

JAMES R. MORRIS

Duke Energy Corporation Catawba Nuclear Station 4800 Concord Road York, SC 29745

803-701-4251 803-701-3221 fax

May 11, 2011

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Subject: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414 2010 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

Pursuant to Catawba Nuclear Station Technical Specification 5.6.2 and Selected Licensee Commitment 16.11-16, please find attached the 2010 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report. This report covers operation of Catawba Units 1 and 2 during the 2010 calendar year.

Any questions concerning this report should be directed to Toni Pasour at (803) 701-3566.

Sincerely,

anear of mor

James R. Morris

Attachment

IL235 MRR

www.duke-energy.com

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2010 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report May 11, 2011 Page 2

xc (with attachment):

V. M. McCree Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region II Marquis One Tower 245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE Suite 1200 Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

J. H. Thompson, NRR Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Mail Stop 8 G9A Washington, D.C. 20555

G. A. Hutto, III, Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Catawba Nuclear Station

Russell Keown, Supervisor Analytical & Radiological Environmental Services Division 2600 Bull Street Columbía, SC 29201

Sandra Flemming, Director Analytical & Radiological Environmental Services Division 8231 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223

Susan E. Jenkins, Manager Radioactive & Infectious Waste Management Division of Waste Management S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201

Tom Knight Contamination Mitigation Section Bureau of Land and Waste Management S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201



Catawba Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2

•


•

•

•

•

•



AREOR

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 2010



0

0

....

0

•

••••

••••

ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION Units 1 and 2

2010



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Execu	itive Summary		· .	•		1-1
2.0	Intro	duction					2-1
	2.1	duction . </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2-1</td>					2-1
	2.2	Scope and Requirements of the REMP					2-1
	2.3	Statistical and Calculational Methodology		•		•	2-2
	2.5	2.3.1 Estimation of the Mean Value	• •		•	•	2-2
		2.3.2 Lower Level of Detection and Minimum Dete	ctable 4	Activi	tv.	•	2-3
		2.3.2 Trend Identification	010101	101111		•	2-3
			• •	•	•	•	2-5
3.0	Inter	pretation of Results .					3-1
5.0	3.1	Airborne Radioiodine and Particulates	• •	•	•	•	3-2
	3.2	Drinking Water	• •		•	·	3-5
	3.2	Drinking Water . . . Surface Water . . . Ground Water . . . Milk . . . Broadleaf Vegetation . . .	• •	•	•	•	3-3 3-7
	3.3 3.4	Surface water	• •	•	•	·	3-7 3-9
	3.4			•	•	•	
	3.5			•	•	•	3-10
	3.6	Broadleaf Vegetation.		•	•	٠	3-11
	3.7	Food Products			•	•	3-13
	3.8	FISN					3-14
	3.9	Shoreline Sediment					3-17
	3.10	Direct Gamma Radiation					3-20
		3.10.1 Environmental TLD					3-20
		3.10.2 ISFSI					3-20
	3.11	Land Use Census					3-25
4.0	Evalu	nation of Dose			•	•	4-1
	4.1	Dose from Environmental Measurements					4-1
	4.2	Estimated Dose from Releases					4-1
	4.3	Comparison of Doses					4-2
5.0	Qual	ity Assurance					5-1
	5.1	Sample Collection					5-1
	5.2	Sample Analysis					5-1
	5.3	Dosimetry Analysis					5-1
	5.4	Laboratory Equipment Quality Assurance					5-1
		5.4.1 Daily Quality Control		-	-	-	5-1
		5.4.2 Calibration Verification	• •	•	•		5-1
		5.4.3 Batch Processing		•	•	·	5-2
	5.5	Duke Energy Intercomparison Program	• •	•	٠	٠	5-2 5-2
	5.6		• •	•	•	•	5-2
	5.0 5.7	ERA Proficiency Testing	• •	•	•	•	5-2 5-2
		Duke Energy Audits	• •	•	•	٠	
	5.8	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Inspections		•	٠	•	5-2
	5.9	State of South Carolina Intercomparison Program	• •	•	•	•	5-3
	5.10	TLD Intercomparison Program		•	•	•	5-3
		5.10.1 Nuclear Technology Services Intercompariso	-	am .	•	•	5-3
		5.10.2 Internal Crosscheck (Duke Energy).		•	٠	•	5-3
6.0	Refe	ences					6-1

Appendices

Appendix	A: Er	ivironmen	ntal Sar	nplir	ig and	d Ana	alysis	Proc	edure	s				•	A-1
I.	Chang	ge of Samp	pling P	roce	dures										A-2
II.		iption of A													A-2
III.		ge of Anal													A-3
IV.	Sampl	ing and A													A-3
	A.1	Airborne	Particu	ulate	and]	Radio	oiodir	ne							A-3
	A.2	Drinking	Water												A-3
	A.3	Surface V											•		A-3
	A.4	Ground V	Water.			•									A-4
	A.5	Milk				•									A-4
	A.6	Broadleat	f Veget	tatio	1										A-4
	A.7	Food Pro	ducts.			•		•				•	•		A-4
							•		•	•	•		•		A-5
	A.9	Shoreline	e Sedim	nent		•	•		•		•		•		A-5
		Direct Ga											•		A-5
		Annual L											•	•	A-5
V .	Globa	l Positioni	ing Svs	tem	(GPS) An	alvsis								A-6
Appendix	B: Rad	diological	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	of R	esults			•	B-1
Appendix	B: Rad Air Pa	diological rticulate	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	of R	esults			•	B-1 B-2
Appendix	B: Rao Air Pa Air Ra	diological rticulate dioiodine	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	of R	esults			• • •	B-1 B-2 B-3
Appendix	B: Rao Air Pa Air Ra Drinki	diological rticulate idioiodine ng Water	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	of R	esults		•	• • •	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4
Appendix	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac	diological rticulate idioiodine ng Water e Water	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	of R	esults		• • •		B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun	diological rticulate idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water	Env. N 	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults		• • • •	• • • •	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk.	diological rticulate idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•		• • • •	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broad	diological rticulate dioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget	Env. N	Moni	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•	• • • •	• • • •	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broadl Food I	diological rticulate Idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products	Env. N	/10ni 	torinį	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•		· · · ·	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broad Food F Fish .	diological rticulate idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products	Env. N 	/Ioni 	torin	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•			B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun- Milk . Broad Food F Fish . Shorel	diological rticulate idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products ine Sedim	Env. N 	40ni - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	toring	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10 B-11
	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broad Food F Fish . Shorel Direct	diological rticulate dioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products ine Sedim Gamma F	Env. N 	Moni	LD)	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•	· · · ·	· · · · ·	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10 B-11 B-12
Appendix	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broad Food F Fish . Shorel Direct C: Sar	diological rticulate dioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products ine Sedim Gamma F npling De	Env. N 	Moni	LD)	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10 B-11 B-12 C-1
Appendix	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broadl Food H Fish . Shorel Direct C: San C.1 S	diological rticulate Idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products ine Sedim Gamma F npling De campling I	Env. N	Moni	LD) Una	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary	/ of R	esults - - - - - - - - - - - - -	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10 B-11 B-12 C-1 C-2
Appendix	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broadl Food H Fish . Shorel Direct C: San C.1 S C.2 U	diological rticulate Idioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products ine Sedim Gamma F npling De ampling I Jnavailabl	Env. N Env. N tation tation Cadiation Deviation Deviation	Moni	torinş LD) d Una	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/ of R - - - - - - - - - - - - -	esults	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10 B-11 B-12 C-1 C-2 C-3
Appendix	B: Rad Air Pa Air Ra Drinki Surfac Groun Milk . Broadl Food H Fish . Shorel Direct C: Sar C.1 S C.2 U D: Ana	diological rticulate dioiodine ng Water e Water d Water leaf Veget Products ine Sedim Gamma F npling De ampling I Jnavailabl alytical De	Env. N Env. N tation Cadiation Deviation eviation	Moni Moni Sanc Ons Sanc Syses Ns	torinș LD) 1 Una	g Pro	gram	- Sun	nmary - - - - - - - - - - - - -	/ of R - - - - - - - - - - - - -	esults	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	B-1 B-2 B-3 B-4 B-5 B-6 B-7 B-8 B-9 B-10 B-11 B-12 C-1 C-2

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1-1	Sampling Locations Map (One Mile Radius)						2-4
2.1-2	Sampling Locations Map (Ten Mile Radius)					•	2-5
3.1	Concentration of Gross Beta in Air Particulate			•			3-2
3.2	Concentration of Tritium in Drinking Water			•			3-6
3.3	Concentration of Tritium in Surface Water .						3-8
3.6	Concentration of Cs-137 in Broadleaf Vegetatio	n					3-11
3.8-1	Concentration of Co-58 in Fish						3-15
3.8-2	Concentration of Co-60 in Fish				•	•	3-15
3.9-1	Concentration of Co-58 in Shoreline Sediment						3-17
3.9-2	Concentration of Co-60 in Shoreline Sediment		•.		•		3-18
3.10-1	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results .		•				3-21
3.10-2	Catawba Inner Ring (TLD) Results						3-23
3.10-3	Catawba Outer Ring (TLD) Results						3-24
3.11	2010 Land Use Census Map						3-26

LIST OF TABLES

0

2.1 - A	Radiological Monitoring Program Sampling Locations			2-6
2.1 - B	Radiological Monitoring Program Sampling Locations (TLD Sites)) .		2-7
2.2-A	Reporting Levels for Radioactivity Concentrations in			
	Environmental Samples			2-8
2.2 - B	REMP Analysis Frequency			2-8
2.2-C	Maximum Values for the Lower Limits of Detection			2-9
3.1-A	Mean Concentration of Gross Beta in Air Particulate			3-3
3.1-B	Mean Concentration of Air Radioiodine (I-131)			3-4
3.2	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Drinking Water			3-6
3.3	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Water			3-8
3.5	Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Milk			3-10
3.6	Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Broadleaf Vegetation .			3-12
3.7	Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Food Products			3-13
3.8	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Fish			3-16
3.9	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Shoreline Sediment .	•		3-19
3.10-A	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results			3-22
3.10-B	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results Inner Ring		•	3-23
3.10-C	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results Outer Ring			3-24
3.11	Land Use Census Results			3-25
4.1-A	2010 Environmental and Effluent Dose Comparison			4-3
4.1-B	Maximum Individual Dose for 2010 based on Environmental			
	Measurements for Catawba Nuclear Station			4-5
5.0-A	2010 Cross-Check Results for EnRad Laboratories			5-4
5.0-B	2010 Environmental Resource Associates Quik [™] Response Program	n.		5-9
5.0-C	2010 Environmental Dosimeter Cross-Check Results			5-11

LIST OF ACRONYMS USED IN THIS TEXT (in alphabetical order)

BW	BiWeekly
С	Control
CNS	Catawba Nuclear Station
DEHNR	Department of Environmental Health and Natural Resources
DHEC	Department of Health and Environmental Control
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ERA	Environmental Resource Associates
GI-LLI	Gastrointestinal – Lower Large Intestine
GPS	Global Positioning System
ISFSI	Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation
LLD	Lower Limit of Detection
Μ	Monthly
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
mrem	Millirem
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ODCM	Offsite Dose Calculation Manual
pCi/kg	picocurie per kilogram
pCi/l	picocurie per liter
pCi/m3	picocurie per cubic meter
PIP	Problem Investigation Program
Q	Quarterly
REMP	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program
SA	Semiannually
SLCs	Selected Licensee Commitments
SM	Semimonthly
TECH SPECs	Technical Specifications
TLD	Thermoluminescent Dosimeter
µCi/ml	microcurie per milliliter
UFSAR	Updated Final Safety Analysis Report
W	Weekly

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


This Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report describes the Catawba Nuclear Station Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP), and the program results for the calendar year 2010.

Included are the identification of sampling locations, descriptions of environmental sampling and analysis procedures, comparisons of present environmental radioactivity levels and preoperational environmental data, comparisons of doses calculated from environmental measurements and effluent data, analysis of trends in environmental radiological data as potentially affected by station operations, and a summary of environmental radiological sampling results. Quality assurance practices, sampling deviations, unavailable samples, and program changes are also discussed.

Sampling activities were conducted as prescribed by Selected Licensee Commitments (SLCs). Required analyses were performed and detection capabilities were met for all collected samples as required by SLCs. Eight-hundred ninety-six samples were analyzed comprising 1,212 test results in order to compile data for the 2010 report. Based on the annual land use census, the current number of sampling sites for Catawba Nuclear Station is sufficient.

Concentrations observed in the environment in 2010 for station related radionuclides were generally within the ranges of concentrations observed in the past. Inspection of data showed that radioactivity concentrations in surface water, drinking water, shoreline sediment, and fish are higher than the activities reported for samples collected prior to the operation of the station. Measured concentrations were not higher than expected, and all positively identified measurements were within limits as specified in SLCs.

Additionally, environmental radiological monitoring data is consistent with effluents introduced into the environment by plant operations. The total body dose estimated to the maximum exposed member of the public as calculated by environmental sampling data, excluding TLD results, was 2.07E-01 mrem for 2010. It is therefore concluded that station operations has had no significant radiological impact on the health and safety of the public or the environment.



2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION AND SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Duke Energy Corporation's Catawba Nuclear Station is a two-unit facility located on the shore of Lake Wylie in York County, South Carolina. Each of the two essentially identical units employs a pressurized water reactor nuclear steam supply system furnished by Westinghouse Electric Corporation. Each generating unit is designed to produce a net electrical output of approximately 1145 MWe. Units 1 and 2 achieved initial criticality on January 7, 1985, and May 8, 1986, respectively.

Condenser cooling is accomplished utilizing a closed system incorporating cooling towers, instead of using lake water directly. Liquid effluents are released into Lake Wylie via the station discharge canal and are not accompanied by the large additional dilution water flow associated with "once-through" condenser cooling. This design results in greater radionuclide concentrations in the discharge canal given comparable liquid effluent source terms.

Figures 2.1-1 and 2.1-2 are maps depicting the Thermoluminescent Dosimeter (TLD) monitoring locations and the sampling locations. The location numbers shown on these maps correspond to those listed in Tables 2.1-A and 2.1-B. Figure 2.1-1 comprises all sample locations within a one mile radius of CNS. Figure 2.1-2 comprises all sample locations within a 10 mile radius of CNS.

2.2 SCOPE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE REMP

An environmental monitoring program has been in effect at Catawba Nuclear Station since 1981, four years prior to operation of Unit 1 in 1985. The preoperational program provides data on the existing environmental radioactivity levels for the site and vicinity which may be used to determine whether increases in environmental levels are attributable to the station. The operational program provides surveillance and backup support of detailed effluent monitoring which is necessary to evaluate the significance, if any, of the contributions to the existing environmental radioactivity levels that result from station operation.

This monitoring program is based on NRC guidance as reflected in the Selected Licensee Commitments Manual, with regard to sample media, sampling locations, sampling frequency and analytical sensitivity requirements. Indicator and control locations were established for comparison purposes to distinguish radioactivity of station origin from natural or other "manmade" environmental radioactivity. The environmental monitoring program also verifies projected and anticipated radionuclide concentrations in the environment and related exposures from releases of radionuclides from Catawba Nuclear Station. This program satisfies the requirements of Section IV.B.2 of Appendix I to 10CFR50 and provides surveillance of all appropriate critical exposure pathways to man and protects vital interests of the company,

public and state and federal agencies concerned with the environment. Reporting levels for activity found in environmental samples are listed in Table 2.2-A. Table 2.2-B lists the REMP analysis and frequency schedule. The Annual Land Use Census, required by Selected Licensee Commitments, is performed to ensure that changes in the use of areas at or beyond the site boundary are identified and that modifications to the REMP are made if required by changes in land use. This census satisfies the requirements of Section IV.B.3 of Appendix I to 10CFR50. Results are shown in Table Participation in an interlaboratory comparison program as required by Selected Licensee Commitments provides for independent checks on the precision and accuracy of measurements of radioactive material in REMP sample matrices. Such checks are performed as part of the quality assurance program for environmental monitoring in order to demonstrate that the results are valid for the purposes of Section IV.B.2 of Appendix I to 10CFR50. A summary of the

2.3 STATISTICAL AND CALCULATIONAL METHODOLOGY

results obtained as part of this comparison program are in Section 5 of this annual report.

