P.O. Box 63 Lycoming, NY 13093



NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION

May 7, 2009

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

ATTENTION: Document Control Desk

SUBJECT:Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station<br/>Unit Nos. 1 & 2; Docket Nos. 50-220 & 50-410

2009 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

In accordance with the Technical Specifications for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, enclosed is the 2009 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for the period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009.

This submittal does not contain any new regulatory commitments.

Should you have questions regarding the information in this submittal, please contact me at (315) 349-5219.

Very truly yours,

LEAD

Terry F. Syrell Licensing Director

TFS/KES

- Enclosure: Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC, 2009 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report
- cc: NRC Region I Administrator NRC Project Manager Senior NRC Resident Inspector J. T. Furia, NRC
  B. Youngberg, NYS DEC
  P. Egan, Oswego County Emergency Management

## **ENCLOSURE**

## NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, LLC

## 2009 ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT



NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION

# NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, LLC

# 2009 ANNUAL

# RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT



## NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION, LLC

## ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

### January 1, 2009 – December 31, 2009

For

## NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1

Facility Operating License DPR-63

Docket No. 50-220

And

## NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 2

Facility Operating License NPF-69

Docket No. 50-410

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Page
1.0	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
2.0	INTR	RODUCTION	2-1
	2.1	Program History	2-1
	2.2	Site Description	2-2
	2.3	Program Objectives	2-2
3.0	PRO	GRAM DESCRIPTION	3-1
	3.1	Sample Collection Methodology	3-13
	3.2	Analyses Performed	3-18
	3.3	Sample Locations	3-19
	3.4	Land Use Census	3-30
	3.5	Changes to the REMP Program	3-30
	3.6	Deviation and Exceptions to the Program	3-31
	3.7	Statistical Methodology	3-32
	3.8	Compliance with Required Lower Limits of Detection (LLD)	3-35
	3.9	Regulatory Dose Limits	3-37
4.0	SAM POSI	PLE SUMMARY TABLES IN BRANCH TECHNICAL TION FORMAT	4-1
5.0	DAT	A EVALUATION AND DISCUSSION	5-1
	5.1	Aquatic Program	5-6
	5.2	Terrestrial Program	5-16
	5.3	Conclusion	5-35
	5.4	References	5-37

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

6.0	REP	ORT PERIOD ANALYTICAL RESULTS TABLES	6-1
7.0	HIST	ORICAL DATA TABLES	7-1
8.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM		
	8.1	Program Description	8-1
	8.2	Program Schedule	8-2
	8.3	Acceptance Criteria	8-2
	8.4	Program Results Summary	8-4
	8.5	References	8-23

### LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 3.0-1	Required Sample Collection and Analysis, Nine Mile Point Unit 1	3-2
Table 3.0-2	Required Sample Collection and Analysis, Nine Mile Point Unit 2	3-6
Table 3.3-1	2009 Environmental Sample Locations	3-20
Table 3.8-1	Required Detection Capabilities for Environmental Sample Analysis, Lower Limit of Detection (LLD)	3-36
Table 4.0-1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary, January – December 2009	4-2
Table 6-1	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Shoreline Sediment Samples	6-2
Table 6-2	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Fish Samples	6-3
Table 6-3	Concentrations of Tritium in Surface Water Samples	6-6
Table 6-4	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Surface Water Samples	6-7
Table 6-5	Concentration of Tritium, Strontium, and Gamma Emitters in Groundwater Samples	6-12
Table 6-6	Environmental Airborne Particulate Samples – Off-Site Sample Locations, Gross Beta Activity	6-15
Table 6-7	Environmental Airborne Particulate Samples – On-Site Sample Locations, Gross Beta Activity	6-17
Table 6-8	Environmental Charcoal Cartridge Samples – Off-Site Sample Locations, I-131 Activity	6-19
Table 6-9	Environmental Charcoal Cartridge Samples – On-Site Sample Locations, I-131 Activity	<u>6</u> -21
Table 6-10	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Quarterly Composites - JAFNPP/NMPNS Off-Site Sample Locations	6-23
Table 6-11	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Quarterly Composites - JAFNPP/NMPNS On-Site Sample Locations	6-25
Table 6-12	Direct Radiation Measurement Results	6-27

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

		Page
Table 6-13	Concentrations of Iodine-131 and Gamma Emitters in Milk	6-29
Table 6-14	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Food Products	6-32
Table 6-15	Milk Animal Census	6-34
Table 6-16	Residence Census	6-35
Table 7-1	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Shoreline Sediment (Control)	7-2
Table 7-2	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Shoreline Sediment (Indicator)	7-3
Table 7-3	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Fish (Control)	7-4
Table 7-4	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Fish (Indicator)	7-5
Table 7-5	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Surface Water (Control)	7-6
Table 7-6	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Surface Water (Indicator)	7-7
Table 7-7	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Surface Water Tritium (Control)	7-8
Table 7-8	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Surface Water Tritium (Indicator)	7-9
Table 7-9	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Groundwater Tritium (Control)	7-10
Table 7-10	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Groundwater Tritium (Indicator)	7-11
Table 7-11	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Air Particulate Gross Beta (Control)	7-12
Table 7-12	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Air Particulate Gross Beta (Indicator)	7-13
Table 7-13	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Air Particulates (Control)	7-14
Table 7-14	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Air Particulates (Indicator)	7-15
Table 7-15	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Air Radioiodine (Control)	7-16
Table 7-16	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Air Radioiodine (Indicator)	7-17
Table 7-17	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Environmental TLD (Control)	7-18
Table 7-18	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Environmental TLD (Site Boundary)	7-19

iv

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

Table 7-19	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Environmental TLD (Offsite Sectors)	7-20
Table 7-20	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Environmental TLD (Special Interest)	7-21
Table 7-21	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Environmental TLD (On-Site Indicator)	7-22
Table 7-22	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Environmental TLD (Off-Site Indicator)	7-23
Table 7-23	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Milk (Control)	7-24
Table 7-24	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Milk (Indicator)	7-25
Table 7-25	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Food Products (Control)	7-26
Table 7-26	Historical Environmental Sample Data, Food Products (Indicator)	7-27
Table 8-1	Interlaboratory Intercomparison Program	8-7

v

Page

## LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 3.3-1	New York State Map	3-24
Figure 3.3-2	Off-Site Environmental Station and TLD Locations	3-25
Figure 3.3-3	On-Site Environmental Station and TLD Locations	3-26
Figure 3.3-4	Milk and Surface Water Sample Locations	3-27
Figure 3.3-5	Nearest Residence, Food Product, Fish and Shoreline Sediment Sample Locations	3-28
Figure 3.3-6	NMPNS On-Site Groundwater Monitoring Wells and Unit 2 Storm Drain Outfall	3-29

#### **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report is published pursuant to Section 6.6.2 of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) Technical Specifications, Section 5.6.2 of the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) Technical Specifications, and 10 CFR 50.4.

This report describes the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP), the implementation, and the results obtained as required by the Offsite Dose Calculation Manuals (ODCM). The report also contains the analytical results tables, data evaluation, dose assessment, and data trends for each environmental sample media. Also included are results of the land use census, historical data, and the Environmental Laboratory's performance in the Interlaboratory Comparison Quality Assurance Program (ICQAP) required by the NMP1 and NMP2 ODCM.

The REMP is a comprehensive surveillance program, which is implemented to assess the impact of site operations on the environment and compliance with 10 CFR 20 and 40 CFR 190. Samples are collected from the aquatic and terrestrial pathways applicable to the site. The aquatic pathways include Lake Ontario fish, surface waters, and lakeshore sediment. The terrestrial pathways include airborne particulate and radioiodine, milk, food products, and direct radiation.

During 2009, there were 1,971 analyses performed on environmental media collected as part of the REMP. These results demonstrated that there was no significant or measurable radiological impact from the operation of either the NMP1 or NMP2 facilities. The 2009 results for all pathways sampled were consistent with the previous five-year historical results and exhibited no adverse trends.

In summary, the analytical results from the 2009 REMP demonstrate that the routine operation of both facilities at the Nine Mile Point site had no significant or measurable radiological impact on the environment. The results of the REMP continue to demonstrate that the operation of the plants did not result in a significant measurable dose to a member of the general population, or adversely impact the environment as a result of radiological effluents. The environmental program demonstrates that the dose to a member of the public as a result of the operation of NMP1 and NMP2 remained significantly below the federally required dose limits specified in 10 CFR 20 and 40 CFR 190.

#### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

Nine Mile Point Units 1 and 2 are operated by Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, LLC. This report is submitted in accordance with Appendix A (Technical Specifications) Section 6.6.2 to License DPR-63, Docket No. 50-220 for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 1, and Appendix A (Technical Specifications) Section 5.6.2 to License NPF-69, Docket No. 50-410 for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Unit 2, for the calendar year 2009.

Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) and Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) requirements reside within the NMP1 Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) and NMP2 ODCM, respectively. Throughout this report references will be made to the ODCM. This refers to both the NMP1 ODCM and NMP2 ODCM.

#### 2.1 PROGRAM HISTORY

Environmental monitoring of the Nine Mile Point site has been on-going since 1964. The program includes five years of pre-operational data which was conducted prior to any reactor operations. In 1968, the Niagara Mohawk Power Company began the required pre-operational environmental site testing program. This pre-operational data serves as a reference point to compare data obtained during reactor operation. In 1969, NMP1, a 1,850 Megawatt-Thermal (MWt) Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) began full power operation. In 1975, the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP), a 2,536 MWt BWR, currently owned and operated by Entergy, began full power operation. In 1988, the NMP2 reactor, a 3,323 MWt BWR located between NMP1 and JAFNPP, began full power operation. In 1995, NMP2 was uprated to 3,467 MWt.

In 1985, the individual station Effluent Technical Specifications were standardized to the generic Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications, much of which is common to both NMP1 and JAFNPP, and subsequently NMP2. Subsequent Technical Specification amendments relocated the REMP requirements to the ODCM for all three plants. Data generated by the REMP is shared between Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station (NMPNS) and JAFNPP, but each operating company reviews and publishes their own annual report.

In summary, three BWRs, which together generate approximately 7,853 MWt, have operated collectively since 1988. A large database of environmental results for the exposure pathways has been collected and analyzed to determine the effect from reactor operations.

#### 2.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

The Nine Mile Point (NMP) site is located on the southeast shore of Lake Ontario in the town of Scriba, approximately 6.2 miles northeast of the city of Oswego. The nearest metropolitan area is located approximately 36 miles south southeast of the site. The reactors and support buildings occupy a small shoreline portion of the 900-acre site. The land, soil of glacier deposits, rises gently from the lake in all directions. Oswego County is a rural environment, with about 15% of the land devoted to agriculture.

#### 2.3 **PROGRAM OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program are to:

- 1. Measure and evaluate the effects of plant operation on the environs and to verify the effectiveness of the controls on radioactive material sources.
- 2. Monitor natural radiation levels in the environs of the NMP site.
- 3. Demonstrate compliance with the requirements of applicable federal regulatory agencies and the Offsite Dose Calculation Manuals.

#### **3.0 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

To achieve the objectives listed in Section 2.3, an extensive sampling and analysis program is conducted every year. The Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station (NMPNS) Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) consists of sampling and analysis of various media that include:

- Air
- Fish
- Food Products
- Milk
- Shoreline Sediment
- Surface Waters
- Groundwater

In addition, direct radiation measurements are performed using thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs). These sampling programs are outlined in Table 3.0-1 and Table 3.0-2. The NMPNS REMP sampling locations are selected and verified by an annual land use census. The accuracy and precision of the sample analysis program is assured by participation in an Interlaboratory Comparison Quality Assurance Program (ICQAP). In addition to the participation in the ICQAP, sample splits are provided to the New York State Department of Health for cross-checking purposes.

Sample collections for the radiological program are accomplished by a dedicated site environmental staff from both the NMPNS and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP). The site staff is assisted by a contracted environmental engineering company, EA Engineering, Science and Technology, Inc. (EA).

### **TABLE 3.0-1**

#### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 1

	Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples <sup>(a)</sup> and Locations	Sampling and Collection Frequency <sup>(a)</sup>	Type of Analysis and Frequency
<u>A</u>	IRBORNE			
a.	Radioiodine and Particulates	<ul> <li>Samples from five locations;</li> <li>Three samples from offsite locations in different sectors of the highest calculated site average D/Q (based on all site licensed reactors).</li> <li>One sample from the vicinity of an established year round community having the highest calculated site average D/Q (based on all site licensed reactors).</li> <li>One sample from a control location 10-17 miles distant and in a least prevalent wind direction <sup>(d)</sup>.</li> </ul>	Continuous sampler operation with sample collection weekly or as required by dust loading, whichever is more frequent.	Radioiodine Canisters - analyze once/week for I-131. Particulate Samplers - Gross beta radioactivity following filter change <sup>(b)</sup> . Composite (by location) for gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(c)</sup> once per 3 months, (as a minimum).
b.	Direct Radiation <sup>(e)</sup>	32 stations with two or more dosimeters to be placed as follows: an inner ring of stations in the general area of the site boundary and an outer ring in the 4 to 5 mile range from the site with a station in each land based sector <sup>(*)</sup> The balance of the stations should be placed in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools and in 2 or 3 areas to serve as control stations.	Once per 3 months.	Gamma dose once per 3 months.
(*)	At this distance, 8	wind rose sectors, (W, WNW, NW, NNW, N, NNE, NE, as	nd ENE) are over Lake Ontario.	

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 1

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples <sup>(a)</sup> and Locations	Sampling and Collection Frequency <sup>(a)</sup>	Type of Analysis and Frequency
WATERBORNE			
a. Surface <sup>(f)</sup>	One sample upstream. One sample from the site's downstream cooling water intake.	Composite sample over 1 month period <sup>(g)</sup> .	Gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(c)</sup> once/month. Composite for once per 3 months tritium analysis.
b. Sediment from Shoreline	One sample from a downstream area with existing or potential recreational value.	Twice per year.	Gamma isotopic analysis
INGESTION			
a. Milk	Samples from milk sampling locations in three locations within 3.5 miles distance having the highest calculated site average D/Q. If there are none, then one sample from milking animals in each of 3 areas $3.5 - 5.0$ miles distant having the highest calculated site average D/Q (based on all site licensed reactors). One sample from a milk sampling location at a control	Twice per month, April – December (samples will be collected in January – March if I-131 is detected in November and December of the preceding year).	Gamma isotopic <sup>(c)</sup> and I-131 analysis twice per month when animals are on pasture (April – December); once/month at other times (January – March) if required.
	location (9-20 miles distant and in a least prevalent wind direction) <sup>(d)</sup> .		

#### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 1

~	Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples <sup>(a)</sup> and Locations	Sampling and Collection Frequency <sup>(a)</sup>	Type of Analysis and Frequency
b.	Fish	One sample each of two commercially or recreationally important species in the vicinity of a plant discharge area <sup>(h)</sup> .	Twice per year.	Gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(c)</sup> on edible portions twice per year.
		One sample each of the same species from an area at least 5 miles distant from the site <sup>(d)</sup> .		
c.	Food Products	Samples of three different kinds of broad leaf vegetation (such as vegetables) grown nearest to each of two different off-site locations of highest calculated site average D/Q (based on all licensed site reactors).	Once per year during harvest season.	Gamma isotopic <sup>(c)</sup> analysis of edible portions (Isotopic to include I-131 or a separate I-131 analysis may be performed) once
		One sample of each of the similar broad leaf vegetation grown at least $9.3 - 20$ miles distant in a least prevalent wind direction.		during the harvest season.
			,	

#### NOTES FOR TABLE 3.0-1

- (a) It is recognized that, at times, it may not be possible or practical to obtain samples of the media of choice at the most desired location or time. In these instances, suitable alternative media and locations may be chosen for the particular pathway in question and may be substituted. Actual locations (distance and directions) from the site shall be provided in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report. Highest D/Q locations are based on historical meteorological data for all site licensed reactors.
- (b) Particulate sample filters should be analyzed for gross beta 24 hours or more after sampling to allow for radon and thoron daughter decay. If the gross beta activity in air is greater than 10 times a historical yearly mean of control samples, gamma isotopic analysis shall be performed on the individual samples.
- (c) Gamma isotopic analysis means the identification and quantification of gamma emitting radionuclides that may be attributable to the effluents from the facility.
- (d) The purpose of these samples is to obtain background information. If it is not practical to establish control locations in accordance with the distance and wind direction criteria, other sites, such as historical control locations which provide valid background data may be substituted.
- (e) One or more instruments, such as a pressurized ion chamber, for measuring and recording dose rate continuously may be used in place of, or in addition to, integrating dosimeters. For the purpose of this table, a thermoluminescent dosimeter may by considered to be one phosphor, and two or more phosphors in a packet may be considered as two or more dosimeters. Film badges shall not be used for measuring direct radiation.
- (f) The "upstream sample" should be taken at a distance beyond significant influence of the discharge. The "downstream sample" should be taken in an area beyond but near the mixing zone, if possible.
- (g) Composite samples should be collected with equipment (or equivalent) which is capable of collecting an aliquot at time intervals which are very short (e.g. hourly) relative to the compositing period (e.g. monthly) in order to assure obtaining a representative sample.
- (h) In the event commercial or recreational important species are not available as a result of three attempts, then other species may be utilized as available.

### **TABLE 3.0-2**

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 2

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples and Sample Locations <sup>(a)</sup>	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type of Analysis and Frequency
AIRBORNE			
a. Direct Radiation	32 routine monitoring stations <sup>(b)</sup> either with 2 or more dosimeters or with 1 instrument for measuring and recording dose rate continuously, placed as follows:	Once per 3 months.	Gamma dose once per 3 months.
	An inner ring of stations, one in each meteorological sector in the general area of the Site Boundary.	· · ·	
	An outer ring of stations, one in each land base meteorological sector in the 4 to 5 mile <sup>(c)</sup> range from the site.		• •
	The balance of the stations should be placed in special interest areas such as population centers, nearby residences, schools, and in one of two areas to serve as control stations <sup>(d)</sup> .		
		•	

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 2

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples and Sample Locations <sup>(a)</sup>	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type of Analysis and Frequency
b. Airborne Radioiodine and Particulates	<ul> <li>Samples from five locations:</li> <li>Three samples from offsite locations close to the site boundary (within one mile) in different sectors of the highest calculated annual site average ground-level D/Q (based on all site licensed reactors)<sup>(e)</sup>.</li> <li>One sample from the vicinity of an established year-round community having the highest calculated annual site average ground-level D/Q (based on all site licensed reactors)<sup>(e)</sup>.</li> <li>One sample from a control location at least 10 miles distant and in a least prevalent wind direction <sup>(d)</sup>.</li> </ul>	Continuous sampler operation with sample collection weekly, or more frequently if required by dust loading.	<u>Radioiodine Canister</u> I-131 analysis weekly. <u>Particulate Sampler</u> Gross beta radioactivity analysis $\geq 24$ hours following filter change <sup>(f)</sup> , Gamma isotopic analysis on each sample where gross beta activity is >10 times the previous yearly mean of control samples and gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(g)</sup> of composite sample (by location) once per 3 months.
WATERBORNE			
a. Surface	One sample upstream <sup>(d) (h)</sup> . One sample from the site's downstream cooling water intake <sup>(h)</sup> .	Composite sample over 1- month period <sup>(i)</sup> .	Gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(g)</sup> once per month and tritium analysis once per 3 months.

### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 2

	Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples and Sample Locations <sup>(a)</sup>	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type of Analysis and Frequency
b.	Ground	Samples from one or two sources if likely to be affected <sup>(j)</sup> .	Grab sample once per 3 months.	Gamma isotopic <sup>(g)</sup> and tritium analysis once per 3 months.
c.	Drinking	One sample each of one to three of the nearest water supplies that could be affected by its discharge <sup>(k)</sup> .	Composite sample over a 2- week period <sup>(i)</sup> when I-131 analysis is performed; monthly composite otherwise.	I-131 analysis on each composite when the dose calculated for the consumption of the water is greater than 1 mrem per year <sup>(1)</sup> . Composite for gross beta and gamma isotopic analyses <sup>(g)</sup> monthly. Composite for tritium analysis once per 3 months.
d.	Sediment from Shoreline	One sample from a downstream area with existing or potential recreational value.	Twice per year.	Gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(g)</sup> .

#### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 2

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples and Sample Locations <sup>(a)</sup>	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type of Analysis and Frequency		
INGESTION					
a. Milk	Samples from Milk Sampling Locations in three locations within 3.5 miles <sup>(e)</sup> distance having the highest calculated annual site average D/Q (based on all licensed site reactors). If there are none, then 1 sample from Milk Sampling Locations in each of three areas $3.5 - 5.0$ miles <sup>(e)</sup> distant having the highest calculated annual site average D/Q (based on all licensed site reactors).	Twice per month, April – December (samples will be collected January – March if I-131 is detected in November and December of the preceding year) <sup>(m)</sup> .	Gamma isotopic <sup>(g)</sup> and I-131 analysis twice/month when animals are on pasture (April – December); once per month at other times (January – March if required).		
	One sample from a Milk Sample Location at a control location 9 - 20 miles distant and in a least prevalent wind direction <sup>(d)</sup> .				
b. Fish	One sample each of two commercially or recreationally important species in the vicinity of a plant discharge area <sup>(n)</sup> .	Twice per year.	Gamma isotopic analysis <sup>(g)</sup> on edible portions twice per year.		
	One sample of the same species in areas not influenced by station discharge <sup>(d)</sup> .				
•					
· · ·					

#### RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIRED SAMPLE COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS Nine Mile Point Unit 2

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples and Sample Locations <sup>(a)</sup>	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type of Analysis and Frequency		
c. Food Products	One sample of each principal class of food products from any area that is irrigated by water in which liquid plant wastes have been discharged <sup>(0)</sup> .	At time of harvest <sup>(p)</sup> .	Gamma isotopic <sup>(g)</sup> and I-131 analysis of each sample of edible portions.		
	Samples of three different kinds of broad leaf vegetation (such as vegetables) grown nearest to each of two different offsite locations of highest calculated annual site average D/Q (based on all licensed site reactors) <sup>(e)</sup> .	Once per year during the harvest season.			
	One sample of each of the similar broad leaf vegetation grown at least 9.3 miles distant in a least prevalent wind direction.	•			
			· · · ·		

#### NOTES FOR TABLE 3.0-2

- (a) Specific parameters of distance and direction sector from the centerline of one reactor, and additional descriptions where pertinent, shall be provided for each and every sample location in Table 3.0-2. Refer to NUREG-0133, "Preparation of Radiological Effluent Technical Specifications for Nuclear Power Plants," October 1978, and to Radiological Assessment Branch Technical Position on Environmental Monitoring, Revision 1, November 1979. Deviations are permitted from the required sampling schedule if specimens are unobtainable because of such circumstances as hazardous conditions, seasonal unavailability (which includes theft and uncooperative residents), or malfunction of automatic sampling equipment.
- (b) One or more instruments, such as a pressurized ion chamber, for measuring and recording dose rate continuously may be used in place of, or in addition to, integrating dosimeters. Each of the 32 routine monitoring stations shall be equipped with 2 or more dosimeters or with 1 instrument for measuring and recording dose rate continuously. For the purpose of this table, a thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD) is considered to be one phosphor, two or more phosphors in a packet are considered as two or more dosimeters. Film badges shall not be used as dosimeters for measuring direct radiation.
- (c) At this distance, 8 wind rose sectors, (W, WNW, NW, NNW, N, NNE, NE, and ENE) are over Lake Ontario.
- (d) The purpose of these samples is to obtain background information. If it is not practical to establish control locations in accordance with the distance and wind direction criteria, other sites, which provide valid background data, may be substituted.
- (e) Having the highest calculated annual site average ground-level D/Q based on all site licensed reactors.
- (f) Airborne particulate sample filters shall be analyzed for gross beta radioactivity 24 hours or more after sampling to allow for radon and thoron daughter decay.
- (g) Gamma isotopic analysis means the identification and quantification of gamma-emitting radionuclides that may be attributable to the effluents from the facility.
- (h) The "upstream" sample shall be taken at a distance beyond significant influence of the discharge. The "downstream" sample shall be taken in an area beyond but near the mixing zone.
- (i) In this program, representative composite sample aliquots shall be collected at time intervals that are very short (e.g., hourly) relative to the compositing period (e.g., monthly) in order to assure obtaining a representative sample.
- (j) Groundwater samples shall be taken when this source is tapped for drinking or irrigation purposes in areas where the hydraulic gradient or recharge properties are suitable for contamination.
- (k) Drinking water samples shall be taken only when drinking water is a dose pathway.

- (I) Analysis for I-131 may be accomplished by Ge-Li analysis provided that the lower limit of detection (LLD) for I-131 in water samples found on Table 3.8-1 can be met. Doses shall be calculated for the maximum organ and age group.
- (m) Samples will be collected January through March if I-131 is detected in November and December of the proceeding year.
- (n) In the event two commercially or recreationally important species are not available after three attempts of collection, then two samples of one species or other species not necessarily commercially or recreationally important may be utilized.
- (o) Applicable only to major irrigation projects within 9 miles of the site in the general down current direction.
- (p) If harvest occurs more than once/year, sampling shall be performed during each discrete harvest. If harvest occurs continuously, sampling shall be taken monthly. Attention shall be paid to including samples of tuberous and root food products.

#### 3.1 SAMPLE COLLECTION METHODOLOGY

#### **3.1.1 SHORELINE SEDIMENTS**

Shoreline sediment is collected at one area of existing or potential recreational value. One sample is also collected from a location beyond the influence of the site. Samples are collected as surface scrapings to a depth of approximately one inch. The samples are placed in plastic bags, sealed and shipped to the lab for analysis. Sediment samples are analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides.

Shoreline sediment sample locations are shown in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-5.

#### 3.1.2 FISH

Samples of available fish species that are commercially or recreationally important to Lake Ontario, such as lake trout, salmon, walleye, and smallmouth bass, are collected twice per year, once in the spring and again in the fall. Indicator samples are collected from a combination of the two on-site sample transects located offshore from the site. One set of control samples are collected at an off-site sample transect located offshore, 8 - 10 miles west of the site. Available species are selected using the following guidelines:

a. A minimum of two species that are commercially or recreationally important are to be collected from each sample location. Samples selected are limited to edible and/or sport species when available.

b. Samples are composed of the edible portion only.

Selected fish samples are frozen after collection and segregated by species and location. Samples are shipped frozen in insulated containers for analysis. Edible portions of each sample are analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides.

Fish collection locations are shown in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-5.

#### 3.1.3 SURFACE WATER

Surface water samples are taken from the respective inlet canals of the JAFNPP and the NRG Oswego Generating Station. The JAFNPP facility draws water from Lake Ontario on a continuous basis. This is used for the "downstream" or indicator sampling point for the Nine Mile Point site. The Oswego Generating Station inlet canal removes water from Lake Ontario at a point approximately 7.6 miles west of the site. This "upstream" location is considered a control location

because of the distance from the site as well as the result of the lake current patterns and current patterns from the Oswego River located nearby.

Samples from the JAFNPP facility are composited from automatic sampling equipment, which discharges into a compositing tank or bottles. Samples are collected monthly from the compositor and analyzed for gamma emitters. Samples from the Oswego Generating Station are also obtained using automatic sampling equipment and collected in a holding tank. Representative samples from this location are obtained weekly and are composited to form a monthly composite sample. The monthly samples are analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides.

A portion of the monthly sample from each of the locations is saved and composited to form quarterly composite samples, which are analyzed for tritium.

In addition to the sample results for the JAFNPP and Oswego Generating Station collection sites, data is presented for the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) and Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) facility inlet canal samples and from the City of Oswego drinking water supply. These three locations are not required by the ODCM. These locations are optional sample points which are collected and analyzed to enhance the surface water sampling program. Monthly composite samples from these three locations are analyzed for gamma emitting nuclides, and quarterly composite samples are analyzed for tritium.

Sampling for groundwater and drinking water, as found in Section D 3.5.1 of the NMP2 ODCM, was not required during 2009. There was no groundwater source in 2009 that was tapped for drinking or irrigation purposes in areas where the hydraulic gradient or recharge properties support contamination migration; therefore, drinking water was not a dose pathway during 2009.

Surface water sample locations are shown in Section 3.3 on Figure 3.3-4.

#### 3.1.4 GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROGRAM

The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) Groundwater Protection Initiative was established to determine the potential impact nuclear power plants may have on the surrounding environment due to unplanned releases of radioactive liquids. Under the NEI 07-07 Groundwater Protection Initiative (GPI) – Final Guidance Document, August 2007, groundwater monitoring is accomplished through sampling of the water table around the plant and analyzing for tritium. NMPNS started monitoring groundwater wells in October 2005 and has been monitoring the plant dewatering systems as part of the response to Generic Letter 80-10 for several years. Samples collected from these locations are analyzed for tritium, gamma emitters, and strontium.

During the operating year 2009, there were no unplanned releases or spills of radioactive liquids on the NMPNS site.

Groundwater samples are analyzed using liquid scintillation detection and gamma isotopic analysis.

Groundwater sample locations are shown in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-6.

#### 3.1.5 AIR PARTICULATE / IODINE

The air sampling stations required by the ODCM are located in the general area of the site boundary. The sampling stations are sited within a distance of 0.2 miles of the site boundary in sectors with the highest calculated deposition factor (D/Q) based on historical meteorological data. These stations (R-1, R-2, and R-3) are located in the E, ESE, and SE sectors as measured from the center of the NMP2 Reactor Building. The ODCM also requires that a fourth air sampling station be located in the vicinity of a year-round community. This station is located in the SE sector at a distance of 1.8 miles and is designated as Station R-4. A fifth station required by the ODCM is a control location designated as Station R-5. Station R-5 is located 16.4 miles from the site in the NE meteorological sector.

In addition to the five ODCM required locations, there are ten additional sampling stations. Six of these sampling stations are located within the site boundary and are designated as Onsite Stations D1, G, H, I, J, and K. These locations are within the site boundary of the NMPNS and JAFNPP. One air sampling station is located offsite in the SW sector in the vicinity of the City of Oswego and is designated as Offsite Station G. Three remaining air sampling stations are located in the ESE, SSE, and SSW sectors and range in distance from 7.2 to 9.0 miles. These are designated as Offsite Stations D2, E and F, respectively.

Each station collects airborne particulates using glass fiber filters (47 millimeter diameter) and radioiodine using charcoal sample cartridges (2 x 1 inches). The samplers run continuously and the charcoal cartridges and particulate filters are changed on a weekly basis. Sample volume is determined by use of calibrated gas flow meters located at the sample discharge. Gross beta analysis is performed on each particulate filter. Charcoal cartridges are analyzed for radioiodine using gamma spectral analysis. The particulate filters are composited quarterly by location and analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides.

Air sampling station locations (Environmental Stations) are shown in Section 3.3, Figures 3.3-2 and 3.3-3.

#### 3.1.6 TLD (DIRECT RADIATION)

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) are used to measure direct radiation (gamma dose) in the environment. Environmental TLDs are supplied and processed quarterly by the AREVA NP Environmental Laboratory. The laboratory utilizes a Panasonic based system using UD-814 dosimeters which are constructed of rectangular teflon wafers impregnated with 25% CaSO<sub>4</sub>:Dy phosphor. Each dosimeter contains three calcium sulfate elements and one lithium borate element.

Environmental TLDs are placed in five different geographical regions around the site to evaluate effects of direct radiation as a result of plant operations. The following is a description of the five TLD geographical categories used in the NMPNS and JAFNPP Environmental Monitoring Program and the TLDs that make up each region:

TLD Geographical	Description		
	Description		
Onsite	TLDs placed at various locations within the Site Boundary and are not required by the ODCM, with the exception of TLD $\#$ 7, 18 and 23. (TLD locations comprising this group are: 3, 4, 5, 6, 7*, 18*, 23*, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 39, 47, 103, 106 and 107)		
Site Boundary	An inner ring of TLDs placed in the general area of the Site Boundary in each of the sixteen meteorological sectors. This category is required by the ODCM. (TLD locations comprising this group are: 7*, 18*, 23*, 75*, 76*, 77*, 78*, 79*, 80*, 81*, 82*, 83*, 84*, 85*, 86*, and 87*)		
Offsite	An outer ring of TLDs placed 4 to 5 miles from the site in each of the eight land based meteorological sectors. This category is required by the ODCM. (TLD locations comprising this group are $88^*$ , $89^*$ , $90^*$ , $91^*$ , $92^*$ , $93^*$ , $94^*$ , and $95^*$ )		
Special Interest	TLDs placed in Special Interest areas of high population density and use. These TLDs are located at or near large industrial sites, schools, or nearby towns or communities. This category is required by the ODCM. (TLD locations comprising this group are: 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15*, 19, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56*, 58*, 96*, 97*, 98*, 99, 100, 101, 102, 108, and 109)		

TLD Geographical			
Category	Description		
Control	TLDs placed in areas beyond significant influence of the site and plant operations. These TLDs are located to the SW, S and NE of the site at distances of 12.6 to 24.7 miles. This category is also required by the ODCM. (TLD locations comprising this group are 8, 14*, 49*, 111, 113)		

.

Although the ODCM requires a total of 32 TLD stations; environmental TLDs are also placed at additional locations not required by the ODCM, within the Onsite, Special Interest and Control TLD categories to supplement the ODCM required direct radiation data.

Two dosimeters are placed at each TLD monitoring location. The TLDs are sealed in polyethylene packages to ensure dosimeter integrity, placed in open webbed plastic holders, and attached to supporting structures, such as utility poles.

Environmental TLD locations are shown in Section 3.3, Figures 3.3-2 and 3.3-3.

#### 3.1.7 MILK

Milk samples are routinely collected from three farms during the sampling year. These farms include two indicator locations and one control location. Samples are normally collected April through December of the sample year. If plant-related radionuclides are detected during November and December of the previous year, milk collections are continued into the following year, starting in January. If plant-related radionuclides are not detected in the November and December samples, then milk collections do not commence until April of the next sampling year. Milk samples were not collected in January through March of 2009 as there were no positive detections of plant related radionuclides in samples collected during November and December 2008.

The ODCM also requires that a sample be collected from a control location nine to twenty miles from the site and in a least prevalent wind direction. This location is in the south sector at a distance of 16 miles and serves as the control location.

Milk samples are collected in polyethylene bottles from a bulk storage tank at each sampled farm. Before the sample is drawn, the tank contents are agitated to assure a homogenous mixture of milk and butterfat. Two gallons are collected from each indicator and control location during the first half and second half of each month. The samples are chilled, preserved and shipped fresh to the analytical laboratory within thirty-six hours of collection, in insulated shipping containers.

The milk sample locations are shown in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-4.

#### **3.1.8 FOOD PRODUCTS (VEGETATION)**

Food products are collected once per year during the late summer harvest season. A minimum of three different kinds of broadleaf vegetation, edible or inedible, is collected from two different indicator garden locations. Sample locations are selected from gardens identified in the annual census that have the highest estimated deposition values (D/Q) based on historical site meteorological data. Control samples are also collected from available locations greater than 9.3 miles distant from the site in a least prevalent wind direction. Control samples are of the same or similar type of vegetation when available.

Food product samples are analyzed for gamma emitters using gamma isotopic analysis.

Food product locations are shown in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-5.

#### **3.2 ANALYSES PERFORMED**

The following environmental sample analyses are performed by the JAFNPP Environmental Laboratory:

- Air Particulate Filter Gross Beta
- Air Particulate Filter Composites Gamma Spectral Analysis
- Airborne Radioiodine Gamma Spectral Analysis
- Fish Gamma Spectral Analysis
- Food Products (Vegetation) Gamma Spectral Analysis
- Milk Gamma Spectral Analysis and I-131
- Shoreline Sediment Gamma Spectral Analysis
- Special Samples (soil, food products, bottom sediment, etc.) Gamma Spectral Analysis

- Surface Water Monthly Composites Gamma Spectral Analysis, I-131
- Surface Water Quarterly Composites Tritium

The analysis of Direct Radiation using Thermoluminescent (TLDs) and strontium analysis are performed by a contractor laboratory – Areva NP Environmental Laboratory.

#### **3.3 SAMPLE LOCATIONS**

Figures 3.3-1 through 3.3-6 provide maps illustrating sample locations. Sample locations referenced as letters and numbers on the report period data tables are consistent with designations plotted on the maps.

This section also contains an environmental sample location reference table (Table 3.3-1). This table contains the following information:

- Sample medium
- Map designation, (this column contains the key for the sample location and is consistent with the designation on the sample location maps and on the sample results data tables).
- Figure number
- Location description
- Degrees and distance of the sample location from the site.

