

May 30, 2013

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit Nos. 2 and 3 Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56 NRC Docket Nos. 50-277 and 50-278

SUBJECT: Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 70 January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012

In accordance with the requirements of Section 5.6.2 of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3 Technical Specifications, this letter submits the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 70. This report provides the 2012 results for the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) as called for in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual.

<u>,</u>

In assessing the data collected for the REMP, we have concluded that the operation of PBAPS, Units 2 and 3, had no adverse impact on the environment. There are no commitments contained in this letter.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact Gerard Stenclik at (717) 456-4491.

Sincerely

Patrick D. Navin, Plant Manager Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station

PDN/RJR/GRS/JCC/EAS/bcb

Enclosure

ccn 13-47

cc: William Dean, Administrator, Region I, USNRC (william.dean@nrc.gov)
 G. F. Wunder, Project Manager, USNRC (george.wunder@nrc.gov)
 S. Hansell, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, PBAPS
 Joe Furia, Region I, USNRC, 2100 Renaissance Blvd, King of Prussia, PA 19406

May 30, 2013 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 70 January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 bcc: P. Steinhauer, PSEG [patricia.steinhauer@pseg.com] Susan Grey, State of Maryland [SGray@dnr.state.md.us] R. R. Janati, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania [rjanati@state.pa.us] C. Mudrick, Kennett Square M. Massaro, PBAPS, SMB4-9 P. Navin, PBAPS, A4-1S T. Moore, PBAPS, SMB3-2A J. Bowers, PBAPS, PB-TC J. Armstrong, PBAPS, SMB4 D. P. Helker, Kennett Square R. Salvadore, PBAPS, SMB4-5

W. R. Nelle, PBAPS

Records Management 2C.112

Docket No: 50-277 50-278
PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION
Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report
Report No. 70 1 January Through 31 December 2012
Prepared By
Exelon Generation.
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Delta, PA 17314
May 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

nplementation
rogram Description
Program Exceptions
esults and Discussion quatic Environment 1. Surface Water 2. Drinking Water
 Precipitation
 Airborne
a. Milk b. Food Products
dependent Spent Fuel Storage Installation and Use Census rrata Data

Appendices

.

Appendix A	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Report Summary
<u>Tables</u>	
Table A-1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Appendix B	Sample Designation and Locations
<u>Tables</u>	
Table B-1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction from Reactor Buildings, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table B-2	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Summary of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Figures	
Figure B-1	Environmental Sampling Locations within One Mile of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Figure B-2	Environmental Sampling Locations between One and Approximately Five Miles of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Figure B-3	Environmental Sampling Locations Greater than Five Miles from the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Appendix C	Data Tables and Figures - Primary Laboratory
Tables	
Table C-I.1	Concentrations of Tritium in Surface Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-I.2	Concentrations of I-131 in Surface Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-I.3	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Surface Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-II.1	Concentrations of Gross Beta in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

Table C-II.2	Concentrations of Tritium in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-II.3	Concentrations of I-131 in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-II.4	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-III.1	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Predator & Bottom Feeder (Fish) Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-IV.1	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Sediment Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-V.1	Concentrations of Gross Beta in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-V.2	Monthly and Yearly Mean Values of Gross Beta Concentrations in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-V.3	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-VI.1	Concentrations of I-131 in Air Iodine Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-VII.1	Concentrations of I-131 in Milk Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-VII.2	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Milk Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-VIII.1	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Food Product Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-IX.1	Quarterly OSLD Results for Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-IX.2	Mean Quarterly OSLD Results for the Site Boundary, Intermediate and Control Locations for Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table C-IX.3	Summary of the Ambient Dosimetry Program for Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

<u>Figures</u>

•

Figure C-1 Monthly Total Gross Beta Concentrations in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 2012

Figure C-2	Mean Annual Cs-137 Concentrations in Fish Samples Collected in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 1971-2012
Figure C-3	Mean Semi-Annual Cs-137 Concentrations in Sediment Samples Collected in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 1971-2012
Figure C-4	Mean Weekly Gross Beta Concentrations in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 2012
Figure C-5	Mean Monthly Gross Beta Concentrations in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 1970-2012
Figure C-6	Mean Annual Cs-137 Concentrations in Milk Samples Collected in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 1971-2012
Figure C-7	Mean Quarterly Ambient Gamma Radiation Levels (OSLD) in the Vicinity of PBAPS, 1973-2012
Figure C-8	Quarterly Ambient Gamma Radiation Levels (OSLD) Near the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Located at PBAPS, 1998-2012
Appendix D	Data Tables and Figures - QC Laboratory
<u>Tables</u>	
Table D-I.1	Concentrations of Gross Beta Insoluble in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-I.2	Concentrations of Gross Beta Soluble in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-I.3	Concentrations of Tritium in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-I.4	Concentrations of I-131 in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-I.5	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Drinking Water Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-II.1	Concentrations of Gross Beta Insoluble in Air Particulate and I-131 in Air Iodine Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-II.2	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Air Particulate Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Table D-III.1	Concentrations of I-131 by Chemical Separation and Gamma Emitters in Milk Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

.

·.--

1

Table D-IV.1	Summary of Collection Dates for Samples Collected in the Vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
<u>Figures</u>	
Figure D-1	Comparison of Monthly Total Gross Beta Concentrations in Drinking Water Samples Split Between the Primary and QC Laboratories, 2012
Figure D-2	Comparison of Weekly Gross Beta Concentrations from Collocated Air Particulate Locations Split Between the Primary and QC Laboratories, 2012
Appendix E	Quality Control - Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program
Tables	
Table E-1	Analytics Environmental Radioactivity Cross Check Program Teledyne Brown Engineering, 2012
Table E-2	ERA Environmental Radioactivity Cross Check Program Teledyne Brown Engineering, 2012
Table E-3	DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP) Teledyne Brown Engineering, 2012
Table E-4	ERA Statistical Summary Proficiency Testing Program Environmental, Inc., 2012
Table E-5	DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP) Environmental, Inc., 2012
Appendix F	Errata Data
Appendix G	Annual Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Report (ARGPPR)

• • •

Intentionally Left Blank

.

•

.

I. Executive Summary

In 2012, the dose from both liquid and gaseous effluents was conservatively calculated for the Maximum Exposed Member of the Public for PBAPS. The results of those calculations and their comparison to the allowable limits were as follows:

٠.

				Location		% of			
Effluent	Applicable Organ	Estimated Dose	Age Group	Distance (meters)	Di (te	rection oward)	Applicable Limit	Limit	Unit
Noble Gas	Gamma - Air Dose	2.32E-01	All	1.10E+03		SSE	1.16E+00	2.00E+01	mrad
Noble Gas	Beta - Air Dose	1.58E-01	All	1.10E+03		SSE	3.95E-01	4.00E+01	mrad
Noble Gas	Total Body (gamma)	2.24E-01	All	1.10E+03		SSE	2.24E+00	1.00E+01	mrem
Noble Gas	Skin (Beta)	2.92E-01	All	1.10E+03		SSE	9.73E-01	3.00E+01	mrem
Gaseous Iodine, Particulate, Carbon-14 & Tritium	Bone	5.49E-01	Child	1.10E+03		SSE	1.83E+00	3.00E+01	mrem
Gaseous Iodine, Particulate, Carbon-14 & Tritium	Thyroid	7.32E-02	Infant	1.10E+03		SSE	2.44E-01	3.00E+01	mrem
Liquid	Total Body (gamma)	3.36E-04	Child	Site Boundary		5.60E-03	6.00E+00	mrem	
Liquid	GI-LLI	3.78E-03	Adult	,			1.89E-02	2.00E+01	mrem
Direct Radiation	Total Body	1.55E+00	All	1.15E+03 SSE		7.05E+00	2.20E+01	mrem	

40 CFR Part 190 Compliance											
Total Dose Total Body 1.77E+00 All 1.15E+03 SSE 7.10E+00 2.50E+01 mr											
Total Dose	Thyroid	1.62E+00	All	1.15E+03	SSE	2.16E+00	7.50E+01	mrem			
Total Dose	Bone	2.10E+00	All	1.15E+03	SSE	8.40E+00	2.50E+01	mrem			
Total Dose	Total Body	2.24E-01	All	1.15E+03	SSE	7.48E+00	3.00E+00	mrem			
Total Dose	Bone	5.49E-01	All	1.15E+03	SSE	1.83E+01	3.00E+00	mrem			
Total Dose	Thyroid	3.05E-01	All	1.15E+03	SSE	5.55E-01	5.50E+01	mrem			

Doses calculated were well below all ODCM limits.

This report on the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program conducted for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) by Exelon Nuclear covers the period 1 January 2012 through 31 December 2012. During that time period 1,220 analyses were performed on 285 samples.

Surface water samples were analyzed for concentrations of tritium and gamma emitting nuclides. No tritium, fission or activation products were found.

Drinking water samples were analyzed for concentrations of gross beta, tritium and gamma emitting nuclides. No fission or activation products were found. Gross beta activity detected was consistent with those observed in previous years. Tritium was not detected in drinking water.

Precipitation samples were analyzed under the RGPP (Radiological Groundwater Protection Program) in 2012.

The remaining sample media representing the aquatic environment included fish and sediment samples. These media were analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides. Fish samples showed no detectable fission or activation products from the operation of PBAPS. Cesium-137 activity was found at one of three sediment locations and was consistent with data from previous years.

The atmospheric environment was divided into two parts for examination: airborne and terrestrial. Sample media for determining airborne affects included air particulates and air iodine samples. Analyses performed on air particulate samples included gross beta and gamma spectrometry. No fission or activation products were found. The gross beta results were consistent with results from the previous years. Furthermore, no notable differences between control and indicator locations were observed. These findings indicate no measurable effects from the operation of PBAPS.

High sensitivity lodine-131 analyses were performed on weekly air samples. All results were less than the minimum detectable activity for I-131.

Examination of the terrestrial environment was accomplished by analyzing milk and food product samples. Milk samples were analyzed for low level concentrations of lodine-131 and gamma emitting nuclides. Food product samples were analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides. No Peach Bottom activation or fission products were detected.

Ambient gamma radiation levels were measured quarterly throughout the year. All measurements were below 10 mR/standard month and the results were consistent with those measured in previous years.

The results of the Optically-Stimulated Luminescent Dosimetry (OSLD) monitoring program were used to confirm that the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) had no measurable impact on the dose rate in the environs.

In assessing all the data gathered for this report and comparing these results with preoperational data, it was evident that the operation of PBAPS had no adverse radiological impact on the environment.

II. Introduction

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) is located along the Susquehanna River between Holtwood and Conowingo Dams in Peach Bottom Township, York County, Pennsylvania. The initial loading of fuel into Unit 1, a 40 MWe (net) high temperature, gas-cooled reactor began on 5 February 1966 and initial criticality was achieved on 3 March 1966. Shutdown of Peach Bottom Unit 1 for decommissioning was on 31 October 1974. For the purposes of the monitoring program, the beginning of the operational period for Unit 1 was considered to be 5 February 1966. A summary of the Unit 1 preoperational monitoring program was presented in a previous report⁽¹⁾. PBAPS Units 2 and 3 are boiling water reactors, each with a power output of approximately 1,170 MWe. The first fuel was loaded into Peach Bottom Unit 2 on 9 August 1973. Criticality was achieved on 16 September 1973 and full power was reached on 16 June 1974. The first fuel was loaded into Peach Bottom Unit 3 on 5 July 1974. Criticality was achieved on 7 August 1974 and full power was first reached on 21 December 1974. Preoperational summary reports ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ for Units 2 and 3 have been previously issued and summarize the results of all analyses performed on samples collected from 5 February 1966 through 8 August 1973.

A Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for PBAPS was initiated in 1966. This report covers those analyses performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE), Landauer and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) on samples collected during the period 01 January 2012 through 31 December 2012.

A. Objectives

The objectives of the REMP are:

- 1. Provide data on measurable levels of radiation and radioactive materials in the site environs.
- 2. Evaluate the relationship between quantities of radioactive material released from the plant and resultant radiation doses to individuals from principal pathways of exposure.
- B. Implementation of the Objectives

Implementation of the objectives is accomplished by:

- 1. Identifying significant exposure pathways.
- 2. Establishing baseline radiological data of media within those pathways.

- 3. Continuously monitoring those media before and during plant operation to assess station radiological effects (if any) on man and the environment.
- III. Program Description
 - A. Sample Collection

Normandeau Associates Inc., (NAI), collected samples for the PBAPS REMP for Exelon Nuclear. This section describes the general collection methods used by NAI to obtain environmental samples for the PBAPS REMP in 2012. Sample locations and descriptions can be found in Table B-1 and Figures B-1 through B-3, Appendix B. The collection procedures used by NAI are listed in Table B-2, Appendix B.

Aquatic Environment

The aquatic environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on samples of surface water, drinking water, precipitation, fish and sediment. Surface water from two locations (1LL and 1MM) and drinking water from three locations (13B, 4L and 6l) were collected weekly by automatic sampling equipment. Weekly samples from each of the surface and drinking water locations were composited into a separate monthly sample for analysis. Approximately two quarts of water were removed from the weekly sample container and placed into a clean two-gallon polyethylene bottle to form a monthly composite. Control locations were 1LL and 6l. Fish samples comprising the flesh from two groups: Bottom Feeder (channel catfish, flathead catfish, carp, walleye and quillback) and Predator (smallmouth bass, hybrid striped bass and largemouth bass) were collected semiannually from two locations (4 and 6; 6 is the control). Sediment samples composed of recently deposited substrate were collected semiannually at three locations (4J, 4T and 6F; 6F is the control).

Atmospheric Environment

The atmospheric environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on air particulate and airborne iodine samples. Air particulate and air iodine samples were collected and analyzed weekly from five locations (1B, 1C, 1Z, 3A and 5H2; 5H2 is the control). Airborne iodine and particulate samples were obtained at each location using a vacuum pump with charcoal and glass fiber filters attached. The pumps were run continuously and sampled air at the rate of approximately 1 cubic foot per minute. The filters were replaced weekly and sent to the laboratory for analysis.

Terrestrial Environment

The terrestrial environment was evaluated by performing radiological analyses on milk and food product samples. Milk samples were collected biweekly at five locations (J, R, S, U and V; V is the control) from April through November and monthly from December through March. Six additional locations (C, D, E, L, P and W; C and E are the controls) were sampled quarterly. All samples were collected in new unused two gallon plastic bottles from the bulk tank at each location, preserved with sodium bisulfite and shipped promptly to the laboratory.

Food product samples were collected annually at three locations (1Q, 2B and 55; 55 is the control) in May through September. All samples were collected in new unused plastic bags and shipped promptly to the laboratory.

Ambient Gamma Radiation

Beginning in 2012, Exelon changed the type of dosimetry used for the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). Optically Stimulated Luminescent Dosimetry (OSLD) were deployed and Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD) were discontinued. This change may result in a step change in readings, up or down, depending on site characteristics. The relative comparison to control locations remains valid. OSLD technology is different than that used in a TLD but has the same purpose (to measure direct radiation).

The OSLD locations were placed on and around the PBAPS site as follows:

A <u>site boundary ring</u>, consisting of 20 locations (1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 1L, 1M, 1NN, 1P, 1Q, 1R, 2, 2B and 40), near and within the site perimeter representing fence post doses (i.e., at locations where the doses will be potentially greater than maximum annual off-site doses) from PBAPS releases.

An <u>intermediate distance ring</u>, consisting of 23 locations (14, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31A, 32, 3A, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 4K, 5, 50, 51 and 6B), extending to approximately 5 miles from the site and designed to measure possible exposures to close-in population.

The balance of four locations (16, 18, 19 and 24) representing control and special interests areas such as population centers, schools, etc.

The specific OSLD locations were determined by the following criteria:

- 1. The presence of relatively dense population;
- 2. Site meteorological data taking into account distance and elevation for each of the 36 ten-degree sectors around the site, where estimated annual dose from PBAPS, if any, would be more significant;
- 3. On hills free from local obstructions and within sight of the vents (where practical);
- 4. And near the dwelling closest to the vents in the prevailing down wind direction.

Two OSLDs – each comprised of three dosimeters enclosed in plastic – were placed at each location in a Formica "birdhouse" or polyethylene jar located approximately six feet above ground level. The TLD sets were exchanged quarterly, and sent to the laboratory for analysis.

B. Sample Analysis

This section describes the general analytical methods used by Teledyne Brown Engineering and Environmental Inc. to analyze the environmental samples for radioactivity for the PBAPS REMP in 2012. The analytical procedures used by the laboratories are listed in Table B-2, Appendix B.

In order to achieve the stated objectives, the current program includes the following analyses:

- 1. Concentrations of beta emitters in drinking water and air particulates.
- 2. Concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides in surface and drinking water, air particulates, milk, fish, sediment and food products.
- 3. Concentrations of tritium in surface and drinking water.
- 4. Concentrations of I-131 in drinking water, surface water, air and milk.
- 5. Ambient gamma radiation levels at various site environs.
- C. Data Interpretation

The radiological and direct radiation data collected prior to PBAPS becoming operational was used as a baseline with which these operational data were compared. For the purpose of this report, PBAPS was considered operational at initial critically. In addition, data were compared to previous years' operational data for consistency and trending. Several factors are important in the interpretation of the data.

1. Lower Limit of Detection and Minimum Detectable Concentration

The lower limit of detection (LLD) is defined as the smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that would yield a net count (above background) that would be detected with only a 5% probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a "real" signal. The LLD is intended as a before the fact estimate of a system (including instrumentation, procedure and sample type) and not as an after the fact criteria for the presence of activity. All analyses are designed to achieve the required PBAPS detection capabilities for environmental sample analysis.

The minimum detectable concentration (MDC) is defined similarly as above for LLD; however the MDC is an after-the-fact estimate vice a before-the-fact as in LLD.

2. Net Activity Calculation and Reporting of Results

Net activity for a sample is calculated by subtracting background activity from the sample activity. Since the REMP measures extremely small changes in radioactivity in the environment, background variations will result in sample activity being lower than the background activity affecting a negative number. A MDC is reported in all cases where positive activity was not detected.

Gamma spectroscopy results for each type of sample were grouped as follows:

For surface and drinking 12 nuclides, Mn-54, Co-58, Fe-59, Co-60, Zn-65, Zr-95, Nb-95, I-131, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140 and La-140 were reported.

For fish eight nuclides, K-40, Mn-54, Co-58, Fe-59, Co-60, Zn-65, Cs-134 and Cs-137 were reported.

For sediment six nuclides, K-40, Mn-54, Co-58, Co-60, Cs-134 and Cs-137 were reported.

For air particulate six nuclides, Be-7, Mn-54, Co-58, Co-60, Cs-134 and Cs-137 were reported.

For milk five nuclides, K-40, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140 and La-140 were reported.

For food product eight nuclides, Be-7, K-40, Mn-54, Co-58, Co-60, I-131, Cs-134 and Cs-137 were reported.

Means and standard deviations of the results were calculated. The standard deviations represent the variability of measured results for different samples rather than single analysis uncertainty.

D. Program Exceptions

For 2012 the PBAPS REMP had a sample collection recovery rate of better than 99%. The exceptions to this program are listed below:

1. <u>Station 22 (Bald Eagle Road)</u> - The tree which held the container and the dosimeter for location 22 was removed, possibly by a utility. The container and dosimeter was not recovered. A new container and dosimeter was attached to an existing pole in the area and the container was replaced with a lockable box (IR 1426228).

Each program exception was reviewed to understand the causes of the program exception. Sampling and maintenance errors were reviewed with the personnel involved to prevent a recurrence. Occasional equipment breakdowns and power outages were unavoidable.

E. Program Changes

OSLD at location 1I was moved to a new location because of a new fence that was constructed. It is now located 3185 ft south of the Reactor Building Vents.

- IV. Results and Discussion
 - A. Aquatic Environment
 - 1. Surface Water

Samples were taken from a continuous sampler at two locations (1LL and 1MM) on a monthly schedule. Of these locations, 1MM located downstream, could be affected by Peach Bottom's effluent releases. The following analyses were performed:

<u>Tritium</u>

Monthly samples from both locations were composited quarterly and analyzed for tritium activity (Table C-I.1, Appendix C). No tritium activity was detected.

lodine

Monthly samples from both locations were analyzed for I-131. All results were less than the MDC (Table C-I.2, Appendix C).

Gamma Spectrometry

Samples from both locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-I.3, Appendix C). All nuclides were less than the MDC.

2. Drinking Water

Monthly samples were collected from continuous water samplers at three locations (13B, 4L and 6l). Two locations (13B and 4L) could be affected by Peach Bottom's effluent releases. The following analyses were performed:

Gross Beta

Samples from all locations were analyzed for concentrations of total gross beta activity (Tables C-II.1 and Figures C-1 Appendix C). Gross beta was detected in 28 of 36 samples. The values ranged from 1.7 to 6.0 pCi/l. Concentrations detected were generally below those detected in previous years.

<u>Tritium</u>

Monthly samples from three locations were composited quarterly and analyzed for tritium activity (Table C-II.2, Appendix C). Tritium activity was not detected in any samples.

<u>lodine</u>

Monthly samples from three locations were analyzed for I-131 (Table C-II.3, Appendix C). All results were less than the MDC.

Gamma Spectrometry

Samples from the three locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-II.4, Appendix C). All nuclides were less than the MDC.

3. Precipitation

Precipitation samples were analyzed under the RGPP in 2012.

4. <u>Fish</u>

Fish samples comprised of bottom feeder and predator were collected at two locations (4 and 6) semiannually. Location 4 could be affected by Peach Bottom's effluent releases. The following analysis was performed:

Gamma Spectrometry

The edible portion of fish samples from both locations was analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-III.1, Appendix C). Naturally occurring K-40 was found at all stations and ranged from 2,715 to 5,020 pCi/kg wet and was consistent with levels detected in previous years. No Peach Bottom fission or activation products were found in 2012. There appears to be a gradual increase in the Cs-137 activity from both the control and indicator station. This increase could be the result of historical heat exchanger leaks or nuclear weapons legacy material. Historical levels of Cs-137 are shown in Figure C-2, Appendix C.

5. Sediment

Aquatic samples were collected at three locations (4J, 4T and 6F) semiannually. Of these locations two, 4J and 4T located downstream, could be affected by Peach Bottom's effluent releases. The following analysis was performed:

Gamma Spectrometry

Sediment samples from all three locations were analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-IV.1, Appendix C). Potassium-40 was found in all locations and ranged from 8,508 to 19,110 pCi/kg dry. The fission product Cs-137 was detected in one of six samples at a concentration of 164 pCi/kg. The activity of Cs-137 detected was consistent with those detected in the preoperational years. Historical levels of Cs-137 are shown in Figure C-3, Appendix C. No other Peach Bottom fission or activation products were found.

- B. Atmospheric Environment
 - 1. <u>Airborne</u>
 - a. <u>Air Particulates</u>

Continuous air particulate samples were collected from five locations on a weekly basis. The five locations were separated into three groups: Group I represents locations within the PBAPS site boundary (1B, 1C and 1Z), Group II represents the location at an intermediate distance from the PBAPS site (3A) and Group III represents the control location at a remote distance from PBAPS (5H2). The following analyses were performed.

Gross Beta

Weekly samples were analyzed for concentrations of beta emitters (Tables C-V.1 and C-V.2 and Figures C-5 and C-6, Appendix C).

Detectable gross beta activity was observed at all locations. Comparison of results among the three groups aid in determining the effects, if any, resulting from the operation of PBAPS. The results from the On-Site locations (Group I) ranged from 7 to 38 E-3 pCi/m³, with a mean of 19 E-3 pCi/m³. The results from the Intermediate Distance location (Group II) ranged from 8 to 34 E-3 pCi/m³ with a mean of 18 E-3 pCi/m³. The results from the Distant location (Group III) ranged from 6 to 29 E-3 pCi/m³ with a mean of 16 E-3 pCi/m³. A comparison of the weekly mean values for 2012 indicate no notable differences among the three groups (Figure C-5, Appendix C). In addition, a comparison of the 2012 air particulate data with previous years data indicate no effects from the operation of PBAPS (Figure C-4, Appendix C).

Gamma Spectrometry

Weekly samples were composited quarterly and analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-V.3, Appendix C). Naturally occurring Be-7 due to cosmic ray activity was detected in 19 of 20 samples. The values ranged from 36 to 100 E-3 pCi/m³. All other nuclides were less than the MDC and all required LLDs were met.

b. <u>Airborne lodine</u>

Continuous air samples were collected from five locations (1B, 1Z, 1C, 3A, and 5H2) and analyzed weekly for I-131 (Table C-VI.1, Appendix C). All results were less than the MDC for I-131.

2. <u>Terrestrial</u>

a. <u>Milk</u>

Samples were collected from five locations (J, R, S, U and V) biweekly April through November and monthly December through March. Samples from six additional locations (C, D, E, L, P and W) were taken quarterly. The following analyses were performed:

lodine-131

Milk samples from all locations were analyzed for concentrations of I-131 (Tables C-VII.1, Appendix C). All results were less than the MDC for I-131. All results were less than MDC for I-131 and all required LLDs were met.

Gamma Spectrometry

Each milk sample from locations J, R, S, U and V was analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-VII.2, Appendix C).

Naturally occurring K-40 was found in all samples and ranged from 1,050 to 1,626 pCi/I. All other nuclides were less than the MDC and all required LLDs were met. Comparison of the 2012 Cs-137 milk data with previous years data indicate no effects from the operation of PBAPS (Figure C-6, Appendix C).

b. Food Products

Food product samples were collected at three locations (1Q, 2B and 55) when available. Of these locations, 1Q and 55 could be affected by Peach Bottom's effluent releases. The following analysis was performed:

Gamma Spectrometry

Each food product sample from locations 1Q, 2B and 55 was analyzed for concentrations of gamma emitting nuclides (Table C-VIII.1, Appendix C).

Naturally occurring Be-7 activity was found in 24 of 37 samples and ranged from 77 to 3,954 pCi/kg wet. Potassium-40 activity was found in all samples and ranged from 2,025 to 12,290 pCi/kg wet. All other nuclides were less than the MDC.

C. Ambient Gamma Radiation

Beginning in 2012, Exelon changed the type of dosimetry used for the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). Optically Stimulated Luminescent Dosimetry (OSLD) were deployed and Thermoluminescent Dosimetry (TLD) were discontinued. This change may result in a step change in readings, up or down, depending on site characteristics. The relative comparison to control locations remains valid. OSLD technology is different than that used in a TLD but has the same purpose (to measure direct radiation). Results of OSLD measurements are listed in Tables C-IX.1 through CI-X.3 and Figure C-7, Appendix C.

All OSLD measurements were below 10 mR per standard month, with a range of -0.3 to 6.5 mR per standard month. A comparison of the Site Boundary and Intermediate Distance data to the Control locations data indicate that the ambient gamma radiation levels from the Control locations 16, 18, 19 and 24 were essentially the same as the other locations. The historical ambient gamma radiation data from the Control locations was plotted along with similar data from the Site and the Intermediate Distance locations (Figure C-7, Appendix C).

D. Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI)

The Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) was utilized beginning June 2000. During 2012, a total of 5 TN-68 casks, each loaded with 68 fuel bundles, were added to the ISFSI pad. Onsite location 1R, which is located on the hillside overlooking the ISFSI showed a general increase of 1 to 2 mR per standard month from pre-ISFSI loading (Figure C-8, Appendix C) for the period of 2000 to about 2007. A general decrease has been observed, however, for the period of 2007 to the present. Location 2B, which represents the nearest residence, showed a small effect in dose rate from the ISFSI pad. Data from location 2B is used to

demonstrate compliance to both 40CFR190 and 10CFR72.104 limits. The large reduction in multiple direct radiation locations for 2012 is a result of the difference in technology used for radiation measurement (from TLD to OSLD).

E. Land Use Census

A Land Use Survey conducted during the 2012 growing season around the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) was performed by Normandeau Associates, Inc., NAI Environmental Services Division for Exelon Nuclear to comply with Section 3.8.E.2 of PBAPS's Offsite Dose Calculation Manual Specifications (ODCMS) and Bases. The purpose of the survey was to document the nearest milk producing animal in each of the sixteen meteorological sectors out to five miles. In addition, the nearest residence and garden of >500 square feet were documented. The distance and direction of all locations were positioned using Global Positioning System (GPS) technology. The results of this survey are summarized below. There were no changes in the nearest residence, garden or milk farms from the previous year.