2.3.1 **ESTIMATION OF THE MEAN VALUE**

There was one (1) basic statistical calculation performed on the raw data resulting from the environmental sample analysis program. The calculation involved the determination of the mean value for the indicator and the control samples for each sample medium. The mean is a widely used statistic. This value was used in the reduction of the data generated by the sampling and analysis of the various media in the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program. "Net activity (or concentration)" is the activity (or concentration) determined to be present in the sample. No "Minimum Detectable Activity", "Lower Limit of Detection", "Less Than Level", or negative activities or concentrations are included in the calculation of the mean. The following equation was used to estimate the mean (reference 6.8):

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i}{N}$$

Where:

3.11.

 \overline{x} = estimate of the mean.

i = individual sample,

N =total number of samples with a net activity (or concentration),

 χ_i = net activity (or concentration) for sample i.

2.3.2 <u>LOWER LEVEL OF DETECTION AND MINIMUM</u> <u>DETECTABLE ACTIVITY</u>

The Lower Level of Detection (LLD), and Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) are used throughout the REMP.

LLD - The LLD, as defined in the Selected Licensee Commitments Manual is the smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that will yield a net count, above the system background, that will be detected with 95% probability with only 5% probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a "real" signal. The LLD is an *a priori* lower limit of detection. The actual LLD is dependent upon the standard deviation of the background counting rate, the counting efficiency, the sample size (mass or volume), the radiochemical yield and the radioactive decay of the sample between sample collection and counting. The "required" LLD's for each sample medium and selected radionuclides are given in the Selected Licensee Commitments and are listed in Table 2.2-C.


MDA - The MDA is the net counting rate (sample after subtraction of background) that must be surpassed before a sample is considered to contain a scientifically measurable amount of a radioactive material exceeding background amounts. The MDA is calculated using a sample background and may be thought of as an "actual" LLD for a particular sample measurement.

2.3.3 TREND IDENTIFICATION

One of the purposes of an environmental monitoring program is to determine if there is a buildup of radionuclides in the environment due to the operation of the nuclear station. Visual inspection of tabular or graphical presentations of data (including preoperational) is used to determine if a trend exists. A decrease in a particular radionuclide's concentration in an environmental medium does not indicate that reactor operations are removing radioactivity from the environment but that reactor operations are not adding that radionuclide to the environment in quantities exceeding the preoperational level and that the normal removal processes (radioactive decay, deposition, resuspension, etc.) are influencing the concentration.

Substantial increases or decreases in the amount of a particular radionuclide's release from the nuclear plant will greatly affect the resulting environmental levels; therefore, a knowledge of the release of a radionuclide from the nuclear plant is necessary to completely interpret the trends, or lack of trends, determined from the environmental data. Factors that may affect environmental levels of radionuclides include prevailing weather conditions (periods of drought, solar cycles or heavier than normal precipitation), construction in or around either the nuclear plant or the sampling location, and addition or deletion of other sources of radioactive materials (such as the Chernobyl accident). Some of these factors may be obvious while others are sometimes unknown. Therefore, how trends are identified will include some judgment by plant personnel.

Figure 2.1-1



Section 2 - Page 4

Figure 2.1-2




TABLE 2.1-A

CATAWBA RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM SAMPLING LOCATIONS

	Table 2.1-A Codes									
W	Weekly	Semimonthly								
BW	BiWeekly	Q	Quarterly							
M	Monthly	SA	Semiannually							
С	Control	Ι	Indicator							

Site #	Measure Type	Location Description*	Air Rađ. & Part.	Surface Water	Drinking Water	Shoreline Sediment	Food Products (a)	Fish	Milk	Broad Leaf Veg. (b)	Ground Water
200	I	Site Boundary (0.63 mi NNE)	W							М	
201	I	Site Boundary (0.53 mi NE)	W							М	
205	I	Site Boundary (0.25 mi SW)	W								
208	1	Discharge Canal (0.45 mi S)		М		SA		SA			
210	I	Ebenezer Access (2.31 mi SE)				SA					
211	I	Wylie Dam (4.06 mi ESE)		М							
212	I	Tega Cay (3.32 mi E)	W								
214	I	Rock Hill Water Supply (7.30 mi SSE)			М						
215	С	River Pointe - Hwy 49 (4.21 mi NNE)		M		SA					
216	С	Hwy 49 Bridge (4.19 mi NNE)						SA			
218	С	Belmont Water Supply (13.5 mi NNE)			М						
221	С	Dairy (14.5 mi NW)							SM		
222	I	Site Boundary (0.70 mi N)								М	
226	I	Site Boundary (0.48 mi S)								М	
252	I	Residence (0.64 mi SW)									Q
253	I	Irrigated Gardens (1.90 mi SSE)					M(a)				
254	I	Residence (0.82 mi N)									Q
258	С	Fairhope Road (9.84 mi W)	W							М	

(a) During Harvest Season

(b) When Available

* GPS data reflect approximate accuracy to within 2-5 meters. GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest.

TABLE 2.1-B

CATAWBA RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM SAMPLING LOCATIONS (TLD SITES)

Table 2.1-B Codes									
IR	IR Inner Ring OR Outer Ring								
C Control SI Special Interest									

•

•

•

•

Site #	Measure Type	Location*	Distance (miles)	Sector	Site #	Measure Type	Location*	Distance (miles)	Sector
200	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.63	NNE	234	OR	WACHOVIA BANK	4.50	Е
201	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.53	NE	235	OR	LAKE WYLIE DAM	4.07	ESE
203	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.38	ESE	236	OR	SC WILDLIFE FEDERATION OFFICE	4.25	SE
204	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.48	ssw	237	OR	TWIN LAKES ROAD AND HOMESTEAD ROAD	4.75	SSE
205	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.25	sw	238	OR	PENNINGTON ROAD AND WEST OAK ROAD	4.02	s
206	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.67	WNW	239	OR	CARTER LUMBER COMPANY	4.49	ssw
207	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.95	NNW	240	OR	PARAHAM ROAD	4.07	sw
212	SI	TEGA CAY AIR SITE	3.32	E	241	OR	CAMPBELL ROAD	4.58	wsw
217	С	OLD ROCK HILL AIR SITE	10.3	SSE	242	OR	TRANSMISSION TOWER ON PARAHAM ROAD	4.56	w
222	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.71	N	243	OR	KINGSBERRY ROAD	4.39	WNW
223	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.57	E	244	OR	BETHEL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	4.02	NW
225	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.68	SE	245	OR	CROWDERS CREEK BOAT LANDING	4.01	NNW
226	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.48	S	246	SI	CAROWINDS GUARD HOUSE	7.87	ENE
227	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.52	wsw	247	С	FORT MILL	7.33	ESE
228	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.61	w	248	SI	PIEDMONT MEDICAL CENTER	6.54	S
229	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.84	NW	249	SI	YORK COUNTY OPERATIONS CENTER	7.17	S
230	OR	RIVER HILLS CHURCH	4.37	N	250	SI	YORK DUKE POWER OFFICE	10.4	wsw
231	OR	RIVER HILLS FRONT ENTRANCE	4.21	NNE	251	С	CLOVER	9.72	WNW
232	OR	PLEASANT HILL ROAD	4.18	NE	255	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.61	ENE
233	OR	ZOAR ROAD AND THOMAS DRIVE	3.95	ENE	256	IR	SITE BOUNDARY	0.58	SSE
					258	SI	FAIRHOPE ROAD	9.84	w

* GPS data reflect approximate accuracy to within 2-5 meters. GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest.

TABLE 2.2-A

REPORTING LEVELS FOR RADIOACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

Analysis	Water (pCi/liter)	Air Particulates or Gases (pCi/m ³)	Fish (pCi/kg-wet)	Milk (pCi/liter)	Food Products (pCi/kg-wet)
H-3	20,000 ^{(a),(b)}				
Mn-54	1,000		30,000		
Fe-59	400		10,000		
Co-58	1,000		30,000		
Co-60	300		10,000		
Zn-65	300		20,000		
Zr-Nb-95	400				
I-131	2	0.9		3	100
Cs-134	30	10	1,000	60	1,000
Cs-137	50	20	2,000	70	2,000
Ba-La-140	200			300	

(a) If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 30,000 pCi/liter may be used.

(b) H-3 Reporting level not applicable to surface water

TABLE 2.2-B

Sample	Analysis	Gamma	Tritium	Low Level	Gross	TLD
Medium	Schedule	Isotopic		I-131		
Air Radioiodine	Weekly	X				
Air Particulate	Weekly	X			Х	
Direct Radiation	Quarterly					X
Surface	Monthly Composite	Х				
Water	Quarterly Composite		X			
Drinking	Monthly Composite	Х		(a)	Х	
Water	Quarterly Composite		x			
Ground Water	Quarterly	Х	Х			
Shoreline Sediment	Semiannually	X				
Milk	Semimonthly	X		X		
Fish	Semiannually	X			_	
Broadleaf Vegetation	Monthly ^(b)	Х				
Food Products	Monthly ^(b)	X				

REMP ANALYSIS FREQUENCY

(a) Low-level I-131 analysis will be performed if the dose calculated for the consumption of drinking water is > 1 mrem per year. An LLD of 1 pCi/liter will be required for this analysis.

(b) When Available

TABLE 2.2-C

Analysis	Water (pCi/liter)	Air Particulates or Gases (pCi/m ³)	Fish (pCi/kg-wet)	Milk (pCi/liter)	Food Products (pCi/kg-wet)	Sediment (pCi/kg-dry)
Gross Beta	4	0.01				
H-3	2000 ^(a)					
Mn-54	15		130			
Fe-59	30		260			
Co-58, 60	15		130			
Zn-65	30		260			
Zr-Nb-95	15					
I-131	1 ^(b)	0.07		1	60	
Cs-134	15	0.05	130	15	60	150
Cs-137	18	0.06	150	18	80	180
Ba-La-140	15			15		

MAXIMUM VALUES FOR THE LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION

(a) If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 3000 pCi/liter may be used.

•

(b) If no drinking water pathway exists, the LLD of gamma isotopic analysis may be used.

3.0 INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Õ

Review of all 2010 REMP analysis results was performed to identify changes in environmental levels as a result of station operations. The following section depicts and explains the review of these results. Sample data for 2010 was compared to preoperational and historical data. Over the years of operation, analysis and collection changes have taken place that do not allow direct comparisons for some data collected from 1984 (preoperational) through 2010. Summary tables containing 2010 information required by Technical Specification Administrative Control 5.6.2 are located in Appendix B.

Evaluation for significant trends was performed for radionuclides that are listed as required within Selected Licensee Commitments 16.11-13. The radionuclides include: H-3, Mn-54, Fe-59, Co-58, Co-60, Zn-65, Zr-95, Nb-95, I-131, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140 and La-140. Gross beta analysis results were trended for drinking water and gross beta trending for air particulates was initiated in 1996. Other radionuclides detected that are the result of plant operation, but not required for reporting, are trended.

A comparison of annual mean concentrations of effluent-based detected radionuclides to historical results provided trending bases. Frequency of detection and concentrations related to SLC reporting levels (Table 2.2-A) were used as criteria for trending conclusions. All 2010 maximum percentages of reporting levels were well below the 100% action level. The highest value noted during 2010 was 4.97% for H-3 in drinking water.

Selected Licensee Commitment section 16.11-13 addresses actions to be taken if radionuclides other than those required are detected in samples collected. The occurrences of these radionuclides are the result of CNS liquid effluents which contained the radionuclides.

During 1979-1986, all net activity results (sample minus background), both positive and negative were included in calculation of sample mean. A change in the EnRad gamma spectroscopy system on September 1, 1987, decreased the number of measurements yielding detectable low-level activity for indicator and control location samples. It was thought that the method used by the previous system was vulnerable to false-positive results.

All 2010 sample analysis results were reviewed to detect and identify any significant trends. Tables and graphs are used throughout this section to display data from effluent-based radionuclides identified since the system change in late 1987. All negative concentration values were replaced with zero for calculation purposes. Any zero concentrations used in tables or graphs represent activity measurements less than detectable levels.

Review of all 2010 data presented in this section supports the conclusion that there were no significant changes in environmental sample radionuclide concentrations of samples collected and analyzed from CNS site and surrounding areas that were attributable to plant operations.


3.1 AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND PARTICULATES

In 2010, 264 radioiodine and particulate samples were analyzed, 211 from four indicator locations and 53 at the control location. Particulate samples were analyzed weekly for gamma and gross beta. Radioiodine samples received a weekly gamma analysis.


Figure 3.1 shows individual sample gross beta results for the indicator location with highest annual mean and the control location samples during 2010. The two sample locations' results are similar in concentration and have varied negligibly since preoperational periods.

There were no detectable gamma emitters identified for particulate filters analyzed during 2010. Table 3.1-A shows the highest indicator annual mean and control location annual mean for gross beta in air particulate.

There was no detectable I-131 in air radioiodine samples analyzed in 2010. Table 3.1-B shows the highest indicator annual mean and control location annual mean for I-131 since 1984 (preoperational period).



K-40 and Be-7 that occur naturally were routinely detected in charcoal cartridges collected during the year. Cs-137 detection on the charcoal cartridge was determined in 1990 to be an active constituent of the charcoal. A similar study was performed in 2001 again yielding this conclusion. Therefore, any Cs-137 activities were not used in any dose calculations in Section 4.0 of this report.





Section 3 - Page 2

Year	Indicator Location (pCi/m ³)	Control Location (pCi/m ³)
1984	2.25E-2	1.82E-2
1985	2.12E-2	1.53E-2
1986	3.62E-2	3.41E-2
1987	2.67E-2	2.32E-2
1988	2.29E-2	2.30E-2
1989	2.11E-2	2.13E-2
1990	2.39E-2	2.72E-2
1991	2.19E-2	2.51E-2
1992	1.90E-2	2.01E-2
1993	1.87E-2	1.94E-2
1994	2.03E-2	2.03E-2
1995	4.88E-2	3.23E-2
1996	3.49E-2	2.60E-2
1997	2.83E-2	2.28E-2
1998	2.69E-2	2.12E-2
1999	2.53E-2	2.04E-2
2000	2.28E-2	1.86E-2
2001	1.76E-2	1.78E-2
2002	1.60E-2	1.57E-2
2003	1.54E-2	1.42E-2
2004	1.65E-2	1.49E-2
2005	1.66E-2	1.68E-2
2006	1.74E-2	1.74E-2
2007	1.88E-2	1.86E-2
2008	1.80E-2	1.90E-2
2009	1.78E-2	1.72E-2
Average (2000 - 2009)	1.77E-2	1.70E-2
2010	2.03E-2	1.90E-2

Table 3.1-A Mean Concentration of Gross Beta in Air Particulate

•

••••

•

Year	Indicator Location (pCi/m ³)	Control Location (pCi/m ³)		
1984	1.30E-3	1.46E-2		
1985	4.75E-3	2.38E-2		
1986	1.43E-2	1.02E-2		
1987	1.38E-2	0.00E0		
1988	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1989	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1990	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1991	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1992	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1993	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1994	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1995	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1996	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1999	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2001	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2004	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2005	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2006	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2009	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2010	0.00E0	0.00E0		

Table 3.1-B Mean Concentration of Air Radioiodine (I-131)

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

L

3.2 DRINKING WATER

•

Gross beta and gamma spectroscopy were performed on 26 drinking water samples. The samples were composited to create 8 quarterly samples that were analyzed for tritium. One indicator location was sampled, along with one control location.

No gamma emitting radionuclides were identified in 2010 drinking water samples. There have been no gamma emitting radionuclides identified in drinking water samples since 1988.

