

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Decatur, Alabama 35609-2000

April 30, 2009

10 CFR 50, APPENDIX I

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk MAIL STOP: OWFN, P1-35 Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

In the Matter of Tennessee Valley Authority

Docket Nos. 50-259 50-260 50-296

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT (BFN) - UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 - ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT (AREOR) - JANUARY THROUGH DECEMBER 2008

)

)

In accordance with the BFN Technical Specifications (TS) Section 5.6.2 and 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, Sections IV.B.2, IV.B.3, and IV.C, TVA is submitting the AREOR report for BFN Units 1, 2, and 3. The attached report covers the period from January through December 2008.

TS Section 5.6.2 requires that the enclosed AREOR report contain summaries, interpretations, and analyses of trends of the results of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program for the reporting period. In addition, the BFN Offsite Dose Calculation Manual, Section 5.1, requires the AREOR include the following information:

- Results of land use censuses
- Summarized and tabulated results of the radiological environmental samples taken during the reporting period, in the format of Regulatory Guide 4.8, December 1975, and NUREG 1302, April 1991
- Summary description of the radiological environmental monitoring program

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 April 30, 2009

- A map of sampling locations keyed to a table giving distances and directions from one reactor
- Results of TVA's participation in the Inter-laboratory Comparison Program

The report concludes that based upon the analysis of the environmental sampling results and trend data, the exposure to members of the public which may have been attributable to BFN operation is negligible.

There are no regulatory commitments contained within this letter. If you have any questions, please contact Russ Godwin at (256) 729-2636.

Sincerely.

R. G. West Site Vice President Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

Enclosure cc: See page 3

ţ

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 3 April 30, 2009

Enclosure

cc (Enclosure):

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-3415

Ms. Eva A. Brown, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (MS 08G9) One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739

Ms. Heather J. Gepford, Acting Branch Chief U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Suite 23T85 Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8931

NRC Resident Inspector Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant 10833 Shaw Road Athens, Alabama 35611-6971

Mr. Ronald Sanacore American Nuclear Insurers 95 Glastonbury Boulevard Glastonbury, Connecticut 06033-4438

Mr. Stanley Self West Morgan-East Lawrence Water Authority 6505 County Road 400 Hillsboro, Alabama 35643

Attachment

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report January Through December 2008

See Attached:

Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant 2008



ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

2008

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

April 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables.	iv
List of Figures.	v
Executive Summary.	1
Introduction	2 2 4
Site/Plant Description.	6
Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program.	7
Direct Radiation Monitoring	10 10 11
Atmospheric Monitoring.Sample Collection and Analysis.Results.	13 13 14
Terrestrial Monitoring. Sample Collection and Analysis. Results.	15 15 15
Liquid Pathway Monitoring	17 17 18
Assessment and Evaluation	20 20 21
References	22

-ii-

Appendix A Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program and Sampling Locations	26
Appendix B 2008 Program Modifications.	37
Appendix C Program Deviations.	39
Appendix D Analytical Procedures.	42
Appendix E Nominal Lower Limits of Detection (LLD)	45
Appendix F Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program	50
Appendix G Land Use Survey.	55
Appendix H Data Tables and Figures.	60

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Comparison of Program Lower Limits of Detection with Regulatory	
	Limits for Maximum Annual Average Effluent Concentrations	•
	Released to Unrestricted Areas and Reporting Levels.	23

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Tennessee Valley Region.	24
	Environmental Exposure Pathways of Man Due to Releases of Radioactive Materials to the Atmosphere and Lake	25

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the radiological environmental monitoring program conducted by TVA in the vicinity of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) in 2008. The program includes the collection of samples from the environment and the determination of the concentrations of radioactive materials in the samples. Samples are taken from stations in the general area of the plant and from areas not influenced by plant operations. Monitoring includes the sampling of air, water, soil, food crops, fish, shoreline sediment, and the measurement of direct radiation levels. Results from stations near the plant are compared with concentrations from control stations and with preoperational measurements to determine potential impacts of plant operations.