#### 3.3.1 LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 3.3-1 New York State
- Figure 3.3-2 Off-Site Environmental Station and TLD Locations
- Figure 3.3-3 On-Site Environmental Station and TLD Locations
- Figure 3.3-4 Milk and Surface Water Sample Locations
- Figure 3.3-5 Nearest Residence, Food Product, Fish and Shoreline Sediment Sample Locations
- Figure 3.3-6 NMPNS On-site Groundwater Monitoring Wells and Unit 2 Storm Drain Outfall

#### **TABLE 3.3-1**

### 2009 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

SAMPLE MEDIUM	MAP DESIGNATION	FIGURE NUMBER	LOCATION DESCRIPTION	DI DIS	EGF TA1	REES & NCE <sup>(1 &amp; 2)</sup>
Shoreline Sediment	5*	Figure 3.3-5	Sunset Bay	84°	at	1.2 miles
	6	Figure 3.3-5	Langs Beach, Control	232°	at	4.8 miles
Fish	02*	Figure 3.3-5	Nine Mile Point Transect	290°	at	0.4 miles
· .	03*	Figure 3.3-5	FitzPatrick Transect	62°	at	0.8 miles
	00*	Figure 3.3-5	Oswego Transect - Control	237°	at	5.9 miles
Surface Water	03*	Figure 3.3-4	FitzPatrick Inlet	71°	at	0.5 miles
	08*	Figure 3.3-4	Oswego Generating Station Inlet - Control	236°	at	7.6 miles
	09	Figure 3.3-4	NMP1 Inlet	319°	at	0.3 miles
	10	Figure 3.3-4	Oswego City Water	240°	at	7.8 miles
	11	Figure 3.3-4	NMP2 Inlet	336°	at	0.3 miles
		-		353°	at	0.3 miles
Air Radioiodine and	<b>R-1</b> *	Figure 3.3-2	R-1 Station, Nine Mile Point Road	92°	at	1.8 miles
Particulates	R-2*	Figure 3.3-3	R-2 Station, Lake Road	106°	at	1.1 miles
· ·	R-3*	Figure 3.3-3	R-3 Station, Co. Rt. 29	134°	at	1.4 miles
	R-4*	Figure 3.3-3	R-4 Station, Co. Rt. 29	145°	at	1.8 miles
	R-5*	Figure 3.3-2	R-5 Station, Montario Point - Control	42°	at	16.2 miles
	D1	Figure 3.3-3	D1 On-Site Station	73 °	at	0.3 miles
	G	Figure 3.3-3	G On-Site Station	244°	at	0.7 miles
	Н	Figure 3.3-3	H On-Site Station	74°	at	0.8 miles
	Ι	Figure 3.3-3	I On-Site Station	96°	at	0.8 miles
	J	Figure 3.3-3	J On-Site Station	110°	at	0.9 miles
	K	Figure 3.3-3	K On-Site Station	133°	at	0.5 miles
	G	Figure 3.3-2	G Off-Site Station, Saint Paul Street	226°	at	5.4 miles
	D2	Figure 3.3-2	D2 Off-Site Station, Rt. 64	118°	at	9.0 miles
,	Ε	Figure 3.3-2	E Off-Site Station, Rt. 4	162°	at	7.1 miles
	F	Figure 3.3-2	F Off-site Station, Dutch Ridge Road	192°	at	7.6 miles

### 2009 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

ŚAMPLE	MAP			DEGREES &		EES &	
MEDIUM	DESIGNATION	FIGURE NUMBER	LOCATION DESCRIPTION		DISTANCE <sup>(1 &amp; 2)</sup>		
Thermoluminescent	3	Figure 3.3-3	D1 On-Site Station	73°	at	0.3 miles	
Dosimeters (TLD)	4	Figure 3.3-3	D2 On-Site Station	143°	at	0.4 miles	
	5	Figure 3.3-3	E On-Site Station	150°	at	0.4 miles	
	6	Figure 3.3-3	F On-Site Station	·213°	at	0.5 miles	
	7*	Figure 3.3-3	G On-Site Station	244°	at	0.7 miles	
	8	Figure 3.3-2	R-5 Off-Site Station - Control	42°	at	16.2 miles	
	9	Figure 3.3-2	State Route 3	80°	at	11.4 miles	
	10	Figure 3.3-2	D2 Off-Site Station	118°	at	9.0 miles	
	11	Figure 3.3-2	E Off-Site Station	162°	at	7.1 miles	
	12	Figure 3.3-2	F Off-Site Station	192°	at	7.7 miles	
	13	Figure 3.3-2	G Off-Site Station	226°	at	5.4 miles	
	14*	Figure 3.3-2	Southwest Oswego – Control	227°	at	12.5 miles	
	15*	Figure 3.3-2	West Site Boundary	239°	at	0.9 miles	
· ·	18*	Figure 3.3-3	Energy Information Center	266°	at	0.5 miles	
	19	Figure 3.3-2	East Site Boundary	83°	at	1.4 miles	
	23*	Figure 3.3-3	H On-Site Station	74°	at	0.8 miles	
	24	Figure 3.3-3	I On-Site Station	96°	at	0.8 miles	
	25	Figure 3.3-3	J On-Site Station	110°	at	0.9 miles	
	26	Figure 3.3-3	K On-Site Station	133°	at	0.5 miles	
	27	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, JAFNPP	60°	at	0.4 miles	
	28	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, JAFNPP	68°	at	0.5 miles	
	29	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence JAFNPP	65°	at	0.5 miles	
	30	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence JAFNPP	57°	at	0.4 miles	
	31	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence NMP1	278°	at	0.2 miles	
	39	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence NMP1	296°	at	0.2 miles	
	47	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence JAFNPP	69°	at	0.6 miles	
	49*	Figure 3.3-2	Phoenix, NY – Control	168°	at	19.7 miles	
	51	Figure 3.3-2	Oswego Generating Station, East	234°	at	7.3 miles	
1	52	Figure 3.3-2	Fitzhugh Park Elementary School, East	227°	at	5.9 miles	
	53	Figure 3.3-2	Fulton High School	1 <b>8</b> 3°	at	13.7 miles	
	54	Figure 3.3-2	Mexico High School	115°	at	9.4 miles	
	55	Figure 3.3-2	Pulaski Gas Substation, Rt. 5	75°	at	13.0 miles	

## 2009 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

.

SAMPLE	MAP	FIGURE NUMBER	LOCATION DESCRIPTION	DEGREES &
MEDIUM	DESIGNATION			DISTANCE (1 @ 2)
Thermoluminescent	56*	Figure 3.3-2	New Haven Elementary School	124° at 5.2 miles
Dosimeters (TLD)	58*	Figure 3.3-2	County Route 1A and Alcan	222° at 3.0 miles
(Continued)	75*	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, NMP2	354° at 0.1 miles
	76*	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, NMP2	27° at 0.1 miles
	77*	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, NMP2	37° at 0.2 miles
	78*	Figure 3.3-3	East Boundary, JAFNPP	86° at 1.0 miles
	79*	Figure 3.3-3	County Route 29	121° at 1.2 miles
	80*	Figure 3.3-3	County Route 29	136° at 1.5 miles
	81*	Figure 3.3-3	Miner Road	160° at 1.7 miles
	82*	Figure 3.3-3	Miner Road	180° at 1.6 miles
	83*	Figure 3.3-3	Lakeview Road	203° at 1.2 miles
	84*	Figure 3.3-3	Lakeview Road	225° at 1.1 miles
	85*	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, NMP1	290° at 0.2 miles
	86*	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, NMP1	310° at 0.1 miles
	87*	Figure 3.3-3	North Fence, NMP2	332° at 0.1 miles
	88*	Figure 3.3-2	Hickory Grove Road	97° at 4.5 miles
	89*	Figure 3.3-2	Leavitt Road	112° at 4.3 miles
	90*	Figure 3.3-2	Route 104 and Keefe Road	135° at 4.2 miles
	91*	Figure 3.3-2	County Route 51A	157° at 4.9 miles
	92*	Figure 3.3-2	Maiden Lane Road	183° at 4.5 miles
	93*	Figure 3.3-2	County Route 53	206° at 4.4 miles
	94*	Figure 3.3-2	Country Route 1 and Kocher Road	224° at 4.4 miles
	95*	Figure 3.3-2	Lakeshore Camp Site	239° at 3.7 miles
	96*	Figure 3.3-2	Creamery Road	199° at 3.7 miles
	97*	Figure 3.3-3	County Route 29	145° at 1.8 miles
	98*	Figure 3.3-2	Lake Road	103° at 1.2 miles
	99	Figure 3.3-2	Nine Mile Point Road	92° at 1.8 miles
	100	Figure 3.3-3	Country Route 29 and Lake Road	106° at 1.1 miles
	101	Figure 3.3-3	County Route 29	134° at 1.4 miles
· ·	102	Figure 3.3-2	Oswego County Airport	175° at 11.9 miles
	103	Figure 3.3-3	Energy Center, East	268° at 0.4 miles
	104	Figure 3.3-2	Parkhurst Road	$102^{\circ}$ at 1.4 miles

### 2009 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE LOCATIONS

SAMPLE MEDIUM	MAP DESIGNATION	FIGURE NUMBER	LOCATION DESCRIPTION		DEGREES & DISTANCE <sup>(1 &amp; 2)</sup>
Thermoluminescent	105	Figure 3.3-3	Lakeview Road	I.	199° at 1.4 miles
Dosimeters (TLD)	106	Figure 3.3-3	Shoreline Cove, West of NMP1		272° at 0.3 miles
(Continued)	107	Figure 3.3-3	Shoreline Cove, West of NMP1		271° at 0.3 miles
	108	Figure 3.3-3	Lake Road		105° at 1.1 miles
· •	109	Figure 3.3-3	Lake Road		104° at 1.1 miles
·	111	Figure 3.3-2	Sterling, NY – Control		214° at 21.8 miles
	112	Figure 3.3-2	EOF/Env. Lab, Oswego County Airport	· · ·	175° at 11.9 miles
	113	Figure 3.3-2	Baldwinsville, NY – Control		178° at 24.7 miles
Cows Milk	76	Figure 3.3-4	Indicator Location		120° at 6.3 miles
	55	Figure 3.3-4	Indicator Location		97° at 8.8 miles
	. 77* .	Figure 3.3-4	Control Location		190° at 16.0 miles
	69**	Figure 3 3-5	Indicator Location		$124^{\circ}$ at 2.3 miles
Food Products	133*	Figure 3 3-5	Indicator Location		$84^{\circ}$ at 1.6 miles
	134**	Figure 3.3-5	Indicator Location		$84^{\circ}$ at 1.5 miles
,	144**	Figure 3.3-5	Indicator Location		$139^\circ$ at 1.6 miles
	145*	Figure 3.3-5	Control Location		$222^{\circ}$ at 151 miles
	484*	Figure 3.3-5	Indicator Location		$132^{\circ}$ at 1.4 miles
Groundwater		Figure 3 3-6	Down Gradient Wells - Indicator		$42^{\circ}$ to $51^{\circ}$ at 0.1 miles
Groundwater	GMX_MW_1	Figure 3 3-6	Unland Well - Control		$160^{\circ}$ at 0.3 miles
· · · · ·	GMX-MW-2	Figure 3.3-6	Unland Well - Control		$100^{\circ}$ at 0.3 miles
	Storm Drain	Figure 3 3-6	NMP2 Dewatering System - Indicator		$32^{\circ}$ at 0.2 miles
	500m Diam	1 igure 5.5-0	Num 2 Dewatering System - Indicator		52 at 0.2 miles
					····

Table Notes:

Degrees and distance based on Nine Mile Point Unit 2 Reactor Centerline
 Degrees and Distances updated by Global Positioning System (GPS)

Sample location required by ODCM \*






- 26 3







3 - 29

#### 3.4 LAND USE CENSUS

The ODCM require that a milch animal census and a residence census be conducted annually out to a distance of five miles. Milch animals are defined as any animal that is routinely used to provide milk for human consumption.

The milch animal census is an estimation of the number of cows and goats within an approximate ten-mile radius of the Nine Mile Point Site. This census is performed once per year in the summer by sending questionnaires to previous milch animal owners, and by road surveys to locate any possible new owners. In the event that questionnaires are not answered, the owners are contacted by telephone or in person. The Oswego County Cooperative Extension Service was also contacted to provide any additional information.

The residence census is conducted each year to identify the closest residence in each of the 22.5 degree meteorological sectors out to a distance of five miles. A residence, for the purposes of this census, is a residence that is occupied on a part time basis (such as a summer camp), or on a full time, year-round basis. Several of the site meteorological sectors are located over Lake Ontario; therefore, there are only eight sectors over land where residences are located within five miles.

In addition to the milch animal and residence census, a garden census is performed. The census is conducted each year to identify the gardens near the site that are to be used for the collection of food product samples. The results of the garden census are not provided in this report. The results are used only to identify appropriate sample locations. The garden census is not required by the ODCMs if broadleaf vegetation sampling and analysis is performed.

## 3.5 CHANGES TO THE REMP PROGRAM

The following changes were implemented during the 2009 sampling program:

A. Milk Sampling Program

During the reporting period Location No. 76 was discontinued as the herd was sold. The last samples were collected on 8/10/09. This location was used as the indicator location to implement the requirements of the NMP1 ODCM, Part I, Table D 3.6.20-1 and the NMP2 ODCM, Part I, Table D 3.5.1-1.

#### B. Land Census

During the reporting period Location No. 69 was added to the ODCM. The location was used as an optional sample location during 2009.

## **3.6 DEVIATION AND EXCEPTIONS TO THE PROGRAM**

The noted exceptions to the 2009 sample program address only those samples or monitoring requirements which are required by the ODCM. This section satisfies the reporting requirements of Section D 6.9.1.d of the NMP1 ODCM and Section D 4.1.2 of the NMP2 ODCM.

### A. ODCM PROGRAM DEVIATIONS

The following are deviations from the program specified by the ODCM:

- The air sampling pumps at the R-3 and R-4 Environmental Sampling Stations were inoperable for approximately 6 hours during the sample period of 10/20/09 through 10/27/09. The sample pump out of service time was determined based on the sample pump run time integrator. The inoperability of the pumps was due to loss of power to the sampler. No corrective actions were required to restore the units to service.
- 2. The air sampling pumps at the R-1 and R-2 Environmental Sampling Stations were inoperable for approximately 6 hours during the sample period of 12/1/09 through 12/8/09. The sample pump out of service time was determined based on the sample pump run time integrator. The inoperability of the pumps was due to loss of power to the sampler. No corrective actions were required to restore the units to service.
- 3. The air sampling pumps at the R-3 and R-4 Environmental Sampling Stations were inoperable for approximately 5 hours, and the sampling pump at the R-5 Environmental Sampling Stations was inoperable for approximately 11 hours during the sample period of 12/8/09 through 12/15/09. The sample pump out of service time was determined based on the sample pump run time integrator. The inoperability of the pumps was due to loss of power to the sampler. No corrective actions were required to restore the units to service.

#### B. AIR SAMPLING STATION OPERABILITY ASSESSMENT

The ODCM required air sampling program consists of 5 individual sampling locations. The collective operable time period for the air monitoring stations was 43,771 hours out of a possible 43,800 hours. The air sampling availability factor for the report period was 99.93%.

## 3.7 STATISTICAL METHODOLOGY

There are a number of statistical calculation methodologies used in evaluating the data from the environmental monitoring program. These methodologies include determination of standard deviation, the mean and associated error for the mean and the lower limit of detection (LLD).

## 3.7.1 ESTIMATION OF THE MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION

The mean, (X), and standard deviation, (s), were used in the reduction of the data generated by the sampling and analysis of the various media in the NMPNS Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP). The following equations were utilized to compute the mean  $\overline{(X)}$  and the standard deviation (s):

A. Mean

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}}{\frac{\mathbf{x}_{i}}{\mathbf{N}}}$$

Where,

X = estimate of the mean.

i = individual sample, i.

N, n =total number of samples with positive indications.

 $X_i$  = value for sample i above the lower limit of detection.

#### B. Standard Deviation



Where,

X = mean for the values of X

s = standard deviation for the sample population.

#### 3.7.2 ESTIMATION OF THE MEAN AND THE ESTIMATED ERROR FOR THE MEAN

In accordance with program policy, two recounts of samples are performed when the initial count indicates the presence of a plant-related radionuclide(s). When a radionuclide is positively identified in two or more counts, the analytical result for the radionuclide is reported as the mean of the positive detections and the associated propagated error for that mean. In cases where more than one positive sample result is available, the mean of the sample results and the estimated error for the mean are reported in the Annual Report.

The following equations were utilized to estimate the mean  $(\overline{X})$  and the associated propagated error.

A. Mean

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\ N}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{X}_i$$

Where,

X = estimate of the mean.

i = individual sample, i.

 $N_{n}$  = total number of samples with positive indications.

 $X_i$  = value for sample i above the lower limit of detection.

B. Error of the Mean

ERROR MEAN = 
$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{1/2} (\text{ERROR})^2 \end{bmatrix}^{1/2}}{\mathbf{N}}$$

Where,

ERROR MEAN	= propagated error
i	= individual sample
ERROR	= 1 sigma* error of the individual analysis
N, n	= number of samples with positive indications
* Sigma (σ)	

Sigma is the greek letter used to represent the mathematical term <u>Standard Deviation</u>. <u>Standard Deviation</u> is a measure of dispersion from the arithmetic mean of a set of numbers.

3 - 33

#### 3.7.3 LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)

The LLD is the predetermined concentration or activity level used to establish a detection limit for the analytical procedures.

The LLDs are specified by the ODCM for radionuclides in specific media and are determined by taking into account the overall measurement methods. The equation used to calculate the LLD is:

Where:

- LLD = the a priori lower limit of detection, as defined above (in picocuries per unit mass or volume);
- $S_b$  = the standard deviation of the background counting rate or of the counting rate of a blank sample, as appropriate (in counts per minute);
- E = the counting efficiency (in counts per disintegration);
- V = the sample size (in units of mass or volume);
- 2.22 = the number of disintegrations per minute per picocurie;
- Y = the fractional radiochemical yield (when applicable);
- $\lambda$  = the radioactive decay constant for the particular radionuclide;
- $\Delta t$  = the elapsed time between sample collection (or end of the sample collection period) and time of counting.

## The ODCM LLD formula assumes that:

- The counting times for the sample and background are equal.
- The count rate of the background is approximately equal to the count rate of the sample.

In the ODCM program, LLDs are used to ensure that minimum acceptable detection capabilities are met with specified statistical confidence levels (95% detection probability with 5% probability of a false negative). Table 3.8-1 lists the ODCM program required LLDs for specific media and radionuclides. The LLDs actually achieved are routinely lower than those specified by the ODCM.

## **3.8 COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIRED LOWER LIMITS OF DETECTION (LLD)**

Tables D 4.6.20-1 and D 3.5.1-3 of the NMP1 ODCM and NMP2 ODCM, respectively, specify the detection capabilities for environmental sample analysis (See Table 3.8-1). The reporting requirements of NMP1 ODCM, Section D 6.9.1.d and NMP2 ODCM, Section D 4.1.2 require that a discussion of all analyses for which the LLDs required by Tables D 4.6.20-1 and D 3.5.1-3 were not achieved be included in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report. This Section is provided pursuant to this requirement.

All sample analyses performed in 2009, as required by the ODCM, achieved the Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) specified by ODCM Tables D 4.6.20-1 and D 3.5.1-3.

## **TABLE 3.8-1**

# REQUIRED DETECTION CAPABILITIES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE ANALYSIS LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD)

Analysis	Water (pCi/l)	Airborne Particulate or Gases (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	Fish (pCi/kg, wet)	Milk (pCi/l)	Food Products (pCi/kg, wet)	Sediment (pCi/kg, dry)
Gross Beta	4	0.01				
H-3	3000 (a)					
Mn-54	15		130			
Fe-59	30		260			
Co-58, Co-60	15		130			
Zn-65	30		260			
Zr-95, Nb-95	15					
I-131	15 (a)	0.07		1	60	
Cs-134	15	0.05	130	15	60	150
Cs-137	18	0.06	150	18	80	180
Ba/La - 140	15			15		

(a) No drinking water pathway exists at the Nine Mile Point Site under normal operating conditions due to the direction and distance of the nearest drinking water intake. Therefore an LLD value of 3000 pCi/liter is used for H-3, and an LLD value of 15 pCi/liter is used for I-131.

3 - 36

#### **3.9 REGULATORY DOSE LIMITS**

Two federal agencies, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have responsibility for regulations promulgated for protecting the public from radiation and radioactivity beyond the site boundary.

## 3.9.1 The Nuclear Regulatory Commission

The NRC, in 10 CFR 20.1301, limits the levels of radiation in unrestricted areas resulting from the possession or use of radioactive materials such that they limit any individual to a dose of:

• less than or equal to 100 mrem per year to the total body.

In addition to this dose limit, the NRC has established design objectives for nuclear plant licensees. Conformance to these guidelines ensures that nuclear power reactor effluents are maintained as far below the legal limits as is reasonably achievable.

The NRC, in 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, establishes design objectives for the dose to a member of the general public from radioactive material in liquid effluents released to unrestricted areas to be limited to:

- less than or equal to 3 mrem per year to the total body, or
- less than or equal to 10 mrem per year to any organ.

The air dose due to release of noble gases in gaseous effluents is restricted to:

- less than or equal to 10 mrad per year for gamma radiation, or
- less than or equal to 20 mrad per year for beta radiation.

The dose to a member of the general public from iodine-131, tritium, and all particulate radionuclides with half-lives greater than eight days in gaseous effluents is limited to:

• less than or equal to 15 mrem per year to any organ.

## **3.9.2** Environmental Protection Agency

The EPA, in 40 CFR 190.10 Subpart B, sets forth the environmental standards for the uranium fuel cycle. During normal operation, the annual dose to any member of the public from the entire uranium fuel cycle shall be limited to;

- less than or equal to 25 mrem per year to the whole body,
- less than or equal to 75 mrem per year to the thyroid, and
- less than or equal to 25 mrem per year to any other organ.

## 4.0 SAMPLE SUMMARY TABLES IN BRANCH TECHNICAL POSITION FORMAT

All sample data is summarized in table form. Table 4.0-1 is titled "Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Annual Summary" and follows the specification outlined by in the NRC Radiological Assessment Branch Technical Position (Rev. 1, November 1979) and, which is summarized below.

## <u>Column</u>

- 1. Sample medium.
- 2. Type and number of analyses performed.
- 3. Required Lower Limits of Detection (LLD), see Section 3.8, Table 3.8-1. This wording indicates that inclusive data is based on 4.66 S<sub>b</sub> (sigma) of background (See Section 3.7).
- 4. The mean and range of the positive measured values of the indicator locations.
- 5. The mean, range, and location of the highest indicator annual mean. Location designations are keyed to Table 3.3-1 in Section 3.3.
- 6. The mean and range of the positive measured values of the control locations.
- 7. The number of non-routine reports sent to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

NOTE: Only positive measured values are used in statistical calculations.

TABLE 4.0-1 RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY JANUARY – DECEMBER 2009*							
MEDIUM (UNITS)	TYPE AND NUMBER OF ANALYSES*	LLD <sup>(a)</sup>	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	LOCATION <sup>(b)</sup> OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATION & MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: MEAN <sup>(f)</sup> / RANGE	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTS	
Shoreline Sediment (pCi/kg-dry)	<u>Gamma-Spectrum</u> <u>Analysis (GSA) (4)</u> : Cs-134 Cs-137	150 180	<lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0</td></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	<lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0</td></lld<></lld </td></lld<></lld 	<lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0</td></lld<></lld 	0 0	
Fish (pCi/kg-wet)	<u>GSA (18) <sup>(d)</sup>.</u> Mn-54 Fe-59 Co-58 Co-60 Zn-65 Cs-134 Cs-137	130 260 130 130 260 130 150	<lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td></lld<></lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </td></lld<></lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </td></lld<></lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </lld 	<lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td></lld<></lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </td></lld<></lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </lld 	<lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td></lld<></lld </lld </lld </lld </lld </lld 	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

TABLE 4.0-1 (continued)								
RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY JANUARY – DECEMBER 2009*								
MEDIUM (UNITS)	TYPE AND NUMBER OF ANALYSES*	LLD <sup>(a)</sup>	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	LOCATION (b) OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATION & MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTS		
Surface Water	<u>H-3 (8)</u> :							
(pel/ner)	H-3	3000 <sup>(e)</sup>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	<u>GSA (24)</u> : Mn-54	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Fe-59	30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Co-58	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Co-60	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0.</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0.</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0.</td></lld<>	0.		
	Zn-65	30	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
-	Zr-95	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Nb-95	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	I-131	15 <sup>(e)</sup>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Cs-134	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Cs-137	18	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		
	Ba/La-140	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0		

4 - 3

.

TABLE 4.0-1 (continued)							
RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY JANUARY – DECEMBER 2009*							
MEDIUM (UNITS)	TYPE AND NUMBER OF ANALYSES*	LLD <sup>(a)</sup>	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	LOCATION (b) OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATION & MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTS	
TLD (mrem per standard month)	Gamma Dose (140)	(f)	$\frac{4.7(120/120)}{3.1-11.7}^{\text{(g)}}$	TLD #85 (h): $10.9 (4/4)$ 0.2 miles at 290° $9.5 - 11.7$	$\frac{3.9(20/20)}{3.2-4.8}$	0	
Air Particulates (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	<u>Gross Beta (260)</u> :	0.01	<u>0.016 (208/208)</u> 0.006 – 0.032	R-10.017 (52/52)1.8 miles at 92°0.008 - 0.032	<u>0.016 (52/52)</u> 0.007 – 0.030	0	
	<u>I-131 (260)</u> :	0.07	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	
	<u>GSA (20)</u> : Cs-134	0.05	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	
	Cs-137	0.06	<lld< td=""><td><lld .<="" td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld></td></lld<>	<lld .<="" td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	
Milk (pCi/liter)	<u>GSA (45)</u> : <sup>(d) (i)</sup> Cs-134	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld .<="" td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld></td></lld<>	<lld .<="" td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	
	Cs-137	18	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	
	Ba/La-140	15	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	
	<u>l-131 (45)</u> : I-131	1	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td><lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<></td></lld<>	<lld< td=""><td>0</td></lld<>	0	

4 - 4

- -

TABLE 4.0-1 (continued) RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ANNUAL SUMMARY JANUARY – DECEMBER 2009*							
MEDIUM (UNITS)	TYPE AND NUMBER OF ANALYSES*	LLD <sup>(a)</sup>	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	LOCATION (b) OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATION & MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: MEAN <sup>(c)</sup> / RANGE	NUMBER OF NONROUTINE REPORTS	
Food Products (pCi/kg-wet)	<u>GSA (21)</u> : <sup>(d)</sup> I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	60 60 80	<lld <lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0 0</td></lld<></lld </lld </td></lld<></lld </lld </td></lld<></lld </lld 	<lld <lld <lld< td=""><td><lld <lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0 0</td></lld<></lld </lld </td></lld<></lld </lld 	<lld <lld <lld< td=""><td>0 0 0</td></lld<></lld </lld 	0 0 0	

,

4 - 5

#### TABLE NOTES:

- \* = Data for Table 4.0-1 is based on NMP1 and NMP2 ODCM required samples unless otherwise indicated.
- (a) = LLD values as required by the ODCM. LLD units are specified in the medium column.
- (b) = Location is distance in miles and direction in compass degrees based on NMP2 reactor center-line. Units in this column are specified in medium column.
- (c) = Fraction of number of detectable measurements to total number of measurements. Mean and range results are based on detectable measurements only.
- (d) = Data includes results from optional samples in addition to samples required by the ODCM.
- (e) = The ODCM specify an I-131 and tritium LLD value for surface water analysis (non-drinking water) of 15 pCi/liter and 3000 pCi/liter respectively.
- (f) = The ODCM do not specify a particular LLD value for environmental TLDs. The NMP1 and NMP2 ODCM contain specifications for environmental TLD sensitivities.
- (g) = Indicator TLD locations are: #7, 15, 23, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 18, 56, and 58. Control TLDs are all TLDs located beyond the influence of the site (TLD #: 8, 14, 49, 111, and 113).
- (h) = This dose is not representative of doses to a member of the public since this area is located near the north shoreline which is in close proximity to the generating facility and is not accessible to members of the public (See Section 5.2.4, TLDs).
- (i) = The ODCM criteria for indicator milk sample locations include locations within 5.0 miles of the site. There are no milk sample locations within 5.0 miles of the site. Therefore, the only sample location required by the ODCM is the control location. There were two optional locations during 2009.

#### 5.0 DATA EVALUATION AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Introduction

Each year the results of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) are evaluated considering plant operations at the site, the natural processes in the environment and the archive of historical environmental radiological data. A number of factors are considered in the course of evaluating and interpreting the annual environmental radiological data. This interpretation can be made using several methods including trend analysis, population dose estimates, risk estimates to the general population based on significance of environmental concentrations, effectiveness of plant effluent controls, and specific research areas. This report not only presents the data collected during the 2009 sample program but also assesses the significance of radionuclides detected in the environment. It is important to note that detection of a radionuclide is not, of itself, an indication of environmental significance. Evaluation of the impact of the radionuclide in terms of potential increased dose to man, in relation to natural background, is necessary to determine the true significance of any radionuclide detection.

## **B.** Units of Measure

Some of the units of measure used in this report are explained below.

*Radioactivity* is the number of atoms in a material that decay per unit of time. Each time an atom decays, radiation is emitted. The *curie* (Ci) is the unit used to describe the activity of a material and indicates the rate at which the atoms are decaying. One curie of activity indicates the decay of 37 billion atoms per second.

Smaller units of the curie are used in this report. Two common units are the *microcurie* (uCi), which is one millionth (0.000001) of a curie, and the *picocurie* (pCi), which is one trillionth (0.00000000001) of a curie. The picocurie is the unit of radiation that is routinely used in this report. The mass, or weight, of radioactive material that would result in one curie of activity depends on the disintegration rate or half-life. For example, one gram of radium-226 contains one curie of activity, but it would require about 1.5 million grams of natural uranium to equal one curie. Radium-226 is more radioactive than natural uranium on a weight or mass basis.

## C. Dose/Dose to Man

The *dose* or *dose equivalent*, simply put, is the amount of ionizing energy deposited or absorbed in living tissue. The amount of energy deposited or ionization caused is dependent on the type of radiation. For example, alpha radiation can cause dense localized ionization that can be up to 20 times the amount of ionization for the same energy imparted as from gamma or x-rays. Therefore, a quality factor must be applied to account for the different ionizing capabilities of various types of radiation. When the quality factor is multiplied by the absorbed dose, the result is the dose equivalent, which is an estimate of the possible biological damage resulting from exposure to any type of ionizing radiation. The dose equivalent is measured in rem (roentgen equivalent man). In terms of environmental radiation, the rem is a large unit. Therefore, a smaller unit, the millirem (mrem) is often used. One millirem (mrem) is equal to 0.001 of a rem.

The term "dose to man" refers to the dose or dose equivalent that is received by members of the general public at or beyond the site boundary. The dose is calculated based on concentrations of radioactive material measured in the environment. The primary pathways that contribute to the dose to man are: the inhalation pathway, the ingestion pathway and direct radiation.

#### D. Discussion

There are three separate groups of radionuclides that were measured in the environment in the media analyzed for the 2009 sampling program. The first of these groups consists of those radionuclides that are naturally occurring. The environment contains a significant inventory of naturally occurring radioactive elements. The components of natural or background radiation include the decay of radioactive elements in the earth's crust, a steady stream of high-energy particles from space called cosmic radiation, naturallyoccurring radioactive isotopes in the human body like potassium-40, medical procedures, man-made phosphate fertilizers (phosphates and uranium are often found together in nature), and household items like televisions. In the United States, a person's average annual exposure from background radiation is 360 mrem, as illustrated in the following Background Radiation chart.



A number of radionuclides are present in the environment due to sources such as cosmic radiation and fallout from nuclear weapons testing. These radionuclides are expected to be present in many of the environmental samples collected in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point site. Some of the radionuclides normally present include:

- *Tritium*, present as a result of the interaction of cosmic radiation with the upper atmosphere,
- *Beryllium*-7, present as a result of the interaction of cosmic radiation with the upper atmosphere,
- *Potassium-40 and Radium-226*, naturally occurring radionuclides found in the human body and throughout the environment, and
- Fallout radionuclides from nuclear weapons testing, including Cesium-137 and Strontium-90.

Beryllium-7 and potassium-40 are especially common in REMP samples. Since they are naturally occurring and are abundant, positive results for these radionuclides are reported in some cases in Section 6.0 of this report. Comparisons of program samples to natural background radiation are made throughout this section to help put program results into perspective and to aid the reader in determining what, if any, significant impact is demonstrated by the REMP results.

The second group of radionuclides that were detected are a result of the detonation of thermonuclear devices in the earth's atmosphere. Atmospheric nuclear testing during the early 1950s produced a measurable inventory of radionuclides presently found in the lower atmosphere as well as in ecological systems. In 1963 an Atmospheric Test Ban Treaty was signed. Since the treaty, the global inventory of man-made radioactivity in the environment has been greatly reduced through the decay of short lived radionuclides and the removal of radionuclides from the food chain by such natural processes as weathering and sedimentation. This process is referred to in this report as ecological cycling. Since 1963, several atmospheric weapons tests have been conducted by the People's Republic of China and underground weapons testing by India, Pakistan & North Korea. In each case, the usual radionuclides associated with nuclear detonations were detected for several months following the test, and then after a peak detection period, diminished to a point where most could not be detected. Although reduced in frequency, atmospheric testing continued into the 1980's. The resulting fallout or deposition from these most recent tests has influenced the background radiation in the vicinity of the site and was evident in many of the sample media analyzed over the years. The highest weapons testing concentrations were noted in samples collected for the 1981 REMP. Cs-137 was the major byproduct of this testing and is still occasionally detected in a few select number of environmental media.

The third group of radionuclides that may be detected in the environment are those that are related to nuclear power technology. These radionuclides are the byproduct of the operation of light water reactors. These byproduct radionuclides are the same as those produced in atmospheric weapons testing and found in the Chernobyl fallout. This commonality makes a determination of the source of these radionuclides that may be detected in environmental samples difficult to determine. During 2009, there were no plant-related radionuclides detected in the REMP samples.

A number of factors must be considered in performing radiological sample data evaluation and interpretation. The evaluation is made using several approaches including trend analysis and dose to man. An attempt has been made not only to report the data collected during 2009, but also to assess the significance of the radionuclides detected in the environment as compared to natural and other man-made radiation sources. It is important to note that detected concentrations of radionuclides in the local environment as a result of man's technology are very small and are of no or little significance from an environmental or dose to man perspective.

The 1987 per capita average dose was determined to be 360 mrem per year from all sources, as noted in NCRP Report No. 93 (Reference 13). This average dose includes such exposure sources as natural radiation, occupational exposure, weapons testing, consumer

products and nuclear medicine. The 1987 per capita dose rate due to natural sources was 295 mrem per year. The per capita radiation dose from nuclear power production nationwide is less than one mrem per year.

The natural background gamma radiation in the environs of the Nine Mile Point site, resulting from radionuclides in the atmosphere and in the ground, accounts for approximately 60-65 mrem per year. This dose is a result of radionuclides of cosmic origin (for example, Be-7) and of primordial origin (Ra-226, K-40, and Th-232). A dose of 60 mrem per year, as a background dose, is significantly greater than any possible doses as a result of routine operations at the site during 2009.

The results of each sample medium are discussed in detail in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. This includes a summary of the results, the estimated environmental impact, a detailed review of any relevant detections with a dose to man estimate where appropriate, and an analysis of possible long-term and short-term trends.

During routine implementation of the REMP, additional or optional environmental pathway media are sampled and analyzed. These samples are obtained to:

- Expand the area covered by the program beyond that required by the ODCM,
- Provide more comprehensive monitoring than is currently required,
- Monitor the secondary dose to main pathways, and
- Maintain the analytical data base established when the plants began commercial operation.

The optional samples that are collected will vary from year to year. In addition to the optional sample media, additional locations are sampled and analyzed for those pathways required by the ODCM. These additional sample locations are obtained to ensure that a variety of environmental pathways are monitored in a comprehensive manner. Data from additional sample locations that are associated with the required ODCM sample media are included in the data presentation and evaluation. When additional locations are included, the use of this data is specifically noted in Sections 5.1 and 5.2.

Section 6.0 contains the analytical results for the sample media addressed in the report. Tables are provided for each required sample medium analyzed during the 2009 program.

Section 7.0, titled Historical Data Tables, contains statistics from previous years environmental sampling. The process of determining the impact of plant operation on the environment includes the evaluation of past analytical data to determine if trends are changing or developing. As state-of-the-art detection capabilities improve, data comparison is difficult in some cases. For example, Lower Limits of Detections (LLDs) have improved significantly since 1969 due to technological advances in laboratory procedures and analytical equipment.

# 5.1 AQUATIC PROGRAM

The aquatic program consists of samples collected from four environmental pathways. These pathways are:

- Shoreline Sediment
- Fish
- Surface Waters
- Groundwater

Section 6.0, Tables 6-1 through 6-5 present the analytical results for the aquatic samples collected for the 2009 sampling period.

Sampling for groundwater, as found in Section D 3.5.1 of the NMP2 ODCM, was not required during 2009. There was no groundwater source in 2009 that was tapped for drinking or irrigation purposes in areas where the hydraulic gradient or recharge properties were suitable for contamination; therefore, groundwater was not a dose pathway during 2009.

#### 5.1.1 SHORELINE SEDIMENT RESULTS

#### A. Results Summary

Shoreline sediment samples were obtained in April and October of 2009 at one offsite control location (Lang's Beach located near Oswego Harbor) and at one indicator location (Sunset Bay), which is an area east of the site considered to have recreational value.

A total of four sediment samples were collected for the 2009 sample program, two indicator and two control. These results continue to show a downward trend over the past 10 plus years. Cs-137 was not detected in samples collected from the control or indicator locations during 2009.

Historical mean concentrations measured at the Sunset Bay indicator location ranged from a maximum of 0.33 pCi/g in 1993 to a minimum of less than lower limit of detection (<LLD) in

2009. The results for the 2009 control location were less than the detection limit. The one naturally-occurring radionuclide detected was K-40, which was not related to plant operations. No other plant-related radionuclides were detected in the 2009 shoreline sediment samples.

The following is a graph of the average Cs-137 concentration in shoreline sediment samples over the previous ten years. This graph illustrates a general downward trend in the Cs-137 concentrations since 2000.



# **Shoreline Sediment Cs-137**

## **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

Shoreline sediment samples are routinely collected twice per year from the shoreline of Lake Ontario. Samples are collected from one indicator location (Sunset Bay) and one control location (Lang's Beach). Samples were collected from both the indicator and control locations in April and October 2009. The results of these sample collections are presented in Section 6.0, Table 6-1, "Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Shoreline Sediment Samples". Potassium–40 (K-40) was the only significant radionuclide detected in the sediment samples during 2009.

Analytical results for shoreline sediment samples are found in Section 6.0, Tables 6-1.

#### C. Dose Evaluation

The radiological impact of Cs-137 measured in the shoreline sediment can be evaluated on the basis of dose to man. In the case of shoreline sediments, the critical pathway is direct radiation to the whole body and skin. Using the parameters provided in Regulatory Guide 1.109, the potential dose to man in mrem per year can be calculated. The following regulatory guide values and the maximum 2009 shoreline sediment indicator Cs-137 concentration were used in calculating the dose to man:

- A teenager spends 67 hours per year at the beach area or on the shoreline,
- The sediment has a mass of 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (dry) to a depth of 2.5 cm,
- The shoreline width factor is 0.3, and
- The maximum measured Cs-137 concentration of <0.068 pCi/g (dry) (<LLD).

Using these conservative parameters, the potential dose to the maximum exposed individual (teenager) would be 0.00027 mrem/year to the whole body and 0.00031 mrem/year to the skin. This calculated dose is very small and is insignificant when compared to the natural background annual exposure of approximately 50 mrem as measured by control TLDs in the vicinity of the site.

#### D. Data Trends

Cs-137 was not detected at the indicator or the control samples locations in 2009. The average Cs-137 concentration (LLD) for the shoreline sediment indicator sample for 2009 was <0.056 pCi/g (dry). This is consistent with mean concentration measured at the indicator location over the past ten years.

The previous five years of data show a general decreasing mean concentration values measured at the indicator locations. Over the five year period, mean concentrations ranged from a high of 0.08 pCi/g (dry) in 2005 to a low value of 0.04 pCi/g (dry) measured in 2007. Cesium-137 was not detected in the control location samples over this same five-year period.

The general absence of Cs-137 in the control samples is attributed to the differences in the sediment types between the two sample locations. Few shoreline regions west of the site contain fine sediment and/or sand which would be representative of the indicator location. It is difficult to obtain control samples that are comparable in physical and chemical characteristics to the indicator samples. Other factors, which include changing lake level and shoreline erosion, further complicate attempts at consistency in shoreline sediment

sampling. Recent soil samples from locations beyond any expected influence from the site have contained levels of Cs-137 equal to or greater than the concentrations found in the shoreline sediment samples collected in the past. The Cs-137 is commonly found in soil samples and is attributed to weapons testing fallout. Shoreline samples containing soil or sediment are likely to contain Cs-137.