Distand	ce in Miles	from the PBAPS F	Reactor Buildings		
Sector		Residence Feet	Garden Feet	Milk Farm Feet	
1	N	12,522	14,736	14,450	
2	NNE	11,142	11,041	10,843	
3	NE	10,080	10,080	10,492	
4	ENE	10,524	12,417	10,925	
5	E	10,369	14,471	14,540	
6	ESE	16,085	20,430	20,210	
7	SE	19,412	19,412	19,176	
8	SSE	3,918	3,918	-	
9	S	5,515	5,515	-	
10	SSW	6,365	8,167	11,602	
11	SW	4,771	4,837	4,860	
12	WSW	4,041	18,887	-	
13	W	5,242	5,242	5,136	
14	WNW	2,903	4,192	22,068	
15	NW	2,930	9,427	9,427	
16	NNW	5,093	-	-	

F. Errata Data

Please see Appendix F for Errata data 2012.

G. Summary of Results – Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program

The primary and secondary laboratories analyzed Performance

Evaluation (PE) samples of air particulate, air iodine, milk, soil, food products and water matrices (Appendix E). The PE samples, supplied by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc., Environmental Resource Associates (ERA) and DOE's Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program (MAPEP), were evaluated against the following pre-set acceptance criteria:

1. Analytics Evaluation Criteria

Analytics' evaluation report provides a ratio of laboratory results and Analytics' known value. Since flag values are not assigned by Analytics, TBE-ES evaluates the reported ratios based on internal QC requirements, which are based on the DOE MAPEP criteria.

2. ERA Evaluation Criteria

The Environmental Resource Associates' evaluation report provides an acceptance range for control and warning limits with associated flag values. The Environmental Resource Associates' acceptance limits are established per the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC), state specific performance testing program requirements or ERA's standard operating procedure for the Generation of Performance Acceptance Limits, as applicable. The acceptance limits are either determined by a regression equation specific to each analyte or a fixed percentage limit promulgated under the appropriate regulatory document.

3. DOE Evaluation Criteria

MAPEP's evaluation report provides an acceptance range with associated flag values.

The MAPEP defines three levels of performance: Acceptable (flag = "A"), Acceptable with Warning (flag = "W"), and Not Acceptable (flag = "N"). Performance is considered acceptable when a mean result for the specified analyte is \pm 20% of the reference value. Performance is acceptable with warning when a mean result falls in the range from \pm 20% to \pm 30% of the reference value (i.e., 20% < bias < 30%). If the bias is greater than 30%, the results are deemed not acceptable.

For the TBE laboratory, 12 out of 18 analytes met the specified acceptance criteria. Six analytes (Co-60, Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Sr-89,

Sr-90 and Zn-65) did not meet the specified acceptance criteria for the following reason:

- Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP March 2012 Co-60 in soil result of 7.61 Bq/kg was higher than the known value of 1.56 Bq/kg, resulting in a found to known ratio of 4.88 on a sensitivity evaluation. NCR 12-08 was initiated to investigate this failure. No cause could be found for the failure. TBE is monitoring the Co-60 in soil analyses on a case-to-case basis.
- 2. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP March 2012 Zn-65 in AP result of 4.19 Bq/sample was higher than the known value of 2.99 Bq/sample, exceeding the upper control limit of 3.89 Bq/sample. NCR 12-08 was initiated to investigate this failure. No cause could be found for the failure and is considered an anomaly specific to the MAPEP sample. The first and second quarter 2012 Analytics AP Zn-65 analyses were acceptable.
- 3. Teledyne Brown Engineering's MAPEP September 2012 Sr-90 in water result of 19.6 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 12.2 pCi/L, exceeding the upper control limit of 15.9 pCi/L. NCR 12-11 was initiated to investigate this failure. An incorrect aliquot was entered into LIMS. Using the correct aliquot, the result would have fallen within the acceptance range.
- 4. Teledyne Brown Engineering's ERA May 2012 Gross Alpha in water result of 82.4 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 62.9 pCi/L, which exceeded the upper control limit of 78.0 pCi/L. NCR 12-05 was initiated to investigate this failure. The G-1 detector is slightly biased high for Th-230 based measurements. The G-1 detector is used only for ERA samples. The detector was recalibrated.
- 5. Teledyne Brown Engineering's ERA November 2012 Gross Beta in water result of 59.3 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 39.2 pCi/L, which exceeded the upper control limit of 46.7 pCi/L. NCR 12-13 was initiated to investigate this failure. The rerun result of 44.8 fell within the control limits. It appears an incorrect aliquot was entered into LIMS.
- 6. Teledyne Brown Engineering's ERA November 2012 Sr-89 in water result of 46.5 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 39.1 pCi/L, which exceeded the upper control limit of 46.1 pCi/L. NCR 12-13 was initiated to investigate this failure. The found to known ratio was 1.19, which TBE considers acceptable with warning.

For the EIML laboratory, 12 out of 14 analytes met the specified acceptance criteria. Two analytes (Gross Beta and Co-57) did not meet the specified acceptance criteria for the following reason:

- 1. Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's ERA April 2012 Gross Beta in water result of 76.2 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 44.2 pCi/L, exceeding the upper control limit of 51.5 pCi/L. The rerun result of 38.3 fell within the control limits. A sample dilution problem is suspected.
- Environmental Inc., Midwest Laboratory's MAPEP August 2012 Co-57 in vegetation result of 7.44 pCi/L was higher than the known value of 5.66 pCi/L, exceeding the upper control limit of 7.36 pCi/L. The recount result of 6.74 fell within the control limits. The sample was recounted using a geometry more closely matched to the MAPEP sample size.

The Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program provides evidence of "in control" counting systems and methods, and that the laboratories are producing accurate and reliable data.

V. References

- 1. Preoperational Environs Radioactivity Survey Summary Report, March 1960 through January 1966. (September 1967).
- Interex Corporation, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Regional Environs Radiation Monitoring Program Preoperational Summary Report, Units 2 and 3, 5 February 1966 through 8 August 1973, June 1977, Natick, Massachusetts.
- 3. Radiation Management Corporation Publication, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Preoperational Radiological Monitoring Report for Unit 2 and 3, January 1974, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

APPENDIX A

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING REPORT SUMMARY

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

Name of Fac Location of Fac	OM ATOMIC POV Y PA	WER STATION	DOCKET N REPORTIN	UMBER: G PERIOD:	50-277 & 5 2012			
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M) STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
SURFACE WATER (PCI/LITER)	H-3	8	200	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	<u> </u>		0
	I-131	24	1	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	GAMMA MN-54	24	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	CO-58		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	FE-59		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CO-60		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	ZN-65		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	NB-95		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	ZR-95		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

,

Name of Facility: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER Location of Facility: YORK COUNTY PA					ER STATION DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD:			50-277 & 50-278 2012 2012			
	MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION) NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS		
	SURFACE WATER (PCI/LITER)	1-131		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
		CS-134		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
7		CS-137		18	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
A -2		BA-140		60	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
		LA-140		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
	DRINKING WATER (PCI/LITER)	GR-B	36	4	3.1 (18/24) (1.7/6.0)	2.9 (10/12) (1.5/4.7)	3.2 (9/12) (1.7/6.0)	13B INDICATOR CHESTER WATER AUTHORITY S PUMPING STATION 13306 FEET E	0 USQUEHANNA SE		
		H-3	12	200	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
		I-131	36	1	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0		
		GAMMA MN-54	36	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		

Name of Faci Location of Faci	lity: PEACH BOTTO lity: YORK COUNT	OM ATOMIC POV Y PA	WER STATION	DOCKET N REPORTIN	UMBER: G PERIOD:	50-277 & 50 2012		
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
DRINKING WATER (PCI/LITER)	CO-58		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	FE-59		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CO-60		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	ZN-65		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	NB-95		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	ZR-95		30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	I-131		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CS-134		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CS-137		18	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

	Name of Fac Location of Fac	WER STATION	DOCKET N REPORTIN	UMBER: G PERIOD: CONTROL	50-277 & 50 2012 LOCATION V				
	MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
	DRINKING WATER (PCI/LITER)	BA-140	<u> </u>	60	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		LA-140		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
A-4	BOTTOM FEEDER (PCI/KG WET)	GAMMA К-40	4	NA	3162.5 (2/2) (2715/3610)	3702 (2/2) (2996/4408)	3702 (2/2) (2996/4408)	6 CONTROL HOLTWOOD POND 57347 FEET NW	0
		MN-54		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		CO-58		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		FE-59		260	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		CO-60		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
		ZN-65		260	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0

.

	Name of Faci	VER STATION	DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD:		50-277 & 5 2012				
							LOCATION)	
	MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
	BOTTOM FEEDER (PCI/KG WET)	CS-134		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		CS-137		150	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
A-5	PREDATOR (PCI/KG WET)	GAMMA K-40	4	NA	3937 (2/2) (3912/3962)	4568 (2/2) (4116/5020)	4568 (2/2) (4116/5020)	6 CONTROL HOLTWOOD POND 57347 FEET NW	0
		MN-54		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		CO-58		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		FE-59		260	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		CO-60		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		ZN-65		260	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
		CS-134		130	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0

TABLE A-1RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

Name of Facility: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION Location of Facility: YORK COUNTY PA					DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD: INDICATOR CONTROL		50-277 & 50-278 2012 2012 LOCATION WITH HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN (M)			
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS		
PREDATOR (PCI/KG WET)	CS-137		150	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
SEDIMENT (PCI/KG DRY)	GAMMA K-40	6	NA	16323 (4/4) (11630/19110)	8625 (2/2) (8508/8742)	17835 (2/2) (16560/19110)	4T INDICATOR CONOWINGO POND NEAR CONOV 41818 FEET SE	0 WINGO DAM		
	MN-54		NA	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
	CO-58		NA	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0		
	CO-60		NA	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0		
	CS-134		150	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0		
	CS-137		180	164 (1/4)	<lld< td=""><td>164 (1/2)</td><td>4T INDICATOR CONOWINGO POND NEAR CONOV 41818 FEET SE</td><td>0 WINGO DAM</td></lld<>	164 (1/2)	4T INDICATOR CONOWINGO POND NEAR CONOV 41818 FEET SE	0 WINGO DAM		
AIR PARTICULATE (E-3 PCI/CU.METER)	GR-B	264	10	18 (260/264) (6/38)	NA	19 (52/53) (8/38)	1Z INDICATOR WEATHER STATION #1 1396 FEET SE	0		

Name of Fac Location of Fac	ility: PEACH BOTT ility: YORK COUNT	OM ATOMIC POV TY PA	WER STATION	DOCKET NUMBER: REPORTING PERIOD:		50-277 & 50 2012		
	•			INDICATOR	CONTROL	LOCATION V		
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
AIR PARTICULATE (E-3 PCI/CU.METER)	GAMMA BE-7	20	NA	71.8 (19/20) (36.2/99.6)	NA	72.7 (4/4) (60.0/94.3)	1C INDICATOR PEACH BOTTOM SOUTH SUB STATIO 4513 FEET SSE	0 N
	MN-54		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	CO-58		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	CO-60		NA	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
	CS-134	50	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA			0	
	CS-137		60	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
AIR IODINE (E-3 PCI/CU.METER)	GAMMA I-131	264	70	<lld< td=""><td>NA</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	NA	-		0
MILK (PCVLITER)	I-131	129	1	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0

TABLE A-1RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING BOTH THE MDAs AND THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

A-7

Name of Facility: PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POW Location of Facility: YORK COUNTY PA			WER STATION	DOCKET N REPORTIN INDICATOR	UMBER: G PERIOD: CONTROL	50-277 & 50 2012 LOCATION W		
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
MILK (PCI/LITER)	GAMMA K-40	129	NA	1303 (100/100) (1050/1626)	1258 (29/29) (1092/1442)	1387 (4/4) (1332/1442)	C CONTROL 5037 FEET NW	0
	CS-134		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CS-137		18	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	BA-140		60	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	LA-140		15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
VEGETATION (PCI/KG WET)	GAMMA BE-7	37	NA	722.7 (15/24) (177/3954)	425.1 (9/13) (76.6/919.2)	984.6 (7/12) (311.4/3954)	1Q INDICATOR 3274 FEET SE	0
	K-40		NA	4445.6 (24/24) (2025/10270)	6372.2 (13/13) (2644/12290)	6372.2 (13/13) (2644/12290)	55 CONTROL NE SECTOR 52272 FEET NE	0
	MN-54		NA	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FOR THE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

TABLE A-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY FORTHE PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

Name of Facility Location of Facility	WER STATION	DOCKET N REPORTIN	UMBER: G PERIOD: control	50-277 & 50 2012 Location V				
MEDIUM OR PATHWAY SAMPLED (UNIT OF MEASUREMENT)	TYPES OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	REQUIRED LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)	LOCATIONS MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	LOCATION MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	MEAN (M) (F) RANGE	STATION # NAME DISTANCE AND DIRECTION	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTED MEASUREMENTS
VEGETATION (PCI/KG WET)	CO-58		NA	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CO-60		NA	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	1-131		60	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>	-		0
	CS-134		60	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
	CS-137		80	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></lld<>			0
DIRECT RADIATION (MILLI-ROENTGEN/STD.MO.	OSLD-QUARTERLY)	187	NA	3.3 (171/171) (3/6.5)	3 (16/16) (1.5/4.6)	5.9 (4/4) (5.3/6.5)	IR INDICATOR TRANSMISSION LINE HILL 2798 FEET SSE	0
	TLD-QUARTERLY	47	NA	5.4 (43/43) (3.1/7.5)	5.5 (4/4) (4.6/5.9)	7.5 (1/1)	IR INDICATOR TRANSMISSION LINE HILL 2798 FEET SSE	0

THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING BOTH THE MDAs AND THE POSITIVE VALUES FRACTION OF DETECTABLE MEASUREMENTS AT SPECIFIED LOCATIONS IS INDICATED IN PARENTHESES (F)

A-9
APPENDIX B

SAMPLE DESIGNATION AND LOCATIONS

Intentionally left blank

. ·

Lo	cation	Location Description	Distance & Direction from Site
<u>A.</u>	Surface Water		
	1LL	Peach Bottom Units 2 and 3 Intake - Composite	1,256 feet NE
	1MM	Peach Bottom Canal Discharge -Composite	5,470 feet SE
<u>B.</u>	Drinking (Potabl	e) Water	
	4L 6I	Conowingo Dam EL 33' MSL - Composite Holtwood Dam Hydroelectric Station - Composite (Control)	45,721 feet SE 30,337 feet NW
	13B	Chester Water Authority (CWA) Susquehanna Pumping Station- Composite	13,306 feet ESE
<u>C.</u>	Precipitation		
	1A 1B 4M		1,396 feet SE 2,587 feet NW 45,989 feet SE
<u>D.</u>	Fish		
	4 6	Conowingo Pond Holtwood Pond (Control)	7,162 feet SE 57,347 feet NW
<u>E.</u>	Sediment		
	4J 4T 6F	Conowingo Pond near Berkin's Run Conowingo Pond near Conowingo Dam Holtwood Dam (Control)	7,346 feet SE 41,818 feet SE 31,469 feet NW
<u>F.</u>	Air Particulate -	Air lodine	
	1B 1Z 1A 1C 3A 5H2	Weather Station #2 Weather Station #1 Weather Station #1 Peach Bottom South Sub Station Delta, PA – Substation Manor Substation (Control)	2,587 feet NW 1,396 feet SE 1,396 feet SE 4,513 feet SSE 19,144 feet SW 162,565 feet NE
<u>G.</u>	Milk – bi-weekly	/ monthly	
LI	J R S U V	(Control)	5,119 feet W 4,694 feet WSW 19,061 feet SE 11,414 feet SSW 34,584 feet W
<u>Ħ.</u>	wiik – quarterly	(Control)	E 027 fact NIM
	D	(Conuol)	18,533 feet NE

.

TABLE B-1Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Sampling Locations, Distance and
Direction from Reactor Buildings, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

Location	Location Description	Distance & Direction from Site
H. Milk – guar	terly (cont'd)	
E L P W	(Control)	46,147 feet N 11,194 feet NE 10,982 feet ENE 89,232 feet S
I. Food Prod	ucts - monthly when available	
1Q 2B 55 J. Environme	(Control) ntal Dosimetry - OSLD	4,171 feet NW 3,854 feet SSE 52,272 feet NE
Site Boundary		
1L 1P 1A 1Q 1D 2 2B 1M 1R 1I 1C 1J 1K 1F 40 1NN 1H 1G 1B 1E	Peach Bottom Unit 3 Intake Tower B & C Fence Weather Station #1 Tower D & E Fence 140° Sector Peach Bottom 130° Sector Hill Burk Property Discharge Transmission Line Hill Peach Bottom South Substation Peach Bottom South Substation Peach Bottom 180° Sector Hill Peach Bottom Site Area Peach Bottom Site Area Peach Bottom Site Area Peach Bottom Site Peach Bottom Site Peach Bottom Site Peach Bottom Site Peach Bottom Site Peach Bottom North Substation Weather Station #2 Peach Bottom 350° Sector Hill	1,256 feet NE 2,112 feet ESE 1,396 feet SE 3,274 feet SE 3,538 feet SE 4,661 feet SE 3,749 feet SSE 5,438 feet SE 2,798 feet SSE 2,851 feet SSE 4,513 feet SSE 3,755 feet S 4,604 feet SW 2,707 feet SSW 7,709 feet SW 2,547 feet WSW 3,104 feet W 3,173 feet WNW 2,587 feet NW 3,136 feet NNW
Intermediate Dis	tance	
5 15 22 44 32 45 14 17 31A 4K 23 27 48	Wakefield, PA Silver Spring Rd Eagle Road Goshen Mill Rd Slate Hill Rd PB-Keeney Line Peters Creek Riverview Rd Eckman Rd Conowingo Dam Power House Roof Peach Bottom 150° Sector Hill N. Cooper Road Macton Substation	24,499 feet E 19,449 feet N 13,230 feet NNE 27,480 feet NE 15,213 feet ENE 18,524 feet ENE 10,397 feet E 21,966 feet ESE 24,105 feet SE 45,721 feet SE 5,276 feet SSE 13,859 feet S 26,347 feet SSW

TABLE B-1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Sampling Locations, Distance and
	Direction from Reactor Buildings, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

TABLE B-1Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Sampling Locations, Distance and
Direction from Reactor Buildings, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

Location	Location Description	Distance & Direction from Site
J. Environm	ental Dosimetry – OSLD (cont'd)	
Intermediate Di	stance (cont'd)	
3A	Delta, PA Substation	19,114 feet SW
49	PB-Conastone Line	20,673 feet WSW
50	TRANSCO Pumping Station	25,677 feet W
51	Fin Substation	20,511 feet WNW
26	Slab Road	22,093 feet NW
6B	Holtwood Dam Power House Roof	30,538 feet NW
42	Muddy Run Environ. Laboratory	21,954 feet NNW
43	Drumore Township School	26,931 feet NNE
46	Broad Creek	23,483 feet SSE
47	Broad Creek Scout Camp	22,153 feet S
<u>Control</u>		
16	Nottingham, PA Substation (Control)	67,788 feet E
24	Harrisville, MD Substation (Control)	58,048 feet ESE
18	Fawn Grove, PA (Control)	51,413 feet W
19	Red Lion, PA (Control)	106,354 feet WNW

Sample Medium	Analysis	Sampling Method	Collection Procedure Number	Sample Size	Analytical Procedure Number
Surface Water	Gamma Spectroscopy	Monthly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
Surface Water	Tritium	Quarterly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	500 ml	TBE, TBE-2010 Tritium and carbon-14 analysis by liquid scintillation Env. Inc., T-02 Determination of tritium in water (direct method)
Surface Water	-131	Monthly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2012 Radioiodine in various matrices Env. Inc., I-131-01 Determination of I-131 in water by an ion exchange
Drinking Water	Gross Beta	Monthly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2008 Gross alpha and/or gross beta activity in various matrices Env. Inc., W(DS)-01 Determination of gross alpha and/or gross beta in water (dissolved solids or total residue)
Drinking Water	I-131	Monthly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2031 Radioiodine in drinking water Env. Inc., I-131-01 Determination of I-131 in water by an ion exchange
Drinking Water	Gamma Spectroscopy	Monthly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
Drinking Water	Tritium	Quarterly composite from a continuous water compositor.	NAI-ER15 Collection of water samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	500 mi	TBE, TBE-2010 Tritium and carbon-14 analysis by liquid scintillation Env. Inc., T-02 Determination of tritium in water (direct method)
Fish	Gamma Spectroscopy	Semi-annual samples collected via electroshocking or other techniques	NAI-ER3 Collection of fish samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	1000 grams (wet)	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis
Sediment	Gamma Spectroscopy	Semi-annual grab samples	NAI-ER2 Collection of sediment samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	500 grams (dry)	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis

TABLE B-2 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Summary of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

Sample Medium	Analysis	Sampling Method	Collection Procedure Number	Sample Size	Analytical Procedure Number
Air Particulates	Gross Beta	One-week composite of continuous air sampling through glass fiber filter paper	NAI-ER16 Collection of air particulate and air iodine samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	1 filter (approximately 280 cubic meters weekly)	TBE, TBE-2008 Gross alpha and/or gross beta activity in various matrices Env. Inc., AP-02 Determination of gross alpha and/or gross beta in air particulate filters
Air Particulates	Gamma Spectroscopy	Quarterly composite of each station	TBE, TBE-2023 Compositing of samples Env. Inc., AP-03 Procedure for compositing air particulate filters for gamma spectroscopic analysis	13 filters (approximately 3600 cubic meters)	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
Air Iodine	Gamma Spectroscopy	One-week composite of continuous air sampling through charcoal filter	NAI-ER8 Collection of air particulate and air iodine samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	1 filter (approximately 280 cubic meters weekly)	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., I-131-02 Determination of I-131 in charcoal canisters by gamma spectroscopy (batch method)
Milk	1-131	Bi-weekly grab sample when cows are on pasture. Monthly all other times	NAI-ER10 Collection of milk samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2012 Radioiodine in various matrices Env. Inc., I-131-01 Determination of I-131 in milk by an ion exchange
Milk	Gamma Spectroscopy	Bi-weekly grab sample when cows are on pasture. Monthly all other times	NAI-ER10 Collection of milk samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 gallon	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
Food Products	Gamma Spectroscopy	Monthly when available	NAI-ER12 Collection of vegetation samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	1000 grams	TBE, TBE-2007 Gamma emitting radioisotope analysis Env. Inc., GS-01 Determination of gamma emitters by gamma spectroscopy
OSLD	Optically Stimulated Luminescence Dosimetry	Quarterly OSLDs comprised of two Al ₂ O ₃ :C Landauer Incorporated elements.	NAI-ER9 Collection of OSLD samples for radiological analysis (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station)	2 dosimeters	Landauer Incorporated

TABLE B-2 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program – Summary of Sample Collection and Analytical Methods, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012











Figure B-3 Environmental Sampling Locations Greater Than Five Miles from the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

APPENDIX C

DATA TABLES AND FIGURES PRIMARY LABORATORY

TABLE C-I.1CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED
IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION PERIOD	1LL	1MM
12/28/11 - 03/28/12	< 188	< 183
03/28/12 - 06/27/12	< 189	< 186
06/27/12 - 09/26/12	< 156	< 159
09/26/12 - 1/2/2013	< 186	< 181

-

-

MEAN

,

Table C-I.2CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED
IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION		1LL	1MM
PERI	OD		
12/28/11 ~	02/01/12	< 0.6	< 0.48
02/01/12 -	02/29/12	< 0.5	< 0.58
02/29/12 ~	03/28/12	< 0.3	< 0.24
03/28/12 -	05/02/12	< 0.5	< 0.48
05/02/12 -	05/30/12	< 0.6	< 0.57
05/30/12 -	06/27/12	< 0.7	< 0.74
06/27/12 -	08/01/12	< 0.6	< 0.54
08/01/12 -	08/29/12	< 0.5	< 0.56
08/29/12 -	09/26/12	< 0.7	< 0.71
09/26/12 -	10/31/12	< 0.8	< 0.81
10/31/12 ~	11/28/1 2	< 0.9	< 0.84
11/28/12 -	01/02/13	< 0.6	< 0.57
MEAN		-	-

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
1LL	12/28/11 - 02/01/12	< 6	< 7	< 14	< 5	< 14	< 7	< 12	< 14	< 5	< 7	< 32	< 11
	02/01/12 - 02/29/12	< 3	< 4	< 7	< 3	< 7	< 4	< 6	< 10	< 3	< 4	< 26	. < 7
	02/29/12 - 03/28/12	< 3	< 3	< 7	< 3	< 6	< 3	< 5	< 8	< 3	< 3	< 18	< 7
	03/28/12 - 05/02/12	< 5	< 6	< 10	< 7	< 11	< 5	< 10	< 1	< 6	< 6	< 41	< 11
	05/02/12 - 05/30/12	< 4	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 8	< 9	< 4	< 4	< 22	< 5
	05/30/12 - 06/27/12	< 3	< 4	< 8	< 3	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 13	< 3	< 3	< 26	< 9
	06/27/12 - 08/01/12	< 4	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 7	< 9	< 4	< 4	< 24	< 7
	08/01/12 - 08/29/12	< 4	< 5	< 9	< 6	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 11	< 4	< 5	< 27	< 12
	08/29/12 - 09/26/12	< 3	< 3	< 6	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 5	< 13	< 3	< 3	< 25	< 9
	09/26/12 - 10/31/12	< 5	< 5	< 11	< 4	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 15	< 4	< 5	< 33	< 9
	10/31/12 - 11/28/12	< 6	< 6	< 11	< 4	< 13	< 5	< 10	< 10	< 5	< 7	< 29	< 11
	11/28/12 - 01/02/13	< 5	< 5	< 11	< 4	< 8	< 6	< 9	< 15	< 4	< 5	< 32	< 11
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1MM	12/28/11 - 02/01/12	< 6	< 6	< 8	< 4	< 10	< 5	< 7	< 11	< 4	< 7	< 24	< 6
	02/01/12 - 02/29/12	< 4	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 4	< 8	< 10	< 3	< 4	< 24	< 10
	02/29/12 - 03/28/12	< 3	< 3	< 6	< 2	< 5	< 3	< 5	< 7	< 2	< 3	< 18	< 6
	03/28/12 - 05/02/12	< 5	< 7	< 14	< 6	< 11	< 6	< 11	< 0	< 4	< 6	< 38	< 11
	05/02/12 - 05/30/12	< 6	< 7	< 15	< 7	< 9	< 7	< 9	< 11	< 6	< 6	< 33	< 8
	05/30/12 - 06/27/12	< 3	< 3	< 8	< 3	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 12	< 3	< 4	< 28	< 9
	06/27/12 - 08/01/12	< 6	< 6	< 15	< 5	< 11	< 7	< 11	< 13	< 6	< 6	< 34	< 11
	08/01/12 - 08/29/12	< 4	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 11	< 4	< 4	< 23	< 4
	08/29/12 - 09/26/12	< 3	< 3	< 7	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 5	< 14	< 3	< 3	< 24	< 7
	09/26/12 - 10/31/12	< 4	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 15	< 4	< 4	< 27	< 10
	10/31/12 - 11/28/12	< 5	< 6	< 11	< 6	< 10	< 6	< 11	< 10	< 5	< 6	< 26	< 8
	11/28/12 - 01/02/13	< 4	< 6	< 13	< 5	< 11	< 6	< 9	< 14	< 4	< 5	< 33	< 9
	MEAN	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-II.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

COLLECTION	13B	4L	61
01/03/12 - 01/30/12	< 2.1	< 2.0	2.3 ± 1.3
02/07/12 - 02/27/12	2.3 ± 1.4	3.9 ± 1.4	4.7 ± 1.8
03/05/12 - 03/27/12	4.2 ± 1.9	< 2.6	3.5 ± 1.8
04/03/12 - 04/30/12	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7
05/07/12 - 05/30/12	2.1 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 0.9
06/04/12 - 06/26/12	2.0 ± 1.0	2.4 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 1.0
07/02/12 - 07/30/12	1.7 ± 1.0	1.7 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.0
08/07/12 - 08/28/12	< 2.2	3.1 ± 1.5	< 2.2
09/04/12 - 09/26/12	2.5 ± 1.1	4.2 ± 1.2	4.0 ± 1.1
10/01/12 - 10/29/12	3.0 ± 1.1	4.5 ± 1.1	3.2 ± 1.1
11/07/12 - 11/27/12	6.0 ± 1.2	3.2 ± 1.1	2.8 ± 1.0
12/03/12 - 12/31/12	5.0 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 1.0	2.2 ± 1.0
MEAN	3.2 ± 3.0	3.1 ± 2.0	2.9 ± 1.9