The vast majority of the activity detected from environmental samples was the result of naturally occurring radioactive materials. Small amounts of Cs-137 were measured in a limited number of soil, fish, and shoreline sediment samples collected during 2008. The concentrations measured for Cs-137 were consistent with levels commonly found in the environment as a result of atmospheric nuclear weapons fallout. Tritium at levels slightly above the low limit of detection was measured in two water samples from the Tennessee River. The level of activity measured in these samples would result in no measurable increase over background in the dose to the general public.

-1-

INTRODUCTION

This report describes and summarizes results of radioactivity measurements made in the vicinity of BFN and laboratory analyses of samples collected in the area. The measurements are made to comply with the requirements of 10 CFR 50, Appendix A, Criterion 64 and 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, Sections IV.B.2, IV.B.3 and IV.C and to determine potential effects on public health and safety. This report satisfies the annual reporting requirements of BFN Technical Specification 5.6.2 and Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) Administrative Control 5.1. The data presented in this report include results from the prescribed program and information to help correlate the significance of results measured by this monitoring program to the levels of environmental radiation resulting from naturally occurring radioactive materials.

Naturally Occurring and Background Radioactivity

Most materials in our world today contain trace amounts of naturally occurring radioactivity. Potassium-40 (K-40), with a half-life of 1.3 billion years, is one of the major types of radioactive materials found naturally in our environment. An individual weighing 150 pounds contains about 140 grams of potassium (Reference 1). This is equivalent to approximately 100,000 pCi of K-40 which delivers a dose of 15 to 20 mrem per year to the bone and soft tissue of the body. Other examples of naturally occurring radioactive materials are beryllium (Be)-7, bismuth (Bi)-212, 214, lead (Pb)-212, 214, thallium (Tl)-208, actinium (Ac)-228, uranium (U)-238, 235, thorium (Th)-234, radium (Ra)-226, radon (Rn)-222, carbon (C)-14, and hydrogen (H)-3 (generally called tritium). The radiation from these materials makes up a part of the low-level natural background radiation. The remainder of the natural background radiation comes in the form of cosmic ray radiation from outer space.

It is possible to get an idea of the relative hazard of different types of radiation sources by evaluating the amount of radiation the U.S. population receives from each general type of radiation source. The following information is primarily adapted from References 2 and 3.

-2-

Source	Millirem/Year Per Person		
Natural background dose equivalent			
Cosmic	27		
Cosmogenic	1		
Terrestrial	28		
In the body	39		
Radon-222	200		
Total	295		
Release of radioactive material in			
natural gas, mining, ore processing, etc.	5		
Medical (effective dose equivalent)	53		
Nuclear weapons fallout	less than 1		
Nuclear energy	0.28		
Consumer products	0.03		
Total	355 (approximately)		

U.S. GENERAL POPULATION AVERAGE DOSE EQUIVALENT ESTIMATES

As can be seen from the table, the natural background radiation dose equivalent to the U.S. population normally exceeds that from nuclear plants by several hundred times. This indicates that nuclear plant operations normally result in a population radiation dose equivalent which is insignificant compared to that which results from natural background radiation. It should be noted that the use of radiation and radioactive materials for medical uses has resulted in a similar effective dose equivalent to the U.S. population as that caused by natural background cosmic and terrestrial radiation.

Electric Power Production

Nuclear power plants are similar in many respects to conventional coal burning (or other fossil fuel) electrical generating plants. The basic process behind electrical power production in both types of plants is that fuel is used to heat water to produce steam which provides the force to turn turbines and generators. In a nuclear power plant, the fuel is uranium and heat is produced in the reactor through the fission of the uranium. Nuclear plants include many complex systems to control the nuclear fission process and to safeguard against the possibility of reactor malfunction. The nuclear reactions produce radionuclides commonly referred to as fission and activation products. Very small amounts of these fission and activation products are released into the plant systems. This radioactive material can be transported throughout plant systems and some of it released to the environment.

The pathways through which radioactivity is released are monitored. Liquid and gaseous effluent monitors record the radiation levels for each release. These monitors also provide alarm mechanisms to prompt termination of any release above limits.