The previous ten year data trend for indicator shoreline samples showed an overall downward trend in concentration measured at the indicator sample locations. Over the previous ten year period of 2000 through 2009, mean concentrations at the indicator location ranged from a maximum of 0.08 pCi/g (dry) in 2005 to a minimum of 0.04 pCi/g (dry) measured in 2004 and again in 2007. Cs-137 was not detected at the indicator location for 2009. This continues to support the long-term decreasing trend in Cs-137 concentration in shoreline sediment samples. Cesium-137 was not detected in the control samples collected over the previous ten years.

Shoreline sediment sampling at the indicator location commenced in 1985. Prior to 1985, no data was available for long-term trend analysis.

Section 7.0, Tables 7-1 and 7-2 illustrate historical environmental data for shoreline sediment samples.

## 5.1.2 FISH SAMPLE RESULTS

#### A. Results Summary

A total of 18 fish samples were collected for the 2009 sample program. Species collected were: smallmouth bass, brown trout, and walleye. The analytical results for the 2009 fish samples showed no detectable concentration of radionuclides that would be attributable to plant operations at the site or past atmospheric weapons testing. Since 2003, no Cs-137 has been measured in fish samples. Over the previous 20 years prior to 2003, Cs-137 has been detected at a combination of both the indicator and/or control locations (Refer to Tables 7-3 and 7-4). These low levels of Cs-137 represented no significant dose to man or impact on the environment.

The 2009 fish sample results demonstrate that plant operations at the Nine Mile Point site have no measurable radiological environmental impact on the upper levels of the Lake Ontario food chain. The 2009 results are consistent with the previous years' results in that they continue to support the general long-term downward trend in fish Cs-137 concentrations over the last 33 years. Cs-137 was not detected in fish samples collected in 2003 to 2009 from indicator locations. The period of 2000 through 2009 as a group are the

lowest results measured since the beginning of the Site Environmental Monitoring Program in 1969.

## **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

Fish collections were made utilizing gill nets at one location greater than five miles from the site (Oswego Harbor area) and at two locations in the vicinity of the lake discharges for the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station (NMPNS) and the James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) facilities. The Oswego Harbor samples served as control samples, while the NMPNS and JAFNPP samples served as indicator samples. All samples were analyzed for gamma emitters. Section 6.0, Table 6-2 shows individual results for all the samples collected in 2009 in units of pCi/g (wet).

The spring fish collection was made up of 9 individual samples representing three separate species. Walleye, smallmouth bass and brown trout were collected.

The total fall fish collection was comprised of 9 individual samples representing three individual species. Walleye, smallmouth bass and brown trout were collected.

Cs-137 was not detected in any of the fish species collected for the 2009 sample program.

Analytical results for fish samples are found in Section 6.0, Table 6-2.

## C. Dose Evaluation

Fish represent the highest level in the aquatic food chain and have the potential to be a contributor to the dose to man from the operations at the site. Some Lake Ontario fish species may be considered an important food source due to the local sport fishing industry. Therefore, these fish are an integral part of the human food chain. The lack of detectable concentrations of plant-related radionuclides in the 2009 fish samples demonstrates that there is no attributable dose to man from operations at the site through the aquatic pathway.

#### **D.** Data Trends

The Cs-137 data for fish samples over the previous five years (2005 through 2009) show that the number of positive detections has decreased over this period relative to historical data. There were no positive detections of Cs-137 over the previous five-year period at the indicator locations. The graph below illustrates the mean control and indicator Cs-137 concentrations for 2009 and the previous ten years.



The ten-year data trend shows a consistent decrease in the level of Cs-137 measured in fish between 2000 and 2009. The 2000 through 2009 results, as a group, are the lowest Cs-137 concentrations measured over the existence of the sample program.

The general long-term decreasing trend for Cs-137, illustrated in the following graph, is most probably a result of the cesium becoming unavailable to the ecosystem due to ion exchange with soils and sediments and radiological decay. The concentrations of Cs-137 detected in fish since 1976 are considered to be the result of weapons testing fallout. The general downward trend in concentrations will continue as a function of additional ecological cycling and radiological decay.



Fish Cs-137

Section 7.0, Tables 7-3 and 7-4 show historical environmental sample data for fish.

## 5.1.3 SURFACE WATER (LAKE)

## A. Results Summary

The ODCM requires that monthly surface water samples be taken from the respective inlet water supplies of the JAFNPP and NRG Energy's Oswego Generating Station. In conjunction with the required samples, three additional Lake Ontario surface water locations are sampled and analyzed. These additional locations are the Oswego City Water Intake, the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP1) Intake and the Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) Intake. Gamma spectral analysis was performed on 24 monthly composite samples from the ODCM locations and on 36 monthly composite samples collected from the additional sample locations. The results of the gamma spectral analyses showed that only naturally-occurring radionuclides were detected in the 60 samples from the five locations collected for the 2009 Sampling Program. The two naturally-occurring radionuclide detected were K-40 and Ra-226 and were not related to plant operations. Monthly composite samples showed no presence of plant-related gamma emitting isotopes in the waters of Lake Ontario as a result of plant operations.

The monthly surface water samples are composited on a quarterly basis and are analyzed for tritium. A total of 20 samples were analyzed for tritium as part of the 2009 REMP program. The results for the 2009 samples showed one positive detection for tritium in the NMP1

intake sample taken during the first quarter 2009. This result, for an optional location, was just slightly higher than the detection limit for the analysis. The other three results from this location were below the detection limit. All remaining results for 2009 were below the established measurement sensitivity and are reported as less than the lower limit of detection (<LLD). There is no indication of a long-term buildup of tritium concentrations in the surface waters adjacent to the site.

#### **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

Gamma spectral analysis was performed on monthly composite samples from five Lake Ontario sampling locations. No plant-related radionuclides were detected in 2009 samples. This is consistent with historical data, which has not shown the presence of plant-related radionuclides in surface water samples.

Tritium samples are quarterly samples that are a composite of the applicable monthly samples for a given location. Tritium samples analyzed for the 2009 sample program were analyzed to an instrument detection level of 500 pCi/l.

The ODCM required indicator location (JAFNPP inlet canal) showed no positive detections of tritium. The 2009 results had LLD values that ranged from <410 pCi/l to <422 pCi/l. The ODCM control location (Oswego Generating Station inlet canal) results showed no positive detections and the sample results had LLD values in the range of <406 pCi/l to <415 pCi/l.

Tritium was detected in one of the twelve optional Lake Ontario samples collected in the 2009 program. Tritium was detected in the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 inlet sample collected during the first quarter of 2009. The positive detection of tritium may have been the result of the NMP1 Emergency Condenser tests performed during this period. The concentration, 397 pCi/l, was slightly above the instrument detection limit. The testing of the NMP1 Emergency Condenser could have attributed to the positive detection. The tritium concentration is within the historical variations for levels of tritium occurring in Lake Ontario.

The Oswego City Water inlet is sampled to monitor drinking water quality and is representative of a control location due to its distance from the site. The city water inlet is located 7.8 miles west of the site in an "up-stream" direction based on the current patterns in the lake.

Sampla	Tritium Concentration pCi/liter					
Location	Minimum	Maximum	Mean			
Location	17711111111111111	Maximum	(Annual)			
JAFNPP Inlet (Indicator)*	<410	<422	<414			
Oswego Generating Station	~106	~115	~111			
Inlet (Control)*	<400	<b>~413</b>	<b>~4</b> 11			
NMP1 Inlet	397	<411	<406			
NMP2 Inlet	<406	<415	<411			
Oswego City Water Supply	<406	<415	<411			
10 II C I II 0001						

The following table provides the specific results for the 2009 sample program:

\* Sample location required by ODCM

The LLD values are below the ODCM required LLD of 3000 pCi/l for a non-drinking water pathway.

Analytical results for surface water samples are found in Section 6.0, Tables 6-3 through 6-4.

## C. Dose Evaluation

The radiological impact to members of the public from low levels of tritium in water is insignificant. This can be illustrated by calculating a dose to the whole body and maximum organ using the maximum LLD value and Regulatory Guide 1.109 methodology. Based on a water ingestion rate of 510 liters/yr and the maximum 2009 LLD concentration of <422 pCi/l, the calculated dose would be less than 0.044 mrem to the child whole body and less than 0.044 mrem to the child liver (critical age group/organ).

## D. Data Trends

There are no data trends for gamma emitters such as Cs-137 and Co-60 as historically these radionuclides have not been detected in lake water samples.

Tritium results for the 2009 lake water samples were consistent with results from the previous five-years for both the indicator and control locations. The mean measured tritium concentrations for the previous five-year period of 2004 to 2008 was <LLD pCi/l for the control and the indicator location. The mean 2009 tritium concentrations were <411 pCi/l for the control and <414 pCi/l for the indicator locations. The previous five-year data indicates no significant trends in either the indicator or the control mean concentrations. This previous

five-year data set is consistent with long-term tritium results measured at the site. The indicator data from the previous ten-year period, 1999 through 2008, is representative of natural variations in environmental tritium concentrations with no significant levels of tritium measured. The 1999 mean control value of 337 pCi/l is the highest concentration measured since 1989 and is within the variability of results measured over the life of the program. The ten-year historical results are consistent between the control and indicator locations with no large variation in the measured results.

The following graph illustrates the concentrations of tritium measured in Lake Ontario over the previous 20 years at both an indicator and control location. Prior to 1985, the Oswego City Water Supply results were used as control location data as this location closely approximates the Oswego Generating Station, the current control location.



## **Surface Water Tritium**

Section 7.0, Tables 7-7 and 7-8 show historical environmental sample data for surface water tritium.

## 5.1.4 GROUNDWATER

#### A. Results Summary

A groundwater monitoring program is not required by the ODCM. The program is being implemented as the result of Nuclear Energy Institue (NEI) Ground Water Protection Initiative. Groundwater samples were collected from a number of locations shown in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-6 and listed in Table 3.3-1.
A total of 11 samples were collected for the 2009 sample program using five indicator locations and two control locations. The results for the 2009 samples showed no positive detections of tritium. All results in 2009 were below the established measurement sensitivity and are reported as less than the lower limit of detection (<LLD).

#### **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

Gamma and strontium analysis were also performed on samples from two of the indicator locations and each each control location. No plant-related radionuclides were detected in the 2009 samples. This is consistent with historical data, which has not shown the presence of plant-related radionuclides in groundwater samples.

Tritium samples analyzed for the 2009 sample program were analysed to a instrument detection limit of 500 pCi/l. The tritium results for the control locations contained no positive detections and had LLD values that ranged from <411 pCi/l to <418 pCi/l. The indicator locations results showed no positive detections and had LLD values that ranged from <406 pCi/l to <424 pCi/l.

Analytical results for groundwater samples are found in Section 6.0, Table 6-5.

#### C. Dose Evaluation

Sampling for groundwater, as found in Section D 3.5.1 of the NMP2 ODCM, was not required during 2009. There was no groundwater source in 2009 that was tapped for drinking or irrigation purposes in areas where the hydraulic gradient or recharge properties support contaminatant migration; therefore, drinking water was not a dose pathway during 2009.

#### D. Data Trends

There are no data trends for gamma emitters or strontium as these radionuclides have not been detected in groundwater samples.

Historical data for groundwater tritium is presented in Section 7.0, Tables 7-9 and 7-10.

#### 5.2 TERRESTRIAL PROGRAM

The terrestrial program consists of samples collected from four environmental pathways. These pathways are:

- Airborne Particulate and Radioiodine,
- Direct Radiation,
- Milk, and
- Food Products.

Section 6.0, Tables 6-6 through 6-14 present the analytical results for the terrestrial samples collected for the 2009 reporting period.

#### 5.2.1 AIR PARTICULATE GROSS BETA

#### A. Results Summary

Weekly air samples were collected and analyzed for particulate gross beta particulate activity. For the 2009 program, a total of 52 samples were collected from control location R-5, and 208 samples were collected from indicator locations R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4. These five locations are required by the ODCM. Additional air sampling locations are maintained and are discussed in Section 5.2.1.B below. The mean gross beta concentration for samples collected from the control location (R-5) in 2009 was 0.016 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. The mean gross beta concentration for the samples collected from the indicator locations (R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4) in 2009 was 0.016 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. The consistency between the indicator and control mean values, demonstrates that there are no increased airborne radioactivity levels in the general vicinity of the site. The indicator results are consistent with concentrations measured over the last twenty one years. This consistency demonstrates that the natural baseline gross beta activity has been reached. The man-made radionuclide contribution to the natural background from atmospheric weapons testing and Chernobyl can no longer be detected above the background concentrations of naturally occurring beta emitting radionuclides.

#### **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

The air monitoring system consists of fifteen sample locations, six onsite and nine offsite. Each location is sampled weekly for particulate gross beta activity. A total of 780 samples were collected and analyzed as part of the 2009 program. Five of the nine offsite locations are required by the ODCM. These locations are designated as R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4, and R-5. R-5 is a control location required by the ODCM and is located beyond any local influence from the site. In addition, optional offsite and onsite air sample locations are maintained from which weekly samples are collected. The optional offsite locations are designated as D-2, E, F and G. The optional onsite locations are designated as D-1, G, H, I, J and K.

Gross beta analysis requires that the samples be counted no sooner than 24 hours after collection. This allows for the decay of short half-life naturally-occurring radionuclides, thereby increasing the sensitivity of the analysis for plant-related radionuclides.

Section 6.0, Tables 6-6 and 6-7 present the weekly gross beta activity results for samples collected from the offsite and onsite locations.

The mean annual gross beta indicator concentrations for the ODCM indicator stations (R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4) was 0.016 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. The offsite ODCM control station (R-5) annual mean gross beta concentration was 0.016 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. The minimum, maximum and average gross beta results for sample locations required by the ODCM were as follows:

		Concentration pCi/m <sup>3</sup>	
Location	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
R-1	0.008	0.032	0.017
R-2	0.006	0.029	0.016
<b>R-3</b>	0.007	0.026	0.016
R-4	0.007	0.027	0.016
R-5 (Control)	0.007	0.030	0.016

R1 – R4 Indicator Stations Minumum = 0.006 Maximum = 0.032 Average = 0.016

5 - 18

The mean weekly gross beta concentrations measured in 2009 are illustrated in the following graphs:



Air Particular Filter - Gross Beta (2009)





The fluctuations observed in the gross beta activity over the year can be attributed to changes in the environment, especially seasonal changes. The concentrations of naturally-occurring radionuclides in the lower levels of the atmosphere directly above the land are affected by time-related processes such as wind direction, precipitation, snow cover, soil temperature and soil moisture content.

The analytical data for gross beta are presented in Section 6.0, Tables 6-6 and 6-7.

#### C. Dose Evaluation

Dose calculations are not performed based on gross beta concentrations. Dose to man as a result of radioactivity in air is calculated using the specific radionuclide and the associated dose factor. See Section 5.2.2.C for dose calculations from air concentrations. The dose received by man from air gross beta concentration is a component of the natural background.

## D. Data Trends

With the exception of the 1986 sample data, which was affected by the Chernobyl accident, the general trend in air particulate gross beta activity has been one of decreasing activity since 1981, when the mean control value was  $0.165 \text{ pCi/m}^3$ . The 1981 samples were affected by fallout from a Chinese atmospheric nuclear test which was carried out in 1980.

The mean gross beta concentrations measured in 1977 to 2009 are illustrated in the following graph:



Air Particulate Filter - Gross Beta

The trend for the previous five years represents a base line concentration or natural background level for gross beta concentrations. This trend is stable with minor

fluctuations due to natural variations. The change in concentrations over the period of 2000 through 2009 is very small. This is illustrated by the following graph:



Air Particulate Filter - Gross Beta

For the previous 10 years, the mean annual gross beta concentration at the control station (R-5) has remained steady with a narrow range of 0.015 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> to 0.019 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. The mean annual concentrations for the indicator stations for this same time period were similar to the control and ranged from a minimum mean of 0.015 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> to a maximum mean of 0.018 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>.

Historical data of air particulate gross beta activity are presented in Section 7.0, Tables 7-11 and 7-12.

#### 5.2.2 MONTHLY PARTICULATE COMPOSITES (GAMMA EMITTERS)

#### A. Results Summary

Fifteen air monitoring stations are maintained around the Nine Mile Point site. Five of the 15 air monitoring stations are required by the ODCM; four are located offsite near the site boundary, and one is located offsite as a control location. Ten additional air sampling stations are also maintained as part of the sampling program. Together, these fifteen continuous air sampling stations make up a comprehensive environmental monitoring network for measuring radioactive air particulate concentrations in the environs of the site. Annually, the air monitoring stations provide 780 individual air particulate samples that are assembled by location into 180 monthly composite samples. The monthly composites are analyzed using gamma spectroscopy.

No plant-related gamma emitting radionuclides were detected in any of the air particulate filter samples collected during 2009.

The gamma analysis results for the monthly composite samples routinely showed positive detections of Be-7, K-40, and Ra-226. Each of these radionuclides is naturally occurring.

#### **B.** Data Evaluation Discussion

A total of fifteen air sampling stations are in continuous operation and located both onsite and in the offsite sectors surrounding the Nine Mile Point site. Five of the fifteen monitoring stations are required by the ODCM, and the remaining ten are optional to provide an effective monitoring network. Composite air filter samples are assembled for each of the fifteen sampling locations. Each of the weekly air particulate filters collected for the month is assembled by location to form monthly composite samples. The monthly composite samples required by the ODCM are composite samples assembled for R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4 and R-5. Other sample locations not required by the ODCM, for which analytical results have been provided, include six onsite locations and four offsite locations. The analytical results for the 60 air particulate filter composites in 2009 showed no detectable activity of plant related radionuclides.

The results of the quarterly composite samples are presented in Section 6.0, Table 6-10 and 6 - 11.

#### C. Dose Evaluation

The calculated dose as a result of plant effluents is not evaluated due to the fact that no plant related radionuclides were detected in 2009. The monthly air particulate sampling program demonstrated no offsite dose to man from this pathway as a result of operations of the plants located at the Nine Mile Point site.

#### D. Data Trends

No plant related radionuclides were detected during 2009 at the offsite air monitoring locations.

The ten-year database of air particulate composite analysis shows that there is no buildup or routine presence of plant related radionuclides in particulate form in the atmosphere around the site. Historically Co-60 was detected in each of the years from 1977 through 1984 at both the indicator and control locations, with the exception of 1980 when Co-60 was not detected at the control location. The presence of Co-60 in the air samples collected during these years was the result of atmospheric weapons testing. Co-60 was again detected in an offsite 2000 indicator sample and was the only positive detection of Co-60 since 1984. The detection of Co-60 in the one 2000 sample was an isolated event associated with effluents from the NMP1 facility. There have been no subsequent measurable concentrations of Co-60 in the environment surrounding the Nine Mile Point site.

Historical data shows that Cs-137 is the fission product radionuclide most frequently detected in the air particulate filter composites. Cs-137 was detected in each of the years from 1977 through 1983 at both the control and indicator sampling locations. The presence of Cs-137 in the air samples collected during these years was the result of atmospheric weapons testing. Cs-137 was again detected in 1986 as a result of the Chernobyl accident. Since 1986 there have been no detections of Cs-137 in the environment surrounding the Nine Mile Point site.

After 1986, no plant related or fallout radionuclides were detected in any of the offsite air particulate composite samples with the exception of the isolated detection of Co-60 in 2000 in a single sample. A review of the past five years' data for air particulate filter composites indicates no plant related radiological impact on the environment. All previous historical positive detections of fission product radionuclides were associated with atmospheric weapons testing or the Chernobyl accident, with the exception of the 2000 detection noted above.

Historical data for air particulate results are presented in Section 7.0, Tables 7-13 and 7-14.

#### **5.2.3 AIRBORNE RADIOIODINE (I-131)**

#### A. Results Summary

Iodine-131 (I-131) was not detected in any of the 780 samples analyzed for the 2009 program. No radioiodine has been measured offsite at the constant air monitoring stations since 1987.

#### **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

Airborne radioiodine (I-131) is monitored at the fifteen air sampling stations also used to collect air particulate samples. There are nine offsite locations, five of which are required by the ODCM. The offsite locations required by the ODCM are designated as R-1, R-2, R-3, R-4 and R-5. R-5 is a control station located beyond any local influence from the plant. Ten air sampling locations are also maintained in addition to those required by the ODCM.

Six of these stations D-1, G, H, I, J and K are located onsite. D-2, E, F and G are the optional stations located offsite. Samples are collected using activated charcoal cartridges. They are analyzed weekly for I-131. I-131 was not detected in any of the 2009 samples collected.

The analytical data for radioiodine are presented in Section 6.0, Tables 6-8 and 6-9.

#### C. Dose Evaluation

The calculated dose as a result of I-131 was not evaluated due to the fact I-131 was not detected during 2009. The I-131 sampling program demonstrated no offsite dose to man from this pathway as a result of operation of the plants located at the Nine Mile Point site.

#### D. Data Trends

No radioiodine has been detected in samples collected from the air sampling locations required by the ODCM since 1987.

There has been no positive detection of I-131 in air samples collected over the last ten years. This demonstrates that there is no measurable environmental impact or positive trend for iodine buildup due to plant operations during the period from 2000 through 2009. I-131 has previously been detected in samples collected during the last twenty five year period in 1986 and 1987. The 1986 detection of I-131 was the result of the Chernobyl accident, and the 1987 detection was the result of plant operations.

I-131 has been detected in the past at control locations. Control samples collected during 1976 had a mean I-131 concentration of 0.60 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. During 1977 this mean decreased to 0.32 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>, and further decreased by a factor of ten to 0.03 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> in 1978. I-131 was not detected in samples collected from the control location during 1979 – 1981 and 1983 to 1985. I-131 was detected once at the control location during 1982 at a concentration of 0.039 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>.

Iodine-131 has been detected in samples collected from the on-site indicator locations during 1976 to 1978, 1980 to 1983 and 1986 to 1987. The mean concentrations ranged from 0.013 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> in 1980 to a maximum of 0.33 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> in 1976. The maximum mean indicator I-131 concentration of 0.33 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> was the result of the atmospheric nuclear testing. The Chernobyl accident resulted in I-131 being detected in a total of 75 weekly samples collected during the 1986 sample program. The 1986 measured concentrations ranged from a minimum of 0.023 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> to a maximum of 0.36 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. Each positive

detection of I-131 in samples collected in 1986 was the direct result of the Chernobyl accident.

Historical data for I-131 are presented in Section 7.0, Tables 7-15 and 7-16.

#### 5.2.4 DIRECT RADIATION THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETERS (TLD)

#### A. Results Summary

Thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs) are used to measure direct radiation (gamma dose) in the environment. As part of the 2009 environmental monitoring program, TLDs were placed at a total of 72 different environmental TLD locations (32 required by the ODCM and 40 optional locations). These TLDs were placed, collected and read each quarter of 2009. As a result of placing two TLDs at each location, the results presented in this report are the average of two TLD readings obtained for a given location.

The 72 TLDs were placed in the following five geographical locations around the site boundary:

- Onsite (areas within the site boundary: TLDs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 23, 24, 25, and 26; TLDs 18, 27, 28, 29, 30, 30, 31, 39, 47, 103, 106, and 107 are excluded)
- Site Boundary (area of the site boundary in each of the 16 meteorological sectors: Only includes TLD results that are not affected by radwaste direct shine, TLDs 7, 18, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, and 84; TLDs 23, 75, 76, 77, 85, 86, and 87 are excluded).
- Offsite Sector (area four to five miles from the site in each of the eight land based meteorological sectors: TLDs 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, and 95)
- Special Interest (areas of high population density and use: TLDs 15, 56, 58, 96, 97 and 98)
- Control (areas beyond significant influence of the site: TLDs 14 and 49)

All geographical locations are required by the ODCM with the exception of the Onsite area which was optional. Description of the five geograpical categories and the designation of specific TLD locations that make up each category is presented in Section 3.1.6, TLD (Direct Radiation) of this report. A summary of the 2009 dose rates for each of the five geographical locations is as follows:

	Dose in mrem per standard month					
Geographic Category	Minimum	Maximum	Mean			
Onsite (Optional)	3.1	13.6	4.9			
Site Boundary (Inner Ring) * <sup>(1)</sup>	3.1	4.5	3.9			
Offsite Sectors (Outer Ring) *	3.3	4.5	3.9			
Special Interest * <sup>(2)</sup>	3.1	4.5	3.8			
Control * <sup>(3)</sup>	3.2	4.2	3.7			

\* Geographical locations required by the ODCM

1 Only includes TLD results that are not affected by radwaste direct shine (TLDs. 7, 18, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, and 84)

2 Only includes TLD results required by the ODCM (TLDs 15, 56, 58, 96, 97, and 98)

3 Only includes TLD results required by the ODCM (TLDs 14 and 49)

Comparison of annual mean dose rates associated with each geographical location indicate that there is no statistical difference in annual dose as a function of distance from the site boundary. The measured annual dose rate at the nearest resident to the site was consistent with the dose rates measured at the site boundary and control locations. The results for the Site Boundary, Offsite Sectors and Special Interest (Offsite) were well within expected normal variation when compared to the Control TLD results.

The results for the 2009 environmental TLD monitoring program indicate that there was no significant increase in dose rates as a result of operations at the site. The Hydrogen Water Chemistry systems used at NMPNS did not measurably increase the ambient radiation exposure rate beyond the site boundary.

#### **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

Direct Radiation (Gamma Dose) measurements were taken at 72 different environmental locations during 2009, 32 of which are required by the ODCM. These locations are grouped into five geographical location categories for evaluation of results. The five categories include: Onsite, Site Boundary, Offsite Sectors, Special Interest, and Control locations. All categories are required by the ODCM with the exception of the Onsite TLDs. Onsite TLDs are placed at various locations within the site boundary to provide additional information on direct radiation levels at and around the NMP1, NMP2 and JAFNPP facilities.

Onsite TLDs are optional and are subdivided into three categories for which direct radiation results are evaluated. The 2009 direct radiation results for Onsite TLD locations were as follows:

- 1. Results for TLDs located near the NMP1, NMP2 and JAFNPP generating facilities and at previous or existing on-site air monitoring stations ranged from 3.1 to 13.6 mrem per standard month.
- 2. Results for TLDs located near the north shoreline of NMP1, NMP2 and Fitzpatrick facilities in close proximity to the Radwaste and NMP1 Reactor Building ranged from 3.2 to 28.6 mrem per standard month.
- 3. Results for TLDs located onsite near the Energy Information Center and its associated shoreline ranged from 3.8 to 5.2 mrem per standard month.

Site Boundary TLD results ranged from 3.1 to 11.7 mrem per standard month in 2009. This range included all TLDs placed in each of the 16 meteorological sectors in the general area of the site boundary. The highest dose rate measured at a location required by the ODCM was 11.7 mrem per standard month. This TLD, (TLD 85) represents the site boundary maximum dose and is located in the WNW sector along the lake shore in close proximity to the NMP1 plant. The TLD locations along the lakeshore close to the plants (TLDs 75, 76, 77, 85, 86 and 87) are influenced by radwaste buildings and radwaste shipping activities. These locations and are not accessible to members of the public, and the TLD results for these areas are not representative of dose rates measured at the remaining site boundary locations. The remaining Site Boundary TLD locations, which are located away from the plant ranged from 3.1 to 4.5 mrem per standard month resulting in an average dose rate of 3.9 mrem per standard month.

Offsite Sector TLDs, required by the ODCM, located 4 to 5 miles from the site in each of the 8 land based meteorological sectors ranged from 3.3 to 4.5 mrem per standard month with an average dose rate of 3.9 mrem per standard month.

Special Interest TLDs from all locations ranged from 3.1 to 4.5 mrem per standard month with an annual average dose rate of 3.8 mrem per standard month.

The Control TLD group required by the ODCM utilizes locations positioned well beyond the site. 2009 Control TLD results ranged from 3.2 to 4.8 mrem per standard month with an annual average dose rate of 3.9 mrem per standard month. These results include both the ODCM required control TLDs (14 and 49) and the additional control TLDs (8, 111 and 113).

TLD analysis results are presented in Section 6.0, Table 6-12.

#### C. Dose evaluation

2009 annual mean dose rates for each geographic location required by the ODCM are as follows:

Site Boundary:	3.9 mrem per standard month	(TLDs: 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 7 and 18)
Offsite Sectors:	3.9 mrem per standard month	(TLDs: 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94 and 95)
Special Interest:	3.8 mrem per standard month	(TLDs: 15, 56, 58, 96, 97 and 98)
Control:	3.7 mrem per standard month	(TLDs 14 and 49)

The measured mean dose rate in the proximity of the closest resident was 4.1 mrem per standard month (TLD #s: 108, 109) which is consistent with the control measurements of 3.7 mrem per standard month.

The mean annual dose for each of the geographic location categories demonstrates that there is no statistical difference in the annual dose as a function of distance from the site. The TLD program verifies that operations at the site do not measurably contribute to the levels of direct radiation present in the offsite environment.

## D. Data Trends

A comparison of historical TLD results can be made using the different geographical categories of measurement locations. These include Site Boundary TLDs located in each of the 16 meteorological sectors, TLDs located offsite in each land based sector at a distance of 4 to 5 miles from the site, TLDs located at special interest areas and TLDs located at control locations. Site Boundary, Offsite Sector and Special Interest TLD locations became effective in 1985; therefore, trends for these results can only be evaluated from 1985 to the present.

The following graph illustrates TLD results for the Control, Site Boundary, Offsite Sectors and Special Interest groups from 2000 through 2009:



TLDs located at the site boundary averaged 3.9 mrem per standard month during 2009 (Site Boundary average results do not include TLDs influenced by radwaste buildings and radwaste shipping activities). This result is consistent with the previous five year average of 4.2 mrem per standard month.

Offsite Sector TLDs averaged 3.9 mrem per standard month during 2009. This result is also consistent with the previous five-year average of 4.1 mrem per standard month for offsite sectors.

Special Interest TLD locations averaged 3.8 mrem per standard month during 2009 which is consistent with the previous five-year average of 4.0 mrem per standard month.

The last group of TLD locations required by the ODCM is the Control Group. This group utilized TLD locations positioned well beyond the site. 2009 control results from all Control TLDs averaged 3.9 mrem per standard month, consistent with the previous five-year average of 4.2 mrem per standard month. The 2009 TLD program results, when compared to the previous ten years, showed no significant trends relative to increased dose rates in the environment.

Section 7.0, Tables 7-17 through 7-22 show the historical environmental sample data for environmental TLDs.

#### 5.2.5 MILK

#### A. Results Summary

A total of 45 milk samples were collected during the 2009 program and analyzed for gamma emitting radionuclides using gamma spectroscopy. In addition, each sample undergoes an iodine extraction procedure to determine the presence of Iodine-131 (I-131).

I-131, a possible plant related radionuclide, is measured to evaluate the cow/milk dose pathway to man. I-131 was not detected in any of the 45 milk samples collected in 2009 from the four milk sample locations.

Gamma spectral analyses of the milk samples showed only naturally occurring radionuclides, such as K-40, were detected in milk samples collected during 2009. K-40 was detected in all indicator and control samples. K-40 is a naturally occurring radionuclide and is found in many environmental sample media.

The 2009 results demonstrate that routine operations of the Nine Mile Point site resulted in no measurable contribution to the "dose to the public" from the cow/milk pathway.

#### **B.** Sampling Overview

Milk samples were collected from three indicator locations and one control location. The ODCM requires that three sample locations be within five miles of the site. Based on the milch animal census, there were no adequate milk sample locations within five miles of the site in 2009. Samples were collected from three farms located beyond the five-mile requirement to ensure the continued monitoring of this important pathway. The two indicator locations ranged from 6 to 9 miles from the site. The control samples were collected from the site and in a low frequency wind sector (upwind). The geographic location of each sample location is listed below:

Location No.	Direction From Site	Distance (Miles)	
76	ESE	6.3	
55	E	8.8	
77 (Control)	SSW	16.0	

Samples were collected from Indicator locations #55 and Control location #77 from April through December. Indicator location #76 was samples from April through August.

Sampling occurs during the first and second half of each month. Samples were not required to be collected during January through March of 2009 as a result of I-131 not having been detected in samples collected during November and December of 2008, as stipulated in the ODCM.

#### C. Data Evaluation and Discussion

Each milk sample is analyzed for gamma emitters using gamma spectral analysis. The I-131 analysis is performed using resin extraction followed by spectral analysis for each sample. I-131 and gamma analysis results for milk samples collected during 2009 are provided in Section 6.0, Table 6-13.

Iodine 131 was not detected in any indicator or control milk samples analyzed during 2009. All I-131 milk results were reported as Lower Limits of Detection (LLD). The LLD results for all samples ranged from <0.41 to <0.75 pCi/liter. No plant-related radionuclides were detected in any milk sample collected in 2009. K-40 was the most abundant radionuclide detected, and found in every indicator and control sample collected. K-40 is a naturally-occurring radionuclide and is found in many of the environmental media samples. Cs-137 was not detected in any indicator or control milk sample collected in 2009.

The results of the milk samples are presented in Section 6.0, Table 6-13.

#### **D. Dose Evaluation**

The calculated dose as a result of plant effluents is not evaluated due to the fact that no plant-related radionuclides were detected.

The dose to man from naturally occurring concentrations of K-40 in milk and other environmental media can be calculated. This calculation illustrates that the dose received due to exposure from plant effluents is negligible compared to the dose received from naturally occurring radionuclides. Significant levels of K-40 have been measured in environmental samples. A 70 kilogram (154 pound) adult contains approximately 0.1 microcuries of K-40 as a result of normal life functions (inhalation, consumption, etc.). The dose to bone tissue is about 20 mrem per year as a result of internal deposition of naturally-occurring K-40.

#### E. Data Trends

Man-made radionuclides are not routinely detected in milk samples. In the past twenty five years, Cs-137 was only detected in 1986, 1987, and 1988. The mean Cs-137 indicator activities for those years were 8.6, 6.8 and 10.0 pCi/liter, respectively. I-131 was measured in two milk samples collected in 1997 from a single indicator sample location, having a mean concentration of 0.50 pCi/liter and was of undetermined origin. The previous detection was in 1986 with a mean concentration of 13.6 pCi/liter in a control location. The 1986 - 1988 activity was a result of the Chernobyl accident.

The comparison of 2009 data to historical results over the operating life of the plants shows that Cs-137 and I-131 levels have decreased significantly since the 1980's.

Historical data of milk sample results for Cs-137 and I-131 are presented in Section 7.0, Tables 7-23 and 7-24.

#### **5.2.6 FOOD PRODUCTS (VEGETATION)**

#### A. Results Summary

There were no plant-related radionuclides detected in the 21 food product samples collected and analyzed for the 2009 program.

Detectable levels of naturally occurring K–40 were measured in all control and indicator samples collected for the 2009 program. Be-7 a naturally-occurring radionuclide, was also detected intermittently in samples collected in 2009. These results are consistent with the levels measured in previous years.

The results of the 2009 sampling program demonstrate that there is no measurable impact on the dose to the public from the garden pathway as a result of plant operations.

#### **B.** Data Analysis and Discussion

Food product samples were collected from five indicator locations and one control location. The indicator locations are represented by nearby gardens in areas of highest D/Q (deposition factor) values based on historical meteorology and an annual garden census. The control location was a garden 15 miles away in a predominately upwind direction.

Food product samples collected during 2009 did not included any varieties considered to be an edible broadleaf vegetables. The general lack of edible broadleaf vegetation samples was the result of grower preference and such varieties were not available in local gardens. Where broadleaf vegetables were not available, non-edible broadleaf vegetation were collected. Non-edible vegetation consisting of collard greens, cabbage, swiss chard, squash leaves, rhubarb leaves, grape leaves, corn leaves, horseradish leaves, pumpkin leaves, and corn leaves were collected for the 2009 program. The leaves of these plants were sampled as representative of broadleaf vegetation which is a measurement of radionuclide deposition. In addition to the broadleaf vegetation, tomato samples were collected from all locations except location #144. Samples were collected during the late summer/fall harvest season. Each sample was analyzed for gamma emitters using gamma spectroscopy.

The analysis of food product samples collected during 2009 did not detect any plantrelated radionuclides. Results for the past five years also demonstrate that there is no buildup of plant-related radionuclides in the garden food products grown in areas close to the site.

Naturally-occurring Be-7 and K-40 were detected in food product samples. The results for naturally-occurring radionuclides are consistent with the data of prior years.

Analytical results for food products are found in Section 6.0, Table 6-14.

#### C. Dose Evaluation

The calculated dose as a result of plant effluents is not evaluated due to the fact that no plant-related radionuclides were detected. The food product sampling program demonstrated no measurable offsite dose to man from this pathway as a result of operations of the plants located at the Nine Mile Point site.

#### **D.** Data Trends

Food product/vegetation sample results for the last five years demonstrate that there is no chronic deposition or buildup of plant-related radionuclides in the garden food products in the environs near the site.

The last positive indication was for Cs-137 which was detected at one indicator location in 1999 with a concentration of 0.008 pCi/g (wet).

Historically, Cs-137 had been detected in ten separate years since 1976 ranging from a maximum mean concentration of 0.047 pCi/g (wet) in 1985 to a minimum of 0.004 pCi/g (wet) in 1979. The trend for Cs-137 is a general reduction in concentration to non-detectable levels in samples collected during the 2001 through 2009 sample programs.

Historical data of food product results are presented in Section 7.0, Tables 7-25 and 7-26.

#### 5.2.7 LAND USE CENSUS RESULTS

#### A. Results Summary

The ODCM requires that an annual land use census be performed to identify potential new locations for milk sampling and for calculating the dose to man from plant effluents. In 2009, a milk animal census, a nearest resident census, and a garden census were performed.

The results of the closest residence census conducted in 2009 required no change to either the NMP1 or NMP2 ODCMs' closest resident location.

A garden census, not required by the ODCM, is performed to identify appropriate garden sampling locations and dose calculation receptors. Garden samples were collected from a number of locations listed in Table 5-1 of the NMP1 and NMP2 ODCMs and identified in the census as active for 2009. See Table 3.3-1 for 2009 sampling locations.

#### **B.** Data Evaluation and Discussion

A land use census is conducted each year to determine the utilization of land in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point site. The land use census consists of two types of surveys. A milk animal census is conducted to identify all milk animals within a distance of 10 miles from the site. The census, covering areas out to a distance of 10 miles exceeds the 5 mile distance required by the ODCM. A resident census is conducted and is designed to identify the nearest resident in each meteorological sector out to a distance of 5 miles.

The milk animal census is an estimation of the number of cows and goats within an approximate 10 mile radius of the Nine Mile Point Site. The annual census is conducted during the first half of the grazing season by sending questionnaires to previous milk animal owners and also by road surveys to locate any possible new locations. In the event the questionnaires are not answered, the owners are contacted by telephone or in person.

