Below are the names and signatures of the personnel who performed the seismic walkdowns.

Ben Frazier

Kevin Gantz

Ben fragier Kern Mit

Mojtaba Oghbaei

Craig Swanner

James Wiggin

The order of the Seismic Walkdown Checklists (SWCs) for Unit 2 is shown in Table C-1 below and the order of the SWCs for Unit 0 (common) is shown in Table C-2.

The "Anchorage Configuration Confirmation" column is described in Section 5.2.1 of this report. The last column in Tables C-1 and C-2 provides the corresponding Area Walk-By Checklist (AWC). (AWCs are included in Appendix D of this report.) AWC identifiers with asterisks (*) indicate the second or subsequent SWEL item included with a specific Area Walk-By.

Component ID	Description	Anchor Configuration Confirmed?	AWC-Ux- YY
20A016	Emergency 4kV Aux Switchgear (E22)	N	U2-30*
20A015	Emergency 4kV Aux Switchgear (E12)	N	U2-9*
20B037	Reactor Area MCC E224-R-B	N	U2-29
20B060	Turbine Area MCC E224-T-B	Y	U2-30
20B324	MO-2-23-015 Motor Control Power	Y	U2-28
20B325	RCIC INBD Iso. Valve MO2-13-15	Y	U2-28*
208338	Remote Motor Starter MO-2-10-16D	V	112-28*
20C003	Reactor and Containment Cooling and Isolation	Y	U0-7*
20C004C	RCIC Control Panel	Y	U0-7*
20C005A	Reactor Manual Control Panel	Y	U0-7
20C006C	Main Control Room Console	Y	U0-7*
20C39	HPCI Relay Cabinet	Y	U2-2*
20C722A	Accident Monitoring Instrumentation Panel	Y	U2-2
20C87	HPCI Instrument Rack	N	U2-11
20C95	RCIC Instrument Rack	N	U2-11*
20D37	Static Inverter	N	U2-12
20D43	HPCI Aux Lube Oil Pump Starter	N	U2-27*
20E105	HPCI Turbine Lube Oil Cooler	N	U2-27*
20P033, 20P038	HPCI Booster Pump, Pump	Y	U2-27
20P036 & 20S038	RCIC Pump & Turbine	Y	U2-16
20\$037	HPCI Turbine	Y	U2-27*
20X032	Reactor Area Load Center E324 N		U2-31
20X033	Load Center E424 Transformer	N	U2-7*
20X133	Panel 20Y33 Transformer	N	U2-9
20X30	Load Center Transformer E124	N	U2-7
20Y050	120V AC Distribution Panel 2C	N	U2-1
20Y35	120V AC Distribution Panel 2C	N	U2-3
2AC65	RX Vessel Level and Pressure Instrument Rack A	Y .	U2-5*
2AD01	125V DC Battery 2A	Y	U2-15
2AD025	125V DC Distribution Panel	N	U2-2*
2AD03	Battery Charger 2A	Y	U2-23
2AV060	HPSW Pump Room Supply Fan A	N	U2-18
2BC270	Steam B Leak Monitor Cabinet	N	U0-6*
2BC65	RX Vessel Level and Pressure Instrument Rack B	Y	U2-5*
2BD01	125V DC Battery 2B	Y	U2-14
2BE24	RHR Heat Exchanger B	N	U2-20*

Table C-1. Unit 2 Seismic Walkdown Checklists (SWCs)

....

۰.

