

ç



DAVE BAXTER Vice President Oconee Nuclear Station

Duke Energy ONO1VP / 7800 Rochester Highway Seneca, SC 29672

**864-873-4460** 864-873-4208 fax dave.baxter@duke-energy.com

May 13, 2010

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Oconee Nuclear Station Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to Oconee Nuclear Station Technical Specification 5.6.2, please find enclosed the Oconee Nuclear Site Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2009.

Any questions concerning this report may be directed to Judy Smith at 864-873-4309.

Sincerely,

Dave/Baxter Vice President Oconee Nuclear Station

Attachment 1 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (hard copy) Attachment 2 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (CD)

www.duke-energy.com

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission May 13, 2010 Page 2

xc: Luis Reyes, Regional Administrator
 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II
 Marquis One Tower
 245 Peachtree Center Ave., NE, Suite 1200
 Atlanta, GA 30303-1257

John Stang, Project Manager Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Andrew Sabisch Senior Resident Inspector Oconee Nuclear Station

Susan E. Jenkins, Manager Radioactive & Infectious Waste Management Division of Waste Management South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull St. Columbia, SC 29201

American Nuclear Insurers 95 Glastonbury Blvd., Suite 300 Glastonbury, CT 06033-4443

Russell Keown, Supervisor Analytical & Radiological Environmental Services Division 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201 803-896-0856/<u>KEOWNRH@dhec.sc.gov</u>

Sandra Flemming, Director Analytical & Radiological Environmental Services Division 8231 Parklane Road Columbia, SC 29223 803-896-3890/FLEMMISA@dhec.sc.gov



# Oconee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3



# AREOR

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 2009



# ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

# **DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION Units 1, 2, and 3**

# 2009



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

:

1.0 Exec	itive Summary		•				•		•		1-1
2.0 Intro	duction										2-1
21	Site Description and Sample I	ocation	19	-			-		-		2-1
2.1	Scope and Requirements of th	e RFM	P	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2-1
2.2	Statistical and Calculational N	/lethodo	logy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2-2
2.5	2.3.1 Estimation of the Mean	i Value	logy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2-2
	2.3.7 Lower Level of Detecti	on and	Minin	• •••••	Deteci	table	· Activ	vitv	·	•	2-2
	2.3.2 Trend Identification	on and	(VIIIII)	iiuiii .	Delee	aore	Activ	ny	•	•	2-3
	2.5.5 Trend Identification	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2-3
3.0 Inter	pretation of Results										3-1
3.1	Airborne Radioiodine and Par	ticulate	s				_				3-2
3.2	Drinking Water		Ĵ.	•					•	÷	3-5
33	Surface Water	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-7
3.4	Milk	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-10
3.5	Broadleaf Vegetation	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	•	3-12
3.5	Fish	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-14
2.0	Shoreline Sediment	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	•	2 17
2.0	Direct Commo Dediction	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2 20
3.0	Land Use Consus	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-20
5.9	Land Use Census	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3-22
40 Eval	uation of Dose										4-1
4.0 Dvar	Dose from Environmental Me	asureme	ente	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4-1
4.1	Estimated Dose from Release		1115	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4-1
4.2	Comparison of Doses		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4-2
т.у	comparison of Doses	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	72
5.0 <b>Oua</b>	ity Assurance										5-1
5.1	Sample Collection										5-1
5.2	Sample Analysis							_			5-1
53	Dosimetry Analysis	•									5-1
5.4	Laboratory Equipment Quality	Assura	nce								5-1
0.11	5.4.1 Daily Quality Control	, 1100 <b>u</b> 10		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-1
	5.4.2 Calibration Verification	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-1
	5.4.3 Batch Processing		·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-2
5 5	Duke Energy Intercomparison	Drograu	m	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5_2
5.5	ERA Proficiency Testing	Tiograi		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-2
5.0	Duke Energy Audits	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-2
5.8	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Com	mission	Incna	Action	•	•	•	•	•	•	52
5.0	State of South Carolina Intera	omnoria	on Dr	ogra	15 n	•	•	•	•	·	52
5.10	TLD Intercomparison Program	omparis ~	ULLE	ograi	11	•	•	•	•	•	52
5.10	5 10 1 Nuclear Technology S	II .	Intoro	•	oricon	Droc	· rom	•	•	•	52
	5.10.2 Internal Grasschools (	ervices	merc	omp	alison	FIOE	grann	•	•	•	5-5
	5.10.2 Internal Crosscheck (L		ergy)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-5
6.0 Refe	rences										6-1
910 IXUN		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	U 1
Append	ces										
Annandi	A. Environmental Samuling	and Ana	lucia	Droos	durec						Λ 1
T	Change of Sampling Procedure	niu Alla es	19315 1	1000	Julies		•	•	•	•	A-1 A-2
1.		÷	•	-	•	•	•			•	· • •

II.	Description of Analysis Procedures		•	A-2
III	. Change of Analysis Procedures			A-3
IV	. Sampling and Analysis Procedures			A-3
	A.1 Airborne Particulate and Radioiodine			A-3
	A.2 Drinking Water		•	A-3
	A.3 Surface Water		•	A-4
	A.4 Milk			A-4
	A.5 Broadleaf Vegetation			A-4
	A.6 Fish			A-4
	A.7 Shoreline Sediment			A-4
	A.8 Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD)			A-5
	A.9 Annual Land Use Census			A-5
V.	Global Positioning System (GPS) Analysis			A-5
Appendi	x B: Radiological Env. Monitoring Program - Summary of Results.			B-1
• •	Air Particulate			B-2
	Air Radioiodine.			B-3
	Drinking Water			B-4
	Surface Water			B-5
	Milk	•	•	B-6
	Broadleaf Vegetation	•		B-7
	Fish			B-8
	Shoreline Sediment			B-9
	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD)			B-10
Appendi	x C: Sampling Deviations and Unavailable Analyses			C-1
	C.1 Sampling Deviations		•	C-2
	C.2 Unavailable Analyses			C-2
Appendi	x D: Analytical Deviations			D-1
Appendi	x E: Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Results			E-1
LIST OF FI	IGURES			
2.1-1	Sampling Locations Map (One Mile Radius)			2-4
2.1-2	Sampling Locations Map (Ten Mile Radius)		-	2-5
3.1	Concentration of Gross Beta in Air Particulate			3-4
- • •		•	•	

J.1	Concentration of Gross Beta III All Fatticulate	•	•	•	•	•	•	5-4
3.2	Concentration of Tritium in Drinking Water							3-5
3.3	Concentration of Tritium in Surface Water .							3-8
3.5	Concentration of Cs-137 in Broadleaf Vegetation	n			•			3-12
3.6-1	Concentration of Cs-137 in Fish							3-15
3.6-2	Concentration of Cs-134 in Fish							3-15
3.7-1	Concentration of Cs-137 in Shoreline Sediment			•	•			3-18
3.7-2	Concentration of Co-60 in Shoreline Sediment							3-18
3.8	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results .		•	•	•	•		3-20
3.9	2009 Land Use Census Map		•		•	•	•	3-23

#### LIST OF TABLES

2.1 <b>-</b> A	Radiological Monitoring Program Sampling Location		•		2-6		
2.1 <b>-</b> B	Radiological Monitoring Program Sampling Location	is (T	LD S	ites)	• .		2-7
2.2-A	Reporting Levels for Radioactivity Concentrations in						
	Environmental Samples			•			2-8
2.2 <b>-</b> B	REMP Analysis Frequency					•	2-8
2.2 <b>-</b> C	Maximum Values for the Lower Limits of Detection	•					2-9
3.1-A	Mean Concentration of Air Radioiodine (I-131)						3-3
3.1-B	Mean Concentration of Gross Beta in Air Particulate						3-4

ii

3.2	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Drinking Water .				3-6
3.3	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Water .				3-9
3.4	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Milk				3-11
3.5	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Vegetation .				3-13
3.6	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Fish				3-16
3.7	Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Shoreline Sediment	•	•		3-19
3.8	Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results				3-21
3.9	Oconee 2009 Land Use Census Results	•	•		3-22
4.1-A	2009 Environmental and Effluent Dose Comparison	•	•		4-3
4.1 <b>-</b> B	Maximum Individual Dose for 2009 based on Environmental				
	Measurements for Oconee Nuclear Station	•		•	4-6
5.0-A	2009 Cross-Check Results for EnRad Laboratories				5-4
5.0-B	2009 Environmental Resource Associates Quik™ Response Prog	gram			5-9
5.0-C	2009 Environmental Dosimeter Cross-Check Results				5-11

## LIST OF ACRONYMS USED IN THIS TEXT (in alphabetical order)

BW	BiWeekly
С	Control
DEHNR	Department of Environmental Health and Natural Resources
DHEC	Department of Health and Environmental Control
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ERA	Environmental Resource Associates
GI-LLI	Gastrointestinal – Lower Large Intestine
GPS	Global Positioning System
LLD	Lower Limit of Detection
М	Monthly
MDA	Minimum Detectable Activity
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
mrem	Millirem
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ODCM	Offsite Dose Calculation Manual
ONS	Oconee Nuclear Station
pCi/kg	picocurie per kilogram
pCi/l	picocurie per liter
pCi/m3	picocurie per cubic meter
PIP	Problem Investigation Process
Q	Quarterly
REMP	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program
SA	Semiannually
SLCs	Selected Licensee Commitments
SM	Semimonthly
TECH SPECs	Technical Specifications
TLD	Thermoluminescent Dosimeter
μCi/ml	microcurie per milliliter
UFSAR	Updated Final Safety Analysis Report
W	Weekly

# **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report describes the Oconee Nuclear Station Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP), and the program results for the calendar year 2009.

Included are the identification of sampling locations. descriptions of environmental sampling and analysis procedures, comparisons of present environmental radioactivity levels pre-operational environmental and data. comparisons of doses calculated from environmental measurements and effluent data. analysis of trends in environmental radiological



data as potentially affected by station operations, and a summary of environmental radiological sampling results. Quality assurance practices and program changes are also discussed.

Sampling activities were conducted as prescribed by Selected Licensee Commitments (SLC's). Required analyses were performed and detection capabilities were met for all collected samples as required by SLC's. Nine-hundred sixty-nine samples were analyzed comprising 1,346 test results in order to compile data for the 2009 report. Based on the annual land use census, the current number of sampling sites for Oconee Nuclear Station is sufficient.

Concentrations observed in the environment in 2009 for station related radionuclides were within the ranges of concentrations observed in the past. Inspection of data showed that radioactivity concentrations in drinking water, surface water, shoreline sediment, and fish are higher than the activities reported for samples collected at control locations. All positively identified measurements were within limits as specified in SLC's.

Additionally, environmental radiological monitoring data is consistent with effluents introduced into the environment by plant operations. The total body dose estimated to the maximum exposed member of the public as calculated by environmental sampling data, excluding TLD results, was 5.97E-02 mrem for 2009. It is therefore concluded that station operations has had no significant radiological impact on the health and safety of the public or the environment.

# **2.0 INTRODUCTION**

### 2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION AND SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Oconee Nuclear Station (ONS) is located in Oconee County, South Carolina, approximately 8 miles northeast of Seneca, South Carolina, on the shore of Lake Keowee. This lake was formed by damming the Keowee and Little Rivers in that location. Immediately to the south is the U.S. Government Hartwell Project. The Keowee Hydroelectric Plant near the station joins Lake Keowee and the upper reaches of Lake Hartwell. To the north, the Jocassee Hydroelectric Plant joins Lake Keowee. Jocassee is a pumped storage plant.

ONS consists of three pressurized water reactors. Each unit has an output of 846 megawatts net. Unit 1 license for operation was issued 2/6/1973. Unit 2 license for operation was issued 10/6/1973. Unit 3 license for operation was issued 7/19/1974. An independent spent fuel storage installation is also located at the site.

Figures 2.1-1 and 2.1-2 are maps depicting the Thermoluminescent Dosimeter (TLD) monitoring locations and the sampling locations. The location numbers shown on these maps correspond to those listed in Tables 2.1-A and 2.1-B. Figure 2.1-1 comprises all sample locations within a one mile radius of ONS. Figure 2.1-2 comprises all sample locations within a ten mile radius of ONS.

### 2.2 SCOPE AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE REMP

An environmental monitoring program has been in effect at Oconee Nuclear Station since 1969, four years prior to operation of Unit 1 in 1973. The preoperational program provides data on the existing environmental radioactivity levels for the site and vicinity which may be used to determine whether increases in environmental levels are attributable to the station. The operational program provides surveillance and backup support of detailed effluent monitoring which is necessary to evaluate the significance, if any, of the contributions to the existing environmental radioactivity levels that result from station operation.

This monitoring program is based on NRC guidance as reflected in the Selected Licensee Commitments Manual, with regard to sample media, sampling locations, sampling frequency, and analytical sensitivity requirements. Indicator and control locations were established for comparison purposes to distinguish radioactivity of station origin from natural or other "manmade" environmental radioactivity. The environmental monitoring program also verifies projected and anticipated radionuclide concentrations in the environment and related exposures from releases of radionuclides from Oconee Nuclear Station. This program satisfies the requirements of Section IV.B.2 of Appendix I to 10CFR50 and 10CFR72.44(d)(2) and provides surveillance of all appropriate critical exposure pathways to man and protects vital interests of the company, public, and state and federal agencies concerned with the environment. Reporting levels for radioactivity found in environmental samples are listed in Table 2.2-A. Table 2.2-B lists the REMP analysis and frequency schedule.

The Annual Land Use Census, required by Selected Licensee Commitments, is performed to ensure that changes in the use of areas at or beyond the site boundary are identified and that modifications to the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program are made if required by changes in land use. This census satisfies the requirements of Section IV.B.3 of Appendix I to 10CFR50. Results are shown in Table 3.9.

Participation in an interlaboratory comparison program as required by Selected Licensee Commitments provides for independent checks on the precision and accuracy of measurements of radioactive material in REMP sample matrices. Such checks are performed as part of the quality assurance program for environmental monitoring in order to demonstrate that the results are valid for the purposes of Section IV.B.2 of Appendix I to 10CFR50. A summary of the results obtained as part of this comparison program are in Section 5 of this annual report.

### 2.3 STATISTICAL AND CALCULATIONAL METHODOLOGY

#### 2.3.1 ESTIMATION OF THE MEAN VALUE

There was one (1) basic statistical calculation performed on the raw data resulting from the environmental sample analysis program. The calculation involved the determination of the mean value for the indicator and the control samples for each sample medium. The mean is a widely used statistic. This value was used in the reduction of the data generated by the sampling and analysis of the various media in the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program. "Net activity (or concentration)" is the activity (or concentration) determined to be present in the sample. No "Minimum Detectable Activity", "Lower Limit of Detection", "Less Than Level", or negative activities or concentrations are included in the calculation of the mean. The following equation was used to estimate the mean (reference 6.8):

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i}{N}$$

Where:

- $\overline{x}$  = estimate of the mean,
- i = individual sample,

N = total number of samples with a net activity (or concentration),

 $\chi_i$  = net activity (or concentration) for sample i.

#### 2.3.2 <u>LOWER LEVEL OF DETECTION AND MINIMUM DETECTABLE</u> <u>ACTIVITY</u>

The Lower Level of Detection (LLD) and Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) are used throughout the Environmental Monitoring Program.

**LLD** - The LLD, as defined in the Selected Licensee Commitments Manual is the smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that will yield a net count, above the system background, that will be detected with 95% probability with only 5% probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a "real" signal. The LLD is an *a priori* lower limit of detection. The actual LLD is dependent upon the standard deviation of the background counting rate, the counting efficiency, the sample size (mass or volume), the radiochemical yield, and the radioactive decay of the sample between sample collection and counting. The "required" LLD's for each sample medium and selected radionuclides are given in the Selected Licensee Commitments and are listed in Table 2.2-C.