The local county agricultural extension service is also contacted as an additional source of information concerning new milk animal locations in the vicinity of the site.

The number of milk animals located within an approximate 10 mile radius of the site was estimated to be 406 cows and no goats based on the 2009 land use census. The number of cows has decreased by 10, when compared to the 2008 census. The results of the milk animal census are found in Section 6.0, Table 6-15.

The second type of census conducted is a residence census. The census is conducted in order to identify the closest residence within 5 miles in each of the 22.5 degree land-based meteorological sectors. There are only eight sectors over land where residences are located within 5 miles. The water sectors include: N, NNE, NE, ENE, W, WNW, NW and NNW. The results of the residence census, showing the applicable sectors and degrees and distance of each of the nearest residence, are found in Section 6.0, Table 6-16. There were no changes identified in the 2009 census for the closest resident in the land based meteorological sectors. The nearest resident locations are illustrated in Section 3.3, Figure 3.3-5.

#### 5.3 CONCLUSION

The Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) is an ongoing program implemented to measure and document the radiological impact of NMPNS operations on the local environment. The program is designed to detect and evaluate small changes in the radiological environment surrounding the site. Environmental media representing food sources consumed at the higher levels of the food chain, such as fish, food products and milk, are part of a comprehensive sampling program. Results of all samples are reviewed closely to determine any possible impact to the environment or to man. In addition, program results are evaluated for possible short- and long-term historical trends.

The federal government has established dose limits to protect the public from radiation and radioactivity. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) specifies a whole body dose limit of 100 mrem/yr to be received by the maximum exposed member of the general public. This limit is set forth in Section 1301, Part 20, Title 10 of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 20). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limits the annual whole body dose to 25 mrem/yr, which is specified in Section 10, Part 190, Title 40, of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 190). Radiation exposure to members of the public, calculated based on the results of the REMP, is extremely small. The dose to members of the public from operations at the Nine Mile Point site, based on environmental measurement and calculations made from effluent releases, is determined to be a fraction of limits set forth by the NRC and EPA.

The results of the 2009 REMP continue to clearly demonstrate that there is no significant shortterm or chronic long-term radiological impact on the environment in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point site. No unusual radiological characteristics were measured or observed in the local environment. The REMP continues to demonstrate that the effluents from the site to the environment contribute no significant or even measurable radiation exposures to the general public as confirmed by the sampling and analysis of environmental media from recognized environmental pathways. Based on TLD results, there was no measurable increase in radiation levels beyond the site boundary as a result of the hydrogen water chemistry programs. Environmental radiation levels measured at the nearest residence are at the background level based on control station TLD results. The only measurable radiological impact on the environment continues to be the result of atmospheric weapons testing conducted in the early 1980s and the 1986 accident at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant. Both of these source terms have contributed to a measurable inventory of Cs-137 in the environment. The results for the 2009 sample program demonstrate that the concentrations of man-made radionuclides continue to decline. This reduction in environmental background concentrations will allow for the site environmental program to become more sensitive to the measurable impact of plant operations on the environment as time goes on.

The REMP did not detect any plant-related radionuclide in the sample media collected during 2009. However, tritium was detected in one optional location, the NMP1 intake, and may have been attributed to the NMP1 Emergency Condenser test performed during the first quarter of 2009. The level of tritium found was within the historical variations for levels of tritium occurring in Lake Ontario. Dose from man-made sources in the environment is very small when compared to the dose originating from naturally-occurring sources of radioactivity.

Radiation from naturally-occurring radionuclides such as K-40 and Ra-226 contributed the vast majority of the total annual dose to members of the general public. The dose to members of the public, resulting from plant operations, is extremely small in comparison to the dose contribution from natural background levels and sources other than the plants. The whole body dose in Oswego County due to natural sources is approximately 50 - 60 mrem per individual per year as demonstrated by control environmental TLDs. The fraction of the annual dose to man, attributable to site operation, remains insignificant.

Based upon the overall results of the 2009 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, it can be concluded that the levels and variation of radioactivity in the environment samples were consistent with background levels that would be expected for the lakeshore environment of the site.

#### 5.4 **REFERENCES**

- 1. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.109, "Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I", March 1976.
- 2. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 1.109, "Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix I", October 1977 (Revision 1).
- 3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulatory Guide 4.8, "Environmental Technical Specifications for Nuclear Power Plants", December, 1975.
- 4. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Branch Technical Position to Regulatory Guide 4.8, "An Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program", Revision 1, November 1979.
- 5. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), <u>Environmental</u> <u>Radiation Measurements</u>, NCRP Report No. 50, 1975.
- 6. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), <u>Natural Background</u> <u>Radiation in the United States</u>, NCRP Report No. 45, 1975.
- 7. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), <u>Cesium-137 from</u> the Environment to Man: Metabolism and Dose, NCRP Report No. 52, 1977.
- 8. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurments (NCRP), <u>Radiation Exposure</u> from Consumer Products and Miscellaneous Sources, NCRP Report No. 56, 1977.
- 9. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), <u>Radionuclide Release into</u> the Environment: Assessment of Doses to Man, ICRP Publication 29, 1979.
- 10. Glasstone, Samuel and Jordan, Walter H., <u>Nuclear Power and Its Environmental Effects</u>, First Edition, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Ill., 1980.
- 11. Schleien, Bernard. <u>The Health Physics and Radiological Health Handbook</u>, Scinta, Inc., Silver Spring, Maryland, 1992.

- 12. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, <u>Preparedness and Response in Radiation</u> <u>Accidents</u>, National Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Rackville, Maryland 20857, August 1983.
- 13. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurments (NCRP), <u>Ionizing Radiation</u> <u>Exposure of the Population of the United States</u>, NCRP Report No. 93, 1987.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), <u>Exposure of the</u> <u>Population in the United States and Canada from National Background Radiation</u>, NCRP Report No. 94, 1987.

#### 6.0 **REPORT PERIOD ANALYTICAL RESULTS TABLES**

Environmental sample data is summarized in table format. Tables are provided for select sample media and contain data based on actual values obtained over the year. These values are comprised of both positive values and LLD values where applicable.

The LLD is the smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that will be detected with 95% probability and with 5% probability of falsely concluding that a blank observation represents a "real" signal (see Section 3.7.3 for detailed explanation).

When the initial count of a sample indicates the presence of radioactivity, two recounts are normally performed. When a radionuclide is positively identified in two or more counts, the analytical results for that radionuclide are reported as the mean of the positive detections and the associated error for that mean (see Section 3.7.2 for methodology).

Many of the tables are footnoted with the term "Plant Related Radionuclides". Plant related radionuclides are radionuclides that are produced in the reactor; as a result of plant operation, either through the activation or fission process.

# TABLE 6-1CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SHORELINE SEDIMENT SAMPLESResults in Units of pCi/kg (dry) ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location ***	Collection Date	GAMMA EMITTERS								
Sample Location	Conection Date	K-40	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †			
Sunset Bay (05) *	04/22/09	22480 +/- 660	< 44.0	< 46.0	< 43.2	< 66.5	< LLD			
Suisci Day (03)	10/22/09	21980 +/- 1040	< 79.0	< 85.4	< 68.4	< 123.1	< LLD			
Lang's Beach (06,	04/22/09	12850 +/- 540	< 50.7	< 28.6	< 39.6	< 128.7	<lld< td=""></lld<>			
Control)	10/22/09	10130 +/- 711	< 57.7	< 44.1	< 57.8	< 167.7	< LLD			

\* Sample required by the ODCM

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-5

† Plant related radionuclides

6 - 2

TABLE 6-2CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FISH SAMPLESResults in Units of pCi/kg (wet) ± 1 SigmaFITZPATRICK \* (03)\*\*\*

			GAMMA EMITTERS							
Date	Description	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
6/17/2009	Brown Trout	3943 +/- 451	< 69	< 55	< 169	< 63	< 63	< 47	< 165	< LLD
6/17/2009	Walleye	3858 +/- 470	< 50	< 47	.< 159	< 63	< 55	< 54	< 146	< LLD
6/18/2009	Smallmouth Bass	3805 +/- 495	< 67	< 59	< 139	< 77	< 53	< 57	< 196	< LLD
9/22/2009	Brown Trout	5173 +/- 719	< 78	< 91	< 254	< 101	< 61	< 92	< 232	< LLD
9/22/2009	Walleye	5252 +/- 684	< 88	< 99	< 223	< 69	< 105	< 74	< 236	< LLD
9/22/2009	Smallmouth Bass	8266 +/- 733	< 80	< 105	< 252	< 105	< 91	< 105	< 208	< LLD

\* Sample required by the ODCM

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-5

† Plant related radionuclides

# TABLE 6-2 (Continued) CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FISH SAMPLES Results in Units of pCi/kg (wet) ± 1 Sigma NINE MILE POINT \* (02)\*\*\*

			GAMMA EMITTERS							
Date	Description	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
6/17/2009	Brown Trout	4081 +/- 503	< 62	< 80	< 231	< 61	< 64	< 58	< 124	< LLD
6/17/2009	Walleye	3469 +/- 537	< 58	< 66	< 216	< 83	< 59	< 84	< 200	< LLD
6/18/2009	Smallmouth Bass	6730 +/- 676	< 85	< 58	< 256	< 111	< 83	< 84	< 220	< LLD
9/22/2009	Brown Trout	3605 +/- 553	< 75	< 78	< 51	< 101	< 63	< 53	< 186	<lld< td=""></lld<>
9/22/2009	Walleye	4030 +/- 658	< 86	< 108	< 168	< 26	< 88	< 69	< 246	< LLD
9/22/2009	Smallmouth Bass	4500 +/- 597	< 61	< 69	< 158	< 85	< 69	< 69	< 122	< LLD

\* Sample required by the ODCM

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-5

† Plant related radionuclides

6 - 4

# TABLE 6-2 (Continued)CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FISH SAMPLESResults in Units of pCi/kg (wet) ± 1 SigmaOSWEGO HARBOR (CONTROL) \* (00)\*\*\*

			GAMMA EMITTERS							
Date	Description	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
6/16/2009	Brown Trout	4272 +/- 498	< 49	< 36	< 136	< 63	< 52	< 42	< 178	< LLD
6/16/2009	Walleye	5112 +/- 625	< 92	< 40	< 215	< 86	< 72	< 67	< 198	< LLD
6/16/2009	Smallmouth Bass	5891 +/- 696	< 71	< 91	< 259	< 88	< 100	< 105	< 235	< LLD
9/23/2009	Brown Trout	5552 +/- 611	< 73	< 71	< 217	< 93	< 91	< 89	< 140	< LLD
9/23/2009	Walleye	3359 +/- 488	< 49	< 56	< 181	< 69	< 71	< 55	< 178	< LLD
9/24/2009	Smallmouth Bass	5352 +/- 636	< 75	< 67	< 180	< 78	< 77	< 69	< 159	< LLD

\* Sample required by the ODCM

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-5

† Plant related radionuclides

# TABLE 6-3 CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES (QUARTERLY COMPOSITE SAMPLES)

Results in Units of pCi/l  $\pm$  1 Sigma

STATION CODE •	PERIOD	· DA	DATE	
	First Quarter	01/05/09	03/31/09	< 414
FITZPATRICK*	Second Quarter	03/31/09	06/29/09	<410
(03, INLET)***	Third Quarter	06/29/09	09/30/09	<422
	Fourth Quarter	09/30/09	12/28/09	<411
	First Quarter	12/26/08	03/27/09	<415
OSWEGO GENERATING STATION*	Second Quarter	03/27/09	06/26/09	<410
(08, CONTROL)***	Third Quarter	06/26/09	09/25/09	<406
	Fourth Quarter	09/25/09	12/30/09	<411
	First Quarter	12/26/08	03/27/09	397 ± 128
NINE MILE POINT UNIT 1**	Second Quarter	03/27/09	06/26/09	<410
(09, INLET)***	Third Quarter	06/26/09	09/25/09	<406
	Fourth Quarter	09/25/09	12/30/09	<411
	First Quarter	12/26/08	03/27/09	<415
NINE MILE POINT UNIT 2**	Second Quarter	03/27/09	06/26/09	<410
(11, INLET)***	Third Quarter	06/26/09	09/25/09	<406
	Fourth Quarter	09/25/09	12/30/09	<411
	First Quarter	12/26/08	03/27/09	<415
OSWEGO CITY WATER**	Second Quarter	03/27/09	06/26/09	<410
(10)***	Third Quarter	06/26/09	09/25/09	<406
	Fourth Quarter	09/25/09	12/30/09	<411

\* Sample location required by ODCM

\*\* Optional Sample location

TABLE 6-4
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES
Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma
<b>OSWEGO GENERATING STATION * (08, CONTROL)***</b>

Date	° 1/30/2009	2/27/2009	3/27/2009	5/1/2009	5/29/2009	
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 0.73	< 0.47	< 0.80	< 0.65	< 0.63	< 0.65
Cs-134	< 4.39	< 3.27	< 4.07	< 2.83	< 3.70	< 2.73
Cs-137	< 3.62	< 4.01	< 3.62	< 4.07	< 4.82	< 3.52
Zr-95	< 7.10	< 8.00	< 7.04	< 8.90	< 9.40	< 7.18
Nb-95	< 5.08	< 5.68	< 5.62	< 6.11	< 6.70	< 4.76
Co-58	< 4.27	< 4.76	< 4.46	< 4.73	< 5.37	< 4.77
Mn-54	< 3.57	< 4.31	< 3.61	< 3.97	< 5.51	< 3.99
Fe-59	< 11.38	< 16.15	< 12.49	< 14.24	< 18.49	< 13.18
Zn-65	< 9.23	< 10.35	< 6.41	< 6.05	< 13.43	< 8.27
Co-60	< 3.67	< 4.73	< 4.02	< 3.65	< 5.10	< 3.58
K-40	395.3 +/- 26.38	474.4 +/- 32.17	422.1 +/- 27.11	429.4 +/- 28.05	431.8 +/- 33.13	352.4 +/- 24.58
Ba/La-140	< 12.52	< 11.59	< 10.72	< 11.69	< 13.62	< 11.67
Date	7/31/2009	8/28/2009	9/25/2009	10/30/2009	11/25/2009	12/30/2009
Date NUCLIDE	7/31/2009	8/28/2009	9/25/2009	10/30/2009	11/25/2009	12/30/2009
Date NUCLIDE I-131	7/31/2009 < 0.84	8/28/2009 < 0.52	9/25/2009	10/30/2009 < 0.71	11/25/2009 < 0.69	12/30/2009 < 0.79
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134	7/31/2009 < 0.84 < 3.66	8/28/2009 < 0.52 < 2.86	9/25/2009 < 0.70 < 3.25	10/30/2009 < 0.71 < 4.70	11/25/2009 < 0.69 < 2.38	12/30/2009 < 0.79 < 2.11
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	7/31/2009 < 0.84 < 3.66 < 3.32	8/28/2009 < 0.52 < 2.86 < 3.71	9/25/2009 < 0.70 < 3.25 < 3.15	10/30/2009 < 0.71 < 4.70 < 4.19	<pre>11/25/2009 &lt; 0.69 &lt; 2.38 &lt; 3.38</pre>	12/30/2009       < 0.79
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95	7/31/2009         < 0.84	8/28/2009           < 0.52	9/25/2009 < 0.70 < 3.25 < 3.15 < 6.71	10/30/2009 < 0.71 < 4.70 < 4.19 < 8.65	11/25/2009         < 0.69	12/30/2009         < 0.79
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95	7/31/2009 < 0.84 < 3.66 < 3.32 < 6.57 < 4.98	8/28/2009         < 0.52	9/25/2009 < 0.70 < 3.25 < 3.15 < 6.71 < 4.69	$   \begin{array}{r}     10/30/2009 \\     < 0.71 \\     < 4.70 \\     < 4.19 \\     < 8.65 \\     < 6.37 \\   \end{array} $	11/25/2009 < 0.69 < 2.38 < 3.38 < 6.77 < 4.68	$     \begin{array}{r}       12/30/2009 \\       < 0.79 \\       < 2.11 \\       < 3.31 \\       < 6.84 \\       < 4.73 \\     \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58	7/31/2009         < 0.84	8/28/2009         < 0.52	9/25/2009         < 0.70	$   \begin{array}{r}     10/30/2009 \\     < 0.71 \\     < 4.70 \\     < 4.19 \\     < 8.65 \\     < 6.37 \\     < 5.06 \\   \end{array} $	11/25/2009         < 0.69	$     \begin{array}{r}       12/30/2009 \\       < 0.79 \\       < 2.11 \\       < 3.31 \\       < 6.84 \\       < 4.73 \\       < 3.61 \\     \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54	$     \begin{array}{r}             7/31/2009 \\             < 0.84 \\             < 3.66 \\             < 3.32 \\             < 6.57 \\             < 4.98 \\             < 4.00 \\             < 3.54 \\         \end{array}     $	8/28/2009         < 0.52	9/25/2009         < 0.70	$   \begin{array}{r}     10/30/2009 \\     < 0.71 \\     < 4.70 \\     < 4.19 \\     < 8.65 \\     < 6.37 \\     < 5.06 \\     < 4.54 \\   \end{array} $	11/25/2009         < 0.69	$ \begin{array}{r} 12/30/2009 \\ < 0.79 \\ < 2.11 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 6.84 \\ < 4.73 \\ < 3.61 \\ < 3.31 \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59	7/31/2009   	8/28/2009         < 0.52	9/25/2009         < 0.70	$   \begin{array}{r}     10/30/2009 \\     < 0.71 \\     < 4.70 \\     < 4.19 \\     < 8.65 \\     < 6.37 \\     < 5.06 \\     < 4.54 \\     < 14.05 \\   \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 0.69 \\ < 2.38 \\ < 3.38 \\ < 6.77 \\ < 4.68 \\ < 3.79 \\ < 3.68 \\ < 11.90 \\ \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       12/30/2009 \\       < 0.79 \\       < 2.11 \\       < 3.31 \\       < 6.84 \\       < 4.73 \\       < 3.61 \\       < 3.31 \\       < 11.90 \\     \end{array} $
Date           NUCLIDE           I-131           Cs-134           Cs-137           Zr-95           Nb-95           Co-58           Mn-54           Fe-59           Zn-65	7/31/2009   		$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\ < 0.71 \\ < 4.70 \\ < 4.19 \\ < 8.65 \\ < 6.37 \\ < 5.06 \\ < 4.54 \\ < 14.05 \\ < 11.04 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 0.69 \\ < 2.38 \\ < 3.38 \\ < 6.77 \\ < 4.68 \\ < 3.79 \\ < 3.68 \\ < 11.90 \\ < 4.62 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12/30/2009 \\ < 0.79 \\ < 2.11 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 6.84 \\ < 4.73 \\ < 3.61 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 11.90 \\ < 8.32 \\ \end{array} $
Date           NUCLIDE           I-131           Cs-134           Cs-137           Zr-95           Nb-95           Co-58           Mn-54           Fe-59           Zn-65           Co-60	7/31/2009   	8/28/2009   	9/25/2009 $< 0.70$ $< 3.25$ $< 3.15$ $< 6.71$ $< 4.69$ $< 3.53$ $< 3.09$ $< 10.69$ $< 7.20$ $< 2.77$	$   \begin{array}{r}     10/30/2009 \\     < 0.71 \\     < 4.70 \\     < 4.19 \\     < 8.65 \\     < 6.37 \\     < 5.06 \\     < 4.54 \\     < 14.05 \\     < 11.04 \\     < 4.18 \\   \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 0.69 \\ < 2.38 \\ < 3.38 \\ < 6.77 \\ < 4.68 \\ < 3.79 \\ < 3.68 \\ < 11.90 \\ < 4.62 \\ < 3.40 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12/30/2009 \\ < 0.79 \\ < 2.11 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 6.84 \\ < 4.73 \\ < 3.61 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 11.90 \\ < 8.32 \\ < 3.73 \end{array} $
Date           NUCLIDE           I-131           Cs-134           Cs-137           Zr-95           Nb-95           Co-58           Mn-54           Fe-59           Zn-65           Co-60           K-40	7/31/2009 $< 0.84$ $< 3.66$ $< 3.32$ $< 6.57$ $< 4.98$ $< 4.00$ $< 3.54$ $< 11.98$ $< 7.38$ $< 3.21$ $75.25 +/- 14.97$	8/28/2009   	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\ < 0.71 \\ < 4.70 \\ < 4.19 \\ < 8.65 \\ < 6.37 \\ < 5.06 \\ < 4.54 \\ < 14.05 \\ < 11.04 \\ < 4.18 \\ 351.1 +/- 27.75 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 0.69 \\ < 2.38 \\ < 3.38 \\ < 6.77 \\ < 4.68 \\ < 3.79 \\ < 3.68 \\ < 11.90 \\ < 4.62 \\ < 3.40 \\ 425.5 +/- 24.16 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12/30/2009 \\ < 0.79 \\ < 2.11 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 6.84 \\ < 4.73 \\ < 3.61 \\ < 3.61 \\ < 3.31 \\ < 11.90 \\ < 8.32 \\ < 3.73 \\ 173.7 + 18.40 \end{array} $

\* Sample Location required by ODCM \*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-4

# TABLE 6-4 (continued) CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma OSWEGO CITY WATER\*\* (10)\*\*\*

Date	1/30/2009	2/27/2009	3/27/2009	5/1/2009	5/29/2009	6/26/2009
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 11.79	< 13.44	< 11.82	< 11.77	< 11.94	< 14.63
Cs-134	< 2.11	< 5.09	< 2.50	< 2.25	< 2.55	< 4.34
Cs-137	< 2.87	< 4.49	< 3.84	< 2.88	< 3.68	< 4.13
Zr-95	< 5.74	< 8.78	< 6.99	< 5.48	< 8.07	< 7.88
Nb-95	< 4.37	< 5.35	< 5.07	< 4.61	< 5.18	< 4.51
Co-58	< 3.25	< 4.63	< 3.64	< 3.43	< 3.77	< 3.84
Mn-54	< 2.77	< 4.22	< 3.43	< 2.92	< 3.65	< 3.36
Fe-59	< 9.02	< 12.52	< 12.30	< 10.32	< 8.94	< 10.81
Zn-65	< 3.86	< 11.67	< 9.87	< 7.03	< 4.85	< 8.44
Co-60	< 2.89	< 5.09	< 3.55	< 3.27	< 3.31	< 4.14
K-40	376.3 +/- 19.59	193.4 +/- 25.94	173.7 +/- 19.36	367.1 +/- 20.60	324.6 +/- 23.35	46.11 +/- 14.60
Ba/La-140	< 8.99	< 10.58	< 9.60	< 8.58	< 7.22	< 13.56
Date	7/31/2009	8/28/2009	9/25/2009,	10/30/2009	11/25/2009	12/30/2009
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 14.48	< 11.04	< 14.85	< 14.73	< 11.02	< 8.97
Cs-134	< 3.52	< 3.00	< 3.84	< 3.47	< 1.79	< 1.42
Cs-137	< 3.52	< 2.63	< 3.14	< 3.27	< 2.57	< 1.84
Zr-95	< 6.74	< 5.87	< 6.27	< 5.97	< 5.56	< 3.62
Nb-95	< 3.98	< 3.80	< 4.50	< 4.43	< 3.45	< 2.73
Co-58	< 3.80	< 3.14	< 3.53	< 4.33	< 2.95	< 2.01
Mn-54	< 3.03	< 2.78	< 3.17	< 3.14	< 3.13	< 1.82
Fe-59	< 12.68	< 8.37	< 10.50	< 9.58	< 8.24	< 6.11
Zn-65	· < 7.91	< 4.10	< 6.47	< 8.90	< 3.58	< 4.37
<u>Co-60</u>	< 3.57	< 3.10	< 3.63	< 3.28	< 2.84	< 2.00
Co-60 K-40	< 3.57 141 +/- 17.86	< 3.10 379.5 +/- 19.85	< 3.63 111.9 +/- 15.41	< 3.28 < 37.41	< 2.84 414.8 +/- 18.50	< 2.00 82.77 +/- 9.11

\* Sample Location required by ODCM

# TABLE 6-4 (continued) CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma FITZPATRICK\* (03, INLET)\*\*\*

Date	1/29/2009	3/2/2009	3/31/2009	4/30/2009	5/29/2009	6/29/2009
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 0.46	< 0.41	< 0.41	< 0.67	< 0.45	< 0.51
Cs-134	< 5.28	< 1.93	< 2.64	< 2.74	< 1.91	< 1.80
Cs-137	< 5.06	< 2.58	< 3.21	< 2.69	< 3.07	< 1.49
Zr-95	< 8.63	< 5.59	< 7.15	< 4.71	< 4.94	< 3.00
Nb-95	< 6.32	< 3.23	< 4.80	< 3.29	< 2.56	< 1.84
Co-58	< 5.01	< 3.23	< 3.97	< 3.01	< 3.28	< 1.74
Mn-54	< 4.54	< 2.83	< 3.75	< 2.91	< 2.79	< 1.56
Fe-59	< 16.96	< 6.89	< 10.94	< 6.61	< 8.55	< 4.46
Zn-65	< 6.88	< 6.29	< 5.91	< 7.52	< 4.12	< 3.51
Co-60	< 5.67	< 3.14	< 4.18	< 2.46	< 2.69	< 1.67
K-40	454.5 +/- 33.83	41.87 +/- 9.63	477 +/- 28.44	38.97 +/- 10.39	44.39 +/- 10.04	41.7 +/- 6.50
Ba/La-140	< 13.06	< 6.55	< 9.55	< 6.16	< 7.46	< 3.49
Date	7/29/2009	9/1/2009	9/30/2009	10/30/2009	11/30/2009	12/28/2009
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 0.62	< 0.40	< 0.57	< 0.66	< 0.55	< 0.53
Cs-134	< 2.33	< 1.96	< 2.75	< 2.24	< 2.55	< 1.71
Cs-137	< 2.94	< 2.42	< 3.19	< 2.98	< 3.25	< 2.34
Zr-95	< 4.71	< 5.82	< 6.88	< 5.88	< 7.34	< 4.49
Nb-95	< 3.70	< 3.63	< 3.72	< 4.09	< 4.35	< 2.84
Co-58	< 3.05	< 2.93	< 3.77	< 3.54	< 3.73	< 2.33
Mn-54	< 2.45	< 2.49	< 3.90	< 2.75	< 3.70	< 2.34
Fe-59	< 8.47	< 8.29	< 7.20	< 8.11	< 10.55	< 7.43
Zn-65	< 7.31	< 5.14	< 7.03	< 5.96	< 8.16	< 2.68
Co-60	< 3.56	< 2.40	< 3.50	< 2.75	< 3.37	< 2.53
K-40	< 25.46	24.71 +/- 10.04	55.34 +/- 12.80	< 29.59	106.5 +/- 17.61	< 22.88
Ba/La-140	< 6.92	< 6.96	< 7.42	< 7.66	< 8.00	< 4.99

\* Sample Location required by ODCM

# TABLE 6-4 (Continued) CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma NINE MILE POINT UNIT 1 \*\* (09, INLET)\*\*\*

Date	1/30/2009	2/27/2009	3/27/2009	5/1/2009	5/29/2009	6/26/2009
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 10.20	< 12.61	< 7.80	< 14.28	< 10.33	< 10.78
Cs-134	< 2.25	< 2.79	< 1.64	< 4.34	< 3.52	< 1.98
Cs-137	< 3.02	< 3.62	< 2.02	< 4.31	< 3.18	< 2.79
Zr-95	< 5.77	< 7.06	< 4.57	< 8.65	< 7.81	< 5.54
Nb-95	< 3.92	< 4.66	< 2.73	< 5.53	< 4.78	< 4.04
Co-58	< 3.56	< 4.26	< 2.34	< 3.05	< 4.10	< 3.16
Mn-54	< 3.03	< 3.89	< 2.12	< 4.00	< 3.22	< 2.70
Fe-59	< 7.50	< 12.71	< 6.79	< 10.47	< 9.50	< 7.86
Zn-65	< 7.49	< 9.50	< 5.32	< 8.23	- < 8.38	< 6.27
Co-60	< 2.68	< 4.06	< 2.06	< 3.76	< 3.35	< 2.53
K-40	31.4 +/- 12.03	428.2 +/- 24.93	32.24 +/- 9.64	< 39.90	126.5 +/- 16.62	34.63 +/- 10.15
Ba/La-140	< 9.43	< 8.93	< 5.49	< 13.30	< 9.50	< 8.26
Date	7/31/2009	8/28/2009	9/25/2009	10/30/2009	11/25/2009	12/30/2009
Date NUCLIDE	7/31/2009	8/28/2009	9/25/2009	10/30/2009	11/25/2009	12/30/2009
Date NUCLIDE I-131	7/31/2009 < 14.37	8/28/2009 × < 10.44	9/25/2009 < 14.74	10/30/2009 < 12.25	11/25/2009 < 9.95	<u>12/30/2009</u> < 10.05
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134	7/31/2009 < 14.37 < 2.52	8/28/2009 < 10.44 < 2.96	9/25/2009 < 14.74 < 3.52	10/30/2009 < 12.25 < 2.20	<u>11/25/2009</u> < 9.95 < 2.79	<pre>12/30/2009 </pre> 10.05 1.51
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	7/31/2009 < 14.37 < 2.52 < 3.50	8/28/2009 < 10.44 < 2.96 < 2.59	9/25/2009 < 14.74 < 3.52 < 3.29	10/30/2009 < 12.25 < 2.20 < 2.98	11/25/2009 < 9.95 < 2.79 < 2.37	<pre>12/30/2009 &lt; 10.05 &lt; 1.51 &lt; 1.98</pre>
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95	7/31/2009         < 14.37	8/28/2009 < 10.44 < 2.96 < 2.59 < 5.43	9/25/2009 < 14.74 < 3.52 < 3.29 < 7.01	10/30/2009 < 12.25 < 2.20 < 2.98 < 5.62	11/25/2009         < 9.95	<pre>12/30/2009 </pre> 10.05 1.51 1.98 4.07
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95	7/31/2009   	8/28/2009 < 10.44 < 2.96 < 2.59 < 5.43 < 3.26	9/25/2009 < 14.74 < 3.52 < 3.29 < 7.01 < 3.97	10/30/2009 < 12.25 < 2.20 < 2.98 < 5.62 < 3.87	11/25/2009         < 9.95	<pre>12/30/2009 </pre> 10.05 1.51 1.98 4.07 2.69
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58	$     \begin{array}{r}       7/31/2009 \\       < 14.37 \\       < 2.52 \\       < 3.50 \\       < 6.73 \\       < 5.06 \\       < 4.08 \\       \end{array} $		9/25/2009   	$   \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\       < 12.25 \\       < 2.20 \\       < 2.98 \\       < 5.62 \\       < 3.87 \\       < 3.24 \\   \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 9.95 \\ < 2.79 \\ < 2.37 \\ < 5.07 \\ < 3.65 \\ < 3.00 \\ \end{array} $	<pre>12/30/2009 </pre> 10.05 1.51 4.07 2.69 2.12
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54	7/31/2009 < 14.37 < 2.52 < 3.50 < 6.73 < 5.06 < 4.08 < 3.70	$8/28/2009 \xrightarrow{\sim} 10.44$ < 2.96 < 2.59 < 5.43 < 3.26 < 2.89 < 3.16	9/25/2009                         	$   \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\       < 12.25 \\       < 2.20 \\       < 2.98 \\       < 5.62 \\       < 3.87 \\       < 3.24 \\       < 2.95 \\   \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 9.95 \\ < 2.79 \\ < 2.37 \\ < 5.07 \\ < 3.65 \\ < 3.00 \\ < 2.42 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r}     12/30/2009 \\     < 10.05 \\     < 1.51 \\     < 1.98 \\     < 4.07 \\     < 2.69 \\     < 2.12 \\     < 2.02 \\ \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59	7/31/2009                            	$8/28/2009 \xrightarrow{\sim} 10.44$ $< 2.96$ $< 2.59$ $< 5.43$ $< 3.26$ $< 2.89$ $< 3.16$ $< 8.73$	9/25/2009   	$ \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\ < 12.25 \\ < 2.20 \\ < 2.98 \\ < 5.62 \\ < 3.87 \\ < 3.24 \\ < 2.95 \\ < 8.53 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 9.95 \\ < 2.79 \\ < 2.37 \\ < 5.07 \\ < 3.65 \\ < 3.00 \\ < 2.42 \\ < 8.70 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r}     12/30/2009 \\     < 10.05 \\     < 1.51 \\     < 1.98 \\     < 4.07 \\     < 2.69 \\     < 2.12 \\     < 2.02 \\     < 6.08 \\ \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65	7/31/2009                               		9/25/2009 $< 14.74$ $< 3.52$ $< 3.29$ $< 7.01$ $< 3.97$ $< 3.49$ $< 2.99$ $< 9.01$ $< 7.21$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\ < 12.25 \\ < 2.20 \\ < 2.98 \\ < 5.62 \\ < 3.87 \\ < 3.24 \\ < 2.95 \\ < 8.53 \\ < 3.95 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 9.95 \\ < 2.79 \\ < 2.37 \\ < 5.07 \\ < 3.65 \\ < 3.00 \\ < 2.42 \\ < 8.70 \\ < 5.57 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r}     12/30/2009 \\     < 10.05 \\     < 1.51 \\     < 1.98 \\     < 4.07 \\     < 2.69 \\     < 2.12 \\     < 2.02 \\     < 6.08 \\     < 4.69 \\ \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65 Co-60	7/31/2009 $< 14.37$ $< 2.52$ $< 3.50$ $< 6.73$ $< 5.06$ $< 4.08$ $< 3.70$ $< 11.34$ $< 8.43$ $< 3.76$	$8/28/2009 \xrightarrow{\sim} 10.44$ $< 2.96$ $< 2.59$ $< 5.43$ $< 3.26$ $< 2.89$ $< 3.16$ $< 8.73$ $< 7.00$ $< 2.84$	9/25/2009 $< 14.74$ $< 3.52$ $< 3.29$ $< 7.01$ $< 3.97$ $< 3.49$ $< 2.99$ $< 9.01$ $< 7.21$ $< 3.82$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\ < 12.25 \\ < 2.20 \\ < 2.98 \\ < 5.62 \\ < 3.87 \\ < 3.24 \\ < 2.95 \\ < 8.53 \\ < 3.95 \\ < 2.91 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 9.95 \\ < 2.79 \\ < 2.37 \\ < 5.07 \\ < 3.65 \\ < 3.00 \\ < 2.42 \\ < 8.70 \\ < 5.57 \\ < 2.47 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r}     12/30/2009 \\     < 10.05 \\     < 1.51 \\     < 1.98 \\     < 4.07 \\     < 2.69 \\     < 2.12 \\     < 2.02 \\     < 6.08 \\     < 4.69 \\     < 1.98 \\ \end{array} $
Date NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65 Co-60 K-40	7/31/2009  	$8/28/2009 \xrightarrow{\sim} 10.44$ $< 2.96$ $< 2.59$ $< 5.43$ $< 3.26$ $< 2.89$ $< 3.16$ $< 8.73$ $< 7.00$ $< 2.84$ $< 34.34$	9/25/2009 $< 14.74$ $< 3.52$ $< 3.29$ $< 7.01$ $< 3.97$ $< 3.49$ $< 2.99$ $< 9.01$ $< 7.21$ $< 3.82$ $< 48.64$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10/30/2009 \\ < 12.25 \\ < 2.20 \\ < 2.98 \\ < 5.62 \\ < 3.87 \\ < 3.24 \\ < 2.95 \\ < 8.53 \\ < 3.95 \\ < 2.91 \\ 32.21 +/- 10.20 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 11/25/2009 \\ < 9.95 \\ < 2.79 \\ < 2.37 \\ < 5.07 \\ < 3.65 \\ < 3.00 \\ < 2.42 \\ < 8.70 \\ < 5.57 \\ < 2.47 \\ 20.48 +/- 10.03 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 12/30/2009 \\ < 10.05 \\ < 1.51 \\ < 1.98 \\ < 4.07 \\ < 2.69 \\ < 2.12 \\ < 2.02 \\ < 6.08 \\ < 4.69 \\ < 1.98 \\ 24.45 +/- 6.85 \\ \end{array} $

\*\* Optional Sample Location

# TABLE 6-4 (Continued) CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma NINE MILE POINT UNIT 2 \*\* (11, INLET)\*\*\*

Date	1/30/2009	2/27/2009	3/27/2009	5/1/2009	5/29/2009	6/26/2009
NUCLIDE					· ·	
I-131	< 13.61	< 11.81	< 9.90	< 11.47	< 14.52	< 14.78
Cs-134	< 4.21	< 2.54	< 2.30	< 1.84	< 2.94	< 2.83
Cs-137	< 3.58	< 3.63	< 3.19	< 2.37	< 4.14	< 3.78
Zr-95	< 7.82	< 6.25	< 5.50	< 5.30	< 8.87	< 7.96
Nb-95	< 5.27	< 4.84	< 3.99	< 3.60	< 5.72	< 5.38
Co-58	< 4.28	< 3.89	< 3.48	< 3.17	< 4.51	< 4.93
Mn-54	< 3.57	< 4.07	< 2.61	< 2.57	< 4.60	< 4.00
Fe-59	< 12.15	< 10.19	< 9.82	< 8.25	< 14.98	< 12.52
Zn-65	< 7.96	< 10.18	< 8.31	< 3.24	< 10.98	< 9.35
Co-60	< 3.70	< 4.33	< 3.19	< 2.25	< 4.50	< 4.47
K-40	197.0 +/- 18.64	110.3 +/- 17.16	< 34.34	39.4 +/- 9.55	426.1 +/- 30.39	479.4 +/- 27.53
Ba/La-140	< 12.06	< 9.40	< 7.75	< 6.32	< 11.82	< 13.13
Date	7/31/2009	8/28/2009	9/25/2009	10/30/2009	11/25/2009	12/30/2009
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 12.17	< 12.47	< 14.90	< 13.84	< 11.87	< 12.61
Cs-134	< 2.22	< 3.83	< 4.38	< 3.41	< 1.93	< 1.83
Cs-137	< 2.96	< 3.77	< 3.21	< 3.43	< 2.91	< 2.41
Zr-95	< 5.62	< 6.23	< 8.08	< 7.16	< 5.91	< 5.56
Nb-95	< 4.17	< 4.25	< 5.40	< 4.65	< 4.10	< 3.23
Co-58	< 3.27	< 4.46	< 4.48	< 4.00	< 3.38	< 2.77
Mn-54	< 2.80	< 3.22	< 3.59	< 3.36	< 3.28	< 2.41
Fe-59	< 9.61	< 10.49	< 12.51	< 12.65	< 11.73	< 7.75
Zn-65	< 4 37	< 8 54	< 8.61	< 7.72	< 7.29	< 3.12
	1.57	0.51				
Co-60	< 2.59	< 3.55	< 4.04	< 3.70	< 3.28	< 2.16
Co-60 K-40	< 2.59 < 34.39	< 3.55 161.6 +/- 18.49	< 4.04 181.6 +/- 20.24	< 3.70 137.9 +/- 17.72	< 3.28 142.6 +/- 17.10	< 2.16 108.7 +/- 12.01