Component ID	Description	Anchor Configuration Confirmed?	AWC-Ux- YY
2BE55	RCIC Pump Room Cooling Coil B	Y	U2-16*
2BE56	HPCI Pump Room Cooling Coil B	Y	U2-27*
2BP037	Core Spray Pump B	Y	U2-10*
2BS377	Back-up N2 Supply to ADS	Y	U2-4*
2CP042	High Pressure Service Water Pump C	Y	U2-18*
2DD03	Battery Charger 2D	Y	U2-13
2DE24	RHR Heat Exchanger D	N	U2-19*
2DP035	RHR Pump D	Y	U2-19
2EE57	Core Spray Room B Cooling Coil E	Y	U2-10*
2FE57	Core Spray Room B Cooling Coil	Y	U2-10*
2GE58	RHR Room D Cooling Coil G	Y	U2-17
2GT545	Instrument N2 Accumulator	Y	U2-26*
2KT545	Instrument N2 Accumulator	Y	U2-26*
A02-03-033	Scram Discharge Volume Outboard Isolation Valve	N/A	U2-4*
A02-03-036	Scram Discharge Volume Inboard Isolation Valve	N/A	U2-4*
AO2-01-080A	Inboard Main Steam Isolation Valve A	N/A	U2-25*
AO2-01-080B	Inboard Main Steam Isolation Valve B	N/A	U2-25*
AO2-01-086A	Outboard Main Steam Isolation Valve	Iain Steam Isolation Valve N/A	
DPS20224-4	HPSW Pump Room DP Sensor	N	U2-18*
H02-23C-4513	HPCI Turbine Stop Valve	N/A	U2-27*
HCU-30-23	Hydraulic Control Unit	Y	U2-6
LI2-2-3-113	Reactor Water Level	N	U0-7*
LI2-3-86	Reactor Vessel High Water	N	U0-7*
LI-8027	Torus Water Level	N	U0-7*
LR/TR-8123B	Torus Water Level/Temperature Recorder	N	U0-7*
LS2-23-91B	Suppression Pool Level Switch	N	U2-10
LT-8123A	Torus Water Level Transmitter for LR- 8123A	N	U2-10*
MO2-06-029B	Feedwater Stop Valve	N/A	U2-26*
MO2-10-013D	RHR Pump D Torus Suction	N/A	U2-19*
MO2-10-015B	RHR Pump B Shutdown Cooling N/A		U2-21
MO2-10-018	RHR Shutdown Cooling Suction Inboard Isolation Valve	N/A	U2-25
MO2-10-174	HPSW to RHR Emergency Inner Cross-tie	N/A	U2-20
MO2-23-014	HPCI Turbine Steam Supply Valve	N/A	U2-27*
MO2-30-2233A	Unit 2 Sluice Gate A	Y	U2-22
MO-2-32-2486	HPSW Return Valve to Discharge Pond	N/A	U0-1*
MO2-48-2804A	HPSW Discharge Inlet Outer Valve	N/A	U0-5

Table C-1. Unit 2 Seismic Walkdown Checklists (SWCs)

Component ID	Description	Anchor Configuration Confirmed?	AWC-Ux- YY
P0D-2-40H-	HPSW Pump Room Outside Air	N	U2-18*
20223-3	Supply Damper		
P0D-2-40H- 20223-4	HPSW Pump Room Exhaust Return to Room Damper	N	U2-18*
PT2-2-3-404A	Reactor Pressure Transmitter	Y	U2-5
PT-2508A	Containment Drywell Pressure Transmitter for PR-2508	N	U2-8
PT2-06-53-B	Reactor Wide Range Pressure Transmitter	Y	U2-5*
RV2-02-071G	Safety Relief Valve G	N/A	U2-26*
RV2-02-071K	Safety Relief Valve K	N/A	U2-26
SV2-23A-4543	HPCI Turbine Stop Valve Remote Trip Valve	N/A	U2-27*
SV-2-3-33	Instrument Air Solenoid Valve	N	U2-4*
SV-2-3-36	Instrument Air Solenoid Valve	N	U2-4
TS-20224-01	HPSW & ESW Equipment Room TS	N	U2-18*
TS-20224-02	HPSW & ESW Pump Room	N	U2-18*

Table C-1. Unit 2 Seismic Walkdown Checklists (SWCs)

Sheet 1 of 2 Status Y N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No. <u>E22</u> Equip. Class ¹² (03) Meduin V	Noltage Switchgenr
Equipment Description (20 AO16) Energency Aux Switch genr	
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>135</u> Room, Area <u>T271</u> Bldze Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of a SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting	an item of equipment on the ne results of judgments and g other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	<u>ү</u> П м 🗖
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Anchorage in Speed condition	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	
¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Eq	uipment.