**MDA** - The MDA is the net counting rate (sample after subtraction of background) that must be surpassed before a sample is considered to contain a scientifically measurable amount of a radioactive material exceeding background amounts. The MDA is calculated using a sample background and may be thought of as an "actual" LLD for a particular sample measurement.

### 2.3.3 TREND IDENTIFICATION

One of the purposes of an environmental monitoring program is to determine if there is a buildup of radionuclides in the environment due to the operation of the nuclear station. Visual inspection of tabular or graphical presentations of data (including preoperational) is used to determine if a trend exists. A decrease in a particular radionuclide's concentration in an environmental medium does not indicate that reactor operations are removing radioactivity from the environment but that reactor operations are not adding that radionuclide to the environment in quantities exceeding the preoperational level and that the normal removal processes (radioactive decay, deposition, resuspension, etc.) are influencing the concentration.

Substantial increases or decreases in the amount of a particular radionuclide's release from the nuclear plant will greatly affect the resulting environmental levels; therefore, a knowledge of the release of a radionuclide from the nuclear plant is necessary to completely interpret the trends, or lack of trends, determined from the environmental data. Some factors that may affect environmental levels of radionuclides include prevailing weather conditions (periods of drought, solar cycles or heavier than normal precipitation), construction in or around either the nuclear plant or the sampling location, and addition or deletion of other sources of radioactive materials (such as the Chernobyl accident). Some of these factors may be obvious while others are sometimes unknown. Therefore, how trends are identified will include some judgment by plant personnel.



Section 2 - Page 4

Figure 2.1-2



Section 2 - Page 5

#### TABLE 2.1-A

#### OCONEE RADIOLOGICAL MONITORING PROGRAM SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Table 2.1-A Codes									
W	Weekly	SM	Semimonthly						
BW	BiWeekly	Q	Quarterly						
М	Monthly	SA	Semiannually						
С	Control								

Site #	Location Description*	Air Rad. & Particulate	Surface Water	Drinking Water	Shoreline Sediment	Fish	Milk	Broadleaf Vegetation
060	Greenville Water Intake Road (3.23 NE)			M				
060 C **	Greenville Water Intake Road (2.28 NE)					SA		
062 C	Lake Keowee Hydro Intake (0.85 mi ENE)		M					
063	Lake Hartwell Hwy 183 Bridge (0.80 mi ESE) [000.7]				SA	SA		· ·
063.1	Lake Hartwell Hwy 183 (0.79 mi E)		М					
064 C	Seneca (6.67 mi SSW) [004.1]			М				
066	Anderson (18.9 mi SSE) [012]			М				
. 067	Lawrence Ramsey Bridge Hwy 27 (4.34 mi SSE) [005.2]				SA	SA		
068 C	High Falls County Park (1.82 mi W)				SA			
071 C	Clemson Dairy (10.2 mi SSE) [006.3]						SM	
074	Keowee Key Resort (2.36 mi NNW)	W						
077	Skimmer Wall (1.00 mi SW)	W						М
078 (1)	Recreation Site (0.58 mi WSW)	W						
078.1 <sup>(1)</sup>	Recreation Site (0.53 mi WSW)	W						
079	Keowee Dam (0.56 mi NE)	W						М
081 C	Clemson Operations Center (9.33 mi SE)	W						М
084	Sue Craig Road (2.58 mi NNE)	W						М

(1) Location 078.1 (Air Radioiodine, Air Particulate) was added as a replacement for location 078 (Air Radioiodine, Air Particulate), which was removed from the program. Movement of the air radioiodine and air particulate location is described in reference 6.20.

\* GPS data reflect approximate accuracy to within 2-5 meters. GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest.

\*\* Control for Fish Only

[] Location Numbers prior to 1984

#### TABLE 2.1-B

#### OCONEE RADIOLOCICAL MONITORING PROGRAM SAMPLING LOCATIONS

#### (TLD SITES)

Site	Location*	Distance	Sector	Site	Location*	Distance	Sector
#					MICROWAVE TOWER, SIX		
020	SITE BOUNDARY	0.16 miles	N	040	MILE	4.74 miles	E
021	SITE DOLDIDADY	0.25 miles	NNIE	041	ICT HWV 101 & 122	1.25 miles	ESE
021	SHE BOUNDART	0.25 miles	ININE	041	I AWRENCE CHAPEI	4.25 miles	ESE
022	SITE BOUNDARY	0.53 miles	NE	042 CHURCH, HWY 133		4.93 miles	SE
				-	HWY 291 AT		
023	SITE BOUNDARY	0.93 miles	ENE	043	ISSAQUEENA PARK	4.09 miles	SSE
			_		HWY 130 AT LITTLE RIVER		
024	SITE BOUNDARY	0.81 miles	E	044	DAM TEDMINUS OF HWW 599	3.96 miles	
025	SITE BOUNDARY	0.42 miles	ESF	045	AT CROOKED CREEK	4 78 miles	SSW
025	Sile Beenblikt	0.12 111105	DOD		HWY 188 AT	1170 111100	
026	SITE BOUNDARY	0.34 miles	SE	046	CROOKED CREEK	4.61 miles	SW
					NEW HOPE CHURCH, HWY		
027	SITE BOUNDARY	0.49 miles	SSE	047	188	3.58 miles	WSW
0.70	SITE DOUNDARY	0.46 miles	ç	0.4.9	ICT HWY 175 & 199	3.64 miles	W
028	SITE BOUNDART	0.40 miles	3	040	048 JCI HWI 173 & 188		
029	SITE BOUNDARY	0.56 miles	SSW	049	JCT HWY 201 & 92	3.60 miles	WNW
					STAMP CREEK LANDING,		
030	SITE BOUNDARY	0.42 miles	SW	050	END OF HWY 92	3.53 miles	NW
		0.07 11			HWY 128, 1 MILE N OF		
031	SITE BOUNDARY	0.27 miles	WSW	051		4.64 miles	NNW
076	SITE BOUNDARY	0.19 miles	w	052	- PICKENS	12.4 miles	ENE
070	SHE DOOLDANT	0.19 miles		052	DPC BRANCH OFFICE SITE	12.1 111105	
032	SITE BOUNDARY	0.19 miles	WNW	053	- LIBERTY	11.7 miles	Е
					POST OFFICE - HWY 93		
033	SITE BOUNDARY	0.21 miles	WNW	054	NORRIS	8.60 miles	ESE
024		0.00	<b>NU</b> 17	055	CLEMSON	0.27	COL
034	SITE BOUNDARY	0.22 miles	NW	055	METEOROLOGY PLOT	9.27 miles	SSE
035	SITE BOUNDARY	0.17 miles	NNW	056	WATER TOWER - SENECA	7.30 miles	SSW
					OCONEE MEMORIAL		
036	MILE CREEK LANDING	4.32 miles	N	057	HOSPITAL	8.42 miles	SW
	KEOWEE CHURCH,				BRANCH RD SUBSTATION,		
037		4.85 miles	NNE	058 C	WALHALLA	9.39 miles	WSW
038	ICT HWY 183 & 122	A 24 miles	NE	059	TAMASSEE DAR SCHOOL	9.20 miles	NW
0.00	HWY 133 1 MILE EAST	7.27 111163	<u>- 1915</u>	0.59	CLEMSON	7.20 mmc3	
039	OF JCT HWY 183 & 133	4.02 miles	ENE	081 C	OPERATIONS CENTER	9.33 miles	SE

C = Control

•

•

•••••

\* GPS data reflect approximate accuracy to within 2-5 meters. GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest.

#### TABLE 2.2-A

#### **REPORTING LEVELS FOR RADIOACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES**

Analysis	Water	Air Particulates	Fish	Milk	Broadleaf
	(pCi/liter)	or Gases	(pCi/kg-wet)	(pCi/liter)	Vegetation
		(pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )			(pCi/kg-wet)
H-3	20,000 <sup>(a)</sup>		-		
Mn-54	1,000		30,000		
Fe-59	400		10,000		
Co-58	1,000		30,000		
Co-60	300		10,000		
Zn-65	300		20,000		
Zr-Nb-95	400				
I-131	2 <sup>(6)</sup>	0.9		3	100
Cs-134	30	10	1,000	60	1,000
Cs-137	50	20	2,000	70	2,000
Ba-La-140	200			300	

(a) For drinking water samples only. This is 40CFR Part 141 value.

(b) If low-level I-131 analyses are performed.

#### TABLE 2.2-B

Sample	Analysis	Gamma	Tritium	Low Level	Gross	TLD
Medium	Medium Schedule			I-131	Beta	
Air Radioiodine	Weekly	X				
Air Particulate	Weekly	Х			X	
Direct Radiation	Quarterly					X
Surface	Monthly	Х				
Water	Quarterly Composite		Х			
Drinking	Monthly	Х		(a)	Х	
Water	Quarterly Composite		X			
Shoreline Sediment	Semiannually	Х	-			
Milk	Semimonthly	X		X		
Fish	Semiannually	X			· · ·	
Broadleaf Vegetation	Monthly	X				

#### **REMP ANALYSIS FREQUENCY**

(a) Low level I-131 analysis will be performed if abnormal releases occur which could reasonably result in > 1 pCi/liter of I-131 in drinking water. An LLD of 1 pCi/liter will be required for this analysis.

### TABLE 2.2-C

## MAXIMUM VALUES FOR THE LOWER LIMITS OF DETECTION

Analysis	Water (pCi/liter)	Air Particulates or Gases (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	Fish (pCi/kg-wet)	Milk (pCi/liter)	Broadleaf Vegetation (pCi/kg-wet)	Sediment (pCi/kg-dry)
Gross Beta	4	0.01				
H-3	2000					
Mn-54	15		130			
Fe-59	30		260			
Co-58, 60	15		130			
Zn-65	30		260			
Zr-95	15					
Nb-95	15					
I-131	15 <sup>(a)</sup>	0.07		1	60	
Cs-134	15	0.05	130	15	60	150
Cs-137	18	0.06	150	18	80	180
Ba-La-140	15			15		

(a) LLD for low-level I-131 analyses is 1 pCi/liter if performed

# **3.0 INTERPRÉTATION OF RESULTS**

Review of 2009 REMP analysis results was performed to identify changes in environmental levels as a result of station operations. The review is summarized in this section. Data from 2009 was compared to preoperational and historical data. Sample data for some media is not directly comparable to preoperational and earlier operational sample results because of either significant changes in the analysis methods or changes in the reporting of the results.

Evaluation for significant rends was performed for the radionuclides that have required LLDs listed in Selected Licensee Commitment 16.11.6. These radionuclides are collectively referred to as "Selected Licensee Commitments radionuclides" and include H-3, Mn-54, Fe-59, Co-58, Co-60, Zn-65, Zr-95, Nb-95, I-131, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba-140, and La-140. Drinking water gross beta results are routinely trended. Trending of air particulate gross beta results was initiated in 1996 when the analysis was resumed. Trending is also performed for other radionuclides that are detected and could have been the result of station effluents. Only Selected Licensee Commitment radionuclides were detected in 2009.

Trending was performed by comparing annual mean concentrations of any effluent related detected radionuclide to historical results. Factors evaluated include the frequency of detection and the concentration in terms of the percent of the radionuclide's SLC reporting level (Table 2.2-A). All maximum percent of reporting level values were well below the 100% action level. The highest value reached during 2009 was 2.66% for H-3 in a drinking water sample collected at location 066.

Changes in sample location, analytical technique, and presentation of results must be considered when reviewing for trends. Calculation of the annual mean concentrations has been performed differently over the history of the REMP. During 1979-1986, all net results (sample minus background), positive and negative, were included in the calculation of the mean. Only positive net activity results were used to calculate the mean for the other years. A change in gamma spectroscopy analysis systems in 1987 ended a period when many measurements yielded detectable low-level activity for both indicator and control location samples. It is thought that the method the previous system used to estimate net activity may have been vulnerable to false-positive results.

Data presented in Sections 3.1 - 3.8 support the conclusion that there were no significant increases in radionuclides in the environment around ONS due to station operations in 2009. Similarly, there was no significant increase in ambient background radiation levels in the surrounding areas.

### 3.1 AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE AND PARTICULATES

In 2009, 312 radioiodine and particulate samples were analyzed, 260 from five indicator locations and 52 from the control location. Particulate samples were analyzed weekly for gamma and gross beta. Radioiodine samples received a weekly gamma analysis.

There was no detectable I-131 in air samples in 2009. Table 3.1-A gives the highest indicator location annual mean and control location annual mean for I-131 since the preoperational period. The table shows similar concentrations for both the indicator and control locations and the activities decreasing from early in the operational history of the plant. No I-131 has been detected since 1994.



•

Cs-137 was not detected in air radioiodine samples in 2009. Cs-137 has been detected in cartridges in previous years. A study performed in 1990 determined Cs-137 to be an active constituent of the charcoal. A similar study was performed in 2001 again yielding this conclusion.

There were no detectable gamma emitting radionuclides detected in air particulate samples in 2009. No gamma emitting particulates have been detected in indicator location samples since the change in gamma spectroscopy analysis systems in 1987.

Beta analysis of particulate filters was initiated in March of 1996 and became required by Selected Licensee Commitments in 1998. Gross beta analysis was performed on particulate filters during the preoperational and early operational history of the plant but had not been required since 1984. Figure 3.1 summarizes gross beta results for the indicator location with the highest annual mean and the control location samples. Both the indicator and control location results are similar in concentration and are near the lower range of preoperational gross beta results.

K-40 and Be-7 are the naturally occurring radionuclides that were observed in air samples.

Year	Indicator Location (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	Control Location (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )
Preoperational 1969-1972	0.00E0	0.00E0
Feb. 1973 - June 1973	0.00E0	0.00E0
July 1973 - Dec. 1973	0.00E0	0.00E0
Jan. 1974 - June 1974	0.00E0	0.00E0
July 1974 - Dec. 1974	2.60E-2	8.00E-3
Jan. 1975 - June 1975	8.65E-2	3.12E-2
July 1975 - Dec. 1975	1.13E-2	9.52E-3
1976	2.76E-2	2.18E-2
1977	3.60E-2	3.60E-2
1978	2.19E-1	1.15E-1
1979	7.54E-3	4.75E-4
1980	3.07E-3	9.67E-4
1981	6.31E-3	5.39E-4
1982	2.87E-3	8.10E-4
1983	1.48E-3	3.05E-4
1984	8.11E-4	-2.30E-5
1985	7.71E-4	4.54E-4
1986	5.02E-3	7.86E-3
1987	4.29E-3	5.19E-3
1988	0.00E0	0.00E0
1989	4.99E-4	0.00E0
1990	0.00E0	0.00E0
1991	0.00E0	0.00E0
1992	0.00E0	0.00E0
1993	0.00E0	0.00E0
1994	1.03E-2	0.00E0
1995	0.00E0	0.00E0
1996	0.00E0	0.00E0
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0
1999	0.00E0	0.00E0
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0
2001	0.00E0	0.00E0
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0
2004	0.00E0	0.00E0
2005	0.00E0	0.00E0
2006	0.00E0	0.00E0
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0
2009	0.00E0	0.00E0

Table 3.1-A Mean Concentration of Air Radioiodine (I-131)

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

•

 1979 - 1986 mean based on all net activity results





Pre-operational sample results ranged from 0.04 to1.46 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>

#### Table 3.1-B Mean Concentration of Gross Beta in Air Particulate

Monitoring Period	Indicator Location (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	Control Location (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )
1996	3.87E-2	3.69E-2
1997	2.87E-2	2.92E-2
1998	2.47E-2	1.56E-2
1999	2.85E-2	2.23E-2
2000	2.38E-2	1.85E-2
2001	2.05E-2	1.94E-2
2002	2.01E-2	1.84E-2
2003	1.86E-2	1.82E-2
2004	1.92E-2	1.90E-2
2005	1.95E-2	1.91E-2
2006	2.09E-2	2.00E-2
2007	2.31E-2	2.13E-2
2008	2.08E-2	2.04E-2
Average (1999 - 2008)	2.15E-2	1.97E-2
2009	1.82E-2	1.80E-2

There is no reporting level for gross beta in air particulate

#### 3.2 DRINKING WATER

•

0

0

Gross beta analysis and gamma spectroscopy were performed on 39 monthly drinking water samples. These samples were composited to form 12 quarterly period samples for Tritium analysis. Two indicator locations and a control location were sampled; however, only one of the indicator locations is downstream of the effluent release point.