Table 3.2 shows highest annual mean gross beta concentrations for the indicator location and control location since preoperation. The indicator location (downstream of the plant effluent release point) average concentration was 1.84 pCi/l in 2010 and the control location concentration was 1.80 pCi/l. The 2009 indicator mean was 2.07 pCi/l. The table shows that current gross beta levels are not statistically different from preoperational concentrations.

Tritium was detected in the four indicator samples and the four control samples during 2010. The mean indicator tritium concentration for 2010 was 705 pCi/l, 3.53% of reporting level. The mean control tritium concentration for 2010 was 427 pCi/l, 2.14% of reporting level. Figure 3.2 and Table 3.2 display the highest indicator and control location annual mean concentrations for tritium since 1984.

The concentration of tritium in drinking water is affected by releases from the Catawba plant and the McGuire Nuclear Station, located approximately 40 miles upstream of the Catawba plant on the Catawba River.

The dose for consumption of water was less than one mrem per year, historically and for 2010; therefore low-level iodine analysis is not required.




Table 3.2 Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Drinking Water

	Gross Be	ta (pCi/l)	Tritiur	n (pCi/l)
YEAR	Indicator	Control	Indicator	Control
	Location	Location	Location	Location
1984	4.72	1.83	3.10E-2	3.10E-2
1985	2.70	2.24	4.13E2	4.00E2
1986	3.11	2.26	7.23E2	7.33E2
1987	3.10	2.40	7.80E2	4.80E2
1988	3.60	2.60	6.64E2	0.00E0
1989	3.60	2.90	8.91E2	5.72E2
1990	4.50	3.20	7.03E2	0.00E0
1991	3.70	2.20	7.04E2	0.00E0
1992	3.20	2.40	7.65E2	5.38E2
1993	3.50	2.50	7.06E2	0.00E0
1994	3.30	2.70	0.00E0	0.00E0
1995	4.80	4.50	4.28E2	2.21E2
1996	3.08	3.14	3.71E2	3.27E2
1997	3.74	3.15	3.54E2	2.28E2
1998	2.51	2.44	5.07E2	1.83E2
1999	3.55	2.48	6.71E2	2.70E2
2000	3.04	2.27	5.87E2	3.26E2
2001	3.49	2.30	8.66E2	4.50E2
2002	3.44	2.36	1.22E3	4.11E2
2003	2.27	2.02	6.36E2	2.88E2
2004	1.88	1.69	5.47E2	2.54E2
2005	2.05	1.84	7.69E2	4.50E2
2006	2.30	2.17	1.59E3	7.70E2
2007	2.34	2.21	1.65E3	9.18E2
2008	2.81	2.16	1.25E3	9.16E2
2009	2.07	1.99	6.34E2	6.81E2
2010	1.84	1.80	7.05E2	4.27E2

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements 1984 - 1986 mean based on all net activity

3.3 SURFACE WATER

۲

A total of 39 monthly surface water samples was analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides. The samples were composited to create 12 quarterly samples for tritium analysis. Two indicator locations and one control location were sampled. One indicator location (208) is located near the liquid effluent discharge point.


All 2010 indicator location samples contained tritium with an average concentration of 3,970 pCi/l. Indicator Location 208 (Discharge Canal) showed a range of activities from 4,180 to 11,900 pCi/l which had the highest mean concentration of 7,260 pCi/l. Tritium was detected in three of the four control samples during 2010 with an average concentration of 294 pCi/l.

No gamma emitting radionuclides were identified in 2010 drinking water samples. Table 3.3 summarizes the indicator annual means of radionuclides detected since the change in the gamma spectroscopy analysis system in 1987.

Figure 3.3 displays the indicator and control annual means for tritium since 1984. Table 3.3 lists indicator annual means.

The concentration of tritium in surface water is affected by releases from the Catawba plant and the McGuire Nuclear Station, located approximately 40 miles upstream of the Catawba plant on the Catawba River.

Fi	σπ	re	3	3
T . T	gu		2	9



There is no reporting level for tritium in surface water, however, if no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 30,000 pCi/l may be used. A drinking water pathway exists for Catawba Nuclear Station, so this limit does not apply for surface water. See section 3.2 for drinking water results.

Co-58	Co-60	Nb-95	Cs-137	H-3 Indicator	H-3 Control
4.59E-1	5.71E-1	6.48E-1	9.08E-1	3.35E2	3.18E2
3.46E0	4.83E-2	2.70E0	8.19E-1	1.19E3	5.05E2
3.10E-1	-4.12E-2	2.05E0	4.85E-1	2.34E3	5.05E2
0.00E0	3.10E0	4.30E0	9.90E0	4.17E3	6.20E2
9.20E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.03E3	6.07E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.27E3	0.00E0
6.50E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.98E3	7.73E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.87E3	0.00E0
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.91E3	6.64E2
4.70E0	1.80E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.98E3	0.00E0
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.42E3	0.00E0
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.13E3	2.89E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.36E3	2.61E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.77E3	2.20E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.61E3	0.00E0
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.13E3	2.41E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.19E3	2.56E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.13E3	3.28E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.00E4	3.80E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.31E4	2.37E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.43E3	2.60E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.40E4	3.78E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.67E4	5.83E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.01E4	7.82E2
6.80E0	1.16E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.02E3	6.31E2
9.40E0	1.06E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.93E3	5.29E2
0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.26E3	2.94E2
	4.59E-1 3.46E0 3.10E-1 0.00E0 9.20E0 0.00E0 6.50E0 0.00	4.59E-1 5.71E-1 3.46E0 4.83E-2 3.10E-1 -4.12E-2 0.00E0 3.10E0 9.20E0 0.00E0 0.00E0 0.00E0 0.00E0<	4.59E-1 $5.71E-1$ $6.48E-1$ $3.46E0$ $4.83E-2$ $2.70E0$ $3.10E-1$ $-4.12E-2$ $2.05E0$ $0.00E0$ $3.10E0$ $4.30E0$ $9.20E0$ $0.00E0$	4.59E-1 $5.71E-1$ $6.48E-1$ $9.08E-1$ $3.46E0$ $4.83E-2$ $2.70E0$ $8.19E-1$ $3.10E-1$ $-4.12E-2$ $2.05E0$ $4.85E-1$ $0.00E0$ $3.10E0$ $4.30E0$ $9.90E0$ $9.20E0$ $0.00E0$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 3.3 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Water (pCi/l)

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements 1984 - 1986 mean based on all net activity

3.4 GROUND WATER

•

•

•

•

A total of five ground water samples was collected and analyzed for gamma emitters and tritium. There are two indicator locations and no control locations. Naturally occurring K-40 was the only radionuclide identified during 2010.

There have been no radionuclides identified in ground water samples since 1988. Only naturally occurring K-40 and Be-7 were noted.

3.5 <u>MILK</u>

A total of 26 milk samples was analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and low level iodine during 2010. There was one control location sampled. No indicator dairies were identified by the 2010 land use census.

There were no gamma emitting radionuclides identified in milk during 2010. Airborne Cs-137 has not been released from the plant since 1992.

Cs-137 was last detected in an indicator sample during 1996. The occurrence of Cs-137 in milk samples has been noted several times since 1984. Cs-137 attributable to past nuclear weapons testing is known to exist in many environmental media at low, highly variable levels.

Table 3.5 lists highest indicator location annual mean and control location annual mean for Cs-137 since the preoperational period. Concentrations are similar for the two sample types. Cs-137 is the only radionuclide, other than K-40 and Be-7, reported in milk samples since 1988.

YEAR	Cs-137 Indicator (pCi/l)	Cs-137 Control (pCi/l)		
1984	2.95E0	2.98E0		
1985	2.11E0	2.12E0		
1986	3.76E0	4.54E0		
1987	5.00E0	5.50E0		
1988	3.20E0	3.80E0		
1989	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1990	8.00E0	6.70E0		
1991	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1992	3.40E0	5.00E0		
1993	5.00E0	0.00E0		
1994	2.80E0	0.00E0		
1995	8.60E0	0.00E0		
1996	6.05E0	0.00E0		
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0		
1999	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2001	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0		
2004	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		
2005	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		
2006	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		
2007	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		
2008	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		
2009	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		
2010	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0		

Table 3.5 Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Milk

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

1984 - 1986 mean based on all net activity

3.6 BROADLEAF VEGETATION

Gamma spectroscopy was performed on 60 broadleaf vegetation samples during 2010. Four indicator locations and one control location were sampled.

Three of the forty-eight samples collected at indicator locations contained detectable Cs-137 activity. Cs-137 was detected in three of the twelve samples collected at Location 201. The highest concentration detected at Location 201 was 55.8 pCi/kg which is 2.79% of the reporting level. Cs-137 was not detected in any of the twelve control location samples.


Figure 3.6 shows indicator and control annual means for Cs-137 in vegetation since 1984. Table 3.6 lists indicator and annual means. Values shown from 1984 to 2010 show a stable trend for Cs-137 in vegetation.

No airborne Cs-137 has been released from the plant since 1992. Cs-137 attributable to past nuclear weapons testing is known to exist in many environmental media at low and highly variable levels.

0



K-40 and Be-7 were observed in broadleaf vegetation samples.



YEAR	Cs-137 Indicator (pCi/kg)	Cs-137 Control (pCi/kg)
1984	3.76E1	1.30E1
1985	5.48E1	4.16E1
1986	7.42E1	2.22E1
1987	6.10E1	5.10E1
1988	9.10E1	7.40E1
1989	1.00E2	4.80E1
1990	7.70E1	5.80E1
1991	1.98E2	8.60E1
1992	9.70E1	0.00E0
1993	1.13E2	3.20E1
1994	7.00E1	0.00E0
1995	3.60E1	0.00E0
1996	2.23E2	6.22E1
1997	7.57E1	0.00E0
1998	6.53E1	0.00E0
1999	1.08E2	0.00E0
2000	1.04E2	0.00E0
2001	3.76E1	0.00E0
2002	7.02E1	0.00E0
2003	4.96E1	2.40E1
2004	5.45E1	0.00E0
2005	5.48E1	0.00E0
2006	5.79E1	0.00E0
2007	6.31E1	0.00E0
2008	4.44E1	0.00E0
2009	4.25E1	0.00E0
2010	3.77E1	0.00E0

Table 3.6 Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Broadleaf Vegetation

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

1984 - 1986 mean based on all net activity

3.7 FOOD PRODUCTS

•••••

Collection of food product samples (crops) from an irrigated garden began in 1989. The garden is located on Lake Wylie downstream from CNS, Location 253. During the 2010 growing season, six samples were collected and analyzed for gamma radionuclides. There is no control location for this media type.

Table 3.7 shows Cs-137 indicator location highest annual mean concentrations since 1989.




Table 2 7	Moon	Concentrat	ion o	f D	adianua	lidas	in	Food	Draduata
Table S. /	Ivican	Concentrat	IUII U	1 1/	autonuc	nucs	111	roou	Trouucis

YEAR	Cs-137 Indicator (pCi/kg)
1989	0.00E0
1990	0.00E0
1991	0.00E0
1992	0.00E0
1993	2.50E1
1994	0.00E0
1995	0.00E0
1996	0.00E0
1997	0.00E0
1998	0.00E0
1999	0.00E0
2000	0.00E0
2001	0.00E0
2002	0.00E0
2003	0.00E0
2004	0.00E0
2005	0.00E0
2006	0.00E0
2007	0.00E0
2008	0.00E0
2009	0.00E0
2010	0.00E0

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

There is no control location for Food Products.

3.8 <u>FISH</u>

Gamma spectroscopy was performed on 12 fish samples collected during 2010. One downstream indicator location and one control location were sampled.


Co-58, Co-60, and Cs-137 are normally the predominant radionuclides identified in fish samples. There were no gamma emitting radionuclides identified in any indicator location or control location fish samples during 2010.

Figures 3.8-1 and 3.8-2 are graphs displaying annual mean concentrations for Co-58 and Co-60. Table 3.8 depicts the highest indicator location annual mean for radionuclides detected. In addition, radionuclides identified in fish samples since 1988 have been included in the table. Overall, radionuclides have not shown a significant trend or accumulation.

K-40 was observed in fish samples collected during 2010.







0

0

0

0

0

0

•

•

•

0


0

••••

•

(

Figure 3.8-2



Year	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Nb-95	Fe-59	Sb-122	Sb-125
1984	3.07E0	3.00E0	6.11E-1	-5.32E0	1.83E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1985	7.68E-1	3.40E1	9.11E0	3.22E0	1.28E1	5.07E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1986	2.01E1	1.86E2	4.01E1	3.51E1	9.29E1	0.00E0	7.30E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1987	7.24E0	7.57E1	4.81E1	3.83E0	4.27E1	5.40E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1988	2.85E1	1.40E2	9.70E1	1.67E1	8.24E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1989	8.28E0	1.33E2	3.83E1	1.47E1	4.37E1	8.58E-1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1990	2.51E1	1.75E2	7.77E1	1.32E1	4.66E1	3.33E0	0.00E0	7.00E0	9.25E0
1991	3.15E1	1.46E2	1.29E2	1.03E1	4.60E1	7.90E-1	2.30E0	0.00E0	7.45E0
1992	1.34E1	9.02E1	6.20E1	1.27E1	4.61E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1993	2.14E1	3.58E2	1.21E2	2.73E0	2.56E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1994	1.91E0	4.75E1	1.81E1	0.00E0	1.75E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.45E1
1995	5.65E1	8.90E2	2.66E2	0.00E0	6.77E1	1.38E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1996	0.00E0	5.95E1	6.68E1	0.00E0	3.02E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1997	0.00E0	4.93E1	9.88E0	0.00E0	2.74E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1998	0.00E0	6.44E1	2.86E1	0.00E0	1.58E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
1999	0.00E0	3.12E1	2.71E1	0.00E0	1.87E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2000	0.00E0	2.13E2	2.69E2	0.00E0	1.52E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2001	0.00E0	4.66E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.08E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2002	0.00E0	5.23E1	7.00E1	0.00E0	1.73E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2003	0.00E0	1.43E2	2.61E1	0.00E0	1.19E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2004	4.92E1	1.81E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2005	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2006	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.44E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2009	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2010	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0

Table 3.8 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Fish (pCi/kg)

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

3.9 SHORELINE SEDIMENT

•

•

0

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

0

•

•

0

0

•

•

0

•

•

•

•

During 2010, a total of 6 shoreline sediment samples was analyzed, four from two indicator locations and two from the control location.

Co-58 and Co-60 were identified in two samples collected from indicator location 208-1S, which is closest to the plant's liquid effluent release point. Cs-137 was identified in one sample collected from location 208-1S. Naturally occurring K-40 was identified in many of the indicator and control locations. Activity released in plant effluents has decreased since 1996 and as a result decreased activity has been measured in the environment.

The shoreline sediment location with the highest annual mean for all detectable radionuclides was location 208-1S. Co-58 was identified at location 208-1S with an annual mean concentration of 65.6 pCi/kg. Co-60 was identified with an annual mean concentration of 137 pCi/kg. Cs-137 was identified with an annual mean concentration of 25.6 pCi/kg. Naturally occurring K-40 and Be-7 were also identified in samples from this location.

Table 3.9 lists highest indicator location annual mean since 1984. Included in the table are radionuclides that have been identified in shoreline sediment samples since 1988.

Figure 3.9-1 graphically depicts Co-58 annual mean concentrations. Figure 3.9-2 depicts Co-60 annual mean concentrations.