\*\* Optional Sample Location

Indicator				GÂMMA EMITTERS								
Location*	Date	Tritium	Sr-90	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Žn-65	Other †
	1/5/2009	<424	[]	<98.2	<9.22	<8.71	<19.4	<9.00	<5.64	<6.38	<25.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	1/23/2009		· ·	<45.8	<5.26	<6.31	<14.3	<6.75	<6.07	<5.92	<15.8	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	1/26/2009			156 +/- 34.6	<7.20	<6.33	<15.0	<8.29	<4.66	<7.36	<18.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
1	2/2/2009			<36.1	<4.02	<4.13	<7.87	<4.39	<4.33	<4.71	<6.12	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	2/9/2009			<40.7	<4.33	<3.57	<9.35	<4.25	<4.85	<4.20	<5.65	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	2/16/2009			126 +/- 21.6	<4.83	<5.25	<13.2	<3.94	<4.73	<4.21	<9.97	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	2/23/2009			36.8 +/- 17.0	<4.86	<3.48	<9.66	<5.01	<3.35	<4.27	<11.2	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	3/2/2009			171 +/- 31.9	<4.88	<6.62	<18.0	<7.31	<4.73	<5.81	<14.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
-	3/9/2009			<32.5	<4.32	<4.20	<11.9	. <4.25	<3.29	<4.53	<11.9	° <lld< td=""></lld<>
	3/16/2009			84.3 +/- 23.2	<4.61	<5.61	<11.2	<5.41	<4.91	<4.75	<5.73	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	3/23/2009	<415	· ·	97.8 +/- 21.2	<5.31	<5.56	<14.2	<5.57	<5.93	<4.90	<12.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
NIVIEZ Depression Cone	3/30/2009	<415		119 +/- 23.8	<4.71	<4.42	<10.9	<4.49	<5.71	<4.01	<10.6	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Depression Cone	4/6/2009	<415		<54.5	<5.03	<5.79	<9.86	<4.55	<5.78	<5.34	<11.8	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	4/13/2009	<410		86.5 +/- 24.3	<5.26	<5.16	<12.4	<4.85	<5.54	<3.98	<10.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	4/20/2009	<411		392 +/- 45.4	<7.13	<7.02	<20.5	<7.49	<4.75	<6.72	<16.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	4/27/2009			109 +/- 22.7	<4.90	<4.36	<12.8	<5.59	<4.62	<5.57	<6.40	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	5/4/2009			49.4 +/- 16.3	<3.61	<3.74	<8.65	<3.08	<3.04	<3.85	<11.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	5/11/2009			480 +/- 48.4	<6.40	<6.74	<17.0	· <7.77	<5.19	<5.56	<20.6	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	5/26/2009			364 +/- 41.9	<6.85	<6.74	<16.3	<6.20	<4.66	<8.43	<20.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	6/1/2009			109 +/- 23.2	<4.16	<5.03	<12.9	<5.23	<3.95	<3.98	<12.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	6/8/2009			<92.0	<6.15	<5.41	<14.2	<6.47	<7.04	<4.78	<17.0 .	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	6/15/2009		<0.48	82.5 +/- 29.1	<5.14	<4.92	<15.6	<5.08	<3.75	<5.69	<14.2	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	6/22/2009			117 +/- 22.5	<4.88	<4.56	<10.6	<4.65	<5.24	< 5.36	<13.5	<lld< td=""></lld<>

TABLE 6- 5CONCENTRATION OF TRITIUM, STRONTIUM AND GAMMA EMITTERS IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLES<br/>(pCi/l ± 1 sigma)

\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-6

† Plant related radionuclides

Indicator *		a ing Thing the tot		e ~ ., .		भेज्य	° GÂMM	A EMITTI	ERS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Location*	Date	Tritium	* • Sr-90*	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Other †
	7/6/2009	<406		149 +/- 29.8	<5.89	<4.19	<12.5	<6.66	<5.23	<5.94	<11.1	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	7/13/2009	a sia		100 +/- 22.1	<4.99	<3.72	<10.6	<5.04	<5.10	<4.85	<11.4	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	7/20/2009		•	82.6 +/- 25.6	<3.43	<4.91	<12.4	<5.23	<5.86	<5.23	<12.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	7/27/2009		N.	143 +/- 25.3	<4.40	<3.89	<11.2	<5.04	<5.53	<4.44	<9.92	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	8/3/2009	÷		<67.2	<5.78	<4.62	<11.9	<7.03	<5.99	<5.77	<14.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	8/10/2009		y santa S	104 +/- 23.4	<4.61	<5.15	<13.8	<5.59	<4.51	<3.59	· <11.1	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	8/17/2009			391 +/- 45.3	< 6.40	<6.45	<17.6	<9.49	<7.96	<7.29	<16.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	8/24/2009			217 +/- 38.8	<6.24	<6.31	<14.0	<8.56	<4.73	<6.55	<20.2	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	8/31/2009			93.9 +/- 23.2	<5.35	<4.06	<9.98	<5.04	<4.41	<5.13	<6.61	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	9/8/2009		-	127 +/- 21.9	<3.88	<4.42	<10.6	<5.16	<3.15	<4.07	<14.6	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	9/14/2009			135 +/- 22.9	<5.17	<3.89	<10.6	<4.85	<5.62	<4.10	<10.6	<lld< td=""></lld<>
NMP2	9/21/2009			163 +/- 26.7	<4.75	<5.50	<11.6	<5.16	<5.67	<4.62	<11.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
Depression Cone	10/5/2009	<409		162 +/- 37.1	<3.84	<6.63	<18.1	<8.56	<3.71	<6.12	<18.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	10/12/2009			<71.9	<4.68	<5.07	<9.39	<8.22	<4.84	<5.52	<15.6	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	10/26/2009	· · · ·		142 +/- 25.1	<4.18	<4.78	<9.27	<5.41	<4.82	<4.65	<9.92	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	11/2/2009			104 +/- 26.0	<3.95	<4.78	<11.2	<5.41	<5.41	<4.44	<13.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	11/16/2009			118 +/- 29.0	<6.39	<5.50	<13.5	<5.67	<7.19	<5.89	<18.0	· <lld< td=""></lld<>
	11/23/2009	÷ ;		147 +/- 29.7	<4.41	<4.40	<11.8	<5.74	<3.39	<5.50	<16.3	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	11/30/2009			146 +/- 24.9	<5.00	<4.60	<14.1	<3.76	<4.06	<5.40	<7.90	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	12/7/2009			86.8 +/- 26.7	<6.39	<5.62	<13.5	<6.22	<4.92	<5.24	<16.7	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	12/14/2009	· · · • ·		142 +/- 27.1	<4.66	<5.17	<13.4	<3.76	<5.75	<4.71	<14.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	12/21/2009			211 +/- 36.4	<6.56	<7.49	<16.0	<7.50	<4.61	<6.76	<17.8	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	12/28/2009			431 +/- 49.8	<7.24	<7.40	<17.9	<7.61	<6.72	<6.95	<22.7	<lld< td=""></lld<>

TABLE 6- 5 (continued) CONCENTRATION OF TRITIUM, STRONTIUM AND GAMMA EMITTERS IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLES  $(pCi/l \pm 1 sigma)$ 

\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-6
† Plant related radionuclides
IABLE 6-5 (continued)									
CONCENTRATION OF TRITIUM, STRONTIUM AND GAMMA EMITTERS IN GROUNDWATER SAMPLES									
$(\mathbf{pCi}/\mathbf{l} \pm 1 \text{ sigma})$									

Indicator	1				GAMMA EMITTERS					• •		
Location*	Date	Tritium	, Sr-90	K-40	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Żn-65	Other †
MW-1	6/1/2009	<411		70.2 +/- 21.4	<5.27	<4.81	<11.9	<6.08	<5.86	<5.74	<7.01	<sup>×</sup> <lld< td=""></lld<>
MW-5	6/1/2009	- <411		<91.1	<7.02	<8.93	<17.3	<7.46	<7.70	<8.37	<11.0	<lld< td=""></lld<>
MW-1	12/7/2009	<418	<1.6	<45.9	<4.40	<4.69	<11.9	<5.00	<3.58	<5.00	<7.37	<lld< td=""></lld<>
MW-5	12/7/2009	<418	<1.4	<47.9	<7.01	<5.51	<12.5	<5.18	<4.83	<6.94	<7.71	<lld< td=""></lld<>
MW-6	12/28/2009	<411		<69.8	<6.33	<6.59	<15.1	<5.39	<4.52	<6.65	<9.39	<lld< td=""></lld<>
MW-7	12/28/2009	<409		<75.1	<6.09	<7.48	<22.0	<b>&lt;8.4</b> 7	<6.53	<8.23	<10.7	<lld< td=""></lld<>
MW-8	12/28/2009	<409		94.1 +/- 22.7	<6.63	<5.92	<16.6	<5.47	<4.32	<6.33	<8.74	<lld< td=""></lld<>

Control	184	с Ос. ^-	- •		GAMMA EMITTERS							
Location*	Date	Tritium,	Sr-90	<b>. K-40</b>	Mn-54	Co-58	Fe-59	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Other †
GMX-MW1	6/1/2009	<411		429 +/- 38.2	<6.56	<6.74	<14.6	<6.79	<4.58	<7.23	<8.15	<lld< td=""></lld<>
GMX-MW2	6/1/2009	<411		<40.7	<4.47	<4.31	<9.46	<4.39	<5.19	<5.00	<5.14	<lld< td=""></lld<>
GMX-MW1	12/7/2009	<418	<1.4	513 +/- 51.5	<8.65	<9.13	<18.8	<7.62	<7.90	<9.70	<13.4	<lld< td=""></lld<>
GMX-MW2	12/8/2009	<418		422 +/- 38.5	<6.81	<5.50	<13.1	<6.34	<3.93	<6.95	<9.07	<lld< td=""></lld<>

\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-6
† Plant related radionuclides

.

.

### TABLE 6-6 ENVIRONMENTAL AIRBORNE PARTICULATE SAMPLES - OFFSITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS

GROSS BETA ACTIVITY pCi/m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Week End Date	R-1 *	R-2 *	R-3 *	R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2 **	E **	F **	G **
01/06/09	$0.020 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.020 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.020 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.020 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$
01/13/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
01/20/09	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.025 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.028 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.025 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.024 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.028 \pm 0.002$
01/27/09	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.029 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.002$	$0.026 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.023 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$
02/03/09	$0.027 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023\ \pm\ 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$
02/10/09	$0.023 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$
02/17/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	0.012 ± 0.001	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
02/24/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 ~\pm~ 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
03/03/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.027 \pm 0.002$
03/10/09	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.024 \hspace{0.1in} \pm \hspace{0.1in} 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$
03/17/09	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.026 \ \pm \ 0.002$
03/24/09	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$
03/31/09	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
04/07/09	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
04/14/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$
04/21/09	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$
04/28/09	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
05/05/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
05/12/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
05/19/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
05/27/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
06/02/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
06/09/09	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
06/16/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
06/23/09	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$
06/30/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$

\* Sample location required by ODCM

## TABLE 6-6 (Continued) ENVIRONMENTAL AIRBORNE PARTICULATE SAMPLES - OFFSITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS

#### GROSS BETA ACTIVITY pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Week End Date	R-1 *	R-2 *	R-3 *	R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2 **		F **	G **
07/07/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
07/14/09	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
07/21/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
07/28/09	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
08/04/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$
08/11/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 ~\pm~ 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
08/18/09	$0.032 \pm 0.002$	$0.027 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 ~\pm~ 0.002$	$0.027 \hspace{0.1in} \pm \hspace{0.1in} 0.002$	$0.030 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.028 \pm 0.002$	$0.027 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$
08/25/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	0.014 ± 0.001	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
09/01/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
09/09/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$
09/15/09	$0.020 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$
09/22/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
09/29/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
10/06/09	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$
10/13/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
10/20/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \hspace{.1in} \pm \hspace{.1in} 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
10/27/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 ~\pm~ 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
11/03/09	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
11/10/09	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
11/17/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
11/24/09	$0.027 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \ \pm \ 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.024 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$
12/01/09	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
12/08/09	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{19} \pm 0.001$	0.016 ± 0.001	$0.0\overline{16} \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
12/15/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{17} \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{17} \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{20} \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.0\overline{21} \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{20} \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$
12/22/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{19} \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{21} \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{20} \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{19} \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.0\overline{19} \pm 0.001$
12/29/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$

\* Sample location required by ODCM

#### TABLE 6-7

ENVIRONMENTAL AIRBORNE PARTICULATE SAMPLES - ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS

GROSS BETA ACTIVITY pCi/m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Week End Date	D-1 **	G **	H **	I **	J **	K **
01/05/09	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$
01/12/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	0.016 ± 0.001	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$
01/19/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	0.024 ± 0.002	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$
01/26/09	$0.028 \pm 0.002$	$0.027 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.029 \pm 0.002$
02/02/09	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.028 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.029 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$
02/09/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.001$
02/16/09	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
02/23/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
03/02/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$
03/09/09	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.028 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$
03/16/09	$0.027 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$
03/23/09	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$
03/30/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
04/06/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
04/13/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
04/20/09	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$
04/27/09	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
05/04/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
05/11/09	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
05/18/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
05/26/09	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$
06/01/09	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
06/08/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
06/15/09	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
06/22/09	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
06/29/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$

#### TABLE 6-7 (Continued)

ENVIRONMENTAL AIRBORNE PARTICULATE SAMPLES - ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS

GROSS BETA ACTIVITY pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Week End Date	D-1 **	G **	H **	I **	J **	K **
07/06/09	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
07/13/09	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
07/20/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
07/27/09	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
08/03/09	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
08/10/09	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
08/17/09	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$
08/24/09	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$
08/31/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
09/08/09	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$
09/14/09	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$
09/21/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
09/28/09	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
10/05/09	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
10/12/09	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
10/19/09	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
10/26/09	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
11/02/09	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
11/09/09	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
11/16/09	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
11/23/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.027 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
11/30/09	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$
12/07/09	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
12/14/09	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.0\overline{23} \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
12/21/09	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$
12/28/09	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$

TABLE 6-8 ENVIRONMENTAL CHARCOAL CARTRIDGE SAMPLES - OFF-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS I-131 ACTIVITY pCi/m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Week End Date	R-1 *	R-2 *	R-3 *	R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2 **	E **	F **	G **
01/06/09	< 0.033	< 0.032	< 0.017	< 0.014	< 0.018	< 0.021	< 0.024	< 0.030	< 0.020
01/13/09	< 0.017	< 0.034	< 0.016	< 0.014	< 0.020	< 0.021	< 0.026	< 0.019	< 0.025
01/20/09	< 0.016	< 0.012	< 0.016	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.024	< 0.019	< 0.016	< 0.021
01/27/09	< 0.021	< 0.015	< 0.011	< 0.020	< 0.021	< 0.023	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.016
02/03/09	< 0.022	< 0.020	< 0.013	< 0.012	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.028	< 0.019	< 0.017
02/10/09	< 0.020	< 0.014	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.014	< 0.020	< 0.022	< 0.021	< 0.019
02/17/09	< 0.022	< 0.016	< 0.022	< 0.018	< 0.018	< 0.014	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.020
02/24/09	< 0.019	< 0.020	< 0.017	< 0.019	< 0.017	< 0.020	< 0.025	< 0.014	< 0.021
03/03/09	< 0.017	< 0.014	< 0.015	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.022	< 0.024	< 0.019	< 0.010
03/10/09	< 0.021	< 0.012	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.026	< 0.026	< 0.015	< 0.016
03/17/09	< 0.019	< 0.017	< 0.016	< 0.008	< 0.016	< 0.021	< 0.025	< 0.016	< 0.021
03/24/09	< 0.022	< 0.019	< 0.014	< 0.026	< 0.022	< 0.023	< 0.012	< 0.015	< 0.026
03/31/09	< 0.023	< 0.024	< 0.022	< 0.014	< 0.014	< 0.017	< 0.023	< 0.023	< 0.016
04/07/09	< 0.030	< 0.025	< 0.030	< 0.020	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.026	< 0.027	< 0.025
04/14/09	< 0.012	< 0.012	< 0.018	< 0.014	< 0.024	< 0.020	< 0.025	< 0.014	< 0.013
04/21/09	< 0.018	< 0.012	< 0.019	< 0.022	< 0.026	< 0.027	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.017
04/28/09	< 0.023	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.014	< 0.020	< 0.024	< 0.020	< 0.014	< 0.010
05/05/09	< 0.021	< 0.026	< 0.027	< 0.022	< 0.048	< 0.027	< 0.018	< 0.024	< 0.023
05/12/09	< 0.023	< 0.028	< 0.015	< 0.030	< 0.035	< 0.055	< 0.036	< 0.024	< 0.015
05/19/09	< 0.031	< 0.025	< 0.025	< 0.021	< 0.029	< 0.028	< 0.014	< 0.018	< 0.018
05/27/09	< 0.023	< 0.022	< 0.017	< 0.013	< 0.027	< 0.022	< 0.021	< 0.013	< 0.027
06/02/09	< 0.020	< 0.021	< 0.026	< 0.016	< 0.033	< 0.041	< 0.016	< 0.016	< 0.029
06/09/09	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.012	< 0.019	< 0.021	< 0.019	< 0.025	< 0.020	< 0.022
06/16/09	< 0.021	< 0.022	< 0.017	< 0.016	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.024	< 0.020	< 0.019
06/23/09	< 0.006	< 0.019	< 0.026	< 0.018	< 0.016	< 0.027	< 0.029	< 0.019	< 0.020
06/30/09	< 0.016	< 0.014	< 0.016	< 0.011	< 0.016	< 0.023	< 0.020	< 0.016	< 0.016

\* ODCM Required Sample Location \*\* Optional Sample Location

	-								
Week End Date	• R-1 * •	R-2 *	R-3 *	R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2 **	E **	F **	G **
07/07/09	< 0.023	< 0.026	< 0.019	< 0.029	< 0.032	< 0.006	< 0.025	< 0.018	< 0.022
07/14/09	< 0.028	< 0.014	< 0.015	< 0.021	< 0.028	< 0.029	< 0.028	< 0.023	< 0.021
07/21/09	< 0.029	< 0.023	< 0.019	< 0.022	< 0.018	< 0.031	< 0.026	< 0.018	< 0.021
07/28/09	< 0.024	< 0.026	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.015	< 0.038	< 0.024	< 0.021	< 0.021
08/04/09	< 0.021	< 0.024	< 0.028	< 0.028	< 0.023	< 0.022	< 0.019	< 0.032	< 0.032
08/11/09	< 0.018	< 0.018	< 0.015	< 0.023	< 0.019	< 0.029	< 0.030	< 0.025	< 0.019
08/18/09	< 0.024	< 0.014	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.024	< 0.019	< 0.026	< 0.021	< 0.024
08/25/09	< 0.036	< 0.021	< 0.019	< 0.017	< 0.024	< 0.022	< 0.029	< 0.005	< 0.014
09/01/09	< 0.017	< 0.019	< 0.013	< 0.020	< 0.023	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.018	< 0.015
09/09/09	< 0.018	< 0.014	< 0.013	< 0.014	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.019	< 0.015	< 0.011
09/15/09	< 0.026	< 0.023	< 0.027	< 0.022	< 0.033	< 0.036	< 0.035	< 0.028	< 0.029
09/22/09	< 0.020	< 0.016	< 0.014	< 0.017	< 0.018	< 0.021	< 0.024	< 0.015	< 0.014
09/29/09	< 0.018	< 0.021	< 0.015	< 0.023	< 0.014	< 0.033	< 0.032	< 0.023	< 0.024
10/06/09	< 0.018	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.025	< 0.024	< 0.035	< 0.027	< 0.019	< 0.019
10/13/09	< 0.030	< 0.016	< 0.023	< 0.013	< 0.014	< 0.022	< 0.029	< 0.020	< 0.021
10/20/09	< 0.020	< 0.017	< 0.010	< 0.012	< 0.017	< 0.021	< 0.016	< 0.017	< 0.015
10/27/09	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.023	< 0.019	< 0.018
11/03/09	< 0.030	< 0.016	< 0.026	< 0.021	< 0.025	< 0.031	< 0.033	< 0.027	< 0.029
11/10/09	< 0.021	< 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.017	< 0.028	< 0.029	< 0.028	< 0.021	< 0.017
11/17/09	< 0.019	< 0.012	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.021	< 0.020	< 0.018
11/24/09	< 0.029	< 0.016	< 0.029	< 0.014	< 0.016	< 0.035	< 0.028	< 0.024	< 0.023
12/01/09	< 0.018	< 0.016	< 0.014	< 0.014	< 0.017	< 0.021	< 0.023	< 0.018	< 0.016
12/08/09	< 0.020	< 0.015	< 0.016	< 0.023	< 0.018	< 0.023	< 0.019	< 0.023	< 0.012
12/15/09	< 0.022	< 0.019	< 0.004	< 0.020	< 0.017	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.023	< 0.015
12/22/09	< 0.029	< 0.029	< 0.022	< 0.019	< 0.028	< 0.034	< 0.025	< 0.022	< 0.021
12/29/09	< 0.036	< 0.025	< 0.029	< 0.029	< 0.033	< 0.037	< 0.036	< 0.032	< 0.033

TABLE 6-8 (Continued)ENVIRONMENTAL CHARCOAL CARTRIDGE SAMPLES - OFF-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONSI-131 ACTIVITY pCi/m³ ± 1 Sigma

\* ODCM Required Sample Location

TABLE 6-9ENVIRONMENTAL CHARCOAL CARTRIDGE SAMPLES - ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONSI-131 ACTIVITY pCi/ m³ ± 1 Sigma

.

Week End Date	D-1**	G **	H **	I **	J **	K **
01/05/09	< 0.037	< 0.017	< 0.017	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.027
01/12/09	< 0.019	< 0.014	< 0.019	< 0.020	< 0.016	< 0.030
01/19/09	< 0.010	< 0.018	< 0.019	< 0.020	< 0.024	< 0.017
01/26/09	< 0.019	< 0.015	< 0.015	< 0.019	< 0.021	< 0.023
02/02/09	< 0.021	< 0.020	< 0.013	< 0.020	< 0.024	< 0.026
02/09/09	< 0.018	< 0.015	< 0.018	< 0.021	< 0.015	< 0.022
02/16/09	< 0.023	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.015	< 0.019	< 0.022
02/23/09	< 0.024	< 0.018	< 0.016	< 0.016	< 0.017	< 0.019
03/02/09	< 0.016	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.022	< 0.027	< 0.011
03/09/09	< 0.019	< 0.016	< 0.010	< 0.018	< 0.017	< 0.019
03/16/09	< 0.020	< 0.021	< 0.017	< 0.017	< 0.017	< 0.016
03/23/09	< 0.020	< 0.018	< 0.020	< 0.015	< 0.016	< 0.025
03/30/09	< 0.016	< 0.017	< 0.021	< 0.020	< 0.025	< 0.018
04/06/09	< 0.024	< 0.003	< 0.014	< 0.021	< 0.022	< 0.017
04/13/09	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.015	< 0.023	< 0.024	< 0.024
04/20/09	< 0.022	< 0.026	< 0.024	< 0.015	< 0.019	< 0.014
04/27/09	< 0.017	< 0.012	< 0.004	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.021
05/04/09	< 0.027	< 0.021	< 0.026	< 0.023	< 0.015	< 0.026
05/11/09	< 0.023	< 0.026	< 0.018	< 0.005	< 0.027	< 0.025
05/18/09	< 0.014	< 0.021	< 0.014	< 0.026	< 0.031	< 0.034
05/26/09	< 0.013	< 0.016	< 0.005	< 0.023	< 0.020	< 0.018
06/01/09	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.026	< 0.024	< 0.028	< 0.034
06/08/09	< 0.027	< 0.021	< 0.021	< 0.028	< 0.019	< 0.029
06/15/09	< 0.016	< 0.023	< 0.017	< 0.020	< 0.019	< 0.016
06/22/09	< 0.017	< 0.019	< 0.025	< 0.022	< 0.031	< 0.030
06/29/09	< 0.026	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.023	< 0.027	< 0.019

Week End		1 10				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Date	D-1 **	G **	H. **	I **	J **	K **
07/06/00	< 0.021	< 0.025	< 0.026	< 0.019	< 0.020	< 0.025
07/06/09	< 0.024	< 0.025	< 0.026	< 0.018	< 0.020	< 0.025
07/13/09	< 0.025	< 0.027	< 0.018	< 0.023	< 0.028	< 0.025
07/20/09	< 0.027	< 0.019	< 0.015	< 0.025	< 0.029	< 0.025
07/27/09	< 0.023	< 0.015	< 0.018	< 0.020	< 0.026	< 0.030
08/03/09	< 0.029	< 0.025	< 0.024	< 0.020	< 0.022	< 0.019
08/10/09	< 0.021	< 0.027	< 0.005	< 0.017	< 0.028	< 0.027
08/17/09	< 0.028	< 0.030	< 0.018	< 0.025	< 0.029	< 0.025
08/24/09	< 0.031	< 0.019	< 0.021	< 0.022	< 0.015	< 0.034
08/31/09	< 0.017	< 0.018	< 0.023	< 0.016	< 0.018	< 0.019
09/08/09	< 0.017	< 0.015	< 0.010	< 0.015	< 0.022	< 0.015
09/14/09	< 0.031	< 0.033	< 0.026	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.031
09/21/09	< 0.018	< 0.016	< 0.022	< 0.023	< 0.026	< 0.022
09/28/09	< 0.021	< 0.023	< 0.028	< 0.027	< 0.027	< 0.021
10/05/09	< 0.026	< 0.025	< 0.005	< 0.024	< 0.006	< 0.023
10/12/09	< 0.026	< 0.016	< 0.018	< 0.020	< 0.024	< 0.022
10/19/09	< 0.016	< 0.015	< 0.016	< 0.019	< 0.017	< 0.020
10/26/09	< 0.029	< 0.021	< 0.022	< 0.014	< 0.019	< 0.032
11/02/09	< 0.013	< 0.016	< 0.022	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.022
11/09/09	< 0.020	< 0.023	< 0.023	< 0.023	< 0.019	< 0.007
11/16/09	< 0.024	< 0.021	< 0.014	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.038
11/23/09	< 0.024	< 0.013	< 0.019	< 0.021	< 0.019	< 0.026
11/30/09	< 0.021	< 0.018	< 0.025	< 0.017	< 0.011	< 0.021
12/07/09	< 0.021	< 0.018	< 0.018	< 0.015	< 0.016	< 0.022
12/14/09	< 0.017	< 0.020	< 0.021	< 0.026	< 0.028	< 0.031
12/21/09	< 0.026	< 0.014	< 0.019	< 0.024	< 0.031	< 0.028
12/28/09	< 0.015	< 0.012	< 0.014	< 0.019	< 0.018	< 0.023

TABLE 6-9 (Continued)ENVIRONMENTAL CHARCOAL CARTRIDGE SAMPLES - ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONSI-131 ACTIVITY pCi/m³ ± 1 Sigma

\*\* Optional sample location

# TABLE 6-10CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN QUARTERLY COMPOSITESJAFNPP/NMPNS AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLESResults in Units of 10E-3 pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Nuclide	R-1 *	R-2 *	R-3 *	<sup>"</sup> R-4 *	R-5.* .	D-2 **	E **	F **	G **
Be-7	121.7 +/- 13.7	133.1 +/- 13.7	119.4 +/14.9	112.3 +/- 14.7	135.2 +/- 14.6	128.6 +/- 13.4	133.9 +/- 14.9	132.1 +/- 15.0	113.1 +/- 14.0
Cs-134	< 1.8	< 2.5	< 2.3	< 2.5	< 1.4	< 1.8	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 2.3
Cs-137	< 1.2	< 1.4	< 1.0	< 1.7	< 1.4	. < 1.1	< 1.2	< 1.8	< 1.6
Zr-95	< 4.3	< 4.5	< 3.6	< 3.0	< 3.4	< 4.4	< 2.6	< 5.3	< 4.1
Nb-95	< 0.8	< 4.0	< 3.8	< 3.8	< 2.4	< 2.9	< 3.1	< 2.3	< 4.1
Co-58	< 2.0	< 2.3	< 2.0	< 2.8	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 2.5	< 2.0	< 2.0
Mn-54	< 0.4	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 1.7	< 1.4	< 1.5	< 1.4	< 2.0	< 2.2
Zn-65	< 1.1	< 3.0	< 5.3	< 3.5	< 3.8	< 2.4	< 1.1	< 4.4	< 3.2
Co-60	< 1.6	< 1.7	< 2.1	< 1.6	< 2.1	< 1.5	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 0.6
K-40	< 5.9	< 5.9	47.2 +/- 10.3	68.3 +/- 12.4	< 15.9	< 4.7	< 5.8	< 17.2	< 24.2

#### **OFF-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 1ST QTR**

#### **OFF-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 2ND QTR**

Nuclide	<b>R-1</b> *	R-2 *	R-3 *	R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2 **	E. **	F.**	G **
Be-7	100.3 +/- 11.1	110.2 +/- 13.4	120.9 +/- 12.8	110.6 +/- 12.2	123.5 +/- 12.8	85.5 +/- 10.8	101.1 +/- 12.4	121.1 +/- 12.9	119.8 +/- 13.9
Cs-134	< 1.5	< 1.6	< 1.4	< 1.8	< 2.3	< 1.4	< 1.7	< 2.0	< 2.2
Cs-137	< 1.3	< 0.8	< 1.1	< 1.0	< 1.4	< 1.1	···· < 1.2	< 0.8	< 1.3
Zr-95	< 4.0	< 2.9	< 3.6	< 4.0	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 2.9	< 0.8	< 2.5
Nb-95	< 1.8	< 3.0	< 1.8	< 3.3	< 2.2	< 1.8	< 3.3	< 1.8	< 3.6
Co-58	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 1.8	< 0.5	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 1.8	< 1.8
Mn-54	< 1.9	< 1.2	< 1.1	< 1.8	< 1.5	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.7	< 1.0
Zn-65	< 0.8	< 3.5	< 2.4	< 3.4	< 3.9	< 2.8	< 4.5	< 3.6	< 4.4
Co-60	< 1.1	< 1.4	< 1.8	< 1.9	< 0.4	< 1.7	< 1.4	< 0.5	< 2.4
K-40	< 14.9	< 5.2	< 15.6	< 25.4	< 4.3	< 11.8	< 14.1	< 4.5	< 15.4

\* ODCM Required Sample Loction

# TABLE 6-10 (Continued)CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN QUARTERLY COMPOSITESJAFNPP/NMPNS AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLESResults in Units of 10E-3 pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Nuclide	R-1 *	R-2 *	R-3 *	R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2 **	E **	F **	G **
Be-7	131.5 +/- 12.7	112.4 +/- 12.1	114.5 +/- 12.8	102.5 +/ 11.2	115.3 +/- 13.4	123.2 +/- 14.4	95.4 +/- 11.2	108.7 +/- 11.4	104.7 +/- 12.0
Cs-134	< 2.0	< 1.8	< 1.2	< 1.4	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 1.7	< 1.6
Cs-137	< 1.7	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 0.8	< 1.2	< 1.9	< 1.4	< 0.3	< 1.0
Zr-95	< 3.5	< 4.3	< 3.7	< 3.1	< 3.6	< 5.4	< 2.1	< 4.1	< 2.3
Nb-95	< 3.1	< 4.1	< 2.7	< 1.7	< 3.9	< 4.9	< 2.8	< 2.3	< 1.9
Co-58	< 1.5	< 1.8	< 1.4	< 1.7	< 2.3	< 2.2	< 1.9	< 1.6	< 1.4
Mn-54	< 1.6	< 1.1	< 1.2	< 1.7	< 1.8	< 2.0	< 1.3	< 0.8	< 1.4
Zn-65	< 3.9	< 3.6	< 2.8	< 2.3	< 4.2	< 4.1	< 3.3	< 2.9	< 1.0
Co-60	< 1.7	< 1.4	< 1.4	< 1.5	< 2.1	< 1.7	< 1.8	< 1.2	< 2.3
K-40	< 11.6	< 17.0	< 5.2	< 14.9	33.7 +/- 8.2	59.1 +/- 9.7	< 11.1	< 15.1	< 5.1

#### **OFF-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 3RD QTR**

**OFF-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 4TH QTR** 

Nuclide	R-1 *	R-2 *	R-3 *	° R-4 *	R-5 *	D-2.**.	E **	F **	G **
Be-7	104.8 +/- 12.0	78.5 +/- 11.7	89.3 +/ 11.9	52.8 +/- 12.1	89.5 +/- 11.1	71.4 +/- 10.5	105.4 +/- 11.7	86.0 +/- 12.3	95.1 +/- 12.7
Cs-134	< 1.5	< 2.6	< 2.0	< 2.2	• < 1.7	< 1.4	< 1.9	< 2.0	< 2.1
Cs-137	< 0.7	< 1.6	< 1.0	< 1.3	< 0.8	< 0.9	< 1.0	< 1.4	< 1.6
Zr-95	< 2.8	< 4.9	< 4.7	< 3.4	< 0.9	< 2.8	< 3.2	< 3.3	< 5.2
Nb-95	< 3.5	< 3.6	< 3.5	< 3.9	< 2.0	< 1.8	< 2.7	< 4.7	< 3.2
Co-58	< 2.2	< 2.2	< 1.5	< 1.7	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 2.2	< 1.5	< 2.0
Mn-54	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 1.9	< 1.7	< 2.2
Zn-65	< 3.6	< 3.6	< 4.4	< 5.0	< 2.8	< 2.4	< 4.7	< 4.4	< 5.1
Co-60	< 0.5	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 2.4	< 1.4	< 0.5	· < 0.5	< 1.9	< 1.9
K-40	< 4.6	< 21.2	< 26.2	59.1 +/- 11.1	< 5.2	< 18.0	< 16.3	< 23.4	70.5 +/- 12.2

\* ODCM Required Sample Loction

#### TABLE 6-11 **CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN QUARTERLY COMPOSITES** JAFNPP/NMPNS AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES

Results in Units of 10E-3 pCi/  $m^3 \pm 1$  Sigma

Nuclide	D-1 ** *	•• G **	H **	«» <b>I**</b> *	J.**	~ K **
Be-7	141.4 +/- 14.1	139.9 +/- 15.6	133.4 +/- 15.2	124.8 +/- 15.0	132.9 +/- 14.1	127.5 +/- 12.9
Cs-134	< 1.1	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 2.1	< 2.0	< 1.1
Cs-137	< 1.8	< 1.3	< 1.4	< 1.6	< 1.1	< 0.9
Zr-95	< 3.3	< 3.6	< 4.2	< 4.9	< 3.8	< 3.1
Nb-95	< 2.7	< 3.4	< 3.5	< 3.8	< 2.8	< 3.6
Co-58	< 1.6	< 2.9	< 2.7	< 2.9	< 1.9	< 2.0
Mn-54	< 1.1	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.8	< 1.2	< 1.1
Zn-65	< 3.9	< 5.0	< 4.2	< 5.8	< 3.7	< 3.1
Co-60	< 2.0	< 2.1	< 2.7	. < 1.3	< 2.2	< 1.2
K-40	< 15.8	< 17.4	65.4 +/- 12.9	60.4 +/- 10.8	< 4.5	< 12.9

#### **ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 1ST QTR**

#### **ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 2ND QTR**

Nuclide	D-1 **	G **	H ** °	ľ **	» ٦**	K **
Be-7	128.3 +/- 13.2	129.2 +/- 12.4	109.9 +/- 12.0	141.4 +/- 13.7	98.2 +/- 12.7	134.6 +/- 13.1
Cs-134	< 2.2	< 1.4	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.4	< 1.7
Cs-137	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 1.0	< 0.8	< 1.3	< 1.0
Zr-95	< 2.7	< 3.3	< 2.9	< 2.2	< 2.5	< 3.0
Nb-95	< 3.2	< 3.3	< 2.7	< 2.2	< 0.8	· < 2.8
Co-58	< 2.0	< 1.3	< 0.5	< 1.5	< 2.1	< 1.7
Mn-54	< 1.5	< 1.0	< 0.4	< 1.4	< 1.9	< 1.0
Zn-65	< 2.3	< 4.3	< 3.4	< 2.4	< 4.8	< 3.3
Co-60	< 1.5	< 1:1	< 1.4	< 0.4	< 0.5	< 1.1
K-40	< 4.2	< 17.3	< 5.1	< 15.1	< 5.2	< 11.1

# TABLE 6-11 (Continued)CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN QUARTERLY COMPOSITESJAFNPP/NMPNS AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLESResults in Units of 10E-3 pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

Nuclide	D-1 **	G **	H **	•• <b>I</b> **•	· J**	K **
Be-7	140.2 +/- 13.9	130.4 +/- 12.3	123.9 +/- 12.5	127.5 +/- 12.6	143.0 +/- 15.2	118.1 +/- 13.3
Cs-134	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 1.4	< 1.7	< 2.6	< 2.3
Cs-137	< 1.2	< 0.3	< 1.0	< 0.8	< 1.4	< 1.2
Zr-95	< 4.2	< 3.4	< 3.7	< 3.3	< 1.0	< 3.5
Nb-95	< 3.9	< 1.9	< 1.9	< 2.3	< 3.8	< 3.8
Co-58	< 2.5	< 1.3	< 1.4	< 2.4	< 2.6	< 2.3
Mn-54	< 0.9	< 1.0	< 1.2	< 1.3	< 2.0	< 1.7
Zn-65	< 2.3	< 2.9	< 1.0	< 3.1	< 5.3	< 4.5
Co-60	< 1.5	< 1.2	< 1.4	< 0.5	< 1.6	< 1.2
K-40	< 14.5	< 4.4	< 5.1	< 12.3	46.6 +/- 10.2	50.8 +/- 9.6

#### **ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 3RD QTR**

**ON-SITE SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 4TH QTR** 

Nuclide		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. H **	°° [** ,	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	° K **
Be-7	88.9 +/- 11.1	84.4 +/- 11.2	87.8 +/- 10.9	103.7 +/- 13.8	67.3 +/- 11.6	87.8 +/- 10.6
Cs-134	< 1.4	< 2.2	< 1.9	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 1.2
Cs-137	< 0.8	< 1.3	< 1.3	< 1.3	< 1.9	< 1.0
Zr-95	< 3.1	< 2.2	< 3.2	< 5.4	· · · · · 4.2	< 2.4
Nb-95	< 2.0	< 2.7	< 3.6	< 3.6	< 3.5	< 3.6
Co-58	< 2.3	< 2.4	< 2.5	< 2.7	< 1.9	< 2.2
Mn-54	< 1.4	< 1.1	< 1.7	< 2.0		< 1.4
Zn-65	< 3.5	< 2.4	< 4.2	< 4.4	< 3.4	< 2.7
Co-60	< 1.8	< 1.2	< 0.5	< 2.2	< 2.1	< 0.5
K-40	< 14.6	< 12.2	< 16.4	49.2 +/- 10.7	45 +/- 10.3	< 21.4