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-II.2CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

-

COLLECTION	13B	4L	61	
01/03/12 - 03/27/12	< 186	< 184	< 181	
04/03/12 - 06/26/12	< 185	< 184	< 186	
07/02/12 - 09/26/12	< 168	< 169	< 157	
10/01/12 - 12/31/12	< 168	< 185	< 183	

-

MEAN

TABLE C-II.3CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION PERIOD	13B	4L	61	
01/03/12 - 01/30/12	< 0.6	< 0.5	< 0.6	
02/07/12 - 02/27/12	< 0.3	< 0.5	< 0.5	
03/05/12 - 03/27/12	< 0.4	< 0.3	< 0.3	
04/03/12 - 04/30/12	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.4	
05/07/12 - 05/30/12	< 0.7	< 0.5	< 0.5	
06/04/12 - 06/26/12	< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.8	
07/02/12 - 07/30/12	< 0.7	< 0.5	< 0.5	
08/07/12 - 08/28/12	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.5	
09/04/12 - 09/26/12	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	
10/01/12 - 10/29/12	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	
11/07/12 - 11/27/12	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.7	
12/03/12 - 12/31/12	< 0.7	< 0.5	< 0.5	
MEAN	-	-	-	

TABLE C-II.4CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTER IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
13B	01/03/12 - 01/30/12	< 7	< 6	< 13	< 5	< 14	< 7	< 10	< 13	< 5	< 7	< 35	< 10
	02/07/12 - 02/27/12	< 4	< 5	< 11	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 8	< 14	< 4	< 4	< 33	< 10
	03/05/12 - 03/27/12	< 2	< 2	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 3	< 5	< 7	< 2	< 2	< 17	< 5
	04/03/12 - 04/30/12	< 4	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 10	< 1	< 5	< 5	< 41	< 12
	05/07/12 - 05/30/12	< 5	< 6	< 14	< 6	< 11	< 6	< 9	< 15	< 5	< 6	< 34	< 12
	06/04/12 - 06/26/12	< 3	< 3	< 7	< 2	< 6	< 3	< 6	< 13	< 3	< 3	< 24	< 8
	07/02/12 - 07/30/12	< 4	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 10	< 6	< 9	< 13	< 5	< 6	< 32	< 11
	08/07/12 - 08/28/12	< 4	< 3	< 8	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 8	< 13	< 3	< 3	< 29	< 8
	09/04/12 - 09/26/12	< 4	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 15	< 3	< 4	< 32	< 8
	10/01/12 - 10/29/12	< 3	< 4	< 8	< 3	< 8	< 4	< 6	< 11	< 3	< 3	< 23	< 9
	11/07/12 - 11/27/12	< 5	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 12	< 5	< 10	< 11	< 5	< 6	< 27	< 10
	12/03/12 - 12/31/12	< 4	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 14	< 4	< 4	< 27	< 6
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-
4L	12/29/11 - 02/02/12	< 4	< 5	< 10	< 4	< 9	< 5	< 7	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 20	< 8
	02/02/12 - 03/01/12	< 3	< 4	< 9	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 8	< 10	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 10
	03/01/12 - 03/29/12	< 3	< 3	< 6	< 3	< 6	< 3	< 5	< 7	< 3	< 3	< 19	< 6
	03/29/12 - 05/03/12	< 6	< 7	< 10	< 5	< 12	< 7	< 12	< 0	< 5	< 6	< 42	< 15
	05/03/12 - 05/31/12	< 4	< 4	< 6	< 3	< 4	< 4	< 7	< 12	< 4	< 4	< 24	< 9
	05/31/12 - 06/28/12	< 3	< 3	< 8	< 3	< 6	< 4	< 6	< 13	< 3	< 4	< 24	< 7
	06/28/12 - 08/02/12	< 6	< 7	< 15	< 8	< 15	< 7	< 14	< 13	< 6	< 7	< 38	< 7
	08/02/12 - 08/30/12	< 5	< 4	< 10	< 4	< 10	< 5	< 7	< 13	< 5	< 5	< 29	< 9
	08/30/12 - 09/27/12	< 4	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 14	< 4	< 4	< 29	< 12
	09/27/12 - 11/01/12	< 4	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 9	< 5	< 8	< 13	< 3	< 4	< 25	< 8
	11/01/12 - 11/29/12	< 4	< 5	< 7	< 4	< 6	< 4	< 7	< 8	< 4	< 4	< 22	< 6
	11/29/12 - 01/03/13	< 5	< 4	< 9	< 5	< 9	< 4	< 9	< 13	< 4	< 5	< 29	< 12
	MEAN	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-II.4CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTER IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
61	12/29/11 - 02/02/12	< 4	< 4	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 8	< 4	< 4	< 19	< 7
	2/2/2012 - 3/1/2012	< 3.5	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 10	< 3	< 4	< 23	< 8
	3/1/2012 - 03/29/12	< 2	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 5	< 2	< 5	< 6	< 2	< 3	< 14	< 5
	03/29/12 - 05/03/12	< 7	< 8	< 11	< 7	< 8	< 7	< 11	< 0	< 5	< 5	< 40	< 11
	5/3/2012 - 05/31/12	< 3	< 5	< 11	< 5	< 9	< 6	< 7	< 12	< 4	< 5	< 31	< 10
	05/31/12 - 06/28/12	< 4	< 4	< 10	< 4	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 15	< 4	< 4	< 31	< 11
	06/28/12 - 08/02/12	< 6	< 6	< 12	< 7	< 12	< 5	< 11	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 27	< 7
	08/02/12 - 08/30/12	< 4	< 4	< 11	< 4	< 9	< 6	< 9	< 10	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 9
	08/30/12 - 09/27/12	< 4	< 4	< 10	< 4	< 10	< 5	< 7	< 14	< 4	< 4	< 28	< 10
	09/27/12 - 11/01/12	< 5	< 5	< 12	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 10	< 14	< 5	< 5	< 33	< 9
	11/01/12 - 11/29/12	< 5	< 4	< 11	< 5	< 9	< 4	< 9	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 22	< 7
	11/29/12 - 01/03/13	< 4	< 4	< 11	< 4	< 11	< 6	< 9	< 15	< 5	< 6	< 33	< 6
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-III.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN PREDATOR AND BOTTOM FEEDER (FISH)SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Cs-134	Cs-137
4	PREDATOR								
	05/31/12	3962 ± 888	< 61	< 68	< 161	< 52	< 120	< 55	< 56
	11/05/12	3912 ± 1021	< 62	< 80	< 171	< 79	< 149	< 60	< 71
	MEAN	3937 ± 71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	BOTTOM FEEDER								
	05/31/12	3610 ± 755	< 52	< 56	< 90	< 56	< 116	< 48	< 55
	10/18/12	2715 ± 780	< 51	< 45	< 103	< 48	< 110	< 49	< 56
	MEAN	3163 ± 1266	-	-	- ·	-	-	-	-
6	PREDATOR								
	06/18/12	4116 ± 1434	< 81	< 85	< 206	< 87	< 167	< 71	< 83
	10/05/12	5020 ± 1086	< 70	< 54	< 124	< 70	< 164	< 52	< 59
	MEAN	4568 ± 1278	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	BOTTOM FEEDER								
	06/07/12	4408 ± 810.8	< 46	< 43	< 122	< 39	< 85	< 40	< 52
	10/05/12	2996 ± 926.5	< 57	< 54	< 132	< 59	< 89	< 50	< 63
	MEAN	3702 ± 1997	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/KG WET ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-IV.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SEDIMENT SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	E COLLECTION PERIOD	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137
4J	06/13/12	17990 ± 1398	< 50	< 53	< 82	< 48	< 73
	12/04/12	11630 ± 722	< 31	< 29	< 40	< 28	< 37
	MEAN	14810 ± 8994	-	-	-	-	-
Арр	rox. 1 liter of silt						
4T	06/13/12	16560 ± 1565	< 90	< 71	< 90	< 82	< 114
	12/04/12	19110 ± 1715	< 79	< 74	< 99	< 71	164 ± 79
	MEAN	17835 ± 3606	-	-	-	-	-
Арр	rox. 1 liter of silt						
6F	06/13/12	8742 ± 1155	< 56	< 56	< 49	< 46	< 59
	12/04/12	8508 ± 860	< 38	< 38	< 48	< 41	< 53
	MEAN	8625 ± 331	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PC/KG DRY ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-V.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	COLLECTION	G	BROUP I	GRC	UP II	GROUP III
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	PERIOD	1B	1C	1Z	3A	5H2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	12/29/11 - 01/05/12	20 + 5	21 + 5	22 + 5	20 + 5	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/03/12 = 01/09/12	20 1 0	21 2 0	22 1 0	20 1 0	20 + 6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/05/12 = 01/12/12	22 + 6	16 + 6	21 + 6	17 + 6	20 2 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/09/12 = 01/16/12	~~ I U	10 1 0	21 1 0	11 2 0	22 + 5
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01/10/12 - 01/10/12	18 + 5	16 + 5	17 + 5	15 + 5	22 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/16/12 = 01/13/12	10 1 0	10 1 0	17 1 0	10 1 0	14 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/10/12 = 01/20/12	25 + 6	20 + 5	23 + 6	17 + 5	14 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/23/12 = 01/20/12	20 1 0	20 1 0	20 1 0	17 1 0	14 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/26/12 = 01/30/12	14 + 5	16 + 5	17 + 5	18 + 5	14 1 3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	01/20/12 = 02/02/12	14 1 3	10 1 3	17 1 5	10 1 3	13 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/02/12 - 02/00/12	18 + 5	21 + 5	12 + 5	19 + 5	10 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{02}{08} \frac{12}{12} = \frac{02}{03} \frac{12}{12}$	10 1 0	2110	10 1 0	10 1 0	13 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/00/12 - 02/16/12	12 + 6	18 + 6	14 + 6	12 + 6	10 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/03/12 - 02/10/12	12 1 0	10 1 0	14 1 0	12 1 0	19 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/15/12 - 02/21/12	17 + 5	10 + 5	17 + 5	10 + 5	10 I J
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/10/12 = 02/23/12 02/21/12 = 02/27/12	17 1 5	13 1 3	17 1 5	13 1 5	15 + 6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/21/12 = 02/21/12 02/22/12 = 02/01/12	17 + 5	17 + 5	10 + 5	20 + 5	10 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/23/12 - 03/01/12	17 E S	17 1 3	19 1 3	20 1 3	11 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	02/27/12 - 03/03/12	13 + 5	16 + 5	16 + 5	15 + 5	11 ± 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/05/12 = 03/06/12	13 I J	10 1 3	10 1 3	13 1 3	12 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/03/12 = 03/12/12 03/09/12 = 03/15/12	21 + 5	10 + 5	25 + 5	26 + 5	12 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/10/12 - 03/10/12	21 1 5	19 1 3	25 1 5	20 1 3	20 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/12/12 = 03/19/12 03/15/12 = 03/22/12	17 + 4	15 + 1	15 + 4	11 + 1	20 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/10/12 - 03/22/12	17 1 4	15 1 4	15 1 4	14 1 4	10 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/22/12 = 03/20/12	10 + 6	18 + 6	17 + 6	18 + 6	10 1 3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/28/12 = 03/29/12 03/28/12 = 04/02/12	19 1 0	10 1 0	17 10	10 1 0	11 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	03/20/12 - 04/02/12	~ 7	< 7	0 + 5	9 ± 5	11 1 3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.3/2.3/12 = 0.4/0.3/12 0.4/0.3/12 = 0.4/0.0/12	- /	~ /	OIJ	013	8 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/05/12 = 04/05/12	16 + 5	16 + 5	12 + 5	12 + 5	015
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/09/12 = 04/12/12	10 1 5	10 1 5	12 1 5	15 1 5	16 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/09/12 = 04/10/12 04/10/12 = 04/10/12	16 + 5	16 + 5	15 + 5	20 + 5	10 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/12/12 - 04/19/12 04/16/12 04/23/12	10 1 5	10 1 3	15 1 5	20 1 3	12 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/10/12 - 04/23/12	15 + 5	16 ± 5	14 + 5	14 + 5	13 1 3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/19/12 - 04/20/12	15 1 5	10 1 5	14 ± 5	14 I J	14 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/26/12 = 04/30/12	14 + 6	17 + 6	17 + 6	17 + 6	14 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	04/20/12 = 05/05/12	14 1 0	17 10	17 10	17 1 0	12 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/02/12 05/10/12	10 + 5	10 + 5	12 + 5	11 + 5	12 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/03/12 - 05/10/12	10 1 3	10 I J	12 1 3	II I J	13 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/07/12 = 05/17/12	16 + 5	14 + 5	19 + 5	14 + 5	15 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/10/12 = 05/11/12	10 I J	14 1 5	10 ± 5	14 1 0	8 + 4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/17/12 - 05/23/12	14 + 6	8 + 5	< 8	8 + 5	014
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/21/12 - 05/20/12	14 1 0	015	- 0	013	15 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/23/12 = 05/31/12	16 + 5	11 + 1	18 + 5	13 + 4	10 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/20/12 - 06/04/12	10 1 5	11 1 4	10 1 5	10 I 4	18 + 6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	05/31/12 - 06/07/12	0 + 5	7 + 5	10 + 5	8 + 5	10 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/04/12 = 06/01/12	313	715	10 1 5	010	12 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/07/12 = 06/14/12	16 + 5	10 + 5	22 + 5	14 + 5	12 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/11/12 - 06/14/12	10 1 5	19 1 3	23 1 5	14 I J	11 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/14/12 06/21/12	13 + 5	14 + 5	12 + 5	19 + 5	11 ± 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/19/12 - 00/21/12	13 1 3	14 I J	13 I J	10 1 3	21 + 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/21/12 - 06/20/12	10 + 5	10 + 5	16 ± 5	15 + 5	21 1 5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	06/25/12 - 00/20/12	19 1 3	IJ I J	10 1 0	10 1 0	22 ± 6
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	00/20/12 - 07/01/12	26 + 6	27 + 6	07 ± 6	22 × 5	22 1 0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		20 1 0	21 10	21 10	22 I J	21 ± A
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07/05/12 07/05/12	<u> </u>	00 ± E	20 2 5	20 ± F	21 1 4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07/00/12 - 07/12/12	20 1 0	22 I J	20 1 0	20 I J	12 ± F
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07/10/12 - 07/10/12	17 ± F	10 ± F	21 + 5	21 ⊥ ⊑	12 1 3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07/16/12 - 07/22/12	17 1 3	19 1 3	21 I J	21 1 3	18 + 5
07/23/12 - 07/30/12 18 + 5	07/19/12 . 07/26/12	15 + 5	16 + 5	13 + 5	14 + 5	10 1 0
	07/23/12 - 07/30/12		.0 1 0			18 ± 5

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-V.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

COLLECTION	GF	ROUP I	GRO	UP II	GROUP III
PERIOD	1B	1C	1Z	3A	5H2
07/26/12 - 08/02/12	13 ± 4	14 ± 5	16 ± 5	18 ± 5	
07/30/12 - 08/06/12					16 ± 5
08/02/12 - 08/09/12	26 ± 5	18 ± 5	27 ± 6	13 ± 5	
08/06/12 - 08/13/12					20 ± 5
08/09/12 - 08/16/12	21 ± 5	20 ± 5	20 ± 5	16 ± 5	
08/13/12 - 08/20/12					22 ± 5
08/16/12 - 08/23/12	23 ± 5	32 ± 6	24 ± 5	30 ± 6	
08/20/12 - 08/27/12					27 ± 6
08/23/12 - 08/30/12	24 ± 5	27 ± 5	23 ± 5	25 ± 5	
08/27/12 - 09/04/12					27 ± 5
08/30/12 - 09/06/12	25 ± 5	30 ± 6	29 ± 6	31 ± 6	
09/04/12 - 09/10/12					12 ± 5
09/06/12 - 09/13/12	14 ± 5	14 ± 5	13 ± 5	17 ± 5	
09/10/12 - 09/17/12					11 ± 5
09/13/12 - 09/20/12	16 ± 5	15 ± 5	15 ± 5	21 ± 5	
09/17/12 - 09/24/12					8 ± 5
09/20/12 - 09/27/12	21 ± 5	20 ± 5	21 ± 5	17 ± 5	
09/24/12 - 10/01/12					22 ± 5
09/27/12 - 10/04/12	25 + 5	23 + 5	24 + 5	27 + 5	•
10/01/12 - 10/08/12	20 2 0				25 + 6
10/04/12 - 10/11/12	22 + 5	25 + 5	24 + 5	22 + 5	20 2 0
10/08/12 - 10/15/12		20 2 0	2. 20	•	11 + 5
10/11/12 - 10/19/12	16 + 5	20 + 5	17 + 5	18 + 5	
10/15/12 - 10/22/12	10 1 0	20 2 0			14 + 5
10/19/12 - 10/25/12	36 + 7	33 + 7	38 + 7	34 + 7	
10/22/12 - 10/29/12	00 1 .	00 1 .		0. 1 .	28 ± 5
10/25/12 - 11/01/12	13 + 5	11 + 4	11 + 4	14 ± 5	
10/29/12 - 11/05/12	.0 2 0				6 + 4
11/01/12 - 11/08/12	< 6	9 + 4	11 + 5	11 + 5	• - ·
11/05/12 - 11/12/12	•••				17 + 5
11/08/12 - 11/15/12	25 + 6	24 ± 6	25 ± 6	27 ± 6	
11/12/12 - 11/19/12					19 ± 5
11/15/12 - 11/21/12	30 + 6	25 ± 6	29 ± 6	28 ± 6	
11/19/12 - 11/26/12	••				25 ± 5
11/21/12 - 11/29/12	31 ± 5	24 ± 5	26 ± 5	25 ± 5	
11/26/12 - 12/03/12					29 ± 6
11/29/12 - 12/07/12	29 ± 5	25 ± 5	27 ± 5	23 ± 5	
12/03/12 - 12/10/12					13 ± 5
12/07/12 - 12/13/12	19 ± 6	12 ± 5	26 ± 6	16 ± 6	
12/10/12 - 12/17/12					26 ± 5
12/13/12 - 12/20/12	26 ± 5	26 ± 5	27 ± 6	24 ± 5	
12/17/12 - 12/23/12					14 ± 6
12/20/12 - 12/28/12	17 ± 4	17 ± 4	15 ± 4	15 ± 4	
12/23/12 - 12/31/12					14 ± 4
12/28/12 - 01/03/13	18 ± 6	21 ± 6	24 ± 6	17 ± 5	
MEAN	19 ± 11	18 ± 11	19 ± 12	18 ± 12	2 16 ± 12

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-V.2MONTHLY AND YEARLY MEAN VALUES OF GROSS BETA CONCENTRATIONS IN AIR PARTICULATE
SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

Bomomber CTDEV is beend on all individual results	to not the CTDEV of the monthly everyges
Remember. SIDEV is based on all individual results	is - not the STDEV of the monthly averages

GROUP ! - ON-SITE LC	CATIONS		GROUP II - INTERMED	IATE DISTAN	ICE LOCATIO	ON GROUP III - CONTROL	LOCATIONS	i
COLLECTION PERIOD	MIN MAX	MEAN ± 2SD	COLLECTION	MIN MAX	MEAN ± 2SD	COLLECTION	MIN MAX	MEAN ± 2SD
12/29/11 - 02/02/12	14 25	19 ± 6	12/29/11 - 02/02/12	15 20	17 ± 4	01/03/12 - 01/30/12	14 22	17 ± 9
02/02/12 - 03/01/12	12 21	17 ± 5	02/02/12 - 03/01/12	12 20	17 ± 7	01/30/12 - 02/27/12	13 18	15 ± 5
03/01/12 - 03/29/12	13 25	18 ± 6	03/01/12 - 03/29/12	14 26	18 ± 11	02/27/12 - 04/02/12	10 20	13 ± 8
03/29/12 - 05/03/12	8 17	15 ± 5	03/29/12 - 05/03/12	8 20	14 ± 9	04/02/12 - 04/30/12	8 16	12 ± 7
05/03/12 - 05/31/12	8 18	13 ± 7	05/03/12 - 05/31/12	8 14	12 ± 5	04/30/12 - 05/29/12	8 15	12 ± 6
05/31/12 - 06/28/12	7 23	15 ± 9	05/31/12 - 06/28/12	8 18	14 ± 8	05/29/12 - 07/01/12	11 22	17 ± 10
06/28/12 - 08/02/12	13 27	19 ± 10	06/28/12 - 08/02/12	14 22	19 ± 6	07/01/12 - 07/30/12	12 21	17 ± 8
08/02/12 - 08/30/12	18 32	24 ± 8	08/02/12 - 08/30/12	13 30	21 ± 15	07/30/12 - 09/04/12	16 27	22 ± 10
08/30/12 - 10/04/12	13 30	20 ± 11	08/30/12 - 10/04/12	17 31	23 ± 13	09/04/12 - 10/01/12	8 22	13 ± 12
10/04/12 - 11/01/12	11 38	22 ± 19	10/04/12 - 11/01/12	14 34	22 ± 18	10/01/12 - 10/29/12	11 28	20 ± 17
11/01/12 - 11/29/12	9 31	23 ± 14	11/01/12 - 11/29/12	11 28	23 ± 16	10/29/12 - 12/03/12	6 29	19 ± 18
11/29/12 - 01/03/13	12 29	22 ± 10	11/29/12 - 01/03/13	15 24	19 ± 8	12/03/12 - 12/31/12	13 26	17 ± 12
12/29/11 - 01/03/13	7 38	19 ± 12	12/29/11 - 01/03/13	8 34	18 ± 12	01/03/12 - 12/31/12	6 29	16 ± 12

TABLE C-V.3CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION	Be-7	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137
10	PERIOD	< 02	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2
ю	12/29/11 - 03/29/12	< <u>2</u> 3	~ 2	< 3	< 3	12	~ 2
	03/29/12 - 06/28/12	97 ± 27	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 2
	06/28/12 ~ 09/27/12	82 ± 29	< 3	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 2
	09/27/12 - 01/03/13	36 ± 22	< 3	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 3
	MEAN	72 ± 64	-	-	-	-	-
1C	12/29/11 - 03/29/12	60 ± 21	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 3
	03/29/12 - 06/28/12	94 ± 22	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2
	06/28/12 - 09/27/12	75 ± 27	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 2
	09/27/12 - 01/03/13	62 ± 20	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 2
	MEAN	73 ± 32	-	-	-	-	-
1Z	12/29/11 - 03/29/12	64 ± 24	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 3	< 3
	03/29/12 - 06/28/12	85 ± 19	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 3
	06/28/12 - 09/27/12	87 ± 32	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 4	< 3
	09/27/12 - 01/03/13	55 ± 25	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 4	< 3
	MEAN	72 ± 31	-	-	-	-	-
ЗA	12/29/11 - 03/29/12	60 ± 21	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2
	03/29/12 - 06/28/12	77 ± 30	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3
	06/28/12 - 09/27/12	93 ± 30	< 3	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 3
	09/27/12 - 01/03/13	49 ± 18	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 2
	MEAN	70 ± 38	-	-	-	-	-
5H2	01/03/12 - 04/02/12	47 ± 29	< 3	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4
	04/02/12 - 07/01/12	100 ± 35	< 3	< 4	< 2	< 2	< 2
	07/01/12 - 10/01/12	93 ± 32	< 3	< 4	< 3	< 4	< 3
	10/01/12 - 12/31/12	50 ± 26	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3
	MEAN	73 ± 55	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-VI.1CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN AIR IODINE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE
VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

COLLECTION		GROUP I		GROUP II	GROUP III
PERIOD	1B	1C	1Z	3A	5H2
12/29/11 - 01/05/12	< 43	< 43	< 24	< 43	
01/03/12 - 01/09/12					< 27
01/05/12 - 01/12/12	< 44	< 44	< 44	< 43	
01/09/12 - 01/16/12					< 24
01/12/12 - 01/19/12	< 28	< 66	< 67	< 65	
01/16/12 - 01/23/12			-		< 21
01/19/12 - 01/26/12	< 42	< 42	< 43	< 41	
01/23/12 - 01/30/12					< 16
01/26/12 - 02/02/12	< 33	< 14	< 33	< 33	
01/30/12 - 02/06/12					< 16
02/02/12 - 02/09/12	< 33	< 33	< 34	< 33	
02/06/12 - 02/13/12					< 32
02/09/12 - 02/16/12	< 62	< 61	< 62	< 24	
02/13/12 - 02/21/12					< 12
02/16/12 - 02/23/12	< 27	< 27	< 27	< 27	
02/21/12 - 02/27/12					< 15
02/23/12 - 03/01/12	< 37	< 36	< 14	< 36	
02/27/12 - 03/05/12					< 20
03/01/12 - 03/08/12	< 50	< 50	< 51	< 50	
03/05/12 - 03/12/12					< 13
03/08/12 - 03/15/12	< 18	< 46	< 46	< 45	
03/12/12 - 03/19/12					< 14
03/15/12 - 03/22/12	< 20	< 20	< 21	< 20	
03/19/12 - 03/26/12					< 9
03/22/12 - 03/29/12	< 40	< 16	< 41	< 40	
03/26/12 - 04/02/12					< 23
03/29/12 - 04/05/12	< 44	< 44	< 45	< 44	
04/02/12 - 04/09/12					< 14
04/05/12 - 04/12/12	< 30	< 30	< 31	< 12	
04/09/12 - 04/16/12					< 11
04/12/12 - 04/19/12	< 22	< 22	< 23	< 22	
04/16/12 - 04/23/12					< 15
04/19/12 - 04/26/12	< 38	< 38	< 13	< 38	
04/23/12 - 04/30/12					< 31
04/26/12 - 05/03/12	< 41	< 41	< 41	< 40	_
04/30/12 - 05/07/12			. = 0		< 5
05/03/12 - 05/10/12	< 22	< 58	< 59	< 58	
05/07/12 - 05/14/12		- 40		- 10	< 33
05/10/12 - 05/17/12	< 40	< 40	< 41	< 40	
05/14/12 - 05/21/12		4 00		- 00	< 28
05/17/12 - 05/23/12	< 63	< 63	< 64	< 63	
05/21/12 - 05/29/12	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 50	< 37
05/20/12 - 05/31/12	< 59	< 29	< 59	< 00	< 00
05/29/12 - 00/04/12	~ 66	- 66	< 67	< 06	< 20
05/31/12 - 00/07/12	< 00	< 00	< 0/	< 20	~ 10
00/04/12 = 00/11/12 06/07/12 = 06/14/12	< 62	< 62	< 64	< 62	< 13
06/11/12 - 06/18/12	< 02	< 02	< 04	< 02	< 26
06/14/12 = 06/21/12	< 28	< 27	< 15	< 27	- 20
06/18/12 - 06/25/12	~ 20	~ 21	\$ 15	~ 21	< 51
06/21/12 - 06/28/12	< 63	< 65	< 63	< 64	- 01
06/25/12 - 07/01/12		- 50		- 07	< 25
06/28/12 - 07/05/12	< 17	< 44	< 45	< 44	- 20
07/01/12 - 07/09/12			- 70	- 77	< 10
07/05/12 - 07/12/12	< 67	< 68	< 68	< 67	
07/09/12 - 07/16/12				••	< 29
07/12/12 - 07/19/12	< 23	< 23	< 23	< 23	
07/16/12 - 07/23/12				20	< 14
07/19/12 - 07/26/12	< 22	< 23	< 23	< 0	• •
U1/20/12	- 23	~ 25	- 20	~ 0	

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-VI.1CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN AIR IODINE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE
VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