Figure 3.9-1

There is no reporting level for Co-58 in Shoreline Sediment

Figure 3.9-2



There is no reporting level for Co-60 in Shoreline Sediment

Year	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	Nb-95	Zr-95	Cs-134	Cs-137	Co-57	Sb-125
1984	1.03E0	4.40E0	-2.34E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.19E1	1.07E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1985	-3.12E0	1.16E2	5.18E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.11E2	2.97E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1986	1.09E2	3.79E2	2.05E2	0.00E0	3.96E1	6.50E1	1.61E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1987	8.83E1	4.08E2	1.61E2	4.22E1	0.00E0	6.08E1	1.26E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1988	1.07E2	3.29E2	2.63E2	2.28E1	7.54E0	2.59E1	1.07E2	7.65E-1	3.68E0
1989	4.58E1	1.94E2	1.21E2	5.02E0	0.00E0	1.65E1	5.77E1	0.00E0	1.57E1
1990	5.39E1	2.08E2	1.77E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.66E1	8.18E1	0.00E0	7.15E0
1991	8.50E1	3.70E2	4.19E2	5.30E0	0.00E0	1.82E1	8.33E1	1.20E0	1.50E1
1992	1.17E2	1.13E3	5.80E2	3.50E0	0.00E0	1.69E1	1.07E2	3.00E0	2.70E1
1993	1.33E2	1.07E3	1.04E3	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.80E1	1.26E2	2.47E1	2.16E2
1994	4.93E1	7.98E2	5.73E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.67E0	1.07E2	4.38E0	4.60E1
1995	1.02E2	1.33E3	8.65E2	1.13E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.50E1	3.69E1	1.49E2
1996	8.73E1	3.39E2	5.81E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.30E1	0.00E0	1.96E2
1997	6.96E1	5.90E2	7.64E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.43E2	0.00E0	1.76E2
1998	3.07E1	1.88E2	2.30E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.11E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
1999	7.28E1	2.29E2	4.39E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.42E1	0.00E0	1.40E2
2000	0.00E0	3.90E1	1.03E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.96E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2001	3.86E1	8.27E1	3.29E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.58E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2002	3.51E1	2.41E2	2.22E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.83E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2003	2.17E1	8.75E1	1.08E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.69E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2004	6.60E1	2.67E2	3.83E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.79E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2005	0.00E0	1.61E2	1.41E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2006	0.00E0	5.40E1	1.11E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0
2007	0.00E0	8.77E1	9.46E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.13E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2008	0.00E0	1.48E2	6.24E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.57E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2009	0.00E0	1.10E2	1.04E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.27E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2010	0.00E0	6.56E1	1.37E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.56E1	0.00E0	0.00E0

Table 3.9 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Shoreline Sediment (pCi/kg)

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements 1984 - 1986 mean based on all net activity Negative values are calculated as zeroes

. ...

•

•

0

3.10 DIRECT GAMMA RADIATION

3.10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL TLD

In 2010, 163 TLDs were analyzed, 151 at indicator locations and 12 at control locations. TLDs are collected and analyzed quarterly. The highest annual mean exposure for an indicator location was 96.0 milliroentgen. The annual mean exposure for the control locations was 57.2 milliroentgen.

Figure 3.10-1 and Table 3.10-A show TLD inner ring (site boundary), outer



Ring (4-5 miles), and control location annual averages in milliroentgen per year. Preoperational data and rolling ten year operational data averages are also given. As shown in the graph, inner ring, outer ring, and control data averages historically compare closely. Inner and outer ring averages comprise a number of data points with control averages representing only three locations.

Figures 3.10-2 and 3.10-3 show the TLD mean for each inner and outer ring TLD location from 1986 through 2010.

The calculated total body dose (from gaseous effluents) for 2010 was 2.25E0 mrem, which is 2.76% of the average inner ring TLD values. Therefore, it can be concluded that discharges from the plant had very little impact upon the measured TLD values.

A TLD intercomparison program is conducted as part of the quality assurance program. Results of this program are included in section 5.10.

3.10.2 **ISFSI**

The Catawba Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) is a secured area constructed to provide dry storage for spent nuclear fuel. The principal components of the ISFSI are concrete vertical storage modules that hold stainless steel dry storage canisters containing irradiated fuel assemblies.

The ISFSI is located approximately 300 meters north of the Unit 2 reactor building. TLD results are evaluated quarterly to identify trends and demonstrate compliance with dose and dose rate limits at the ISFSI boundaries, the Owner Control fence north of ISFSI and at the Exclusion Area Boundary in the west sector. Catawba began storage of spent fuel at the ISFSI in 2007. Six storage modules were loaded with spent fuel in 2010 for a total of sixteen modules.

Doses measured by environmental TLDs show little or no change since the current TLD system was implemented.




Figure 3.10-1

••••

•

.

There is no reporting level for Direct Radiation (TLD)

Year	Inner Ring Average (mR/yr)	Outer Ring Average (mR/yr)	Control Average (mR/yr)		
1984*	87.5	82.6	79.3		
1985	116.9	108.7	108.9		
1986	104.3	98.5	94.4		
1987	97.0	87.4	84.7		
1988	74.6	70.3	67.1		
1989	67.1	60.8	60.0		
1990	52.0	44.5	39.1		
1991	62.0	54.1	46.7		
1992	80.4	72.5	64.5		
1993	70.3	60.9	53.6		
1994	76.3	69.3	63.9		
1995	99.6	89.7	80.8		
1996	84.3	73.9	63.6		
1997	82.4	71.9	57.4		
1998	85.3	74.2	64.6		
1999	80.0	68.1	57.8		
2000	75.0	63.0	52.4		
2001	81.0	70.5	55.2		
2002	78.8	69.5	55.2		
2003	81.7	72.6	56.0		
2004	78.6	73.8	55.6		
2005	79.8	75.2	57.7		
2006	76.9	73.6	57.2		
2007	80.5	76.4	59.2		
2008	81.5	77.1	60.4		
2009	79.9	71.9	58.0		
Average (2000 - 2009)	79.4	72.4	56.7		
2010	81.4	71.6	57.2		

Table 3.10-A Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results

* Preoperational Data

-

.

Figure	3.10)-2
		_

0

•

•

•

0

•

•

•

•

••••

.....

•

•••••

••••

••••




Table 3.10-B Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results Inner Ring

Sector (Location)	1986 - 2009 Mean	1986 - 2009 Low	1986 - 2009 High	2010 Mean
N (222)	5.93E+01	3.63E+01	8.33E+01	7.30E+01
NNE (200)	7.25E+01	4.17E+01	9.54E+01	7.10E+01
NE (201)	7.21E+01	4.75E+01	9.76E+01	7.40E+01
ENE (255)	7.83E+01	4.74E+01	1.01E+02	8.40E+01
E (223)	8.11E+01	5.22E+01	1.06E+02	8.10E+01
ESE (203)	8.53E+01	5.32E+01	1.16E+02	8.60E+01
SE (225)	8.22E+01	5.66E+01	1.11E+02	7.60E+01
SSE (256)	8.11E+01	5.45E+01	1.05E+02	8.10E+01
S (226)	7.25E+01	4.81E+01	1.02E+02	8.00E+01
SSW (204)	8.14E+01	5.63E+01	1.05E+02	7.60E+01
SW (205)	8.30E+01	4.33E+01	1.08E+02	9.60E+01
WSW (227)	7.76E+01	5.37E+01	1.05E+02	7.60E+01
W (228)	7.36E+01	4.97E+01	1.04E+02	7.70E+01
WNW (206)	8.76E+01	6.83E+01	1.14E+02	9.00E+01
NW (229)	9.10E+01	6.27E+01	1.16E+02	9.00E+01
NNW (207)	8.90E+01	5.99E+01	1.08E+02	9.20E+01

Figure 3.10-3




Table 3.10-C Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results Outer Ring

Sector (Location)	1986 - 2009 Mean	1986 - 2009 Low	1986 - 2009 High	2010 Mean
N (230)	5.65E+01	3.72E+01	8.73E+01	5.60E+01
NNE (231)	7.10E+01	3.75E+01	8.75E+01	8.10E+01
NE (232)	8.70E+01	5.85E+01	1.17E+02	9.00E+01
ENE (233)	6.38E+01	3.50E+01	9.27E+01	6.60E+01
E (234)	8.45E+01	5.37E+01	1.11E+02	7.30E+01
ESE (235)	8.43E+01	4.89E+01	1.09E+02	7.30E+01
SE (236)	8.99E+01	6.60E+01	1.22E+02	7.10E+01
SSE (237)	8.87E+01	5.53E+01	1.34E+02	9.30E+01
S (238)	7.45E+01	5.24E+01	1.06E+02	7.00E+01
SSW (239)	7.43E+01	4.87E+01	1.06E+02	7.70E+01
SW (240)	5.39E+01	3.10E+01	7.87E+01	5.30E+01
WSW (241)	5.69E+01	2.99E+01	8.29E+01	5.60E+01
W (242)	6.76E+01	4.31E+01	9.55E+01	6.80E+01
WNW (243)	6.98E+01	4.60E+01	9.47E+01	6.80E+01
NW (244)	6.67E+01	3.78E+01	9.26E+01	6.80E+01
NNW (245)	5.81E+01	2.95E+01	7.55E+01	6.70E+01

3.11 LAND USE CENSUS

•

£

The 2010 Annual Land Use Census was conducted July 14, and July 15, 2010 as required by SLC 16.11-14. Table 3.11 summarizes census results. A map indicating identified locations is shown in Figure 3.11.


During the 2010 census, two nearer residences were identified; no irrigated gardens (superior to existing gardens) or milk locations were identified. The nearest residence is located in the NE sector at 0.56 miles. No environmental program changes were required as a result of the 2010 land use census.

Sector		Distance (Miles)	Sector		Distance (Miles)
N	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden (irrigated) Nearest Milk Animal	0.63 1.55 -	S	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.63 1.25
NNE	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.66 4.39	SSW	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.81 2.04 -
NE	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.56 0.68 -	SW	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.63 2.29 -
ENE	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.61 2.84 -	WSW	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.60 1.10 -
Е	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.65 3.51 -	W	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.68 0.96 -
ESE	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.84 3.70	WNW	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	1.10 1.87 -
SE	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden (irrigated) Nearest Milk Animal	0.97 2.55 -	NW	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	1.39 1.54 -
SSE	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.74 1.64 -	NNW	Nearest Residence Nearest Garden Nearest Milk Animal	0.91 2.21

Table 3.11 Catawba 2010 Land Use Census Results

"-" indicates no occurrences within the 5 mile radius

Figure 3.11



Section 3 - Page 26

4.0 EVALUATION OF DOSE

4.1 DOSE FROM ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

•••••

•

Annual doses to maximum exposed individuals were estimated based on measured concentrations of radionuclides in 2010 CNS REMP samples. The primary purpose of estimating doses based on sample results is to allow comparison to effluent program dose estimates.

Doses based on sample results were calculated using the methodology and data presented in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109. Measured radionuclide concentrations, averaged over the entire year for a specific radionuclide, indicator location and sample type, were used to calculate REMP-based doses. Where applicable, average background concentration at the corresponding control location was subtracted. Regulatory Guide 1.109 consumption rates for the maximum exposed individual were used in the calculations. When the guide listed "NO DATA" as the dose factor for a given radionuclide and organ, a dose factor of zero was assumed.

Maximum dose estimates (Highest Annual Mean Concentration) based on broadleaf vegetation, drinking water, fish, and shoreline sediment sample results are reported in Table 4.1-A. The individual critical population and pathway dose calculations are reported in Table 4.1-B.

REMP-based dose estimates are not reported for airborne radioiodine, airborne particulate, milk, or ground water sample types because no radionuclides other than naturally occurring K-40 and Be-7 were detected in the samples. Dose estimates are not reported for surface water because sampled surface water is not considered to be a potable drinking water source although surface water tritium concentrations are used in calculating doses from fish. Exposure estimates based upon REMP TLD results are discussed in Section 3.10.

The maximum environmental organ dose estimate for any single sample type (excluding TLD results) collected during 2010 was 3.21E-1 mrem to the maximum exposed child bone from consuming broadleaf vegetation.

4.2 ESTIMATED DOSE FROM RELEASES

Throughout the year, dose estimates were calculated based on actual 2010 liquid and gaseous effluent release data. Effluent-based dose estimates were calculated using the RETDAS computer program which employs methodology and data presented in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109. These doses are shown in Table 4.1-A along with the corresponding REMP-based dose estimates. Summaries of RETDAS dose calculations are reported in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report (reference 6.6).

The effluent-based liquid release doses are summations of the dose contributions from the drinking water, fish, and shoreline pathways. For iodine, particulate, and tritium exposure the effluent-based gaseous release doses are summations of the dose contributors from ground/plane, inhalation, milk and vegetation pathways.

4.3 <u>COMPARISON OF DOSES</u>

The environmental and effluent dose estimates given in Table 4.1-A agree reasonably well. The similarity of the doses indicate that the radioactivity levels in the environment do not differ significantly from those expected based on effluent measurements and modeling of the environmental exposure pathways. This indicates that effluent program dose estimates are both valid and reasonably conservative.

There are some differences in how effluent and environmental doses are calculated that affect the comparison. Doses calculated from environmental data are conservative because they are based on a mean that includes only samples with a net positive activity versus a mean that includes all sample results (i.e. zero results are not included in the mean). Also, airborne tritium is not measured in environmental samples but is used to calculate effluent doses.

In addition, Catawba began reporting estimated dose from effluent Carbon 14 (C-14). This change came about with the issuing of Regulatory Guide 1.21, Revision 2, Measuring, Evaluating and Reporting Radioactive Material in Liquid and Gaseous Effluents and Solid Waste. A description of this change is found in the 2010 Annual Radiological Effluent Release Report. C-14 is not measured in the environment and therefore, environmental and effluent doses from C-14 cannot be compared directly.

In calculations based on liquid release pathways, drinking water, fish, and shoreline sediment were the predominant dose pathways based on environmental and effluent data. The maximum total organ dose based on 2010 environmental sample results was 3.79E-2 mrem to the child total body. The maximum total organ dose of 1.06E-1 mrem for liquid effluent-based estimates was to the adult GI-LLI.

In calculations based on gaseous release pathways, vegetation was the predominant dose pathway for effluent samples. The maximum total organ dose for gaseous effluent estimates was 4.78E0 mrem to the child bone. Vegetation was the predominant dose pathway for environmental samples. The maximum total organ dose for gaseous environmental estimates was 3.21E-1 mrem to the child bone.

The doses calculated do not exceed 40CFR190 or 10CFR50 dose commitment limits for members of the public. Doses to members of the public attributable to the operation of CNS are being maintained well within regulatory limits.

TABLE 4.1-A

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION 2010 ENVIRONMENTAL AND EFFLUENT DOSE COMPARISON

LIQUID RELEASE PATHWAY

Organ	Environmental or Effluent Data	Critical Age ⁽¹⁾	Critical Pathway ⁽²⁾	Location	Maximum Dose ⁽³⁾ (mrem)
Skin	Environmental	Teen	Shoreline Sediment	208 (0.45 mi S)	1.82E-03
Skin	Effluent	Teen	Shoreline Sediment	Discharge Pt.	9.79E-03
Bone	Environmental	_	-	_	0.00E+00
Bone	Effluent	Teen	Fish	Discharge Pt.	2.53E-02
Liver	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	214 (7.30 mi SSE)	3.76E-02
Liver	Effluent	Child	Drinking Water	7.30 mi SSE	1.04E-01
T. Body	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	214 (7.30 mi SSE)	3.79E-02
T. Body	Effluent	Child	Drinking Water	7.30 mi SSE	8.75E-02
Thyroid	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	214 (7.30 mi SSE)	3.76E-02
Thyroid	Effluent	Child	Drinking Water	7.30 mi SSE	8.32E-02
Kidney	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	214 (7.30 mi SSE)	3.76E-02
Kidney	Effluent	Child	Drinking Water	7.30 mi SSE	9.00E-02
Lung	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	214 (7.30 mi SSE)	3.76E-02
Lung	Effluent	Child	Drinking Water	7.30 mi SSE	8.57E-02
GI-LLI	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	214 (7.30 mi SSE)	3.76E-02
GI-LLI	Effluent	Adult	Drinking Water	7.30 mi SSE	1.06E-01

(1) Critical Age is the highest total dose (all pathways) to an age group.

0

(2) Critial Pathway is the highest individual dose within the identified Critical Age group.

(3) Maximum dose is a summation of the fish, drinking water and shoreline sediment pathways.