	DIRECT RADIATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS									
	Res	sults in Units of r	nrem/std. Month	± 1 Sigma						
LOCATION NUMBER	· · · · · · · ·	·· FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER	DEGREES & DISTANCE (1)				
3	D1 Onsite	$8.71 \pm 0.35$	$10.61 \pm 0.45$	$13.55 \pm 0.54$	$12.84 \pm 0.52$ .	69° at 0.2 miles				
4	D2 Onsite	$3.92 \pm 0.21$	4.42 ± 0.19	$4.51 \pm 0.24$	$4.13 \pm 0.20$	140° at 0.4 miles				
5	E Onsite	$3.95 \pm 0.25$	4.37 ± 0.26	4.66 ± 0.24	$4.04 \pm 0.25$	175° at 0.4 miles				
6	F Onsite	3.19 ± 0.21	$3.62 \pm 0.20$	4.14 ± 0.25	3.68 ± 0.24	210° at 0.5 miles				
7*	G Onsite	$3.13 \pm 0.16$	3.43 ± 0.17	$3.75 \pm 0.17$	$3.48 \pm 0.20$	<sup>-</sup> 250° at 0.7 miles				
8*	R-5 Offsite Control	4.29 ± 0.27	4.44 ± 0.27	$4.84 \pm 0.31$	$4.68 \pm 0.26$	42° at 16.4 miles				
9 '	D1 Offsite	$3.47 \pm 0.17$	$3.80 \pm 0.22$	$4.07 \pm 0.19$	$3.91 \pm 0.18$	80° at 11.4 miles				
10	D2 Offsite	$3.35 \pm 0.16$	3.87 ± 0.23	$3.80 \pm 0.23$	$3.76 \pm 0.22$	117° at 9.0 miles				
11	E Offsite	$3.33 \pm 0.24$	$3.83 \pm 0.22$	$4.04 \pm 0.26$	$3.71 \pm 0.21$	160° at 7.2 miles				
12	F- Offsite	3.46 ± 0.21	$3.92 \pm 0.22$	$4.00 \pm 0.22$	$3.74 \pm 0.18$	190° at 7.7 miles				
13	G Offsite	$3.75 \pm 0.27$	3.87 ± 0.18	$4.13 \pm 0.23$	$4.00 \pm 0.17$	225° at 5.3 miles				
14*	DeMass Rd SW Oswego - Control	$3.68 \pm 0.23$	$4.12 \pm 0.23$	$4.16 \pm 0.23$	$3.99 \pm 0.19$	226° at 12.6 miles				
15*	Pole 66 W Boundary - Bible Camp	$3.09 \pm 0.16$	$3.36 \pm 0.18$	$3.64 \pm 0.24$	$3.52 \pm 0.23$	237° at 0.9 miles				
18*	Energy Info Center - Lamp Post SW	$3.82 \pm 0.26$	$4.13 \pm 0.25$	$4.52 \pm 0.26$	$4.30 \pm 0.19$	265° at 0.4 miles				
19	East Boundary - JAFNPP Pole 9	$3.75 \pm 0.19$	$4.20 \pm 0.25$	$4.50 \pm 0.20$	$4.30 \pm 0.22$	81° at 1.3 miles				
23*	H Onsite	$4.38 \pm 0.21$	4.86 ± 0.21	$5.17 \pm 0.26$	$4.83 \pm 0.24$	70° at 0.8 miles				
24	I Onsite	$3.82 \pm 0.17$	$4.18 \pm 0.23$	$4.54 \pm 0.24$	4.27 ± 0.25	98° at 0.8 miles				
25	J Onsite	$3.75 \pm 0.17$	$4.09 \pm 0.21$	$4.26 \pm 0.20$	$4.04 \pm 0.21$	110° at 0.9 miles				
26	K Onsite	$3.41 \pm 0.19$	3.94 ± 0.31	$4.18 \pm 0.20$	$3.97 \pm 0.24$	132° at 0.5 miles				
27	N Fence N of Switchyard JAFNPP	$17.93 \pm 1.22$	19.18 ± 1.29	20.47 ± 1.32	$20.13 \pm 1.55$	60° at 0.4 miles				
28	N Light Pole N of Screenhouse JAFNPP	$22.63 \pm 1.29$	28.22 ± 1.56	$26.36 \pm 1.69$	28.62 ± 1.97	68° at 0.5 miles				
29	N Fence N of W Side	$26.14 \pm 1.41$	24.27 ± 1.77	$24.55 \pm 1.62$	24.52 ± 1.66	65° at 0.5 miles				
30	N Fence (NW) JAFNPP	$11.31 \pm 0.72$	$12.58 \pm 0.91$	$12.01 \pm 0.76$	$12.18 \pm 0.78$	57° at 0.4 miles				
31	N Fence (NW) NMP1	$7.33 \pm 0.38$	$7.62 \pm 0.41$	$7.38 \pm 0.43$	7.70 ± 0.36	276° at 0.2 miles				
39	N Fence Rad Waste-NMP1	11.44 ± 0.59	12.45 ± 0.73	$12.26 \pm 0.64$	$13.53 \pm 0.84$	292° at 0.2 miles				
47	N Fence (NE) JAFNPP	$6.77 \pm 0.45$	7.26 ± 0.47	6.68 ± 0.31	6.97 ± 0.31	69° at 0.6 miles				
49*	Phoenix NY-Control	$3.24 \pm 0.21$	$3.49 \pm 0.33$	$3.55 \pm 0.17$	$3.41 \pm 0.19$	163° at 19.8 miles				
· 51	Liberty & Bronson Sts E of OSS	4.07 ± 0.22	$4.01 \pm 0.28$	$4.05 \pm 0.24$	$4.13 \pm 0.21$	233° at 7.4 miles				
52	E 12th & Cayuga Sts Oswego School	$3.79 \pm 0.27$	$3.86 \pm 0.32$	$3.73 \pm 0.20$	3.77 ± 0.21	227° at 5.8 miles				
· 53	Broadwell & Chestnut Sts Fulton HS	3.91 ± 0.23	$4.25 \pm 0.36$	4.13 ± 0.27	$-4.11 \pm 0.23$	183° at 13.7 miles				
54	Liberty St & Co Rt 16 Mexico HS	$3.69 \pm 0.21$	3.69 ± 0.28	$3.81 \pm 0.20$	3.85 ± 0.20	115° at 9.3 miles				
55	Gas Substation Co Rt 5-Pulaski	$3.48 \pm 0.22$	4.14 ± 0.19	3.94 ± 0.23	$3.80 \pm 0.21$	75° at 13.0 miles				
56*	Rt 104-New Haven Sch (SE Corner)	$3.40 \pm 0.22$	$3.79 \pm 0.32$	$3.69 \pm 0.18$	$3.51 \pm 0.20$	123° at 5.3 miles				
58*	Co Rt 1A-Alcan (E of E Entrance Rd)	$4.06 \pm 0.18$	$4.53 \pm 0.24$	$4.39 \pm 0.23$	$4.18 \pm 0.21$	220° at 3.1 miles				
75*	Unit 2 N Fence N of Reactor Bldg	$7.36 \pm 0.40$	7.53 ± 0.37	$7.25 \pm 0.41$	$7.52 \pm 0.43$	5° at 0.1 miles				
76*	Unit 2 N Fence N of Change House	$5.31 \pm 0.32$	5.77 ± 0.32	$5.66 \pm 0.25$	$5.60 \pm 0.24$	25° at 0.1 miles				
77*	Unit 2 N Fence N of Pipe Bldg	$6.04 \pm 0.32$	$6.56 \pm 0.30$	$6.54 \pm 0.30$	$6.36 \pm 0.27$	45° at 0.2 miles				

**TABLE 6-12** 

(1) Direction and distance based on NMP-2 reactor centerline.

\* TLD required by ODCM

#### TABLE 6-12 (Continued) DIRECT RADIATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS Desialde in Theide of many field Many de la 1 file

	Results in	Units of	mrem/std. Month	± 13	Sigma
--	------------	----------	-----------------	------	-------

	I.C.	suits in Units of h	mem/stu. wiontn	± 1 Sigma	1		
LOCATION NUMBER		FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTHQUARTER	DEGREES &	DISTANCE (1)
78*	JAFNPP E of E Old Lay Down Area	$3.95 \pm 0.27$	$4.39 \pm 0.28$	4.49 ± 0.19	4.19 ± 0.26	90° at	1.0 miles
79*	Co Rt 29 Pole #63 02 mi S of Lake Rd	$3.45 \pm 0.19$	$3.88 \pm 0.26$	$3.92 \pm 0.25$	$3.76 \pm 0.17$	115° at	1.1 miles
80*	Co Rt 29 Pole #54 07 mi S of Lake Rd	$3.53 \pm 0.21$	$4.25 \pm 0.23$	4.09 ± 0.21	$3.93 \pm 0.21$	133° at	1.4 miles
81*	Miner Rd Pole #16 05 mi W of Rt 29	$3.59 \pm 0.20$	$3.96 \pm 0.24$	$4.01 \pm 0.17$	$3.92 \pm 0.18$	159° at	1.6 miles
82*	Miner Rd Pole # 1-1/2 11 mi W of Rt 29	$3.46 \pm 0.18$	$3.91 \pm 0.31$	$4.00 \pm 0.23$	$3.79 \pm 0.17$	181° at	1.6 miles
83*	Lakeview Rd Tree 045 mi N of Miner Rd	$3.47 \pm 0.16$	3.97 ± 0.29	$3.95 \pm 0.22$	$3.74 \pm 0.21$	200° at	1.2 miles
84*	Lakeview Rd N Pole #6117 200ft N of Lake Rd	$3.69 \pm 0.22$	$4.35 \pm 0.34$	$4.12 \pm 0.19$	$4.14 \pm 0.25$	225° at	1.1 miles
85*	Unit 1 N Fence N of W Side of Screen House	9.46 ± 0.53	$11.38 \pm 0.92$	$11.20 \pm 0.68$	$11.66 \pm 0.60$	294° at	0.2 miles
86* ·	Unit 2 N Fence N of W Side of Screen House	$7.23 \pm 0.45$	$8.45 \pm 0.55$	8.12 ± 0.55	8.87 ± 0.52	315° at	0.1 miles
87*	Unit 2 N Fence N of E Side of Screen House	$7.84 \pm 0.39$	$8.01 \pm 0.48$	8.39 ± 0.49	$8.33 \pm 0.45$	341° at	0.1 miles
88*	Hickory Grove Rd Pole #2 06 mi N of Rt 1	$3.62 \pm 0.22$	$4.02 \pm 0.21$	4.19 ± 0.21	$3.88 \pm 0.22$	97° at	4.5 miles
89*	Leavitt Rd Pole #16 04 mi S of Rt1	$3.75 \pm 0.19$	$4.43 \pm 0.19$	$4.40 \pm 0.24$	4.37 ± 0.21	111° at	4.1 miles
90*	Rt 104 Pole #300 150 ft E of Keefe Rd	$3.54 \pm 0.21$	$4.28 \pm 0.24$	$4.06 \pm 0.19$	$3.99 \pm 0.18$	135° at	4.2 miles
91*	Rt 51A Pole #59 08 mi W of Rt 51	$3.27 \pm 0.17$	$3.95 \pm 0.28$	$3.84 \pm 0.20$	$3.60 \pm 0.17$	156° at	4.8 miles
92*	Maiden Lane Rd Power Pole 06 mi S of Rt 104	3.89 ± 0.21	4.54 ± 0.31	4.39 ± 0.28	$4.07 \pm 0.23$	183° at	4.4 miles
93*	Rt 53 Pole 1-1 120 ft S of Rt 104	$3.80 \pm 0.21$	$4.03 \pm 0.21$	3.87 ± 0.21	$3.89 \pm 0.19$	205° at	4.4 miles
94*	Rt 1 Pole #82 250 ft E of Kocher Rd (Co Rt 63)	$3.50 \pm 0.17$	$3.66 \pm 0.21$	$3.65 \pm 0.23$	$3.72 \pm 0.21$	223° at	4.7 miles
95*	Alcan W access Rd Joe Fultz Blvd Pole #21	$3.31 \pm 0.18$	$3.58 \pm 0.24$	$3.32 \pm 0.24$	$3.49 \pm 0.22$	237° at	4.1 miles
96*	Creamery Rd 03 mi S of Middle Rd Pole 1-1/2	$3.60 \pm 0.20$	3.89 ± 0.21	$3.88 \pm 0.26$	$3.85 \pm 0.27$	199° at	3.6 miles
97*	Rt 29 Pole #50 200ft N of Miner Rd	$3.50 \pm 0.25$	4.07 ± 0.29	$3.84 \pm 0.17$	$3.89 \pm 0.20$	143° at	1.8 miles
98	Lake Rd Pole #145 015 mi E of Rt 29	$3.68 \pm 0.22$	$4.22 \pm 0.24$	$3.97 \pm 0.29$	$3.87 \pm 0.17$	101° at	1.2 miles
99	NMP Rd 04 mi N of Lake Rd Env Station R1	$3.81 \pm 0.20$	$4.25 \pm 0.24$	4.27 ± 0.21	$4.11 \pm 0.20$	88° at	1.8 miles
100	Rt 29 & Lake Rd Env Station R2	$3.75 \pm 0.26$	$4.29 \pm 0.25$	$4.05 \pm 0.20$	$3.94 \pm 0.19$	104° at	1.1 miles
101	Rt 29 07 mi S of Lake Rd Env Station R3	$3.43 \pm 0.22$	$3.85 \pm 0.32$	$3.76 \pm 0.23$	$3.72 \pm 0.24$	132° at	1.5 miles
102	EOF/Env Lab Rt 176 E Driveway Lamp Post	$3.65 \pm 0.19$	$3.92 \pm 0.21$	$3.82 \pm 0.21$	$3.68 \pm 0.16$	175° at	11.9 miles
103	EIC East Garage Rd Lamp Post	$4.18 \pm 0.21$	$4.52 \pm 0.24$	$4.43 \pm 0.25$	$4.31 \pm 0.19$	267° at	0.4 miles
104	Parkhurst Rd Pole #23 01 mi S of Lake rd	$3.52 \pm 0.27$	$4.05 \pm 0.19$	$3.96 \pm 0.30$	$3.81 \pm 0.20$	102° at	1.4 miles
105	Lake view Rd Pole #36 05 mi S of Lake Rd	$3.67 \pm 0.19$	$4.20 \pm 0.28$	$4.06 \pm 0.21$	$3.93 \pm 0.25$	198° at	1.4 miles
106	Shoreline Cove W of NMP-1 Tree on W Edge	$4.61 \pm 0.25$	$5.22 \pm 0.26$	5.21 ± 0.33	$5.19 \pm 0.59$	274° at	0.3 miles
107	Shoreline Cove W of NMP-1 30 ft SSW of #106	$4.50 \pm 0.24$	$5.03 \pm 0.27$	$4.90 \pm 0.26$	5.02 ± 0.27	272° at	0.3 miles
108	Lake Rd Pole #142 300 ft E of Rt 29 S	$3.69 \pm 0.18$	$4.25 \pm 0.26$	$4.12 \pm 0.23$	$4.08 \pm 0.18$	104° at	1.1 miles
109	Tree North of Lake Rd 300 ft E of Rt 29 N	$3.86 \pm 0.17$	4.27 ± 0.29	$4.18 \pm 0.21$	$4.05 \pm 0.20$	103° at	1.1 miles
111	State Route 38 Sterling NY - Control	$3.53 \pm 0.21$	$3.86 \pm 0.23$	$3.72 \pm 0.22$	$3.71 \pm 0.25$	166° at	26.4 miles
112	EOF/Env Lab Oswego County Airport	$3.82 \pm 0.29$	$3.90 \pm 0.28$	$3.99 \pm 0.20$	$3.88 \pm 0.18$	175° at	11.9 miles
113	Baldwinsville NY - Control	$3.42 \pm 0.21$	$3.74 \pm 0.22$	3.73 ± 0.19	$3.58 \pm 0.25$	214° at	21.8 miles

(1) Direction and distance based on NMP2 reactor centerline.

\* TLD required by ODCM

#### **TABLE 6-13** CONCENTRATIONS OF IODINE-131 AND GAMMA EMITTERS IN MILK Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma

Date	I-131.	Cs <del>.</del> 134	Cs-137	K-40	Ba/La-140	Others †
04/06/09	< 0.613	< 7.45	< 6.46	1424 +/- 78.0	< 8.18	<lld< td=""></lld<>
04/20/09	< 0.444	< 6.38	< 4.75	1438 +/- 66.8	< 6.17	<lld< td=""></lld<>
05/04/09	< 0.456	< 6.27	< 6.46	1549 +/- 82.6	< 6.44	<lld< td=""></lld<>
05/17/09	< 0.584	< 11.87	< 7.45	1790 +/- 102.5	< 6.97	<lld< td=""></lld<>
06/08/09	< 0.669	< 7.21	< 6.16	1560 +/- 82.8	< 5.91	<lld< td=""></lld<>
06/22/09	< 0.436	< 7.33	< 6.01	1396 +/- 78.1	< 4.57	<lld< td=""></lld<>
07/06/09	< 0.549	< 9.39	< 8.08	_1374 +/- 93.1	< 7.09	<lld< td=""></lld<>
07/20/09	< 0.721	· < 4.21	< 6.74	1477 +/- 81.5	< 7.39	<lld< td=""></lld<>
08/10/09	< 0.645	< 9.74	< 8.02	1648 +/- 96.6	< 9.85	<lld< td=""></lld<>
08/24/09	< 0.544	< 7.69	< 5.52	1633 +/- 86.3	< 5.88	<lld< td=""></lld<>
09/08/09	< 0.613	< 5.15	< 6.52	1763 +/- 80.7	< 6.55	<lld< td=""></lld<>
09/21/09	< 0.423	< 4.34	< 6.91	1713 +/- 81.6	< 7.91	<lld< td=""></lld<>
10/05/09	< 0.444	< 6.37	< 6.64	1516 +/- 77.0	< 5.81	<lld< td=""></lld<>
10/19/09	< 0.564	< 6.12	< 6.60	1558 +/- 80.7	< 6.44	<lld< td=""></lld<>
11/02/09	< 0.490	< 5.22	< 5.82	1360 +/- 78.8	< 5.57	<lld< td=""></lld<>
11/15/09	< 0.747	< 10.65	< 8.79	1453 +/- 98.8	< 11.25	<lld< td=""></lld<>
12/07/09	< 0.556	< 9.67	< 6.72	1428 +/- 79.3	< 7.59	<lld< td=""></lld<>
12/21/09	< 0.519	< 6.98	< 6.20	1486 +/- 81.4	< 7.15	<lld< td=""></lld<>

Sample Location \*\* No.55 \*\*\*

\*\* Sample Location is Optional

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-4

† Plant related radionuclides

#### TABLE 6-13 (Continued) CONCENTRATIONS OF IODINE-131 AND GAMMA EMITTERS IN MILK Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma Sample Location \*\* No.76 \*\*\*

Date	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	K-40	Ba/La-140	Others †
04/06/09	< 0.638	< 9.78	< 7.40	1210 +/- 84.7	< 9.87	<lld< td=""></lld<>
04/20/09	< 0.439	< 9.30	< 8.35	1466 +/- 97.8	< 11.44	<lld< td=""></lld<>
05/04/09	< 0.629	< 7.59	< 8.62	1166 +/- 84.2	< 10.50	<lld< td=""></lld<>
05/17/09	< 0.571	< 4.40	< 5.88	1855 +/- 82.8	< 7.10	<lld< td=""></lld<>
06/08/09	< 0.748	< 6.33	< 7.67	1375 +/- 89.2	< 11.12	<lld< td=""></lld<>
06/22/09	< 0.414	< 8.32	< 8.16	1533 +/- 92.9	< 6.46	<lld< td=""></lld<>
07/06/09	< 0.549	< 5.77	< 8.90	1832 +/- 106.8	< 12.24	<lld< td=""></lld<>
07/20/09	< 0.730	< 4.94	< 7.67	1371 +/- 91.7	< 1.80	<lld< td=""></lld<>
08/10/09	< 0.660	< 5.33	< 6.20	1710 +/- 95.1	< 7.96	<lld< td=""></lld<>

\*\* Sample Location is Optional

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-4

† Plant related radionuclides

## TABLE 6-13(Continued)CONCENTRATIONS OF IODINE-131 AND GAMMA EMITTERS IN MILKResults in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location \* No.77 (Control) \*\*\*

Date	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	K-40	Ba/La-140	Others †
04/06/09	< 0.564	< 5.89	< 5.24	1361 +/- 59.8	< 5.50	<lld< td=""></lld<>
04/20/09	< 0.471	< 3.78	< 4.59	1459 +/- 57.4	< 5.05	<lld< td=""></lld<>
05/04/09	< 0.530	< 6.38	< 5.65	1626 +/- 71.2	< 5.41	<lld< td=""></lld<>
05/18/09	< 0.544	< 9.39	< 10.01	1561 +/- 96.5	< 12.89	<lld< td=""></lld<>
06/08/09	< 0.631	< 4.42	< 5.02	1553 +/- 70.7	< 5.41	<lld< td=""></lld<>
06/22/09	< 0.493	< 3.68	< 4.80	1417 +/- 67.0	< 6.03	<lld< td=""></lld<>
07/06/09	< 0.614	< 8.18	< 4.96	1401 +/- 79.5	< 5.55	- <lld< td=""></lld<>
07/20/09	< 0.631	< 6.09	< 5.84	1379 +/- 66.6	< 5.71	<lld< td=""></lld<>
08/10/09	< 0.549	< 7.46	< 6.70	1466 +/- 77.7	< 5.60	<lld< td=""></lld<>
08/24/09	< 0.605	< 6.16	< 5.45	1500 +/- 68.5	< 4.76	<lld< td=""></lld<>
09/08/09	< 0.565	< 5.47	< 7.97	1805 +/- 106.5	< 11.05 <sup>·</sup>	<lld< td=""></lld<>
09/21/09	< 0.450	< 9.00	< 8.90	2008 +/- 110.6	< 11.64	<lld< td=""></lld<>
10/05/09	< 0.480	< 3.80	< 5.42	1537 +/- 66.9	< 4.88	<lld< td=""></lld<>
10/19/09	< 0.606	< 5.85	< 5.84	1448 +/- 67.5	< 6.00	<lld< td=""></lld<>
11/02/09	< 0.471	< 7.84	< 7.40	1241 +/- 85.5	< 6.46	<lld< td=""></lld<>
11/16/09	< 0.700	< 3.40	< 4.97	1448 +/- 69.1	< 6.13	<lld< td=""></lld<>
12/07/09	< 0.668	< 4.16	< 4.35	1456 +/- 68.4	< 6.44	<lld< td=""></lld<>
12/21/09	< 0.648	< 7.89	< 5.19	1534 +/- 71.0	< 3.57	<lld< td=""></lld<>

\*\* Sample Location is Optional

\*\*\* Corresponds to sample location noted on Figure 3.3-4

† Plant related radionuclides

# TABLE 6-14CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FOOD PRODUCTSResults in Units of pCi/kg (wet) ± 1 sigma

Location ***	Date	Description	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
69**	09/09/09	Squash Leaves	789 +/- 76	2364 +/- 170	< 18.0	< 22.7	< 18.4	< 44.4	< LLD
	09/09/09	Tomatoes	< 73	2334 +/- 145	< 12.8	< 17.0	< 10.1	< 37.7	< LLD
				-					
Location ***	Date	Description	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
133 *	09/09/09	Collard Greens	268 +/- 66	3252 +/- 217	< 19.1	< 26.9	< 19.6	< 65.3	< LLD
	09/09/09	Rhubarb Leaves	160 +/- 51	3075 +/- 200	< 16.4	< 13.8	< 16.6	< 46.8	< LLD
	09/09/09	Tomatoes	< 86	2054 +/- 138	< 11.9	< 13.8	< 11.0	< 33.7	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	09/09/09	Swiss Chard	< 162	5876 +/- 335	< 23.1	< 26.6	< 23.0	< 75.1	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	• • • • • •								
Location ***	Date	Description	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-134	° Cs-137 °	Zn-65	Others †
48 **	09/09/09	Grape Leaves	984 +/- 104	2730 +/- 231	< 27.6	< 28.8	< 22.7	< 76.6	< LLD
	09/09/09	Tomatoes	< 105	2250 +/- 170	< 12.6	< 13.0	< 19.1	< 46.2	< LLD
Location ***	Date	Description	··· Be-7 ·	K-40	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
484*	09/08/09	Corn Leaves	1889 +/- 197	4403 +/- 401	< 45.2	< 58.4	< 50.6	< 124.3	<lld< td=""></lld<>
	09/08/09	Pumpkin Leaves	1855 +/- 133	4198 +/- 259	< 25.5	< 21.4	< 30.1	< 39.8	< LLD
	09/08/09	Cabbage	404 +/- 80	5175 +/- 272	< 24.0	< 20.9	< 24.8	< 61.0	< LLD
	09/08/09	Tomatoes	< 130	2510 +/- 182	< 14.7	< 13.1	< 16.1	< 53.6	< LLD

\* Sample Location Required by the ODCM

\*\* Sample location is Optional

\*\*\* Corresponds to Sample Location noted on Figure 3.3-5

, ·

† Plant Related Radionuclides

## TABLE 6-14 (Continued)CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN FOOD PRODUCTSResults in Units of pCi/kg (wet) ± 1 sigma

Location ***	Date	Description	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
144 **	09/08/09	Corn Leaves	1456 +/- 141	4289 +/- 312	< 32.4	< 37.3	< 23.5	< 79.0	< LLD
	-09/08/09 ·	Squash Leaves	1193 +/- 92	4619 +/- 225	< 20.3	< 14.6	< 16.8	< 51.0	< LLD
	09/08/09	Cabbage	< 222	3483 +/- 274	< 27.9	< 37.2	< 34.4	< 50.9	< LLD
	09/08/09	Horseradish	690 +/- 106	4084 +/- 308	< 26.8	< 43.5	< 25.0	< 85.4	< LLD

<sup>°</sup> Location ***	Date	Description	Be-7	K-40	I-131	Cs-134	Cs-137	Zn-65	Others †
145*	09/15/09	Tomatoes	< 68	2571 +/- 108	< 7.5	< 6.8	< 8.7	< 26.0	< LLD
Control	09/15/09	Squash Leaves	598 +/- 45	3581 +/- 122	< 10.6	< 14.8	< 11.2	< 27.8	< LLD
	09/15/09	Horseradish	268 +/- 44	3108 +/- 151	< 12.1	< 18.6	< 13.3	< 35.5	< LLD
	09/15/09	Rhubarb	95 +/- 31	3595 +/- 125	< 9.7	< 8.1	< 9.4	< 31.0	< LLD
· ·	09/15/09	Pumpkin Leaves	1901 +/- 69	2455 +/- 113	< 10.9	< 13.6	< 11.4	< 27.9	<lld< td=""></lld<>

\* Sample Location Required by the ODCM

\*\* Sample location is Optional

\*\*\* Corresponds to Sample Location noted on Figure 3.3-5

† Plant Related Radionuclides

### TABLE 6-15MILK ANIMAL CENSUS

Town or	Location		Distance <sup>(2)</sup>	Number of				
Area <sup>(a)</sup>	Designation <sup>(1)</sup>	Degrees <sup>(2)</sup>	(Miles)	Milk Animals				
	9	98°	4.8	40C				
New Haven	64	107 <sup>°</sup>	7.9	36C				
· .	78	128°	8	0C				
	14	123°	9.4	55C				
	60	<u>91</u> °	9.5	15C				
	76*	120°	6.3	24C				
Mexico	55*	97°	8.8	54C				
	21	112°	10.3	80C				
	72	100 <sup>°</sup>	9.6	34C				
	50	93°	8.8	0C				
Granby (Control)	77**	190°	16	68C				
MILKING ANIMAL TOTALS: <u>406</u> Cows (including control locations) <u>0</u> Goats MILKING ANIMAL TOTALS: <u>338</u> Cows (excluding control locations) <u>0</u> Goats								
NOTES: C Cows G Goats * Milk sample location ** Milk sample control location (1) Reference Figure 3.3-4 (2) Degrees and distance are based on NMP2 Reactor Building centerline								
(a) Census performed out to a distance of approximately 10 miles								

Meteorological Sector	Location	Map Location <sup>(1)</sup>	Degrees <sup>(2)</sup>	Distance <sup>(2)</sup>
N	*	-	-	-
NNE	*	-	-	-
NE	*	-	-	-
ENE	*	-	÷ -	-
E	West Sunset Bay / Lake Road	А	100°	1.3 miles
ESE	Lake Road	В	104°	1.1 miles
SE	County Route 29	С	125°	1.4 miles
SSE	Miner Road	D	158°	1.7 miles
S	Miner Road	E	171°	1.6 miles
SSW	Lakeview Road	F.	208°	1.2 miles
SW	Lakeview Road	G	217°	1.1 miles
WSW	Bayshore Drive	H	237°	1.4 miles
W	*	-	-	-
WNW	*	• •	- <b>-</b>	-
NW	*		-	-
NNW	*		-	

### TABLE 6-16RESIDENCE CENSUS

NOTES:

\* This meteorological sector is over Lake Ontario. There is no residence within five miles

(1) Corresponds to Figure 3.3-5

(2) Degrees and distance are based on NMP-2 Reactor Building centerline

#### ~ 7.0 HISTORICAL DATA TABLES

. .

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA SHORELINE SEDIMENT (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	Cs	Cs-137 (pCi/g (dry))			Co-60 (pCi/g (dry))			
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEÅN	. MIN.	MAX.	MEAN		
1979 <sup>(2)</sup>	0.22	0.22	0.22	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1980	0.07	0.09	0.08	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1981	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1982	0.05	0.05	0.05	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1983	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1985	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1986	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1988	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1990	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1993	0.03	0.03	0.03	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .	LLD		
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .		
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .		
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		

(1) Control location was at an area beyond the influence of the site (westerly direction).

(2) Sampling was initiated in 1979. Sampling was not required prior to 1979.

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA SHORELINE SEDIMENT (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

· · · ·	Cs-137 (pCi/g (dry))		Co-60 (pCi/g (dry))			
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1 <b>985</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1986	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1988	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1989	0.25	0.34	0.30	LLD	LLD	LLD
1990	0.28	0.28	0.28	LLD	LLD	LLD
1991	0.11	0.16	0.14	LLD	LLD	LLD
1992	0.10	0.16	0.13	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	0.17	0.49	0.33	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	0.08	0.39	0.24	LLD	LLD	LLD
1995	0.16	0.17	0.16	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	0.13	0.18	0.16	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	0.13	0.18	0.16	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	0.07	0.07	0.07	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	0.06	0.09	0.08	LLD	LLD	LLD
2000	0.06	0.08	0.07	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001	0.06	0.07	0.07	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	0.05	0.05	0.05	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	0.04	0.05	0.05	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	0.04	0.04	0.04	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	0.06	0.09	0.08	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	0.06	0.06	0.06	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	0.04	0.04	0.04	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD.
2009	LLD '	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD

Location was off-site at Sunset Beach (closest location with recreational value).
 Sampling initiated in 1985 as required by Technical Specifications requirements.

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA FISH (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	Cs-137 (pCi/g (wet))					
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN			
1976	12	12	12			
1977	0.13	0.13	0.13			
1978	0.04	0.20	0.09			
1979	0.03	0.06	0.04			
1980	0.03	0.11	0.06			
1981	0.028	0.062	0.043			
1982	0.027	0.055	0.046			
1983	0.041	0.057	0.049			
1984	0.015	0.038	0.032			
1985	0.026	0.047	0.034			
1986	0.021	0.032	0.025			
1987	0.017	0.040	0.031			
1988	0.023	0.053	0.033			
1989	0.020	0.033	0.029			
1990	0.025	0.079	0.043			
1991	0.016	0.045	0.030			
1992	0.019	0.024	0.022			
1993	0.023	0.041	0.032			
1994	0.012	0.035	0.024			
1995	0.014	0.020	0.016			
1996	0.014	0.018	0.016			
1997	0.019	0.043	0.031			
1998	0.013	0.013	0.013			
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2000	0.02	0.02	0.02			
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD			
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD			

(1) Control location was at an area beyond the influence of the site (westerly direction).

7 - 4

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA FISH (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

	Cs-137 (pCi/g (wet))				
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN		
1976	0.5	3.9	1.4		
1977	0.13	0.79	0.29		
1978	0.03	0.10	0.08		
1979	0.02	0.55	0.10		
1980	0.03	0.10	0.06		
1981	0.03	0.10	0.06		
1982	0.034	0.064	0.048		
1983	0.033	0.056	0.045		
1984	0.033	0.061	0.043		
1985	0.018	0.044	0.030		
1986	0.009	0.051	0.028		
1987	0.024	0.063	0.033		
1988	0.020	0.074	0.034		
1989	0.020	0.043	0.035		
1990	0.024	0.115	0.044		
1991	0.021	0.035	0.027		
1992	0.013	0.034	0.026		
1993	0.021	0.038	0.030		
1994	0.011	0.028	0.020		
1995	0.016	0.019	0.018		
1996	0.014	0.016	0.015		
1997	0.015	0.017	0.016		
1998	0.021	0.021	0.021		
1999	0.016	0.018	0.017		
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2002	0.016	0.016	0.016		
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD		

(1) Indicator locations are in the general area of the NMP1 and J. A. FitzPatrick cooling water discharge structures.

7 - 5

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA SURFACE WATER (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	Cs-137 (pCi/liter)			Co-60 (pCi/liter)		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
1977	(3)	(3)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
1978	LLD			(3)	(3)	(3)
1979	2.5	2.5	2.5	LLD	LLD	
1980	LLD	LLD	LLD			
1981	LLD	LLD	LLD	1.4	1.4	1.4
1982	LLD	LLD		LLD	LLD	LLD
1983	LLD	LLD	, LLD	LLD		
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1985	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1986	LLD	LLD	LLĎ	LLD	LLD	LLD
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1988	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1990	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD	LLD	LLD

(1) Location was the City of Oswego Water Supply for 1976 - 1984 and the Oswego Steam Station inlet canal for 1985 - 2009.

(2) No gamma analyses performed (not required).

(3) Data showed instrument background results.

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA SURFACE WATER (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

	Cs-137 (pCi/liter)			Co-60 (pCi/liter)		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
1977	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)		(3)
1978	LLD	LLD	LLD	(3)	(3)	(3)
1979	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1980	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1981	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1982	0.43	0.43	0.43	1.6	2.4	1.9
1983	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1985	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1986	LLD	LLD	ĹLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1988	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1990	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	ĹĹŊ
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	LLD .	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
(1) Locatio	on was the J. A. F	itzPatrick inlet car	nal.			

No gamma analyses performed (not required).

(2) (3) Data showed instrument background results.

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA SURFACE WATER TRITIUM (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

		TRITIUM (pCi/liter)	
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976	440	929	652
1977	300	530	408
1978	215	490	304
1979	174	308	259
1980	211	290	257
1981	211	328	276
1982	112	307	165
1983	230	280	250
1984	190	220	205
1985	230	370	278
1986	250	550	373
1987	140	270	210
1988	240	460	320
1989	180	660	373
1990	260	320	290
1991	180	200	190
1992	190	310	242
1993	160	230	188
1994	250	250	250
1995	230	230	230
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	190	190	190
1999	220	510	337
2000	196	237	212
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA SURFACE WATER TRITIUM (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

·	TRITIUM (pCi/liter)		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976	365	889	627
1977	380	530	455
1978	377	560	476
1979	176	276	228
1980	150	306	227
1981	212	388	285
1982	194	311	266
<b>1983</b> `	249	560	347
1984	110	370	280
1985	250	1200 (2)	530
1986	260	500	380
1987	160	410	322
1988	430	480	460
1989	210	350	280
1990	220	290	250
1991	250	390	310
1992	240	300	273
1993	200	280	242
1994	180	260	220
1995	320	320	320
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	160	160	160
1998	190	190	190
1999	180	270	233
2000	161	198	185
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	297	297	297
2003	LLD		LLD
2004	LLD		LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD

7 - 9

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA GROUNDWATER TRITIUM (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	`	TRITIUM (pCi/liter)	r)		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN		
2005	<854	<854	<854		
2006 <sup>(2)</sup>	<447	<825	<636		
2007	<442	<445	<444		
2008	<427	<439	<431		
2009	<411	<418	<415		

(1) Control well locations (2) are upland wells located south of protected area.

(2) Required LLD changed to 500 pCi/l from 1000 pCi/l

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA GROUNDWATER TRITIUM (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

	TRITIUM (pCi/liter)		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
2005	<854	<871	<863
2006 <sup>(2)</sup>	<462	<933	<823
2007	<440	<461	<445
2008	<427	<439	<433
2009	<406	<424	<413

(1) Indicator locations are down gradient wells located in the protected area and samples collected from the NMP2 depression cone system.

(2) Required LLD changed to 500 pCi/l from 1000 pCi/l

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA AIR PARTICULATE GROSS BETA (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

		GROSS BETA (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	
1977	0.001	0.484	0.125	
1978	0.01	0.66	0.16	
1979	0.010	0.703	0.077	
1980	0.009	0.291	0.056	
1981	0.016	0.549	0.165	
1982	0.011	0.078	0.033	
1983	0.007	0.085	0.024	
. 1984	0.013	0.051	0.026	
1985	0.013	0.043	0.024	
1986	0.008	0.272	0.039	
1987	0.009	0.037	0.021	
1988	0.008	0.039	0.018	
1989	0.007	0.039	0.017	
1990	0.003	0.027	0.013	
1991	0.006	0.028	0.014	
1992	0.006	0.020	0.012	
1993	0.007	0.022	0.013	
1994	0.008	0.025	0.015	
1995	0.006	0.023	0.014	
1996	0.008	0.023	0.014	
1997	0.006	0.025	0.013	
1998	0.004	0.034	0.014	
1999	0.010	0.032	0.017	
2000	0.006	0.027	0.015	
2001	0.006	0.034	0.016	
2002	0.008	0.027	0.016	
2003	0.004	0.032	0.015	
2004	0.008	0.032	0.016	
2005	0.008	0.034	0.019	
2006	0.007	0.033	0.016	
2007	0.008	0.028	0.016	
2008	0.007	0.031	0.015	
2009	0.006	0.032	0.016	

(1) Locations used for 1977 - 1984 were C off-site, D1 off-site, D2 off-site, E off-site, F off-site, and G off-site. Control location R-5 off-site was used for 1985 - 2009 (formerly C offsite location).

