
J	S	^	^	^	^	^	0.5
к	SSW	^	^	^	^	^	0.5
L	SW	^	^	^	^	^	0.5
М	wsw	^	1.4	. ^	1.2	^	0.5
N	W	1.0	1.1	^	1.0	^	0.6
Р	WNW	0.9	0.9	^	^	•	0.6
Q	NW	0.9	0.8	^	^	^	0.6
R	NNW	3.0	3.0	^	4.9	^	2.6


#### **Biennial Land Use Census Results**

^ Indicates that nothing was found in the sector within a five mile radius of Waterford 3

\* Animals were located at this distance from Waterford 3, but the milk is not currently used for human consumption

\*\* Samples are being obtained from animals at this location (MKE-3) for REMP

# FIGURE 2-1



# 3.0 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

#### 3.1 2014 Program Results Summary

Table 3.1 summarizes the 2014 REMP results. W3 did not use values reported as less than the lower limit of detection (< LLD) when determining ranges and means for indicator and control locations.

# **TABLE 3.1**

# Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: <u>Waterford 3 SES</u> Docket No: <u>50-382</u> Location of Facility: <u>St. Charles, Louisiana</u> Reporting Period: <u>January - December 2014</u>

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number Of Analyses <sup>a</sup>	LLD b	Indicator Locations Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]	Location with Highest Annual Mean		Control Locations Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [ Range ]	Number of Nonroutine Results <sup>e</sup>
				Location d	Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]		
Airborne Particulates (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	GB 130	0.01	0.020(104 / 104) [0.008 - 0.042]	APF-1 (ESE, 0.35 mi.)	0.021 ( 26 / 26 ) [ 0.013 - 0.031 ]	0.020(26 / 26) [ 0.011 - 0.030 ]	0
	GS 20 Cs-134 Cs-137	0.05 0.06	<lld <lld< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>N/A N/A</td><td><lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0</td></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	<lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0</td></lld<></lld 	0 0
Airborne lodine ( pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	i-131 130	0.07	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
Indicator TLDs (mrem/Std. Qtr)	Gamma 120	(f)	12(116/120) [8.9-15.0]	L-1 (SW, 1.06 mi.)	14 ( 4 / 4 ) [ 14.2 – 14.6 ]	N/A	0
Control TLDs ( mrem/Std. Qtr )	Gamma 4	(f)	N/A	N/A	N/A	10 ( 4 / 4 ) [ 9.3 – 10.2 ]	0

2

# **TABLE 3.1**

# Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: <u>Waterford 3 SES</u> Docket No: <u>50-382</u> Location of Facility: <u>St. Charles, Louisiana</u> Reporting Period: <u>January - December 2014</u>

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses <sup>a</sup>		LLD b	Indicator Location Location with Highest Annual Mean Mean (F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]		Control Locations Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [ Range ]	Number of Nonroutine Results <sup>e</sup>	
					Location d	Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]		
Surface Water & Drinking Water ( pCi/l )	Gross B	eta 12	4	5.4 ( 8 / 8 ) [ 4.1 – 6.9 ]	DWF/SWF-2 (ESE, 1.51 mi.)	5.6 ( 4 / 4 ) [ 4.5 – 6.9 ]	4.4 ( 4 / 4 ) [ 3.5 – 4.8 ]	0
	I-131	40	1	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Н-3	12	2000	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	GS	12						
	M	ln-54	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	F F	e-59	30	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	C	o-58	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	C	o-60	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
		n-65	30	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
		r-95	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
		b-95	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
		s-134	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
		s-137	18	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
		a-140	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	La La	a-140	15	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0

## **TABLE 3.1**

## Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

Name of Facility: <u>Waterford 3 SES</u> Docket No: <u>50-382</u> Location of Facility: <u>St. Charles, Louisiana</u> Reporting Period: <u>January - December 2014</u>

Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses <sup>a</sup>	LLD b	Indicator Locations Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]	Location with Hig	hest Annual Mean	Control Locations Mean ( F ) <sup>C</sup> [ Range ]	Number of Nonroutine Results <sup>e</sup>
				Location d	Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]		
Surface Water ( pCi/l )	H-3 4	3000	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	GS 13						
	Mn-54	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Fe-59	30	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Co-58	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Co-60	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Zn-65	30	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Zr-95	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Nb-95	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
	Cs-134 Cs-137	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A N/A</th><th>N/A N/A</th><th>0 0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	0 0
	Ba-140	18 15	<lld <lld< th=""><th>N/A N/A</th><th>N/A N/A</th><th>N/A N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<></lld 	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	0
	La-140	15		N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	0
	I-131	15	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th>0</th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
Shoreline	GS 3						
Sediment ( pCi/kg dry)	Cs-134	150	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th><lld< th=""><th>0</th></lld<></th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< th=""><th>0</th></lld<>	0
	Cs-137	180	<lld< th=""><th>N/A</th><th>N/A</th><th><lld< th=""><th>0</th></lld<></th></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< th=""><th>0</th></lld<>	0

TABLE 3.1
Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

	Location of Fa	acility: <u>St. C</u>	<u>harles, Louisiana</u> R	eporting Period: Jar	nuary - December 20	14	
Sample Type (Units)	Type & Number of Analyses <sup>a</sup>	LLD b	Indicator Location Mean ( F ) <sup>C</sup> [ Range ]	Location with Hig	hest Annual Mean	Control Locations Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [ Range ]	Number of Nonroutine Results <sup>e</sup>
				Location d	Mean(F) <sup>C</sup> [Range]		
Milk ( pCi/l )	I-131 4	1	< LLD	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	GS 4 Cs-134	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>о</td></lld<>	о
	Cs-137	18	< LLD	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>Ő</td></lld<>	Ő
	Ba-140	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td></td></lld<>	
	La-140	15	< LLD	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0 0</td></lld<>	0 0
Fish	GS 13						
( pCi/kg wet )	Mn-54	130	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Fe-59	260	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Co-58	130	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Co-60	130	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Zn-65	260	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Cs-134	130	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Cs-137	150	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
Broadleaf Vegetation ( pCi/kg wet )	I-131 12	60	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	GS 12			,			
	Cs-134	60	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0
	Cs-137	80	<lld< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>N/A</td><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	N/A	N/A	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0

Name of Facility: <u>Waterford 3 SES</u> Docket No: <u>50-382</u> ocation of Facility: <u>St. Charles, Louisiana</u> Reporting Period: <u>January - December 2014</u>

<sup>a</sup> GB = Gross beta; I-131 = Iodine-131; H-3 = Tritium; GS = Gamma scan.