< C-3 ≻

E22 Equip. Class¹² (03) Medium Voltage Equipment ID No. (20A016) Emergency Aux Switchgear Equipment Description **Interaction Effects** YEND UD NAD 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? taisets identified No solt+ 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, YX NI U N/A and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? reinforced. masonry halls Nerd 40 with 910 \$40, Rev. 26 and Spec. M-701, Rev. 4, block walls adequate flexibility to avoid damage? YELNO UD N/AD Der 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free YAND UD of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could YXX ND UD adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? <u>Comments</u> (Additional pages may be added as necessary) IFEEE - Panel door latching adequately secured. Mo 10/10/2012 Date: 10/8/12 [0/8/12 ashbiee Evaluated by:

< C-4 >

UNCOL 2 UL 2









C-8





Seismic	Walkdown	-Check	ist ((SWC)-
Q Q1Q1110					

Equipment ID No. Class ¹² (03) Medium Voltage Switch gear
Equipment Description E 22 Switch gear
Location: Bldg. Tor bine Floor El. 135 Room, Area 72-171
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)
Instructions for Completing Checklist
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.
Anchorage Information And Anchorage
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one VX) NZ of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? YX NI UNAI Pour weld quality roted but adequate
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface YX ND UD N/AD oxidation?
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? $Y \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? Y□ N□ U□ N/A⊠ (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)
 6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of YZ N□ U□ potentially adverse seismic conditions? Adequate weld area per 20A015 SEWS.

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

Sneet 2 of 2

Equipment Description E22 Switchgear	·
Bons 9/25/12_	Assie
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	
	and the second
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	
Block walls - SAFETY-RELATED PER PRAPS SPECIFICATION NO. No II/E concerns	4-701, REV. I (BLOCK WALL 40-14, АНД 40.
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	
	,
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	
	2.00
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	םט שא איץ
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
IPEEE-LATCH - Front and back panels adequately	secured.
SPARE BREAKER - NO Spare breakers in the room.	
Evaluated by: Bur Fry	Date:/75/12
<i>Ai</i>	

< C-4 >

٩.





Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No : RS-12-173

Equipment ID: 20A015 (E12)









09 17 2012 21 17



Sheet 1 of 2 Status YN U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No. <u>E224-R-B</u> Equip. Class ¹² (01) MCCs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Equipment Description (20. Bo 37) Reactor Area motor Control C	Tenter
Location: Bldg. <u>Reactur</u> Floor El. <u>135</u> Room, Area <u>R2-23</u>	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record t findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documentin	an item of equipment on the he results of judgments and g other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	Y II N
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Anchorage is in good condition	YA NO UO NAO
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YZNI UNAI
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	YZNO UO NAO
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YA NO UO

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻ ⁻

Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID No. $\underline{E224-R-B}$ Equip. $Class^{12}(OI)$ motor C_{OAT}	rol Centers
Equipment Description (20 B 037) Reactor Area Motor Control	Center
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? No soft targets identified.	Y K , N□ U□ N/A□
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? Lighting 's secure.	
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	YA NO UO
ر با الاس المراجع ا المراجع المراجع ا	en e
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	
	· .
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	······
· · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Evaluated by: <u>K.oghbae</u>	Date: 10/4/12
- an Bhann	10/8/12

< C-4 ≻

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No RS-12-173

...