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA AIR PARTICULATE GROSS BETA (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

•	GROSS BETA (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )				
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN		
1977	0.002	0.326	0.106		
1978	0.01	0.34	0.11		
1979	0.001	0.271	0.058		
1980	0.002	0.207	0.044		
1981	0.004	0.528	0.151		
1982	0.001	0.113	0.031		
1983	0.002	0.062	0.023		
1984	0.002	0.058	0.025		
1985	0.010	0.044	0.023		
1986	0.007	0.289	0.039		
1987	0.009	0.040	0.021		
1988	0.007	0.040	0.018		
1989	0.007	0.041	0.017		
1990	0.005	0.023	0.014		
1991	0.007	0.033	0.015		
1992	0.005	0.024	0.013		
1993	0.005	0.025	0.014		
1994	0.006	0.025	0.015		
1995	0.004	0.031	0.014		
1996	0.006	0.025	0.013		
1997	0.001	0.018	0.010		
1998	0.002	0.040	0.015		
1999	0.009	0.039	0.017		
2000	0.005	0.033	0.015		
2001	0.004	0.037	0.016		
2002	0.006	0.026	0.016		
2003	0.005	0.035	0.015		
2004	0.003	0.037	0.016		
2005	0.007	0.040	0.018		
2006	0.005	0.035	0.015		
2007	0.007	0.028	0.016		
2008	0.004	0.030	0.016		
2009	0.006	0.032	0.016		

(1) Locations used for 1977 - 1984 were D1 onsite, D2 onsite, E onsite, F onsite, G onsite, H onsite, I onsite, J onsite, and K onsite as applicable. 1985 – 2009 locations were R-1 offsite, R-2 offsite, R-3 offsite, and R-4 offsite.

#### HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA AIR PARTICULATES (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

-137 (pCi/m	1 <sup>3</sup> )		Co-60 (pCi/m <sup>3</sup>	)
MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
0.0112	0.0034	0.0034	0.0347	0.0172
0.0042	0.0018	0.0003	0.0056	0.0020
0.0047	0.0016	0.0005	0.0014	0.0009
0.0018	0.0016	LLD	LLD	LLD
0.0042	0.0017	0.0003	0.0012	0.0008
0.0009	0.0004	0.0004	0.0007	0.0006
0.0002	0.0002	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007
LLD	LLD	0.0004	0.0012	0.0008
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
0.0311	0.0193	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	· LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
of	LLD LLD C. D1. F. 1	LLD LLD LLD LLD C. D1. E. F. and G offsite air	LLD LLD LLD LLD LLD LLD C. D1. E. F. and G offsite air monitoring local	LLD     LLD     LLD     LLD       LLD     LLD     LLD     LLD       LLD     LLD     LLD     LLD

 Locations included composites of C, D1, E, F, and G offsite air monitoring locations for 1977 - 1984. Sample location included only R-5 air monitoring location for 1985 - 2009.
## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA AIR PARTICULATES (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

	С	s-137 (pCi/m <sup>3</sup>	)	. (	Co-60 (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	
YEAR	MIŊ.	MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1977	0.0001	0.0105	0.0043	0.0003	0.0711	0.0179
1978	0.0003	0.0026	0.0016	0.0003	0.0153	0.0023
1979	0.0003	0.0020	0.0010	0.0003	0.0007	0.0005
1980	0.0005	0.0019	0.0011	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016
1981	0.0002	0.0045	0.0014	0.0002	0.0017	0.0006
1982	0.0001	0.0006	0.0004	0.0003	0.0010	0.0005
1983	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002	0.0003	0.0017	0.0007
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD	0.0007	<b>0.0017</b>	0.0012
1985	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .
1986	0.0069	0.0364	0.0183	LLD	LLD	LLD
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1988	LLD	LLD	· · LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD <sup>1</sup>	LLD
· 1990	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD <sup>·</sup>	LLD	LLD	LLD
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	: LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD · ·	LLD
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	, LLD
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	0.0048	0.0048	0.0048
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLÐ	LLD
2002	- LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD

(1) Locations included composites of D1, D2, E, F, G, H, I, J, and K onsite air monitoring locations for 1977 - 1984. Locations included R-1 through R-4 air monitoring locations for 1985 - 2009.

7 - 15

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA AIR RADIOIODINE (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

		IODINE-131 (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )	
YEAR	MIN.	° MAX.	MEAN
1976	0.01	5.88	0.60
1977	0.02	0.82	0.32
1978	0.03	0.04	0.03
1979		LLD	LLD
1980	LLD	LLD	LLD
1981	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
1982	0.039	0.039	0.039
1983	LLD	LLD	LLD
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD <sup>+</sup>
1985	LLD	LLD	LLD
1986	0.041	0.332	0.151
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD.
1988	LLD		LLD
1989		LLD	LLD
1990	LLD		LLD
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	LLD		LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004		LLD	LLD
2005		LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD
		· · · · · ·	•••

 Locations D1 off-site, D2 off-site, E off-site, F off-site, and G off-site used for 1976 - 1984. Location R-5 offsite used for 1985 - 2009.

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA AIR RADIOIODINE (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(ODINE-131 (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> )			
YEAR	• MIN.	MAX.	MEAN		
1976	0.01	2 09	0.33		
1977	0.02	0.73	0.31		
1978	0.02	0.07	0.04		
1979					
1980	0.013	0.013	0.013		
1981	0.016	0.042	0.029		
1982	0.002	0.042	0.016		
1983	0.022	0.035	0.028		
1984					
1985					
1986	0.023	0 360	0 119		
1987	0.011	0.018	0.014		
1988					
1989					
1990					
1991					
1992					
1993			LLD		
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1996	LLD		LLD		
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD		
1999		LLD	LLD		
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2006	LLD		LLD		
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD		
2009		LLD	LLD		

(1) Locations used for 1976 - 1984 were D1 on-site, D2 on-site, E on-site, F on-site, G on-site, H on-site, I on-site, J on-site, and K on-site, as applicable. Locations used for 1985 - 2009 were R1 off-site, R-2 off-site, R-3 off-site, and R-4 off-site.

7 - 17

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA ENVIRONMENTAL TLD (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	DOSE (mrem per standard month)			
YEAR	° MIN.	MAX.	· MEAN	
1969	(2)	(2)	(2)	
1970	6.0	7.3	· 6.7	
1971	2.0	6.7	4.3	
1972	2.2	6.2	4.4	
1973	2.2	6.9	4.7	
1974	2.7	8.9	5.6	
1975	4.8	6.0	5.5	
1976	3.2	7.2	5.4	
1977	4.0	8.0	5.3	
1978	3.3	4.7	4.3	
1979	3.3	5.7	4.7	
1980	3.8	5.8	4.9	
1981	3.5	5.9	4.8	
1982	3.8	6.1	5.1	
1983	4.9	7.2	5.8	
1984	4.7	8.2	6.2	
1985	4.5 (4.4)*	7.6 (6.8)*	5.6 (5.4)*	
1986	5.3 (5.5)*	7.5 (7.2)*	6.3 (6.3)*	
1987	4.6 (4.6)*	6.6 (5.8)*	5.4 (5.2)*	
1988	4.4 (4.8)*	6.8 (6.8)*	5.6 (5.4)*	
1989	2.9 (2.9)*	6.4 (5.6)*	4.7 (4.6)*	
1990	3.7 (3.7)*	6.0 (5.9)*	4.8 (4.6)*	
1991	3.8 (3.8)*	5.4 (5.3)*	4.5 (4.3)*	
1992	2.6 (2.6)*	5.0 (4.7)*	4.1 (3.9)*	
1993	3.4 (3.4)*	5.6 (5.2)*	4.4 (4.3)*	
1994	3.1 (3.1)*	5.0 (4.6)*	4.1 (3.9)*	
1995	3.4 (3.4)*	5.7 (4.9)*	4.4 (4.2)*	
1996	3.4 (3.4)*	5.6 (5.6)*	4.3 (4.2)*	
1997	3.7 (3.9)*	6.2 (5.2)*	4.7 (4.6)*	
1998	3.7 (3.7)*	5.6 (4.8)*	4.4 (4.2)*	
1999	$3.6(3.7)^*$	7.1 (4.7)*	4.6 (4.4)*	
2000	$3.7(3.7)^*$	7.3 (5.5)*	4.7 (4.3)*	
2001	3.6 (3.9)*	5.4 (5.0)*	4.4 (4.4)*	
2002	3.4 (3.4)*	5.5 (5.2)*	$4.3(4.1)^{+}$	
2003	$3.4(3.4)^{T}$	5.5 (4.8) <sup>+</sup>	4.2 (4.2)* 4.2 (4.5)*	
2004	$3.3(3.3)^{T}$	5.9 (5.9)* 5 1 (4 5)*	4.3 (4.3) <sup>*</sup>	
2005	$3.3(3.4)^{T}$	<b>5.1 (4.5)</b> <sup>+</sup> <b>5.2 (4.4)</b> *	4.I (4.U) <sup>*</sup> 4.1 (4.0)*	
2000	$3.3(3.3)^{+}$	<b>3.3 (4.4)</b> <sup>+</sup> 5 9 (5 2)*	$4.1 (4.0)^{-1}$	
2007	$3.2(3.2)^{+}$	J.0 (J.J) <sup>+</sup> 5 1(A 9\*	4.4 (4.3)"	
2008	2.2(2.3)* 2.2(2.2)*	3.1(4.0)" 1 0(1 7)*	·4.1(4.0) <sup>™</sup> 2.0(2.7)*	
	<u> </u>	<u>4.0(4.2)</u> <sup>+</sup>	3.9(3./)*	

(1) TLD #8, 14, 49, 111 and 113 where applicable.

(2) Data not available.

(\*) TLD result based on the ODCM required locations (TLD #14 and 49).

7 - 18

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA ENVIRONMENTAL TLD (SITE BOUNDARY)<sup>(1)</sup>

	DOS	E (mrem per standard mon	th)
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1985 <sup>(2)</sup>	4.1	12.6	6.2
1986	4.4	18.7	7.0
1987	4.4	14.3	6.1
1988	3.4	17.9	6.4
1989	2.8	15.4	5.9
1990	3.6	14.8	5.8
1991	3.2	16.7	5.7
1992	3.2	10.4	4.8
1993	3.3	11.6	5.3
1994	2.8	12.4	5.2
1995	3.5	9.6	5.4
1996	. 3.2	9.1	5.2
1997	3.5	10.2	5.9
1998	3.7	9.4	5.4
1999	3.3	12.3	5.8
2000	3.6	10.0	5.5
2001	3.6	10.3	5.7
2002	3.5	9.4	5.4
2003	3.2	8.9	5.4
2004	3.3	10.8	5.6
2005	3.4	9.2	5.5
2006	3.5	9.2	5.4
2007	3.2	9.0	5.6
2008	3.2	8.8	5.2
2009	3.1	11.7	5.4
1			

(1) TLD locations initiated in 1985 as required by the new Technical Specifications. Includes TLD numbers 7, 18, 23, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, and 87.

(2) Not required prior to 1985.

# HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA ENVIRONMENTAL TLD (OFF-SITE SECTORS)<sup>(1)</sup>

	DOSE (mrem per standard month)			
â	·	¥.		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	
1985 (2)	4.0	7.1	5.0	
1986	4.6	8.6	6.0	
1987	4.3	6.0	5.2	
1988	3.8	7.0	5.3	
1989	2.5	6.8	4.9	
1990	3.6	6.3	4.7	
1991	3.6	5.6	4.5	
1992	2.9	5.0	4.1	
1993	3.4	6.3	4.5	
1994	3.0	5.1	4.0	
1995	3.2	5.2	4.2	
1996	3.2	5.3	4.2	
1997	3.5	5.8	4.5	
1998	3.5	5.0	4.2	
1999	3.6	5.6	4.4	
2000	3.4	6.6	4.5	
2001	3.6	5.4	4.4	
2002	3.1	5.3	4.2	
2003	3.4	4.8	4.1	
2004	3.2	6.7	4.4	
2005	3.2	4.7	4.0	
2006	3.3	4.4	4.0	
2007	3.1	5.1	4.2	
2008	3.2	4.5	3.8	
2009	3.3	4.5	3.9	
(1) TLD locations initiate	TLD locations initiated in 1985 as required by the new Technical Specifications. Includes TLD numbers 88,			
89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 9	94, and 95.			
(2) No data available (not	2) No data available (not required prior to 1985)			

# HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA ENVIRONMENTAL TLD (SPECIAL INTEREST)<sup>(1)</sup><sup>(2)</sup>

	DOS	DOSE (mrem per standard month)			
YELD.		NAV -	NOT AND		
YEAR		MAX.	MEAN		
1 <b>985</b> <sup>(3)</sup>	3.9	6.8	5.3		
1986	4.8	8.2	-6.1		
1987	3.5	6.0	5.1		
1988	3.9	6.6	5.3		
1989	2.1	7.0	4.8		
1990	3.2	6.3	4.7		
1991	2.9	5.6	4.4		
1992	3.0	4.8	4.1		
1993	3.2	5.8	4.5		
1994	2.9	4.8	4.0		
1995	3.4	4.9	4.3		
1996	3.2	5.3	4.2		
1997	3.5	5.4	4.5		
1998	3.7	4.9	· 4.3		
1999	3.6	5.5	4.4		
2000	3.6	6.3	4.5		
2001	3.8	5.0	4.3		
2002	3.5	4.7	4.1		
2003	3.4	5.0	4.2		
2004	3.0	5.9	4.2		
2005	3.4	4.7	3.9		
2006	3.5	4.6	4.0		
2007	3.0	5.1	4.2		
2008	3.1	4.6	3.9		
2009	3.1	4.5	3.8		
(1) TLD locations in	itiated in 1985 as required by the ne	ew Technical Specifications. T	LD's included are numbers		
15, 56, 58, 96, 9	7 and 98.		1.4		
(2) TLD locations in	clude critical residences and popula	ated areas near the site.			
(3) Not required price	) Not required prior to 1985.				

<b>TABLE 7-21</b>				
HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA ENVIRONMENTAL TLD (ON-SITE INDICATOR) <sup>(1)</sup>				
	DO	SE (mrem per standard mon	th)	
YEAR	MIN.	··· MAX.	MEAN	
1969	(2)	(2)	(2)	
1970	4.7	9.0	6.0	
1971	1.5	7.7	4.7	
1972	2.3	8.2	4.9	
1973	3.0	24.4	6.6	
1974	3.1	10.6	5.7	
1975	46	16.0	73	
1976	37	18.8	69	
1977	3.0	15.3	57	
1978	3.0	90	43	
1979	27	83	4.3	
1980	2.7	12.0	53	
1091	J. <del>9</del> 4 1	12.0	5.5	
1901	4.1	11.0	5.0	
1982	5.9	15.0	0.5	
1983	5.0	16.5	6.9	
1984	4.6	13.2	7.0	
1985	4.7	15.9	6.3	
1986	4.7	16.1	7.0	
1987	4.0	11.4	5.8	
1988	4.4	11.9	6.0	
1989	2.7	14.5	6.0	
1990	3.6	12.9	5.5	
1991	3.2	11.6	5.1	
1992	3.2	5.6	4.3	
1993	3.1	13.6	5.2	
1994	2.8	14.3	5.1	
1995	3.5	28.6	6.2	
1996	3.1	32.6	6.4	
1997	3.5	28.8	7.7	
1998	3.6	28.8	6.2	
1999	3.3	28.4	6.6	
2000	3.7	16.5	5.6	
2001	3.8	14.5	5.6	
2002	3.5	13.6	53	
2003	3.2	12.9	53	
2003	33		5.5	
2005	3.5		5.1	
2005	3.4		5.4	
2000	3.5		5.5	
2007	3.4		5.0	
2000	3.Z 2.1	13.8	5.2	
2009	3.1 2.4.5.6 and 7 (1070 - 107	13.0	4.9	
(1974 - 2007). Location	s are existing or previous of	n-site environmental air monitori	ing locations.	

# HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA ENVIRONMENTAL TLD (OFF-SITE INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

	DOSE (mrem per standard month)				
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN		
1969	(1)	(2)	(2)		
1070	5.0		(2)		
1970	5.0 /	8.0	0.7		
1971		1.1	4.5		
1972	1.8	6.6	4.4		
1973	2.2	6.9	4.1		
1974	2.4	8.9	5.3		
1975	4.5	7.1	5.5		
1976	3.4	7.2	5.2		
1977	3.7	8.0	5.3		
1978	2.7	4.7	3.7		
1979	3.0	5.7	4.0		
1980	3.1	5.8	4.6		
1981	3.6	5.9	47		
1982	4.0	62	5.2		
1083	1.6		5.2		
1985	4.0	<i>1.2</i> 8.2	5.0		
1904	4.0	0.2	0.1		
1985	4.0	1.1	5.5		
1986	5.0	7.6	6.1		
1987	4.4	6.6	5.2		
1988	4.2	6.6	5.4		
<b>1989</b>	2.8	6.4	4.6		
1990	3.8	6.0	4.8		
1991	3.4	5.4	4.3		
1992	3.1	5.2	4.1		
1993	3.2	5.6	4.3		
1994	3.0	5.0	4.1		
1995	3.9	5.7	4.4		
1996	33	5.5	4 1		
1997	3.5	62	4.7		
1008	3.7	5.6			
1770	2.9	5.0	4.4		
2000	2.0	7.1	4.0		
2000	3.0	7.5	4.0		
2001	3.7	5.9	4.5		
2002	3.6	5.5	4.4		
2003	. 3.1	5.5	4.4		
2004	3.2	6.5	4.5		
2005	3.6	5.1	4.2		
2006	3.9	5.3	4.2		
2007	3.4	5.8	4.5		
2008	3.3	5.1	4.1		
2009	3.3	4.8	3.9		
(1) Includes TLD numbe	rs 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 (off-	site environmental air monitori	ng locations).		
(2) No data available.					

7.- 23

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA MILK (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	C	s-137 (pCi/lite	r)	I-131 (pCi/liter)		
YEAR	, MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
1977	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
1978	24	7.8	5.8			
1979	LLD		LLD			
1980	36	56	4 5	14	14	14
1981	3.9	3.9	3.9			
1982					LLD	
1983						
1984						
1985						
1986	5.3	12.4	8.4	0.8	29.0	13.6
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD		LLD	LLD
1988	LLD			ĹĹĎ	LLD	
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD		LLD	
1990			LLD			LLD
1991		LLD				
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD		LLD
1993	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
					•	

(1) Location used was an available milk sample location in a least prevalent wind direction greater than ten miles from the site.

(2) No data available (samples not required).

7 - 24

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA MILK (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

	C	s-137 (pCi/lite	r)	I-131 (pCi/liter)		
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976	4.0	15.0	9.3	0.02	45.00	3.20
1977	11.0	22.0	17.1	0.01	49.00	6.88
1978	3.4	33.0	9.9	0.19	0.19	0.19
1979	3.2	53.0	9.4	LLD	LLD	LLD
1980	3.2	21.0	8.1	0.3	8.8	3.8
1981	3.5	29.0	8.6	LLD	LLD	LLD ·
1982	3.5	14.0	5.7	LLD	LLD	LLD
1983	3.3	10.9	7.2	LLD	LLD	LLD
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1985	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
1986	6.1	11.1	8.6	0.3	30.0	5.2
1 <b>987</b>	5.5	8.1	6.8	LLD	LLD	LLD
1988	10.0	10.0	10.0	LLD	LLD	LLD
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1990	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1997	LLD	LLD	LLD	0.50	0.50	0.50
1998	LLD	LLD	, LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001	$^{+}$ LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .	LLD
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD .
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD	LLD
(1) Locatior	ns sampled were a	vailable downwin	d locations within	ten miles with hi	gh radionuclide de	eposition

potential.

7 - 25

## HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA FOOD PRODUCTS (CONTROL)<sup>(1)</sup>

	Cs-137 (pCi/g (wet))			
	¢			
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN	
1 <b>980</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	0.02	0.02	0.02	
1981	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1982	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1983	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1985 <sup>(3)</sup>	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1986	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1988	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1989	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1990	LLD	LLD	LLD .	
1991	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1993	0.007	0.007	0.007	
1994	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1995	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1996	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1997	LLD	LLD	· · LLD	
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD	
1999	LLD .	LLD	LLD	
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2001	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD	
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD	

(1) Location was an available food product sample location in a least prevalent wind direction greater than ten miles from the site.

(2) Data comprised of broadleaf and non-broadleaf vegetation (1980 – 1984, 2007 - 2009).

(3) Data comprised of broadleaf vegetation only (1985 - 2006).

# HISTORICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE DATA FOOD PRODUCTS (INDICATOR)<sup>(1)</sup>

		Cs-137 (pCi/g (wet))	
YEAR	MIN.	MAX.	MEAN
1976 <sup>(2)</sup>	LLD	LLD	LLD
1977		LLD	
1978	LLD		
1979	0.004	0.004	0.004
1980	0.004	0.060	0.036
1981	LLD	LLD	LLD
1982	LLD	LLD	LLD
1983	LLD	LLD	LLD
1984	LLD	LLD	LLD
1 <b>985</b> <sup>(3)</sup>	0.047	0.047	0.047
1986	LLD	LLD	LLD
1987	LLD	LLD	LLD
1988	0.008	0.008	0.008
1989	0.009	0.009	0.009
1990	LLD	LLD	LLD
1991	0.040	0.040	0.040
1992	LLD	LLD	LLD
1993	LLD	LLD	LLD
1994	0.004	0.011	0.008
1995	0.010	0.012	0.011
1996	LLD	LLD ·	LLD
1997	0.012	0.012	0.012
1998	LLD	LLD	LLD
1999	0.008	0.008	0.008
2000	LLD	LLD	LLD
2001		LLD	LLD
2002	LLD	LLD	LLD
2003	LLD	LLD	LLD
2004	LLD	LLD	LLD
2005	LLD	LLD	LLD
2006	LLD	LLD	LLD
2007	LLD	LLD	LLD
2008	LLD	LLD	LLD
2009	LLD	LLD	LLD
(1) Indicator locations we denosition potential	re available downwind location	ns within ten miles of the site ar	nd with high radionuclide
(2) Data comprised of bro	badleaf and non-broadleaf vege	tation (1976 – 1984, 2007 - 200	9).
			,

(3) Data comprised of broadleaf vegetation only (1985 - 2006).

#### 8.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

#### 8.1 **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

The Offsite Dose Calculation Manuals (ODCM), for Nine Mile Point (NMP1) and Nine Mile Point (NMP2), Part II, Section 4.0 requires that the licensee participate in an Interlaboratory Comparison Program. The Interlaboratory Comparison Program shall include sample media for which samples are routinely collected and for which comparison samples are commercially available. Participation in an Interlaboratory Comparison Program ensures that independent checks on the precision and accuracy of the measurement of radioactive material in the environmental samples are performed as part of the Quality Assurance Program for environmental monitoring. To fulfill the requirement for an Interlaboratory Comparison Program, the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) Environmental Laboratory has engaged the services of Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Incorporated in Atlanta, Georgia (Analytics).

Eckert & Ziegler Analytics supplies sample media as blind sample spikes, which contain certified levels of radioactivity unknown to the analysis laboratory. These samples are prepared and analyzed by the JAFNPP Environmental Laboratory, using standard laboratory procedures. Eckert & Ziegler Analytics issues a statistical summary report of the results. The JAFNPP Environmental Laboratory uses predetermined acceptance criteria methodology for evaluating the laboratory's performance.

The JAFNPP Environmental Laboratory also analyzes laboratory blanks. The analysis of laboratory blanks provides a means to detect and measure radioactive contamination of analytical samples. The analysis of analytical blanks also provides information on the adequacy of background subtraction. Laboratory blank results are analyzed using control charts.

#### 8.2 PROGRAM SCHEDULE

SAMPLE MEDIA	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	SAMPLE PROVIDER ECKERT & ZIEGLER ANALYTICS
Water	Gross Beta	3
Water	Tritium	5
Water	I-131	4
Water	Mixed Gamma	· 4
Air	Gross Beta	3
Air	I-131	4
Air	Mixed Gamma	2
Milk	I-131	3
Milk	Mixed Gamma	3
Soil	Mixed Gamma	1
Vegetation	Mixed Gamma	2
TOTAL SAN	APLE INVENTORY	34

#### 8.3 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Each sample result is evaluated to determine the accuracy and precision of the laboratory's analysis result. The sample evaluation method is discussed below.

#### 8.3.1 SAMPLE RESULTS EVALUATION

Samples provided by Analytics are evaluated using what is specified as the NRC method. This method is based on the calculation of the ratio of results reported by the participating laboratory (QC result) to the Vendor Laboratory Known value (reference result).

An Environmental Laboratory analytical result is evaluated using the following calculation:

The value for the error resolution is calculated.

The error resolution =

Reference Result Reference Results Error (1 sigma)

Using the appropriate row under the Error Resolution column in the table below, a corresponding Ratio of Agreement interval is given.

The value for the ratio is then calculated.

Ratio of Agreement

<u>QC Result</u> Reference Result

If the value falls within the agreement ratio, the result is acceptable.

ERROR RESOLUTION	<b>RATIO OF AGREEMENT</b>
< 4	No Comparison
4 to 7	0.5 to 2.0
8 to 15	0.6 to 1.66
16 to 50	0.75 to 1.33
51 to 200	0.8 to 1.25
>200	0.85 to 1.18

This acceptance test is generally referred to as the "NRC" method. The acceptance criteria is contained in Procedure EN-CY-102 (JAFNPP). The NRC method generally results in an acceptance range of approximately  $\pm 25\%$  of the known value when applied to sample results from the Eckert & Ziegler Analytics Interlaboratory Comparison Program. This method is used as the procedurally required assessment method and requires the generation of a deviation from QA/QC program report when results are unacceptable.

#### 8.4 PROGRAM RESULTS SUMMARY

The Interlaboratory Comparison Program numerical results are provided on Table 8-1.

#### 8.4.1 ECKERT & ZIEGLER ANALYTICS QA SAMPLES RESULTS

Thirty-four QA blind spike samples were analyzed as part of Analytics 2009 Interlaboratory Comparison Program. The following sample media were evaluated as part of the comparison program.

- Air Charcoal Cartridge: I-131
- Air Particulate Filter: Mixed Gamma Emitters, Gross Beta
- Water: I-131, Mixed Gamma Emitters, Tritium, Gross Beta
- Soil: Mixed Gamma Emitters
- Milk: I-131, Mixed Gamma Emitters
- Vegetation: Mixed Gamma Emitters

The JAFNPP Environmental Laboratory performed 130 individual analyses on the 34 QA samples. Of the 130 analyses performed, 129 were in agreement using the NRC acceptance criteria for a 99.2% agreement ratio.

There was one nonconformity in the 2009 program.

#### 8.4.1.1 ECKERT & ZIEGLER ANALYTICS SAMPLE NONCONFORMITY

#### Eckert & Ziegler Analytics Sample 6570-05, Fe-59 on Air Filter Nonconformity No. 2009-02 Corrective Action No. CR-JAF-2009-01758

A spiked mixed gamma on an air particulate filter sample supplied by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc., was analyzed in accordance with standard laboratory procedures. The sample contained a total of nine radionuclides for analysis. Nine of the nine radionuclides present were quantified. Eight of the nine radionuclides were quantified within the acceptable range. The mean result for Fe-59 was determined to be outside the QA Acceptance Criteria resulting in sample nonconformity and subsequent corrective action. The filter was analyzed three times using three different detectors. An average Fe-59 value of 153 pCi was reported. The known result for the sample was 121 pCi as determined by the supplier. All nine radionuclides values quantified at the JAFNPP E-lab were biased high when compared to reference values.

INITIAL RESUI	LTS ON I	FILTE	R (NO	DN-CO	ONF	ORMI	TY ON Fe-59)		
Sample Media:	Filter			Sam	ple l	Date:	3/19/2009		
Analytics #:	E56570	-05		Sam	ple I	Units:	pCi		
Radionuclide	JA	FNPP		REF	ERF	ENCE	%Recovery		
Ce-141	131	±	1.3	115	±	1.92	114%		
Cr-51	435	±	7.9	370	±	6.18	118%		
Cs-134	134	±	2.0	114	±	1.9	118%		
Cs-137	150	· ±	1.8	135	±	2.25	111%		
Co-58	168	±	2.0	145	±	2.41	116%		
Mn-54	191	±	2.1	155	±	2.59	123%		
Fe-59	153	±	2.3	121	±	2.02	126%		
Zn-65	233	±	3.9	189	±	3.16	123%		
Co-60	193	±	1.7	173	±	2.88	112%		

A review of JAFNPP E-lab data from prior years observed a high bias for this media starting in 2008. In November of 2007, a new 16SF source geometry was purchased. The 16SF source geometry is a quarterly composite filter geometry. It was very similar to the old 16SF geometry. However, the petri dish used in the new 16SF source geometry is slightly deeper, and the filters used in the new source geometry are not as tightly packed as the old model. Sample geometry should match source geometry as close as possible to ensure accurate measurements are obtained. Existing guidance for preparing a QC filter composite sample directs the use of extra material to ensure filters are compressed; however, this was for the old 16SF source geometry. Extra material to compress the filters when preparing the QC filter composite sample is no longer needed. JAFNPP has stopped using extra material to compress QC filters when preparing for analysis.

To validate the cause and resolution for exceeding 25% error on Fe-59, the QC sample was prepared again without using additional packing material. The results were in good agreement and are presented below.

REANALYSIS	ON FILT	REANALYSIS ON FILTER WITH OUT PACKING MATERIAL											
Sample Media:	Filter			Sam	ple I	Date:	3/19/2009						
Analytics #:	E56570	-05		Sam	ple I	Units:	pCi						
Radionuclide	•	JAF		REF	ERE	ENCE	%Recovery						
Ce-141	107	±	4.2	115	±	1.92	93%						
Cr-51	326	±	34.0	370	±	6.18	.88%						
Cs-134	120	±	3.2	114	±	1.9	106%						
Cs-137	131	±	2.8	135	±	2.25	97%						
Co-58	141	±	4.1	145	±	2.41	97%						
Mn-54	164	±	3.4	155	±	2.59	106%						
Fe-59	126	±	6.1	121	±	2.02	104%						
Zn-65	202	. ±	6.5	189	±	3.16	107%						
Co-60	174	±	2.8	173	±	2.88	100%						

8-5

The JAFNPP E-lab "Guidance for the Processing and Reporting of Blind Spike Quality Assurance Samples" was updated in the Procedures Reference and Laboratory Manual. In addition, a section was added to the guidance document concerning impact of future geometry changes to the JAFNPP E-lab QA program. The following results were obtained on next available QA Spiked Air Particulate Filter.

BLIND QA	SPIKE	SAM	PLE F	OLLO	WI	NG CH	IANGE
Sample Media:	Filter			Samp	ole I	Date:	9/17/2009
Analytics #:	E6838-	)5		Samp	ole U	Jnits:	pCi
Radionuclide		AF		REFI	ERE	ENCE	%Recovery
Ce-141	232	±	2.1	234	±	3.91	99%
Cr-51	180	±	8.2	188	±۰	3.15	96%
Cs-134	111	±	2.3	105	±	1.75	106%
Cs-137	156	±	2.2	158	±	2.63	99%
Co-58	83.3	±	1.7	84.8	±	1.42	98%
Mn-54	185	±	2.5	176	±	2.93	105%
Fe-59	136	±	2.7	126	±	2.1	108%
Zn-65	192	±	4.2	174	±	2.9	110%
Co-60	132	±	1.7	137	±	2.28	96%

Note: The geometry change did not have an impact on client filters as they are not compressed prior to analysis. Additionally, no plant related radionuclides have been detected in client air particulate filter composites in the past 2 years.

#### 8.4.2 NUMERICAL RESULTS TABLES

## TABLE 8-1 INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gross Beta Analysis of Air Particulate Filter

1	SAMPLE			JAF	NPP E-LAB	RES	SULTS	REFERE	ENC	E LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi ±1 si	gma		pCi :	±1 si	igma	RATIO <sup>(1)</sup>	
06/18/2009	E6758-05	Filter			1.15E+02	±	1.90E+00					
			GROSS		1.18E+02	±	1.92E+00	0 0 0 0		1 905-00	1.09	٨
			BETA		1.16E+02	±	1.91E+00			1.005700	1.00	A
				Mean =	1.16E+02	±	1.10E+00					
06/18/2009	E6723-09	Filter			1.05E+02	±	1.82E+00					
			GROSS		1.04E+02	±	1.81E+00			1.655±00	1.07	
			BETA		1.07E+02	±	1.83E+00	9.000701	т	1.050+00	1.07	A
				Mean =	1.05E+02	±	1.05E+00					
12/10/2009	E6960-05	Filter			1.08E+02	±	2.56E+00					
			GROSS		1.07E+02	±	2.55E+00	00 00 9.80E+01		1.640.00	1.00	
			BETA		1.07E+02	±	2.54E+00			1.046±00	1.09	A
				Mean =	1.07E+02	±	1.47E+00					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

U=Unacceptable

,

## TABLE 8-1 (Continued) Tritium Analysis of Water

	SAMPLE	1		JAF	NPP E-LAB	RES	SULTS	REFERE	NCE LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi/liter ±1	sign	1a	pCi/lite	r±1 sigma	RATIO	O <sup>(1)</sup>
3/19/2009	E6568-05	Water	H-3		4.81E+03	±	1.64E+02				
					4.94E+03	±	1.65E+02				
					4.86E+03	±	1.65E+02	4.48E+03	± 7.48E+01	1.09	Α
				Mean =	4.87E+03	±	9.51E+01				
6/18/2009	E6757-05	Water	H-3		9.39E+02	±	1.32E+02				
					9.55E+02	±	1.32E+02	0.515.00	1 (07) 01	0.00	
					9.95E+02	±	1.33E+02	9.71E+02	$\pm 1.62E+01$	0.99	Α
				Mean =	9.63E+02	±	7.64E+01	•			
9/17/2009	E6842-05	Water	H-3		1.05E+03	±	1.34E+02				
				• .	9.10E+02	±	1.33E+02	0.017.00	1.660-01	1.00	
					1.01E+03	±	1.33E+02	9.91E+02	± 1.66E+01	1.00	A
				Mean =	9.91E+02	±	7.70E+01				
12/10/2009	E6957-09	Water	H-3		1.49E+04	±	2.30E+02				
					1.45E+04	±	2.28E+02			1.04	
					1.43E+04	±	2.27E+02	1.40E+04	$\pm 2.33E+02$	1.04	A
				Mean =	1.46E+04	±	1.32E+02				
12/10/2009	E6958-09	Water	H-3		1.45E+04	±	2.28E+02				
					1.43E+04	±	2.26E+02				
					1.45E+04	±	2.28E+02	1.40E+04	$\pm 2.33E+02$	1.03	A
				Mean =	1.44E+04	±	1.31E+02	1			

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics
\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE 8-1 (Continued)Gross Beta Analysis of Water

	SAMPLE			JAF	NPP E-LAB	RES	ULTS	REFERE	NC	ELAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi/liter ±1	sign	na	pCi/liter ±1 sigma			RATIO <sup>(1)</sup>	
03/19/2009	E6571-05	Water			2.34E+02	±	2.40E+00			,		
			GROSS		2.33E+02	±	2.40E+00	0 0 0 2.35E+02 =		2.025.100	0.00	
			BETA		2.31E+02	±	2.40E+00			3.92E+00	0.99	A
				Mean =	2.33E+02	±	1.39E+00					
06/18/2009	E6763-05	Water			2.59E+02	±	2.60E+00	0 0 0 2.77E+02 =				
			GROSS		2.61E+02	±	2.60E+00			4 62 E ± 00	0.02	٨
		-1	BETA	•	2.55E+02	±	2.60E+00			4.03E+00	0.93	A
				Mean =	2.58E+02	±	1.50E+00					
09/17/2009	E6841-05	Water			2.20E+02	±	2.30E+00					
		4 C	GROSS		2.15E+02	±	2.30E+00	) 2.23E+02 ±		2 725 100	0.00	,
			BETA		2.20E+02	±	2.30E+00			3.72E+00	0.98	А
l				Mean =	2.18E+02	±	1.33E+00					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

## TABLE 8-1 (Continued) I-131 Gamma Analysis of Air Charcoal

	SAMPLE			JAF	NPP E-LAB	RES	SULTS	REFERI	ENC	E LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi ±1 sig	gma		pCi :	±1 si	igma	RATIC	) <sup>(1)</sup>
3/19/2009	E6544-09	Air			8.30E+01	±	1.55E+00				·	
			T 121		8.60E+01	±	3.04E+00	7.025 (01		1.225100	1.07	
			1-131		8.50E+01	±	3.21E+00	7.93E+01	Ŧ	1.32E#00	1.07	А
				Mean =	8.47E+01	±	1.56E+00					
6/18/2009	E6761-05	Air			9.20E+01	Ŧ	2.57E+00	•				
			I 121		8.79E+01,	±	2.49E+00	0.475101	ı	1.590.00	0.05	
			1-131		8.90E+01	±	1.34E+00	9.47ETUI	T	1.395-00	0.93	A
				Mean =	8.96E+01	ŧ	1.27E+00					
9/17/2009	E6840-05	Air		1	8.98E+01	±	2.63E+00					
			T 131		8.74E+01	±	2.98E+00	0 105+01	т	1.546+00	0.06	Δ
			1-131		8.67E+01	±	3.04E+00	9.19ETUI	т	1.546700	0.90	A
				Mean =	8.80E+01	±	1.67E+00					
9/17/2009	E683109	Air			9.24E+01	±	2.74E+00					
			T 121		9.17E+01	±	1.69E+00	0.17E+01	1	1.525 000	1.00	
			I-131		9.13E+01	±	2.93E+00	9.176701	Ŧ	1.556+00	0 1.00	А
				Mean =	9.18E+01	±	1.45E+00					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

U=Unacceptable

.;