<sup>b</sup> LLD = required lower limit of detection based on Waterford 3 TRM.

<sup>C</sup> Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parenthesis (F).

<sup>d</sup> Locations are specified (1) by name and (2) degrees relative to reactor site.

e Non-routine results are those which exceed ten times the control station value. If no control station value is available, the result is considered non-routine if it exceeds ten times the preoperational value for the location.

f LLD is not defined in Waterford 3 TRM.

Attachment 1

2014 Radiological Monitoring Report

Summary of Monitoring Results

.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 1.1	AIR PARTICULATE FILTER	32
TABLE 1.2	RADIOIODINE CARTRIDGE	33
TABLE 1.3	AIR PARTICULATE FILTER	34
TABLE 2.1	THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS	35
TABLE 3.1	DRINKING/SURFACE WATER	36
TABLE 3.2	DRINKING/SURFACE WATER	37
TABLE 3.3	DRINKING/SURFACE WATER	38
TABLE 3.4	DRINKING/SURFACE WATER	39
TABLE 3.5	SURFACE WATER	40
TABLE 4.1	SEDIMENT	41
TABLE 5.1	MILK	42
TABLE 6.1	FISH	43
TABLE 7.1	BROADLEAF VEGETATION	44
TABLE 8.1	INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON	45

Table 1.1 Sample Type: <u>Air Particulate Filter</u> Analysis: Gross Beta Units: pCi/m<sup>3</sup>

End Date	APF-1 (Indicator)	APQ-1 (Indicator)	APP-1 (Indicator)	APC-1 (Indicator)	APE-30 (Control)
Required LLD	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>	<u>0.01</u>
01-06-14	0.025	0.024	0.027	0.029	0.028
01-20-14	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.015	0.016
02-03-14	0.022	0.020	0.022	0.021	0.022
02-17-14	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.019	0.021
03-03-14	0.021	0.021	0.016	0.020	0.018
03-17-14	0.015	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.015
03-31-14	0.017	0.021	0.015	0.021	0.023
04-14-14	0.018	0.016	0.017	0.016	0.018
04-28-14	0.019	0.021	0.018	0.017	0.020
05-12-14 <sup>(1)</sup>	0.023	0.029	0.022	0.023	0.024
05-25-14	0.029	0.022	0.021	0.025	0.022
06-09-14	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.012	0.011
06-23-14	0.022	0.017	0.016	0.018	0.020
07-08-14	0.018	0.016	0.017	0.010	0.019
07-21-14	0.016	0.018	0.019	0.016	0.018
08-04-14	0.023	0.021	0.023	0.024	0.023
08-18-14	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.021	0.024
09-02-14	0.031	0.023	0.016	0.018	0.016
09-15-14	0.014	0.015	0.010	0.008	0.013
09-29-14	0.017	0.015	0.018	0.016	0.020
10-13-14	0.019	0.015	0.019	0.019	0.017
10-27-14	0.024	0.023	0.024	0.022	0.022
11-11-14	0.025	0.021	0.022	0.019	0.024
11-24-14	0.029	0.028	0.022	0.020	0.024
12-08-14	0.021	0.019	0.017	0.020	0.019
12-22-14	0.030	0.029	0.029	0.042	0.030

<sup>(1)</sup> Low volume due to sample pump running at low capacity

## Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

## Table 1.2 Sample Type: <u>Radioiodine Cartridge</u> Analysis: lodine-131 Units: pCi/m<sup>3</sup>

End Date	APF-1 (Indicator)	APQ-1 (Indicator)	APP-1 (Indicator)	APC-1 (Indicator)	APE-30 (Control)
Required LLD	→ <u>0.07</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.07</u>	<u>0.07</u>
01-06-14	< 0.027	< 0.026	< 0.010	< 0.025	< 0.025
01-20-14	< 0.036	< 0.034	< 0.033	< 0.034	< 0.019
02-03-14	< 0.029	< 0.028	< 0.027	< 0.011	< 0.028
02-17-14	< 0.037	< 0.035	< 0.034	< 0.036	< 0.019
03-03-14	< 0.025	< 0.024	< 0.023	< 0.024	< 0.010
03-17-14	< 0.042	< 0.039	< 0.038	< 0.039	< 0.021
03-31-14	< 0.011	< 0.027	< 0.026	< 0.027	< 0.027
04-14-14	< 0.040	< 0.038	< 0.036	< 0.038	< 0.020
04-28-14	< 0.025	< 0.009	< 0.023	< 0.024	< 0.024
05-12-14 <sup>(1)</sup>	< 0.028	< 0.032	< 0.025	< 0.027	< 0.026
05-25-14	< 0.033	< 0.030	< 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.013
06-09-14	< 0.023	< 0.021	< 0.009	< 0.022	< 0.022
06-23-14	< 0.029	< 0.026	< 0.026	< 0.028	< 0.011
07-08-14	< 0.028	< 0.026	< 0.026	< 0.010	< 0.022
07-21-14	< 0.037	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.037	< 0.019
08-04-14	< 0.009	< 0.021	< 0.021	< 0.022	< 0.009
08-18-14	< 0.034	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.034	< 0.018
09-02-14	< 0.019	< 0.032	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.034
09-15-14	< 0.034	< 0.012	< 0.032	< 0.032	< 0.032
09-29-14	< 0.011	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.010	< 0.004
10-13-14	< 0.026	< 0.024	< 0.013	< 0.025	< 0.024
10-27-14	< 0.044	< 0.040	< 0.042	< 0.042	< 0.023
11-11-14	< 0.015	< 0.035	< 0.037	< 0.037	< 0.037
11-24-14	< 0.026	< 0.044	< 0.045	< 0.033	< 0.046
12-08-14	< 0.043	< 0.039	< 0.040	< 0.018	< 0.041
12-22-14	< 0.027	< 0.024	< 0.025	< 0.030	< 0.014

<sup>(1)</sup> Low volume due to sample pump running at low capacity

## Table 1.3 Sample Type: <u>Air Particulate Filter</u> Analysis: Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/m<sup>3</sup>