Releases are monitored at the onsite points of release and through the environmental monitoring program which measures the environmental radiation in areas around the plant. In this way, not only is the release of radioactive materials from the plant tightly controlled, but measurements are made in surrounding areas to verify that the population is not being exposed to significant levels of radiation or radioactive materials.

The BFN ODCM, which is required by the plant Technical Specifications, prescribes limits for the release of radioactive effluents, as well as limits for doses to the general public from the release of these effluents. The dose to a member of the general public from radioactive materials released to unrestricted areas, as given in NRC guidelines and in the ODCM, is limited as follows:

Liquid Effluents

Total body Any organ \leq mrem/year \leq 10 mrem/year

Gaseous Effluents

Noble gases:

Gamma radiation Beta radiation $\leq 10 \text{ mrad/year}$ $\leq 20 \text{ mrad/year}$

Particulates:

Any organ

 $\leq 15 \text{ mrem/year}$

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) limits for the total dose to the public in the vicinity of a nuclear power plant, established in the Environmental Dose Standard of 40 CFR 190, are as follows:

Total body Thyroid Any other organ <25 mrem/year
<75 mrem/year
<25 mrem/year</pre>

Appendix B to 10 CFR 20 presents the regulatory limits for the annual average concentrations of radioactive materials released in gaseous and liquid effluents at the boundary of the unrestricted area. Table 1 of this report compares the nominal lower limits of detection for the BFN monitoring program with the regulatory limits for maximum annual average effluent concentrations released to unrestricted areas and levels requiring special reports to the NRC. The data presented in this report indicate compliance with the regulations.

. -5-

SITE/PLANT DESCRIPTION

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (BFN) is located on the north shore of Wheeler Reservoir at Tennessee River Mile 294 in Limestone County in north Alabama (Figure 1). Wheeler Reservoir averages 1 to 1-1/2 miles in width in the vicinity of the plant. The BFN site contains approximately 840 acres. The dominant character of land use is small, scattered villages and homes in an agricultural area. A number of relatively large farming operations occupy much of the land on the north side of the river immediately surrounding the plant. The principal crop grown in the area is cotton.

Approximately 2500 people live within a 5-mile radius of the plant. The town of Athens has a population of about 17,000, and is approximately 10 miles northeast of BFN. Approximately 49,000 people live in the city of Decatur 10 miles southeast. The cities of Madison and Huntsville have a combined population of approximately 200,000 starting 20 miles east of the site.

Area recreation facilities are developed along the Tennessee River. The nearest facilities are public use areas located 2 to 3 miles from the site. The city of Decatur has developed a large municipal recreation area, Point Mallard Park, approximately 15 miles upstream of the site. The Tennessee River is also a popular sport fishing area.

BFN consists of three boiling water reactors. Unit 1 achieved criticality on August 17, 1973, and began commercial operation on August 1, 1974. Unit 2 began commercial operation on March 1, 1975. However, a fire in the cable trays on March 22, 1975, forced the shutdown of both reactors. Units 1 and 2 resumed operation and Unit 3 began testing in August 1976. Unit 3 began commercial operation in March 1977.

All three units were out of service from March 1985 to May 1991. Unit 2 was restarted May 24, 1991 and Unit 3 restarted on November 19, 1995. Recovery work for Unit 1 was completed and the unit was restarted on May 22, 2007.

-6-

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

Most of the radiation and radioactivity generated in a nuclear power reactor is contained within the reactor systems. Plant effluent monitors are designed to detect the small amounts released to the environment. Environmental monitoring is a final verification that the systems are performing as planned. The monitoring program is designed to sample the pathways between the plant and the people in the immediate vicinity of the plant. Sample types are chosen so that the potential for detection of radioactivity in the environment will be maximized. The radiological environmental monitoring program is outlined in Appendix A.

There are two primary pathways by which radioactivity can move through the environment to humans: air and water (see Figure 2). The air pathway can be separated into two components: the direct (airborne) pathway and the indirect (ground or terrestrial) pathway. The direct airborne pathway consists of direct radiation and inhalation by humans. In the terrestrial pathway, radioactive materials may be deposited on the ground or on plants and subsequently be ingested by animals and/or humans. Human exposure through the liquid pathway may result from drinking water, eating fish, or by direct exposure at the shoreline. The types of samples collected in this program are designed to monitor these pathways.