	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS				REFERENCE LAR*		
DATE	JD NO	MEDILIM	ANAL VSIS	JAI	nCi/liter +1	sign		nCi/liter +1 sigma	<b>PATIO</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	
3/19/2009	E6569-05	Water	ANAL 1515		$\frac{p c / m c r \pm r}{1.22 F \pm 0.2}$		$\frac{10}{4.90F+00}$	perinter ±1 sigina	KATIO	
5/19/2009	L0507-05	water			1.22E 02	+	3.61E+00			
			Ce-141	•	1.240+02	+	3.67E+00	$1.20E+02 \pm 2.01E+00$	1.03 A	
		•			1.236+02	т. ,	3.07E+00			
				Mean =	1.23E+02	±	2.37E+00			
					4.11E+02	±	2.53E+01			
•			Cr-51		3.73E+02	±	1.68E+01	$3.87E+02 \pm 6.46E+00$	1.04 A	
					4.27E+02	Ŧ	1.82E+01			
۰.				Mean =	4.04E+02	±	1.18E+01			
					1.26E+02	±	3.99E+00			
			Cs-134		1.28E+02	Ŧ	3.13E+00	$1.19E+02 \pm 1.98E+00$	1.06 A	
					1.25E+02	±	3.33E+00			
				Mean $=$	1.26E+02	±	2.02E+00			
					1.46E+02	±	4.33E+00			
			Cs-137		1.42E+02	±	3.03E+00	$1.41E+02 \pm 2.36E+00$	1.00 A	
					1.36E+02	±	3.11E+00			
				Mean =	1.41E+02	±	2.04E+00			
					1.63E+02	Ŧ	4.38E+00			
			Co-58		1.53E+02	±	3.03E+00	151E+02 + 252E+00	104 A	
			C0-58		1.53E+02	±	3.35E+00	1.512+02 = 2.522+00	1.01 11	
				Mean =	1.56E+02	±	2.10E+00			
					1.69E+02	±	4.50E+00			
			Mr 54		1.69E+02	±	3.34E+00	1 625 102 1 2 705 100	1.05	
			MIN-54		1.73E+02	±	3.40E+00	$1.02E+02 \pm 2.70E+00$	1.05 A	
				Mean =	1.70E+02	±	2.18E+00			
					1.35E+02	±	4.85E+00			
			Eo 50		1.39E+02	±	3.46E+00	1.27E+02 + 2.11E+00	1.07	
			re-39		1.35E+02	±	3.81E+00	$1.2/E+02 \pm 2.11E+00$	1.07 A	
				Mean =	1.36E+02	±	2.36E+00			
					2.13E+02	±	8.07E+00			
					2.12E+02	±	5.69E+00			
			Zn-65		1.97E+02	±	6.25E+00	$1.97E+02 \pm 3.30E+00$	1.05 A	
				Mean =	2.07E+02	±	3.90E+00			
					1.88E+02		3.69E+00	•		
					1.89E+02	±	2.63E+00			
			Co-60		1.88E+02	±	2.70E+00	$1.80E+02 \pm 3.01E+00$	1.05 A	
				Mean =	1.88E+02	±	1.76E+00			
					7.20E+01	±	2.15E+00			
					6.87E+01	±	1.07E+00			
			1-131**		7.04E+01	±	9.82E-01	$6.90E+01 \pm 1.15E+00$	1.02 A	
				Mean =	7.04E+01	±	8.65E-01			

#### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Water

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS			SULTS	REFEREN	CE LAB*		
DATE	IDNO	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		nCi/liter ±1	sign	19 19	nCi/liter -	-1 sigma	RATIO	$\mathbf{n}^{(1)}$
6/18/2009	E6722-09	Water			2.19E+02	+	7 10E+00		-i oigina	. 101110	
					2.18E+02	+	7 42E+00				
			Ce-141		2.10E+02	+	4 21E-00	2.16E+02 ±	3.60E+00	1.02	Α
				Mean =	2.22E+02	+	3 70E+00				
					2.77E+02	+	3.12E+01	,			
					2.93E+02	+	3.14E+01				
			Cr-51		3.09E+02		2.02E+01	$3.04E+02 \pm$	5.08E+00	0.96	Α
				Mean =	2.93E+02	±	1.62E+01				
					1.24E+02	±	4.58E+00	-			
					1.27E+02	±	4.80E+00				
			Cs-134		1.38E+02	±	3.13E+00	1.26E+02 ±	2.10E+00	1.03	Α
				Mean =	1 30F+02	+	2 45E+00				
				Wiedii	1.50E+02	+	4.66E+00				
					1.44E+02	+	4 73E+00				
			Cs-137		1.44E+02	- -	3.01E+00	1.46E+02 ±	2.43E+00	0.98	Α
				Mean =	1.43E+02	- -	2 /3E+00				
				Ivicali –	674E+02	<u> </u>	2.43E+00				
					712E+01	+	114E+00				
			Co-58		7.12E+01	+	2 55E+00	00 6.98E+01 ±	1.17E+00	1.02	Α
			N	Mean =	7.13F+01	+	2.09E+00		,		
				Wieum	1.07E+02	+	4 23E+00				
					1.07E+02	+	4.51E+00				
			Mn-54		1.07E+02	±	2.87E+00	$1.04E+02 \pm$	1.74E+00	1.03	Α
				Mean =	1.07E+02	±	2.27E+00				
					1.02E+02	±	5.50E+00				
					9.63E+01	±	5.65E+00	1			
			Fe-59		966E+01	±	3 75E+00	9.29E+01 ±	1.55E+00	1.06	Α
					0.025+01		2015:00				
				Mean =	9.83E+01	±	2.91E+00				
					1.41E+02	±	8.34E+00				
			Zn-65		1.57E+02	Ŧ	8.56E+00	1.33E+02 ±	2.22E+00	1.10	Α
					1.39E+02	±	5.26E+00				
				Mean =	1.46E+02	±	4.35E+00				
					2.53E+02	±	4.63E+00				
			Co-60		2.43E+02	± .	4.72E+00	2.37E+02 ±	3.95E+00	1.04	A
					2.42E+02	±	2.99E+00		0.0012 000		
				Mean =	2.46E+02	±	2.42E+00				
					8.41E+01	±	4.42E+00				
			I-131**		9.26E+01	±	4.28E+00	+00 8.83E+01 ±	1. <b>47</b> E+00	1.03	Α
					9.55E+01	±	3.98E+00				
		·		Mean =	9.07E+01	±	1.83E+00				

#### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Water

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Comme Analysis of Water

r	SAMDI E				AD*
	SAMPLE		ANIAL VOIC	JAFNFF E-LAB RESOLTS REFERENCE L	$\mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{O}^{(1)}$
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANAL 1515	$\frac{\text{pCI/IIIer \pm 1 sigma}}{2.77 \pm 0.2} = \frac{2.61 \pm 0.01}{2.61 \pm 0.00}$	
9/1//2009	E0837-05	water		$2.77E+02 \pm 3.01E+00$	
			Ce-141	$2.69E+02 \pm 6.49E+00 = 2.64E+02 \pm 4.4$	40E+00 1.02 A
				$2.61E+02 \pm 0.00E+00$	
				$Mean = 2.69E+02 \pm 3.33E+00$	
				$2.24E+02 \pm 1.26E+01$	
		,	Cr-51	$2.10E+02 \pm 2.22E+01$ $2.12E+02 \pm 3.5$	54E+00 1.03 A
				$2.20E+02 \pm 2.82E+01$	
				$Mean = 2.18E+02 \pm 1.2/E+01$	
				$1.26E+02 \pm 2.15E+00$	
			Cs-134	$1.21E+02 \pm 4.13E+00$ $1.25E+02 \pm 5.22E+00$ $1.18E+02 \pm 1.9$	97E+00 1.05 A
				$1.25E+02 \pm 5.23E+00$	
				$Mean = 1.24E + 02 \pm 2.33E + 00$	·
				$1.77E+02 \pm 2.40E+00$	
			$C_{8}-137$	$1.76E+02 \pm 4.67E+00  _{1.77E+02} + 2.9$	96F+00 100 A
		· ·	03-157	1.79E+02 ± 5.37E+00	
				$Mean = 1.77E + 02 \pm 2.50E + 00$	
				$9.64E+01 \pm 1.91E+00$	
			C . 59	$9.90E+01 \pm 4.02E+00$ 0.54E+01 + 14	50E+00 1.00 A
			C0-58	9.12E+01 $\pm$ 4.23E+00 9.34E+01 $\pm$ 1.	59ET00 1.00 A
			a	$Mean = 9.55E + 01 \pm 2.05E + 00$	· · ·
		· .		$2.14E+02 \pm 2.64E+00$	
		,	Mrs 54	$2.08E+02 \pm 5.07E+00  _{1.08E+02} + 3.07E+00  _{1.08E+02}$	20E+00 1.05 A
			WIII-34	$2.04E+02 \pm 5.96E+00$	JULIUU 1.05 A
•			3	$Mean = 2.09E + 02 \pm 2.75E + 00$	
		· ·		$1.55E+02 \pm 2.73E+00$	
			Fo 50	$1.52E+02 \pm 5.29E+00$	36E+00 108 A
			16-39	$1.48E+02 \pm 6.36E+00$	JOL 100 1.00 A
				$Mean = 1.52E + 02 \pm 2.90E + 00$	
		· ·		$2.14E+02 \pm 4.25E+00$	
				$2.25E+02 \pm 8.57E+00$	
			Zn-65	2.05E+02 + 9.89E+00 1.95E+02 ± 3.2	26E+00 1.10 A
				$M_{con} = 2.15E \pm 0.2 \pm 4.50E \pm 0.0$	
-			·	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{55}{5} \frac{1}{2} 1$	
				$1.53E+02 \pm 2.43E+00$	
· .		1 1	Co-60	$1.53E \pm 02 \pm 4.11E \pm 00$ $1.54E \pm 02 \pm 2.5$	57E+00 1.01 A
		1.22	• •	$1.56\pm02 \pm 4.11\pm00$ Moon = $1.55\pm02 \pm 1.87\pm00$	
		÷.,		$\frac{100E\pm02}{100E\pm02} \pm \frac{100E\pm00}{100E\pm00}$	
		1.1.1		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
			I-131**	$9.91E+01 \pm 3.05E+00$ $9.84E+01 \pm 1.0$	64E+00 1.02 A
				$1.01E+02 \pm 2.92E+00$	
	1			$Mean = 1.00E + 02 \pm 1.46E + 00$	

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

			0	amma z	Analysis 0	1 44					
	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS			REFER	ENCE LAB*			
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi/liter ±1	sign	na	pCi/lit	er ±1 sigma	RATI	<u>) (1)</u>
12/10/2009	E6959-09	Water			2.14E+02	±	8.89E+00				
			G. 141		2.14E+02	±	4.58E+00	0.045.00	2 415 .00	1.02	
			Ce-141		2.01E+02	±	9.53E+00	2.04E+02	$\pm$ 3.41E+00	1.03	A
			·.	Mean =	2.10E+02	±	4.60E+00				
					5.40E+02	±	4.35E+01				
	:		C= 51		5.37E+02	±	2.11E+01	5.545-02	0.255.00	0.07	٨
			Cr-51		5.36E+02	±	4.64E+01	5.54E+02	$\pm$ 9.25E+00	0.97	А
				Mean =	5.38E+02	±	2.23E+01			Í .	
					2.62E+02	±	7.33E+00				
			Cc 124		2.60E+02	±	3.69E+00	2 555-02	+ 4.26E+00	1.02	٨
			05-154		2.67E+02	±	7.12E+00	2.336+02	± 4.20E+00	1.05	A
	1			Mean =	2.63E+02	±	3.62E+00				
					1.64E+02	Ŧ	5.87E+00		1		
		:	Co 127		1.82E+02	±	3.00E+00	1 915+02	+ 2 02E+00	0.06	۸
			CS-157		1.77E+02	Ŧ	5.71E+00	1.01E+02	± 3.02E+00	0.90	А
				Mean =	1.74E+02	±	2.91E+00				
	l i				2.18E+02	Ŧ	6.96E+00		x		
	l I		Co-58		2.14E+02	±	3.28E+00	$2.13E \pm 0.2$	+ 3 56E±00	1.02	۸
	l I		0-58		2.28E+02	±	6.54E+00	2.1515+02	± 3.30E+00	1.05	А
				Mean =	2.20E+02	±	3.37E+00				
	l I				1.99E+02	±	6.21E+00				
			Mn-54		1.94E+02	±	3.12E+00	1.79E+02	+ 3.00E+00	1.00	Δ
	1 		10111-34		1.93E+02	±	6.25E+00	1.7915+02	± 3.00E+00	1.09	Л
- A	l I			Mean =	1.95E+02	±	3.12E+00				
	l I				1.85E+02	±	8.16E+00				
	l I		Fe-59		1.90E+02	±	3.99E+00	1 79E+02	+ 3.00E+00	1.07	Δ
	l I		10-39		2.02E+02	±	8.10E+00	1.796102	± 5.00E+00	1.07	л
				Mean =	1.92E+02		4.06E+00	,			
					3.82E+02	±	1.34E+01				
			7n-65		3.72E+02	±	6.54E+00	3.48F+02	+ 5.82E+00	1 10	Δ
			2.11-05		3.96E+02	±	1.32E+01	5.402 02	± 5.8215+00	1.10	Л
	I.			Mean =	3.83E+02	±	6.64E+00				
			· ·		2.62E+02	±	5.43E+00				
· ·			· Co-60		2.60E+02	±	2.61E+00	2 58E+02	+ 431E+00	1.01	Δ
			00-00		2.58E+02	±	5.18E+00	2.301102	± 4.512+00	1.01	Λ
				Mean =	2.60E+02	±	2.65E+00				
					9.41E+01	±	2.11E+00				
			I_131**		9.37E+01	±	5.70E+00	961E+01	+ 1.61E+00	0.97	Δ
			1-131		9.05E+01	±	6.83E+00	01010101	± 1.01L+00	0.97	п.
		1		Mean =	9.28E+01	±	3.05E+00				

#### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Water

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics
\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

# TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Communication of Million

Gamma Analysis of Milk											
	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS			REFEREN				
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		$pCi/liter \pm 1$	sign	na	pCi/liter	±1 sigma	RATIC	(0)
3/19/2009	E6545-09	MILK			9.19E+01	±	8.41E+00				
					8.83E+01	±	8.48E+00				
			Ce-141		9.86E+01	±	3.75E+00	9.49E+01 ±	= 1.58E+00	0.98	Α
					9.36E+01	±	3.55E+00				
				Mean =	9.31E+01	±	3.25E+00				
					3.18E+02	±	4.44E+01				
					3.02E+02	Ŧ	4.52E+01				
			Cr-51		2.94E+02	±	2.04E+01	3.05E+02 ∃	= 5.10E+00	1.00	A
		,			3.04E+02	Ŧ	1.74E+01	· ·			
				Mean =	3.05E+02		1.72E+01				
					8.97E+01	±	7.19E+00				
					9.17E+01	±	7.67E+00				
		4	Cs-134		9.25E+01	±	2.94E+00	9.37E+01 ±	= 1.57E+00	0.98	A
					9.26E+01	±	2.99E+00				
				Mean =	9.16E+01	Ŧ	2.83E+00				
					1.10E+02	±	7.56E+00				
					9.81E+01	±	7.53E+00				
		ан ал	Cs-137		1.09E+02	±	3.15E+00	1.11E+02 ±	= 1.86E+00	0.95	A
					1.05E+02	±	3.17E+00				
				Mean =	1.06E+02	±	2.89E+00				
				· .	1.10E+02	±	7.89E+00				
					1.19E+02	±	8.32E+00				
			Co-58		1.19E+02	±	3.47E+00	1.19E+02 ∃	= 1.99E+00	0.98	Α
					1.17E+02	±	3.48E+00				
				Mean =	1.16E+02	±	3.12E+00				
					1.42E+02	±	8.51E+00				
					1.22E+02	±	8.28E+00				
			Mn-54		1.42E+02	±	3.61E+00	1.28E+02 ±	= 2.13E+00	1.05	Α
					1.30E+02	±	3.49E+00				
				Mean =	1.34E+02	±	3.22E+00				
					1.02E+02	±	9.68E+00				
					8.94E+01	±	9.85E+00				
			Fe-59		1.13E+02	. ±	4.35E+00	9.99E+01 ±	= 1.67E+00	1.01	Α
		4 P.			1.01E+02	±	4.29E+00				
				Mean =	1.01E+02		3.78E+00				
					1.48E+02	Ŧ	1.58E+01		i		
			Zn-65	,	1.51E+02	±	6.52E+00	1.56E+02 ±	2.60E+00	0.99	A
		۴.			1.63E+02	±	6.63E+00		2.002.00	0.55	
				Mean =	1.54E+02	土	6.11E+00				
					1.43E+02	±	6.60E+00				
					1.55E+02	±	6.91E+00				
			Co-60		1.34E+02	±	2.73E+00	1.42E+02 ±	= 2.38E+00	1.02	Α
					1.46E+02	±	2.91E+00				
				Mean =	1.45E+02		2.59E+00	· ·			
					8.63E+01	±	2.54E+00				
					1.02E+02	±	7.17E+00				
			1-131**		8.14E+01	±	5.34E+00	7.93E+01 ±	= 1.32E+00	1.09	A
					7.73E+01	±	3.59E+00				
				Mean =	8.68E+01	Ŧ	2.49E+00		· ·		

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

A=Acceptable U=Unacceptable

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

#### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Milk

	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS			REFERENCE LA	AB*			
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi/liter ±1	sign	na ·	$pCi/liter \pm 1$ sign	ma	RATIO	) <sup>(1)</sup>
6/18/2009	E6759-05	MILK			2.99E+02	±	3.04E+00	<u>per, mar = 1 big.</u>			
0.10.2007			~		3.00E+02	±	6.52E+00				
			Ce-141		2.95E+02	±	8.38E+00	$2.84E+02 \pm 4.74$	4E+00	1.05	Α
				Mean =	2.98E+02	±	3.68E+00				
					4.17E+02	±	1.11E+01				
			~		3.91E+02	±	2.61E+01				
			Cr-51		3.79E+02	±	3.51E+01	$4.00E+02 \pm 6.65$	9E+00	0.99	Α
				Mean =	3.96E+02	±	1.50E+01				
					1.78E+02	±	2.04E+00				
	1		0.124		1.55E+02	±	8.58E+00			1.01	
			Cs-134		1.72E+02	±	6.73E+00	$1.66E+02 \pm 2.77$	/E+00	1.01	A
				Mean =	1.68E+02	±	3.70E+00				
					1.95E+02	±	2.14E+00				
			Ca 127		1.97E+02	±	5.28E+00	1.000 1.2.00		1.00	
			CS-137		1.85E+02	±	6.96E+00	$1.92E+02 \pm 3.20$	JE+00	1.00	А
				Mean =	1.92E+02	±	3.00E+00				
					9.71E+01	±	1.59E+00				
			Co 59		8.91E+01	±	3.95E+00	$0.10E \pm 0.1 \pm 1.52$	25+00	1.00	
ļ			C0-38		9.06E+01	·±	5.74E+00	$5.1913+01 \pm 1.53$	312+00	1.00	A
				Mean =	9.23E+01	±	2.38E+00				
					1.45E+02	±	1.95E+00				
			Mn-54		1.42E+02	÷	4.54E+00	1.37E+02 + 2.20	9F+00	1.04	Δ
					1.41E+02	±	6.56E+00	1.571.02 - 2.25	<i>/L</i> <sup>1</sup> 00	1.04	~
				Mean =	1.43E+02	±	2.74E+00				
					1.30E+02	±	2.27E+00				
			Fe-59		1.29E+02	±	5.47E+00	$1.22E+02 \pm 2.04$	4E+00	1.05	Α
					1.26E+02	±	7.83E+00		12.00	1100	
				Mean =	1.28E+02	<u>±</u>	3.27E+00				
					1.91E+02	±	3.66E+00				
			Zn-65		1.86E+02	±	8.64E+00	1.75E+02 + 2.93	3E+00	1.06	Α
					1.82E+02	±	1.26E+01			1.00	
				Mean =	1.86E+02	±	5.24E+00				
					3.18E+02	Ŧ	2.05E+00				
			Co-60		3.11E+02	±	4.92E+00	$3.12E+02 \pm 5.21$	1E+00	1.00	А
					3.10E+02	±	6.99E+00				
				Mean =	3.13E+02	±	2.93E+00				
					9.17E+01	±	8.96E-01				
			I-131**		9.38E+01	±	2.70E+00	+00 1.02E+02 ± 1.70E	0E+00	0.92	Α
					9.50E+01	Ŧ	2.56E+00				
				Mean =	9.35E+01	±	1.28E+00				

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

.

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM

	SAMPLE	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		REFEREN	CELAR*							
			ANAL VEIS	JAI	nCi/liter ±1	nion		nCi/liter 4	L ciomo	<b>Ď</b> АТІС	(1)	
0/17/2000	E6820.05		ANAL I SIS		$2.84E\pm02$	_sign	1a 7550±00		i signa	NATIC	<b>,</b> .	
9/1//2009	1.0039-05	WILL			2.040+02		2.02E+00					
			Ce-141		2.74ET02	- -	3.93ET00	2.75E+02 ±	4.59E+00	1.02	Α	
					2.86E+02	± .	7.43E+00					
				Mean =	2.81E+02	±	3.77E+00	<u> </u>				
					2.16E+02	±	2.57E+01					
,			Cr-51		1.93E+02	±	1.55E+01	2.21E+02 ±	3.69E+00	0.94	Α	
			a ta s		2.13E+02	±	2.86E+01					
				Mean =	2.07E+02		1.38E+01					
					1.17E+02	±	7.61E+00	]				
			Cs-134		1.30E+02	±	2.57E+00	1.23E+02 ±	2.06E+00	1.01	Α	
					1.27E+02	±	4.73E+00					
				Mean =	1.25E+02	±	3.11E+00					
					1.71E+02	±	4.94E+00					
			Cs-137		1.77E+02	±	2.88E+00	1.85E+02 ±	3.09E+00	0.95	Α	
					1.79E+02	±	5.63E+00					
				Mean =	1.76E+02	<u>±</u>	2.67E+00					
					1.06E+02	Ŧ	4.03E+00					
			Co-58	1	1.01E+02	±	2.28E+00	9.94E+01 ±	1.66E+00	1.01	Α	
					9.29E+01	±	4.75E+00					
i			1	Mean =	1.00E+02	±	2.21E+00	1				
1						2.15E+02	±	5.51E+00				
			Mn-54	· ·	2.22E+02	±	3.20E+00	2 06E+02 +	$3.44 \text{F} \pm 0.0$	1.04	Δ	
			14111-34		2.04E+02	±	5.98E+00	2.001.02 1	± 3.44E+00	1.04	А	
				Mean =	2.14E+02	±	2.91E+00					
				1	1.49E+02	±	5.67E+00					
			Fe-50		1.59E+02	±	3.40E+00	1 475+02 +	2 465+00	1.05	٨	
			10-39		1.56E+02	±	6.85E+00	1.4712102 1	2.401.100	1.05	A	
				Mean =	1.55E+02	±	3.17E+00					
					2.16E+02	±	9.24E+00					
			7 65		2.21E+02	±	5.43E+00	2.045102	2 405 100	1.07	٨	
			211-05		2.19E+02	±	1.07E+01	2.04E+02 ±	3.40ET00	1.07	А	
				Mean =	2.19E+02	±	5.05E+00					
			<i>,</i>		1.59E+02	Ŧ	3.67E+00					
			Co 60		1.62E+02	±	2.13E+00	1 605 102	1 (00 100	1.00		
			C0-00		1.57E+02	±	4.26E+00	$1.00E+02 \pm$	2.08E+00	1.00	А	
				Mean =	1.59E+02	±	2.00E+00			•		
4					9.36E+01	±	1.14E+00	[				
1			1 12144		9.12E+01	±	2.82E+00		1 ( 617 1 0.0	0.02		
			1-131**	÷.,	8.91E+01	±	2.98E+00	9.80E+01 ±	1.65E+00	0.93	Α	
				Mean =	9.13E+01	±	1.42E+00					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

1	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS			SULTS	REFERE	NCE LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi ±1 si	gma		pCi ±	-1 sigma	RATI	Э <sup>(1)</sup>
3/19/2009	E6570-05	FILTER			1.33E+02	±	1.69E+00				
			C- 141		1.31E+02	±	3.28E+00	1.165.00	1.000.000	1.1.4	
			Ce-141		1.30E+02	±	1.52E+00	1.15E+02	$\pm 1.92E+00$	1.14	A
				Mean =	1.31E+02	±	1.33E+00				
·					4.28E+02	±	1.01E+01				
			0.01		4.63E+02	±	1.94E+01	2 705:100	( 10D 00	1 1 0	
			Cr-51		4.15E+02	Ŧ	9.20E+00	3.70E+02	$\pm 6.18E+00$	1.18	Α
				Mean =	4.35E+02	±	7.91E+00				
					1.33E+02	±	2.20E+00				
			Ca 124		1.33E+02	±	5.10E+00	1.145.00	1.005100	1 1 0	
			- CS-134		1.36E+02	±	2.40E+00	1.14E+02	± 1.90E+00	1.18	A
				Mean =	1.34E+02	±	2.02E+00				
					1.52E+02	±	2.14E+00				
			Co 127		1.44E+02	±	4.55E+00	1.25121.02	1 2 255 100	1 1 1	٨
			CS-157		1.53E+02	±	2.15E+00	1.33E+02	± 2.23E+00	1.11	A
				Mean =	1.50E+02	±	1.82E+00				
					1.70E+02	Ŧ	2.30E+00				
			C . 59		1.65E+02	±	4.94E+00	0 0 1.45E+02		1.16	
			C0-58		1.69E+02	±	2.27E+00		$\pm 2.41E+00$	1.16	А
				Mean =	1.68E+02	±	1.97E+00				
					1.89E+02	±	2.46E+00				
			14. 54	•	1.92E+02	±	5.32E+00	1.555.00	- <b>3</b> 505 100	1.02	
			Mn-54		1.93E+02	±	2.52E+00	1.55E+02	$\pm 2.59E+00$	1.23	A
				Mean =	1.91E+02	±	2.13E+00				
					1.58E+02	±	2.81E+00				
			Ea <b>5</b> 0		1.42E+02	±	5.72E+00	1.015.00	1 2 025 100	1.00	TT
			Fe-59		1.58E+02	±	2.76E+00	1.21E+02	$\pm 2.02E+00$	1.26	U
				Mean =	1.53E+02	±	2.31E+00				
					2.33E+02	±	4.53E+00	:			
			7 ( 6		2.29E+02	±	9.63E+00	1.005.00		1.00	
			Zn-65		2.37E+02	±	4.59E+00	1.89E+02	$\pm 3.16E+00$	1.23	Α
				Mean =	2.33E+02	±	3.86E+00				
, iii					1.95E+02	±	1.96E+00				
			C- (0		1.89E+02	±	4.34E+00	1 725 1 02	0.0000	1 1 0	
			C0-60		1.95E+02	±	2.04E+00	1.73E+02	$\pm 2.88E+00$	1.12	A
				Mean =	1.93E+02	±	1.73E+00				

### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Air Particulate Filter

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

#### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Air Particulate Filter

	SAMPLE			JAF	NPP E-LAB	RES	SULTS	REFERENC			
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi ±1 si	gma		pCi±1 s	igma	RATIO	) <sup>(1)</sup>
9/17/2009	E6838-05	FILTER			2.36E+02	±	4.09E+00				
		,	0.141		2.30E+02	±	1.95E+00	0.04E.000	2.015.00	0.00	
			Ce-141		2.30E+02	±	4.44E+00	$2.34E+02 \pm$	3.91E+00	0.99	A
				Mean =	2.32E+02	±	2.11E+00				
				×	1.67E+02	±	1.58E+01				
·			0-51	,	1.79E+02	±	8.12E+00	1.005.00	2.155.00	0.07	
			Cr-51		1.94E+02	±	1.69E+01	1.88E+02 ±	3.15E+00	0.96	А
				Mean =	1.80E+02	±	8.17E+00				
					1.04E+02	±	4.61E+00				
			Ca 124		1.13E+02	±	2.18E+00	1.055.02	1.751.00	1.06	
			CS-134		1.17E+02	±	4.64E+00	1.03E+02 ±	1.758+00	1.00	A
				Mean =	1.11E+02	±	2.30E+00				
					1.57E+02	±	4.36E+00				
			Cc 127		1.51E+02	±	2.28E+00	1595+02 +	2 625-00	0.00	٨
			C3-137		1.61E+02	±	4.39E+00	1.36E+02 ±	2.036+00	0.99	A
				Mean =	1.56E+02	±	2.20E+00				
					8.50E+01	±	3.53E+00				
			Co 59		8.42E+01	±	1.83E+00	9 495 101	1.420-00	0.00	٨
			C0-38		8.08E+01	±	3.39E+00	$8.48E+01 \pm$	1.425+00	0.98	А
				Mean =	8.33E+01	±	1.74E+00				
					1.84E+02	±	4.87E+00				•
			Mn-54	•	1.77E+02	±	2.57E+00	1765+02 +	2 02 5 +00	1.05	٨
			WIII-34		1.93E+02	±	5.02E+00	1.7015102 1	2.951-00	1.05	Л
	•			Mean =	1.85E+02	±	2.48E+00				
					1.40E+02	±	5.35E+00				
			Fe-59		1.41E+02	±	2.90E+00	$1.26E \pm 0.2 \pm 0.1$	2.10E+0.0	1 08	Δ
			10.55		1.28E+02	±	5.32E+00	1.202.02	2.101/00	1.00	
				Mean =	1.36E+02	±	2.69E+00				
					1.88E+02	±	8.32E+00				
			Zn-65		1.98E+02	±	4.35E+00	1.74E+02 +	2 90E+00	1.10.	A
					1.90E+02	±	8.48E+00		2.902.00		
		·		Mean =	1.92E+02	±	4.22E+00				
					1.38E+02	±	3.45E+00				
			Co-60		1.32E+02	±	1.86E+00	$1.37E+02 \pm$	2.28E+00	0.96	А
					1.26E+02	±	3.32E+00			0.20	••
				Mean =	1.32E+02	±	1.71E+00	I			

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

		Y									
	SAMPLE			JAF	NPP E-LAI	B RES	SULTS	REFERI	ENCE LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi/g±1	sigma	l	⇒ pCi/g	±1 sigma	RATIO	<u>)(i)</u>
6/18/2009	E6760-05	SOIL			4.58E-01	±	1.18E-02				
			Ce-141		4.39E-01	±	2.42E-02	4 62E-01	$+ 772E_{0}$	0.06	۸
			00-141		4.33E-01	±	2.36E-02	4.02101	± 1.12E-05	0.90	Λ
				Mean =	4.43E-01	±	8.95E-03				
					6.89E-01	±	6.85E-02				
			Cr-51		6.78E-01	. ±	1.11E-01	6 52E-01	$+ 1.00E_{-}02$	1.03	۸
			01-51		6.46E-01	±	1.05E-01	0.521-01	± 1.09E-02	1.05	A
				Mean =	6.71E-01	±	4.19E-02				
					2.94E-01	±	9.32E-03				
			Ce 134		2.50E-01	±	1.93E-02	2 70E 01	+ 451E 03	1.00	٨
			05-134		2.69E-01	±	1.69E-02	2.702-01	± 4.51E-05	1.00	A
				Mean =	2.71E-01	±	6.82E-03	. •			
					3.86E-01	±	1.02E-02				
			Ca 127		3.76E-01	±	2.09E-02	1.045.01	6 7912 02	0.06	
			CS-157		4.04E-01	±	1.85E-02	4.06E-01	$\pm 0.78E-03$	0.96	A
				Mean =	3.89E-01	±	7.43E-03				
1					1.38E-01	±	7.57E-03				
			C - 59		1.37E-01	±	1.65E-02	1 505 01		0.07	
			C0-38		1.61E-01	±	1.47E-02	1.50E-01	± 2.51E-03	0.97	A
				Mean =	1.45E-01	±	5.84E-03				
			· .		2.35E-01	±	9.13E-03				
			56.54		2.16E-01	±	2.13E-02	0.000			
			Mn-54		2.34E-01	±	1.69E-02	2.23E-01	$\pm$ 3.72E-03	1.02	A
				Mean =	2.28E-01	±	7.17E-03				
					2.14E-01	±	1.06E-02				
			5 50		1.88E-01	±	2.34E-02	1 207 01			
			Fe-59		2.16E-01	±	2.02E-02	1.99E-01	$\pm$ 3.32E-03	1.04	A
				Mean ≕	2.06E-01	±	8.17E-03				
					3.19E-01		1.57E-02				
			·		3.18E-01	± .	3.37E-02			•	
			Zn-65		3.30E-01	±	3.01E-02	2.86E-01	$\pm$ 4.78E-03	1.13	Α
				Mean =	3.22E-01	±	1.20E-02				
			M		5.23E-01		9.15E-03				
					4.97E-01	±	1.87E-02				
			Co-60		4.78E-01	±	1.56E-02	5.07E-01	± 8.47E-03	0.98	A
				Mean =	4.99E-01	±	6.50E-03				

### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Soil

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

#### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Vegetation

<b></b>	SAMPLE			IAFNPP F-I AB RESULTS				REFER			
DATE	ID NO	MEDILIM	ANAI VSIS	J/ 11 .	nCi/a+1	siama	OLIS	$nCi/\sigma + 1$ sigma		RATIC	(1)
6/18/2000	E6762-05	VEG	AINAL I SIS		2 03E 01	sigina ⊥	1 26E 02	per g	, ±1 Sigilia	KAIR	
0/18/2009	E0702-03	VEO			2.06E 01	т 	1.20E-02				
			Co 141		2.90E-01	т. -	1.40E-02	4 105 01	+ 6 85E 02	0.04	٨
			CC-141		3.8/E-01	±	0.92E-03	4.10E-01	$\pm 0.83E-03$	0.90	А
	-		•		3.94E-01	±	1.20E-02				
				Mean =	3.93E-01		5.94E-03				
					4.88E-01	±	5.04E-02				
					5.19E-01	±	5.88E-02			<b>.</b>	
		4.14	- Cr-51		5.33E-01	±	3.28E-02	5.78E-01	$\pm$ 9.65E-03	0.95	A
				•	6.47E-01	±	5.81E-02				
				Mean =	5.47E-01	±	2.56E-02				
			•	<i>2</i>	2.63E-01	±	1.09E-02				
				1 A S	2.64E-01	±	1.50E-02				
		· ·	Cs-134		2.75E-01	±	7.31E-03	2.39E-01	± 3.99E-03	1.10	Α
					2.50E-01	±	8.19E-03				
				Mean =	2.63E-01	Ŧ	5.39E-03				
					2.65E-01	±	1.05E-02				
					2.72E-01	±	1.32E-02				
			Cs-137		2.50E-01	±	6.74E-03	2.77E-01	± 4.63E-03	0.95	Α
			• • • •	1	2.66E-01	±	7.82E-03				
				Mean =.	2.63E-01	±	4.94E-03				
					1.21E-01	±	7.80E-03				
					1.23E-01	±	1.06E-02				
			Co-58		1.18E-01	±	5.01E-03	1.33E-01	± 2.22E-03	0.91	Α
				. •	1.20E-01	±	7.39E-03				
l				Mean =	1.21E-01	±	3.98E-03				
			-		1.97E-01	±	9.87E-03				
					1.91E-01	±	1.29E-02				
			Mn-54		1.86E-01	. ±	6.51E-03	1.98E-01	± 3.31E-03	0.98	Α
	• •				2.05E-01	±	8.74E-03				
1				Mean =	1.95E-01	±	4.89E-03				
		,			1.68E-01	±	1.13E-02				
					1.83E-01	±	1.47E-02				
•		1	Fe-59		1.64E-01	±	8.18E-03	1.77E-01	± 2.96E-03	0.97	. A
					1.71E-01	±	1.12E-02				
				Mean =	1.72E-01	±	5.79E-03				
					2.37E-01	÷	1.93E-02				
				· · · · ·	2.52E-01	. ±	2.30E-02				
1			Zn-65		2.33E-01	±	1.35E-02	2.53E-01	± 4.23E-03	0.98	Α
					2.73E-01	±	1.38E-02				
				Mean =	2.49E-01	±	8.92E-03				
					4.40E-01	±	1.03E-02				
<b>.</b>					4.27E-01	±	1.32E-02				
			Co-60		4.28E-01	±	6.96E-03	4.50E-01	± 7.52E-03	0.95	Α
					4.16E-01	+	7.77E-03			0.50	••
				Mean =	4 28F-01	 +	4 93 F_03				
1		1	I	1110411	1.2012-01	- <b>4</b> -		1			

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

	SAMPLE			JAFNPP E-LAB RESULTS			SULTS	REFERI	ENCE LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		pCi/g ±1	sigma	ı	pCi/g	±1 sigma	RATIC	) <sup>(1)</sup>
9/17/2009	E6832-09	VEG			6.92E-01	±	1.50E-02				
			0.141		6.91E-01	±	9.31E-03		1 000 00	1.07	
			Ce-141		7.15E-01	±	1.55E-02	6.54E-01	$\pm 1.09E-02$	1.07	A
				Mean ≐	6.99E-01	±	7.83E-03				
					5.12E-01	±	5.80E-02				
			Cr 51		5.44E-01	±	3.83E-02	5 26E 01		1.02	٨
			0-51		5.69E-01	±	6.52E-02	3.20E-01	± 8.78E-05	1.03	А
				Mean =	5.42E-01	±	3.18E-02				
					3.72E-01	±	1.25E-02				
			Cs-134		3.42E-01	±	7.99E-03	2 035 01	+ 4805 03	1.22	٨
			C3-154		3.59E-01	±	1.24E-02	2.956-01	± 4.0915-05	1.22	A
				Mean =	3.58E-01	±	6.45E-03				
					4.76E-01	±	1.32E-02				
			Cs-137		4.57E-01	±	8.28E-03	4 40F-01	+ 735E-03	1.04	Δ
			00 107		4.44E-01	±	1.27E-02		- 7.5512 05	1.04	11
				Mean =	4.59E-01	±	6.70E-03				
					2.42E-01	±	1.08E-02				
			Co-58		2.50E-01	±	6.69E-03	03 02 2.37E-01	+ 396E-03	1.03	А
			00 50		2.43E-01	±	1.04E-02		± 5.70E 05	1.05	~
				Mean =	2.45E-01	±	5.47E-03				
					5.32E-01	±	1.44E-02				
			Mn-54		5.44E-01	±	9.24E-03	4.91E-01	± 8.20E-03	1.10	А
			_		5.47E-01	Ŧ	1.41E-02				•••
				Mean =	5.41E-01	±	7.39E-03				
					3.88E-01	±	1.56E-02				
			Fe-59		3.97E-01	±	1.01E-02	3.50E-01	± 5.85E-03	1.10	Α
				.,	3.71E-01	±	1.54E-02				
				Mean =	3.85E-01		8.05E-03		· · · ·	· · · ·	
					5.74E-01	±	2.50E-02				
			Zn-65		5.40E-01	±	1.58E-02	4.85E-01	± 8.10E-03	1.13	Α
				M	5.28E-01	±	2.40E-02				
				wiean =	5.4/E-01		1.27E-02				
			Co-60		4.01E-01	±	1.01E-02				
					3.9/E-UI	± ,	0.33E-03	$\frac{13}{2}$ 3.82E-01 ± 6.3	± 6.38E-03	1.04	Α
				Mar -	3.99E-01	±	9.03E-03				
				Mean =	3.99E-01	±	3.83E-03				

### TABLE 8-1 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY INTERCOMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Vegetation

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics

\* Sample provided by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

U=Unacceptable

τ

## 8.5 **REFERENCES**

- 8.5.1 Radioactivity and Radiochemistry, <u>The Counting Room: Special Edition</u>, 1994 Caretaker Publications, Atlanta, Georgia.
- 8.5.2 <u>Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences</u>, Bevington P.R., McGraw Hill, New York (1969).