Page 2 of 2

GASEOUS RELEASE PATHWAY

Organ	Environmental or Effluent Data	Critical Age ⁽¹⁾	Critical Pathway ⁽²⁾	Location	Maximum Dose ⁽³⁾ (mrem)
Skin	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
Skin	Effluent	All	Ground Plane	0.5 mi NE	1.80E-03
Bone	Environmental	Child	Vegetation	201 (0.53 mi NE)	3.21E-01
Bone	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	4.78E+00
Liver	Environmental	Child	Vegetation	201 (0.53 mi NE)	3.07E-01
Liver	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	2.25E+00
T. Body	Environmental	Adult	Vegetation	201 (0.53 mi NE)	1.72E-01
T. Body	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	2.25E+00
Thyroid	Environmental	-	-	_	0.00E+00
Thyroid	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	2.25E+00
Kidney	Environmental	Child	Vegetation	201 (0.53 mi NE)	1.00E-01
Kidney	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	2.25E+00
Lung	Environmental	Child	Vegetation	201 (0.53 mi NE)	3.60E-02
Lung	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	2.25E+00
GI-LLI	Environmental	Adult	Vegetation	201 (0.53 mi NE)	5.09E-03
GI-LLI	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	0.5 mi NE	2.25E+00

IODINE, PARTICULATE, and TRITIUM

(1) Critical Age is the highest total dose (all pathways) to an age group.

(2) Critial Pathway is the highest individual dose within the identified Critical Age group.

(3) Maximum dose is a summation of the ground/plane, inhalation, milk and vegetation pathways.

TABLE 4.1-B

Maximum Individual Dose for 2010 based on Environmental Measurements (mrem) for Catawba Nuclear Station

Age	Sample Medium	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Skin
Infant	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	0.00E+00
<u>s</u>	Milk	0.00E+00							
	TOTAL	0.00E+00	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	0.00E+00
Child	Airborne	0.00E+00							
- mail	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	Broadleaf Vegetation	3.21E-01	3.07E-01	4.53E-02	0.00E+00	1.00E-01	3.60E-02	1.92E-03	0.00E+00
	Fish	0.00E+00	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	0.00E+00
	Shoreline Sediment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.24E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.81E-04
	TOTAL	3.21E-01	3.45E-01	8.32E-02	3.76E-02	1.38E-01	7.36E-02	3.95E-02	3.81E-04
Teen	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	Broadleaf Vegetation	1.77E-01	2.36E-01	8.22E-02	0.00E+00	8.03E-02	3.12E-02	3.36E-03	0.00E+00
	Fish	0.00E+00	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	0.00E+00
	Shoreline Sediment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.55E-03	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.82E-03
	TOTAL	1.77E-01	2.62E-01	1.09E-01	2.56E-02	1.06E-01	5.68E-02	2.90E-02	1.82E-03
Adult	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	Broadleaf Vegetation	1.92E-01	2.63E-01	1.72E-01	0.00E+00	8.93E-02	2.97E-02	5.09E-03	0.00E+00
	Fish	0.00E+00	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	0.00E+00
	Shoreline Sediment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.78E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.27E-04
	TOTAL	1.92E-01	2.98E-01	2.07E-01	3.51E-02	1.24E-01	6.48E-02	4.02E-02	3.27E-04

Note: Dose tables are provided for sample media displaying positive nuclide occurrence.

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Infant

Infant Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (I) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 330 1										-						
								Highest Net N								
				Ingestion	n Dose F	actor		Concentration Indicator Water					Dose (m)	<u>rem)</u>		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	water (pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	1.99E-05	4.51 E-06	NO DATA	4.41E-06	NO DATA	7.31E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	3.60E-06	8.98E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	8.97E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Fe-59	3.08E-05	5.38E-05	2.12E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.59E-05	2.57E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-60	NO DATA	1.08E-05	2.55E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.57E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zn-65	1.84E-05	6.31E-05	2.91E-05	NO DATA	3.06E-05	NO DATA	5.33E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Nb-95	4.20E-08	1.73E-08	1.00E-08	NO DATA	1.24E-08	NO DATA	1.46E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zr-95	2.06E-07	5.02E-08	3.56E-08	NO DATA	5.41E-08	NO DATA	2.50E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
1-131	3.59E-05	4.23E-05	1.86E-05	1.39E-02	4.94E-05	NO DATA	1.51E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	3.77E-04	7.03E-04	7.10E-05	NO DATA	1.81E-04	7.42E-05	1.91E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	5.22E-04	6.11E-04	4.33E-05	NO DATA	1.64E-04	6.64E-05	1.91E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
BaLa-140	1.71E-04	1.71E-07	8.81E-06	NO DATA	4.06E-08	1.05E-07	4.20E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
11-3	NO DATA	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	214	278	0.00E+00	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02
						Dose Comm	uitment (mr	em) =		0.00E+00	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02	2.83E-02

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Child

Child Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (l) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 510 I

								Highest . Net M		I						
				Ingestion	n Dose F	<u>actor</u>		<u>Concentration</u> Indicator Water					<u>Dose (mrem)</u>			
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	1.07E-05	2.85E-06	NO DATA	3.00E-06	NO DATA	8.98E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	1.80E-06	5.51E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.05E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Fe-59	1.65E-05	2.67E-05	1.33E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	7.74E-06	2.78E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
C0-60	NO DATA	5.29E-06	1.56E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.93E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zn-65	1.37E-05	3.65E-05	2.27E-05	NO DATA	2.30E-05	NO DATA	6.41E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Nb-95	2.25E-08	8.76E-09	6.26E-09	NO DATA	8.23E-09	NO DATA	1.62E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zr-95	1.16E-07	2.55E-08	2.27E-08	NO DATA	3.65E-08	NO DATA	2.66E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
1-131	1.72E-05	1.73E-05	9.83E-06	5.72E-03	2.84E-05	NO DATA	1.54E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	2.34E-04	3.84E-04	8.10E-05	NO DATA	1.19E-04	4.27E-05	2.07E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	3.27E-04	3.13E-04	4.62E-05	NO DATA	1.02E-04	3.67E-05	1.96E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
BaLa-140	8.31E-05	7.28E-08	4.85E-06	NO DATA	2.37E-08	4.34E-08	4.21E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
H-3	NO DATA	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	214	278	0.00E+00	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02	2.88E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

0.00E+00 2.88E-02 2.88E-02 2.88E-02 2.88E-02 2.88E-02 2.88E-02

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Broadleaf Vegetation Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Child

Child Dose from Vegetation Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg)

Usage (intake in one year) = 26 kg

			C	Highest Annual Net Mean													
				Ingestio	n Dose F	actor		Concentration					Dose (m	Dose (mrem)			
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Indicator Location	Food (pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	
1-131	1.72E-05	1.73E-05	9.83E-06	5.72E-03	2.84E-05	NO DATA	1.54E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	
Cs-134	2.34E-04	3.84E-04	8.10E-05	NO DATA	1.19E-04	4.27E-05	2.07E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	
Cs-137	3.27E-04	3.13E-04	4.62E-05	NO DATA	1.02E-04	3.67E-05	1.96E-06	201	37.7	3.21E-01	3.07E-01	4.53E-02	0.00E+00	1.00E-01	3.60E-02	1.92E-03	

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

3.21E-01 3.07E-01 4.53E-02 0.00E+00 1.00E-01 3.60E-02 1.92E-03

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Fish Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Child

Highest Annual

Child Dose from Fish Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg) H-3 Concentration in Fish = Surface Water pCi/l x Bioaccumulation Factor 0.9 pCi/kg per pCi/l = 6966 pCi/l x 0.9 = 6269 pCi/kg Usage (intake in one year) = 6.9 kg

								Net I	Mean							
				Ingestio	n Dose F	<u>actor</u>		Concer	ntration				Dose (m	<u>rem)</u>		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-ŁLI	Indicator Location	Fish (pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	1.07E-05	2.85E-06	NO DATA	3.00E-06	NO DATA	8.98E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	1.80E-06	5.51E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.05E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Fe-59	1.65E-05	2.67E-05	1.33E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	7.74E-06	2.78E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
C0-60	NO DATA	5.29E-06	1.56E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.93E-05	ALL	0,00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Za-65	1.37E-05	3.65E-05	2.27E-05	NO DATA	2.30E-05	NO DATA	6.41E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	2.34E-04	3.84E-04	8.10E-05	NO DATA	1.19E-04	4.27E-05	2.07E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	3.27E-04	3.13E-04	4.62E-05	NO DATA	1.02E-04	3.67E-05	1.96E-06	ALL	0.0	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
H-3	NO DATA	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	208	6269	0.00E+00	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03	8.78E-03

Dose Commitment (mrem) = 0.00E+00 8

0.00E+00 8.78E-03 8.78E-03 8.78E-03 8.78E-03 8.78E-03 8.78E-03 8.78E-03

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Child

Shoreline Recreation =	14	hr (in one year)
Shore Width Factor =	0.2	
Sediment Surface Mass =	40	kg/m²

Child Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway (mrem) = Shoreline Recreation (hr) x External Dose Factor (mrem/hr per pCi/m²) x Shore Width Factor x Sediment Surface Mass (kg/m²) x Sediment Concentration (pCi/kg)

	l Dose Fac Itaminated	tor Standing <u>Ground</u>	0	nnual Net <u>ncentration</u>	Dose				
Radionuclide	(mrem T. Body	/hr per pCi/m²) Skin	Indicator Location	Sediment (pCi/kg)	(mı T. Body	rem) Skin			
Mn-54	5.80E-09	6.80E-09	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
Co-58	7.00E-09	8.20E-09	208-1S	65.6	5.14E-05	6.02E-05			
Co-60	1.70E-08	2.00E-08	208-1S	137	2.61E-04	3.07E-04			
Cs-134	1.20E-08	1.40E-08	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
Cs-137	4.20E-09	4.90E-09	208-15	25.6	1.20E-05 1.40E-05				
		Dose Commitme	ent (mrem) =		3.24E-04	3.81E-04			

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

Teen Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (l) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 510 1

Conge (make in one year)																
								Highest . Net N								
				Ingestio	n D <u>ose F</u>	<u>actor</u>		Concen	<u>tration</u> Water				Dose (m	rem)		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Indicator Location	water (pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	5.90E-06	1.17E-06	NO DATA	1.76E-06	NO DATA	1.21E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	9.72E-07	2.24E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.34E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Fe-59	5.87E-06	1.37E-05	5.29E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.32E-06	3.24E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-60	NO DATA	2.81 E-06	6.33E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	3.66E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	5.76E-06	2.00E-05	9.33E-06	NO DATA	1.28E-05	NO DATA	8.47E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Nb-95	8.22E-09	4.56E-09	2.51E-09	NO ĐATA	4.42E-09	NO DATA	1.95E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zr-95	4.12E-08	1.30E-08	8.94E-09	NO DATA	1.91E-08	NO DATA	3.00E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
1-131	5.85E-06	8.19E-06	4.40E-06	2.39E-03	1.41E-05	NO DATA	1.62E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	8.37E-05	1.97E-04	9.14E-05	NO DATA	6.26E-05	2.39E-05	2.45E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	1.12E-04	1.49E-04	5.19E-05	NO ĐATA	5.07E-05	1.97E-05	2.12E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
BaLa-140	2.84E-05	3.48E-08	1.83E-06	NO DATA	1.18E-08	2.34E-08	4.38E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Н-3	NO DATA	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	214	278	0.00E+00	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02
						N	1	,		0.000.00			4 802 65	1 600 65	1 600 65	
						Dose Comm	utment (mro	em)=		0.00E+00	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Broadleaf Vegetation Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

.

Teen Dose from Vegetation Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg)

Usage (intake in one year) = 42 kg

		Highest Annual Net Mean														
				Ingestio	Ingestion Dose Factor Concentration Indicator Food								Dose (m	rem)		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI			Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
1-131	5.85E-06	8.19E-06	4.40E-06	2.39E-03	1.41E-05	NO DATA	1.62E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	8.37E-05	1.97E-04	9.14E-05	NO DATA	6.26E-05	2.39E-05	2,45E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	1.12E-04	1.49E-04	5.19E-05	NO DATA	5.07E-05	1.97E-05	2.12E-06	201	37.7	1.77E-01	2.36E-01	8.22E-02	0.00E+00	8.03E-02	3.12E-02	3.36E-03

....

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

1.77E-01 2.36E-01 8.22E-02 0.00E+00 8.03E-02 3.12E-02 3.36E-03

............

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Fish Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

Teen Dose from Fish Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg) H-3 Concentration in Fish = Surface Water pCi/l x Bioaccumulation Factor 0.9 pCi/kg per pCi/l = 6966 pCi/l x 0.9 = 6269 pCi/kg Usage (intake in one year) = 16 kg

								Highest	Annual							
				Ingestio	n Dose F	<u>actor</u>		Net I	Mean				Dose (m	rem)		
								Concer	tration							
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	5.90E-06	1.17E-06	NO ĐATA	1.76E-06	NO DATA	1.21E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	9.72E-07	2.24E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO ĐATA	1.34E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Fe-59	5.87E-06	1.37E-05	5.29E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.32E-06	3.24E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-60	NO DATA	2.81E-06	6.33E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	3.66E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zn-65	5.76E-06	2.00E-05	9.33E-06	NO DATA	1.28E-05	NO DATA	8.47E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	8.37E-05	1.97E-04	9.14E-05	NO DATA	6.26E-05	2.39E-05	2.45E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	1.12E-04	1.49E-04	5.19E-05	NO DATA	5.07E-05	1.97E-05	2.12E-06	ALL	0.00	0,00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
11-3	NO DATA	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	208	6269	0.00E+00	1.06E-02	1.06E-0 2	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	1.06E-02	1.06E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem) = 0.00E+00 1.06E-02 1.06E-02 1.06E-02 1.06E-02 1.06E-02 1.06E-02 1.06E-02

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

Shoreline Recreation =	67 hr (i	n one year)
Shore Width Factor =	0.2	
Sediment Surface Mass =	40 kg/n	n ²

.