Location	Quarterly Composite	Cs-134	Cs-137
	Required LLD>	<u>0.05</u>	<u>0.06</u>
APF-1 (Indicator)	1st	< 0.002	< 0.003
APQ-1 (Indicator)	1st	< 0.002	< 0.001
APP-1 (Indicator)	1st	< 0.002	< 0.002
APC-1 (Indicator)	1st	< 0.003	< 0.002
APE-30 (Control)	1st	< 0.003	< 0.003
APF-1 (Indicator)	2nd	< 0.003	< 0.003
APQ-1 (Indicator)	2nd	< 0.004	< 0.005
APP-1 (Indicator)	2nd	< 0.004	< 0.004
APC-1 (Indicator)	2nd	< 0.004	< 0.004
APE-30 (Control)	2nd	< 0.002	< 0.002
APF-1 (Indicator)	3rd	< 0.003	< 0.003
APQ-1 (Indicator)	3rd	< 0.003	< 0.002
APP-1 (Indicator)	3rd	< 0.003	< 0.002
APC-1 (Indicator)	3rd	< 0.002	< 0.002
APE-30 (Control)	3rd	< 0.002	< 0.002
APF-1 (Indicator)	4th	< 0.003	< 0.003
APQ-1 (Indicator)	4th	< 0.004	< 0.003
APP-1 (Indicator)	4th	< 0.003	< 0.003
APC-1 (Indicator)	4th	< 0.005	< 0 .004
APE-30 (Control)	4th	< 0.003	< 0. 003

## Table 2.1

Sample Type: Thermoluminescent Dosimeters Analysis: Gamma Dose

Units: mrem/Std. Qtr.

		Indicato	r Locations		
Station	1st Qtr '14	2nd Qtr '14	3rd Qtr '14	4th Qtr '14	Annual Mean '1
A-2	13	12	13	13	13
A-5	12	11	13	12	12
B-1	13	12	14	13	13
B-4	14	13	14	14	13
C-1	9	9	10	9	9
D-2	12	13	13	13	13
D-5	11	11	12	12	12
E-1	11	11	11	12	11
E-5	12	12	13	13	12
E-15	10	10	10	11	10
F-2	11	12	12	13	12
F-4	14	14	14	15	14
F-9	12	12	13	13	13
G-2	11	12	11	11	11
G-4	11	(2)	12	11	11
G-8	11	11	12	11	11
H-2	13	11	12	11	12
H-8	13	14	13	13	13
J-2	11	(2)	11	11	11
J-15	13	13	13	13	13
K-1	11	11	11	11	11
<sup>(1)</sup> L-1	14	14	15	14	14
M-1	10	10	10	10	10
N-1	(2)	(2)	14	14	14
P-1	10	10	10	10	10
P-6	13	13	14	13	13
Q-1	13	13	13	12	13
Q-5	13	13	15	13	13
R-1	10	10	10	10	10
R-6	10	10	10	10	10
		Contre	ol Location		
Station	1st Qtr '14	2nd Qtr '14	3rd Qtr '14	4th Qtr '14	Annual Mean '14
E-30	10	9	10	10	10

<sup>(1)</sup> Location with highest annual mean
 <sup>(2)</sup> No data - TLDs missing at time of exchange

## Table 3.1 Sample Type: <u>Drinking/Surface Water</u> Analysis: Gross Beta Units: pCi/l

Quarterly Composite	DWF/SWF-2 (Indicator)	DWE/SWE-5 (Indicator)	DWP/SWP-7 (Control)
Required LLD	<u>4</u>	4	<u>4</u>
1 <sup>st</sup>	6.92	6.03	4.81
2 <sup>nd</sup>	5.61	5.09	4.71
3 <sup>rd</sup>	4.45	4.07	3.48
4 <sup>th</sup>	5.55	5.36	4.49

## Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

Table 3.2

Sample Type: <u>Drinking/Surface Water</u> Analysis: lodine-131 Units: pCi/l

Collection Date	SWK-1 (Indicator)	DWF/SWF-2 (Indicator)	DWE/SWE-5 (Indicator)	DWP/SWP-7 (Control)
LLD	<u>15</u>	1	1	1
01-27-14 <sup>(1)</sup>			< 0.50	
01-27-14	< 5.55	< 0.44	< 0.40	< 0.43
02-25-14	< 2.70	< 0.57	< 0.69	< 0.61
03-25-14	< 3.62	< 0.58	< 0.74	< 0.64
04-23-14	< 4.35	< 0.63	< 0.62	< 0.61
05-20-14	< 4.36	< 0.67	< 0.66	< 0.65
06-17-14	< 3.28	< 0.72	< 0.71	< 0.72
07-15-14	< 3.88	< 0.69	< 0.70	< 0.62
08-12-14	< 3.59	< 0.50	< 0.45	< 0.63
09-10-14	< 2.59	< 0.84	< 0.90	< 0.76
10-07-14	< 5.59	< 0.63	< 0.53	< 0.65
11-04-14	< 3.37	< 0.07	< 0.80	< 0.59
12-02-14	< 5.54	< 0.16	< 0.24	< 0.18
12-30-14	< 4.23	< 0.65	< 0.59	< 0.61