Table 3.2 lists the highest indicator location annual mean and control location annual mean for gross beta results since the preoperational period. The indicator location had an average concentration of 1.37 pCi/liter in 2009, and the control location had a concentration of 1.19 pCi/liter. The 2008 indicator mean was 1.82 pCi/liter. The table shows that 2009 gross beta levels in drinking water are slightly lower than preoperational concentrations. The dose for consumption of water was less than one mrem per year, historically and for 2009; therefore low-level iodine analysis is not required.

Tritium was detected in four of the twelve composite samples during 2009. The 2009 mean indicator location 066 concentration was 415 pCi/liter, which is 2.08% of the reporting level. Table 3.2 and Figure 3.2 show the highest indicator and control location annual means for Tritium since analysis was initiated early in the operational period. Tritium concentrations have decreased at both the indicator and control locations. The closure of the Clemson water plant in 1989 is one reason for the decrease shown in the table and graph. The Clemson site was typically the high mean location when the plant was in operation.

There were no gamma emitting radionuclides identified in drinking water samples in 2009. Gamma spectroscopy analysis has not detected any activity in the water supplies since 1988. K-40 is the naturally occurring radionuclide that was observed in drinking water samples.





· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gross Beta (pCi/l) Indicator Control		Tritium (pCi/l)		
Vear			Indicator		
	Location	Location	Location	Location	
Preoperational ending Jap 1971	3.03	5 90	Analysis n	ot required	
Preoperational ending Jan. 1977	3.58	4 94	Analysis n	ot required	
Feb 1973 - June 1973	Oualitative re	esults reported	Analysis n	ot required	
Lune 1973 - Dec 1973	7 15	21.78	Analysis n	ot required	
$\frac{1973 - Dec. 1973}{1974 - June 1974}$	3.13	6.98	Analysis n	ot required	
$\frac{1974}{100} = \frac{1974}{100}$	2.24	2.02	525	330	
$\frac{1974 - Dcc. 1974}{1975 - June 1975}$	1.08	1 59	600	300	
July 1975 - Dec 1975	2.01	1.37	2990	505	
1976	2.01	2.00	2196	224	
1977	2.30	2.00	1200	290	
1078	2.70	2.30	1050	333	
1979	1.83	1 36	576	235	
1980	1.85	1.50	660	200	
1981	1.00	1.88	830	127	
1982	2.04	1.00	643	153	
1983	1.85	1.15	937	220	
1984	1.87	1.08	765	145	
1985	2.14	1.00	856	210	
1986	1 91	1.10	1240	503	
1987	2.00	1.04	815	680	
1988	2.00	1.20	1570	0.00	
1989	2.30	1.80	1350	559	
1990	3.00	2 70	0.00	0.00	
1991	1.80	1 40	558	0.00	
1992	3.20	1.60	0.00	0.00	
1993	2.10	1.90	0.00	0.00	
1994	1.90	2.10	0.00	0.00	
1995	5.10	2.90	248	0.00	
1996	2.07	1.77	214	0.00	
1997	2.52	2.23	194	0.00	
1998	2.48	1.70	0.00	0.00	
1999	1.73	1.49	185	0.00	
2000	2.07	1.68	251	0.00	
2001	1.75	1.29	390	0.00	
2002	1.61	1.21	338	0.00	
2003	1.51	1.05	266	0.00	
2004	1.58	1.25	225	0.00	
2005	1.28	1.37	377	0.00	
2006	1.54	1.75	340	0.00	
2007	1.58	1.08	402	0.00	
2008	1.82	1.25	372	0.00	
2009	1.37	1.19	415	0.00	

#### Table 3.2 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Drinking Water

0.00 = no detectable measurements

1989 - Clemson water plant closes; nearest downstream plant is Anderson.

1979 - 1986 mean based on all net activity results

# 3.3 SURFACE WATER

Gamma spectroscopy was performed on 26 monthly surface water samples. These samples were composited to form eight quarterly samples for Tritium analysis. One indicator and one control location were sampled. The indicator location is near the liquid effluent release point.

Tritium was detected in the four indicator location samples. The 2009 average concentration was 4,678 pCi/liter. The individual samples ranged from 1,440 pCi/liter to 9,760 pCi/liter. The 2008 mean concentration was 9,430 pCi/liter. Tritium was not detected in any control surface water samples.



Figure 3.3 shows the indicator and control means for Tritium since annual the preoperational period. Table 3.3 lists the indicator annual means. Tritium in the indicator location was elevated during an extended drought from 1998 through 2002. The average tritium concentration decreased in 2003 with increased rainfall. Extreme drought conditions existed through much of 2008 and continued until mid-2009 (reference 6.16). Drought conditions could impact surface water tritium concentrations.

Gamma spectroscopy analysis did not detect any station related activity during 2009. In

1999, gamma spectroscopy analysis detected Co-58 in one indicator sample at 27.3 pCi/liter. Gamma spectroscopy analysis has not detected any other activity in surface water samples since 1992. Table 3.3 summarizes the indicator annual means of radionuclides detected since the change in the gamma spectroscopy analysis system in 1987. Visual inspection of the gamma spectroscopy tabular data covering the early operational period through 2009 did not reveal any increasing trends.

K-40 and Be-7 are the naturally occurring radionuclide observed in surface water samples in 2009.



6



There is no reporting level for Tritium in surface water

Year	Co-58 (pCi/l)	Co-60 (pCi/l)	Nb-95 (pCi/l)	Cs-137 (pCi/l)	H-3 pCi/l)
Preoperational 1969	Qualitative results reported			4.86E2	
Preoperational 1970	"				5.94E2
Preoperational 1971	"				4.01E2
Preoperational 1972	"				3.62E2
1973			¢		0.00E0
1974	0.00E0	1.32E1	0.00E0	1.60E1	1.99E3
Jan. 1975 – June 1975	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.56E4
July 1975 – Dec. 1975	0.00E0	1.34E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.52E4
1976	1.08E2	3.30E1	0.00E0	3.50E1	2.95E4
1977	2.60E1	1.80E1	0.00E0	3.10E1	2.90E3
1978	2.96E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.22E1	8.00E2
1979	1.33E0	2.60E0	1.78E0	2.82E0	4.37E3
1980	1.56E0	2.30E0	1.22E0	5.40E0	4.93E3
1981	1.10E0	6.10E-1	1.70E0	3.90E0	7.21E3
1982	6.14E-1	1.99E0	2.29E0	4.85E0	6.13E3
1983	6.99E-1	3.02E0	3.91E-1	6.83E-1	8.40E3
1984	9.40E-1	6.30E-1	7.90E-1	4.83E-1	9.90E3
1985	2.15E-1	6.27E-1	4.95E-1	9.90E-1	1.05E4
1986	3.28E0	1.23E0	1.14E0	3.07E-1	1.26E4
1987	5.10E1	3.40E0	4.00E0	0.00E0	7.08E3
1988	6.20E0	5.00E0	2.50E0	3.50E0	1.10E4
1989	5.30E0	3.00E0	0.00E0	3.40E0	1.02E4
1990	1.70E0	1.60E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.03E4
1991	5.40E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.76E3
1992	2.50E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.22E3
1993	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.62E3
1994	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.75E3
1995	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.65E3
1996	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.54E3
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.50E3
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.35E3
1999	2.73E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.13E4
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.48E4
2001	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.43E3
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.00E4
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.77E3
2004	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.86E3
2005	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.15E3
2006	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.72E3
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.91E3
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.43E3
2009	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.68E3

## Table 3.3 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Water

• 

• • 

•

0

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements 1979-1986 mean based on all net activity results

#### 3.4 <u>MILK</u>

Gamma spectroscopy and low level iodine analysis was performed on 26 milk samples collected in 2009. One control location was sampled. No indicator dairies were identified by the 2009 land use census.

There were no gamma emitting radionuclides identified in milk samples in 2009. Cs-137 is the only radionuclide, other than naturally occurring, reported in milk samples since 1988. Cs-137 in milk is not unusual. It is a constituent of nuclear weapons test fallout and has been observed in samples from indicator and control locations in previous years.

Table 3.4 lists the highest indicator location annual mean and control location annual mean for Cs-137 since the preoperational period. The table shows similar concentrations for both indicator and control locations.



K-40 is a naturally occurring radionuclide observed in milk samples in 2009.

Year	Cs-137 Indicator (pCi/l)	Cs-137 Control (pCi/l)
Preoperational	1.57E1	1.46E1
Feb. 1973 – June 1973	Qualitative results reported	Qualitative results reported
July 1973 – Dec. 1973	5.80E0	"
Jan. 1974 – June 1974	5.30E0	0.00E0
July 1974 – Dec. 1974	1.11E1	0.00E0
Jan. 1975 – June 1975	1.51E1	9.45E0
July 1975 – Dec. 1975	0.00E0	0.00E0
1976	1.80E1	7.47E0
1977	0.00E0	0.00E0
1978	1.33E1	1.33E1
1979	7.25E0	2.52E0
1980	3.58E0	2.63E0
1981	5.52E0	5.51E0
1982	2.71E0	3.25E0
1983	5.04E0	-4.27E-1
1984	2.30E0	2.58E0
1985	2.38E0	1.31E0
1986	2.92E0	2.97E0
1987	4.90E0	4.90E0
1988	3.90E0	3.20E0
1989	4.70E0	2.90E0
1990	6.40E0	0.00E0
1991	5.00E0	0.00E0
1992	6.60E0	0.00E0
1993	0.00E0	0.00E0
1994	0.00E0	1.80E0
1995	2.30E0	2.00E0
1996	0.00E0	4.10E0
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0
1999	0.00E0	0.00E0
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0
2001	0.00E0	0.00E0
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0
2004	0.00E0	0.00E0
2005	0.00E0	0.00E0
2006	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0
2007	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0
2008	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0
2009	NO INDICATOR LOCATION	0.00E0

#### Table 3.4 Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Milk

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

1979 - 1986 mean based on all net activity results

The Oconee milk program was updated to align with NUREG-1301 during 2005 and documented in PIP O-04-01179. Location 071 was designated as the new control site effective with the 7/12/2005 sampling. No indicator dairies were identified by the 2009 land use census.

#### 3.5 BROADLEAF VEGETATION

Gamma spectroscopy was performed on 48 broadleaf vegetation samples during 2009. Three indicator locations and one control location were sampled. There were no gamma emitting radionuclides identified in vegetation samples in 2009.

••••

Cs-137 is the only radionuclide, other than naturally occurring, reported in vegetation samples since the change in gamma spectroscopy analysis systems in 1987. Table 3.5 shows historical concentrations of Cs-137.

It is not unusual for Cs-137 to be present in vegetation. It is a constituent of nuclear weapons test fallout and has been observed in samples from indicator and control locations in previous years. Table 3.5 lists the highest indicator location annual mean and control location annual mean for Cs-137 since early in the station's operational history. Visual inspection of the tabular data did not reveal any increasing trends.

K-40 and Be-7 are naturally occurring radionuclides that were observed in broadleaf vegetation samples in 2009.



#### Figure 3.5

Year	Cs-137 Indicator (pCi/kg)	Cs-137 Control (pCi/kg)
July 1974 - Dec. 1974	1.54E3	0.00E0
Jan. 1975 - June 1975	1.55E3	1.59E3
July 1975 - Dec. 1975	0.00E0	0.00E0
1976	0.00E0	0.00E0
1977	0.00E0	7.90E2
1978	1.19E2	8.19E1
1979	5.04E1	2.96E1
1980	2.80E1	1.55E1
1981	2.99E1	2.60E1
1982	2.42E1	2.62E1
1983	7.44E0	5.35E-1
1984	1.37E1	4.74E2
1985	1.62E1	2.20E2
1986	3.28E1	3.12E2
1987	2.70E1	4.20E1
1988	2.40E1	7.50E1
1989	0.00E0	1.08E2
1990	2.73E2	1.74E2
1991	2.20E1	1.45E2
1992	0.00E0	1.46E2
1993	0.00E0	1.49E2
1994	0.00E0	1.06E2
1995	4.30E1	1.58E2
1996	3.79E1	1.83E2
1997	4.73E1	1.35E2
1998	7.28E1	1.61E2
1999	1.34E2	0.00E0
2000	1.06E2	0.00E0
2001	3.19E1	0.00E0
2002	8.44E1	0.00E0
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0
2004	0.00E0	5.96E1
2005	4.51E1	4.11E1
2006	1.77E1	0.00E0
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0
2009	0.00E0	0.00E0

 Table 3.5 Mean Concentration of Radionuclides in Vegetation

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

Only qualitative results reported prior to 1974

Control location changed to 073 in 1984

Control location 081 added in 1998

Control location 073 was removed in 1999

1979 - 1986 mean based on all net activity results

### 3.6 <u>FISH</u>

In 2009, gamma spectroscopy was performed on 12 fish samples. Two downstream indicator and one control location were sampled. Cs-137 was identified in all eight of the indicator location samples. Cs-137 was detected in three of the four control location samples at a mean concentration of 14.6 pCi/kg.

The highest average indicator concentration for Cs-137 was 26.8 pCi/kg (1.34% of reporting level). The highest individual indicator sample concentration for Cs-137 was 51.4 pCi/kg (2.57% of reporting level).

Co-58 was identified in one of the eight indicator location samples at a concentration of 9.01 pCi/kg (0.03% of reporting level). Co-58 was not identified in any of the control samples.

Figures 3.6-1 and 3.6-2 are graphs displaying the annual means for Cs-137 and Cs-134. Historically, both are contributors to the calculated dose from liquid effluents from ingestion of fish. Radioactivity concentrations in downstream fish samples are higher than those reported in preoperational fish samples, however, concentrations in fish have decreased over time with decreases in radioactive material releases from the plant.

One factor affecting the trend analysis is a change in sampling locations. In 1984, a second downstream fish location was added. Location 063 is closer to the liquid effluent discharge point and has been the highest mean indicator since it was added.

K-40 was observed in fish samples in addition to the radionuclides discussed above.



Table 3.6 lists the highest indicator location annual means since the preoperational period for radionuclides detected in 2009. Also included in the table are radionuclides that have been identified in this media since the change in analysis systems in 1987. Comparison of data to previous years does not indicate any increases in concentrations.