Teen Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway (mrem) = Shoreline Recreation (hr) x External Dose Factor (mrem/hr per pCi/m2) x Shore Width Factor x Sediment Surface Mass (kg/m^2) x Sediment Concentration (pCi/kg)

	nal Dose Fac ontaminated	5	Highest An <u>Mean Conc</u>		<u>Dose</u>				
Radionuclide	(mrem/hr p T. Body	er pCi/m²) Skin	Indicator Location	Sediment (pCi/kg)	(mr T. Body	rem) Skin			
Mn-54	5.80E-09	6.80E-09	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
Co-58	7.00E-09	8.20E-09	208-1S	65.6	2.46E-04	2.88E-04			
Co-60	1.70E-08	2.00E-08	208-15	137	1.25E-03	1.47E-03			
Cs-134	1.20E-08	1.40E-08	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00			
Cs-137	4.20E-09	4.90E-09	208-15	25.6	5.76E-05	6.72E-05			
	Dose Commi	tment (mrem) =			1.55E-03	1.82E-03			

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

Adult Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (I) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 730 1

	- /							Highest A Net M								
				Ingestio	n Dose F	<u>actor</u>		<u>Concent</u> Indicator	<u>ration</u> Water				Dose (m	<u>rem)</u>		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	4.57E-06	8.72E-07	NO DATA	1.36E-06	NO DATA	1.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	7.45E-07	1.67E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.51E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Fe-59	4.34E-06	1.02E-05	3.91E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.85E-06	3.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-60	NO DATA	2.14E-06	4.72E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.02E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zn-65	4.84E-06	1.54E-05	6.96E-06	NO DATA	1.03E-05	NO DATA	9.70E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Nb-95	6.22E-09	3.46E-09	1.86E-09	NO DATA	3.42E-09	NO DATA	2.10E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zr-95	3.04E-08	9.75E-09	6.60E-09	NO DATA	1.53E-08	NO DATA	3.09E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
I-131	4.16E-06	5.95E-06	3.41E-06	1.95E-03	1.02E-05	NO DATA	1.57E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	6.22E-05	1.48E-04	1.21E-04	NO DATA	4.79E-05	1.59E-05	2.59E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	7.97E-05	1.09E-04	7.14E-05	NO DATA	3.70E-05	1.23E-05	2.11E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
BaLa-140	2.03E-05	2.55E-08	1.33E-06	NO ĐATA	8.67E-09	1.46E-08	4.18E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
H-3	NO DATA	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	214	278	0.00E+00	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02	2.13E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

0.00E+00 2.13E-02 2.13E-02 2.13E-02 2.13E-02 2.13E-02 2.13E-02

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Broadleaf Vegetation Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

Adult Dose from Vegetation (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg)

Usage (intake in one year) = 64 kg

5	,,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		8					Highest Net N								
				Ingestio	n Dose F	actor		Concen					Dose (m)	rem)		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Indicator Location	Food (pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
1-131	4.16E-06	5.95E-06	3.41 E-06	1.95E-03	1.02E-05	NO DATA	1.57E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	6.22E-05	1.48E-04	1.21E-04	NO DATA	4.79E-05	1.59E-05	2.59E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	7.97E-05	1.09E-04	7.14E-05	NO DATA	3.70E-05	1.23E-05	2.11E-06	201	37.7	1.92E-01	2.63E-01	1.72E-01	0.00E+00	8.93E-02	2.97E-02	5.09E-03

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

1.92E-01 2.63E-01 1.72E-01 0.00E+00 8.93E-02 2.97E-02 5.09E-03

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Fish Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

Adult Dose from Fish Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg) H-3 Concentration in Fish = Surface Water pCi/l x Bioaccumulation Factor 0.9 pCi/kg per pCi/l = 6966 pCi/l x 0.9 = 6269 pCi/kg

Usage (intake in one year) = 21 kg

Highest Annual Net Mean

Ingestion Dose Factor								Concentration					Dose (mrem)			
			nigestio	n Dose ra				Concen	tration				Dose (III)	emj		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	4.57E-06	8.72E-07	NO DATA	1.36E-06	NO DATA	1.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	7.45E-07	1.67E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.51E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Fe-59	4.34E-06	1.02E-05	3.91E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.85E-06	3.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-60	NO DATA	2.14E-06	4.72E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO ĐATA	4.02E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zn-65	4.84E-06	1.54E-05	6.96E-06	NO DATA	1.03E-05	NO DATA	9.70E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	6.22E-05	1.48E-04	1.21E-04	NO DATA	4.79E-05	1.59E-05	2.59E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	7.97E-05	1.09E-04	7.14E-05	NO DATA	3.70E-05	1.23E-05	2.11E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
11-3	NO DATA	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	208	6269	0.00E+00	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02	1.38E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem) = 0.00E+00 1.38E-02 1.38E

Catawba Nuclear Station Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway for 2010 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

Shoreline Recreation =	12	hr (in one year)
Shore Width Factor =	0.2	
Sediment Surface Mass =	40	kg/m²

Adult Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway (mrem) = Shoreline Recreation (hr) x External Dose Factor (mrem/hr per pCi/m2) x Shore Width Factor x Sediment Surface Mass (kg/m^2) x Sediment Concentration (pCi/kg)

	External Dose Factor Standing on Contaminated Ground			nual Net centration	Dose		
Radionuclide	(mrem/hr p T. Body	er pCi/m²) Skin	Indicator Location	Sediment (pCi/kg)	(mr T. Body	em) Skin	
Mn-54	5.80E-09	6.80E-09	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	
Co-58	7.00E-09	8.20E-09	208-15	65.6	4.41E-05	5.16E-05	
Co-60	1.70E-08	2.00E-08	208-15	137	2.24E-04	2.63E-04	
Cs-134	1.20E-08	1.40E-08	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	
Cs-137	4.20E-09	4.90E-09	208-15	25.6	1.03E-05	1.20E-05	
	Dose Com	nitment (mrei	m) =		2.78E-04	3.27E-04	

5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

5.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION

EnRad Laboratories, Fisheries, and Aquatic Ecology performed the environmental sample collections as specified by approved sample collection procedures.

5.2 <u>SAMPLE ANALYSIS</u>

•

EnRad Laboratories performed the environmental sample analyses as specified by approved analysis procedures. EnRad Laboratories is located in Huntersville, North Carolina, at Duke Corporation's Environmental Energy Center.



Duke Energy Corporation's Environmental Center

5.3 DOSIMETRY ANALYSIS

The Radiation Dosimetry and Records group performed environmental dosimetry measurements as specified by approved dosimetry analysis procedures.

5.4 LABORATORY EQUIPMENT QUALITY ASSURANCE

5.4.1 DAILY QUALITY CONTROL

EnRad Laboratories has an internal quality assurance program which monitors each type of instrumentation for reliability and accuracy. Daily quality control checks ensure that instruments are in proper working order and these checks are used to monitor instrument performance.

5.4.2 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards that represent counting geometries are analyzed as unknowns at various frequencies ranging from weekly to annually to verify that efficiency calibrations are valid. The frequency is dependent upon instrument use and performance. Investigations are performed and documented should calibration verification data fall out of limits.

5.4.3 BATCH PROCESSING

Method quality control samples are analyzed with sample analyses that are processed in batches. These include gross beta in drinking water and tritium analyses.

5.5 DUKE ENERGY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

EnRad Laboratories participated in the Duke Energy Nuclear Generation Department Intercomparison Program during 2010. Interlaboratory cross-check standards, including, Marinelli beakers, air filters, air cartridges, gross beta on smears, and tritium in water samples were analyzed at various times of the year. A summary of the EnRad Laboratory program results for 2010 is documented in Table 5.0-A.

5.6 ERA PROFICIENCY TESTING

EnRad Laboratories performed method proficiency testing through a program administered by Environmental Resource Associates (ERA) of Arvada, CO. ERA supplied requested method proficiency samples for analysis and nuclide concentration determination. ERA reported proficiency test results to the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, North Carolina Public Health Drinking Water Laboratory Certification Program. A summary of these proficiency test data for 2010 is documented in Table 5.0-B.

5.7 DUKE ENERGY AUDITS

The Catawba Nuclear Station Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program was audited by the Quality Assurance Group in 2010. Procedure and sampling equipment enhancements were identified as part of this audit (reference 6.16).

During the McGuire 2010 Quality Assurance audit an item was identified concerning the calibration media used for fish and vegetation. Special tests were performed to confirm that the existing calibration media are acceptable. Additional information is included in Table 5.0-A and reference 6.17.

5.8 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION INSPECTIONS

The Catawba Nuclear Station Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program was not audited by the NRC in 2010. The program was audited by the NRC in 2009 (reference 6.12). No findings were noted in the 2009 report.

5.9 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

Catawba Nuclear Station routinely participates with the Bureau of Radiological Health of the State's Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) in an intercomparison program. The Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between SC DHEC and Duke Energy describes the sampling frequency and analysis parameters for drinking water, surface water, milk, fish, vegetation, and shoreline sediment samples collected by EnRad Laboratories. Samples are routinely split with DHEC for intercomparison analysis. DHEC collects air samples near two of the locations sampled for air by CNS. Results of the analyses performed on split and duplicate samples are sent to DHEC.

5.10 TLD INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

5.10.1 NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY SERVICES INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

Radiation Dosimetry and Records participates in a quarterly TLD intercomparison program administered by Nuclear Technology Services, Inc. of Roswell, GA. Nuclear Technology Services irradiates environmental dosimeters quarterly and sends them to the Radiation Dosimetry and Records group for analysis of the unknown estimated delivered exposure. A summary of the Nuclear Technology Services Intercomparison Report is documented in Table 5.0-C.

5.10.2 INTERNAL CROSSCHECK (DUKE ENERGY)

Radiation Dosimetry and Records participates in a quarterly TLD intracomparison program administered internally by the Dosimetry Lab. The Dosimetry Lab Staff irradiates environmental dosimeters quarterly and submits them for analysis of the unknown estimated delivered exposure. A summary of the Internal Cross Check (Duke Energy) Result is documented in Table 5.0-C.

TABLE 5.0-ADUKE ENERGYINTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

2010 CROSS-CHECK RESULTS FOR ENRAD LABORATORIES

Cross-Check samples are normally analyzed a minimum of three times. A status of "3 Pass" indicates that all three analyses yielded results within the designated acceptance range. A status of "1 Pass" indicates that one analysis of the cross check was performed

If applicable, footnote explanations are included following this table.

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
2/22/2010	Q101GWR	Co-57	0.86 - 1.52 E4	1.14 E4	1.16 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	2.72 - 4.82 E3	3.62 E3	3.66 E3	3 Pass
		Ba-133	5.31 - 9.43 E3	7.09 E3	5.70 E3	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.67 - 8.27 E3	6.22 E3	6.15 E3	3 Pass
6/2/2010	Q102GWSL	Cr-51	1.15 - 2.05 E5	1.54 E5	1.85 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.91 - 8.70 E4	6.54 E4	6.65 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	3.10 - 5.49 E4	4.13 E4	4.37 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	3.79 - 6.72 E4	5.05 E4	5.87 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	5.63 - 9.99 E4	7.51 E4	7.39 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	6.00 - 10.63 E4	7.99 E4	8.28 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.62 - 6.42 E4	4.82 E4	4.33 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.29 - 7.61 E4	5.72 E4	5.38 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	3.66 - 6.49 E4	4.88 E4	5.70 E4	3 Pass
9/9/2010	Q103GWSL	Cr-51	1.00 - 1.77 E5	1.33 E5	1.35 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.34 - 7.69 E4	5.79 E4	6.05 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	2.82 - 5.00 E4	3.76 E4	3.82 E4	3 Pass
1		Fe-59	3.64 - 6.46 E4	4.86 E4	5.21 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	6.13 - 10.86 E4	8.17 E4	8.38 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	7.44 - 13.19 E4	9.92 E4	10.43 E4	3 Pass
	[Cs-134	3.35 - 5.94 E4	4.47 E4	4.13 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.38 - 6.00 E4	4.51 E4	4.45 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	5.41 - 9.59 E4	7.21 E4	7.37 E4	3 Pass

Gamma in Water 3.5 liters

Gamma in Water 1.0 liter

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range pCi/l	Value pCi/l	Value pCi/l	Status
2/22/2010	Q101GWR	Co-57	0.86 - 1.52 E4	1.14 E4	1.12 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	2.72 - 4.82 E3	3.62 E3	3.73 E3	3 Pass
		Ba-133	5.31 - 9.43 E3	7.09 E3	5.56 E3	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.67 - 8.27 E3	6.22 E3	6.06 E3	3 Pass

Gamma in Water 1.0 liter, continued

•

Q

•

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
6/2/2010	Q102GWSL	Cr-51	1.15 - 2.05 E5	1.54 E5	1.85 E5	2 Pass
		Mn-54	4.91 - 8.70 E4	6.54 E4	6.70 E4	2 Pass
		Co-58	3.10 - 5.49 E4	4.13 E4	4.38 E4	2 Pass
		Fe-59	3.79 - 6.72 E4	5.05 E4	5.91 E4	2 Pass
		Co-60	5.63 - 9.99 E4	7.51 E4	7.44 E4	2 Pass
		Zn-65	6.00 - 10.63 E4	7.99 E4	8.35 E4	2 Pass
		Cs-134	3.62 - 6.42 E4	4.82 E4	4.25 E4	2 Pass
		Cs-137	4.29 - 7.61 E4	5.72 E4	5.39 E4	2 Pass
		Ce-141	3.66 - 6.49 E4	4.88 E4	5.69 E4	2 Pass
9/9/2010	Q103GWSL	Cr-51	1.00 - 1.77 E5	1.33 E5	1.33 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.34 - 7.69 E4	5.79 E4	5.98 E4	3 Pass
[Co-58	2.82 - 5.00 E4	3.76 E4	3.76 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	3.64 - 6.46 E4	4.86 E4	5.16 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	6.13 - 10.86 E4	8.17 E4	8.32 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	7.44 - 13.19 E4	9.92 E4	10.43 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.35 - 5.94 E4	4.47 E4	3.98 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.38 - 6.00 E4	4.51 E4	4.43 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	5.41 - 9.59 E4	7.21 E4	7.24 E4	3 Pass

Gamma in Water 0.5 liter

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
2/22/2010	Q101GWR	Co-57	0.86 - 1.52 E4	1.14 E4	1.12 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	2.72 - 4.82 E3	3.62 E3	3.73 E3	3 Pass
		Ba-133	5.31 - 9.43 E3	7.09 E3	5.53 E3	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.67 - 8.27 E3	6.22 E3	6.04 E3	3 Pass
6/2/2010	Q102GWSL	Cr-51	1.15 - 2.05 E5	1.54 E5	1.81 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.91 - 8.70 E4	6.54 E4	6.45 E4	3 Pass .
		Co-58	3.10 - 5.49 E4	4.13 E4	4.22 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	3.79 - 6.72 E4	5.05 E4	5.73 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	5.63 - 9.99 E4	7.51 E4	7.24 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	6.00 - 10.63 E4	7.99 E4	8.10 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.62 - 6.42 E4	4.82 E4	4.10 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.29 - 7.61 E4	5.72 E4	5.17 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	3.66 - 6.49 E4	4.88 E4	5.46 E4	3 Pass
9/9/2010	Q103GWSL	Cr-51	1.00 - 1.77 E5	1.33 E5	1.26 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.34 - 7.69 E4	5.79 E4	5.55 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	2.82 - 5.00 E4	3.76 E4	3.47 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	3.64 - 6.46 E4	4.86 E4	4.85 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	6.13 - 10.86 E4	8.17 E4	7.80 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	7.44 - 13.19 E4	9.92 E4	9.76 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.35 - 5.94 E4	4.47 E4	3.69 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.38 - 6.00 E4	4.51 E4	4.11 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	5.41 - 9.59 E4	7.21 E4	6.68 E4	3 Pass

Gamma in Water 0.25 liter

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date		ļ	Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
2/22/2010	Q101GWR	Co-57	0.86 - 1.52 E4	1.14 E4	1.09 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	2.72 - 4.82 E3	3.62 E3	3.71 E3	3 Pass
		Ba-133	5.31 - 9.43 E3	7.09 E3	5.56 E3	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.67 - 8.27 E3	6.22 E3	5.88 E3	3 Pass
6/2/2010	Q102GWSL	Cr-51	1.15 - 2.05 E5	1.54 E5	1.77 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.91 - 8.70 E4	6.54 E4	6.39 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	3.10 - 5.49 E4	4.13 E4	4.20 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	3.79 - 6.72 E4	5.05 E4	5.68 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	5.63 - 9.99 E4	7.51 E4	7.21 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	6.00 - 10.63 E4	7.99 E4	8.09 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.62 - 6.42 E4	4.82 E4	4.09 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	4.29 - 7.61 E4	5.72 E4	5.19 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	3.66 - 6.49 E4	4.88 E4	5.34 E4	3 Pass
9/9/2010	Q103GWSL	Cr-51	1.00 - 1.77 E5	1.33 E5	1.35 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.34 - 7.69 E4	5.79 E4	5.90 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	2.82 - 5.00 E4	3.76 E4	3.67 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	3.64 - 6.46 E4	4.86 E4	5.18 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	6.13 - 10.86 E4	8.17 E4	8.25 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	7.44 - 13.19 E4	9.92 E4	10.33 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.35 - 5.94 E4	4.47 E4	3.92 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.38 - 6.00 E4	4.51 E4	4.33 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	5.41 - 9.59 E4	7.21 E4	7.09 E4	3 Pass