C-19



Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No. <u>E224-T-B</u> Equip. Class ¹² (OI) MCC	
Equipment Description (208060) Turbine Area MCC	
Location: Bldg. Turbine Floor El. 135 Room, Area 72-7	1
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	mer (Unitrol)
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record to findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documentin	an item of equipment on the the results of judgments and g other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YX ND
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? Anchorage Verified by removing front	Y⊈ N□ U□ N/A□
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YKKIN⊡ U⊡ N/A⊡
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Verified per Dwg. El1-45-12 Rev. 12.	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

ł

Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID No. <u>E224-T-B</u> Equip. Class¹² (O1) MCC. Tulbine Area MCC Equipment Description (208060) **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? No III concreme YE NO UO NAO. 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? Masonry wells - north be verified. Safety-related per PBAPS Specification No. M-701, Rev. 1. $\frac{MO}{MP} \frac{1/8}{12}$ tached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? $Y = N \square U \square N/A \square$ 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free YEAND UD of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could YKKNUU adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary) _ Date: <u>9/26/12</u>_____ Evaluated by: Juba

< C-4 ≻





Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No. * RS-12-173

Equipment ID: 20B060

C-24

				·.	St	Sheet 1 of 2 atus: 🕐 N U
Seisn	nic Walkdown C	Checklist (SWC)		elle dia Alternationale dia	an tanàn a	•:
Fauin	nent ID: No 🔿	08324	Fauin Class ¹²	(i) MCC	Power	
Equip	nent Description	MO-2-23	3-015 M	stor Con	traly Tra	instr Ju
Locati Manuf	on: Bldg. <u>Rod wh</u> TUTBI Facturer, Model, E	<u>BMF 9/12/12</u> <u>nstc</u> Floor El re tc. (optional but re	$13 \leq$ Room	, Area ML	SET ROOM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Instru This cl SWEL finding	ctions for Compl hecklist may be us The space below gs. Additional spa	leting Checklist sed to document th v each of the follow ce is provided at th	e results of the S wing questions m he end of this che	eismic Walkdov ay bé used to re cklist for docum	vn of an item of cord the results nenting other co	equipment on t of judgments ar mments.
Ancho	rage					
1.	Is the anchorage of the 50% of SV	configuration veri VEL items requirir	fication required ng such verificati	(i.e., is the item on)?	one YX N	3
2.	Is the anchorage	free of bent. broke	en, missing or loc	ose hardware?	YA NG	
	Ancha	rage verifie	el per Dwg.	6280-E-5	42-2 Revisi	on2
. 3.	Is the anchorage oxidation?	free of corrosion t	hat is more than	mild surface	YZNE] U N/A
4.	Is the anchorage	free of visible crac	cks in the concre	te near the anche	ors? YILNE	
5.	Is the anchorage (Note: This ques which an anchor	configuration con tion only applies in age configuration	sistent with plant f the item is one verification is rea	t documentation of the 50% for quired.)	? YX NC] U N/A
6.	Based on the abo potentially adver	ove anchorage eval rse seismic conditi	luations, is the ar ons?	nchorage free of	YAN	ט נ
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		N	n an		
					1	

. • `,

Sheet 2 of 2

20 0 37 Equipment ID No. _______ Equip. Class¹²____ (I) MCC -23-015 Motor Control sanster Jwitch Mo-Equipment Description Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? YOND UD NAD 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, YEND UD N/AD and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? I concerns. 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could YE NO UO adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? . inspection identified no issues. **<u>Comments</u>** (Additional pages may be added as necessary) cabinet MO 9/29/12 Internal Date: Evaluated by:

< C-4 >





Equipment ID: 20B324



Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)
Equipment ID No. $20B325$ Equip. Class ¹² (1) MCC
Equipment Description RCIC INBO Iso. Value - MO2-13-15 Motor Controls
Location: Bldg. <u>13</u> Floor El. <u>135</u> Room, Area <u>Rectre MG 5et</u> <i>Yidiz BMF Torkine</i> Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and
findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.
Anchorage
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one YX N□ of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface YZ→N□ U□ N/A□ oxidation?
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? $Y \square U \square N/A \square$
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Virtual consistent with Duc. 6280-E-542-1 Rev. 2
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of Y N□ U□ potentially adverse seismic conditions?