<sup>(1)</sup> Duplicate sample

## Table 3.3 Sample Type: <u>Drinking/Surface Water</u> Analysis: Gamma Isotopic

Units: pCi/l

Loc	cation	Collection Date	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
	Required LLD		<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>
DWF/SWF-2	(Indicator)	1st	< 2.36	< 2.22	<4.63	< 2.22	< 4.56	< 2.31	< 4.03	< 2.31	< 2.53	< 9.09	< 2.69
DWE/SWE-5	(Indicator)	1st	< 2.52	< 2.41	< 4.74	< 2.55	< 5.54	< 2.46	< 4.36	< 2.41	< 2.74	< 9.26	< 2.64
DWP/SWP-7	(Control)	1st	< 2.81	< 2.53	< 5.57	< 2.85	< 4.86	< 2.58	< 4.58	< 2.75	< 2.89	< 11.40	< 3.02
DWF/SWF-2	(Indicator)	2nd	< 2.72	< 2.75	< 5.79	< 2.59	< 4.94	< 2.89	< 5.11	< 2.55	< 2.85	< 14.11	< 4.28
DWE/SWE-5	(Indicator)	2nd	< 2.36	< 2.28	< 4.63	< 2.52	< 5.40	< 2.29	< 5.18	< 2.76	< 3.39	< 14.10	< 5.36
DWP/SWP-7	(Control)	2nd	< 2.47	< 2.79	< 5.81	< 3.01	< 4.84	< 3.05	< 5.22	< 2.65	< 3.18	< 13.10	< 3.96
DWF/SWF-2	(Indicator)	3rd	< 2.14	< 2.03	< 3.51	< 2.62	< 4.20	< 2.29	< 4.24	< 1.82	< 2.46	< 12.00	< 3.86
DWE/SWE-5	(Indicator)	3rd	< 1.90	< 2.49	< 4.45	< 2.10	< 3.68	< 1.87	< 4.45	< 1.74	< 1.65	< 11.30	< 4.05
DWP/SWP-7	(Control)	3rd	< 2.65	< 2.39	< 4.80	< 2.67	< 4.89	< 2.49	< 4.52	< 2.19	< 2.53	< 14.40	< 4.56
DWF/SWF-2	(Indicator)	4th	< 1.76	< 2.00	< 4.22	< 1.83	< 3.70	< 2.04	< 3.23	< 1.68	< 1.84	< 12.50	< 3.97
DWE/SWE-5	(Indicator)	4th	< 1.98	< 1.91	< 4.50	< 1.97	< 3.98	< 2.12	< 3.51	< 1.66	< 2.00	< 12.90	< 4.09
DWP/SWP-7	(Control)	4th	< 1.73	<2.04	< 4.87	< 1.96	< 3.96	< 1.97	< 3.55	< 1.79	< 2.00	< 13.70	< 4.54

## Table 3.4 Sample Type: **<u>Drinking/Surface Water</u>** Analysis: Tritium Units: pCi/l

Quarter		DWF/SWF-2 (Indicator)	DWE/SWE-5 (Indicator)	SWK-1 (Indicator)	DWP/SWP-7 (Control)	
Required LLD	->	2000	<u>2000</u>	<u>3000</u>	<u>2000</u>	
1 <sup>st</sup>		< 588	< 592	< 593	< 599	
2 <sup>nd</sup>		< 620	< 628	< 616	< 619	
3 <sup>rd</sup>		< 583	< 581	< 579	< 576	
4 <sup>th</sup>		< 547	< 519	< 546	< 546	

.

## Table 3.5

Sample Type: <u>Surface Water</u> Analysis: Gamma Isotopic

Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Required LL	<u>D</u> →	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>
SWK-1	01-27-14	< 2.78	< 2.91	< 5.57	< 2.67	< 5.13	< 2.86	< 4.93	< 2.80	< 2.99	< 14.80	< 4.01
(Indicator)	02-25-14	< 2.13	< 2.05	< 4.72	< 1.83	< 4.17	< 2.26	< 3.99	< 2.12	< 2.41	< 8.90	< 2.18
. ,	03-25-14	< 2.70	< 2.82	< 5.55	< 2.68	< 5.22	< 2.57	< 4.52	< 2.60	< 2.84	< 10.30	< 3.96
	04-23-14	< 2.19	< 2.54	< 5.49	< 2.96	< 5.43	< 2.60	< 4.37	< 2.37	< 2.42	< 12.90	< 3.85
	05-20-14	< 3.56	< 2.95	< 6.77	< 3.53	< 6.16	< 3.48	< 6.31	< 3.35	< 3.33	< 14.70	< 4.03
	06-17-14	< 1.83	< 1.76	< 3.72	< 1.83	< 3.67	< 1.82	< 3.22	< 1.66	< 1.91	< 8.97	< 2.78
	07-15-14	< 2.50	< 2.63	< 5.14	< 2.99	< 5.11	< 2.50	< 3.99	< 2.63	< 2.74	< 10.90	< 4.16
	08 <b>-</b> 12-14	< 1.74	< 1.88	< 3.97	< 1.95	< 3.92	< 1.83	< 3.25	< 1.85	< 1.93	< 9.60	< 3.11
	09-09-14	< 1.52	< 1.52	< 3.01	< 1.52	< 2.91	< 1.70	< 2.69	< 1.47	< 1.56	< 6.98	< 2.18
	10-07-14	< 2.61	< 2.58	< 5.66	< 2.78	< 4.71	< 2.97	< 4.58	< 2.66	< 2.80	< 14.00	< 4.18
	11-04-14	< 1.49	< 1.67	< 3.30	< 1.81	< 3.98	< 1.84	< 3.04	< 1.53	< 1.44	< 8.94	< 1.47
	12-02-14	< 2.94	< 2.91	< 5.80	< 2.93	< 5.74	< 3.38	< 5.58	< 2.86	< 3.39	< 14.60	< 4.87
	12-30-14	< 3.85	< 3.60	< 7.28	< 3.55	< 7.27	< 3.88	< 6.53	< 3.66	< 4.04	< 14.30	< 4.08

Table 4.1 Sample Type: <u>Sediment</u> Analysis: Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/kg (dry)

٩.

	Location	Collection Date	Cs-134	Cs-137
	Required L	<u>.LD</u> →	<u>150</u>	<u>180</u>
SHWK-1	(Indicator)	03-17-14	< 39.0	< 50.0
SHWE-3	8 (Indicator)	03-17-14	< 73.7	< 84.4
SHWQ-6	6 (Control)	03-17-14	< 23.8	< 49.4

Table 5.1 Sample Type: <u>Milk</u> Analysis: lodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/l

Location	Collection Date	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
Required LL	. <u>D</u> →	<u>1</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>15</u>
MKE-3 (Indicator)	<sup>(1)</sup> 03-13-14 <sup>(1)</sup> 06-12-14 <sup>(1)</sup> 09-11-14 <sup>(1)</sup> 12-10-14	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
MKA-31 (Control)	03-13-14 06-12-14 09-11-14 12-10-14	< 0.49 < 0.75 < 0.65 < 0.31	< 3.10 < 0.22 < 2.28 < 2.13	< 4.27 < 3.51 < 3.18 < 3.26	< 14.60 < 14.20 < 13.20 < 12.50	< 4.19 < 2.21 < 3.26 < 3.09

<sup>(1)</sup> Sample not available. Cows not producing enough milk. See page 2 for details.