Gamma on Filter

*

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi	Reference Value pCi	Mean Reported Value pCi	Cross Check Status
6/17/2010	E7153-37	Cr-51	2.46 - 4.36 E2	3.28 E2	3.76 E2	2 Pass
		Mn-54	1.23 - 2.18 E2	1.64 E2	1.65 E2	2 Pass
}	:	Co-58	7.34 - 13.02 E1	9.79 E1	9.93 E1	2 Pass
		Fe-59	0.86 - 1.53 E2	1.15 E2	1.05 E2	2 Pass
		Co-60	1.43 - 2.53 E2	1.90 E2	1.86 E2	2 Pass
·		Zn-65	1.49 - 2.65 E2	1.99 E2	1.92 E2	2 Pass
		Cs-134	0.92 - 1.62 E2	1.22 E2	1.13 E2	2 Pass
		Cs-137	1.09 - 1.93 E2	1.45 E2	1.40 E2	2 Pass
		Ce-141	0.80 - 1.42 E2	1.07 E2	1.01 E2	2 Pass

Gamma in Soil (Special Testing)*

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/kg	Reference Value pCi/kg	Mean Reported Value pCi/kg	Cross Check Status
12/9/2010	É7380-37	Cr-51	2.60 - 9.98 E2	5.09 E2	5.85 E2	4 Pass
		Mn-54	1.01 - 1.78 E2	1.34 E2	1.42 E2	4 Pass
		Co-58	0.76 - 1.34 E2	1.01 E2	0.96 E2	1/4 Low ⁽¹⁾
		Fe-59	0.95 - 2.25 E2	1.46 E2	1.42 E2	4 Pass
		Co-60	2.52 - 4.47 E2	3.36 E2	3.27 E2	4 Pass
		Zn-65	1.46 - 2.58 E2	1.94 E2	1.97 E2	4 Pass
		Cs-134	1.31 - 2.33 E2	1.75 E2	1.54 E2	4 Pass
		Cs-137	2.24 - 3.96 E2	2.98 E2	2.89 E2	4 Pass

* INOS Audit 10-15(INOS)(REC)(MNS) 2010, PIP M-10-06597

Gamma in Vegetation (Special Testing)*

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range pCi/kg	Value pCi/kg	Value pCi/kg	Status
12/9/2010	E7381-37	Cr-51	2.36 - 11.11 E2	5.12 E2	5.10 E2	1 Pass
		Mn-54	1.01 - 1.78 E2	1.34 E2	1.51 E2	1 Pass
		Co-58	0.76 - 1.34 E2	1.01 E2	1.00 E2	1 Pass
ŀ		Fe-59	0.84 - 2.57 E2	1.47 E2	1.54 E2	1 Pass
		Co-60	2.54 - 4.50 E2	3.38 E2	3.38 E2	1 Pass
		Zn-65	1.46 - 2.59 E2	1.95 E2	2.24 E2	1 Pass
		Cs-134	1.32 - 2.34 E2	1.76 E2	1.51 E2	1 Pass
		Cs-137	1.57 - 2.78 E2	2.09 E2	2.03 E2	1 Pass

* INOS Audit 10-15(INOS)(REC)(MNS) 2010, PIP M-10-06597

Iodine in Milk

•••••

•

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
3/2/2010	Q101LIM1	I-131	2.29 - 4.06 E1	3.06 E1	2.38 E1	1 Pass
3/2/2010	Q101LIM2	I-131	1.25 - 2.21 E3	1.66 E3	1.39 E3	3 Pass
				•		
3/2/2010	Q101LIM3	I-131	6.27 - 11.13 E3	8.37 E3	6.44 E3	2 Pass

Iodine on Cartridge

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Mean Reported	Cross Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi	pCi	pCi	
6/17/2010	E7154-37	I-131	6.01 - 10.65 E1	8.01 E1	8.39 E1	3 Pass

Tritium in Water

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
2/22/2010	Q101TWS1	H-3	6.68 - 11.84 E5	8.91 E5	9.00 E5	3 Pass
2/22/2010	Q101TWS2	H-3	0.79 - 1.40 E7	1.05 E7	1.00 E7	3 Pass
11/4/2010	Q104TWR1	H-3	3.14 - 5.56 E3	4.18 E3	3.91 E3	3 Pass
11/4/2010	Q104TWR2	H-3	3.40 - 6.02 E4	4.53 E4	4.26 E4	3 Pass
11/4/2010	Q104TWR3	H-3	4.98 - 8.83 E2	6.64 E2	6.12 E2	3 Pass

Gross Beta in Water

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
11/18/2010	Q104ABW1	Cs-137	5.43 - 9.63 E1	7.24 E1	6.79 E1	3 Pass
11/18/2010	Q104ABW2	Cs-137	4.88 - 8.66 E1	6.51 E1	6.44 E1	3 Pass
11/18/2010	Q104ABW3	Cs-137	1.15 - 2.03 E1	1.53 E1	1.52 E1	3 Pass

Table 5.0-A Footnote Explanations

(1) Gamma in Soil (Special Testing), Sample ID E7380-37, Reference Date 12/9/2010

È

One of four Co-58 results was biased low and outside of the acceptance range (reference 6.18).

TABLE 5.0-BENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE ASSOCIATES (ERA)QUIK™ RESPONSE PROGRAM

2010 PROFICIENCY TEST RESULTS FOR ENRAD LABORATORIES

ERA LABORATORY CODE: D242401

Proficiency test samples are received, prepared, analyzed, and reported to Environmental Resource Associates as described in the "Quik" Response instruction package within the study period. Proficiency test data are reported to ERA for evaluation. ERA reports proficiency test results to the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, North Carolina Public Drinking Water Laboratory Certification Program.

If applicable, footnote explanations are included following this data table.

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Reported	Proficiency Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	<u> </u>
4/5/2010	RAD-81*	Ba-133	5.49 - 7.25 E1	6.59 E1	7.26 E1	High ⁽¹⁾
		Cs-134	5.84 - 7.88 E1	7.16 E1	6.74 E1	Pass
		Cs-137	1.31 - 1.63 E2	1.46 E2	1.33 E2	Pass
		Co-60	7.60 - 9.53 E1	8.45 E1	8.40 E1	Pass
		Zn-65	1.67 - 2.19 E2	1.86 E2	1.93 E2	Pass
1/10/2010	Quik 120810K**	Ba-133	6.10 - 8.02 E1	7.29 E1	7.75 E1	Pass
		Cs-134	5.15 - 6.97 E1	6.34 E1	6.18 E1	Pass
		Cs-137	1.08 - 1.34 E2	1.20 E2	1.14 E2	Pass
		Co-60	8.10 - 10.1 E1	9.00 E1	9.90 E1	Pass
		Zn-65	1.89 - 2.46 E2	2.10 E2	2.12 E2	Pass

Gamma Emitters in Water

Tritium in Water

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range	Reference Value	Reported Value	Proficiency Check Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
4/5/2010	RAD-81*	H-3	1.08 - 1.36 E4	1.24 E4	1.16 E4	Pass
1/10/2010	Quik 120810K**	Н-3	3.16 - 4.10 E3	3.72 E3	3.58 E3	Pass

* ERA study period 4/5/2010 - 5/20/2010, ERA data report issue date 5/26/2010

** ERA study period 12/8/2010 - 3/30/2011, ERA data report issue date 3/30/2010

Table 5.0-B Footnote Explanations

Gamma Emitters in Water, Sample ID RAD-81, Reference Date 4/5/2010
 Reported result for Ba-133 was above the acceptance range limit (reference 6.19).

TABLE 5.0-C2010 ENVIRONMENTAL DOSIMETERCROSS-CHECK RESULTS

•

•

Nuclear Technology Services


						1				••	
1st Quart	er 2010				2nd Quar	ter 2010					
TLD	Reported	Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD	Reported	Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail	
Number	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail	Number	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail
102379	105.0	101.9	3.04	<+/-15%	Pass	102501	71.0	73.0	-2.74	<+/-15%	Pass
102385	103.0	101.9	1.08	<+/-15%	Pass	102013	73.0	73.0	0.00	<+/-15%	Pass
102403	103.0	101.9	1.08	<+/-15%	Pass	100309	73.0	73.0	0.00	<+/-15%	Pass
102480	101.0	101.9	-0.88	<+/-15%	Pass	100623	70.0	73.0	-4.11	<+/-15%	Pass
102505	103.0	101.9	1.08	<+/-15%	Pass	102060	72.0	73.0	-1.37	<+/-15%	Pass
	Averag	e Bias (B)	1.08				Averag	e Bias (B)	-1.64		
S	tandard De	viation (S)	1.39			S	tandard De	viation (S)	1.79		
Measur	e Performa	ance B +S	2.47	<15%	Pass	Measure Performance B +S			3.43	<15%	Pass
3rd Quart	er 2010					4th Quart	er 2010				
TLD	Reported	Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD		Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail	
Number	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail		(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail
100252	56.1	55.9	0.36	<+/-15%	Pass	102367	88.0	84.0	4.76	<+/-15%	Pass
100403	55.2	55.9	-1.25	<+/-15%	Pass	102399	91.0	84.0	8.33	<+/-15%	Pass
101143	55.3	55.9	-1.07	<+/-15%	Pass	102402	87.0	84.0	3.57	<+/́-15%	Pass
100065	54.2	55.9	-3.04	<+/-15%	Pass	102480	90.0	84.0	7.14	<+/-15%	Pass
100054	57.2	55.9	2.33	<+/-15%	Pass	102510	90.0	84.0	7.14	<+/-15%	Pass
	Averag	e Bias (B)	-0.54				Averag	e Bias (B)	6.19		
St	andard De	viation (S)	2.00			S	andard De	viation (S)	1.96		
Measur	e Performa	ance B +S	2.54	<15%	Pass	Measur	e Performa	nce B +S	8.15	<15%	Pass

Internal Crosscheck (Duke Energy)

1st Quart	er 2010				2nd Quar	ter 2010					
TLD		Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD		Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail	
Number	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail	1	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail
102384	21.5	22.0	-2.18	<+/-15%	Pass	101183	29.2	30.0	-2.60	<+/-15%	Pass
102399	21.4	22.0	-2.82	<+/-15%	Pass	100709	29.8	30.0	-0.70	<+/-15%	Pass
102406	21.9	22.0	-0.50	<+/-15%	Pass	101167	29.0	30.0	-3.30	<+/-15%	Pass
102487	20.5	22.0	-6.68	<+/-15%	Pass	101290	28.2	30.0	-6.07	<+/-15%	Pass
102260	21.1	22.0	-4.27	<+/-15%	Pass	100027	28.2	30.0	-6.07	<+/-15%	Pass
102504	21.2	22.0	-3.45	<+/-15%	Pass	101310	28.6	30.0	-4.67	<+/-15%	Pass
102393	20.8	22.0	-5.45	<+/-15%	Pass	101189	29.6	30.0	-1.33	<+/-15%	Pass
102261	21.2	22.0	-3.68	<+/-15%	Pass	101158	29.7	30.0	-1.03	<+/-15%	Pass
102343	20.9	22.0	-5.09	<+/-15%	Pass	101386	31.0	30.0	3.47	<+/-15%	Pass
101235	21.5	22.0	-2.36	<+/-15%	Pass	101398	32.4	30.0	8.03	<+/-15%	Pass
	-	e Bias (B)	-3.65				Averag	e Bias (B)	-1.43		
S	andard De	viation (S)	1.81			St	andard De	viation (S)	4.38		
Measur	e Performa	ance B +S	5.46	<15%	Pass	Measur	e Performa	ince B +S	5.81	<u><15%</u>	Pass
3rd Quart	er 2010					4th Quart	er 2010				
TLD	Reported	Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD	Reported	Delivered	Bias	Pass/Fail	
Number	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail	Number	(mR)	(mR)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail
102264	96.8	100.0	-3.21	<+/-15%	Pass	102301	33.1	35.0	-5.46	<+/-15%	Pass
102406	101.4	100.0	1.41	<+/-15%	Pass	102471	34.8	35.0	-0.49	<+/-15%	Pass
102399	99.7	100.0	-0.30	<+/-15%	Pass	102083	33.7	35.0	-3.66	<+/-15%	Pass
102403	97.0	100.0	-2.97	<+/-15%	Pass	102442	33.4	35.0	-4.60	<+/-15%	Pass
102480	98.8	100.0	-1.17	<+/-15%	Pass	102389	33.4	35.0	-4.46	<+/-15%	Pass
102505	99.0	100.0	-1.00	<+/-15%	Pass	102362	33.9	35.0	-3.20	<+/-15%	Pass
102440	95.1	100.0	-4.95	<+/-15%	Pass	101413	33.4	35.0	-4.66	<+/-15%	Pass
102479	95.7	100.0	-4.33	<+/-15%	Pass	102007	33.1	35.0	-5.57	<+/-15%	Pass
101136	98.2	100.0	-1.84	<+/-15%	Pass	102509	34.9	35.0	-0.31	<+/-15%	Pass
102339	95.6	100.0	-4.41	<+/-15%	Pass	102058	33.2	35.0	-5.23	<+/-15%	Pass
		e Bias (B)	-2.28				Averag	e Bias (B)	-3.76		
	andard De		2.05			St	andard De	viation (S)	1.92		
Measur	e Performa	ince B +S	4.33	<15%	Pass	Measure	e Performa	nce B +S	5.68	<15%	Pass

6.0 REFERENCES

- 6.1 Catawba Selected License Commitment Report
- 6.2 Catawba Technical Specifications
- 6.3 Catawba Updated Final Safety Analysis Review
- 6.4 Catawba Offsite Dose Calculation Manual
- 6.5 Catawba Annual Environmental Operating Report 1985 2009
- 6.6 Catawba Annual Effluent Report 1985 2010
- 6.7 Probability and Statistics in Engineering and Management Science, Hines and Montgomery, 1969, pages 287-293.
- 6.8 Practical Statistics for the Physical Sciences, Havilcek and Crain, 1988, pages 83-93.
- 6.9 Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.109, Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purposes of Evaluating Compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix I.
- 6.10 EnRad Laboratories Operating Procedures
- 6.11 RETDAS, Radiological Effluent Tracking and Dose Assessment Software, Canberra Version 3.5.1, DPC Revision #4.0
- 6.12 NRC Integrated Inspection Report 05000413/2009003 and 05000414/2009003
- 6.13 Duke Energy Corporation EnRad Laboratory Charcoal Cartridge Study, performed 2001
- 6.14 Catawba Modifications CN-18103 (Unit 1), CN-28103 (Unit 2)
- 6.15 Nuclear System Directive (NSD) 701, Records Management
- 6.16 Radiological Effluents Controls INOS Audit 10-13(INOS)(REC)(CNS)
- 6.17 Radiological Effluent Controls INOS Audit 10-15(INOS)(REC)(MNS)
- 6.18 Problem Investigation Program Database, V 3.4.1, Duke Energy Company, G-11-00581
- 6.19 Problem Investigation Program Database, V 3.4.1, Duke Energy Company, G-11-00598
- 6.20 Problem Investigation Program Database, V 3.4.1, Duke Energy Company, G-11-01294



APPENDIX A

ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Adherence to established procedures for sampling and analysis of all environmental media at Catawba Nuclear Station was required to ensure compliance with Station Selected Licensee Commitments. Analytical procedures were employed to ensure that Selected Licensee Commitments detection capabilities were achieved.

Environmental sampling and analyses were performed by EnRad Laboratories, Dosimetry and Records, Fisheries and Aquatic Ecology.

This appendix describes the environmental sampling frequencies and analysis procedures by media type.

I. CHANGE OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Location 205 (Air Particulate, Air Radioiodine, TLD) distance was updated from 0.23 miles to 0.25 miles as a result of assessment RP-SA-2009-0025 (reference 6.20).

II. DESCRIPTION OF ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Gamma spectroscopy analyses are performed using high purity germanium gamma detectors and Canberra analytical software. Designated sample volumes are transferred to appropriate counting geometries and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy. Perishable samples such as fish and broadleaf vegetation are ground to achieve a homogeneous mixture. Soils and sediments are dried, sifted to remove foreign objects (rocks, clams, glass, etc.) then transferred to appropriate counting geometry.