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No : RS-12-173

Equipment ID No. 208325 Equip. Class¹² (I) MCC Equipment Description RCIC INBD Iso. Value Motor Controls 12-13-15 **Interaction Effects** 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? YES, NO UD N/AD No soft tarrets 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, VINI UI N/AI and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? No II/I concerns. 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? YOND UD 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** YAND UD 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary) No isques identified in indernal inspection. all a /24/12 HO 9/29/12 Date: Evaluated by: 9/12/12

< C-4.≻

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No. RS-12-173 Sheet 2 of 2

C-31





Sh	eet	ţ10	f 2
status.	Y)N	U

	Status. Y N U
Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)	
A second a second of the second of the second of the second of the	
Equipment ID No. 208338 Equip. Class ¹² (1) MCC	
Equipment Description Remote Motor Startur MOL	2-10-160
Location: Bldg Factor Area Floor El. 135 Room, Area Reche Alau BMF Turbine Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	MB 50t
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documentin	an item of equipment on the the results of judgments and ng other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YX ND
Verified per drawing 6280-E-542-28	Rev 2 "
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YZZNO UO N/AO
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YE NO UO

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID No. 201338 Equip. Class¹² (1) MCC MO-2-10-161 Remote Starter Motor Equipment Description Interaction Effects 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? Y NI UI N/A No soft forgets I doutified. 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, YAND UD N/AD and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? CONCLINS. 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? YEND UD N/AD YZ NO UO 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** YE NO UD 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary) No internal inspection required because hand tools are required for disassembly. 10/3/12 No internal Date: Evaluated by: _

< C-4 >





Sheet 1 of 2 Status: X N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No. 20C003 Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels & Cabinets
Equipment Description <u>Reactor and Containment Cooling and Isolation</u>
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>165</u> Room, Area <u>T2-100</u>
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)
Instructions for Completing Checklist
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.
Anchorage
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one YIX N□ of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? YX N□ U□ N/A□ bott is missing in 20003-01 Cabinet anchorage. IR# 1425673. MO Issue addressed in 10/16/12
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface YX N□ U□ N/A□ oxidation?
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? $Y \boxtimes N \square U \square N/A \square$
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? IXIN_U_NAL (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) we doing meets minimum requirement per Dog. S-1(17 Rev.O. (1/2"-9") stitch weld. minimum 3 webs.
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of YX N□ U□ potentially adverse seismic conditions?

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

≺ C-3 ≻

, A
Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID No. 20C003 Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels	& Cabinets	
Equipment Description <u>Reactor and Containment Cooling and Isolation</u>		
Interaction Effects		
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	Y ∭ N□ U□ N/A□	
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting,	YX N□ U□ N/A□	·
MCR ceiling consistent with Sale 26-5/2 Cale G-106-1 could not be located. see In	-12, Revision O. R 01428651.	••
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?		· `
		الموتحج ر
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	םט םא אַץ)
Other Adverse Conditions		
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?		
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)		
Evaluated by: Ben Jug	Date: <u>10/19/13</u>	
M. Ojhbaci	10/19/12	<u> </u>
~		

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No : RS-12-173



Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No. • RS-12-173





Equipment ID: 20C003

Equipment ID No. 20C004C Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels &	& Cabinets
Equipment Description <u>RCIC Control Panel</u>	
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>165</u> Room, Area <u>T</u>	2-100
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	and the second sec
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record t findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documentin	an item of equipment on the he results of judgments and g other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YX ND
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	YKAN⊡ U⊡ N/A⊡
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Embedded channel in Concrete. No cracks in concrete.	Y K I N□ U□ N/A⊡
 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Anchorege verified to Drawig S_N97, Rev. 0. 	Y⊠ N⊡ U⊡ N/A⊡
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	Y¢Q N□ U□