Figure 3.6-1



Figure 3.6-2



Current reporting levels implemented 1984

Year	Co-58 (pCi/kg)	Co-60 (pCi/kg)	Cs-134 (pCi/kg)	Cs-137 (pCi/kg)			
Preop ending Jan. 1971	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.46E2			
Preop ending Jan. 1973	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.66E2			
Feb. 1973 - June 1973	Oualitative re	Qualitative results reported-no significant measurements above background					
July 1973 - Dec. 1973	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.89E2			
Jan. 1974 - June 1974	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.47E1			
July 1974 - Dec. 1974	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.85E1			
Jan. 1975 - June 1975	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.81E1	1.05E2			
July 1975 - Dec. 1975	8.50E1	0.00E0	7.00E1	3.13E2			
1976	5.70E1	1.14E2	7.73E1	1.66E2			
1977	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.80E2	3.60E2			
1978	3.27E2	0.00E0	3.31E2	0.00E0			
1979	1.91E0	1.56E1	9.26E1	3.88E2			
1980	1.45E1	1.90E1	1.10E2	3.99E2			
1981	2.25E1	1.49E1	1.40E2	4.51E2			
1982	9.83E-1	8.03E0	1.17E2	2.94E2			
1983	3.35E1	4.53E0	1.24E2	3.32E2			
1984	1.21E2	6.23E1	3.87E2	1.04E3			
1985	1.62E1	1.10E1	7.93E1	2.85E2			
1986	9.56E1	2.59E1	2.57E2	7.36E2			
1987	1.63E2	6.30E1	9.80E1	3.93E2			
1988	9.60E1	0.00E0	7.20E1	2.60E2			
1989	4.30E1	1.50E1	8.60E1	3.36E2			
1990	1.50E1	0.00E0	4.80E1	1.19E2			
1991	4.59E1	0.00E0	1.25E2	1.94E2			
1992	6.10E1	0.00E0	4.80E1	1.36E2			
1993	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.10E1	1.10E2			
1994	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.80E1	1.05E2			
1995	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.10E1	9.20E1			
1996	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.49E1	1.25E2			
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.18E2			
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.79E1			
1999	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.04E2			
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.54E1			
2001	1.72E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.92E1			
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.37E1			
2003	5.02E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.04E1			
2004	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.29E1			
2005	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.14E1			
2006	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.58E1			
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	4.10E1			
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	3.13E1			
2009	9.01E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.68E1			

Table 3.6 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Fish

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

1979 - 1986 mean based on all net activity results

## 3.7 <u>SHORELINE SEDIMENT</u>

•

Gamma spectroscopy was performed on six sediment samples. Two downstream indicator locations and one control location were sampled. Four samples were taken from indicator locations and two from the control location.

Cs-137 was identified in two of the four indicator location samples. Cs-137 was not observed in any control location samples. The highest 2009 individual sample Cs-137 concentration was 69.7 pCi/kg. The highest 2008 individual sample Cs-137 concentration was 240 pCi/kg. Table 3.7 lists the highest indicator location annual means since shoreline sediment was initiated in 1984. Included in the table are radionuclides that have been identified in this media since the change in analysis systems in 1987.

Visual inspection of the tabular data did not reveal any trends. Figure 3.7-1 is a graph of the Cs-137 annual means. Figure 3.7-2 is a graph of the Co-60 annual means. Historically, both are contributors to the calculated dose from liquid effluents from shoreline sediment. No trends are apparent.

K-40 and Be-7 are naturally occurring radionuclides observed in shoreline sediment samples in 2009.





**Figure 3.7-2** 



There are no reporting levels for shoreline sediment

Year	Mn-54	Co-58	Co-60	Zn-65	Cs-134	Cs-137	Ag-110m	Sb-125
1984	1.10E1	1.09E1	1.19E1	0.00E0	7.77E1	5.16E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
1985	9.39E0	1.27E0	4.79E0	0.00E0	7.63E1	9.47E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
1986	2.24E1	1.62E1	2.50E1	0.00E0	1.41E2	7.12E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1987	5.40E1	4.70E2	5.07E2	0.00E0	1.01E2	6.22E2	3.46E2	0.00E0
1988	3.30E1	1.20E2	1.87E2	6.70E1	6.60E1	7.59E2	1.62E2	3.67E2
1989	2.30E1	1.24E2	1.96E2	0.00E0	5.40E1	8.48E2	5.50E1	1.86E2
1990	3.40E1	8.00E1	2.59E2	0.00E0	4.50E1	5.36E2	1.71E2	9.00E1
1991	3.26E1	5.60E1	8.57E1	0.00E0	6.91E1	1.24E2	1.10E2	1.78E2
1992	8.79E1	1.79E2	1.12E2	0.00E0	5.60E1	3.31E2	1.69E2	2.08E2
1993	8.20E1	8.20E1	6.50E1	0.00E0	3.20E1	1.36E2	5.63E1	1.11E2
1994	5.30E1	7.00E1	1.49E2	0.00E0	6.70E1	2.38E2	1.04E2	1.29E2
1995	1.43E2	3.90E1	2.40E1	0.00E0	1.10E1	5.20E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
1996	0.00E0	5.10E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.98E1	1.19E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1997	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.06E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1998	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.01E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
1999	6.96E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	7.38E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2000	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	8.54E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2001	0.00E0	2.10E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.20E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
2002	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.96E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2003	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.93E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
2004	8.54E1	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	9.56E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2005	2.00E2	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.53E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2006	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	5.01E1	0.00E0	0.00E0
2007	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	2.97E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
2008	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	1.78E2	0.00E0	0.00E0
2009	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	0.00E0	6.97E1	0.00E0	0.00E0

Table 3.7 Mean Concentrations of Radionuclides in Shoreline Sediment (pCi/kg)

0.00E0 = no detectable measurements

0

1984-1986 mean based on all net activity results
#### 3.8 DIRECT GAMMA RADIATION

In 2009, 168 Thermoluminescent Dosimeters (TLD) were analyzed, 160 at indicator locations, 8 at the two control locations. TLDs are collected and analyzed quarterly. The highest annual mean exposure for an indicator location was 110 milliroentgen. This TLD is located at indicator location 042, 4.93 miles from the station. The annual mean exposure for the control locations was 110 milliroentgen.

D

D

D

D

Figure 3.8 and Table 3.8 show TLD inner ring (site boundary), outer ring (4-5 miles), and control location annual averages in milliroentgen per year. Data is provided from 1984 when TLD locations were added and arranged in an inner ring and outer ring configuration. Preoperational data is also provided in the table. As shown in the graph, inner and outer ring averages historically compare closely, with control data somewhat higher. Inner and outer ring averages comprise a number of data points with control averages representing only two locations.

The calculated total body dose (from gaseous effluents) for 2009 was 2.02E-2 mrem, which is 0.02% of the average inner ring TLD values. Therefore, it can be concluded that discharges from the plant had very little impact upon the measured TLD values.

The maximum measurement from TLDs at the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation ISFSI) was 699 milliroentgen per standard quarter. This is consistent with previous measurements. TLD measurements in the inner ring (site boundary) have remained relatively constant.



Figure 3.8

There is no reporting level for Direct Radiation (TLD)

Section 3 - Page 20

Year	Inner Ring Average (mR/yr)	Outer Ring Average (mR/yr)	Control (mR/yr)
Preoperational	113.1	123.9	148.9
1984	79.4	83.8	110.3
1985	116.9	121.5	156.6
1986	104.2	106.0	150.9
1987	84.3	88.8	104.3
1988	72.3	78.6	112.6
1989	63.7	61.7	89.4
1990	52.2	50.7	70.1
1991	61.2	65.0	88.0
1992	76.2	73.2	92.0
1993	74.8	80.6	93.0
1994	86.8	94.7	112.0
1995	93.6	101.7	132.0
1996	68.5	78.3	101.0
1997	72.8	83.8	104.5
1998	71.7	80.8	118.0
1999	74.5	82.5	104
2000	76.2	84.5	105.6
2001	73.6	82.4	102.2
2002	76.6	85.3	108.0
2003	77.4	86.6	108.8
2004	80.1	87.5	110.4
2005	79.3	89.0	104.7
2006	82.0	90.2	108.8
2007	81.0	90.0	108
2008	84.6	95.0	111
Average (1999 - 2008)	78.5	87.3	107
2009	83.7	93.0	110

#### Table 3.8 Direct Gamma Radiation (TLD) Results

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

#### 3.9 <u>LAND USE CENSUS</u>

The Land Use Census was conducted during the growing season (6/15 - 6/16/2009) as required by SLC 16.11.6. Table 3.9 summarizes census results. A map indicating identified locations is shown in Figure 3.9. The nearest residence is located in the NW sector at 1.04 miles. No program changes were required based on the results of the census.

Sector		Distance (Miles)	Sector		Distance (Miles)
N	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	2.98	S	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.96 -
NNE	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.84 -	SSW	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.36
NE	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.20 -	SW	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.31 -
ENE	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.34 -	wsw	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.77 -
Е	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.64 -	w	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.76
ESE	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.57 -	WNW	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.35 -
SE	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.46	NW	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.04 -
SSE	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.54	NNW	Nearest Residence Nearest Milk Animal	1.06 -

#### Table 3.9 Oconee 2009 Land Use Census Results

"-" indicates no occurrences within the 5 mile radius

\* GPS data reflect approximate accuracy to within 2-5 meters. GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest.



Figure 3.9



Section 3 - Page 23

# **4.0 EVALUATION OF DOSE**

#### 4.1 DOSE FROM ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENTS

Annual doses to maximum exposed individuals were estimated based on measured concentrations of radionuclides in 2009 ONS REMP samples. The primary purpose of estimating doses based on sample results is to allow comparison to effluent program dose estimates. Doses based on sample results were conservatively calculated in a manner as equivalent as possible to effluent-based dose estimates.

Doses based on REMP sample results were calculated using the methodology and data presented in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109. Measured radionuclide concentrations, averaged over the entire year for a specific radionuclide, indicator location, and sample type, were used to calculate REMP-based doses, after subtracting the applicable average background concentration (as measured at the corresponding control location). Regulatory Guide 1.109 consumption rates for the maximum exposed individual were used in the calculations. A dose factor of zero was assumed when the guide listed "NO DATA" as the dose factor for a given radionuclide and organ.

Maximum dose estimates calculated using drinking water, fish and shoreline sediment results are reported in Table 4.1-A. The individual critical population and pathway dose calculations are contained in Table 4.1-B.

No radionuclides were detected in broadleaf vegetation, milk, airborne radioiodine or airborne particulate samples other than naturally-occurring K-40 and Be-7. Dose estimates were not calculated for surface water samples because surface water is not considered a potable drinking water source although surface water tritium concentrations are used in calculating doses from fish. REMP TLD exposure results are discussed in Section 3.8.

The maximum environmental organ dose estimate for any single sample type (other than direct radiation from gaseous effluents) collected during 2009 was 7.54E-2 mrem to the child liver from consuming drinking water and fish.

#### 4.2 ESTIMATED DOSE FROM RELEASES

Throughout the year, dose estimates were calculated based on actual 2009 liquid and gaseous effluent release data. Effluent-based dose estimates were calculated using the RETDAS computer program which employs methodology and data presented in NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109. These doses are shown in Table 4.1-A along with the corresponding REMP-based dose estimates. Summaries of RETDAS dose calculations are reported in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report (reference 6.6).

The effluent-based liquid release doses are summations of the dose contributions of the drinking water, fish and shoreline pathways. The effluent-based gaseous release doses report

ŏ ŏ 

noble gas exposure separately from iodine, particulate, and tritium exposure. For noble gas exposure there is no critical age group; as the maximum exposed individuals are assumed to receive the same doses, regardless of their age group. For iodine, particulate, and tritium exposure the effluent-based gaseous release doses are summations of the dose contributors from ground/plane, milk, inhalation and vegetation pathways.

#### 4.3 <u>COMPARISON OF DOSES</u>

The liquid environmental and release data doses given in Table 4.1-A agree reasonably well. The similarity of the doses indicate that the radioactivity levels in the environment do not differ significantly from those expected based on effluent measurements and modeling of the environmental exposure pathways.

In addition, there are some differences in how effluent and environmental doses are calculated that affect the comparison. Doses calculated from environmental data are conservative because they are based on a mean that includes only samples with a net positive activity versus a mean that includes all sample results (i.e. zero results are not included in the mean). Also, airborne tritium is not measured in environmental samples but is used to calculate effluent doses.

In calculations based on liquid release effluent pathways, fish and drinking water were the predominant dose pathways based on environmental and effluent samples. The maximum total organ dose based on 2009 environmental sample results was 7.54E-2 mrem to the child liver. The maximum total organ dose of 1.46E-1 mrem for liquid effluent-based estimates was to the adult GI-LLI.

In calculations based on gaseous release pathways, vegetation was the predominant dose pathway for effluent samples. The gaseous effluent dose is due to tritium on broadleaf vegetation. The maximum total organ dose for gaseous effluent estimates was 2.75E-2 mrem to the child thyroid. No radioactivity was detected from gaseous pathways in environmental samples; therefore, there is no calculated dose.

Noble gas samples are not collected as part of the REMP, preventing an analogous comparison of effluent-based noble gas exposure estimates.

The doses calculated do not exceed the 40CFR190 dose commitment limits for members of the public. Doses to members of the public attributable to the operation of ONS are being maintained well within regulatory limits.

#### **TABLE 4.1-A**

#### OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION 2009 ENVIRONMENTAL AND EFFLUENT DOSE COMPARISON

#### LIQUID RELEASE PATHWAY

Organ	Environmental orCritical Age (1)Critical Pathway (2)Effluent DataCritical Pathway (2)		Location	Maximum Dose <sup>(3)</sup> (mrem)	
01.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	τ.			1.025.04
Skin	Environmental	Teen	Shoreline Sediment	063 (0.83 mi ESE)	1.83E-04
Skin	Effluent	Teen	Shoreline Sediment	Discharge Pt.	5.67E-04
Bone	Environmental	Child	Fish	063 (0.83 mi ESE)	2.75E-02
Bone	Effluent	Child	Fish	Discharge Pt.	1.07E-02
Liver	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	066 (18 9 mi SSE)	7 54E-02
			Di linking water	Discharge Dt	7.J4L-02
Liver	Emuent	Adult	FISN	Discharge Pl.	0.40E-02
T. Body	Environmental	Adult	Drinking Water	066 (18.9 mi SSE)	5.97E-02
T. Body	Effluent	Adult	Fish	Discharge Pt.	6.11E-02
Thyroid	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	066 (18 9 mi SSF)	4 89E-02
Thyroid	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	18.0 m; SSE	4.07E-02
Inyloid	Emuent	Child	Drinking water	16.9 III 55E	5.17E-02
Kidney	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	066 (18.9 mi SSE)	5.75E-02
Kidney	Effluent	Child	Drinking Water	18.9 mi SSE	5.49E-02
Lung	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	066 (18 0 mi SSE)	5 20E 02
Lung	Environmental	Child	Drinking water	18.0 mi SSE)	5.20E-02
Lung	Effluent	Child	Drinking water	18.9 mi 55E	5.21E-02
GI-LLI	Environmental	Child	Drinking Water	066 (18.9 mi SSE)	4.97E-02
GI-LLI	Effluent	Adult	Fish	Discharge Pt.	1.46E-01
				e	

(1) Critical Age is the highest total dose (all pathways) to an age group.

(2) Critial Pathway is the highest individual dose within the identified Critical Age group.

(3) Maximum dose is a summation of the fish, drinking water and shoreline sediment pathways.