Table 6.1 Sample Type: <u>Fish</u> Analysis: Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/kg (wet)

Location	Collection Date	Species	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Cs-134	Cs-137
Required LLC	<u> </u>		<u>130</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>150</u>
FH-1 (Control)	11-05-14 11-05-14 11-05-14 11-05-14	Carp Catfish	< 64.4 < 60.5 < 71.3 < 70.5	< 44.8 < 70.9 < 73.2 < 98.2	< 133.0 < 149.0 < 152.0 < 181.0	< 67.4 < 61.3 < 80.9 < 76.5	< 125.0 < 133.0 < 140.0 < 173.0	< 52.6 < 48.1 < 73.2 < 94.6	< 54.7 < 61.7 < 75.4 < 91.0
FH-2 (Indicator)	11-05-14 11-05-14 11-05-14 11-05-14	Carp Catfish	< 54.8 < 60.7 < 64.4 < 68.9	< 61.3 < 78.0 < 63.6 < 78.9	< 90.7 < 103.0 < 133.0 < 151.0	< 53.6 < 53.2 < 67.1 < 63.5	< 126.0 < 118.0 < 141.0 < 98.4	< 65.0 < 66.1 < 87.3 < 60.9	< 63.8 < 68.5 < 84.2 < 58.9
FH-3 (Indicator)	10-31-14 10-31-14 10-31-14 10-31-14 11-04-14	Carp Catfish Mullet	< 78.0 < 64.3 < 60.9 < 48.2 < 66.8	< 76.7 < 69.4 < 50.7 < 61.0 < 63.4	< 180.0 < 120.0 < 135.0 < 104.0 < 164.0	< 73.0 < 79.3 < 52.2 < 64.2 < 74.7	< 215.0 < 153.0 < 93.6 < 124.0 < 159.0	< 84.0 < 61.2 < 49.1 < 56.1 < 74.5	< 82.5 < 72.9 < 62.8 < 48.6 < 76.1

,

# Table 7.1

Sample Type: Broad Leaf Vegetation

Analysis: Iodine-131 and Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/kg (wet)

Location	Collection Date	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137
Required LLD		<u>60</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>80</u>
BLQ-1 (Indicator)	03-12-14	< 25.7	< 16.6	< 17.1
BLQ-1 (Indicator)	06-11-14	< 33.9	< 19.7	< 21.0
BLQ-1 (Indicator)	09-10-14	< 34.9	< 21.8	< 19.5
BLQ-1 (Indicator)	12-15-14	< 31.3	< 25.5	< 33.5
BLB-1 (Indicator)	03-12-14	< 32.2	< 18.1	< 27.4
BLB-1 (Indicator)	06-11-14	< 32.7	< 17.9	< 21.7
BLB-1 (Indicator)	09-10-14	< 34.1	< 19.2	< 22.7
BLB-1 (Indicator)	12-15-14	< 26.5	< 19.7	< 20.9
BLE-20 (Control) BLE-20 (Control) BLE-20 (Control) BLE-20 (Control)	03-12-14 06-11-14 09-10-14 12-15-14	< 36.1 < 33.6 < 41.0 < 31.0	< 23.9 < 21.5 < 26.0 < 24.3	< 25.2 < 22.5 < 24.7 < 26.2

Table 8.1

.

Sample Type: Interlaboratory Comparison

Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131, Tritium and Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/kg (wet)

#### ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Month/Year	ID Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics	Evaluation (d)
March 2014	E10854	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	96.6	98.5	0.98	A
			Ce-141	pCi/L	112	119	0.94	A
			Cr-51	pCi/L	449	491	0.91	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	186	210	0.89	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	250	253	0.99	A
		-	Co-58	pCi/L	248	268	0.93	A
			Mn-54	pCi/L	292	297	0.98	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	230	219	1.05	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	312	323	0.97	A
			Co-60	pCi/L	321	337	0.95	A
	E10857	AP	Ce-141	pCi	53.0	53.9	0.98	A
	E 10007		Cr-51	pCi pCi	232	223	1.04	
		·			100			A
	-		Cs-134	pCi		95.3	1.05	A
			Cs-137	pCi	122	115	1.06	<u>A</u>
			Co-58	pCi	122	121	1.01	A
	+		Mn-54	pCi	135	135	1.00	A
			Fe-59	pCi	111	99.3	1.12	A
			Zn-65	pCi	140	147	0.95	A
			Co-60	pCi	187	153	1.22	W
	E10856	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	74.1	76.4	0.97	Α
June 2014	E10914	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	86.5	90.9	0.95	A
			Ce-141	pCi/L	111	124	0.90	A
			Cr-51	pCi/L	255	253	1.01	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	147	162	0.91	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	123	120	1.03	A
			Co-58	pCi/L	105	112	0.94	A
·			Mn-54	pCi/L	155	156	0.99	A
	+		Fe-59	pCi/L	106	102	1.04	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	251	252	1.00	A
		+	Co-60	pCi/L	218	232	0.97	Â
			00-00	poi/L	210	224	0.97	
	E10016	AP	Co 141	-0	05.1	02.6	1.02	<u>_</u>
	E10916	<u> </u>	Ce-141	<u>pCi</u>	95.1	92.6	1.03	A
· · ·			Cr-51	pCi	215	190	1.13	A
			Cs-134	pCi	122	122	1.00	A
	- <u> </u>	· · · · · ·	Cs-137	pCi	95.1	89.8	1.06	A
		<u> </u>	Co-58	pCi	88.7	84.1	1.05	A
			Mn-54	pCi	115	116	0.99	A
			Fe-59	pCi	72.6	76.7	0.95	A
			Zn-65	pCi	193	189	1.02	<u> </u>
			Co-60	рСі	179	168	1.07	A
	E10915	Charcoal	I-131	рСі	85.6	85.2	1.00	A
	E10947	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	92.0	97.6	0.94	A
			Ce-141	pCi/L	117	126	0.93	A
<u> </u>		1	Cr-51	pCi/L	281	288	0.98	A
		1	Cs-134	pCi/L	141	158	0.89	A
		1	Cs-137	pCi/L	186	193	0.96	A
		1	Co-58	pCi/L	137	143	0.96	A
		1	Mn-54	pCi/L	138	143	0.97	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	162	158	1.03	A
		1	Zn-65	pCi/L	75.2	73.0	1.03	A
	· {·	1	Co-60		286	297	0.96	
				pCi/L	200	L 291	0.90	A