Low-level iodine analyses are performed by passing a designated sample aliquot through a pre-weighed amount of ion exchange resin to remove and concentrate any iodine in the aqueous sample (milk). The resin is then dried, mixed thoroughly, and a net resin weight determined before being transferred to appropriate counting geometry and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Tritium analyses are performed quarterly by using low-level environmental liquid scintillation analysis technique on a Packard 2550 liquid scintillation system or Perkin-Elmer 2900TR liquid scintillation system. Tritium samples are distilled and batch processed with a tritium spike and blank to verify instrument performance and sample preparation technique are acceptable. Gross beta analysis is performed by concentrating a designated aliquot of sample precipitate and analyzing by Tennelec XLB Series 5 gas-flow proportional counters. Samples are batch processed with a blank to ensure sample contamination has not occurred.

III. CHANGE OF ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

No analysis procedures were changed during 2010.

IV. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

A.1 AIRBORNE PARTICULATE AND RADIOIODINE

Airborne particulate and radioiodine samples at each of five locations were composited continuously by means of continuous air samplers. Air particulates were collected on a particulate filter and radioiodines were collected in a charcoal cartridge positioned behind the filter in the sampler. The samplers are designed to operate at a constant flow rate (in order to compensate for any filter loading) and are set to sample approximately 2 cubic feet per minute. Filters and cartridges were collected weekly. A separate weekly gamma analysis was performed on each charcoal cartridge and air particulate. A weekly gross beta analysis was performed on each filter. The continuous composite samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 200	=	Site Boundary (0.63 mi. NNE)
Location 201	=	Site Boundary (0.53 mi. NE)
Location 205	=	Site Boundary (0.25 mi. SW)
Location 212	=	Tega Cay (3.32 mi. E)
Location 258	=	Fairhope Road (9.84 mi. W)

A.2 DRINKING WATER

Monthly composite drinking water samples were collected at each of two locations. A gross beta and gamma analysis was performed on monthly composites. Tritium analysis was performed on the quarterly composites. The composites were collected monthly from the locations listed below.

Location 214	=	Rock Hill Water Supply (7.30 mi. SSE)
Location 218	=	Belmont Water Supply (13.5 mi. NNE)

A.3 SURFACE WATER

Monthly composite samples were collected at each of three locations. A gamma analysis was performed on the monthly composites. Tritium analysis was

Õ

performed on the quarterly composites. The composites were collected monthly from the locations listed below.

Location 208	=	Discharge Canal (0.45 mi. S)
Location 211	=	Wylie Dam (4.06 mi. ESE)
Location 215	=	River Pointe - Hwy 49 (4.21 mi. NNE)

A.4 GROUND WATER

Grab samples were collected quarterly from residential wells at each of two locations. A gamma analysis and tritium analysis were performed on each sample. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 252 = Residence (0.64 mi. SW) Location 254 = Residence (0.82 mi. N)

A.5 <u>MILK</u>

Biweekly grab samples were collected at one location. A gamma and low-level Iodine-131 analysis was performed on each sample. The biweekly grab samples were collected from the location listed below.

Location 221 = Dairy (14.5 mi. NW)

A.6 BROADLEAF VEGETATION

Monthly samples were collected at each of five locations. A gamma analysis was performed on each sample. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 200	=	Site Boundary (0.63 mi. NNE)
Location 201	=	Site Boundary (0.53 mi. NE)
Location 222	=	Site Boundary (0.70 mi. N)
Location 226	=	Site Boundary (0.48 mi. S)
Location 258	=	Fairhope Road (9.84 mi. W)

A.7 FOOD PRODUCTS

Monthly samples were collected when available during the harvest season at one location. A gamma analysis was performed on each sample. The samples were collected from the location listed below.

Location 253 = Irrigated Gardens (1.90 mi. SSE)

A.8 <u>FISH</u>

•

Semiannual samples were collected at each of two locations. A gamma analysis was performed on the edible portions of each sample. Boney fish (i.e. Sunfish) were prepared whole minus the head and tail portions. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 208	=	Discharge Canal (0.45 mi. S)
Location 216	=	Hwy 49 Bridge (4.19 mi. NNE)

A.9 <u>SHORELINE SEDIMENT</u>

Semiannual samples were collected at each of three locations. A gamma analysis was performed on each sample following the drying and removal of rocks and clams. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 208	=	Discharge Canal (0.45 mi. S)
Location 210	=	Ebenezer Access (2.31 mi. SE)
Location 215	=	River Pointe - Hwy 49 (4.21 mi. NNE)

A.10 DIRECT GAMMA RADIATION (TLD)

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD) were collected quarterly at forty-one locations. A gamma exposure rate was determined for each TLD. TLD locations are listed in Table 2.1-B. The TLDs were placed as indicated below.

- * An inner ring of 16 TLDs, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the site boundary.
- * An outer ring of 16 TLDs, one in each meteorological sector in the 6 to 8 kilometer range.
- * The remaining TLDs were placed in special interest areas such as population centers, residential areas, schools, and at three control locations.

A.11 ANNUAL LAND USE CENSUS

An Annual Land Use Census was conducted to identify within a distance of 8 kilometers (5.0 miles) from the station, the nearest location from the site boundary in each of the sixteen meteorological sectors, the following:

- * The Nearest Residence
- * The Nearest Garden greater than 50 square meters or 500 square feet

* The Nearest Milk-giving Animal (cow, goat, etc.)

The census was conducted during the growing season from 7/14 to 7/15/2010. Results are shown in Table 3.11. No changes were made to the sampling procedures during 2010 as a result of the 2010 census.

V. GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) ANALYSIS

The Catawba site centerline used for GPS measurements was referenced from the Catawba Nuclear Station Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), section 2.1.1.1, Specification of Location. Waypoint coordinates used for CNS GPS measurements were latitude 35°-3'-5"N and longitude 81°-4'-10"W. Maps and tables were generated using North American Datum (NAD) 27. Data normally reflect accuracy to within 2 to 5 meters from point of measurement. All GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest. Distances for the locations are displayed using three significant figures.

APPENDIX B

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

2010

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Total Number of Analyses		Total Number of Analyses		Total Number		Total Number		Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	An	on with Highest nnual Mean istance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement					(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range					
Air Particulate (pCi/m3)							258 (9.84 mi W)							
	BETA	264	1.00E-02	1.98E-2 (211/211)	212	2.03E-2 (53/53)	1.90E-2 (53/53)	0						
-		_	<u></u>	8.86E-3 - 3.83E-2	(3.32 mi E)	1.08E-02 - 3.67E-2	8.59E-3 - 3.73E-2							
	CS-134	264	5.00E-02	0.00 (0/211)		0.00 (0/53)	0.00 (0/53)	0						
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00							
	CS-137	264	6.00E-02	0.00 (0/211)		0.00 (0/53)	0.00 (0/53)	0						
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00							
	I-131	264	7.00E-02	0.00 (0/211)		0.00 (0/53)	0.00 (0/53)	0						
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00							

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

Zero range indicates no detectable activity measurements

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

ŏ

0

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	it of Analyses		Total Li Number De		Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Anı	n with Highest nual Mean stance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement			(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range			
Air Radioiodine (pCi/m3)							258 (9.84 mi W)			
	CS-134	264	5.00E-02	0.00 (0/211)		0.00 (0/53)	0.00 (0/53)	0		
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00			
	CS-137	264	6.00E-02	0.00 (0/211)		0.00 (0/53)	0.00 (0/53)	0		
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00			
	I-131	264	7.00E-02	0.00 (0/211)		0.00 (0/53)	0.00 (0/53)	0		
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00			

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

			T					
Medium or Pathway Sampled	1 **	Type and Total Number of		All Indicator Locations	Annu	Location with Highest Annual Mean Name, Distance, Direction		No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of	Analyse		1	Mean (Fraction)	Location	Mean (Fraction)	Mean (Fraction)	
Measurement	Perform		(LLD)	Range	Code	Range	Range	
Wiedsurement	I CHOIM	eu	<u> '</u>	Kange		Kange	Kange	
Dialaine Water							218	
Drinking Water								
(pCi/liter)							(13.5 mi NNE)	
	BALA-140	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	BETA	26	4	1.84 (13/13)	214	1.84 (13/13)	1.80 (12/13)	0
			۲	0.82 - 2.87	(7.30 mi SSE)	0.82 - 2.87	1.01 - 2.71	v
	CO-58	26	15	0.00 (0/13)	(7.30 m 305)	0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
			1.5	0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	v
	CO-60	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
1				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	CS-134	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
			1.5	0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	26	18	0.00 (0/13)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00	·	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
Į	FE-59	26	30	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	11.57			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	H-3	8	2000	705 (4/4)	214	705 (4/4)	427 (4/4)	0
				507 - 993	(7.30 mi SSE)	507 - 993	292 - 619	¥
	I-131	26	15	0.00 (0/13)	(7.50 m 0.2)	0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	1-151			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
1	MN-54	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	14114 0 .			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	NB-95	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	110 55			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	<u>`</u>
	ZN-65	26	30	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	211 05			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	<u>,</u>
	ZR-95	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
								<u>,</u>

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

•

0

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and T Numbe of		Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Ann	with Highest ual Mean tance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyse Perform		(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Surface Water (pCi/liter)							215 (4.21 mi NNE)	
	BALA-140	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00	·	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-58	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-60	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	39	18	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	FE-59	39	30	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	H-3	12	2000	3970 (8/8)	208	7260 (4/4)	294 (3/4)	0
				468 - 11900	(0.45 mi S)	4180 - 11900	221 - 390	
	I-131	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	MN-54	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	NB-95	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZN-65	39	30	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZR-95	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and T Numbe of		Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Ann	n with Highest ual Mean tance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed		(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Ground Water (pCi/liter)							NO CONTROL LOCATION	
	BALA-140	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-58	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-60	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	5	18	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	FE-59	5	30	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
			<u> </u>	0.00 - 0.00	1. B/ 1	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	<u>H-3</u>	5	2000	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	<u>I-131</u>	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	MN-54	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	<u>NB-95</u>	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZN-65	5	30	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
ľ				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	<u>ZR-95</u>	5	15	0.00 (0/5)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and T Number of	•	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Ann	with Highest ual Mean tance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyse Performe		(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Milk (pCi/liter)				NO INDICATOR LOCATION			221 (14.5 mi NW)	
	BALA-140	26	15	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	26	15	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	26	18	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	26	15	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	LLI-131	26	1	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
							······································	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

Zero range indicates no detectable activity measurements

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Numb of	ber	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Ann	with Highest ual Mean tance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Analyses Measurement Performed		(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range		
Broadleaf Vegetation (pCi/kg-wet)							258 (9.84 mi W)	
(perkg	CS-134	60	60	0.00 (0/48)	_	0.00 (0/12)	0.00 (0/12)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	60	80	37.7 (3/48)	201	37.7 (3/12)	0.00 (0/12)	0
				20.6 - 55.8	(0.53 mi NE)	20.6 - 55.8	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	60	60	0.00 (0/48)		0.00 (0/12)	0.00 (0/12)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

•

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Number		Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Ann	with Highest ual Mean tance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analys Perform		(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Food Products (pCi/kg-wet)							NO CONTROL LOCATION	
	CS-134	6	60	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	6	80	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/0)	0
	·····			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	6	60	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/0)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

١

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Tota Number of	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Ann	n with Highest ual Mean tance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Fish (pCi/kg-wet)						216 (4.19 mi NNE)	
	<u>CO-58 12</u>	130	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/6)	0
	CO-60 12	130	0.00 - 0.00		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 - 0.00 0.00 (0/6)	0
	12		0.00 - 0.00		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/8)	0
	CS-134 12	130	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/6)	0
			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137 12	150	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/6)	0
[0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	FE-59 12	260	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/6)	0
	<u></u>		0.00 - 0.00	1	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	<u>MN-54</u> 12	130	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/6)	0
		2(0	0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZN-65 12	260	0.00 (0/6)		0.00 (0/6)	0.00 (0/6)	0
			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Tot Number of	tal	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Annı	with Highest ual Mean ance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed		(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Shoreline Sediment (pCi/kg-dry)							215 (4.21 mi NNE)	
(pering dry)	MN-54	6	0	0.00 (0/4)		0.00 (0/2)	0.00 (0/2)	
	· · · · · ·			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-58	6	0	65.6 (2/4)	208-1S	65.6 (2/2)	0.00 (0/2)	0
				63.0 - 68.1	(0.45 mi S)	63.0 - 68.1	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-60	6	0	137 (2/4)	208-1S	137 (2/2)	0.00 (0/2)	0
				31.5 - 243	(0.45 mi S)	31.5 - 243	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	6	150	0.00 (0/4)		0.00 (0/2)	0.00 (0/2)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	6	180	25.6 (1/4)	208-1S	25.6 (1/2)	0.00 (0/2)	0
				25.6 - 25.6	(0.45 mi S)	25.6 - 25.6	0.00 - 0.00	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	. ,			

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

Zero range indicates no detectable activity measurements

If LLD is equal to 0.00, then the LLD is not required by Selected Licensee Commitments

Facility: Catawba Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-413,414

Location: York County, South Carolina


Report Period: 01-JAN-2010 to 31-DEC-2010

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Total Number of	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Annu	with Highest Ial Mean ance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Direct Radiation TLD (mR/standard quarter)						217 (10.3 mi SSE) 247 (7.33 mi ESE) 251 (9.72 mi WNW)	
	163	0.00E+00	18.8 (151/151) 12.0 - 27.0	205 (0.23 mi SW)	24.0 (4/4) 21.0 - 27.0	14.3 (12/12) 11.0 - 19.0	0

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

Zero range indicates no detectable activity measurements



APPENDIX C

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION SAMPLING DEVIATIONS & UNAVAILABLE ANALYSES

	DEVIATION & UNA	VAILABLE	REASON CODES
BF	Blown Fuse	РО	Power Outage
FZ	Sample Frozen	PS	Pump out of service / Undergoing Repair
IW	Inclement Weather	SL	Sample Loss/Lost due to Lab Accident
LC	Line Clog to Sampler	SM	Motor / Rotor Seized
OT	Other	TF	Torn Filter
PI	Power Interrupt	VN	Vandalism
РМ	Preventive Maintenance	CN	Construction
WO	Well Unavailable/Out of Service		

C.1 SAMPLING DEVIATIONS

Air Particulate and Air Radioiodines

Location	Scheduled Collection Dates	Actual Collection Dates	Reason Code	Corrective Action
258	2/16 - 2/23/2010	2/16 - 2/18/2010	РО	Power interruption due to breaker trip. Breaker was reset and normal sampling resumed.
258	3/9 - 3/16/2010	3/9 - 3/10/2010	РО	Power interruption due to breaker trip. Work request 77735 initiated to verify electrical supply stability. Breaker reset, normal sampling resumed.

Surface Water

	T	Г	ľ	Intake line clog to sampling equipment.
				Work request 79212 written. Flow
				restored 5/11/2010. Second line clog
1				interrupted sampling during subsequent
				monitoring period. Work request 80216
	j			written. Maintenance increased water
1	5/4 - 6/2/2010	5/11 - 6/2/2010		flow through intake line. PIP G-10-00819
215	6/2 - 6/29/2010	6/10 - 6/29/2010	LC	written.

C.2 UNAVAILABLE ANALYSES

Location	Scheduled Collection Dates	Reason Code	Corrective Action
			Power interruption due to surge protector failure. Sampling equipment did not operate to collect
205	5/18 - 5/25/2010	PO	sufficient volume for analysis.

Air Particulate and Air Radioiodines

Ground Water

Location	Scheduled Collection Dates	Reason Code	Corrective Action
	6/8/2010		Well at this location (residence) is out of service.
	9/8/2010		Residence is vacant and power is not available to
252	12/7/2010	WO	operate well pump. PIP G-10-00754 generated.

TLD

•

•

Location	Scheduled Collection Dates	Reason Code	Corrective Action
249	6/16 - 9/15/2010	VN	TLD missing. 4 th quarter 2010 TLD placed in field.

APPENDIX D

•

•

ANALYTICAL DEVIATIONS

No Analytical deviations were incurred for the 2010 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program