A number of factors were considered in determining the locations for collecting environmental samples. The locations for the atmospheric monitoring stations were determined from a critical pathway analysis based on weather patterns, dose projections, population distribution, and land use. Terrestrial sampling stations were selected after reviewing such things as the locations of dairy animals and gardens in conjunction with the air pathway analysis. Liquid pathway stations were selected based on dose projections, water use information, and availability of media such as fish and sediment. Table A-2 (Appendix A, Table 2: This method of notation is used for all tables and figures given in the appendices.) lists the sampling stations and the types of samples collected from each.

Modifications made to the REMP program are described in Appendix B. Deviations in the sampling and analysis schedule are discussed in Appendix C.

-7-

To determine the amount of radioactivity in the environment prior to the operation of BFN, a preoperational radiological environmental monitoring program was initiated in 1968 and conducted until the plant began operation in 1973. Sampling and analyses conducted during the preoperational phase has provided data that can be used to establish normal background levels for various radionuclides in the environment.

The preoperational monitoring program is a very important part of the overall program. During the 1950s, 60s, and 70s, atmospheric nuclear weapons testing released radioactive material to the environment causing fluctuations in background radiation levels. This radioactive material is the same type as that produced in the BFN reactors. Preoperational knowledge of radionuclide patterns in the environment permits a determination, through comparison and trending analyses, of whether the operation of BFN is impacting the environment and thus the surrounding population.

The evaluation of the impact of plant operations also utilizes data from control stations that have been established in the monitoring program. Results of environmental samples taken at control stations (far from the plant) are compared with those from indicator stations (near the plant) to establish the extent of BFN influence.

Sample analyses are performed by TVA's Environmental Radiological Monitoring and Instrumentation (ERM&I) group located at the Western Area Radiological Laboratory (WARL) in Muscle Shoals, Alabama, with exception of the SR-89, 90 analyses of soil samples which were performed by a contracted laboratory. The analyses are conducted in accordance with written and approved procedures and are based on accepted methods. A summary of the analysis techniques and methodology is presented in Appendix D. Data tables summarizing the sample analysis results are presented in Appendix H.

-8-

The radiation detection devices and analysis methods used to determine the radionuclide content of samples collected in the environment are very sensitive to small amounts of radioactivity. The sensitivity of the measurement process is defined in terms of the lower limit of detection (LLD). A description of the nominal LLDs for the Radioanalytical Laboratory is presented in Appendix E.

The ERM&I Laboratory applies a comprehensive quality assurance/quality control program to monitor laboratory performance throughout the year. The program is intended to detect any problems in the measurement process as soon as possible so they can be corrected. This program includes instrument checks, to ensure that the radiation detection instruments are working properly, and the analysis of quality control samples. To provide for interlaboratory comparison program cross checks, the laboratory participated in a blind sample program administrated by Eckert & Ziegler Analytics. A complete description of the quality control program is presented in Appendix F.

-9-

DIRECT RADIATION MONITORING

Direct radiation levels are measured at various monitoring points around the plant site. These measurements include contributions from cosmic radiation, radioactivity in the ground, fallout from atmospheric nuclear weapons tests conducted in the past, and any radioactivity that may be present as a result of plant operations. Because of the relatively large variations in background radiation as compared to the small levels from the plant, contributions from the plant may be difficult to distinguish.

Measurement Techniques

The Landauer InLight environmental dosimeter is used in the radiological environmental monitoring program for the measurement of direct radiation. This dosimeter contains four elements consisting of aluminum oxide detectors with varying plastic and copper filtrations to provide qualitative information about conditions during the exposure.

The dosimeters are placed approximately 1 meter above the ground, with two at each monitoring location. Sixteen monitoring points are located around the plant near the site boundary, one location in each of the 16 compass sectors. One monitoring point is also located in each of the 16 compass sectors at a distance of approximately four to five miles from the plant.