#### Table 8.1

Sample Type: Interlaboratory Comparison

Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131, Tritium and Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/kg (wet)

Month/Year	ID Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics	Evaluation (d)
September 2014	E10949	AP	Ce-141	рСі	97.8	82.1	1.19	A
			Cr-51	pCi	212	188	1.13	A
			Cs-134	pCi	106	103	1.03	A
			Cs-137	pCi	131	126	1.04	A
			Co-58	pCi	85.7	93.0	0.92	A
			Mn-54	pCi	92.8	92.8	1.01	A
			Fe-59	pCi	113	103	1.10	A
			Zn-65	pCi	53.2	47.5	1.12	A
			Co-60	pCi	202	193	1.05	A
	E10948	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	83.9	89.8	0.93	A
	E10951	Soil	Ce-141	pCi/g	0.208	0.186	1.12	A
			Cr-51	pCi/g	0.398	0.425	0.94	A
			Cs-134	pCi/g	0.216	0.233	0.93	A
			Cs-137	pCi/g	0.398	0.365	1.09	A
			Co-58	pCi/g	0.197	0.211	0.93	A
			Mn-54	pCi/g	0.242	0.209	1.16	A
			Fe-59	pCi/g	0.238	0.233	1.02	A
			Zn-65	pCi/g	0.117	0.108	1.08	A
			Co-60	pCi/g	0.447	0.438	1.02	A
December 2014	E11079	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	85.9	95.1	0.90	A
			Ce-141	pCi/L	205	219	0.94	A
			Cr-51	pCi/L	402	406	0.99	A
		-	Cs-134	pCi/L	156	164	0.95	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	194	198	0.98	A
			Co-58	pCi/L	122	130	0.94	A
			Mn-54	pCi/L	220	225	0.98	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	183	175	1.05	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	287	297	0.97	A
<u> </u>			Co-60	pCi/L	224	235	0.95	Α
	E11081	AP	Ce-141	рСі	96.4	102	0.95	A
			Cr-51	рСі	171	190	0.90	A
			Cs-134	pCi	73.1	76.9	0.95	A
			Cs-137	pCi	99.0	92.6	1.07	A
			Co-58	pCi	57.5	60.8	0.95	A
			Mn-54	pCi	107	105	1.02	A
			Fe-59	pCi	74.2	81.6	0.91	A
			Zn-65	pCi	144	139	1.04	A
			Co-60	рСі	114	110	1.04	A
	E11080	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	93.5	98.2	0.95	A

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.(b) The Analytics known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) Ratio of Teledyne Brown Engineering to Analytics results.

 (d) Analytics evaluation based on TBE internal QC limits: A= Acceptable, reported result falls within ratio limits of 0.80-1.20. W-Acceptable with warning, reported result falls within 0.70-0.80 or 1.20-1.30. N = Not Acceptable, reported results falls outside the ratio limits of <0.70 and >1.30.

#### Table 8.1 Sample Type: Interlaboratory Comparison

Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131, Tritium and Gamma Isotopic

Units: pCi/kg (wet)

Month/Year	ID Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Acceptance Range	Evaluation (c)
March 2014	14- MaW30	Water	H-3	Bq/L	NR	321	225 – 417	N (1)
			Cs-134	Bq/L	20.7	23.1	16.2 - 30.0	A
			Cs-137	Bq/L	28.0	28.9	20.2 - 37.6	A
			Co-57	Bq/L	26.5	27.5	19.3 - 35.8	A
			Co-60	Bq/L	15.6	16.0	11.2 - 20.8	A
			Mn-54	Bq/L	13.5	13.9	9.7 – 18.1	А
			K-40	Bq/L	NR	False p	ositive test	N (2)
			Zn-65	Bq/L	-0.201	False p	ositive test	A
·	14-MaS30	Soil	Cs-134	Bq/kg	2.02	False p	ositive test	A
			Cs-137	Bq/kg	1300	1238	867 - 1609	A
			Co-57	Bq/kg	1069	966	676 - 1256	A
			Co-60	Bq/kg	1.32	1.22	Sensitivity	A
			Mn-54	Bq/kg	1510	1430	1001 - 1859	A
		·	K-40	Bq/kg	669	622	435 - 809	A
			Zn-65	Bq/kg	763	695	487 - 904	A
	14-RdF30	AP	Cs-134	Bq/sample	NR	1.91	1.34 - 2.48	N (1)
			Cs-137	Bq/sample	NR	1.76	1.23 - 2.29	N (1)
			Co-57	Bq/sample	NR		ositive test	N (1)
			Co-60	Bq/sample	NR	1.39 0.97 – 1.81		N (1)
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	NR	<u>1.39</u> 0.97 – 1.81 False positive test		N (1)
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	NR		ositive test	N (1)
	14-GrF30	AP	Gr-B	Bq/sample	0.7507	0.77	0.39 -1.16	A
			0.0	Bqreampre				
	14-RdV30	Vegetation	Cs-134	Bq/sample	5.96	6.04	4.23 - 7.85	A
			Cs-137	Bq/sample	5.06	4.74	3.32 - 6.16	A
			Co-57	Bq/sample	11.8	10.1	7.1 – 13.1	A
			Co-60	Bq/sample_	7.34	6.93	4.85 - 9.01	A
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	8.95	8.62	6.03 - 11.21	A
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	8.91	7.86	5.50 - 10.22	A
September 2014	14- MaW31	Water	Cs-134	Bq/L	NR	False p	ositive test	N (1)
			Cs-137	Bq/L	NR	18.4	12.9 - 23.9	N (1)
			Co-57	Bq/L	NR	24.7	17.3 – 32.1	N (1)
			Co-60	Bq/L	NR	12.4	8.7 – 16.1	N (1)
	_		Mn-54	Bq/L	NR	14.0	9.8 - 18.2	N (1)
			K-40	Bq/L	NR	161	113 - 209	N (1)
			Zn-65	Bq/L	NR	10.9	7.6 – 14.2	N (1)
	14-MaS31	Soil	Cs-134	Bq/kg	NR	622	435 - 809	N (1)
			Cs-137	Bq/kg	NR		ositive test	N (1)
			Co-57	Bq/kg	NR	1116	781 – 1451	N (1)
			Co-60	Bq/kg	NR	779	545 – 1013	N (1)
			Mn-54	Bq/kg	NR	1009	706 - 1312	N (1)
			K-40	Bq/kg	NR	824	577 - 1071	N (1)
			Zn-65	Bq/kg	NR	541	379 - 703	N (1)

## DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

# Table 8.1 Sample Type: Interlaboratory Comparison

Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131, Tritium and Gamma Isotopic

Month/Year	ID Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Acceptance Range	Evaluation (c)
September 2014 14-0	14-GrF31	AP	Gr-B	Bq/sample	0.977	1.06	0.53 - 1.59	A
	14-RdV31	Vegetation	Cs-134	Bq/sample	7.31	7.38	5.17 - 9.59	A
-			Cs-137	Bq/sample	8.93	8.14	5.70 - 10.58	A
			Co-57	Bq/sample	10.8	9.2	6.4 ~ 12.0	A
			Co-60	Bq/sample	6.31	6.11	4.28 - 7.94	A
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	7.76	7.10	4.97 -9.23	A
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	7.16	6.42	4.49 - 8.35	Α

In reviewing our environmental inter-laboratory crosscheck programs, we identified 1) duplication of efforts on some matrices and isotopes and 2) that we are performing crosscheck samples on some matrices and isotopes that we do not perform for clients. Since the DOE MAPEP is designed to evaluate the ability of analytical facilities to correctly analyze for radiological constituents representative of those at DOE sites, the needed changes were made to the MAPEP program. Therefore, the following isotopes were removed from the MAPEP program:

Soil – gamma – will be provided by Analytics twice per year, starting in 2015. For 2014, one soil gamma is provided by MAPEP, the 2<sup>nd</sup> soil gamma is provided by Analytics.

AP - gamma - is currently provided by Analytics.

Water - gamma, H-3, Sr-90, uranium, gross alpha and gross beta currently provided by ERA.

- (1) MAPEP evaluates non-reports (NR) as failed if they were reported in the previous study
- (2) The K-40 in water result of 1.63 Bq/L was overlooked when reporting the data but would have passed the false positive test. NCR 14-04
- (a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.
- (b) The MAPEP known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.
- (c) DOE/MAPEP evaluation: A=acceptable; W=acceptable with warning; N=not acceptable.

Table 8.1

Sample Type: Interlaboratory Comparison

Analysis: Gross Beta, Iodine-131, Tritium and Gamma Isotopic Units: pCi/kg (wet)

## ERA ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

Month/Year	ID Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Reported	Known	Acceptance	Evaluation
					Value (a)	Value (b)	Limits	(C)
May 2014	RAD-97	Water	H-3	pCi/L	8435	8770	7610 - 9650	A
			Ba-133	pCi/L	89.1	87.9	74.0 - 96.7	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	45.55	44.3	35.5 - 48.7	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	91.15	89.1	80.2 - 101	A
		1	Co-60	pCi/L	65.10	64.2	57.8 - 73.1	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	244	235	212 – 275	A
			Gr-B	pCi/L	27.95	33.0	21.4 - 40.7	A
			I-131	pCi/L	23.75	25.7	21.3 - 30.3	A
November 2014	RAD-99	Water	H-3	pCi/L	6255	6880	5940-7570	A
			Ba-133	pCi/L	46.8	49.1	40.3 - 54.5	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	88.0	89.8	73.7 - 98.8	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	99.0	98.8	88.9 - 111	A
			Co-60	pCi/L	92.5	92.1	82.9 - 104	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	325	310	279 – 362	A
			Gr-B	pCi/L	27.5	27.4	17.3 – 35.3	A
			I-131	pCi/L	15.8	20.3	16.8 - 24.4	N (1)

(1) Iodine-131 was evaluated as failed with a ratio of 0.778. No cause could be found for the slightly low activity. TBE would evaluate this as acceptable with warning. A rerun was not possible due to I-131 decay. All previous ERA I-131 evaluations since 2004 have been acceptable. NCR 14-08

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.

(b) The ERA known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or Volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) ERA evaluation: A=acceptable. Reported result falls within the Warning Limits. NA=not acceptable. Reported result falls outside of the Control Limit. CE=check for Error. Reported result falls within the Control Limits and outside of the Warning Limits. .

# ATTACHMENT 2

# **Statistical Comparisons**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE 2.1	STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 TLD MEASUREMENTS FROM STATIONS GROUPED BY DISTANCE	54
TABLE 2.2	STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 TLD RADIATION DOSE TO HISTORICAL DATA BY LOCATION	55
TABLE 2.3	STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 GROSS BETA ACTIVITY MEASUREMENTS ON AIR PARTICULATE FILTERS	56
TABLE 2.4	STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 GROSS BETA ACTIVITY MEASUREMENTS IN DRINKING/SURFACE WATER SAMPLES	57

#### **Statistical Analyses**

## • Calculation of the Mean and Standard Deviation

The mean and standard deviation for different groups of analyses are calculated using the following equations:

$$\overline{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_i}{n}$$

and

$$S = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}}{(n-1)}\right)^{0.5}$$

where:

- $\overline{X}$  = mean of sample population,
- S = standard deviation of sample population,
- n = number of samples in sample population, and
- $X_i$  = value of the i'th sample.

#### • Comparing Two Sample Population Means

The means of two sample populations are compared for statistical difference using the standard "t" test. The use of the test requires the assumption that the data within the populations are normally distributed and that the true standard deviations of the mean are equal for both populations. The standard "t" test tests the hypothesis that the true means of both populations are equal. The "t" value can be calculated from the equation below (obtained from the <u>CRC Standard Mathematical Tables</u>, 26th Edition (1981)):

$$t = \frac{\overline{X} - \overline{Y}}{\left(\frac{(n_x - 1)s_x^2 + (n_y - 1)s_y^2}{n_x + n_y - 2}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{1}{n_x} + \frac{1}{n_y}\right)^{0.5}}$$

where:

- t = calculated "t" value,
- $\overline{X}$  = mean of first data set,
- $\overline{Y}$  = mean of second data set,
- $\eta_x$  = number of variables in first data set,
- $S_{x}$  = standard deviation of first data set,
- $\eta_{\rm v}$  = number of variables in second data set, and
- $S_{v}$  = standard deviation of second data set.