COLLECTION		GROUP I	1	GROUP II	GROUP III
PERIOD	1B	1C	1Z	3A	5H2
07/23/12 - 07/30/12					< 12
07/26/12 - 08/02/12	< 47	< 48	< 26	< 47	
07/30/12 - 08/06/12					< 34
08/02/12 - 08/09/12	< 24	< 24	< 24	< 24	
08/06/12 - 08/13/12					< 11
08/09/12 - 08/16/12	< 20	< 49	< 49	< 49	
08/13/12 - 08/20/12					< 10
08/16/12 - 08/23/12	< 27	< 27	< 27	< 27	
08/20/12 - 08/27/12					< 27
08/23/12 - 08/30/12	< 36	< 14	< 37	< 36	
08/27/12 - 09/04/12		••			< 13
08/30/12 - 09/06/12	< 51	< 51	< 52	< 51	
09/04/12 - 09/10/12		•			< 16
09/06/12 - 09/13/12	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 17	
09/10/12 - 09/17/12					< 14
09/13/12 - 09/20/12	< 28	< 28	< 28	< 28	
09/17/12 - 09/24/12					< 11
09/20/12 - 09/27/12	< 53	< 54	< 21	< 53	
09/24/12 - 10/01/12		•			< 29
09/27/12 - 10/04/12	< 30	< 30	< 30	< 30	
10/01/12 - 10/08/12					< 12
10/04/12 - 10/11/12	< 22	< 57	< 58	< 57	
10/08/12 - 10/15/12					< 15
10/11/12 - 10/19/12	< 35	< 36	< 36	< 35	
10/15/12 - 10/22/12	••				< 7
10/19/12 - 10/25/12	< 50	< 17	< 51	< 50	
10/22/12 - 10/29/12					< 21
10/25/12 - 11/01/12	< 34	< 34	< 34	< 37	
10/29/12 - 11/05/12					< 11
11/01/12 - 11/08/12	< 26	< 26	< 26	< 10	
11/05/12 - 11/12/12					< 12
11/08/12 - 11/15/12	< 45	< 45	< 45	< 45	
11/12/12 - 11/19/12					< 12
11/15/12 - 11/21/12	< 27	< 27	< 15	< 27	
11/19/12 - 11/26/12					< 13
11/21/12 - 11/29/12	< 21	< 21	< 21	< 21	
11/26/12 - 12/03/12					< 20
11/29/12 - 12/07/12	< 11	< 27	< 27	< 27	
12/03/12 - 12/10/12					< 29
12/07/12 - 12/13/12	< 33	< 33	< 33	< 33	
12/10/12 - 12/17/12					< 18
12/13/12 - 12/20/12	< 26	< 11	< 27	< 26	
12/17/12 - 12/23/12					< 32
12/20/12 - 12/28/12	< 32	< 32	< 32	< 31	
12/23/12 - 12/31/12					< 9
12/28/12 - 01/03/13	< 58	< 57	< 5 9	< 24	
MEAN	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-VII.1CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN MILK SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE
VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

	CONTROL	FARM		INDICATOR	R FARM						
COLLECTION PERIOD	С	E	V	D	J	L	P	R	S	U	W
01/09/12			< 0.6	_	< 0.6			< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.7	
02/07/12	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.4	< 0.6	< 0.4	< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.5	< 0.4	< 0.5	< 0.5
03/12/12			< 0.3		< 0.4			< 0.3	< 0.4	< 0.5	
04/09/12			< 0.3		< 0.3			< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	
04/23/12			< 0.3		< 0.4			< 0.3	< 0.2	< 0.3	
05/07/12	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.5	< 0.3	< 0.6	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.3
05/21/12			< 0.5		< 0.4			< 0.5	< 0.4	< 0.5	
06/04/12			< 0.6		< 0.6			< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.7	
06/18/12			< 0.7		< 0.6			< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.6	
07/02/12			< 0.7		< 0.8			< 0.8	< 0.9	< 0.9	
07/17/12			< 0.5		< 0.6			< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.6	
07/30/12			< 0.5		< 0.5			< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.6	
08/13/12	< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 0.7
08/27/12			< 0.6		< 0.7			< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.8	
09/11/12			< 0.7		< 0.8			< 0.5	< 0.8	< 0.5	
09/24/12			< 0.8		< 0.8			< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.7	
10/08/12			< 0.8		< 0.8			< 0.7	< 0.8	< 0.7	
10/23/12			< 0.5		< 0.5			< 0.6	< 0.5	< 0.6	
11/05/12	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.7	< 0.8
11/19/12			< 0.8		< 0.8			< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.8	
12/04/12			< 0.6		< 0.6			< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.5	
MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	K-40	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
С	02/06/12	1409 ± 222	< 7	< 9	< 41	< 11
	05/07/12	1332 ± 129	< 5	< 6	< 28	< 7
	08/13/12	1363 ± 132	< 5	< 5	< 25	< 7
	11/05/12	1442 ± 177	< 6	< 7	< 40	< 11
	MEAN	1387 ± 97	-	-	-	-
D	02/06/12	1381 ± 170	< 6	< 9	< 41	< 7
	05/07/12	1340 ± 165	< 4	< 5	< 26	< 8
	08/13/12	1278 ± 120	< 5	< 5	< 26	< 7
	11/05/12	1371 ± 172	< 7	< 9	< 44	< 12
	MEAN	1343 ± 93	-	-	-	-
_	00/00/10					
E	02/06/12	1341 ± 166	< 6	< 8	< 44	< 9
	05/07/12	1321 ± 134	< 6	< 6	< 28	< 9
	08/13/12	1333 ± 159	< 5	< 5	< 24	< /
	11/05/12	1425 ± 167	< 6	< /	< 32	< 13
	MEAN	1355 ± 95	-	-	-	-
J	01/09/12	1495 ± 157	< 6	< 6	< 31	< 10
	02/06/12	1452 ± 126	< 5	< 5	< 27	< 6
	03/12/12	1359 ± 158	< 6	< 6	< 33	< 9
	04/09/12	1328 ± 183	< 7	< 8	< 41	< 8
	04/23/12	1423 ± 144	< 5	< 7	< 33	< 8
	05/07/12	1085 ± 169	< 7	< 7	< 39	< 14
	05/21/12	1201 ± 119	< 4	< 5	< 25	< 6
	06/04/12	1233 ± 139	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 7
	06/18/12	1313 ± 128	< 6	< 6	< 25	< 8
	07/02/12	1332 ± 151	< 6	< 6	< 46	< 10
	07/17/12	1386 ± 139	< 6	< 6	< 31	< 9
	07/30/12	1281 ± 176	< 7	< 8	< 41	< 12
	08/13/12	1281 ± 98	< 3	< 4	< 18	< 5
	08/27/12	1222 ± 115	< 4	< 5	< 28	< 8
	09/10/12	1266 ± 154	< 5	< 6	< 29	< 10
	09/24/12	1313 ± 170	< 7	< 8	< 34	< 12
	10/08/12	1445 ± 168	< 6	< 7	< 35	< 11
	10/23/12	1343 ± 141	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 7
	11/05/12	1083 ± 142	< 7	< 8	< 42	< 14
	11/19/12	1407 ± 133	< 4	< 5	< 23	< 8
	12/04/12	1315 ± 95	< 3	< 4	< 19	< 5
	MEAN	1313 ± 217	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	K-40	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
L	02/06/12	1316 ± 170	< 6	< 7	< 28	< 14
	05/07/12	1362 ± 123	< 5	< 6	< 29	< 9
	08/13/12	1341 ± 152	< 6	< 6	< 28	< 8
	11/05/12	1489 ± 196	< 8	< 8	< 41	< 11
	MEAN	1377 ± 154	-	-	-	-
Р	02/06/12	1245 ± 121	< 4	< 5	< 25	< 5
	05/07/12	1303 ± 137	< 6	< 6	< 30	< 10
	08/13/12	1249 ± 125	< 5	< 6	< 24	< 7
	11/05/12	1362 ± 141	< 5	< 6	< 33	< 9
	MEAN	1290 ± 110	-	-	-	-
R	01/09/12	1290 ± 170	< 7	< 8	< 31	< 9
	02/06/12	1250 ± 159	< 7	< 7	< 30	< 9
	03/12/12	1409 ± 143	< 5	< 5	< 30	< 7
	04/09/12	1133 ± 161	< 7	< 8	< 40	< 12
	04/23/12	1148 ± 129	< 5	< 5	< 25	< 12
	05/07/12	1194 ± 201	< 9	< 11	< 48	< 9
	05/21/12	1209 ± 155	< 7	< 7	< 34	< 10
	06/04/12	1390 ± 148	< 6	< 7	< 33	< 9
	06/18/12	1357 ± 154	< 7	< 7	< 35	< 11
	07/02/12	1206 ± 132	< 5	< 6	< 37	< 12
	07/17/12	1175 ± 127	< 6	< 4	< 24	< 8
	07/30/12	1281 ± 157	< 6	< 5	< 36	< 7
	08/13/12	1305 ± 103	< 4	< 5	< 25	< 6
	08/27/12	1194 ± 136	< 4	< 5	< 20	< 6
	09/10/12	1209 ± 164	< 6	< 6	< 37	< 11
	09/24/12	1311 ± 226	< 8	< 8	< 36	< 14
	10/08/12	1448 ± 184	< 7	< 8	< 53	< 14
	10/23/12	1284 ± 155	< 6	< 7	< 31	< 11
	11/05/12	1351 ± 153	< 6	< 8	< 38	< 10
	11/19/12	1434 ± 95	< 3	< 4	< 19	< 6
	12/04/12	1229 ± 106	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 6
	MEAN	1277 ± 188	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	K-40	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
s	01/09/12	1055 ± 118	< 5	< 7	< 27	< 7
	02/06/12	1292 ± 149	< 7	< 7	< 36	< 8
	03/12/12	1345 ± 172	< 7	< 8	< 35	< 10
	04/09/12	1511 ± 189	< 5	< 5	< 27	< 11
	04/23/12	1271 ± 129	< 5	< 6	< 26	< 7
	05/07/12	1132 ± 205	< 7	< 7	< 47	< 10
	05/21/12	1626 ± 194	< 7	< 8	< 38	< 10
	06/04/12	1355 ± 166	< 5	< 4	< 26	< 6
	06/18/12	1237 ± 133	< 5	< 5	< 30	< 8
	07/02/12	1427 ± 128	< 5	< 5	< 37	< 12
	07/17/12	1324 ± 122	< 5	< 6	< 27	< 7
	07/30/12	1273 ± 142	< 7	< 7	< 36	< 12
	08/13/12	1303 ± 106	< 4	< 5	< 20	< 7
	08/27/12	1293 ± 146	< 5	< 5	< 23	< 7
	09/10/12	1309 ± 143	< 6	< 8	< 34	< 7
	09/24/12	1393 ± 195	< 6	< 7	< 33	< 13
	10/08/12	1474 ± 185	< 6	< 8	< 41	< 11
	10/23/12	1456 ± 153	< 5	< 6	< 27	< 8
	11/05/12	1303 ± 180	< 4	< 5	< 29	< 7
	11/19/12	1290 ± 112	< 4	< 5	< 22	< 7
	12/04/12	1384 ± 118	< 3	< 4	< 18	< 6
	MEAN	1336 ± 249	-	-	-	-
U	01/09/12	1050 ± 157	< 6	< 7	< 33	< 5
	02/06/12	1134 ± 145	< 5	< 7	< 27	< 8
	03/12/12	1218 ± 98	< 4	< 4	< 23	< 6
	04/09/12	1399 ± 180	< 7	< 8	< 41	< 12
	04/23/12	1262 ± 128	< 5	< 6	< 30	< 11
	05/07/12	1218 ± 125	< 6	< 6	< 29	< 9
	05/21/12	1309 ± 141	< 5	< 6	< 30	< 9
	06/04/12	1292 ± 142	< 5	< 6	< 29	< 8
	06/18/12	1376 ± 150	< 5	< 7	< 30	< 12
	07/02/12	1162 ± 122	< 5	< 6	< 40	< 11
	07/17/12	1305 ± 138	< 5	< 6	< 2 9	< 7
	07/30/12	1335 ± 168	< 6	< 7	< 35	< 10
	08/13/12	1363 ± 102	< 4	< 4	< 22	< 4
	08/27/12	1151 ± 140	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 8
	09/11/12	1310 ± 154	< 6	< 7	< 33	< 10
	09/24/12	1236 ± 155	< 5	< 7	< 38	< 10
	10/08/12	1399 ± 202	< 6	< 9	< 44	< 13
	10/23/12	1371 ± 159	< 6	< 6	< 28	< 9
	11/05/12	1229 ± 148	< 6	< 6	< 35	< 10
	11/19/12	1215 ± 138	< 6	< 6	< 31	< 9
	12/04/12	1177 ± 105	< 4	< 4	< 21	< 7
	MEAN	1262 ± 192	-	-	-	-

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

SIT	E COLLECTION	K-40	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
	PERIOD					
V	01/09/12	1281 ± 162	< 5	< 8	< 33	< 7
	02/06/12	1140 ± 156	< 6	< 6	< 27	< 11
	03/12/12	1169 ± 119	< 4	< 6	< 26	< 7
	04/09/12	1138 ± 163	< 6	< 8	< 34	< 9
	04/23/12	1092 ± 139	< 5	< 6	< 27	< 7
	05/07/12	1121 ± 177	< 7	< 9	< 50	< 12
	05/21/12	1180 ± 121	< 5	< 6	< 24	< 9
	06/04/12	1245 ± 113	< 5	< 4	< 23	< 8
	06/18/12	1228 ± 122	< 5	< 5	< 24	< 7
	07/02/12	1352 ± 138	< 6	< 6	< 50	< 14
	07/17/12	1317 ± 113	< 4	< 5	< 22	< 7
	07/30/12	1247 ± 157	< 6	< 7	< 42	< 9
	08/13/12	1224 ± 113	< 3	< 4	< 18	< 5
	08/27/12	1302 ± 121	< 5	< 6	< 29	< 9
	09/10/12	1137 ± 140	< 5	< 5	< 25	< 9
	09/24/12	1285 ± 166	< 7	< 8	< 39	< 7
	10/08/12	1237 ± 163	< 6	< 7	< 38	< 8
	10/23/12	1223 ± 148	< 6	< 7	< 31	< 9
	11/05/12	1253 ± 163	< 6	< 6	< 37	< 11
	11/19/12	1194 ± 117	< 5	< 5	< 24	< 6
	12/04/12	1154 ± 76	< 3	< 4	< 17	< 6
	MEAN	1215 ± 141	-	-	-	-
W	02/07/12	1329 ± 178	< 7	< 6	< 29	< 10
	05/07/12	1221 ± 134	< 5	< 7	< 33	< 9
	08/13/12	1396 ± 152	< 5	< 8	< 31	< 9
	11/05/12	1398 ± 133	< 5	< 6	< 28	< 8
	MEAN	1336 ± 166	-	-	-	-

.

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE C-VIII.1

CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FOOD PRODUCT SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/KG WET ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	E COLLECT	ION	Be-7	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137
	PERIOD									
1Q	05/31/12	Green Cabbage	< 202	4134 ± 559	< 21	< 19	< 26	< 55	< 16	< 21
	06/25/12	Green Cabbage	< 141	2231 ± 301	< 14	< 16	< 15	< 56	< 13	< 11
	06/25/12	Tobacco Leaves	424 ± 126	5318 ± 338	< 14	< 15	< 15	< 59	< 13	< 14
	07/30/12	Green Cabbage	< 124	2353 ± 385	< 15	< 14	< 20	< 25	< 13	< 18
	07/30/12	Pak Choi Leaves	311 ± 108	3855 ± 336	< 15	< 15	< 15	< 25	< 14	< 18
	07/30/12	Tobacco Leaves	587 ± 261	3677 ± 487	< 20	< 22	< 27	< 36	< 25	< 22
	08/27/12	Green Cabbage	< 80	2025 ± 180	< 7	< 9	< 10	< 20	< 8	< 9
	08/27/12	Pak Choi Leaves	484 ± 158	3321 ± 358	< 14	< 13	< 18	< 29	< 13	< 16
	08/27/12	Tobacco Leaves	562 ± 159	4953 ± 351	< 24	< 23	< 29	< 55	< 25	< 26
	09/24/12	Green Cabbage	< 201	3525 ± 332	< 16	< 18	< 18	< 57	< 19	< 19
	09/24/12	Sweet Corn Leaves	3954 ± 288	3711 ± 342	< 19	< 20	< 21	< 59	< 19	< 19
	09/24/12	Tobacco Leaves	570 ± 191	6377 ± 469	< 19	< 20	< 25	< 55	< 17	< 19
	MEAN		985 ± 2626	3790 ± 2588	-	-	-	-	-	-
2B	06/25/12	Leaf Lettuce	276 ± 59	4340 ± 183	< 8	< 8	< 10	< 33	< 7	< 8
	06/25/12	Romaine Lettuce	227 ± 188	5366 ± 499	< 11	< 11	< 14	< 48	< 11	< 12
	06/25/12	Squash Leaves	815 ± 133	5729 ± 306	< 13	< 14	< 13	< 60	< 12	< 13
	07/30/12	Green Cabbage	177 ± 146	3999 ± 389	< 18	< 16	< 22	< 29	< 13	< 18
	07/30/12	Pak Choi Leaves	716 ± 333	4513 ± 645	< 24	< 29	< 27	< 40	< 24	< 24
	07/30/12	Romaine Lettuce	902 ± 227	8416 ± 654	< 27	< 25	< 37	< 44	< 24	< 26
	08/27/12	Green Cabbage	< 113	3379 ± 290	< 13	< 15	< 15	< 24	< 12	< 14
	08/27/12	Red Beet Leaves	385 ± 224	10270 ± 861	< 27	< 31	< 33	< 54	< 25	< 27
	08/27/12	Red Cabbage	< 114	3397 ± 279	< 13	< 11	< 15	< 29	< 13	< 13
	09/24/12	Green Cabbage	< 172	2413 ± 379	< 22	< 21	< 24	< 51	< 17	< 21
	09/24/12	Pak Choi	450 ± 239	5906 ± 641	< 19	< 22	< 26	< 58	< 18	< 22
	09/24/12	Red Cabbage	< 208	3486 ± 391	< 16	< 17	< 20	< 58	< 18	< 19
	MEAN		493 ± 561	5101 ± 4539	-	-	-	-	-	-
55	05/31/12	Green Cabbage	181 ± 132	3855 ± 371	< 17	< 19	< 22	< 59	< 17	< 19
	06/25/12	Green Cabbage	77 ± 67	3756 ± 149	< 6	< 6	< 7	< 30	< 6	< 6
	06/25/12	Lettuce	181 ± 81	3803 ± 170	< 7	< 7	< 9	< 33	< 7	< 8
	06/25/12	Red Beet Leaves	472 ± 97	12290 ± 283	< 9	< 10	< 12	< 37	< 8	< 9
	07/30/12	Green Cabbage	< 166	2644 ± 405	< 19	< 19	< 27	< 33	< 20	< 21
	07/30/12	Red Beet Leaves	674 ± 176	9566 ± 561	< 18	< 19	< 27	< 32	< 18	< 21
	07/30/12	Red Cabbage	< 139	3374 ± 415	< 17	< 16	< 24	< 31	< 16	< 17
	08/27/12	Broccoli Leaves	< 149	5335 ± 392	< 14	< 15	< 19	< 33	< 14	< 15
	08/27/12	Leaf Lettuce	328 ± 170	5361 ± 499	< 19	< 21	< 25	< 48	< 20	< 23
	08/27/12	Red Beet Leaves	< 296	11480 ± 821	< 25	< 23	< 31	< 56	< 23	< 25
	09/24/12	Broccoli Leaves	919 ± 170	5239 ± 471	< 19	< 21	< 21	< 57	< 18	< 19
	09/24/12	Cauliflower Leaves	480 ± 130	6056 ± 372	< 16	< 17	< 19	< 53	< 15	< 18
	09/24/12	Red Beet Leaves	514 ± 191	10080 ± 519	< 18	< 19	< 27	< 55	< 15	< 20
	MEAN		425 ± 534	6372 ± 6613	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE C-IX.1 QUARTERLY OSLD RESULTS FOR PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

STATION CODE	MEAN ± 2 S.D.	JAN - MAR	APR - JUN	JUL - SEP	OCT - DEC	
2	3.4 ± 1.4	2.5	4.0	3.8	3.5	
5	2.8 ± 1.1	2.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	
14	3.2 ± 1.3	2.2	3.6	3.5	3.4	
15	3.6 ± 1.3	2.9	4.4	3.6	3.5	
16	3.6 ± 1.5	2.5	4.3	3.7	3.7	
17	4.8 ± 1.9	3.9	6.1	4.7	4.7	
18	3.7 ± 1.6	2.7	4.6	3.8	3.6	
19	2.7 ± 1.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	
1A	3.0 ± 1.2	2.5	3.9	2.8	3.0	
1B	2.4 ± 1.2	1.5	2.6	2.7	2.7	
1C	3.7 ± 1.8	2.5	4.6	3.9	3.7	
1D	3.4 ± 1.3	2.6	4.1	3.6	3.2	
1E	3.2 ± 1.0	2.5	3.4	3.6	3.5	
1F	4.7 ± 1.3	3.9	5.4	4.7	4.9	
1G	1.5 ± 1.1	0.8	1.9	1.7	1.8	
1H	3.5 ± 0.9	2.9	3.7	3.9	3.4	
11	2.7 ± 1.6	1.6	3.5	3.1	2.8	
1J	4.7 ± 2.0	3.5	5.9	4.8	4.8	
1K	4.6 ± 1.8	3.5	5.7	4.4	4.7	
1L	1.4 ± 0.5	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.2	
1M	0.1 ± 0.6	0.0	0.1	0.5	-0.1	
1P	0.8 ± 0.7	0.4	0.9	1.2	0.9	
1Q	1.7 ± 0.9	1.3	2.1	2.0	1.4	
1R	5.9 ± 1.1	5.3	6.5	5.9	5.8	
22	4.0 ± 1.5	3.6	4.8	(1)	3.5	
23	4.0 ± 1.2	3.2	4.5	4.2	4.0	
24	2.1 ± 0.9	1.5	2.5	2.2	2.1	
26	4.7 ± 1.3	4.1	5.6	4.7	4.5	
27	3.8 ± 1.4	2.9	4.4	4.3	3.8	
2B	3.1 ± 1.2	2.2	3.6	3.4	3.1	
32	4.3 ± 1.3	3.4	4.8	4.5	4.4	
3A	1.3 ± 0.6	1.0	1.1	1.6	1.4	
40	4.7 ± 1.4	3.7	5.3	5.0	4.9	
42	2.7 ± 0.6	2.5	2.5	2.5	3.1	
43	4.6 ± 1.2	3.9	5.3	4.8	4.4	
44	3.1 ± 0.8	2.5	3.4	3.2	3.1	
45	4.0 ± 1.6	3.0	4.9	4.2	3.8	
46	2.5 ± 1.5	1.5	2.8	3.2	2.7	
47	4.4 ± 1.3	3.6	5.0	4.9	4.3	
48	4.0 ± 1.8	2.8	4.9	4.2	4.1	
49	3.5 ± 1.4	2.7	4.2	3.9	3.2	
4K	0.6 ± 1.4	-0.3	0.7	1.4	0.4	
50	4.9 ± 1.3	4.1	5.5	5.0	5.1	

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MREM/STD. MONTH ± STANDARD DEVIATIONS

(1) SEE PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS SECTION FOR EXPLANATION

TABLE C-IX.1 QUARTERLY OSLD RESULTS FOR PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

....

STATION CODE	MEAN ± 2 S.D.	JAN - MAR	APR - JUN	JUL - SEP	OCT - DEC	
51	3.8 ± 1.2	3.0	4.4	3.8	3.9	
6B	2.3 ± 1.2	1.5	2.9	2.3	2.5	
1NN	4.3 ± 1.4	3.4	5.0	4.6	4.1	
31A	2.3 ± 1.1	1.5	2.6	2.6	2.5	

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MREM/STD. MONTH ± STANDARD DEVIATIONS

.

TABLE C-IX.2MEAN QUARTERLY OSLD RESULTS FOR THE SITE BOUNDARY,
INTERMEDIATE AND CONTROL LOCATIONS FOR PEACH BOTTOM
ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MREM/ MONTH \pm STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF THE STATION DATA

COLLECTION	SITE BOUNDARY	INTERMEDIATE	CONTROL
PERIOD	± 2 S.D.		
JAN-MAR	2.4 ± 2.6	2.6 ± 2.2	2.1 ± 1.1
APR-JUN	3.7 ± 3.5	4.0 ± 2.8	3.6 ± 2.0
JUL-SEP	3.4 ± 2.8	3.6 ± 2.1	3.2 ± 1.5
OCT-DEC	3.2 ± 3.1	3.4 ± 2.2	3.1 ± 1.5

TABLE C-IX.3SUMMARY OF THE AMBIENT DOSIMETRY PROGRAM FOR PEACH BOTTOM
ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF MREM/STD. MONTH

LOCATION	SAMPLES	PERIOD	PERIOD	PERIOD MEAN
	ANALYZED	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	± 2 S.D.
SITE BOUNDARY	80	-0.1	6.5	3.1 ± 3.1
INTERMEDIATE	91	-0.3	6.1	3.4 ± 2.5
CONTROL	16	1.5	4.6	3.0 ± 1.8

SITE BOUNDARY STATIONS - 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 1L, 1M, 1NN, 1P, 1Q, 1R, 2, 2B, 40

INTERMEDIATE STATIONS - 14, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31A, 32, 3A, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 4K, 5, 50, 51, 6B

CONTROL STATIONS - 16, 18, 19, 24





PBAPS changed to total gross beta at the beginning of 2005. Previous data included summation of less than values.