Page 2 of 3

#### GASEOUS RELEASE PATHWAY

Organ	Environmental or Effluent Data	Critical Age <sup>(1)</sup>	Critical Pathway <sup>(2)</sup>	Location	Maximum Dose <sup>(3)</sup> (mrem)
Skin	Environmental	_	_	_	0.00E+00
Skin	Effluent	All	Ground Plane	1.0 mi. SW	9.32E-06
Bone	Environmental	-	-	_	0.00E+00
Bone	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	4.22E-05
Liver	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
Liver	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	2.02E-02
T. Body	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
T. Body	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	2.02E-02
Thyroid	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
Thyroid	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	2.75E-02
Kidney	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
Kidney	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	2.02E-02
Lung	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
Lung	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	2.01E-02
GI-LLI	Environmental	-	-	-	0.00E+00
GI-LLI	Effluent	Child	Vegetation	1.0 mi. SW	2.01E-02

**IODINE, PARTICULATE, and TRITIUM** 

(1) Critical Age is the highest total dose (all pathways) to an age group.

(2) Critial Pathway is the highest individual dose within the identified Critical Age group.

(3) Maximum dose is a summation of the ground/plane, inhalation, milk and vegetation pathways.

Luge J OL J	Page	3	of	3
-------------	------	---	----	---

Air	Environmental or	Critical	Critical	Location	Maximum Dose
Dose	Effluent Data	Age	Pathway		(mrad)
Beta	Environmental	-	-	-	Not Sampled
Beta	Effluent	N/A	Noble Gas	1.0 mi. SW	1.42E-03
Gamma	Environmental	-	-	1.0 mi. SW	Not Sampled
Gamma	Effluent	N/A	Noble Gas		3.34E-04

Г

#### TABLE 4.1-B

Maximum Individual Dose for 2009 based on Environmental Measurements (mrem) for Oconee Nuclear Station

Age	Sample Medium	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Skin
Infant	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	TOTAL	0.00E+00	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	0.00E+00
Child	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	<b>Broadleaf Vegetation</b>	0.00E+00							
	Fish	2.75E-02	3.24E-02	1.01E-02	5.90E-03	1.45E-02	8.99E-03	6.71E-03	0.00E+00
	Shoreline Sediment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.28E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.83E-05
	TOTAL	2.75E-02	7.54E-02	5.31E-02	4.89E-02	5.75E-02	5.20E-02	4.97E-02	3.83E-05
Teen	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	Broadleaf Vegetation	0.00E+00							
	Fish	2.19E-02	3.64E-02	1.76E-02	7.14E-03	1.70E-02	1.10E-02	9.49E-03	0.00E+00
	Shoreline Sediment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.57E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.83E-04
	TOTAL	2.19E-02	5.88E-02	4.02E-02	2.95E-02	3.94E-02	3.34E-02	3.19E-02	1.83E-04
Adult	Airborne	0.00E+00							
	Drinking Water	0.00E+00	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	0.00E+00
	Milk	0.00E+00							
	Broadleaf Vegetation	0.00E+00							
	Fish	2.04E-02	3.73E-02	2.79E-02	9.28E-03	1.88E-02	1.24E-02	1.27E-02	0.00E+00
	Shoreline Sediment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.81E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.28E-05
•	TOTAL	2.04E-02	6.91E-02	5.97E-02	4.11E-02	5.06E-02	4.42E-02	4.45E-02	3.28E-05

Note: Dose tables are provided for sample media displaying positive nuclide occurrence.

Section 4 - Page 6

## ••••••••••••••••••

Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Infant

**Highest Annual** 

Infant Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (I) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 330 |

	Net Mean															
				Ingestic	n Dose	<u>Factor</u>		Concen	<u>tration</u>				Dose (n	irem)		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Indicator Location	Water (pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	1.99E-05	4.51E-06	NO DATA	4.41E-06	NO DATA	7.31E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	3.60E-06	8.98E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	8.97E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Fe-59	3.08E-05	5.38E-05	2.12E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.59E-05	2.57E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-60	NO DATA	1.08E-05	2.55E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.57E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	1.84E-05	6.31E-05	2.91E-05	NO DATA	3.06E-05	NO DATA	5.33E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Nb-95	4.20E-08	1.73E-08	1.00E-08	NO DATA	1.24E-08	NO DATA	1.46E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zr-95	2.06E-07	5.02E-08	3.56E-08	NO DATA	5.41E-08	NO DATA	2.50E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
1-131	3.59E-05	4.23E-05	1.86E-05	1.39E-02	4.94E-05	NO DATA	1.51E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	3.77E-04	7.03E-04	7.10E-05	NO DATA	1.81E-04	7.42E-05	1.91E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	5.22E-04	6.11E-04	4.33E-05	NO ĐATA	1.64E-04	6.64E-05	1.91E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
BaLa-140	1.71E-04	1.71E-07	8.81E-06	NO DATA	4.06E-08	1.05E-07	4.20E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
H-3	NO DATA	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	3.08E-07	066	415	0.00E+00	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02	4.22E-02

0.00E+00 4.22E-02 4.22E-02 4.22E-02 4.22E-02 4.22E-02 4.22E-02 4.22E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

#### Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Child

#### Child Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (l) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 510 |

	Net Mean															
				Ingestio	on Dose	Factor		Concen	tration				Dose (m	rem)		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Indicator Location	Water (pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	1.07E-05	2.85E-06	NO DATA	3.00E-06	NO DATA	8.98E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	1.80E-06	5.51E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.05E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Fe-59	1.65E-05	2.67E-05	1.33E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	7.74E-06	2.78E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
C0-60	NO DATA	5.29E-06	1.56E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.93E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	1.37E-05	3.65E-05	2.27E-05	NO DATA	2.30E-05	NO DATA	6.41E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Nb-95	2.25E-08	8.76E-09	6.26E-09	NO DATA	8.23E-09	NO DATA	1.62E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zr-95	1.16E-07	2.55E-08	2.27E-08	NO DATA	3.65E-08	NO DATA	2.66E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
I-131	1.72E-05	1.73E-05	9.83E-06	5.72E-03	2.84E-05	NO DATA	1.54E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	2.34E-04	3.84E-04	8.10E-05	NO DATA	1.19E-04	4.27E-05	2.07E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	3.27E-04	3.13E-04	4.62E-05	NO DATA	1.02E-04	3.67E-05	1.96E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
BaLa-140	8.31E-05	7.28E-08	4.85E-06	NO DATA	2.37E-08	4.34E-08	4.21E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Н-3	NO DATA	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	066	415	0.00E+00	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02

**Highest Annual** 

0.00E+00 4.30E-02 4.30E-02 4.30E-02 4.30E-02 4.30E-02 4.30E-02

Section 4 - Page 8

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Fish Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Child

Child Dose from Fish Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg) H-3 Concentration in Fish = Surface Water pCi/l x Bioaccumulation Factor 0.9 pCi/kg per pCi/l = 4678 pCi/l x 0.9 = 4210 pCi/kg Usage (intake in one year) = 6.9 kg Highest Annual

				Net Mean												
				Ingestio	n Dose	Factor		Concer	<u>itration</u>				Dose (m	rem)		
~						_	~	Indicator	Fish	_			~			~ · · · ·
Kadionuclide	Bone	Liver	I. Body	I hyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	I. Body	Ihyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	1.07E-05	2.85E-06	NO DATA	3.00E-06	NO DATA	8.98E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	1.80E-06	5.51E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.05E-05	063	9.01	0.00E+00	1.12E-04	3.43E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	6.53E-04
Fe-59	1.65E-05	2.67E-05	1.33E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	7.74E-06	2.78E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
C0-60	NO DATA	5.29E-06	1.56E-05	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.93E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	1.37E-05	3.65E-05	2.27E-05	NO DATA	2.30E-05	NO DATA	6.41E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	2.34E-04	3.84E-04	8.10E-05	NO DATA	1.19E-04	4.27E-05	2.07E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	3.27E-04	3.13E-04	4.62E-05	NO DATA	1.02E-04	3.67E-05	1.96E-06	063	12.2	2.75E-02	2.63E-02	3.89E-03	0.00E+00	8.59E-03	3.09E-03	1.65E-04
H-3	NO DATA	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	2.03E-07	063.1	4210	0.00E+00	5.90E-03	5.90E-03	5.90E-03	5.90E-03	5.90E-03	5.90E-03

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

2.75E-02 3.24E-02 1.01E-02 5.90E-03 1.45E-02 8.99E-03 6.71E-03

#### Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Child

Shoreline Recreation =	14	hr (in one year)
Shore Width Factor =	0.2	
Sediment Surface Mass :	40	kg/m²

Child Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway (mrem) = Shoreline Recreation (hr) x External Dose Factor (mrem/hr per  $pCi/m^2$ ) x Shore Width Factor x Sediment Surface Mass (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) x Sediment Concentration (pCi/kg)

External <u>on Con</u>	Dose Fac taminated	ctor Standing <u>I Ground</u>	Highest A <u>Mean Co</u>	Annual Nei ncentratio	t <u>n</u>	<u>Dose</u>
Radionuclide	(mrem/h T. Body	r per pCi/m²) Skin	Indicator Location	Sediment (pCi/kg)	(m) T. Body	rem) Skin
Cs-134	1.20E-08	1.40E-08	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	4.20E-09	4.90E-09	063	69.7	3.28E-05	3.83E-05
		Dose Commitm	ent (mrem) =		3.28E-05	3.83E-05

Section 4 - Page 10

Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

**Highest Annual** 

Teen Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (l) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 510 l

								Net M	1ean							
				Ingestion Dose Factor			<u>Concentration</u>					Dose (m	rem)			
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Indicator Location	Water (pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	5.90E-06	1.17E-06	NO DATA	1.76E-06	NO DATA	1.21E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	9.72E-07	2.24E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.34E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Fe-59	5.87E-06	1.37E-05	5.29E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.32E-06	3.24E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-60	NO DATA	2.81E-06	6.33E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	3.66E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	5.76E-06	2.00E-05	9.33E-06	NO DATA	1.28E-05	NO DATA	8.47E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Nb-95	8.22E-09	4.56E-09	2.51E-09	NO DATA	4.42E-09	NO DATA	1.95E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zr-95	4.12E-08	1.30E-08	8.94E-09	NO DATA	1.91E-08	NO DATA	3.00E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
I-131	5.85E-06	8.19E-06	4.40E-06	2.39E-03	1.41E-05	NO DATA	1.62E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	8.37E-05	1.97E-04	9.14E-05	NO DATA	6.26E-05	2.39E-05	2.45E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	1.12E-04	1.49E-04	5.19E-05	NO DATA	5.07E-05	1.97E-05	2.12E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
BaLa-140	2.84E-05	3.48E-08	1.83E-06	NO DATA	1.18E-08	2.34E-08	4.38E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
H-3	NO DATA	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	066	415	0.00E+00	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02	2.24E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem)=

0.00E+00 2.24E-02 2.24E-02 2.24E-02 2.24E-02 2.24E-02 2.24E-02 2.24E-02

Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Fish Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

Teen Dose from Fish Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg) H-3 Concentration in Fish = Surface Water pCi/l x Bioaccumulation Factor 0.9 pCi/kg per pCi/l = 4678 pCi/l x 0.9 = 4210 pCi/kg Usage (intake in one year) = 16 kg

								Highest	Annual							
				Ingestic	<u>n Dose</u>	<u>Factor</u>	actor Net Mean					Dose (m	rem)			
								Concer	<u>itration</u>							
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	5.90E-06	1.17E-06	NO DATA	1.76E-06	NO DATA	1.21E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	9.72E-07	2.24E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.34E-05	063	9.01	0.00E+00	1.40E-04	3.23E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.93E-03
Fe-59	5.87E-06	1.37E-05	5.29E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.32E-06	3.24E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-60	NO DATA	2.81E-06	6.33E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	3.66E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	5.76E-06	2.00E-05	9.33E-06	NO DATA	1.28E-05	NO DATA	8.47E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+60
Cs-134	8.37E-05	1.97E-04	9.14E-05	NO DATA	6.26E-05	2.39E-05	2.45E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	1.12E-04	1.49E-04	5.19E-05	NO DATA	5.07E-05	1.97E-05	2.12E-06	063	12.2	2.19E-02	2.91E-02	1.01E-02	0.00E+00	9.90E-03	3.85E-03	4.14E-04
H-3	NO DATA	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	1.06E-07	063.1	4210	0.00E+00	7.14E-03	7.14E-03	7.14E-03	7.14E-03	7.14E-03	7.14E-03

Dose Commitment (mrem) = 2.19E-02 3.64E-02 1.76E-02 7.14E-03 1.70E-02 1.10E-02 9.49E-03

Section 4 - Page 12

#### Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Teen

Shoreline Recreation =	67	hr (in one year)
Shore Width Factor =	0.2	
Sediment Surface Mass -	40	kg/m²

Teen Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway (mrem) = Shoreline Recreation (hr) x External Dose Factor (mrem/hr per pCi/m<sup>2</sup>) x Shore Width Factor x Sediment Surface Mass (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) x Sediment Concentration (pCi/kg)

External <u>on Cont</u>	Dose Facto <u>aminated C</u>	or Standing Ground	Highest A <u>Mean Co</u>	Annual Net ncentration	Dose			
(mr	em/hr per	pCi/m²)	Indicator	Sediment	(m	rem)		
Radionuclide	T. Body	Skin	Location	(pCi/kg)	T. Body	Skin		
Cs-134	1.20E-08	1.40E-08	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00		
Cs-137	4.20E-09	4.90E-09	063	69.7	1.57E-04	1.83E-04		
	Dose Com	mitment (mr	em) =		1.57E-04	1.83E-04		

#### Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Drinking Water Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

**Highest Annual** 

#### Adult Dose from Drinking Water Pathway (mrem) = Usage (I) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/l)

Usage (intake in one year) = 730 1

								Net N	Iean							
				Ingestic	on Dose	<u>Factor</u>		<u>Concent</u> Indicator	<u>tration</u> Water				Dose (m	rem)		
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/l)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	4.57E-06	8.72E-07	NO DATA	1.36E-06	NO DATA	1.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-58	NO DATA	7.45E-07	1.67E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.51E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Fe-59	4.34E-06	1.02E-05	3.91E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.85E-06	3.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Co-60	NO DATA	2.14E-06	4.72E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.02E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zn-65	4.84E-06	1.54E-05	6.96E-06	NO DATA	1.03E-05	NO DATA	9.70E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Nb-95	6.22E-09	3.46E-09	1.86E-09	NO DATA	3.42E-09	NO DATA	2.10E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Zr-95	3.04E-08	9.75E-09	6.60E-09	NO DATA	1.53E-08	NO DATA	3.09E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
I-131	4.16E-06	5.95E-06	3.41E-06	1.95E-03	1.02E-05	NO DATA	1.57E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-134	6.22E-05	1.48E-04	1.21E-04	NO DATA	4.79E-05	1.59E-05	2.59E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Cs-137	7.97E-05	1.09E-04	7.14E-05	NO DATA	3.70E-05	1.23E-05	2.11E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
BaLa-140	2.03E-05	2.55E-08	1.33E-06	NO DATA	8.67E-09	1.46E-08	4.18E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00						
Н-3	NO DATA	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	066	415	0.00E+00	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02	3.18E-02

0.00E+00 3.18E-02 3.18E-02 3.18E-02 3.18E-02 3.18E-02 3.18E-02

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

Section 4 - Page 14

Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Fish Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

Adult Dose from Fish Pathway (mrem) = Usage (kg) x Dose Factor (mrem/pCi ingested) x Concentration (pCi/kg) H-3 Concentration in Fish = Surface Water pCi/l x Bioaccumulation Factor 0.9 pCi/kg per pCi/l = 4678 pCi/l x 0.9 = 4210 pCi/kg Usage (intake in one year) = 21 kg Highest Annual

								Net N	Aean							
			Ingesti	on Dose I	Factor			<u>Concen</u>	tration		<u>Dose (mrem)</u>					
Radionuclide	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI	Location	(pCi/kg)	Bone	Liver	T. Body	Thyroid	Kidney	Lung	GI-LLI
Mn-54	NO DATA	4.57E-06	8.72E-07	NO DATA	1.36E-06	NO DATA	1.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-58	NO DATA	7.45E-07	1.67E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	1.51E-05	063	9.01	0.00E+00	1.41E-04	3.16E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	2.86E-03
Fe-59	4.34E-06	1.02E-05	3.91E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	2.85E-06	3.40E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Co-60	NO DATA	2.14E-06	4.72E-06	NO DATA	NO DATA	NO DATA	4.02E-05	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Zn-65	4.84E-06	1.54E-05	6.96E-06	NO DATA	1.03E-05	NO DATA	9.70E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-134	6.22E-05	1.48E-04	1.21E-04	NO DATA	4.79E-05	1.59E-05	2.59E-06	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Cs-137	7.97E-05	1.09E-04	7.14E-05	NO DATA	3.70E-05	1.23E-05	2.11E-06	063	12.2	2.04E-02	2.79E-02	1.83E-02	0.00E+00	9.48E-03	3.15E-03	5.41E-04
H-3	NO DATA	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	1.05E-07	063.1	4210	0.00E+00	9.28E-03	9.28E-03	9.28E-03	9.28E-03	9.28E-03	9.28E-03

Dose Commitment (mrem) =

2.04E-02 3.73E-02 2.79E-02 9.28E-03 1.88E-02 1.24E-02 1.27E-02

#### Oconee Nuclear Station Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway for 2009 Data Maximum Exposed Adult

Shoreline Recreation =	12	hr (in one year)
Shore Width Factor =	0.2	
Sediment Surface Mass :	40	kg/m <sup>2</sup>

.