The calculated "t" value is used to test the hypothesis that the true mean of the first population (m  $_x$ ) is equal to the true mean of the second population (m  $_y$ ) assuming that the true standard deviation of both populations are equal (m  $_x$  = m  $_y$ ). The calculated "t" value is compared to a tabular "t" value such that:

- a if  $t > t_{\mu,n}$  then reject the hypothesis when  $m_x > m_y$ ,
- b. if  $t < -t_{\mu,n}$  then reject the hypothesis when  $m_x < m_y$ ,
- c. if  $t > t_{\mu/2,n}$  then reject the hypothesis when  $m_x = m_y$ ,

where t  $_{\mu/2,n}$  and t  $_{\mu,n}$  are the tabular "t" values, with a preselected error (5%), confidence level (1 -  $\mu$ ) or

(1-  $\mu/2$ ), and degrees of freedom n = n<sub>x</sub> + n<sub>y</sub> - 2. Tabular values of the "t" were obtained from the <u>CRC Standard Mathematical Tables</u>, 26th Edition (1981).

STATIS	TICAL COMPARISON OF 201 STATIONS GROUPED	4 TLD MEASUREMENTS FROM	
	Stations Located 0-2 Miles from the Plant	Stations Located 2-5 Miles from the Plant	Stations Located more than 5 Miles from the Plant
Mean (mRem/std.qtr.)	12	13	12
Standard Deviation (mRem/std. qtr.)	1.51	1.13	1.28
Number in Sample	61	27	28
Calculated "t" Value (comparison of stations 0-2 and 2-5 miles from the plant to stations >5 miles from the plant)	1.07	2.12	NA*
Tabular "t" Value at 95% Confidence(t <sub>0.025,n</sub> )	1.991(a)	2.007(b)	NA*

(a) Results indicate the mean for stations located 0-2 miles from the plant are statistically identical to the mean for stations located more than 5 miles from the plant.

(b) Although the TLD stations located 2-5 miles from the plant are statistically higher than those located more than 5 miles from the plant, the quarterly doses measured in 2014 are consistent with historical data at each location as shown in Table 2.2.

\* Not Applicable

STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 TLD RADIATION DOSE TO HISTORICAL DATA BY LOCATION Units: mrem/Std. C								
Station	1990 - 2013 Avg**	1990 - 2013 Std Dev**	1990	- 2013 Range**	2014 Avg**	2014 Std Dev**	201	4 Range**
A-2	13	1.4	10	18	13	0.4	12	13
A-5	13	1.4	10	17	12	0.7	11	13
B-1	13	1.4	10	19	13	0.4	13	14
B-4	13	1.1	11	17	14	0.4	13	14
C-1	9	1.2	7	13	10	0.5	9	10
D-2	12	1.9	8	19	13	0.4	12	13
D-5	12	1.4	9	18	12	0.5	11	12
E-1	11	1.2	9	16	11	0.4	11	12
E-5	12	1.6	9	17	13	0.5	12	13
E-15	11	1.6	8	16	10	0.4	10	11
E-30*	11	1.5	8	17	10	0.4	9	10
F-2	12	1.1	10	17	12	0.7	11	13
F-4	14	1.4	11	19	15	0.5	14	15
F-9	12	1.4	7	17	13	0.5	12	13
G-2	14	1.8	10	19	11	0.4	11	12
G-4	11	1.3	9	16	11	0.5	11	12
G-8	12	1.9	8	19	11	0.4	11	12
H-2	13	1.2	10	18	12	0.8	11	13
H-8	12	1.2	9	17	13	0.4	13	14
J-2	12	1.5	10	17	11	0.0	11	11
J-15	13	1.2	11	17	13	0.0	13	13
K-1	11	1.2	9	16	11	0.0	11	11
L-1	13	1.4	10	16	14	0.4	14	15
M-1	12	1.5	9	18	10	0.0	10	10
N-1	13	1.5	8	18	14	0.0	14	14
P-1	10	1.2	7	15	10	0.0	10	10
P-6	14	1.3	10	19	13	0.4	13	14
Q-1	12	1.2	10	16	13	0.4	12	13
Q-5	13	2.1	9	18	14	0.9	13	15
R-1	10	1.9	6	15	10	0.0	10	10
R-6	12	2.4	8	18	10	0.0	10	10

\* Control Location

\*\* Significant outliers were removed from data sets.

PERS data indicates an average of 20 mrem for all indicator locations with a range of 11 to 33 and an average control of 18 mrem.

STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 GROSS BETA ACTIVITY MEASUREMENTS ON AIR PARTICULATE FILTERS								
SAMPLE STATION	APF-1	APQ-1	APP-1	APC-1	APE-30			
Mean (10 <sup>-3</sup> pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	21	20	19	19	20			
Standard Deviation (10 <sup>-3</sup> pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	5.04	4.41	4.28	6.33	4.24			
Number in Sample	26	26	26	26	26			
Calculated "t" Value (comparison of the indicator stations to the control station)	0.48	0.35	1.14	0.54	NA*			
Tabular "t" Value at 95% Confidence(t <sub>0.025,n</sub> )	2.011(a)	2.011(a)	2.011(a)	2.011(a)	NA*			

(a) Results indicate the mean for the indicator stations is statistically identical to the mean for the control station.

\* Not Applicable

STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF 2014 GROSS BETA ACTIVITY MEASUREMENTS IN DRINKING/SURFACE WATER SAMPLES							
	DWF/SWF-2	DWE/SWE-5	DWP/SWP-7				
Mean (pCi/liter)	5.6	5.1	4.4				
Standard Deviation (pCi/liter)	0.88	0.70	0.53				
Number in Sample	4	4	4				
Calculated "t" Value (comparison of the indicator stations to the control station)	2.47	1.74	NA*				
Tabular "t" Value at 95% Confidence(t <sub>0.025,n</sub> )	2.447(b)	2.447(a)	NA*				

(a) Results indicate the mean for the indicator station is statistically identical to the mean for the control station.

(b) Results indicate the mean for the indicator station is statistically higher than the mean for the control location. Results obtained in 2014 are consistent with historical data as stated in section 2.3.

\* Not Applicable