FIGURE C-3 MEAN SEMI-ANNUAL CS-137 CONCENTRATIONS IN SEDIMENT SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PBAPS, 1971 – 2012



No sample collected from Station 4J in 1990 and Station 4D discontinued beginning 1991






E-3 pCi/cubic meter

YEAR





FIGURE C-7

C-29

YEAR





APPENDIX D

DATA TABLES AND FIGURES QC LABORATORY

TABLE D-I.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA INSOLUBLE IN DRINKING WATER
SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, 2012

COLLECTION PERIOD	4L	
JAN	< 1.9	
FEB	< 1.9	
MAR	< 1.9	
APR	< 1.3	
MAY	< 1.9	
JUN	< 2.0	
JUL	< 2.0	
AUG	< 2.0	
SEP	< 1.7	
OCT	< 2.0	
NOV	< 1.7	
DEC	< 1.9	
MEAN	-	

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE D-I.2CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA SOLUBLE IN DRINKING WATER
SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION PERIOD	4L	
JAN	1.3 ± 0.7	
FEB	< 1.8	
MAR	< 1.3	
APR	< 1.8	
MAY	< 1.3	
JUN	1.7 ± 0.8	
JUL	2.0 ± 0.9	
AUG	2.5 ± 1.0	
SEP	2.0 ± 1.0	
OCT	1.3 ± 0.6	
NOV	2.2 ± 1.0	
DEC	< 1.7	
MEAN	1.9 ± 0.9	

* THE MEAN AND 2 STANDARD DEVIATION VALUES ARE CALCULATED USING THE POSITIVE VALUES

TABLE D-I.3CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION	4L.	
JAN-MAR	< 152	
APR-JUN	< 145	
JUL-SEP	< 152	
OCT-DEC	< 139	
MEAN	-	

TABLE D-I.4CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

COLLECTION PERIOD	4L	
JAN	< 0.4	
FEB	< 0.3	
MAR	< 0.2	
APR	< 0.3	
MAY	< 0.2	
JUN	< 0.3	
JUL	< 0.3	
AUG	< 0.2	
SEP	< 0.4	
OCT	< 0.4	
NOV	< 0.3	
DEC	< 0.3	
MEAN	-	

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	Mn-54	Fe-59	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Zr-95	Nb-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
4L	JAN	< 2	< 7	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 2	< 13	< 2
	FEB	< 3	< 5	< 2	< 2	< 4	< 4	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 12	< 1
	MAR	< 3	< 5	< 4	< 3	< 3	< 4	< 3	< 4	< 4	< 12	< 2
	APR	< 2	< 5	< 3	< 2	< 5	< 5	< 3	< 4	< 2	< 21	< 3
	MAY	< 3	< 7	< 2	< 1	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 17	< 3
	JUN	< 1	< 5	< 1	< 1	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 1	< 1	< 16	< 6
	JUL	< 2	< 9	< 2	< 2	< 3	< 6	< 3	< 2	< 3	< 26	< 7
	AUG	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 2	< 5	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 19	< 5
	SEP	< 2	< 8	< 2	< 3	< 3	< 5	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 13	< 4
	OCT	< 2	< 4	< 1	< 2	< 4	< 3	< 3	< 2	< 2	< 13	< 3
	NOV	< 5	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 14	< 3
	DEC	< 2	< 7	< 3	< 3	< 4	< 4	< 3	< 3	< 2	< 12	< 2
	MEAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

TABLE D-1.5CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED
IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE D-II.1CONCENTRATIONS OF GROSS BETA INSOLUBLE IN AIR PARTICULATE AND I-131IN AIR IODINE SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM
ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

1 27 ± 4 < 24 2 35 ± 5 < 23 3 21 ± 4 < 24 4 27 ± 5 < 20 5 29 ± 4 < 24 6 30 ± 4 < 13 7 20 ± 4 < 17 8 27 ± 4 < 20 9 21 ± 4 < 19 10 24 ± 4 < 16 11 28 ± 5 < 15 12 20 ± 4 < 20 13 21 ± 4 < 19 14 15 ± 4 < 21 15 18 ± 4 < 14 16 27 ± 4 < 12 17 16 ± 4 < 30 18 28 ± 4 < 18 19 21 ± 4 < 125 21 17 ± 5 < 25 22 20 ± 4 < 24 24 25 ± 5 < 23 25 18 ± 4 < 18 30 20 ± 4 < 24 28 29 ± 4 <th>COLLECTION PERIOD</th> <th>1A GROSS BETA</th> <th>1A I-131</th>	COLLECTION PERIOD	1A GROSS BETA	1A I-131
2 35 ± 5 < 23 3 21 ± 4 < 24 4 27 ± 5 < 20 5 29 ± 4 < 24 6 30 ± 4 < 13 7 20 ± 4 < 17 8 27 ± 4 < 20 9 21 ± 4 < 16 11 28 ± 5 < 15 12 20 ± 4 < 20 13 21 ± 4 < 19 14 15 ± 4 < 21 15 18 ± 4 < 14 16 27 ± 4 < 15 17 16 ± 4 < 30 18 28 ± 4 < 14 20 21 ± 4 < 25 23 16 ± 4 < 20 21 17 ± 5 < 25 23 16 ± 4 < 24 24 25 ± 5 < 23 25 18 ± 4 < 18 26 27 ± 4 < 17 33 26 ± 4 < 17 34 \pm 4 < 18 <	1	27 ± 4	< 24
3 21 ± 4 $<$ < 24 4 27 ± 5 < 20 5 29 ± 4 < 24 6 30 ± 4 < 13 7 20 ± 4 < 17 8 27 ± 4 < 20 9 21 ± 4 < 19 10 24 ± 4 < 16 11 28 ± 5 < 15 12 20 ± 4 < 20 13 21 ± 4 < 19 14 15 ± 4 < 21 15 18 ± 4 < 14 16 27 ± 4 < 15 17 16 ± 4 < 30 18 28 ± 4 < 18 19 21 ± 4 < 14 20 21 ± 4 < 22 21 17 ± 5 < 25 22 20 ± 4 < 24 24 25 ± 5 < 23 25 18 ± 4 < 18 26 27 ± 4 < 17 31 25 ± 4 < 17 32 26 ± 4 < 11 33 26 ± 4 < 11 34 36 ± 5 < 14 35 35 ± 4 < 24 36 42 ± 5 < 22 37 25 ± 4 < 17 39 29 ± 4 < 11 43 40 ± 5 < 22 44 21 ± 4 < 18 45 16 ± 4 < 22 37 25 ± 4 < 11 33 30 ± 5 < 13 44 22 ± 5 < 22 47 < 19 44 < 22 46 $35 \pm $	2	35 ± 5	< 23
4 27 ± 5 < 20 5 29 ± 4 < 24 6 30 ± 4 < 13 7 20 ± 4 < 17 8 27 ± 4 < 20 9 21 ± 4 < 19 10 24 ± 4 < 16 11 28 ± 5 < 15 12 20 ± 4 < 20 13 21 ± 4 < 19 14 15 ± 4 < 21 15 18 ± 4 < 11 16 27 ± 4 < 15 17 16 ± 4 < 30 18 28 ± 4 < 18 19 21 ± 4 < 14 20 21 ± 4 < 25 23 16 ± 4 < 22 24 25 ± 5 < 23 25 18 ± 4 < 18 26 27 ± 4 < 17 31 25 ± 4 < 17 32 26 ± 4 < 11 33 26 ± 4 < 17 34 36 ± 5 </td <td>3</td> <td>21 ± 4</td> <td>< 24</td>	3	21 ± 4	< 24
1 1 1 2 2 6 30 ± 4 <13	4	27 ± 5	< 20
0 10 14 < 13 7 20 14 < 13 7 20 14 < 19 9 21 14 < 19 10 24 14 < 16 11 28 5 < 15 12 20 14 < 20 13 21 14 < 19 14 15 18 4 < 14 16 27 14 < 14 < 12 17 16 4 < 20 < 14 < 14 10 21 14 < 14 < 21 17 16 4 < 20 < 14 < 14 20 21 14 < 14 < 20 < 21 21 17 5 < 25 $> 22 > 20 = 4 < 21 21 17 5 < 23 > 23 > 25 > 23 > 23 > 23 > 23 > 24$	5	29 + 4	< 24
0 30 ± 4 < 17 8 27 ± 4 < 20 9 21 ± 4 < 16 11 28 ± 5 < 15 12 20 ± 4 < 20 13 21 ± 4 < 19 14 15 ± 4 < 21 15 18 ± 4 < 14 16 27 ± 4 < 15 17 16 ± 4 < 30 18 28 ± 4 < 14 20 21 ± 4 < 14 20 21 ± 4 < 20 21 17 ± 5 < 25 22 20 ± 4 < 25 23 16 ± 4 < 24 24 25 ± 5 < 23 25 18 ± 4 < 18 26 27 ± 4 < 17 30 20 ± 4 < 23 29 34 ± 4 < 18 30 20 ± 4 < 17 31 25 ± 4 < 17 32 26 ± 4 < 11 33 36 ± 5	6	30 ± 4	< 13
1 10 14 < 20 9 21 ± 4 <16	7	20 ± 4	< 17
0 21 ± 4 <10 9 21 ± 4 <10 10 24 ± 4 <16 11 28 ± 5 <15 12 20 ± 4 <20 13 21 ± 4 <19 14 15 ± 4 <21 15 18 ± 4 <14 16 27 ± 4 <15 17 16 ± 4 <30 18 28 ± 4 <18 19 21 ± 4 <14 20 21 ± 4 <25 23 16 ± 4 <22 24 25 ± 5 <23 25 18 ± 4 <18 26 27 ± 4 <17 27 37 ± 5 <24 28 29 ± 4 <23 29 34 ± 4 <18 30 20 ± 4 <17 32 26 ± 4 <11 33 26 ± 4 <11 34 36 ± 5 <14 35 55 ± 4 <22 <	8	27 + 4	< 20
3 21 ± 4 <16	0	21 ± 4	< 19
10 24 ± 5 <15	10	24 + 4	< 16
11 20 ± 4 < 20	11	27 ± 7 28 + 5	< 15
12 20 1 4 <19	12	20 ± 3	< 20
1321141514 $<$ $<$ 1415 \pm 4 $<$ 141627 \pm 4 $<$ 151716 \pm 4 $<$ 301828 \pm 4181921 \pm 4 $<$ 142021 \pm 4 $<$ 202117 \pm 5 $<$ 252220 \pm 4 $<$ 252316 \pm 4 $<$ 242425 \pm 5 $<$ 232518 \pm 4 $<$ 182627 \pm 4 $<$ 172737 \pm 5 $<$ 242829 \pm 4 $<$ 183020 \pm 4 $<$ 173125 \pm 4 $<$ 113326 \pm 4 $<$ 113436 \pm 5 $<$ 143535 \pm 4 $<$ 203821 \pm 4 $<$ 173929 \pm 4 $<$ 134227 \pm 4 $<$ 194340 \pm $<$ 194421 \pm 4 $<$ 184516 \pm $<$ 224635 \pm 5 $<$ 21 <tr< td=""><td>12</td><td>20 ± 4</td><td>< 19</td></tr<>	12	20 ± 4	< 19
14 10 14 10 14 14 15 18 18 4 <15	14	15 + 4	< 21
151627 \pm 4< 141627 \pm 4< 15	14	10 ± 4	< 14
1627141716±4< 30	10	27 + 4	< 15
1716141828 \pm 4< 18	10	27 1 4	< 19
102021 \pm < 101921 \pm 4< 14	17		< 18
1921 ± 4< 142021 ± 4< 20	18	20 I 4	< 18
202117t5<2252117t5<	19	21 ± 4	< 14
21 17 ± 5 < 25	20	21 ± 4	< 20
22 20 ± 4 < 25 2316 ± 4 < 24 24 25 ± 5 < 23 2518 ± 4 < 18 26 27 ± 4 < 17 27 37 ± 5 < 24 28 29 ± 4 < 23 29 34 ± 4 < 18 30 20 ± 4 < 17 31 25 ± 4 < 17 32 26 ± 4 < 11 33 26 ± 4 < 13 34 36 ± 5 < 14 35 35 ± 4 < 22 37 25 ± 4 < 17 39 29 ± 4 < 11 40 29 ± 4 < 11 41 37 ± 5 < 19 43 40 ± 5 < 19 44 21 ± 4 < 18 45 16 ± 4 < 22 46 35 ± 5 < 17 47 40 ± 5 < 22 48 44 ± 4 < 23 49 38 ± 4 < 12 50 26 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 25 52 26 ± 4 < 13 53 33 ± 5 < 17	21	17 ± 5	< 25
2316 ± 4< 24	22	20 ± 4	< 25
2425 \pm 5< < 23	23	16 ± 4	< 24
2518 \pm 4< 18	24	25 ± 5	< 23
26 27 ± 4 <17 27 37 ± 5 <24 28 29 ± 4 <23 29 34 ± 4 <18 30 20 ± 4 <17 31 25 ± 4 <17 32 26 ± 4 <11 33 26 ± 4 <11 34 36 ± 5 <14 35 35 ± 4 <24 36 42 ± 5 <22 37 25 ± 4 <20 38 21 ± 4 <17 39 29 ± 4 <11 40 29 ± 4 <11 41 37 ± 5 <13 42 27 ± 4 <19 43 40 ± 5 <19 44 21 ± 4 <18 45 16 ± 4 <22 46 35 ± 5 <17 47 40 ± 5 <22 48 44 ± 4 <23 49 38 ± 4 <12 50 26 ± 5 <27 51 38 ± 5 <25 52 26 ± 4 <13 53 33 ± 5 <17	25	18 ± 4	< 18
27 37 ± 5 < 24 2829 \pm 4 < 23 29 34 ± 4 < 18 3020 \pm 4 < 17 3125 \pm 4 < 17 3226 \pm 4 < 11 3326 \pm 4 < 11 3436 \pm 5 < 14 3535 \pm 4 < 24 36 42 ± 5 < 22 3725 \pm 4 < 20 3821 \pm 4 < 17 4029 \pm 4 < 11 41 37 ± 5 < 13 4227 \pm 4 < 19 4340 \pm 5 < 19 4421 \pm 4 < 18 4516 \pm 4 < 22 46 35 ± 5 < 17 4740 \pm 5 < 22 48 44 ± 4 < 23 49 38 ± 4 < 12 50 26 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 17 MEAN 27 ± 15 $-$	26	27 ± 4	< 17
2829 ± 4< 23	27	37 ± 5	< 24
29 34 ± 4 < 18	28	29 ± 4	< 23
30 20 ± 4 < 17 31 25 ± 4 < 17 32 26 ± 4 < 11 33 26 ± 4 < 13 34 36 ± 5 < 14 35 35 ± 4 < 24 36 42 ± 5 < 22 37 25 ± 4 < 20 38 21 ± 4 < 17 39 29 ± 4 < 11 40 29 ± 4 < 25 41 37 ± 5 < 13 42 27 ± 4 < 19 43 40 ± 5 < 19 44 21 ± 4 < 18 45 16 ± 4 < 22 46 35 ± 5 < 17 47 40 ± 5 < 22 48 44 ± 4 < 23 49 38 ± 4 < 12 50 26 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 25 52 26 ± 4 < 13 53 33 ± 5 < 17	29	34 ± 4	< 18
3125 \pm 4< 173226 \pm 4< 11	30	20 ± 4	< 17
3226 ± 4< 11	31	25 ± 4	< 17
3326 ± 4< 133436 ± 5< 14	32	26 ± 4	< 11
34 36 ± 5 < 14 35 35 ± 4 < 24	33	26 ± 4	< 13
3535 ± 4 < 243642 ± 5 < 22	34	36 ± 5	< 14
36 42 ± 5 < 22 37 25 ± 4 < 20 38 21 ± 4 < 17 39 29 ± 4 < 11 40 29 ± 4 < 25 41 37 ± 5 < 13 42 27 ± 4 < 19 43 40 ± 5 < 19 44 21 ± 4 < 18 45 16 ± 4 < 22 46 35 ± 5 < 17 47 40 ± 5 < 22 48 44 ± 4 < 23 49 38 ± 4 < 12 50 26 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 25 52 26 ± 4 < 13 53 33 ± 5 < 17	35	35 ± 4	< 24
37 25 ± 4 < 20 38 21 ± 4 < 17 39 29 ± 4 < 11 40 29 ± 4 < 25 41 37 ± 5 < 13 42 27 ± 4 < 19 43 40 ± 5 < 19 43 40 ± 5 < 19 44 21 ± 4 < 18 45 16 ± 4 < 22 46 35 ± 5 < 17 47 40 ± 5 < 22 48 44 ± 4 < 23 49 38 ± 4 < 12 50 26 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 25 52 26 ± 4 < 13 53 33 ± 5 < 17	36	42 ± 5	< 22
38 21 ± 4 < 1739 29 ± 4 < 11	37	25 ± 4	< 20
3929 ± 4< 114029 ± 4< 25	38	21 ± 4	< 17
4029 ± 4< 254137 ± 5< 13	39	29 ± 4	< 11
41 37 ± 5 < 1342 27 ± 4 < 19	40	29 ± 4	< 25
4227 \pm 4< 194340 \pm 5< 19	41	37 ± 5	< 13
4340 \pm 5< 194421 \pm 4< 18	42	27 ± 4	< 19
4421 \pm 4< 184516 \pm 4< 22	43	40 ± 5	< 19
4516 \pm 4< 224635 \pm 5< 17	44	21 ± 4	< 18
46 35 ± 5 < 174740 ± 5 < 22	45	16 ± 4	< 22
4740 \pm 5< 224844 \pm 4< 23	46	35 ± 5	< 17
4844 \pm 4< 234938 \pm 4< 12	47	40 ± 5	< 22
49 38 ± 4 < 1250 26 ± 5 < 27	48	44 ± 4	< 23
50 26 ± 5 < 27 51 38 ± 5 < 25 52 26 ± 4 < 13 53 33 ± 5 < 17 MEAN 27 ± 15 -	49	38 ± 4	< 12
51 38 ± 5 < 25 52 26 ± 4 < 13 53 33 ± 5 < 17 MEAN 27 ± 15 -	50	26 ± 5	< 27
52 26 ± 4 < 13	51	38 ± 5	< 25
53	52	26 ± 4	< 13
MEAN 27 ± 15 -	53	$\frac{-2}{33 \pm 5}$	< 17
MEAN 27 ± 15 -			· · · ·
	MEAN	27 ± 15	-

TABLE D-II.2CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN AIR PARTICULATE
SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC
POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF E-3 PCI/CU METER ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	Be-7	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	
1A	12/29/11 - 03/29/12	63 ± 17	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.7	
	03/29/11 - 06/28/12	85 ± 18	< 0.6	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.8	
	06/28/11 - 09/27/12	84 ± 18	< 0.8	< 0.6	< 1.1	< 0.6	< 0.8	
	09/27/12 - 01/03/13	51 ± 12	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.4	
	MEAN	71 ± 33	-	-	-	-	-	

TABLE D-III.1CONCENTRATIONS OF I-131 BY CHEMICAL SEPARATION AND GAMMA
EMITTERS IN MILK SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM
ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	COLLECTION PERIOD	I-131	K-40	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
J	02/06/12	< 0.3	1393 ± 98	< 2	< 3	< 18	< 5
	05/07/12	< 0.4	1323 ± 111	< 3	< 4	< 30	< 6
	08/13/12	< 0.3	1454 ± 111	< 3	< 3	< 32	< 3
	11/05/12	< 0.5	1231 ± 92	< 3	< 3	< 41	< 11
	MEAN	-	1350 ± 192	-	-	-	-
s	02/06/12	< 0.2	1337 ± 123	< 5	< 4	< 8	< 3
	05/07/12	< 0.4	1213 ± 155	< 3	< 6	< 32	< 8
	08/13/12	< 0.2	1495 ± 114	< 4	< 4	< 31	< 2
	11/05/12	< 0.3	1452 ± 111	< 3	< 4	< 51	< 13
	MEAN	-	1374 ± 253	-	-	-	-
.,	00100110						
v	02/06/12	< 0.3	1313 ± 96	< 2	< 2	< 12	< 4
	05/07/12	< 0.4	1416 ± 131	< 5	< 4	< 30	< 3
	08/13/12	< 0.4	1336 ± 111	< 2	< 2	< 30	< 6
	11/05/12	< 0.4	1293 ± 91	< 3	< 3	< 29	< 4
	MEAN	-	1340 ± 108	-	-	-	-

.

TABLE D-IV.1SUMMARY OF COLLECTION DATES FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED IN
THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

DRINKING WATER (GROSS BETA & GAMMA SPECTROSCOPY)

COLLECTION			
PERIOD	4L		
JAN	12/29/11 - 02/02/12		
FEB	02/02/12 - 03/01/12		
MAR	03/01/12 - 03/29/12		
APR	03/29/12 - 05/03/12		
MAY	05/03/12 - 05/31/12		
JUN	05/31/12 - 06/28/12		
JUL	06/28/12 - 08/02/12		
AUG	08/02/12 - 08/30/12		
SEP	08/30/12 - 09/27/12		
OCT	09/27/12 - 11/01/12		
NOV	11/01/12 - 11/29/12		
DEC	11/29/12 - 01/03/13		

AIR PARTICULATE (GAMMA SPECTROSCOPY)

COLLECTION PERIOD	1A	
JAN-MAR	12/29/11 - 03/29/12	
APR-JUN	03/29/12 - 06/28/12	
JUL-SEP	06/28/12 - 09/27/12	
OCT-DEC	09/27/12 - 01/03/13	

AIR PARTICULATE (GROSS BETA) AND AIR IODINE (I-131)

COLLECTION		COLLECTION	
PERIOD	1A	PERIOD	1A
1	12/29/12 - 01/05/12	27	06/28/12 - 07/05/12
2	01/05/12 - 01/12/12	28	07/05/12 - 07/12/12
3	01/12/12 - 01/19/12	29	07/12/12 - 07/19/12
4	01/19/12 - 01/26/12	. 30	07/19/12 - 07/26/12
5	01/26/12 - 02/02/12	31	07/26/12 - 08/02/12
6	02/02/12 - 02/09/12	32	08/02/12 - 08/09/12
7	02/09/12 - 02/16/12	33	08/09/12 - 08/16/12
8	02/16/12 - 02/23/12	34	08/16/12 - 08/23/12
9	02/23/12 - 03/01/12	35	08/23/12 - 08/30/12
10	03/01/12 - 03/08/12	36	08/30/12 - 09/06/12
11	03/08/12 - 03/15/12	37	09/06/12 - 09/13/12
12	03/15/12 - 03/22/12	38	09/13/12 - 09/20/12
13	03/22/12 - 03/29/12	39	09/20/12 - 09/27/12
14	03/29/12 - 04/05/12	40	09/27/12 - 10/04/12
15	04/05/12 - 04/12/12	41	10/04/12 - 10/11/12
16	04/12/12 - 04/19/12	42	10/11/12 - 10/19/12
17	04/19/12 - 04/26/12	43	10/19/12 - 10/25/12
18	04/26/12 - 05/03/12	44	10/25/12 - 11/01/12
19	05/03/12 - 05/10/12	45	11/01/12 - 11/08/12
20	05/10/12 - 05/17/12	46	11/08/12 - 11/15/12
21	05/17/12 - 05/23/12	47	11/15/12 - 11/21/12
22	05/23/12 - 05/31/12	48	11/21/12 - 11/29/12
23	05/31/12 - 06/07/12	49	11/29/12 - 12/07/12
24	06/07/12 - 06/14/12	50	12/07/12 - 12/13/12
25	06/14/12 - 06/21/12	51	12/13/12 - 12/20/12
26	06/21/12 - 06/28/12	52	12/20/12 - 12/28/12
		53	12/28/12 - 01/03/13





ENVIRONMENTAL INC. SOLUBLE AND MONTH INSOLUBLE FRACTIONSWERE

D-8



D-9

APPENDIX E

QUALITY CONTROL INTER-LABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

	Identification				Reported	Known	Ratio (c)	
Month/Year	Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	TBE/Analytics	Evaluation (d)
March 2012	E10066	Milk	Sr-80	nCi/l	101	94.8	1.07	Δ
	210000	IVIIIX	Sr-90	pCi/L	11.7	13.5	0.87	A
			0,00	P 0.1 -			••••	
	E10067	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	87.5	92.5	0.95	А
			Ce-141	pCi/L	247	260	0.95	Α
			Cr-51	pCi/L	435	436	1.00	А
			Cs-134	pCi/L	133	149	0.89	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	156	159	0.98	A
			Co-58	pCi/L	127	132	0.96	Α
			Mn-54	pCi/L	190	195	0.97	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	179	168	1.07	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	327	333	0.98	A
			Co-60	pCi/L	2/4	279	0.98	A
	E10069	AP	Ce-141	pCi	167	164	1.02	А
			Cr-51	pCi	310	276	1.12	А
			Cs-134	pCi	107	94.5	1.13	А
			Cs-137	pCi	109	101	1.08	А
			Co-58	pCi	87.6	83.5	1.05	А
			Mn-54	pCi	133	123	1.08	Α
			Fe-59	pCi	113	106	1.07	А
			Zn-65	pCi	226	210	1.08	А
			Co-60	pCi	185	176	1.05	A
	E10068	Charcoal	I-131	рСі	92.8	94.2	0.99	А
	E10070	Water	Fe-55	pCi/L	1800	1570	1.15	А
June 2012	F10198	Milk	Sr-89	nCi/l	86 1	99.8	0.86	Α
	210100		Sr-90	pCi/L	9.2	12.7	0.72	Ŵ
	E10199	Milk	I-131	pCi/L	88.9	99.7	0.89	A
			Ce-141	pCi/L	72.8	82.2	0.89	A
			Cr-51	pCi/L	394	402	0.98	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	159	174	0.91	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	206	212	0.97	A
			Co-58	pCi/L	89.5	92.3	0.97	A
			Mn-54	pCi/L	129	132	0.98	A
			Fe-59	pCi/L	129	128	1.01	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	193	199	0.97	A
			CO-60	p⊂i/L	342	355	0.96	А
	E10201	AP	Ce-141	pCi	73.2	75.1	0.97	Α
			Cr-51	pCi	367	366	1.00	Α
			Cs-134	pCi	165	159	1.04	Α
			Cs-137	pCi	205	193	1.06	Α
			Co-58	pCi	84.7	84.2	1.01	Α
			Mn-54	pCi	118	121	0.98	Α
			Fe-59	pCi	125	117	1.07	Α
			Zn-65	рСі	181	182	0.99	Α
			Co-60	pCi	338	324	1.04	Α
	E10200	Charcoal	I-131	pCi	101	96.6	1.05	Α

ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2012 (PAGE 1 OF 3)

ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2012 (PAGE 2 OF 3)

Identification Reported Ratio (c) Known Value (a) Value (b) Evaluation (d) Month/Year Number Matrix Nuclide Units TBE/Analytics June 2012 E10202 Water Fe-55 pCi/L 1890 1580 1.20 А September 2012 E10296 Milk Sr-89 pCi/L 106 99.6 1.06 Α Sr-90 pCi/L 13.6 16.0 0.85 А 89.8 E10297 Milk 1-131 pCi/L 99.6 0.90 А Ce-141 pCi/L 160 164 0.98 А pCi/L Cr-51 230 248 0.93 А Cs-134 pCi/L 101 108 0.94 А Cs-137 pCi/L 174 174 1.00 Α Co-58 pCi/L 97.2 100 0.97 Α Mn-54 pCi/L 188 196 0.96 Α Fe-59 pCi/L 159 152 1.05 Α Zn-65 pCi/L 195 192 1.02 Α Co-60 pCi/L 155 152 1.02 А E10299 AP рСі Ce-141 145 135 1.07 Α Cr-51 pCi 219 205 A 1.07 Cs-134 pCi 94.1 89.4 Α 1.05 Cs-137 рСі 140 Α 144 0.97 Co-58 88.3 83.0 Α рСі 1.06 Mn-54 рСі Α 173 162 1.07 Fe-59 рСі 136 125 1.09 Α Zn-65 165 Α рСі 159 1.04 Co-60 Α рСі 133 125 1.06 E10298 Charcoal I-131 pCi 95.5 97.2 0.98 Α E10300 Water Fe-55 pCi/L 1630 1900 0.86 Α December 2012 E10334 Milk Sr-89 pCi/L 101 96.6 1.05 Α Sr-90 pCi/L 11.3 0.82 13.8 Α E10335 Milk I-131 90.0 pCi/L 93.1 1.03 Α Ce-141 pCi/L 52.5 51.0 1.03 Α Cr-51 pCi/L 373 348 1.07 Α Cs-134 pCi/L 157 165 0.95 Α Cs-137 pCi/L 113 0.97 Α 117 Co-58 pCi/L 94.1 98.5 Α 0.96 Mn-54 pCi/L 116 116 1.00 Α Fe-59 pCi/L 124 116 1.07 Α Zn-65 pCi/L 190 186 1.02 Α Co-60 pCi/L 172 170 1.01 Α AP E10337A Ce-141 pCi 51.8 49.6 1.04 Α Cr-51 pCi 372 338 1.10 Α Cs-134 pCi 165 161 1.02 Α Cs-137 pCi 113 114 0.99 Α Co-58 pCi 96.5 95.8 1.01 Α Mn-54 pCi Α 118 112 1.05 pCi Fe-59 105 112 0.94 Α Zn-65 pCi 166 181 0.92 Α Co-60 pCi 179 165 1.08 Α

ANALYTICS ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2012 (PAGE 3 OF 3)

Month/Year	Identification Number	Matrix	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Ratio (c) TBE/Analytics	Evaluation (d)
December 2012	E10336	Charcoal	I-131	рСі	73.1	72.7	1.01	А
	E10333	Water	Fe-55	pCi/L	1550	1750	0.89	А

(a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.

(c) Ratio of Teledyne Brown Engineering to Analytics results.

⁽b) The Analytics known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

⁽d) Analytics evaluation based on TBE internal QC limits: A= Acceptable. Reported result falls within ratio limits of 0.80-1.20. W-Acceptable with warning. Reported result falls within 0.70-0.80 or 1.20-1.30. N = Not Acceptable. Reported result falls outside the ratio limits of < 0.70 and > 1.30.

	Identification				Reported	Known	Acceptance	
Month/Year	Number	Media	Nuclide	Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	Limits	Evaluation (c)
	BAB 66		0.00	0.4	00 4	50 F	40.0.00.0	•
May 2012	RAD-89	Water	Sr-89	pCi/L	63.4	58.5	46.9 - 66.3	A
			Sr-90	pCi/L	33.5	37.4	27.4 - 43.1	A
			Ba-133	pCi/L	89.2	82.3	69.1 - 90.5	A
			Cs-134	pCi/L	66.5	74.2	60.6 - 81.6	A
			Cs-137	pCi/L	152	155	140 - 172	Α
			Co-60	pCi/L	73.3	72.9	65.6 - 82.6	A
			Zn-65	pCi/L	109	105	94.5 - 125	Α
			Gr-A	pCi/L	82.4	62.9	33.0 - 78.0	N (1)
			Gr-B	pCi/L	43.6	44.2	29.6 - 51.5	Α
			I-131	pCi/L	25.9	27.1	22.5 - 31.9	Α
			H-3	pCi/L	15433	15800	13800 - 17400	Α
	MRAD-16	Filter	Gr-A	pCi/filter	39.5	77.8	26.1 - 121	А
November, 2012	RAD-91	Water	Sr-89	pCi/L	46.5	39.1	29.7 - 46.1	N (2)
			Sr-90	pCi/L	16.6	20.1	14.4 - 23.8	Α
			Ba-133	pCi/L	85.2	84.8	71.3 - 93.3	Α
			Cs-134	pCi/L	76.9	76.6	62.6 - 84.3	Α
			Cs-137	pCi/L	177	183	165 - 203	Α
			Co-60	pCi/L	77.4	78.3	70.5 - 88.5	Α
			Zn-65	pCi/L	209	204	184 - 240	Α
			Gr-A	pCi/L	50.6	58.6	30.6 - 72.9	Α
			Gr-B	pCi/L	59.3	39.2	26.0 - 46.7	N (2)
			I-131	pCi/L	22.9	24.8	20.6 - 29.4	A
			H-3	pCi/L	5020	4890	4190 - 5380	А
	MRAD-17	Filter	Gr-A	pCi/filter	59.6	87.5	29.3 - 136	А

ERA ENVIRONMENTAL RADIOACTIVITY CROSS CHECK PROGRAM TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2012

(PAGE 1 OF 1)

(1) Detector G1 is slightly biased high for Th-230 based measurements used only for ERA Gross Alpha samples. NCR 12-05

(2) The Sr-89 found to known ratio was 1.19, which TBE considers acceptable. It appears the aliquot was entered incorrectly

for the Gross Beta NCR 12-13

(b) The ERA known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) ERA evaluation: A=acceptable. Reported result falls within the Warning Limits. NA=not acceptable. Reported result falls outside of the Control Limits. CE=check for Error. Reported result falls within the Control Limits and outside of the Warning Limit.

DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2012 (PAGE 1 OF 2)

	Identification				Reported	Known	Acceptance	
Month/Year	Number	Media	Nuclide	Units	Value (a)	Value (b)	Range	Evaluation (c)
Marah 2012	12 MoW26	Watar	Co 124	Ra/I	0.0045		(4)	۵
March 2012	12-11/12/120	vvalei	Cs-134	Bq/L Bg/l	27.5	30.0	(') 27 0 - 51 0	
			Co 57	Bq/L	30.9	32.9	27.9-01.9	~
			C0-57	Bq/L Ba/l	30.0	32.9	16 60 20 94	~
			0-00	Dq/L Da/l	22.4	23.72	10.00 - 30.04	A .
			H-3	Bq/L Ba/l	400	437	300 - 300	A
			Mn-54	Bq/L	31.0	31.8	22.3 - 41.3	A
			K-40	Bd/L	144	142	99 - 185	A
,			Sr-90	Bd/L	-0.0084		(1)	A
			Zn-65	Bq/L	-0.369		(1)	A
	12-GrW26	Water	Gr-A	Bq/L	2.06	2.14	0.64 - 3.64	Α
			Gr-B	Bq/L	7.48	6.36	3.18 - 9.54	Α
	12-MaS26	Soil	Cs-134	Ba/ka	831	828	580 - 1076	Α
			Cs-137	Ba/ka	0.145		(1)	Α
			Co-57	Ba/ka	1270	1179	825 - 1533	A
			Co-60	Ba/ka	7.61	1.56	(2)	N (3)
			Mn-54	Ba/ka	634	558	391 - 725	Δ
			K 40	Bq/kg	1600	1/01	1044 - 1038	Â
			Sr 00	Bq/kg	228	302	274 - 540	A .
			31-90 7n 65	Bq/kg Ba/ka	753	592	274 - 340 110 - 825	
			20-00	Бүлү	755	042	449 - 033	~
	12-RdF26	AP	Cs-134	Bg/sample	2.31	2.38	1.67 - 3.09	Α
			Cs-137	Ba/sample	2.15	1.79	1.25 - 2.33	w
			Co-57	Bo/sample	-0.0701		(1)	A
			Co-60	Bo/sample	2.62	2,182	1.527 - 2.837	Ŵ
			Mn-54	Bo/sample	4.13	3.24	2.27 - 4.21	Ŵ
			Sr-90	Bo/sample	0.0185		(1)	A
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	4.19	2.9 9	2.09 - 3.89	N (3)
	12 CrE26	٨D	Gr-A	Ba/sample	0 365	12	04-20	Δ
	12-01-20	AF	Gr-R	Bo/sample	2 31	24	12-36	Â
			GI-D	Баларе	2.51	2.4	1.2 - 3.0	~
	12-RdV26	Vegetation	Cs-134	Bq/sample	8.72	8.43	5.90 - 10.96	Α
			Cs-137	Bq/sample	0.0424		(1)	A
			Co-57	Bq/sample	15.5	12.0	8.4 - 15.6	W
			Co-60	Bq/sample	6.80	6.05	4.24 - 7.87	A
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	0.0057		(1)	A
			Sr-90	Bq/sample	2.24	2.11	1.48 - 2.74	Α
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	10.5	8.90	6.23 - 11.57	A
September 2012	12-MaW27	Water	Cs-134	Ba/L	21.4	23.2	16.2 - 30.2	А
			Cs-137	Ba/L	17.0	16.7	11.7 - 21.7	А
			Co-57	Ba/L	28.7	29.3	20.5 - 38.1	A
			Co-60	Ba/l	0 179	-0.0	(1)	A
			H_3	Ba/L	387	334	234 - 434	Δ
			Mn_54	Ba/I	18 1	17 8	12 5 - 23 1	A
			K_10	Bq/L Ba/l	120	12/	QA _ 17A	Δ
			Sr-00	Bq/L	10 6	12.2	85-150	N /A
			Zn-65	Ba/l	27.2	25.9	18.1 - 33.7	Α
				24/2		-0.0		
	12-GrW27	Water	Gr-A	Bq/L	0.966	1.79	0.54 - 3.04	Α
			Gr-B	Bq/L	10.0	9.1	4.6 - 13.7	A

DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP)
TELEDYNE BROWN ENGINEERING, 2012

(PAGE 2 OF 2)

Month/Year	Identification Number	Media	Nuclide	Units	Reported Value (a)	Known Value (b)	Acceptance Range	Evaluation (c)
September 2012	12-MaS27	Soil	Cs-134	Ba/ka	880	939	657 - 1221	А
			Cs-137	Ba/ka	1220	1150	805 - 1495	A
			Co-57	Bg/kg	1330	1316	921 - 1711	А
			Co-60	Bg/kg	552	531	372 - 690	А
			Mn-54	Bq/kg	1000	920	644 - 1196	А
			K-40	Bq/kg	674	632	442 - 822	А
			Sr-90	Bq/kg	528	508	356 - 660	А
			Zn-65	Bq/kg	665	606	424 - 788	Α
	12-RdF27	AP	Cs-134	Ba/sample	2.760	2.74	1.92 - 3.56	А
			Cs-137	Bo/sample	0.0415		(1)	А
			Co-57	Bq/sample	2.00	191.00	1.34 - 2.48	А
			Co-60	Bq/sample	1.78	1.728	1.210 - 2.246	А
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	2.40	2.36	1.65 - 3.07	А
			Sr-90	Bq/sample	0.931	1.03	0.72 - 1.34	А
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	-0.688		(1)	Α
	12-GrF27	AP	Gr-A	Bg/sample	0.434	0.97	0.29 - 1.65	А
			Gr-B	Bq/sample	1.927	1.92	0.96 - 2.88	Α
	12-RdV27	Vegetation	Cs-134	Bg/sample	6.28	6.51	4.56 - 8.46	A
		0	Cs-137	Bq/sample	4.62	4.38	3.07 - 5.69	Α
			Co-57	Bq/sample	6.51	5.66	3.96 - 7.36	А
			Co-60	Bq/sample	5.32	5.12	3.58 - 6.66	А
			Mn-54	Bq/sample	3.59	3.27	2.29 - 4.25	А
			Sr-90	Bq/sample	0.0012		(1)	Α
			Zn-65	Bq/sample	-0.046		(1)	А

(1) False positive test.

(2) Sensitivity evaluation

(3) No cause was found for the failed high soil Co-60 sensitivity test or the high Zn-65 in AP, which TBE considers an anomaly. NCR 12-08

- (4) Sr-90 in water high due to incorrect aliquot entered in LIMS. 12-11
- (a) Teledyne Brown Engineering reported result.
- (b) The MAPEP known value is equal to 100% of the parameter present in the standard as determined by gravimetric and/or volumetric measurements made during standard preparation.

(c) DOE/MAPEP evaluation: A=acceptable, W=acceptable with warning, N=not acceptable.

ERA (a) STATISTICAL SUMMARY PROFICIENCY TESTING PROGRAM^a ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., 2012

		<u> </u>	Concent	ration (pCi/L)		
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	Laboratory	ERA	Control	
			Result ^D	Result ^c	Limits	Acceptance
ERW-1783	04/09/12	Sr-89	62.2 ± 6.0	58.5	46.9 - 66.3	Pass
ERW-1783	04/09/12	Sr-90	33.7 ± 2.1	37.4	27.4 - 43.1	Pass
ERW-1786	04/09/12	Ba-133	75.7 ± 4.1	82.3	69.1 - 90.5	Pass
ERW-1786	04/09/12	Co-60	71.9 ± 4.0	72.9	65.6 - 82.6	Pass
ERW-1786	04/09/12	Cs-134	70.0 ± 4.3	74.2	60.6 - 81.6	Pass
ERW-1786	04/09/12	Cs-137	151.5 ± 6.1	155.0	140.0 - 172.0	Pass
ERW-1786	04/09/12	Zn-65	108.3 ± 89.0	105.0	94.5 - 125.0	Pass
ERW-1789	04/09/12	Gr. Alpha	55.0 ± 2.4	62.9	33.0 - 78.0	Pass
ERW-1789 ^d	04/09/12	Gr. Beta	76.2 ± 1.8	44.2	29.6 - 51.5	Fail
ERW-1798	04/09/12	H-3	16023 ± 355	15800	13800 - 17400	Pass
ERW-6283	10/05/12	Sr-89	41.5 ± 4.1	39.1	29.7 - 46.1	Pass
ERW-6283	10/05/12	Sr-90	19.7 ± 1.6	20.1	14.4 - 23.8	Pass
ERW-6286	10/05/12	Ba-133	82.7 ± 4.4	84.8	71.3 - 93.3	Pass
ERW-6286	10/05/12	Co-60	77.2 ± 3.7	78.3	70.5 - 88.5	Pass
ERW-6286	10/05/12	Cs-134	74.4 ± 1.5	76.6	62.6 - 84.3	Pass
ERW-6286	10/05/12	Cs-137	183.0 ± 6.2	183.0	165.0 - 203.0	Pass
ERW-6286	10/05/12	Zn-65	211.0 ± 9.9	204.0	184.0 - 240.0	Pass
ERW-6288	10/05/12	Gr. Alpha	47.0 ± 2.3	58.6	30.6 - 72.9	Pass
ERW-6288	10/05/12	Gr. Beta	33.4 ± 1.2	39.2	26.0 - 46.7	Pass
ERW-6290	10/05/12	I-131	23.3 ± 1.0	24.8	20.6 - 29.4	Pass

(Page 1 of 1)

^a Results obtained by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory as a participant in the crosscheck program for

proficiency testing in drinking water conducted by Environmental Resources Associates (ERA).

^b Unless otherwise indicated, the laboratory result is given as the mean ± standard deviation for three determinations.

^c Results are presented as the known values, expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as provided by ERA.

^d Result of reanalysis: 38.3 ± 1.3 pCi/L. Sample dilution problem suspected. A new dilution was prepared.

DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., 2012

(Page 1 of 2)

				Concentration	n ^a	
				Known	Control	
Lab Code ^b	Date	Analysis	oratory result	Activity	Limits ^c	Acceptance
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Co-57	1352.10 ± 4.00	1179.00	825.00 - 1533.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Co-60	1.70 ± 0.70	1.56	1.00 - 2.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Cs-134	842.20 ± 4.30	828.00	580.00 - 1076.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Cs-137	0.40 ± 0.90	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	K-40	1729.60 ± 22.20	1491.00	1044.00 - 1938.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Mn-54	647.60 ± 4.20	558.00	391.00 - 725.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Sr-90	383.20 ± 15.30	392.00	274.00 - 510.00	Pass
STSO-1766	02/01/12	Zn-65	766.70 ± 6.70	642.00	449.00 - 835.00	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Co-57	0.010 ± 0.01	0.00	0.000 - 1.00	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Co-60	2.40 ± 0.08	2.18	1.53 - 2.84	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Cs-134	2.33 ± 0.13	2.38	1.67 - 3.09	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Cs-137	2.07 ± 0.10	1.79	1.25 - 2.33	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Mn-54	3.77 ± 0.14	3.24	2.27 - 4.21	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Sr-90	-0.010 ± 0.060	0.000	-0.10 - 0.13	Pass
STAP-1772	02/01/12	Zn-65	3.67 ± 0.20	2.99	2.09 - 3.89	Pass
STAP-1773	02/01/12	Gr. Alpha	0.51 ± 0.05	1.20	0.40 - 2.00	Pass
STAP-1773	02/01/12	Gr. Beta	2.75 ± 0.10	2.40	1.20 - 3.60	Pass
STVE-1776	02/01/12	Co-57	14.57 ± 0.28	12.00	8.40 - 15.60	Pass
STVE-1776	02/01/12	Co-60	6.45 ± 0.23	6.05	4.24 - 7.87	Pass
STVE-1776	02/01/12	Cs-134	8.39 ± 0.29	8.43	5.90 - 10.96	Pass
STVE-1776	02/01/12	Cs-137	0.01 ± 0.09	0.00	0.00 - 0.10	Pass
STVE-1776	02/01/12	Mn-54	0.03 ± 0.08	0.00	0.00 - 0.10	Pass
STVE-1776	02/01/12	Zn-65	10.31 ± 0.67	8.90	6.23 - 11.57	Pass
STW-1960	02/01/12	Gr. Alpha	1.68 ± 0.09	2.14	0.64 - 3.64	Pass
STW-1960	02/01/12	Gr. Beta	6.33 ± 0.10	6.36	3.18 - 9.54	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Co-57	33.30 ± 0.40	32.90	23.00 - 42.80	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Co-60	23.20 ± 0.40	23.72	16.60 - 30.84	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Cs-134	0.30 ± 3.00	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Cs-137	40.10 ± 0.60	39.90	27.90 - 51.90	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	H-3	460.00 ± 12.10	437.00	306.00 - 568.00	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	K-40	153.00 ± 4.20	142.00	99.00 - 185.00	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Mn-54	32.70 ± 0.60	31.80	22.30 - 41.30	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Sr-90	0.10 ± 0.20	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass
STW-1964	02/01/12	Zn-65	0.01 ± 0.20	0.00	0.00 - 1.00	Pass

TABLE E-5 DOE'S MIXED ANALYTE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM (MAPEP) ENVIRONMENTAL, INC., 2012

,

(Page 2 of 2)

•				Concentratio	n ª	<u> </u>
-				Known	Control	
Lab Code	Date	Analysis	oratory result	Activity	Limits ^c	Acceptance
STSO-5302	00/01/10	8-00		500.00		
3130-3392	00/01/12	Sr-90	483.52 ± 16.47	508.00	356.00 - 660.00	Pass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	Co-57	1528.00 ± 4.10	1316.00	921 00 - 1711 00	Pass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	Co-60	592.00 ± 3.20	531.00	372.00 - 690.00	Pass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	Cs-134	933.60 ± 5.82	939.00	657.00 - 1221.00	Dass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	Cs-137	1319.80 ± 5.50	1150.00	805.00 - 1495.00	Pass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	K-40	737.30 ± 17.70	632.00	442 00 - 822 00	Pass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	Mn-54	1083.20 ± 5.20	920.00	644 00 - 1196 00	Pass
STSO-5394	08/01/12	Zn-65	696.10 ± 7.00	606.00	424 00 - 788 00	Pass
			000.10 21.00	000.00	424.00 - 700.00	F 455
STVE-5395 d	08/01/12	Co-57	7.44 ± 0.17	5.66	3.96 - 7.36	Fail
STVE-5395	08/01/12	Co-60	5.90 ± 0.15	5.12	3.58 - 6.66	Pass
STVE-5395	08/01/12	Cs-134	7.40 ± 0.31	6.51	4.56 - 8.46	Pass
STVE-5395	08/01/12	Cs-137	5.45 ± 0.18	4.38	3.07 - 5.69	Pass
STVE-5395	08/01/12	Mn-54	4.06 ± 0.21	3.27	2.29 - 4.25	Pass
STAP-5398	08/01/12	Gr. Alpha	0.41 ± 0.05	0.97	0.29 - 1.65	Pass
STAP-5398	08/01/12	Gr. Beta	2.11 ± 0.09	1.92	0.96 - 2.88	Pass
		_				
STAP-5403	08/01/12	Co-57	1.96 ± 0.05	1.91	1.34 - 2.48	Pass
STAP-5403	08/01/12	Co-60	1.76 ± 0.07	1.73	1.21 - 2.25	Pass
STAP-5403	08/01/12	Cs-134	2.74 ± 0.18	2.74	1.92 - 3.56	Pass
STAP-5403	08/01/12	Cs-137	0.00 ± 0.03	0.00	-0.01 - 0.01	Pass
STAP-5403	08/01/12	Mn-54	2.52 ± 0.10	2.36	1.65 - 3.07	Pass
STAP-5403	08/01/12	Zn-65	0.01 ± 0.06	0.00	-0.010 - 0.010	Pass

^a Results are reported in units of Bq/kg (soil), Bq/L (water) or Bq/total sample (filters, vegetation).

^b Laboratory codes as follows: STW (water), STAP (air filter), STSO (soil), STVE (vegetation).

^d Result of reanalysis; 6.74 ± 0.15 Bq/sample. Gamma emitters for the vegetation matrix exhibited a high bias, only Co-57 exceeded acceptance limits. Recounted using a geometry more closely matched to the MAPEP sample size.

^c MAPEP results are presented as the known values and expected laboratory precision (1 sigma, 1 determination) and control limits as defined by the MAPEP. A known value of "zero" indicates an analysis was included in the testing series as a "false positive". MAPEP does not provide control limits.

APPENDIX F

ERRATA DATA

Errata Data 2012

In 2012, four factors where discovered that impacted the errata data. Those factors are listed as follows:

1. The fish sample point #4 was omitted on REMP maps in 2010 and 2011.

2. On the 2010 REMP map, Farm 11 is misidentified as Farm 12.

3. On the 2010 REMP map, Farm 14 is misidentified as WNWM.

4. Review of the 2012 REMP report number 69, identified that attached Annual Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Report dated May 2012 documented for the period 1 January 2010 through 31 December 2011. This was an annual report and should have been documented for the year 2011.

7

APPENDIX G

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT (ARGPPR)

Docket No:	50-277
	50-278

PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION UNITS 2 and 3

Annual Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Report

1 January 2012 Through 31 December 2012

Prepared By

Teledyne Brown Engineering Environmental Services



Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Delta, PA 17314

Table of Contents

I.	Summary and Conclusions	1
11.	Introduction A. Objectives of the RGPP B. Implementation of the Objectives C. Program Description D. Characteristics of Tritium (H-3)	3 4 4 5
HI.	 Program Description A. Sample Analysis B. Data Interpretation C. Background Analysis 	6 6 7
IV	 Results and Discussion A. Groundwater Results. B. Surface Water Results. C. Precipitation Water Results. D. Drinking Water Well Survey. E. Summary of Results – Inter-laboratory Comparison Program. F. Leaks, Spills, and Releases. G. Trends H. Investigations. I. Actions Taken J. Deviations. 	10 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 15 15

V.	leferences
----	------------

Appendices

Appendix A	Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction
<u>Tables</u>	
Table A-1	Radiological Groundwater Protection Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Figures	
Figure A-1	Well Water Locations, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Figure A-2	RGPP Monitoring Locations, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012
Appendix B	Data Tables
<u>Tables</u>	
Table B-I.1	Concentrations of Tritium, Strontium, Gross Alpha and Gross Beta in Groundwater and Seep Samples Collected as Part of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012.
Table B-I.2	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Groundwater and Seep Water Samples Collected as Part of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012.
Table B-I.3	Concentrations of Hard-to-Detects in Groundwater Samples Collected as Part of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012.
Table B-II.1	Concentrations of Tritium in Surface Water Samples Collected as Part of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012.
Table B-II.2	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Surface Water Samples Collected as Part of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012.
Table B-III.1	Concentrations of Tritium in Precipitation Water Samples Collected as Part of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012.

I. Summary and Conclusions

This report on the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program (RGPP) conducted for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) by Exelon Nuclear covers the period 01 January 2012 through 31 December 2012. This evaluation involved numerous station personnel and contractor support personnel. At Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, there are 31 permanent groundwater monitoring wells. Installation of the wells began in 2006. Of these monitoring locations, none were assigned to the station's Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). This is the sixth in a series of annual reports on the status of the Radiological Groundwater Protection Program (RGPP) conducted at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station. This report covers groundwater, surface water and seep water samples collected from the environment on station property in 2012. During that time period, 1,479 analyses were performed on more than 312 samples from 40 locations. These 40 locations include 27 groundwater monitoring wells, 3 surface water sample points, 3 groundwater seeps and 2 yard drain sumps (groundwater) and 5 precipitation water sampling points. Phase 1 of the monitoring was part of a comprehensive study initiated by Exelon to determine whether groundwater or surface water in the vicinity of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station had been adversely impacted by any releases of radionuclides. Phase 1 was conducted by Conestoga Rovers and Associates (CRA) and the conclusions were made available to state and federal regulators as well as the public. Phase 2 of the RGPP was conducted by Exelon corporate and station personnel to initiate follow up of Phase 1 and begin long-term monitoring at groundwater and surface water locations selected during Phase 1. All analytical results from Phase 2 monitoring are reported herein.

In assessing all the data gathered for this report, it was concluded that the operation of Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station had no adverse radiological impact on the environment and there are no known active releases into the groundwater at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station.

Gamma-emitting radionuclides associated with licensed plant operations were not detected at concentrations greater than their respective Lower Limits of Detection (LLDs) as specified in the Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) in any of the groundwater or surface water samples. In the case of tritium, Exelon specified that its laboratories achieve a lower limit of detection 10 times lower than that required by federal regulation.

Strontium-89 and strontium-90 were not detected in any of the samples. (Table B-I.1, Appendix B).

Tritium was detected in one groundwater location at concentrations greater than the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) drinking water standard (and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Reporting Limit) of 20,000 pCi/L. Low levels of tritium were detected at concentrations greater than the minimum detectable concentration (MDC) in 12 of 27 groundwater monitoring wells. The tritium concentrations ranged from 166 ± 107 pCi/L to 23,100 $\pm 2,350$ pCi/L. Tritium was not detected at concentrations greater than the MDC in any surface water, seep water or precipitation water sample locations. Based on the sample data tritium is not migrating off the station property at detectable concentrations. No tritium was detected in any surface water samples (Table B–II.1, Appendix B). No tritium was detected in any precipitation water samples (Table B–III.1, Appendix B).

Gross Alpha and Gross Beta analyses in the dissolved and suspended fractions were performed on groundwater samples during 2012. Gross Alpha (dissolved) was detected in 8 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 0.7 to 10.8 pCi/L. Gross Alpha (suspended) was detected in 2 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed, both at a concentration of 2.3 pCi/L. Gross Beta (dissolved) was detected in all 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 1.9 to 19.0 pCi/L. Gross Beta (suspended) was detected in 4 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 1.9 to 19.0 pCi/L. Gross Beta (suspended) was detected in 4 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 1.9 to 2.9 pCi/L. The activity detected is consistent with historical levels.

Hard-To-Detect analyses were performed on a select group of groundwater and surface water locations to establish baseline levels. The analyses for groundwater included Fe-55, Ni-63, Am-241, Cm-242, Cm-243/244, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, U-234, U-235 and U-238. U-234 was detected in 9 of 24 groundwater monitoring locations. The concentrations ranged from 0.21 to 13.70 pCi/L. U-238 was detected in 8 of 24 groundwater monitoring locations. The concentrations ranged from 0.25 to 10.75 pCi/L. U-235 was detected in 2 of 25 groundwater monitoring locations. The concentrations ranged from 0.17 to 0.59 pCi/L. No plant produced radionuclides were detected.

II. Introduction

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station (PBAPS) is located along the Susquehanna River between Holtwood and Conowingo Dams in Peach Bottom Township, York County, Pennsylvania. The initial loading of fuel into Unit 1, a 40 MWe (net) high temperature gas-cooled reactor, began on 5 February 1966, and initial criticality was achieved on 3 March 1966. Shutdown of Peach Bottom Unit 1 for decommissioning was on 31 October 1974. For the purposes of the monitoring program, the beginning of the operational period for Unit 1 was considered to be 5 February 1966. A summary of the Unit 1 preoperational monitoring program was presented in a previous report⁽¹⁾. PBAPS Units 2 and 3 are boiling water reactors, each with a power output of approximately 1170 MWe. The first fuel was loaded into Peach Bottom Unit 2 on 9 August 1973. Criticality was achieved on 16 September 1973 and full power was reached on 16 June 1974. The first fuel was loaded into Peach Bottom Unit 3 on 5 July 1974. Criticality was achieved on 7 August 1974 and full power was first reached on 21 December 1974. Preoperational summary reports ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ for Units 2 and 3 have been previously issued and summarize the results of all analyses performed on samples collected from 5 February 1966 through 8 August 1973.

This report covers those analyses performed by Teledyne Brown Engineering (TBE) on samples collected in 2012.

A. Objective of the RGPP

The objectives of the RGPP are as follows:

- 1. Ensure that the site characterization of geology and hydrology provides an understanding of predominant ground water gradients based upon current site conditions.
- 2. Identify site risk based on plant design and work practices.
- 3. Establish an on-site ground water monitoring program to ensure timely detection of inadvertent radiological releases to ground water.
- 4. Establish a remediation protocol to prevent migration of licensed material off-site and to minimize decommissioning impacts.
- 5. Ensure that records of leaks, spills, remediation efforts are retained and retrievable to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 50.75(g).
- Conduct initial and periodic briefings of their site specific Groundwater Protection Initiative (GPI) program with the designated State/Local officials.

- Make informal communication as soon as practicable to appropriate State/Local officials, with follow-up notifications to the NRC, as appropriate, regarding significant on-site leaks/spills into ground water and on-site or off-site water sample results exceeding the criteria in the REMP as described in the OCDM.
- 8. Submit a written 30-day report to the NRC for any water sample result for on-site ground water that is or may be used as a source of drinking water that exceeds any of the criteria in the licensee's existing REMP/ODCM for 30-day reporting of off-site water sample results.
- Document all on-site ground water sample results and a description of any significant on-site leaks/spills into ground water for each calendar year in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) for REMP or the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report (ARERR) for the RETS as contained in the appropriate Site reporting procedure.
- 10. Perform a self-assessment of the GPI program.
- 11. Conduct a review of the GPI program, including at a minimum the licensee's self assessments, under the auspices of NEI.
- B. Implementation of the Objectives

The objectives identified have been implemented at Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station via Corporate and Site specific procedures. These procedures include:

- 1. EN-AA-407, Response to Inadvertent Releases of Licensed Materials to Groundwater, Surface Water or Soil.
- 2. EN-AA-408, Radiological Groundwater Protection Program
- 3. EN-AA-408-4000, Radiological Groundwater Protection Program Implementation.
- 4. EN-PB-408-4160, Peach Bottom RGPP Reference Material.
- C. Program Description
 - 1. Sample Collection

Sample locations can be found in Table A–1 and Figures A–1 and A–2, Appendix A.

÷.,
Groundwater, Surface Water and Precipitation Water

Samples of water are collected, managed, transported and analyzed in accordance with approved procedures. Groundwater surface water and precipitation water are collected. Sample locations, sample collection frequencies and analytical frequencies are controlled in accordance with approved station procedures. Contractor and/or station personnel are trained in the collection, preservation management and shipment of samples, as well as in documentation of sampling events. Analytical laboratories are subject to internal quality assurance programs, industry crosscheck programs, as well as nuclear industry audits. Station personnel review and evaluate all analytical data deliverables as data are received.

Analytical data results are reviewed by both station personnel and an independent hydro geologist for adverse trends or changes to hydrogeologic conditions.

D. Characteristics of Tritium (H-3)

Tritium (chemical symbol H-3) is a radioactive isotope of hydrogen. The most common form of tritium is tritium oxide, which is also called "tritiated water." The chemical properties of tritium are essentially those of ordinary hydrogen.

Tritiated water behaves the same as ordinary water in both the environment and the body. Tritium can be taken into the body by drinking water, breathing air, eating food or absorption through skin. Once tritium enters the body it disperses quickly and is uniformly distributed throughout the body. Tritium is excreted primarily through urine with a clearance rate characterized by an effective biological half-life of about 14 days. Within one month or so after ingestion essentially all tritium is cleared. Organically bound tritium (tritium that is incorporated in organic compounds) can remain in the body for a longer period.