Adult Dose from Shoreline Sediment Pathway (mrem) = Shoreline Recreation (hr) x External Dose Factor (mrem/hr per pCi/m<sup>2</sup>) x Shore Width Factor x Sediment Surface Mass (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) x Sediment Concentration (pCi/kg)

External Do on Conta	ose Factor Aminated (	Standing Ground	Highest A Mean Cor	nnual Net icentration	Dose			
	(mrem/hr	$\frac{1}{1}$	Indicator	Sediment	(m)	rem)		
Radionuclide	T. Body	Skin	Location	(pCi/kg)	T. Body	Skin		
Cs-134	1.20E-08	1.40E-08	ALL	0.00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00		
Cs-137	4.20E-09	4.90E-09	063	69.7	2.81E-05	3.28E-05		
	Dose Com	mitment (mre	m) =		2.81E-05	3.28E-05		

Section 4 - Page 16

# **5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE**

#### 5.1 <u>SAMPLE COLLECTION</u>

EnRad Laboratories, Fisheries, and Aquatic Ecology performed the environmental sample collections as specified by approved sample collection procedures.

#### 5.2 <u>SAMPLE ANALYSIS</u>

EnRad Laboratories performed the environmental sample analyses as specified by approved analysis procedures. EnRad Laboratories is located in Huntersville, North Carolina, Duke at Energy Corporation's Environmental Center.



Duke Energy Corporation's Environmental Center

#### 5.3 DOSIMETRY ANALYSIS

The Radiation Dosimetry and Records group performed environmental dosimetry measurements as specified by approved dosimetry analysis procedures.

#### 5.4 LABORATORY EQUIPMENT QUALITY ASSURANCE

#### 5.4.1 DAILY QUALITY CONTROL

EnRad Laboratories has an internal quality assurance program which monitors each type of instrumentation for reliability and accuracy. Daily quality control checks ensure that instruments are in proper working order and these checks are used to monitor instrument performance.

#### 5.4.2 CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards that represent counting geometries are analyzed as unknowns at various frequencies ranging from weekly to annually to verify that efficiency calibrations are valid. The frequency is dependent upon instrument use and performance. Investigations are performed and documented should calibration verification data fall out of limits.

#### 5.4.3 **BATCH PROCESSING**

Method quality control samples are analyzed with sample analyses that are processed in batches. These include gross beta in drinking water and all tritium analyses.

•

•

ŏ

•

Ŏ

•

#### 5.5 DUKE ENERGY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

EnRad Laboratories participated in the Duke Energy Nuclear Generation Department Intercomparison Program during 2009. Interlaboratory cross-check standards, including, Marinelli beakers, air filters, air cartridges, gross beta on smears, and tritium in water samples were analyzed at various times of the year. A summary of the EnRad Laboratory program results for 2009 is documented in Table 5.0-A.

#### 5.6 ERA PROFICIENCY TESTING

EnRad Laboratories performed method proficiency testing through a program administered by Environmental Resource Associates (ERA) of Arvada, CO. ERA supplied requested method proficiency samples for analysis and nuclide concentration determination. ERA reported proficiency test results to the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, North Carolina Public Health Drinking Water Laboratory Certification Program. A summary of these proficiency test data for 2009 is documented in Table 5.0-B.

#### 5.7 **DUKE ENERGY AUDITS**

The Oconee Radiation Protection Section was not audited by the Quality Assurance Group in 2009, but was audited in 2008 (reference 6.14). There were some REMP safety enhancements identified as a result of the 2008 audit.

EnRad Laboratories was not audited by the Quality Assurance Group in 2009, but was audited in 2008 (reference 6.15). There were some REMP recommendations as a result of the 2008 audit.

#### 5.8 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION INSPECTIONS

The Oconee Nuclear Station Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program was not audited by the NRC in 2009. The program was audited by the NRC in 2008 (reference 6.12). No findings were noted in the 2008 report.

#### 5.9 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

Oconee Nuclear Station routinely participates with the Bureau of Radiological Health of the State's Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) in an

intercomparison program. The Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between SC DHEC and Duke Energy describes the sampling frequency and analysis parameters for drinking water, surface water, milk, fish, vegetation, and shoreline sediment samples collected by EnRad Laboratories. Samples are routinely split with DHEC for intercomparison analysis. DHEC collects air samples near two of the locations sampled for air by ONS. Results of the analyses performed on split and duplicate samples are sent to DHEC.

#### 5.10 TLD INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

#### 5.10.1 NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY SERVICES INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

Radiation Dosimetry and Records participates in a quarterly TLD intercomparison program administered by Nuclear Technology Services, Inc. of Roswell, GA. Nuclear Technology Services irradiates environmental dosimeters quarterly and sends them to the Radiation Dosimetry and Records group for analysis of the unknown estimated delivered exposure. A summary of the Nuclear Technology Services Intercomparison Report is documented in Table 5.0-C.

#### 5.10.2 INTERNAL CROSSCHECK (DUKE ENERGY)

Radiation Dosimetry and Records participates in a quarterly TLD intracomparison program administered internally by the Dosimetry Lab. The Dosimetry Lab Staff irradiates environmental dosimeters quarterly and submits them for analysis of the unknown estimated delivered exposure. A summary of the Internal Cross Check (Duke Energy) Result is documented in Table 5.0-C.

# TABLE 5.0-ADUKE ENERGYINTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

#### 2009 CROSS-CHECK RESULTS FOR ENRAD LABORATORIES

Cross-Check samples are normally analyzed a minimum of three times. A status of "3 Pass" indicates that all three analyses yielded results within the designated acceptance range. A status of "1 Pass" indicates that one analysis of the cross check was performed

If applicable, footnote explanations are included following this table.

			Range pCi/l	Value pCi/l	Value pCi/l	Status
3/5/2009	Q091GWL	Cr-51	1.54 - 2.73 E5	2.05 E5	2.06 E5	3 Pass
1		Mn-54	4.68 - 8.30 E4	6.24 E4	6.47 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	4.88 - 8.65 E4	6.50 E4	6.52 E4	3 Pass
	ļ	Fe-59	4.40 - 7.80 E4	5.87 E4	6.15 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	5.08 - 9.02 E4	6.78 E4	7.05 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	5.79 - 10.26 E4	7.72 E4	8.01 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.38 - 5.99 E4	4.50 E4	4.13 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.96 - 7.03 E4	5.28 E4	5.05 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	4.55 - 8.07 E4	6.07 E4	5.99 E4	3 Pass
					······	
7/29/2009	Q093GWS	Co-57	1.22 - 2.16 E5	1.62 E5	1.73 E5	2 Pass
	ſ	Co-60	1.88 - 3.33 E5	2.50 E5	2.65 E5	2 Pass
		Y-88	1.22 - 2.16 E5	1.62 E5	1.63 E5	2 Pass
	F	Sn-113	1.91 - 3.38 E5	2.54 E5	2.46 E5	2 Pass
		Cs-137	3.28 - 5.81 E5	4.37 E5	4.46 E5	2 Pass

#### Gamma in Water 3.5 liters

#### Gamma in Water 1.0 liter

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
3/5/2009	Q091GWL	Cr-51	1.54 - 2.73 E5	2.05 E5	2.04 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.68 - 8.30 E4	6.24 E4	6.53 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	4.88 - 8.65 E4	6.50 E4	6.48 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	4.40 - 7.80 E4	5.87 E4	6.17 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	5.08 - 9.02 E4	6.78 E4	6.96 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	5.79 - 10.26 E4	7.72 E4	7.98 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.38 - 5.99 E4	4.50 E4	4.03 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.96 - 7.03 E4	5.28 E4	5.03 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	4.55 - 8.07 E4	6.07 E4	5.94 E4	3 Pass

-
-
Ă
Ă

Gamma	in	Water	1.0	liter,	continued
-------	----	-------	-----	--------	-----------

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
7/29/2009	Q093GWS	Co-57	1.22 - 2.16 E5	1.62 E5	1.64 E5	3 Pass
		Co-60	1.88 - 3.33 E5	2.50 E5	2.59 E5	3 Pass
		Y-88	1.22 - 2.16 E5	1.62 E5	1.58 E5	3 Pass
		Sn-113	1.91 - 3.38 E5	2.54 E5	2.40 E5	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.28 - 5.81 E5	4.37 E5	4.28 E5	3 Pass
			· ·	·		

#### Gamma in Water 0.5 liter

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
3/5/2009	Q091GWL	Cr-51	1.54 - 2.73 E5	2.05 E5	2.05 E5	3 Pass
		Mn-54	4.68 - 8.30 E4	6.24 E4	6.35 E4	3 Pass
		Co-58	4.88 - 8.65 E4	6.50 E4	6.27 E4	3 Pass
		Fe-59	4.40 - 7.80 E4	5.87 E4	6.10 E4	3 Pass
		Co-60	5.08 - 9.02 E4	6.78 E4	6.94 E4	3 Pass
		Zn-65	5.79 - 10.26 E4	7.72 E4	8.01 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-134	3.38 - 5.99 E4	4.50 E4	3.88 E4	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.96 - 7.03 E4	5.28 E4	4.90 E4	3 Pass
		Ce-141	4.55 - 8.07 E4	6.07 E4	5.80 E4	3 Pass
7/29/2009	Q093GWS	Co-57	1.22 - 2.16 E5	1.62 E5	1.61 E5	3 Pass
		Co-60	1.88 - 3.33 E5	2.50 E5	2.55 E5	3 Pass
		Y-88	1.22 - 2.16 E5	1.62 E5	1.58 E5	3 Pass
		Sn-113	1.91 - 3.38 E5	2.54 E5	2.36 E5	3 Pass
		Cs-137	3.28 - 5.81 E5	4.37 E5	4.27 E5	3 Pass
		03-137	5.20 - 5.01 E5	4.37 2.5	4.27 53	5 1 455

#### Gamma in Water 0.25 liter

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status	
3/5/2009	Q091GWL	Cr-51	1.54 - 2.73 E5	2.05 E5	2.08 E5	3 Pass	
	1	Mn-54	4.68 - 8.30 E4	6.24 E4	6.51 E4	3 Pass	
		Co-58	4.88 - 8.65 E4	6.50 E4	6.43 E4	3 Pass	
	1	Fe-59	4.40 - 7.80 E4	5.87 E4	6.15 E4	3 Pass	
	( '	Co-60	5.08 - 9.02 E4	6.78 E4	7.13 E4	3 Pass	
		Zn-65	5.79 - 10.26 E4	7.72 E4	7.93 E4	3 Pass	
		Cs-134	3.38 - 5.99 E4	4.50 E4	4.00 E4	3 Pass	
	1 '	Cs-137	3.96 - 7.03 E4	5.28 E4	5.07 E4	3 Pass	
		Ce-141	4.55 - 8.07 E4	6.07 E4	5.97 E4	3 Pass	

Mean Reported Acceptance Reference Value Value pCi/l pCi/l 1.62 E5 1.22 - 2.16 E5 1.58 E5

2.50 E5

1.62 E5

2.54 E5

4.37 E5

2.57 E5

1.58 E5

2.32 E5

4.13 E5

Cross Check

Status

2 Pass

2 Pass

2 Pass 2 Pass

2 Pass

#### Gamma in Water 0.25 liter, continued

Nuclide

Co-57

Co-60

Y-88

Sn-113

Cs-137

Range

pCi/l

1.88 - 3.33 E5

1.22 - 2.16 E5

1.91 - 3.38 E5

3.28 - 5.81 E5

Sample I.D.

Q093GWS

#### Gamma in Filter

Reference

Date

7/29/2009

Reference Date	rence Sample I.D.		Acceptance Range pCi	Reference Value pCi	Mean Reported Value pCi	Cross Check Status
6/18/2009	E6701-37	Cr-51	0.29 - 10.17 E2	1.73 E2	1.61 E2	2 Pass
		Mn-54	4.45 - 7.89 E1	5.93 E1	5.96 E1	2 Pass
		Co-58	2.94 - 5.36 E1	3.97 E1	3.95 E1	2 Pass
		Fe-59	2.06 - 13.54 E1	5.29 E1	4.50 E1	2 Pass
		Co-60	1.01 - 1.80 E2	1.35 E2	1.36 E2	2 Pass
		Zn-65	5.68 - 10.07 E1	7.57 E1	8.01 E1	2 Pass
		Cs-134	5.38 - 9.54 E1	7.17 E1	6.50 E1	2 Pass
	1	Cs-137	6.23 - 11.04 E1	8.30 E1	7.61 E1	2 Pass
		Ce-141	0.92 - 1.64 E2	1.23 E2	1.30 E2	2 Pass

#### Iodine in Water

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
7/21/2009	Q093LIW1	I-131	1.99 - 3.53 E2	2.65 E2	2.29 E2	3 Pass
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
7/21/2009	Q093LIW2	I-131	0.87 - 1.55 E1	1.16 E1	0.89 E1	1/3 Low <sup>(1)</sup>
7/21/2009	Q093LIW3	I-131	5.09 - 9.03 E2	6.79 E2	5.99 E2	3 Pass

#### Iodine in Milk

•

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
3/4/2009	Q091LIM2	I-131	3.30 - 5.85 E3	4.40 E3	2.65 E3	3/3 Low <sup>(2)</sup>
3/4/2009	Q091LIM3	I-131	1.41 - 2.51 E2	1.88 E2	1.38 E2	2/3 Low <sup>(3)</sup>
6/8/2009	Q092LIM1	I-131	3.36 - 5.95 E2	4.48 E2	4.54 E2	3 Pass
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6/8/2009	Q092LIM2	I-131	0.86 - 1.53 E3	1.15 E3	1.08 E3	3 Pass
6/8/2009	Q092LIM3	I-131	7.49 - 13.28 E1	9.99 E1	9.61 E1	3 Pass

#### Iodine on Cartridge

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi	Reference Value pCi	Mean Reported Value pCi	Cross Check Status
6/18/2009	E6702-37	I-131	7.24 - 12.83 E1	9.65 E1	9.53 E1	3 Pass

#### Beta Air Particulate

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi	Reference Value pCi	Mean Reported Value pCi	Cross Check Status
12/10/2009	E6903-37	Cs-137	1.97 - 3.50 E2	2.63 E2	2.36 E2	3 Pass

#### Tritium in Water

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Mean Reported Value pCi/l	Cross Check Status
1/28/2009	Q091TWR1	H-3	4.30 - 7.62 E3	5.73 E3	5.54 E3	3 Pass
1/28/2009	Q091TWR2	H-3	0.86 - 1.53 E4	1.15 E4	1.09 E4	3 Pass
7/22/2009	Q093TWS1	Н-3	0.88 - 1.56 E4	1.18 E4	1.13 E4	3 Pass
7/22/2009	Q093TWS2	H-3	3.43 - 6.61 E2	4.76 E2	5.10 E2	3 Pass
11/12/2009	Q094TWS1	H-3	5.30 - 9.40 E8	7.07 E8	7.82 E8	3 Pass
11/12/2009	Q094TWS2	H-3	0.77 - 1.36 E5	1.02 E5	0.97 E5	3 Pass
				,		

#### **Table 5.0-A Footnote Explanations**

(1) Iodine in Water, Sample ID Q093LIW2, Reference Date 7/21/2009

One of three results was outside of the acceptance range (reference 6.17).