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID N	No. <u>20C004C</u>	Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control	Panels & Cabine	sts	
Equipment Desc	cription <u>RCIC Control Pa</u>	anel			
Interaction Eff	<u>ects</u>				
7. Are soft	targets free from impact t Joft paysets wat i d	by nearby equipment or structu e-af-cabinet no 8/31/12	res? Y <mark>Z</mark> N	□ U□ N/A□	
8. Are over and mass Damy MCR	chead equipment, distribut onry block walls not likely c from falling tiles no ceiling consistent	tion systems, ceiling tiles and li y to collapse onto the equipment t credible. with calk 2C $-5/z$ -	ighting, $Y \boxtimes N$ nt? $12 , \mu w^{1} sion$	0, Calc	2000 2000
9. Do attac	hed lines have adequate fl	lexibility to avoid damage?	Y X N		1. A.
	t. Sec	for the second sec			
10. Based or of potent	n the above seismic intera tially adverse seismic inte	ction evaluations, is equipment graction effects?	tfree YPAN		n syndi Le
Other Adverse 11. Have you adversely	<u>Conditions</u> u looked for and found no y affect the safety function	o other seismic conditions that on softhe equipment?	could YX N		
<u>Comments (</u> Add	ditional pages may be added	l as necessary)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Evaluated by:	Bar Fry		Date:	10/19/12	
	M. Oghbae			10/19/12	
		1			

`.`_v

•

. •

-9

5.1

-

Equipment ID: 20C004C

Equipment ID No. 20C005A Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels &	& Cabinets
Equipment Description Reactor Manual Control Panel	
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>165</u> Room, Area <u>T</u>	2-100
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Instructions for Completing Checklist	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting	an item of equipment on the the results of judgments and ag other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YX N□
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Y⊠ N□ U□ N/A□
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	YM NO UO N/AO
Anchorage confirmed per 5-1197 steet 1083, Reno, and	1 sheet deg 3, Ner O.
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YX NO UO

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

, 1

< C-3 ≻

Equipment ID No. 20C005A Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels &	Cabinets
Equipment Description Reactor Manual Control Panel	
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	YX NO UO N/AO
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?	
MCR ceiling consistent with Calc 26-5/2-	-12, Revision O, ER 01428651
Call 0-106-1 conta por de locador. rec	
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	YK NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	
No bolting present at connection to adjacent inbinet downertation indicated that bolting is not credited.	Review of design
<u>/</u> /	:
<u>Comments</u> (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
	· ·
Evaluated by: Berfy	Date: 10/19/12
M. Oghbaen	10/19/12
0	

÷

2

≺ C-4 ≻

Equipment ID: 20C005A

Equipment ID No. 20C006C Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels &	& Cabinets
Equipment Description Main Control Room Console	
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>165</u> Room, Area <u>T</u>	2-100
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record t findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documentin	an item of equipment on the the results of judgments and g other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	Y∰S N□ U□ N/A□
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? Embedded channel in concrete. No crack in concrete.	Y 🛛 N 🗆 U 🗋 N/A 🗋 👘 🛷
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	XXX N□ U□ N/A□
Anchorage confirmed per S-1197 sheet 108 3, New O, and	Sheet 2 of I, New O.
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID No. 20C006C Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels	s & Cabinets
Equipment Description Main Control Room Console	8
Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?	
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? MCA ceiling consistent with Calc 26-5/2- Calc 12-106-1 could not be located. See IX	, YX N□ U□ N/A□ 12, Revision 0, 01428651
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	Y⊠ N□ U□ N/A□
$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$	
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	
Other Adverse Conditions	
11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	
Comments (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
Evaluated by: Am Juy	_ Date: 10/19/12
M. Oghbaci	10/19/12
	• • • • • • • • •

i.