Tritium is produced naturally in the upper atmosphere when cosmic rays strike air molecules. Tritium is also produced during nuclear weapons explosions, as a by-product in reactors producing electricity and in special production reactors, where the isotopes lithium-7 and/or boron-10 are activated to produce tritium. Like normal water, tritiated water is colorless and odorless. Tritiated water behaves chemically and physically like nontritiated water in the subsurface and therefore tritiated water will travel at the same velocity as the average groundwater velocity. Tritium has a half-life of approximately 12.3 years. It decays spontaneously to helium-3 (He-3). This radioactive decay releases a beta particle (low-energy electron). The radioactive decay of tritium is the source of the health risk from exposure to tritium. Tritium emits a low energy beta particle and leaves the body relatively quickly. Since tritium is almost always found as water, it goes directly into soft tissues and organs. The associated dose to these tissues is generally uniform and is dependent on the water content of the specific tissue.

- III. Program Description
 - A. Sample Analysis

This section describes the general analytical methodologies used by TBE and EIML to analyze the environmental samples for radioactivity for the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station RGPP in 2012.

In order to achieve the stated objectives, the current program includes the following analyses:

- 1. Concentrations of gamma emitters in groundwater and surface water.
- 2. Concentrations of strontium in groundwater.
- 3. Concentrations of tritium in groundwater, surface water and precipitation water.
- Concentrations of 'hard-to-detect' isotopes (Am-241, Cm-242, Cm-243/244, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, U-233/234, U-235, U-238, Fe-55 and Ni-63) in groundwater. These analyses are required based on tritium results.
- B. Data Interpretation

The radiological data collected prior to Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station becoming operational were used as a baseline with which these operational data were compared. For the purpose of this report, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station was considered operational at initial criticality. Several factors were important in the interpretation of the data:

1. Lower Limit of Detection

The lower limit of detection (LLD) is a minimum sensitivity value that must be achieved routinely by the analytical parameter.

2. Laboratory Measurements Uncertainty

The estimated uncertainty in measurement of tritium in environmental samples is frequently on the order of 50% of the measurement value.

Statistically, the exact value of a measurement is expressed as a range with a stated level of confidence. The convention is to report results with a 95% level of confidence. The uncertainty comes from calibration standards, sample volume or weight measurements, sampling uncertainty and other factors. Exelon reports the uncertainty of a measurement created by statistical process (counting error) as well as all sources of error (Total Propagated Uncertainty or TPU). Each result has two values calculated. Exelon reports the TPU by following the result with plus or minus \pm the estimated sample standard deviation as TPU, that is obtained by propagating all sources of analytical uncertainty in measurements.

Analytical uncertainties are reported at the 95% confidence level in this report for reporting consistency with the AREOR.

Gamma spectroscopy results for each type of sample were grouped as follows:

For groundwater and surface water 12 nuclides, Mn-54, Co-58, Fe-59, Co-60, Zn-65, Nb-95, Zr-95, I-131, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140 and La-140 were reported.

C. Background Analysis

A pre-operational radiological environmental monitoring program (preoperational REMP) was conducted to establish background radioactivity levels prior to operation of the Station. The environmental media sampled and analyzed during the pre-operational REMP were atmospheric radiation, fall-out, domestic water, surface water, marine life and foodstuffs. The results of the monitoring were detailed in the report entitled Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Environs Radiation Monitoring Program, Preoperational Summary Report Units 2 and 3, September 1970- August 1973, January 1974 and Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Environs Radiation Monitoring Program, Preoperational Summary Report Units 2 and 3, June 1977. The pre-operational REMP contained analytical results from samples collected from the surface water, discharge, well and rain water. 1. Background Concentrations of Tritium

The purpose of the following discussion is to summarize background measurements of tritium in various media performed by others. Additional detail may be found by consulting references (CRA 2006).

a. Tritium Production

Tritium is created in the environment from naturally occurring processes both cosmic and subterranean, as well as from anthropogenic (i.e., man-made) sources. In the upper atmosphere, "Cosmogenic" tritium is produced from the bombardment of stable nuclides and combines with oxygen to form tritiated water, which will then enter the hydrologic cycle. Below ground, "lithogenic" tritium is produced by the bombardment of natural lithium present in crystalline rocks by neutrons produced by the radioactive decay of naturally abundant uranium and thorium. Lithogenic production of tritium is usually negligible compared to other sources due to the limited abundance of lithium in rock. The lithogenic tritium is introduced directly to groundwater.

A major anthropogenic source of tritium and strontium-90 comes from the former atmospheric testing of thermonuclear weapons. Levels of tritium in precipitation increased significantly during the 1950s and early 1960s and later with additional testing, resulting in the release of significant amounts of tritium to the atmosphere. The Canadian heavy water nuclear power reactors, other commercial power reactors, nuclear research and weapons production continue to influence tritium concentrations in the environment.

b. Precipitation Data

Precipitation samples are routinely collected at stations around the world for the analysis of tritium and other radionuclides. Two publicly available databases that provide tritium concentrations in precipitation are Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) and USEPA's RadNet database. GNIP provides tritium precipitation concentration data for samples collected worldwide from 1960 to 2006. RadNet provides tritium precipitation concentration data for samples collected at stations throughout the U.S. from 1960 up to and including 2006. Based on GNIP data for sample stations located in the U.S. Midwest, tritium concentrations peaked around 1963. This peak, which approached 10,000 pCi/L for some stations, coincided with the atmospheric testing of thermonuclear weapons. Tritium concentrations in surface water showed a sharp decline up until 1975 followed by a gradual decline since that time. Tritium concentrations have typically been below 100 pCi/L since around 1980. Tritium concentrations in wells may still be above the 200 pCi/L detection limit from the external causes described above. Water from previous years and decades is naturally captured in groundwater, so some well water sources today are affected by the surface water from the 1960s that was elevated in tritium.

c. Surface Water Data

Surface water level measurements were collected at the surface water monitoring locations during the groundwater level measurement event. The purpose of the surface water monitoring was to provide surface water elevation data to evaluate the groundwater/surface water interaction at the Station.

The USEPA RadNet surface water data typically has a reported 'Combined Standard Uncertainty' of 35 to 50 pCi/L. According to USEPA, this corresponds to a \pm 70 to 100 pCi/L 95% confidence bound on each given measurement. Therefore, the typical background data provided may be subject to measurement uncertainty of approximately \pm 70 to 100 pCi/L.

The radio-analytical laboratory is counting tritium results to an Exelon specified LLD of 200 pCi/L. Typically, the lowest positive measurement will be reported within a range of 40 - 240 pCi/L or 140 ± 100 pCi/L. Clearly, these sample results cannot be distinguished as different from background at this concentration.

IV. Results and Discussion

A. Groundwater Results

Groundwater

Samples were collected from on-site wells throughout the year in accordance with the station radiological groundwater protection program. Analytical results and anomalies are discussed below.

Tritium

Samples from 27 locations were analyzed for tritium activity (Table B-I.1, Appendix B). Tritium values ranged from the detection limit to 23,100 pCi/l. Within the station boundary, concentrations of tritium in shallow groundwater reached 23,100 pCi/L. The existing wells at or near the owner-controlled boundary showed no tritium. The location most representative of potential offsite user of drinking water is less than the MDC (Table B-I.1, Appendix B).

Strontium

Strontium-89 and strontium-90 were not detected in any of the samples. (Table B-I.1, Appendix B).

Gross Alpha and Gross Beta (dissolved and suspended)

Gross Alpha and Gross Beta analyses in the dissolved and suspended fractions were performed on groundwater samples during 2012. Gross Alpha (dissolved) was detected in 8 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 0.7 to 10.8 pCi/L. Gross Alpha (suspended) was detected in 2 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed, both at a concentration of 2.3 pCi/L. Gross Beta (dissolved) was detected in 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 1.9 to 19.0 pCi/L. Gross Beta (suspended) was detected in 4 of 25 groundwater locations analyzed. The concentrations ranged from 1.9 to 2.9 pCi/L. The activity detected is naturally occurring and the levels are considered to be background.

Hard-To-Detect

Hard-To-Detect analyses were performed on a select group of groundwater and surface water locations to establish baseline levels. The analyses for groundwater included Fe-55, Ni-63, Am-241, Cm-242, Cm-243/244, Pu-238, Pu-239/240, U-234, U-235, and U-238. U-234 was detected in 9 of 24 groundwater monitoring locations. The concentrations ranged from 0.21 to 13.70 pCi/L. U-238 was detected in 8 of 24 groundwater monitoring locations. The concentrations ranged from 0.25 to 10.75 pCi/L. U-235 was detected in 2 of 24 groundwater monitoring locations. The concentrations ranged from 0.17 to 0.59 pCi/L. U-235 was detected is naturally occurring and the levels are considered to be background (Table B–I.3, Appendix B).

Gamma Emitters

No power-production gamma emitters were detected in any of the samples (Table B–I.2, Appendix B).

B. Surface Water Results

Surface Water

Samples were collected from surface water locations throughout the year in accordance with the station radiological groundwater protection program. Analytical results are discussed below.

<u>Tritium</u>

Samples from three locations were analyzed for tritium activity. Tritium was not detected in any samples (Table B–II.1, Appendix B).

Gamma Emitters

No power-production gamma emitters were detected in any of the samples. No other gamma emitting nuclides were detected (Table B–II.2, Appendix B).

C. Precipitation Water Results

Precipitation Water

Samples were collected at five locations (1A, 1B, 1S, 1Z, and 4M). The following analysis was performed:

Tritium

Samples from five locations were analyzed for tritium activity. Tritium activity was not detected in any samples (Table B-III.1, Appendix B).

D. Drinking Water Well Survey

A drinking water well survey was conducted during the summer 2006 by CRA (CRA 2006) around the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station. The water well inventory was updated in 2012. The updated water well database search indicated a new water well off Station property within a one mile radius of the Station. The well is described as a "test" well and its use is listed as "unused". In summary, there were no significant changes in off Station groundwater use from 2006-2012.

E. Summary of Results – Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program

Inter-Laboratory Comparison Program results for TBE and Environmental Inc. (Midwest Labs) are presented in the AREOR.

F. Leaks, Spills and Releases

There were no leaks, spills or releases of water containing licensed material to environment in 2012.

G. Trends

A tritium plume has been identified northeast of the Unit 3 Turbine Building. The plume extends eastward toward well MW-PB-4. The plume is bounded on the north by wells MW-PB-12 and MW-PB-22. The plume is bounded on the south by wells MW-PB-20 and MW-PB-21.

Wells MW-PB-4, 24, 25, 26 and 27 were each sampled and analyzed 29 times during 2012. On 24 occasions, MW-PB-25 samples had the highest tritium activity. MW-PB-27 had the highest activity 4 times and MW-PB-24 had the highest activity 1 time.

Well MW-PB-27 ended 2012 with the highest tritium activity. MW-PB-26 and 27 are south of MW-PB-25. A buried piping inspection that required dewatering of an excavation began in November and completed in December 2012. The excavation was about 300' southeast of wells MW-PB-24, 25, 26 and 27. The dewatering of the excavation resulted in the southward migration of the tritium plume. This was an anticipated condition based on the location of the excavation relative to the wells and the hydrogeologic characteristics of the area.

Prior to the dewatering activity, all wells exhibited decreasing trends during 2012. An increased sampling frequency was implemented to monitor changes in well activity due to the dewatering process. It is expected that well activity will return to pre-dewatering tritium levels.

H. Investigations

MW-PB-4

In 2006, monitoring wells MW-PB-1 through MW-PB-14 were installed. Tritium activity was detected in MW-PB-4, located north of the Unit 3 Circulating Water Pump Structure and MW-PB-12, north of the Administration Building. Groundwater flow on site is from west to east. Monitoring wells were installed to the west, southwest and northwest of monitoring wells MW-PB-4 and MW-PB-12. The wells with the highest tritium activity are the wells installed directly east of and adjacent to the Unit 3 Turbine Building, wells MW-PB-24, 25, 26 and 27.

Investigation of potential sources identified that the likely source of groundwater contamination was due to degraded floor seams in the Unit 3 Turbine Building Moisture Separator area 116' elevation. Leaks internal to the building entered the groundwater through the degraded floor seams. The floor seams were repaired in August 2010. The floor in the Unit 3 Turbine Building Moisture Separator area 116' elevation was sealed and recoated in October 2011. Monitoring well activity has been decreasing since floor seam repairs were completed.

MW-PB-29, 30 and 31

An extent-of-condition inspection of the Unit 2 Turbine Building Moisture Separator area 116' elevation floor was performed in October 2010. Minor degradation of the floor seams was identified and repaired. In May 2011, monitoring wells MW-PB-29 and 30 were installed directly east of and adjacent to the Unit 2 Turbine Building; MW-PB-31 was installed southeast of and adjacent to the Unit 2 Turbine Building. These wells were installed to determine if a condition exists east of the Unit 2 Turbine Building that is similar to the condition east of the Unit 3 Turbine Building.

Wells MW-PB-29, 30 and 31 were sampled a total of 22 times in 2012. Tritium activity in the wells ranged from less than the MDC 1,450 \pm 220 pCi/L. Samples from these wells were also analyzed for gamma emitting isotopes and hard to detect radionuclides. All results were less than the MDC for each isotope.

The Unit 2 Turbine Building Moisture Separator area 116' elevation floor was sealed and recoated in October 2012. Additionally, about 3' of water was identified in a ventilation pit on the east side of the floor. A sample of the water was analyzed for tritium by Station Chemistry. The sample identified tritium at 9,910 pCi/L. The bottom of the pit is at the 107' 3" elevation. The groundwater elevation in well MW-PB-30, located about 5' south of the external wall of the pit, has ranged from 108-74' to 110.12'. Degraded seams in the pit were repaired and the pit was sealed. There is currently no standing water in the pit.

MW-PB-4, 24, 25, 26 and 27

Wells MW-PB-4, 24, 25, 26 and 27 are considered the wells of primary interest and were sampled on a frequency that ranged from weekly to quarterly. Below are 2 tables. The first lists the highest tritium activity of the wells of primary interest and the date of the sampling. The second table lists the tritium activity of the wells from the last sampling of 2012. The tritium activity is in pCi/L.

Well #	Tritium Activity	Date
MW-PB-4	17,200	5/24/2010
MW-PB-24	33,500	3/15/2010
MW-PB-25	161,000	3/8/2010
MW-PB-26	196,000	3/8/2010
MW-PB-27	71,800	2/22/2010

Well #	Tritium Activity	Date
MW-PB-4	1,230	12/26/2012
MW-PB-24	229	12/26/2012
MW-PB-25	2,100	12/26/2012
MW-PB-26	1,640	12/26/2012
MW-PB-27	9,640	12/26/2012

Potential sources of tritium in the groundwater were investigated via procedural processes and documented in the corrective action program.

The most likely pathway for tritium to enter the groundwater was determined to be leaks internal to the Unit 3 Turbine Building Moisture Separator 116', migrating through degraded floor seams. The floor seams were repaired and the entire floor was sealed and a coating applied during the refuel outage in the fall of 2011. The wells have been on a decreasing trend since these repairs were completed.

I. Actions Taken

1. Compensatory Actions

Wells, MW-PB-4, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 were sampled and analyzed for tritium on a weekly to quarterly frequency. These wells were sampled on a frequency greater than that required by Station procedures, when determined to be necessary to evaluate potential changes in tritium activity. The increased sample frequency was performed to monitor the effectiveness of repairs completed in the Unit 3 Turbine Building and to monitor potential changes in the tritium plume due to dewatering of the excavation used for the buried pipe inspection.

Intake and discharge canal water and domestic water were sampled on a weekly to biweekly frequency in 2012. There has been no detectable tritium in the intake and discharge canal water or in the domestic water samples.

2. Installation of Monitoring Wells

No groundwater monitoring wells were installed in 2012.

3. Actions to Recover/Reverse Plumes

There were no actions to recover the plume. As previously described, dewatering of a buried pipe excavation resulted in a southward migration of the tritium plume.

J. Deviations

The data tables show that duplicate samples were obtained at several wells during 2012. These duplicate samples were obtained and analyzed for quality control purposes.

There are no additional deviations to report.

V. References

- 1. Conestoga Rovers and Associates, Fleetwide Assessment, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Delta, PA, Fleetwide Assessment, Rev. 1, September 1, 2006.
- 2. Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Environs Radiation Monitoring Program, Preoperational Summary Report units 2 and 3, June 1977.
- 3. Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Environs Radiation Monitoring Program, Preoperational Summary Report units 2 and 3, September 1970-August 1973, January 1974.
- 4. Conestoga Rovers and Associates, Hydrogeologic Investigation Report, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, November 2012.
- 5. AMO Environmental Decisions, November 21, 2012, April 18, 2011, July and August 2012 RGPP Summary Monitoring Report (3rd Quarter 2012).

APPENDIX A

SAMPLING LOCATIONS, DISTANCE AND DIRECTION

TABLE A-1:

Radiological Groundwater Protection Program - Sampling Locations, Distance and Direction, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

Site	Site Type	Sector	Distance (ft.)
MW-PB-1	Groundwater Well	SW	1,166.6
MW-PB-2	Groundwater Well	WNW	309.0
MW-PB-3	Groundwater Well	SSE	709.7
MW-PB-4	Groundwater Well	ENE	350.2
MW-PB-5	Groundwater Well	NNW	1,146.1
MW-PB-6	Groundwater Well	NE	1,072.4
MW-PB-7	Groundwater Well	SE	813.9
MW-PB-8	Groundwater Well	SE	1,167.0
MW-PB-9	Groundwater Well	SE	2,816.9
MW-PB-10	Groundwater Well	SSE	1,125.1
MW-PB-11	Groundwater Well	SE	438.4
MW-PB-12	Groundwater Well	NNE	317.2
MW-PB-13	Groundwater Well	NW	329.4
MW-PB-14	Groundwater Well	S	1,231.2
MW-PB-15	Groundwater Well	SE	1,087.9
MW-PB-16	Groundwater Well	SE	1,101.6
MW-PB-17	Groundwater Well	SE	1,005.4
MW-PB-18	Groundwater Well	SE	1,010.0
MW-PB-19	Groundwater Well	NW	226.8
MW-PB-20	Groundwater Well	E	260.5
MW-PB-21	Groundwater Well	E	363.3
MW-PB-22	Groundwater Well	NE	315.4
MW-PB-24	Groundwater Well	N	185.9
MW-PB-25	Groundwater Well	N	159.7
MW-PB-26	Groundwater Well	NNE	121.1
MW-PB-27	Groundwater Well	NNE	139.1
MW-PB-28	Groundwater Well	NW	249.6
MW-PB-29	Groundwater Well	SE	325.0
MW-PB-30	Groundwater Well	SE	379.2
MW-PB-31	Groundwater Well	SE	450.1
SW-PB-1	Surface Water	NNW	2,850.5
SW-PB-5	Surface Water	SE	675.1
SW-PB-6	Surface Water	SE	1,305.9
SP-PB-1	Groundwater Seep	S	514.2
SP-PB-2	Groundwater Seep	WNW	311.6
SP-PB-3	Groundwater Seep	NNW	1,281.1
U/2 YARD DRAIN SUMP	Groundwater	SSE	498.7
U/3 YARD DRAIN SUMP	Groundwater	WSW	175.8
1A	Precipitation Water	SE	1,396
1B	Precipitation Water	NW	2,587
1S	Precipitation Water	S	1,315
1Z	Precipitation Water	SE	1,396
4M	Precipitation Water	SE	45,989



Figure A-1 Well Water Locations, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012



RGPP Surface Water and Groundwater Sample Locations

Figure A-2 RGPP Monitoring Locations, Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, 2012

APPENDIX B

DATA TABLES

SITE	COLLECTION	H-3	SR-89	SR-90	GR-A (DIS)	GR-A (SUS)	GR-B (DIS) GR-B (SUS)
	DATE						
MW-PB-1	05/09/12	< 165					
MW-PB-10	02/07/12	< 194					
MW-PB-10	05/09/12	< 168	< 4.3	< 0.4	< 2.1	< 0.7	11.9 ± 1.7 < 1.5
MW-PB-10	08/01/12	< 181					
MW-PB-10	08/01/12	< 178					
MW-PB-10	11/07/12	< 170					
MW-PB-11	02/06/12	< 192					
MW-PB-11	05/08/12	< 167	< 4.2	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.7	3.0 ± 0.8 < 1.5
MW-PB-11	07/31/12	< 181					
MW-PB-11	11/06/12	< 163					
MW-PB-12	02/06/12	292 ± 134					
MW-PB-12	05/07/12	335 ± 122	< 4.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.7	2.1 ± 0.8 < 1.5
MW-PB-12	05/07/12	254 ± 120	< 4.4	< 0.4	< 0.6	< 0.8	1.9 ± 0.8 < 1.8
Mw-PB-12	05/07/12 EIML	272 ± 88					
MW-PB-12	07/31/12	268 ± 121					
MW-PB-12	11/06/12	248 ± 117					
MW-PB-13	02/07/12	< 19 9					
MW-PB-13	05/08/12	< 170	< 4.8	< 0.5	10.8 ± 2.	9 < 1.3	16.8 ± 3.1 < 3.8
MW-PB-13	08/01/12	< 180					
MW-PB-13	11/06/12	< 168					
MW-PB-14	05/09/12	< 169					
MW-PB-15	02/07/12	< 198					
MW-PB-15	02/07/12	< 199					
MW-PB-15	02/07/12 EIML	< 143					
MW-PB-15	05/09/12	< 173	< 5.0	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.5	9.3 ± 1.2 < 1.5
MW-PB-15	08/01/12	< 182					
MW-PB-15	11/07/12	< 168					
MW-PB-15	11/07/12	< 169					
MW-PB-16	02/08/12	< 197					
MW-PB-16	05/09/12	< 166	< 4.3	< 0.4	6.3 ± 1.	.1 2.3 ± 0.9	7.4 ± 1.0 2.3 ± 1.1
MW-PB-16	08/01/12	< 190					
MW-PB-16	11/07/12	< 166					
MW-PB-19	02/06/12	< 183					
MW-PB-19	05/08/12	< 166	< 5.0	< 0.7	0.7 ± 0.1	4 < 0.5	$3.4 \pm 0.8 < 1.5$
MW-PB-19	08/01/12	< 175					
MW-PB-19	08/01/12	< 177					
MW-PB-19	11/06/12	< 171					
MW-PB-2	02/07/12	< 196					
MW-PB-2	05/08/12	< 167	< 4.5	< 0.4	3.6 ± 0.	9 < 0.7	13.8 ± 1.2 < 1.5
MW-PB-2	08/01/12	< 182					
MW-PB-2	11/06/12	< 170					
MW-PB-2	11/06/12	< 170					
MW-PB-20	02/06/12	< 193					
MW-PB-20	04/23/12	< 164					
MW-PB-20	05/01/12	< 168					
MW-PB-20	05/07/12	< 192					
MW-PB-20	05/07/12	< 161	< 4.5	< 0.4	< 1.2	< 0.9	$9.5 \pm 2.0 < 2.6$
MW-PB-20	05/14/12	< 188					
MW-PB-20	07/31/12	< 183					
MW-PB-20	11/06/12	< 168					
MW-PB-20	11/19/12 Recount	t 241 ± 129					
MW-PB-20	11/19/12	< 180					

SITE	COLLECT	TION H-3	SR-89	SR-90	GR-A (DIS)	GR-A (SUS)	GR-B (DIS) GR-B (SUS)
·	DATE						
MW-PB-20	11/27/12	< 171					
MW-PB-20	12/03/12	< 183					
MW-PB-20	12/10/12	< 188					
MW-PB-20	12/17/12	< 165					
MW-PB-20	12/26/12	< 168					
MW-PB-21	02/06/12	217 ± 132					
MW-PB-21	05/07/12	< 169	< 5.2	< 0.5	< 0.9	< 0.5	10.5 ± 1.4 < 1.5
MW-PB-21	07/31/12	< 176					
MW-PB-21	11/06/12	< 168					
MW-PB-21	11/27/12	< 175					
MW-PB-21	12/03/12	< 182					
MW-PB-21	12/10/12	< 190					
MW-PB-21	12/17/12	< 162					
MW-PB-21	12/26/12	< 170					
MW-PB-22	02/06/12	1300 ± 185					
MW-PB-22	05/07/12	1450 ± 205					
MW-PB-22	05/07/12	1460 ± 200	< 4.2	< 0.4	< 0.5	< 0.6	2.8 ± 1.0 < 1.6
MW-PB-22	05/14/12	1570 ± 217					
MW-PB-22	07/31/12	1310 ± 194					
MW-PB-22	07/31/12	1440 ± 204					
MW-PB-22	11/06/12	1060 ± 166					
MW-PB-22	11/19/12	1040 ± 171					
MW-PB-22	11/19/12	Recount 865 ± 155					
MW-PB-22	11/27/12	895 ± 153					
MW-PB-22	12/03/12	684 ± 152					
MW-PB-22	12/10/12	601 ± 144					
MW-PB-22	12/17/12	655 ± 137					
MW-PB-22	12/26/12	607 ± 136					
MW-PB-24	01/03/12	2540 ± 305					
MW-PB-24	01/09/12	1050 ± 166					
MW-PB-24	01/16/12	1130 ± 176					
MW-PB-24	01/23/12	372 ± 135	i				
MW-PB-24	01/30/12	500 ± 131					
MW-PB-24	02/06/12	386 ± 123					
MW-PB-24	02/13/12	497 ± 139	ł				
MW-PB-24	02/20/12	< 182					
MW-PB-24	02/27/12	260 ± 124	•				
MW-PB-24	03/05/12	3570 ± 415	i				
MW-PB-24	03/05/12	Reanalysis 3870 ± 428	-				
MW-PB-24	03/12/12	2930 ± 347					
MW-PB-24	03/19/12	2760 ± 325					
MW-PB-24	03/26/12	3880 ± 440					
MW-PB-24	04/23/12	8540 ± 913	6				
MW-PB-24	05/01/12	6510 ± 695					
MW-PB-24	05/07/12	6200 ± 668					
MW-PB-24	05/07/12	5660 ± 610) < 3.9	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	$3.0 \pm 1.0 < 1.5$
MW-PB-24	05/14/12	5530 ± 602	2				
MW-PB-24	06/11/12	5200 ± 573	5				
MW-PB-24	07/09/12	3800 ± 430)				
MW-PB-24	07/31/12	6740 ± 716	6				
MW-PB-24	07/31/12	6340 ± 677					
MW-PB-24	08/20/12	6870 ± 730					

SITE	COLLECT	TION	H-3	SR-89	SR-90	GR-A (DIS)	GR-A (SUS)	GR-B (DIS)	GR-B (SUS)
	DATE								
MW-PB-24	09/17/12		2020 ± 267						
MW-PB-24	09/17/12	Reanalysis	1870 ± 240						
MW-PB-24	11/05/12		2190 ± 264						
MW-PB-24	11/19/12		605 ± 146						
MW-PB-24	11/19/12	Recount	337 ± 128						
MW-PB-24	11/27/12		250 ± 120						
MW-PB-24	12/03/12	<	178						
MW-PB-24	12/10/12	<	190						
MW-PB-24	12/17/12		294 + 117						
MW-PB-24	12/26/12		229 + 115						
MW-PB-25	01/03/12		22100 ± 2250						
MW-PB-25	01/09/12		23100 + 2350						
MW-PB-25	01/16/12		8930 + 940						
MW-PB-25	01/16/12	Reanalysis	7330 + 783						
MW-PB-25	01/23/12	reanalysis	20900 + 2130						
MW-PB-25	01/30/12		18800 + 1920						
MW-PB-25	02/06/12		18100 ± 1850						
MW-DB-25	02/00/12		20500 + 2080						
MW DD 25	02/10/12		20300 ± 2000						
MW DB 25	02/20/12		17700 ± 1820						
NIN DR 25	02/27/12		11700 ± 1020						
MM DD 25	03/03/12		10400 ± 1220						
NW DD 25	03/12/12		10400 ± 1090						
NIN DB 25	03/19/12		12900 ± 1330						
NIN DB 25	03/20/12		9010 ± 940						
NIN DB 25	04/23/12		7400 ± 700						
NIV PB-25	05/01/12		8740 ± 917						
	05/07/12		7020 ± 000	~ 1 0	< 0.5	< 0.7	< 0.7	94 + 11	- 16
MW DD 25	05/07/12		7930 I 035	< 4.0	< 0.5	< 0.7	< 0.7	0.4 I I.I V	< 1.0
NIN DD 25	05/14/12		0490 ± 095						
NIN DD 25	00/11/12		9590 ± 1010						
MW-PB-25	07/09/12		12800 ± 1330						
NIV-PB-25	07/31/12		10100 ± 1050						
MW-PB-25	08/20/12		13800 ± 1420						
MW-PB-25	09/17/12		10500 ± 1100						
MW-PB-25	11/05/12		$61/0 \pm 65/$						
MW-PB-25	11/19/12	. .	8460 ± 889						
MW-PB-25	11/19/12	Recount	7660 ± 818						
MW-PB-25	11/2//12		7610 ± 808						
MW-PB-25	12/03/12	_ <i>i</i>	783 ± 156						
MW-PB-25	12/03/12	Recount	793 ± 161						
MW-PB-25	12/03/12	Reanalysis	/15 ± 155						
MW-PB-25	12/10/12		1210 ± 188						
MW-PB-25	12/17/12		634 ± 134						
MW-PB-25	12/26/12	_	2100 ± 258						
MW-PB-25	12/26/12	Recount	2070 ± 258						
MW-PB-26	01/03/12		545 ± 145						
MW-PB-26	01/09/12		568 ± 137						
MW-PB-26	01/16/12		588 ± 138						
MW-PB-26	01/23/12		630 ± 148						
MW-PB-26	01/30/12		521 ± 132						
MW-PB-26	02/06/12		643 ± 138						
MW-PB-26	02/13/12		863 ± 160						

Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 2 Sigma

B-3

SITE	COLLECT	TION	H-3	SR-89	SR-90	GR-A (DIS)	GR-A (SUS)	GR-B (DIS)	GR-B (SUS)
	DATE								
MW-PB-26	02/20/12		608 ± 142						
MW-PB-26	02/27/12		690 ± 164						
MW-PB-26	03/05/12		844 ± 156						
MW-PB-26	03/12/12		816 ± 151						
MW-PB-26	03/19/12		813 ± 146						
MW-PB-26	03/26/12		852 ± 165						
MW-PB-26	04/23/12		761 ± 142						
MW-PB-26	05/01/12		488 ± 128						
MW-PB-26	05/07/12		467 ± 141						
MW-PB-26	05/07/12		384 ± 122	< 4.2	< 0.8	6.3 ± 1	.2 < 0.7	6.6 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.2
MW-PB-26	05/14/12		434 ± 140						
MW-PB-26	06/11/12	<	< 18 9						
MW-PB-26	07/09/12		612 ± 149						
MW-PB-26	07/31/12		418 ± 125						
MW-PB-26	08/20/12		599 ± 135						
MW-PB-26	09/17/12		346 ± 162						
MW-PB-26	11/05/12		273 ± 112						
MW-PB-26	11/19/12		768 ± 158						
MW-PB-26	11/19/12	Recount	462 ± 135						
MW-PB-26	11/27/12		487 ± 131						
MW-PB-26	12/03/12		505 ± 141						
MW-PB-26	12/10/12		706 ± 149						
MW-PB-26	12/17/12		1140 ± 168						
MW-PB-26	12/26/12		1410 ± 191						
MW-PB-26	12/26/12	Reanalysis	1640 ± 218						
MW-PB-27	01/03/12		1060 ± 169						
MW-PB-27	01/09/12		1160 ± 178						
MW-PB-27	01/16/12		1150 ± 176						
MW-PB-27	01/23/12		1410 ± 197						
MW-PB-27	01/30/12		1390 ± 195						
MW-PB-27	02/06/12		1860 ± 238						
MW-PB-27	02/06/12		1860 ± 237						
MW-PB-27	02/06/12	EIML	2099 ± 157						
MW-PB-27	02/13/12	Descurt	2420 ± 304						
NIN DD 27	02/13/12	Recount	2230 ± 203						
	02/20/12		1000 ± 173						
MM/_DB_27	02/21/12		2330 ± 257						
MW-FD-27	03/10/12		1090 ± 202 1370 + 192						
MW_PB_27	03/26/12		1980 + 254						
MW-PB-27	04/23/12		1910 + 242						
MW-PB-27	05/01/12		1290 + 185						
MW-PB-27	05/07/12		1720 + 232						
MW-PB-27	05/07/12		1530 + 206	< 5.6	< 0.4	2.1 + (0.8 < 0.7	6.3 ± 1.0	< 16
MW-PB-27	05/14/12		1570 + 217		•••				
MW-PB-27	06/11/12		1580 + 220						
MW-PB-27	07/09/12		1610 ± 218						
MW-PB-27	07/31/12		1520 ± 205						
MW-PB-27	08/20/12		1320 ± 187						
MW-PB-27	09/17/12		1220 ± 208						
MW-PB-27	11/05/12		1150 ± 165						
MW-PB-27	11/05/12		1110 ± 163						

SITE	COLLECTION	H-3	SR-89	SR-90	GR-A (DIS)	GR-A (SUS)	GR-B (DIS)	GR-B (SUS)
	DATE							
MW-PB-27	11/19/12	879 ± 164						
MW-PB-27	11/19/12 Recount	592 ± 141						
MW-PB-27	11/27/12	948 ± 157						
MW-PB-27	12/03/12	2800 ± 329						
MW-PB-27	12/03/12 Recount	2880 ± 336						
MW-PB-27	12/03/12 Reanalys	is 2640 ± 314						
MW-PB-27	12/10/12	4160 ± 470						
MW-PB-27	12/17/12	8530 ± 895						
MW-PB-27	12/26/12	9640 ± 1000						
MW-PB-28	02/06/12	< 193						
MW-PB-28	05/08/12	< 180	< 4.4	< 0.4	< 0.5	< 0.7	2.6 ± 0.7	< 1.6
MW-PB-28	08/01/12	< 174						
WW-PB-28	11/06/12	< 168						
MW-PB-29	02/06/12	309 ± 137						
MW-PB-29	05/08/12	598 ± 140	< 3.3	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.7	2.3 ± 0.7	< 1.6
W-PB-29	05/08/12	642 ± 141	< 4.7	< 0.4	< 0.5	< 0.8	2.5 ± 0.7	< 1.8
W-PB-29	05/08/12 EIML	470 ± 96						
WW-PB-29	07/31/12	171 ± 113						
WW-PB-29	11/06/12	174 ± 107						
W-PB-29	11/27/12	181 ± 113						
WW-PB-29	12/03/12	< 184						
WW-PB-29	12/10/12	< 181						
W-PB-29	12/17/12	267 ± 123						
MW-PB-29	12/26/12	599 ± 135						
MW-PB-3	02/07/12	< 195						
MW-PB-3	05/08/12	< 169	< 4.4	< 0.4	2.2 ± 0	.8 < 0.7	4.3 ± 1.0	< 1.5
1W-PB-3	08/01/12	< 179						
1W-PB-3	11/06/12	< 171						
/W-PB-30	02/06/12	423 ± 142						
MW-PB-30	05/08/12	< 181	< 5.3	< 0.4	< 0.7	< 0.8	2.6 ± 0.9	< 1.6
W-PB-30	07/31/12	1300 ± 192						
MW-PB-30	11/06/12	955 ± 147						
MW-PB-30	11/27/12	429 ± 128						
MW-PB-30	12/03/12	540 ± 145						
W-PB-30	12/10/12	557 ± 145						
MW-PB-30	12/17/12	702 ± 138						
MW-PB-30	12/26/12	166 ± 110						
MW-PB-31	02/07/12	320 ± 140						
MW-PB-31	05/08/12	239 ± 124	< 5.6	< 0.5	< 0.7	< 0.7	3.0 ± 1	< 1.6
MW-PB-31	07/31/12	431 ± 127						
MW-PB-31	11/06/12	166 ± 107						
MW-PB-4	01/03/12	2080 ± 262						
WW-PB-4	01/09/12	2440 ± 297						
MW-PB-4	01/16/12	2370 ± 292						
MW-PB-4	01/23/12	2480 ± 299						
WW-PB-4	01/30/12	1960 ± 247						
MW-PB-4	02/06/12	1810 ± 234						
MW-PB-4	02/06/12	1840 + 236						
MW-PB-4	02/06/12 FIMI	2032 + 155						
MW-PB-4	02/13/12	2080 + 257						
MW-PB-4	02/20/12	1700 + 230						
MW-PB-4	02/27/12	1750 + 240						
	VELLINE	1100 1 240						

SITE	COLLECTION	H-3	SR-89	SR-90	GR-A (DIS)	GR-A (SUS)	GR-B (DIS)	GR-B (SUS)
	DATE							_
MW-PB-4	03/05/12	1780 ± 241						
MW-PB-4	03/12/12	1730 ± 234						
MW-PB-4	03/19/12	1930 ± 245						
MW-PB-4	03/26/12	1620 ± 221						
MW-PB-4	04/23/12	1530 ± 205						
MW-PB-4	05/01/12	1540 + 208						
MW-PR-4	05/07/12	1490 + 208						
	05/07/12	1580 ± 210	~ 5 1	< 0.5	21 + 1	3 < 07	88 + 14	10 + 11
	05/07/12	1500 ± 210	< 0.1	< 0.5	2.1 I I	.5 < 0.7	0.0 I 1.4	1.5 1 1.1
	05/14/12	1340 + 201						
MW-PR-4	07/09/12	1590 + 219						
MW-PB-4	08/01/12	1650 + 217						
MW-PB-4	08/20/12	1520 + 206						
MW-PB-4	09/17/12	1470 + 219						
MW-PB-4	11/06/12	1200 + 171						
MW-PB-4	11/19/12	1190 ± 177						
MW-PB-4	11/27/12	919 ± 154						
MW-PB-4	12/03/12	1040 ± 167						
MW-PB-4	12/10/12	859 ± 160						
MW-PB-4	12/17/12	1060 ± 163						
MW-PB-4	12/26/12	1230 ± 176						
MW-PB-5	05/09/12	< 167						
MW-PB-6	05/09/12	< 168						
MW-PB-7	02/07/12	< 194						
MW-PB-7	05/09/12	< 166	< 4.1	< 0.4	< 3.1	< 0.8	9.2 ± 1.6	< 1.6
MW-P B-7	08/01/12	< 176						
MW-PB-7	11/07/12	< 170						
MW-PB-8	02/08/12	< 194						
MW-PB-8	05/09/12	< 166	< 4.2	< 0.5	< 1.1	2.3 ± 0.9	19.0 ± 1.6	2.3 ± 1.1
MW-PB-8	08/01/12	< 193						
MW-PB-8	11/07/12	< 168						
SP-PB-1	02/07/12	< 192						
SP-PB-1	05/08/12	< 180						
SP-PB-1	08/01/12	< 172						
SP-PB-1	11/07/12	< 196						
SP-PB-2	02/07/12	< 188						
SP-PB-2	05/08/12	< 178						
SP-PB-2	08/01/12	< 174						
SP-PB-2	11/00/12	< 100						
SF-FD-J	02/00/12	< 175						
SF-FD-3	03/09/12	< 175						
SP-P D-3	11/07/12	< 107						
	03/06/12	< 182						
	05/07/12	< 177		< 0.4	< 1 1	< 0.7	2.0 + 0.9	< 1.6
	08/02/12	< 162		· •			2.0 2 0.0	
U/2 YARD DRAIN	11/02/12	< 196						
U/3 YARD DRAIN	03/06/12	< 184						
U/3 YARD DRAIN	05/07/12	< 174		< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.8	2.1 ± 0.8	< 1.8
U/3 YARD DRAIN	08/02/12	< 193						-
U/3 YARD DRAIN	11/02/12	< 197						

Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 2 Sigma

B-6

TABLE B-1.2CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN GROUNDWATER AND SEEP WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED IN THE VICINITY OF PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

SITE	COLLECTION DATE	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
MW-PB-1	05/09/12	< 8	< 8	< 14	< 9	< 15	< 8	< 15	< 11	< 9	< 9	< 33	< 14
MW-PB-2	05/08/12	< 8	< 7	< 15	< 7	< 13	< 7	< 13	< 11	< 6	< 6	< 30	< 9
MW-PB-3	05/08/12	< 7 ΄	< 7	< 15	< 7	< 13	< 5	< 14	< 10	< 6	< 7	< 27	< 7
MW-PB-4	05/07/12	< 7	< 8	< 16	< 6	< 16	< 10	< 15	< 14	< 7	< 8	< 41	< 12
MW-PB-4	11/06/12	< 4	< 4	< 7	< 3	< 6	< 4	< 6	< 6	< 4	< 4	< 19	< 5
MW-PB-5	05/09/12	< 5	< 6	< 11	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 9	< 9	< 5	< 6	< 27	< 8
MW-PB-6	05/09/12	< 6	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 11	< 6	< 11	< 8	< 4	< 6	< 21	< 6
MW-PB-7	05/09/12	< 5	< 6	< 11	< 6	< 12	< 6	< 11	< 11	< 7	< 7	< 31	< 10
MW-PB-8	02/08/12	< 5	< 4	< 9	< 5	< 9	< 7	< 10	< 9	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 9
MW-PB-8	05/09/12	< 8	< 8	< 17	< 8	< 16	< 9	< 15	< 11	< 8	< 8	< 32	< 11
MW-PB-8	08/01/12	< 4	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 5	< 7	< 9	< 4	< 4	< 23	< 8
MW-PB-8	11/07/12	< 4	< 4	< 8	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 8	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 20	< 5
MW-PB-10	05/09/12	< 5	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 10	< 6	< 8	< 8	< 5	< 6	< 24	< 5
MW-PB-11	05/08/12	< 5	< 4	< 10	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 6	< 3	< 5	< 17	< 6
MW-PB-12	05/07/12	< 5	< 6	< 13	< 5	< 11	< 7	< 10	< 10	< 6	< 6	< 28	< 10
MW-PB-12	05/07/12	< 4	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 10	< 6	< 7	< 10	< 5	< 5	< 22	< 6
MW-PB-12	05/07/12 EIML	< 4	< 3	< 8	< 2	< 5	< 4	< 5	< 11	< 3	< 3	< 20	< 3
MW-PB-13	05/08/12	< 5	< 7	< 14	< 7	< 11	< 7	< 10	< 11	< 6	< 6	< 29	< 10
MW-PB-14	05/09/12	< 5	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 11	< 6	< 11	< 11	< 6	< 6	< 29	< 8
MW-PB-15	02/07/12	< 5	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 9	< 6	< 7	< 11	< 4	< 5	< 27	< 8
MW-PB-15	02/07/12	< 5	< 5	< 11	< 5	< 11	< 6	< 9	< 11	< 5	< 5	< 28	< 7
MW-PB-15	02/07/12 EIML	< 2	< 3	< 7	< 2	< 5	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 10	< 3
MW-PB-15	05/09/12	< 6	< 4	< 11	< 5	< 14	< 8	< 10	< 10	< 6	< 7	< 26	< 12
MW-PB-15	08/01/12	< 4	< 4	< 9	< 4	< 7	< 6	< 7	< 9	< 4	< 4	< 23	< 7
MW-PB-15	11/07/12	< 5	< 5	< 9	< 4	< 8	< 6	< 9	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 22	< 7
MW-PB-15	11/07/12	< 4	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 8	< 5	< 6	< 6	< 4	< 4	< 23	< 6
MW-PB-16	02/08/12	< 5	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 9	< 9	< 5	< 5	< 25	< 9
MW-PB-16	05/09/12	< 6	< 7	< 13	< 7	< 13	< 9	< 12	< 10	< 6	< 7	< 31	< 12
MW-PB-16	08/01/12	< 4	< 4	< 9	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 8	< 3	< 4	< 22	< 8
MW-PB-16	11/07/12	< 4	< 4	< 8	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 7	< 7	< 4	< 5	< 22	< 6
MW-PB-19	05/08/12	< 7	< 6	< 12	< 9	< 13	< 8	< 14	< 13	< 6	< 8	< 40	< 11
MW-PB-20	05/07/12	< 7	< 6	< 14	< 8	< 15	< 8	< 14	< 12	< 5	< 7	< 35	< 11
MW-PB-21	05/07/12	< 5	< 5	< 12	< 6	< 8	< 6	< 11	< 11	< 5	< 6	< 26	< 10
MW-PB-22	05/07/12	< 6	< 6	< 11	< 5	< 12	< 7	< 12	< 11	< 7	< 6	< 29	< 4
MW-PB-24	05/07/12	< 6	< 6	< 13	< 7	< 14	< 9	< 13	< 14	< 7	< 6	< 36	< 9
MW-PB-24	07/31/12	< 3	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 6	< 8	< 3	< 4	< 20	< 5

.

١.

TABLE B-I.2CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN GROUNDWATER AND SEEP WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED AS PART OF THE RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION PROGRAM,
PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION DATE	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	1-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
MW-PB-24	07/31/12	< 3	< 4	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 6	< 8	< 3	< 4	< 20	< 5
MW-PB-24	11/05/12	< 5	< 5	< 9	< 4	< 10	< 6	< 8	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 9
MW-PB-25	05/07/12	< 5	< 6	< 13	< 6	< 13	< 7	< 11	< 12	< 5	< 5	< 28	< 10
MW-PB-25	11/05/12	< 3	< 3	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 6	< 6	< 3	< 3	< 16	< 7
MW-PB-26	05/07/12	< 6	< 3	< 9	< 9	< 11	< 5	< 11	< 15	< 7	< 6	< 38	< 10
/W-PB-26	11/05/12	< 3	< 3	< 6	< 4	< 6	< 4	< 6	< 6	< 3	< 3	< 15	< 4
/W-PB-27	05/07/12	< 6	< 7	< 16	< 8	< 18	< 7	< 12	< 15	< 5	< 8	< 39	< 11
/W-PB-27	11/05/12	< 6	< 6	< 13	< 6	< 12	< 8	< 8	< 12	< 6	< 6	< 30	< 10
/W-PB-27	11/05/12	< 4	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 10	< 4	< 4	< 27	< 7
/W-PB-28	05/08/12	< 6	< 6	< 18	< 7	< 15	< 9	< 11	< 13	< 6	< 9	< 41	< 13
/W-PB-29	05/08/12	< 8	< 7	< 20	< 6	< 9	< 9	< 17	< 14	< 7	< 9	< 35	< 13
/W-PB-29	05/08/12	< 4	< 4	< 10	< 6	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 24	< 9
/W-PB-29	05/08/12 EIML	< 2	< 3	< 7	< 1	< 5	< 3	< 4	< 6	< 3	< 3	< 16	< 4
/W-PB-30	05/08/12	< 6	< 7	< 11	< 8	< 13	< 8	< 14	< 11	< 7	< 7	< 33	< 6
/W-PB-31	05/08/12	< 8	< 6	< 14	< 8	< 16	< 10	< 11	< 11	< 6	< 7	< 36	< 13
P-PB-1	05/08/12	< 6	< 6	< 13	< 9	< 14	< 9	< 13	< 14	< 6	< 8	< 35	< 11
P-PB-2	05/08/12	< 8	< 7	< 16	< 10	< 13	< 9	< 14	< 15	< 7	< 12	< 36	< 11
SP-PB-3	05/09/12	< 7	< 9	< 11	< 8	< 15	< 10	< 13	< 11	< 7	< 7	< 29	< 10
1/2 YARD DRAIN	05/07/12	< 5	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 11	< 5	< 8	< 9	< 4	< 6	< 25	< 10
J/3 YARD DRAIN	05/07/12	< 6	< 5	< 13	< 6	< 10	< 6	< 11	< 11	< 5	< 6	< 28	< 12

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE B-I.3CONCENTRATIONS OF HARD TO DETECTS IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED AS PART OF THE RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION
PROGRAM, PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION DATE	Am-241	Cm-242	Cm-243/244	Pu-238	Pu-239/240	U-234	U-235	U-238	Fe-55	Ni-63
MW-PB-10	05/09/12	< 0.12	< 0.06	< 0.08	< 0.10	< 0.07	< 0.14	< 0.11	< 0.09		
MW-PB-11	05/08/12	< 0.11	< 0.03	< 0.08	< 0.18	< 0.10	< 0.15	< 0.07	< 0.10		
MW-PB-12	05/07/12	< 0.07	< 0.03	< 0.06	< 0.12	< 0.19	< 0.15	< 0.10	< 0.11		
MW-PB-12	05/07/12	< 0.02	< 0.07	< 0.07	< 0.03	< 0.10	< 0.13	< 0.06	< 0.11		
MW-PB-12	05/07/12 EIML	< 0.08	< 0.06	< 0.11	< 0.10	< 0.14	< 0.09	< 0.07	< 0.07		
MW-PB-13	05/08/12	< 0.11	< 0.06	< 0.07	< 0.09	< 0.02	13.70 ± 2.32	0.59 ± 0.22	10.75 ± 1.85		
MW-PB-13	05/08/12 Reanalysis	3					13.25 ± 1.24	0.41 ± 0.17	10.52 ± 1.04		
MW-PB-15	05/09/12	< 0.09	< 0.04	< 0.18	< 0.06	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.05	< 0.09		
MW-PB-16	05/09/12	< 0.09	< 0.10	< 0.19	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.13	< 0.06	< 0.09		
MW-PB-19	05/08/12	< 0.10	< 0.07	< 0.18	< 0.13	< 0.13	0.48 ± 0.20	< 0.03	0.36 ± 0.17		
MW-PB-2	05/08/12	< 0.12	< 0.03	< 0.11	< 0.05	< 0.04	4.23 ± 0.60	< 0.05	2.90 ± 0.48		
MW-PB-20	05/07/12	< 0.08	< 0.03	< 0.14	< 0.08	< 0.07	< 0.14	< 0.04	< 0.14		
MW-PB-21	05/07/12	< 0.07	< 0.03	< 0.10	< 0.02	< 0.07	< 0.10	< 0.03	< 0.10		
MW-PB-22	05/07/12	< 0.14	< 0.05	< 0.16	< 0.07	< 0.13	< 0.14	< 0.03	< 0.16		
MW-PB-24	05/07/12	< 0.08	< 0.07	< 0.10	< 0.17	< 0.16	< 0.12	< 0.10	< 0.09	< 68.1	< 3.7
MW-PB-25	05/07/12	< 0.06	< 0.02	< 0.06	< 0.08	< 0.08	1.69 ± 0.35	< 0.05	0.87 ± 0.24	< 130.6	< 3.6
MW-PB-26	05/07/12	< 0.08	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.05	< 0.06	11.89 ± 1.41	< 0.10	5.98 ± 0.86	< 33.7	< 3.6
MW-PB-27	05/07/12	< 0.07	< 0.05	< 0.06	< 0.05	< 0.15	6.51 ± 1.27	< 0.03	1.95 ± 0.50	< 95.6	< 3.6
MW-PB-28	05/08/12	< 0.02	< 0.03	< 0.08	< 0.02	< 0.10	0.36 ± 0.17	< 0.05	0.25 ± 0.14		
MW-PB-29	05/08/12	< 0.06	< 0.03	< 0.06	< 0.16	< 0.14	< 0.08	< 0.04	< 0.08		
MW-PB-29	05/08/12	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.04	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.07	< 0.03	< 0.07		
MW-PB-29	05/08/12 EIML	< 0.05	< 0.06	< 0.05	< 0.09	< 0.09	< 0.11	< 0.06	< 0.06		
MW-PB-3	05/08/12	< 0.10	< 0.06	< 0.15	< 0.07	< 0.09	4.34 ± 0.58	0.17 ± 0.11	2.62 ± 0.43		
MW-PB-3	05/08/12 Reanalysis	5					3.75 ± 0.55	< 0.05	2.48 ± 0.43		
MW-PB-30	05/08/12	< 0.05	< 0.03	< 0.06	< 0.02	< 0.04	< 0.11	< 0.03	< 0.09		
MW-PB-4	05/07/12	< 0.08	< 0.07	< 0.10	< 0.12	< 0.10	< 0.12	< 0.04	< 0.12	< 98.1	< 3.7
MW-PB-7	05/09/12	< 0.14	< 0.08	< 0.13	< 0.06	< 0.05	< 0.10	< 0.03	< 0.10		
MW-PB-8	05/09/12	< 0.11	< 0.07	< 0.11	< 0.09	< 0.05	< 0.19	< 0.05	< 0.14		
MW-PB-31	05/08/12	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.08	< 0.16	< 0.11	< 0.08	< 0.04	< 0.06		
U/2 YARD DRAIN	05/07/12	< 0.03	< 0.07	< 0.08	< 0.19	< 0.10	< 0.08	< 0.08	< 0.06		
U/3 YARD DRAIN	05/07/12	< 0.02	< 0.04	< 0.10	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.21 ± 0.12	< 0.03	< 0.04		

TABLE B-II.1CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED
AS PART OF THE RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION PROGRAM,
PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE		ION	H-3	
	DATE			
SW-PB-1	02/06/12		< 188	
SW-PB-1	05/08/12		< 178	
SW-PB-1	07/30/12		< 176	
SW-PB-1	11/07/12		< 198	
SW-PB-5	02/06/12		< 189	
SW-PB-5	05/09/12		< 178	
SW-PB-5	05/09/12		< 175	
SW-PB-5	05/09/12	EIML	< 148	
SW-PB-5	07/30/12		< 174	
SW-PB-5	11/07/12		< 199	
SW-PB-6	02/06/12		< 189	
SW-PB-6	05/09/12		< 175	
SW-PB-6	05/09/12		< 175	
Sw-PB-6	05/09/12	EIML	< 148	
SW-PB-6	07/30/12		< 175	
SW-PB-6	11/07/12		< 197	

TABLE B-II.2CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED AS PART OF THE RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION
PROGRAM, PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION DATE	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Zn-65	Nb-95	Zr-95	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ba-140	La-140
SW-PB-1	05/08/12	< 3	< 3	< 8	< 4	< 7	< 4	< 7	< 7	< 4	< 4	< 17	< 8
SW-PB-5	05/09/12	< 5	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 8	< 5	< 11	< 9	< 5	< 5	< 21	< 9
SW-PB-5	05/09/12	< 5	< 6	< 11	< 5	< 11	< 6	< 9	< 9	< 5	< 6	< 25	< 11
SW-PB-5	05/09/12 EIMI	_ < 3	< 3	< 5	< 2	< 4	< 4	< 6	< 10	< 2	< 3	< 12	< 4
SW-PB-6	05/09/12	< 5	< 4	< 11	< 5	< 9	< 6	< 10	< 9	< 5	< 6	< 25	< 6
SW-PB-6	05/09/12	< 4	< 4	< 11	< 5	< 9	< 5	< 9	< 8	< 4	< 5	< 23	< 6
SW-PB-6	05/09/12 EIML	_ < 2	< 2	< 6	< 3	< 4	< 3	< 7	< 8	< 3	< 3	< 20	< 4

RESULTS IN UNITS OF PCI/LITER ± 2 SIGMA

TABLE B-III.1CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN PRECIPITATION WATER SAMPLES
COLLECTED AS PART OF THE RADIOLOGICAL GROUNDWATER PROTECTION
PROGRAM, PEACH BOTTOM ATOMIC POWER STATION, 2012

SITE	COLLECTION	H-3
	DATE	
1A	02/02/12 <	: 174
1A	03/01/12 <	: 192
1A	03/29/12 <	: 171
1A	05/03/12 <	: 164
1A	05/31/12 <	: 188
1A	06/28/12 <	: 182
1A	08/02/12 <	: 178
1A	08/30/12 <	< 164
1A	09/27/12 <	: 173
1A 1B	11/01/12 <	< 169 470
18	02/02/12 <	< 173 - 197
10	03/01/12	< 107 < 192
10 18	05/03/12	165
1B 1B	05/31/12	188
1B	06/28/12 <	< 184
1B	08/02/12 <	: 177
1B	08/30/12 <	< 161
1B	09/27/12	169
18	11/01/12	176
10	02/02/12	< 170
10	02/02/12	< 17Z
15	03/01/12 <	104
15	03/29/12 <	\$ 163
15	05/03/12 <	< 163
1S	05/31/12 <	< 188
1S	06/28/12 <	< 190
1S	08/02/12 <	< 174
1S	08/30/12 <	< 166
1S	09/27/12 <	< 170
1S	11/01/12 <	< 176
1Z	02/02/12 <	< 174
1Z	03/01/12 <	< 188
1Z	03/29/12 <	< 180
1Z	05/03/12 <	< 162
1Z	05/31/12 •	< 187
1Z	06/28/12 •	< 183
1Z	08/02/12 •	< 176
1Z	08/30/12	< 167
17	09/27/12	< 171
17	11/01/12	< 176
4M	02/02/12	< 177
-+IVI 4 h A	02/02/12	< 196
4111	03/01/12	< 170
4IVI	03/29/12	- 1/9
4M	05/03/12	5 165
4M	05/31/12	< 187
4M	06/28/12	< 184
4M	08/02/12	< 179
4M	08/30/12	< 159
4M	09/27/12	< 169
4M	11/01/12	< 177