(2) Iodine in Milk, Sample ID Q091LIM2, Reference Date 3/4/2009

Three results for this cross-check were reported. All three of the reported results trended low and were outside of the acceptance range (reference 6.18).

(3) Iodine in Milk, Sample ID Q091LIM3, Reference Date 3/4/2009

Three results for this cross-check were reported. Two of the three reported results trended low and were outside of the acceptance range (reference 6.18).

# TABLE 5.0-BENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCE ASSOCIATES (ERA)QUIK™ RESPONSE PROGRAM

#### 2009 PROFICIENCY TEST RESULTS FOR ENRAD LABORATORIES

#### ERA LABORATORY CODE: D242401

Proficiency test samples are received, prepared, analyzed, and reported to Environmental Resource Associates as described in the "Quik" Response instruction package within the study period. Proficiency test data are reported to ERA for evaluation. ERA reports proficiency test results to the North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services, North Carolina Public Drinking Water Laboratory Certification Program.

If applicable, footnote explanations are included following this data table.

Reference Date	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance Range pCi/l	Reference Value pCi/l	Reported Value pCi/l	Proficiency Check Status	
4/6/2009	RAD-77*	Ba-133	4.34 - 5.83 E1	5.27 E1	6.18 E1	High <sup>(1)</sup>	
		Cs-134	5.95 - 8.02 E1	7.29 E1	6.86 E1	Pass	
		Cs-137	1.51 - 1.87 E2	1.68 E2	1.52 E2	Pass	
		Co-60	8.00 - 10.0 E1	8.89 E1	9.56 E1	Pass	
		Zn-65	7.60 - 10.1 E1	8.44 E1	9.97 E1	Pass	
10/5/2009	RAD-79**	Ba-133	7.83 - 10.2 E1	9.29 E1	9.35 E1	Pass	
		Cs-134	6.50 - 8.73 E1	7.94 E1	7.60 E1	Pass	
		Cs-137	4.91 - 6.29 E1	5.46 E1	5.51 E1	Pass	
		Co-60	1.05 - 1.31 E2	1.17 E2	1.15 E2	Pass	
		Zn-65	8.96 - 11.9 E1	9.95 E1	1.11 E2	Pass	

#### Gamma Emitters in Water

#### Tritium in Water

Reference	Sample I.D.	Nuclide	Acceptance	Reference	Reported	Proficiency Check
Date			Range	Value	Value	Status
			pCi/l	pCi/l	pCi/l	
4/6/2009	RAD-77*	H-3	1.78 - 2.23 E4	2.03 E4	1.87 E4	Pass
10/5/2009	RAD-79**	H-3	1.43 - 1.80 E4	1.64 E4	1.53 E4	Pass

\* ERA study period 4/6/2009 - 5/21/2009, ERA data report issue date 6/3/2009

\*\* ERA study period 10/5/2009 - 11/19/2009, ERA data report issue date 12/4/2009

#### Table 5.0-B Footnote Explanations

(1) Gamma Emitters in Water, Sample ID RAD-77, Reference Date 4/6/2009

Reported result for Ba-133 was above the acceptance range limit (reference 6.19).

# TABLE 5.0-C2009 ENVIRONMENTAL DOSIMETER<br/>CROSS-CHECK RESULTS

۲

•

#### **Nuclear Technology Services**

-		• • • • •					1					
•	1st Quart	er 2009					2nd Quar	ter 2009				
	TLD	Delivered	Reported	Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD	Delivered	Reported	Bias	Pass/Fail	
	Number	(mrem)	(mrem)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail	Number	(mrem)	(mrem)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail
	100125	110.1	104.0	5.87	<+/-15%	Pass	102077	106	101.0	4.95	<+/-15%	Pass
	100203	109.2	104.0	5.00	<+/-15%	Pass	102243	100	101.0	-0.99	<+/-15%	Pass
	101141	105.4	104.0	1.35	<+/-15%	Pass	102244	108	101.0	6.93	<+/-15%	Pass
	100210	104.5	104.0	0.48	<+/-15%	Pass	102323	102	101.0	0.99	<+/-15%	Pass
	100386	108.6	104.0	4.42	<+/-15%	Pass	102329	101	101.0	0.00	<+/-15%	Pass
		Averag	e Bias (B)	3.42				Averag	e Bias (B)	2.38		
-	S	tandard De	viation (S)	2.37			S	tandard De	viation (S)	3.40		
	Measur	e Performa	ance  B +S	5.79	<15%	Pass	Measure Performance  B +S			5.78	<15%	Pass
_		and the second secon										
	3rd Quart	ter 2009					4th Quart	er 2009				
•	3rd Quart TLD	ter 2009 Delivered	Reported	Bias	Pass/Fail		4th Quart TLD	er 2009 Delivered	Reported	Bias	Pass/Fail	
	3rd Quart TLD Number	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem)	Reported (mrem)	Bias (% diff)	Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass/Fail	4th Quart TLD Number	er 2009 Delivered (mrem)	Reported (mrem)	Bias (% diff)	Pass/Fail Criteria	Pass/Fail
	<b>3rd Quart</b> TLD Number 102228	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5	Reported (mrem) 70.2	Bias (% diff) -1.00	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0	Reported (mrem) 14.0	Bias (% diff) 0.00	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass
	<b>3rd Quart</b> TLD Number 102228 102492	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5 71.1	Reported (mrem) 70.2 70.2	Bias (% diff) -1.00 1.28	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140 100358	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0 14.0	Reported (mrem) 14.0 14.0	Bias (% diff) 0.00 0.00	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass
	3rd Quart TLD Number 102228 102492 102007	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5 71.1 69.9	Reported (mrem) 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2	Bias (% diff) -1.00 1.28 -0.43	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140 100358 100404	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0 14.0 13.0	Reported (mrem) 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0	Bias (% diff) 0.00 0.00 -7.14	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass
	3rd Quart TLD Number 102228 102492 102007 102041	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5 71.1 69.9 73.4	Reported (mrem) 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2	Bias (% diff) -1.00 1.28 -0.43 4.56	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140 100358 100404 100405	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0 14.0 13.0 14.0	Reported (mrem) 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0	Bias (% diff) 0.00 0.00 -7.14 0.00	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
	3rd Quart TLD Number 102228 102492 102007 102041 102078	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5 71.1 69.9 73.4 70.6	Reported (mrem) 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2	Bias (% diff) -1.00 1.28 -0.43 4.56 0.57	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140 100358 100404 100405 100415	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0 14.0 13.0 14.0 15.0	Reported (mrem) 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0	Bias (% diff) 0.00 0.00 -7.14 0.00 7.14	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
	3rd Quart TLD Number 102228 102492 102007 102041 102078	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5 71.1 69.9 73.4 70.6 Averag	Reported (mrem) 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 ge Bias (B)	Bias (% diff) -1.00 1.28 -0.43 4.56 0.57 1.00	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140 100358 100404 100405 100415	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0 14.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 Averag	Reported (mrem) 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 e Bias (B)	Bias (% diff) 0.00 0.00 -7.14 0.00 7.14 0.00	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass
	3rd Quart TLD Number 102228 102492 102007 102041 102078	ter 2009 Delivered (mrem) 69.5 71.1 69.9 73.4 70.6 Averag tandard De	Reported (mrem) 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 70.2 ge Bias (B) vviation (S)	Bias (% diff) -1.00 1.28 -0.43 4.56 0.57 1.00 2.18	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass	4th Quart TLD Number 100140 100358 100404 100405 100415	er 2009 Delivered (mrem) 14.0 14.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 Averag tandard De	Reported (mrem) 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 14.0 viation (S)	Bias (% diff) 0.00 0.00 -7.14 0.00 7.14 0.00 5.05	Pass/Fail Criteria <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15% <+/-15%	Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Pass

#### Internal Crosscheck (Duke Energy)

1st Quarter 2009						2nd Quarter 2009					
TLD	Delivered	Reported	Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD	Delivered	Reported	Bias	Pass/Fail	
Number	(mrem)	(mrem)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail	Number	(mrem)	(mrem)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fai
101297	<b>18.3</b>	<b>`19</b> .0 ´	-3.95	<+/-15%	Pass	102325	<b>49.1</b>	50.0	-1.78	<+/-15%	Pass
101286	18.5	19.0	-2.58	<+/-15%	Pass	102491	48.7	50.0	-2.68	<+/-15%	Pass
101258	18.2	19.0	-4.26	<+/-15%	Pass	102374	48.4	50.0	-3.26	<+/-15%	Pass
101216	18.4	19.0	-3.42	<+/-15%	Pass	102084	47.6	50.0	-4.88	<+/-15%	Pass
101252	18.1	19.0	-4.74	<+/-15%	Pass	102404	51.3	50.0	2.56	<+/-15%	Pass
101356	18.7	19.0	-1.74	<+/-15%	Pass	102396	47.8	50.0	-4.32	<+/-15%	Pass
101339	18.2	19.0	-4.32	<+/-15%	Pass	102346	48.7	50.0	-2.60	<+/-15%	Pass
101127	17.6	19.0	-7.47	<+/-15%	Pass	102485	48.6	50.0	-2.80	<+/-15%	Pass
101278	19.4	19.0	1.89	<+/-15%	Pass	102059	48.2	50.0	-3.68	<+/-15%	Pass
101305	18.4	19.0	-3.05	<+/-15%	Pass	102263	50.0	50.0	-0.08	<+/-15%	Pass
Average Bias (B)			-3.36				Averag	e Bias (B)	-2.35		
Standard Deviation (S)			2.40			St	andard De	viation (S)	2.18		
Measure Performance  B +S			5.76	<15%	Pass	Measur	e Performa	nce  B +S	4.53	<15%	Pass
3rd Quarter 2009						4th Quart	er 2009				
TLD	Delivered Reported		Bias	Pass/Fail		TLD Delivered Reported			Bias	Pass/Fail	
Number	(mrem)	(mrem)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fail	Number	(mrem)	(mrem)	(% diff)	Criteria	Pass/Fai
102400	25.9	27.0	-3.93	<+/-15%	Pass	100955	20.4	22.0	-7.14	<+/-15%	Pass
102486	25.7	27.0	-4.70	<+/-15%	Pass	100050	20.0	22.0	-9.18	<+/-15%	Pass
102402	25.9	27.0	-4.04	<+/-15%	Pass	100885	21.2	22.0	-3.68	<+/-15%	Pass
102406	26.9	27.0	-0.44	<+/-15%	Pass	101409	19.2	22.0	-12.77	<+/-15%	Pass
102435	26.6	27.0	-1.63	<+/-15%	Pass	100389	20.3	22.0	-7.82	<+/-15%	Pass
102442	25.3	27.0	-6.48	<+/-15%	Pass	100401	20.4	22.0	-7.18	<+/-15%	Pass
102436	25.9	27.0	-3.93	<+/-15%	Pass	101383	21.0	22.0	-4.45	<+/-15%	Pass
102440	25.1	27.0	-7.19	<+/-15%	Pass	100551	20.4	22.0	-7.14	<+/-15%	Pass
102479	25.2	27.0	-6.81	<+/-15%	Pass	100748	20.9	22.0	-4.95	<+/-15%	Pass
102384	26.0	27.0	-3.67	<+/-15%	Pass	100263	20.9	22.0	-4.91	<+/-15%	Pass
Average Bias (B)			-4.28				Averag	e Bias (B)	-6.92		
Standard Deviation (S)			2.17			Standard Deviation (S)			2.68		
Measure Performance  B +S			6.45	<15%	Pass	Measure Performance  B +S			9.61	<15%	Pass

## 6.0 REFERENCES

- 6.1 Oconee Selected License Commitment Manual
- 6.2 Oconee Technical Specifications
- 6.3 Oconee Updated Final Safety Analysis Report
- 6.4 Oconee Offsite Dose Calculation Manual
- 6.5 Oconee Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report 1969-2008
- 6.6 Oconee Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report 2009
- 6.7 Probability and Statistics in Engineering and Management Science, Hines and Montgomery, 1969, pages 287-293.
- 6.8 Practical Statistics for the Physical Sciences, Havilcek and Crain, 1988, pages 83-93.
- 6.9 Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.109, Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purposes of Evaluating Compliance with 10CFR50, Appendix I.
- 6.10 EnRad Laboratories Operating Procedures
- 6.11 RETDAS, Radiological Effluent Tracking and Dose Assessment Software, Canberra Version 3.5.1, DPC Revision #4.0
- 6.12 NRC Integrated Inspection Report 50-269/08-04, 50-270/08-04, and 50-287/08-04
- 6.13 Duke Energy Corporation EnRad Laboratory Charcoal Cartridge Study, performed 2001
- 6.14 Radiological Effluent Controls Audit GO-08-21 (INOS)(REC)(ONS)
- 6.15 Radiological Effluent Controls Audit GO-08-23 (INOS)(REC)(NGO)
- 6.16 South Carolina State Climatology Office 2009, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, Land, Water, and Conservation Division State Climate Office, Columbia, South Carolina, viewed 9 April 2009,
  <a href="http://www.dnr.sc.gov/climate/sco/Drought/drought">http://www.dnr.sc.gov/climate/sco/Drought/drought</a> current info.php>
- 6.17 Problem Investigation Process Database, V 3.2.27, Duke Power Company, G-09-01278

•

# **APPENDIX** A **ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING** & **ANALYSIS PROCEDURES**

•

•

• • • • •

• • • • •

## **APPENDIX** A

## ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Adherence to established procedures for sampling and analysis of all environmental media at Oconee Nuclear Station is required to ensure compliance with Station Selected Licensee Commitments. Analytical procedures were employed to ensure that Selected Licensee Commitments detection capabilities were achieved.

Environmental sampling and analyses were performed by EnRad Laboratories, Dosimetry and Records, and Fisheries and Aquatic Ecology.

Section IV of this appendix describes the environmental sampling frequencies and analysis procedures by media type.

#### I. CHANGE OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Indicator location 078.1 (Air Radioiodine, Air Particulate) was added as a replacement for indicator location 078 (Air Radioiodine, Air Particulate), which was removed from the program (reference 6.20).