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No · RS-12-173

Sheet 1 of 2 Status: N U

Seismic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No. 20C39 Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels & Cabinets	
Equipment Description Accident Monitoring Instrumentation-Panel	
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>150</u> Room, Area <u>T2-81</u>	
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments a findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	the nd
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one YA N□ of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware? YX N UN/A	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface Y⊠ N□ U□ N/A□ oxidation?	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? YXIND UD N/AD stitch Fillet welded to embedded channel. Loncrete adjacent to embedd channel Free of cracks,	lec[
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? Y N□ U□ N/A□ (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Stitch weld verified to drawing S-1198, kw. O cabinet bolded to adjacent cabinets.	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of YX NI UI potentially adverse seismic conditions?	
Cabinet was opened for walkdown inspection.	

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

Interaction Effects	
7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? No $50f+target5$	
8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? flourescent light fixture band mounted, parage for builts not credible. No chiling tiles	ng, YX NI UI N/AI
9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?	
10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?	
Other Adverse Conditions 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?	םט בוא לאלא
<u>Comments</u> (Additional pages may be added as necessary)	
Evaluated by: Ben Jug	Date: <u>8/29/12</u>
& shbain	8/29/12

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No. • RS-12-173

Equipment ID No. 20C722A Equip. Class ¹² (20) Control Panels	& Cabinets	
Equipment Description Accident Monitoring Instrumentation Panel		
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>150</u> Room, Area <u>T2-81</u>		_ (
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)		ξ.
Instructions for Completing Checklist		·
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting the space of t	an item of equipment on the the results of judgments and ng other comments.	1. 1.
Anchorage		
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YX N	· . · .
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	Y⊠ N□ U□ N/A□	945 247
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation? mild guiface Oxidetion	YØIN□ U[] N/A[]	1,4 s
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? BMI ^F plugs flug welds to embedded channel and no 8/29/12 adjacent increte	YN N□ U□ N/A□ Macks in	:.
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.) Plug welds unsistent with drawing 5-1198, R	Y⊠ N□ U□ N/A□ Cev 0 -	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions? Cabinet welded at wp to adjacent cabinet.	¥K] N⊟ U⊟	
¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equ	uipment.	

≺C-3≻

Sheet 2 of 2

Equipment ID No. 20C/22	2A -
-------------------------	------

Equip. Class¹² (20) Control Panels & Cabinets

Equipment Description Accident Monitoring Instrumentation Panel

Interaction Effects

- 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? YN NO UO N/AO No soft targets.
- 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, $Y \boxtimes N \square U \square N / A \square$ and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment?

Flourescent highting is hard mounted. Danage to component from Falling Flourescent bulbs is not credible. No ceiling files, no masonry block wells,

9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage?

- 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free YKO NO UO of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects?

Other Adverse Conditions

11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could YXIND UD adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment?

<u>Comments</u> (Additional pages may be added as necessary)

IPEEE- Adjacent cabinets will be tied together front and back. Table will be blocked and located 50 tipping will not cause impact. Cushoning will be provided between adjacent non-safety cabinets and impact loading will be evaluated.

cabinet welded to adjacent cabinet.

Ben Jay M. Anlac - 8/29/12-- 8/29/12-____ Date: __ Evaluated by:
 <u>
 1
 2
 </u>

Equipment ID No. 20C87 Equip. Class ¹² (18) Instruments on 1	Racks / Not on Racks
Equipment Description HPCI Instrument Rack	
Location: Bldg. <u>Reactor</u> Floor El. <u>88</u> Room, Area <u>R</u>	2-15
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documentin	an item of equipment on the the results of judgments and ag other comments.
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YU NX
	•
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	ע חח אלץ