#### II. DESCRIPTION OF ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

Gamma spectroscopy analyses are performed using high purity germanium gamma detectors and Canberra analytical software. Designated sample volumes are transferred to appropriate counting geometries and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy. Perishable samples such as fish and broadleaf vegetation are ground to achieve a homogeneous mixture. Soils and sediments are dried, sifted to remove foreign objects (rocks, clams, glass, etc.) then transferred to appropriate counting geometry.

Low-level iodine analyses are performed by passing a designated sample aliquot through a pre-weighed amount of ion exchange resin to remove and concentrate any iodine in the aqueous sample (milk). The resin is then dried, mixed thoroughly, and a net resin weight determined before being transferred to appropriate counting geometry and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Tritium analyses are performed quarterly by using low-level environmental liquid scintillation analysis technique on a Packard 2550 liquid scintillation system or Perkin-Elmer 2900TR liquid scintillation system. Tritium samples are distilled and batch processed with a tritium spike and blank to verify instrument performance and sample preparation technique are acceptable.
Gross beta analysis is performed by concentrating a designated aliquot of sample precipitate and analyzing by Tennelec XLB Series 5 gas-flow proportional counters. Samples are batch processed with a blank to ensure sample contamination has not occurred.

### III. <u>CHANGE OF ANALYSIS PROCEDURES</u>

No analysis procedures were changed during 2009.

### IV. <u>SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES</u>

#### A.1 AIRBORNE PARTICULATE AND RADIOIODINE

Airborne particulate and radioiodine samples at each of six locations were composited continuously by means of continuous air samplers. Air particulates were collected on a particulate filter and radioiodines were collected in a charcoal cartridge positioned behind the filter in the sampler. The samplers are designed to operate at a constant flow rate (in order to compensate for any filter loading) and are set to sample approximately 2 cubic feet per minute. Filters and cartridges were collected weekly. A separate weekly gamma analysis was performed on each charcoal cartridge and air particulate. A weekly gross beta analysis was performed on each filter. The continuous composite samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 074	=	Keowee Key Resort (2.36 mi. NNW)
Location 077	=	Skimmer Wall (1.00 mi. SW)
Location 078	==	Recreation Site (0.58 mi. WSW)
Programm	atic san	npling terminated 8/31/2009, reference 6.20
Location 078.1	=	Recreation Site (0.53 mi. WSW)
Programm	atic san	npling initiated 8/31/2009, reference 6.20
Location 079	=	Keowee Dam (0.56 mi. NE)
Location 081	=	Clemson Operations Center (9.33 mi. SE)
Location 084	=	Sue Craig Road (2.58 mi. NNE)

#### A.2 DRINKING WATER

Monthly composite samplers were operated to collect an aliquot at least every two hours. Gross beta and gamma analysis was performed on the monthly composites. Tritium analysis was performed on the quarterly composites. The composites were collected monthly from the locations listed below.

Location 060	=	Greenville Water Intake Rd. (3.23 mi. NE)
Location 064	=	Seneca (6.67 mi. SSW)
Location 066	=	Anderson (18.9 mi SSE)

#### A.3 <u>SURFACE WATER</u>

Monthly composite samplers were operated to collect an aliquot at least every two hours. Gamma analysis was performed on the monthly composites. Tritium analysis was performed on the quarterly composites sample. The composites were collected monthly from the locations listed below.

Location 062	=	Lake Keowee Hydro Intake (0.85 mi. ENE)
Location 063.1	=	Lake Hartwell Hwy 183 Bridge (0.79 mi. E)

#### A.4 <u>MILK</u>

Semimonthly grab samples were collected at one location. A gamma and lowlevel Iodine-131 analysis was performed on each sample. The semimonthly grab samples were collected from the location listed below.

Location 071 =Clemson Dairy (10.2 mi. SSE)

#### A.5 BROADLEAF VEGETATION

Monthly samples were collected and a gamma analysis was performed on each sample. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 077	=	Skimmer Wall (1.00 mi. SW)
Location 079	=	Keowee Dam (0.56 mi. NE)
Location 081	=	Clemson Operations Center (9.33 mi. SE)
Location 084	=	Sue Craig Road (2.58 mi. NNE)

#### A.6 FISH

Semiannual samples were collected and a gamma analysis was performed on the edible portions of each sample. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 060	=	Greenville Water Intake Rd. (2.28 mi. NE)
Location 063	=	Lake Hartwell Hwy 183 Bridge (0.80 mi. ESE)
Location 067	=	Lawrence Ramsey Bridge Hwy 27 (4.34 mi. SSE)

#### A.7 SHORELINE SEDIMENT

Semiannual samples were collected and a gamma analysis was performed on each sample following the drying and removal of rocks and clams. The samples were collected from the locations listed below.

Location 063	=	Lake Hartwell Hwy 183 Bridge (0.80 mi. ESE)
Location 067	=	Lawrence Ramsey Bridge Hwy 27 (4.34 mi. SSE)
Location 068	=	High Falls County Park (1.82 mi. W)

### A.8 DIRECT GAMMA RADIATION (TLD)

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLD) were collected quarterly at forty-two locations. A gamma exposure rate was determined for each TLD. The TLDs were placed as indicated below.

- \* An inner ring of 17 TLDs, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the site boundary.
- \* An outer ring of 16 TLDs, one in each meteorological sector in the 6 to 8 kilometer range.
- \* The remaining TLDs were placed in special interest areas such as population centers, residential areas, schools, and control locations.

TLD Locations are listed in Table 2.1-B.

## A.9 ANNUAL LAND USE CENSUS

An annual Land Use Census was conducted to identify within a distance of 8 kilometers (5.0 miles) from the station, the following locations in each of the sixteen meteorological sectors:

- \* The Nearest Residence
- \* The Nearest Milk-giving Animal (cow, goat, etc.) where milk is used for human consumption

The census was conducted during the growing season from 6/15 to 6/16/2009. Results are shown in Table 3.9. No changes were made to the sampling procedures during 2009 as a result of the 2009 census.

## V. GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) ANALYSIS

The Oconee site centerline used for GPS measurements was referenced from the Oconee Nuclear Station Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR), section 2.1.1.1, Specification of Location. Waypoint coordinates used for ONS GPS measurements were latitude 34°-47'-38.2"N and longitude 82°-53'-55.4"W. Maps and tables were generated using North American Datum (NAD) 27. Data normally reflect accuracy to within 2 to 5 meters from point of measurement. GPS field measurements were taken as close as possible to the item of interest. Distances for the locations are displayed using three significant figures.

# **APPENDIX B**

# RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

## SUMMARY OF RESULTS

2009

#### Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

#### Docket No. 50-269,270,287

#### Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

#### Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type Tot Num of	Type and Total Lower Limit Number of Detection of		All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Annual Mean Name, Distance, Direction		Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analy Perfor	/ses med	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Air Particulate (pCi/m3)							081 (9.33 mi SE)	
	BETA	312	1.00E-02	1.77E-2 (260/260)	074	1.82E-2 (52/52)	1.80E-2 (52/52)	0
				6.54E-3 - 3.04E-2	(2.36 mi NNW)	8.92E-3 - 2.84E-2	8.44E-3 - 2.94E-2	
	CS-134	312	5.00E-02	0.00 (0/260)		0.00 (0/52)	0.00 (0/52)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	312	6.00E-02	0.00 (0/260)		0.00 (0/52)	0.00 (0/52)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	312	7.00E-02	0.00 (0/260)		0.00 (0/52)	0.00 (0/52)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

•

Docket No. 50-269,270,287

Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

#### Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Total Number of	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Annual Mean Name, Distance, Direction		Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Air Radioiodine (pCi/m3)						081 (9.33 mi SE)	
	CS-134 312	5.00E-02	0.00 (0/260)		0.00 (0/52)	0.00 (0/52)	0
			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137 312	6.00E-02	0.00 (0/260)		0.00 (0/52)	0.00 (0/52)	0
			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131 312	7.00E-02	0.00 (0/260)		0.00 (0/52)	0.00 (0/52)	0
·			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

#### Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

## Docket No. 50-269,270,287

#### Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and T Numbe of	Fotal er	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location Annu Name, Dista	with Highest al Mean ance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyse Perform	es ed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Drinking Water (pCi/liter)							064 (6.67 mi SSW)	
	BALA-140	39	15	0.00(0/26)		0.00(0/13)	0.00(0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	BETA	39	4	1.30 (19/26)	066	1.37 (12/13)	1.19 (7/13)	0
				0.69 - 2.11	(18.9 mi SSE)	0.69 - 2.11	0.64 - 1.62	· · · · ·
	CO-58	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-60	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	·····			0.00 - 0.00	<u> </u>	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	39	18	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	FE-59	39	30	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	H-3	12	2000	415 (4/8)	066	415 (4/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				347 - 531	(18.9 mi SSE)	347 - 531	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	MN-54	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	NB-95	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZN-65	39	30	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZR-95	39	15	0.00 (0/26)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00	****	0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-269,270,287

Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and T Numbe of	rotal r	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location Annu Name, Dist	Location with Highest Annual Mean Name, Distance, Direction		No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyse Performe	ed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Surface Water (pCi/liter)							062 (0.85 mi ENE)	
	BALA-140	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
	<u> </u>		·	0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-58	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-60	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	26	18	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	FE-59	26	30	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	H-3	8	2000	4678 (4/4)	063.1	4678 (4/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				1440 - 9760	(0.79 mi E)	1440 - 9760	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	MN-54	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	NB-95	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZN-65	26	30	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZR-95	26	15	0.00 (0/13)		0.00 (0/13)	0.00 (0/13)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

#### Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-269, 270, 287

Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and T Numbe of	Fotal er	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location Anr Name, Dis	n with Highest nual Mean stance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyse Perform	es ed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Milk (pCi/liter)				NO INDICATOR LOCATION			071 (10.2 mi SSE)	
	BALA-140	26	15	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
(				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	26	15	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	26	18	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131	26	15	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	LLI-131	26	1	0.00 (0/0)		0.00 (0/0)	0.00 (0/26)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-269,270,287

Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Total Number of	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Annual Mean Name, Distance, Direction		Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Location Mean (Fraction) Code Range		
Broadleaf Vegetation (pCi/kg-wet)						081 (9.33 mi SE)	
	CS-134 48	60	0.00 (0/36)		0.00 (0/12)	0.00 (0/12)	0
			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137 48	80	0.00 (0/36)		0.00 (0/12)	0.00 (0/12)	0
			0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	I-131 48	60	0.00 (0/36)		0.00 (0/12)	0.00 (0/12)	0
		·	0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

#### Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

## Docket No. 50-269,270,287

#### Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

#### Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type an Num o	d Total 1ber f	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location Annu Name, Dist	with Highest al Mean ance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Anal Perfo	yses rmed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Fish (pCi/kg-wet)							060 (2.28 mi NE)	
	<u>CO-58</u>	12	130	9.01 (1/8)	063	9.01 (1/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				9.01 - 9.01	(0.80 mi ESE)	9.01 - 9.01	0.00 - 0.00	
	CO-60	12	130	0.00 (0/8)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-134	12	130	0.00 (0/8)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	12	150	24.2 (8/8)	063	26.8 (4/4)	14.6 (3/4)	0
				14.9 - 51.4	(0.80 mi ESE)	15.2 - 51.4	12.1 - 18.3	
	FE-59	12	260	0.00 (0/8)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	MN-54	12	130	0.00 (0/8)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	ZN-65	12	260	0.00 (0/8)		0.00 (0/4)	0.00 (0/4)	0
				0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-269,270,287

Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Tota Number of	al Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location with Highest Annual Mean Name, Distance, Direction		Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Shoreline Sediment						068 (1.82 mi W)	
(pc//kg-ury)	CS-134	6 150	0.00 (0/4)		0.00 (0/2)	0.00 (0/2)	0
	······		0.00 - 0.00		0.00 - 0.00	0.00 - 0.00	
	CS-137	6 180	65.6 (2/4)	063	69.7 (1/2)	0.00 (0/2)	0
			61.5 - 69.7	(0.80 mi ESE)	69.7 - 69.7	0.00 - 0.00	

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

#### Facility: Oconee Nuclear Station

Docket No. 50-269,270,287

#### Location: Oconee County, South Carolina

#### Report Period: 01-JAN-2009 to 31-DEC-2009

Medium or Pathway Sampled	Type and Total Number of	Lower Limit of Detection	All Indicator Locations	Location Ann Name, Dist	with Highest ual Mean ance, Direction	Control Location	No. of Non- Routine Report Meas.
Unit of Measurement	Analyses Performed	(LLD)	Mean (Fraction) Range	Location Code	Mean (Fraction) Range	Mean (Fraction) Range	
Direct Radiation TLD (mR/standard quarter)						058 (9.39 mi WSW) 081 (9.33 mi SE)	
	168	0.00E+00	22.3 (160/160)	042	27.5 (4/4)	27.5 (8/8)	0
			13.9 - 30.9	(4.93 mi SE)	23.2 - 30.7	20.4 - 34.8	
1							

Mean and range based upon detectable measurements only

Fraction of detectable measurements at specified locations is indicated in parentheses, (Fraction)

# **APPENDIX C**

•••••

•

•

# SAMPLING DEVIATIONS & UNAVAILABLE ANALYSES

# **APPENDIX C**

## OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION SAMPLING DEVIATIONS & UNAVAILABLE ANALYSES

DEVIATION & UNAVAILABLE REASON CODES						
BF	Blown Fuse	РО	Power Outage			
FZ	Sample Frozen	PS	Pump out of service / Undergoing Repair			
IW	Inclement Weather	SL	Sample Loss/Lost due to Lab Accident			
LC	Line Clog to Sampler	SM	Motor / Rotor Seized			
OT	Other	TF	Torn Filter			
PI	Power Interrupt	VN	Vandalism			
PM	Preventive Maintenance	CN	Construction			

## C.1 SAMPLING DEVIATIONS

## Air Particulate and Air Radioiodines

	Scheduled	Actual	Reason	
Location	Collection Dates	Collection Dates	Code	Corrective Action
				Power to sampling equipment was
				interrupted for about 35 minutes due to
078	1/5 - 1/12/2009	1/5 - 1/12/2009	PI	scheduled maintenance by Site Services.
				Power to sampling equipment was
				interrupted for about 4.4 hours due to
				underground electrical line repair work
078	1/12 - 1/19/2009	1/12 - 1/19/2009	PI	performed by Site Services.
				Power outage to sampling equipment due
l I				to indeterminate cause. Work request
078	. 3/30 - 4/6/2009	3/30 - 4/3/2009	PO	66771 initiated.
				Power interruption to sampling
'	1			equipment. Work request 67111 initiated.
	4/13 - 4/20/2009	4/13 - 4/14/2009	PI	Power restored by maintenance personnel
081	4/20 - 4/27/2009	4/22 - 4/27/2009	PI	4/22/2009 and normal sampling resumed.

## **Surface Water**

Location	Scheduled Collection Dates	Actual Collection Dates	Reason Code	Corrective Action
				Pump out of service at time of collection. Work request 71950 written. Composite
062	8/24 - 9/21/2009	8/24 - 9/21/2009	PS	collection. A grab sample was also taken.

## C.2 UNAVAILABLE ANALYSES

There were no unavailable analyses during 2009.

## **APPENDIX D**

•

•

•

# **ANALYTICAL DEVIATIONS**

No Analytical deviations were incurred for the 2009 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program

## **APPENDIX E**

# RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM RESULTS

This appendix includes all of the sample analysis reports generated from each sample medium for 2009. Appendix E is located separately from this report and is permanently archived at the Duke Energy Corporation Environmental Center radiological environmental master file, located at the McGuire Nuclear Station Site in Huntersville, North Carolina.