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

< C-3 ≻

ks
I/A□
I/A 🗖
[/A
:
· •
•
2012

٠.,

Equipment Description <u>RCIC Instrument Rack</u> Location: Bldg. <u>Reactor</u> Floor El. <u>88</u> Room, Area <u>R2-15</u> Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended) Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y NX of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
Location: Bldg. <u>Reactor</u> Floor El. <u>88</u> Room, Area <u>R2-15</u> Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended) Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y□ NX of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
Instructions for Completing Checklist This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage 1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y□ N∑ of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments. Anchorage Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y□ NZ) Anchorage Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y□ NZ)
Anchorage 1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y□ NX of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
 Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one Y NK NK of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?
A Talk and have a Chart has here an include a long have a long of the start
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface Y N□ U□ N/A□ oxidation?
QIN 9/17/2012
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors? THE NIX UN NAM Crock ABOT woll support judged acceptable based on other nearby wall support and inherent support from numerous conduits feeding instrument rack.
 5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? Y□ N□ U□ N/A Y□ N□ U□ N/A Y□ N□ U□ N/A Y□ N□ U□ N/A
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of YX N□ U□ potentially adverse seismic conditions?

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

≺ C-3 ≻

Sheet 2 of 2

Interaction Effects			· .
7. Are soft target:	7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures?		אא בט בא אַץ
8. Are overhead e and masonry b	equipment, distribution systems, ceil lock walls not likely to collapse onto		
	· · · ·		
9. Do attached lir	nes have adequate flexibility to avoid	l damage?	YX NO UO N/AO
10. Based on the a of potentially a	bove seismic interaction evaluations dverse seismic interaction effects?	, is equipment free	
· .			
Other Adverse Cond	itions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11. Have you look adversely affec	ed for and found no other seismic co of the safety functions of the equipm	onditions that could ent?	YX NO UO
		· · ·	
Comments (Additional	l pages may be added as necessary)		
NIA			
,v _l , ,			
		. <u></u>	······
Evaluated by:	no Wicken		Date: <u>9/17/36</u>
/	н Х		

•

Selsmic Walkdown Checklist (SWC)

Equipment ID No. 20D37 Equip. Class ¹² (16) Battery Chargers	and Inverters
Equipment Description Static Inverter	
Location: Bldg. <u>Turbine</u> Floor El. <u>135</u> Room, Area <u>T2</u>	-73
Manufacturer, Model, Etc. (optional but recommended)	
Instructions for Completing Checklist	
This checklist may be used to document the results of the Seismic Walkdown of an item of equipment on the SWEL. The space below each of the following questions may be used to record the results of judgments and findings. Additional space is provided at the end of this checklist for documenting other comments.	
Anchorage	
1. Is the anchorage configuration verification required (i.e., is the item one of the 50% of SWEL items requiring such verification)?	YD NX
2. Is the anchorage free of bent, broken, missing or loose hardware?	
3. Is the anchorage free of corrosion that is more than mild surface oxidation?	
4. Is the anchorage free of visible cracks in the concrete near the anchors?	
5. Is the anchorage configuration consistent with plant documentation? (Note: This question only applies if the item is one of the 50% for which an anchorage configuration verification is required.)	Y□ N□ U□ N/AX
6. Based on the above anchorage evaluations, is the anchorage free of potentially adverse seismic conditions?	YX NO UO

¹² Enter the equipment class name from Appendix B: Classes of Equipment.

Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No RS-12-173

Equipment ID No. 20D37 _ Equip. Class¹² (16) Battery Chargers and Inverters Equipment Description Static Inverter **Interaction Effects** YX NO UO NAO 7. Are soft targets free from impact by nearby equipment or structures? protective ·Encosed Cage in 8. Are overhead equipment, distribution systems, ceiling tiles and lighting, YX NI U N/A and masonry block walls not likely to collapse onto the equipment? overhead, but all properly looded and anchored coble travs ·Several 9. Do attached lines have adequate flexibility to avoid damage? YX NO UO 10. Based on the above seismic interaction evaluations, is equipment free of potentially adverse seismic interaction effects? **Other Adverse Conditions** YN NO UO 11. Have you looked for and found no other seismic conditions that could adversely affect the safety functions of the equipment? **<u>Comments</u>** (Additional pages may be added as necessary) cape built around component including IPEEE: protective drip shield _____ Date: Evaluated by:



08.29.2012 14:30



08.29.2012 14:30





Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station Unit 2 MPR-3815, Revision 3 Correspondence No. • RS-12-173