Dosimeters are also placed at additional monitoring locations out to approximately 32 miles from the site. The dosimeters are exchanged every 3 months. The dosimeters are sent to Landauer for processing and results reporting. The values are corrected for transit and shielded background exposure. An average of the two dosimeter results is calculated for each monitoring point. The system meets or exceeds the performance specifications outlined in ANSI N545-1975 and HPS Draft Standard N13.29 for environmental applications of dosimeters.

-10-

<u>Results</u>

The results for environmental dosimeter measurements are normalized to a standard quarter (91.25 days or 2190 hours). The monitoring locations are grouped according to the distance from the plant. The first group consists of all monitoring points within 2 miles of the plant. The second group is made up of all locations greater than 2 miles from the plant. Past data have shown that the average results from the locations more than 2 miles from the plant are essentially the same. Therefore, for purposes of this report, monitoring points 2 miles or less from the plant are identified as "onsite" stations and locations greater than 2 miles are considered "offsite."

The quarterly gamma radiation levels determined from the dosimeters deployed around BFN in 2008 are summarized in Table H-1. The exposures are measured in milliroentgens (mR). For purposes of this report, one milliroentgen, one millirem (mrem) and one millirad (mrad) are assumed to be numerically equivalent.

The rounded average annual exposures, as measured in 2008, are shown below.

Annual Average Direct Radiation Levels

	<u>mR/Year</u> <u>BFN 2008</u>
Onsite Stations	51
Offsite Stations	40

The data in Table H-1 indicate that the average quarterly direct radiation levels at the BFN onsite stations are approximately 2.8 mR/quarter higher than levels at the offsite stations. This difference is consistent with levels measured for the preoperation and construction phases of TVA nuclear power plant sites where the average levels onsite were slightly higher than levels offsite. Figure H-1 compares plots of the data from the onsite stations with those from the offsite

-11-

stations over the period from 1977 through 2008. The results from the Landauer InLight dosimeters are lower across all locations when compared to the results previously obtained using the Panasonic UD-814 dosimeters. This difference is most likely due to the manner in how background badge data was applied for the in house processing of Panasonic dosimeters as compared to the method used by the vendor.

The data in Table H-2 contains the results of the individual monitoring stations. The results reported in 2008 are consistent with direct radiation levels identified at locations which are not influenced by the operation of BFN. There is no indication that BFN activities increased the background radiation levels normally observed in the areas surrounding the plant.

ATMOSPHERIC MONITORING

The atmospheric monitoring network is divided into three groups identified as local, perimeter, and remote. In the current program, five local air monitoring stations are located on or adjacent to the plant site in the general direction of greatest wind frequency. Three of these stations (LM-1, LM-2, and LM-3) are located on the plant side of the Tennessee River and two stations (LM-6 and LM-7) are located immediately across the river from the plant site. One additional station (station LM-4) is located at the point of maximum predicted offsite concentration of radionuclides based on meteorological data. Three perimeter air monitoring stations are located in communities out to about 13 miles from the plant, and two monitors used as controls are located out to 32 miles. The monitoring program and the locations of monitoring stations are identified in the tables and figures of Appendix A.

Results from the analysis of samples in the atmospheric pathway are presented in Tables H-3 and H-4. Radioactivity levels identified in this reporting period are consistent with background radioactivity levels.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Air particulates are collected by continuously sampling air at a flow rate of approximately 2 cubic feet per minute (cfm) through a 2-inch glass fiber filter. The sampling system consists of a pump, a magnehelic gauge for measuring the drop in pressure across the system, and a dry gas meter. This allows an accurate determination of the volume of air passing through the filter. The sampling system is housed in a metal building. The filter is contained in a sampling head mounted on the outside of the monitor building. The filter is replaced weekly. Each filter is analyzed for gross beta activity about 3 days after collection to allow time for the radon daughters to decay. Every 4 weeks, composites of the filters from each location are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

-13-

Gaseous radioiodine is collected using a commercially available cartridge containing TEDAimpregnated charcoal. This system is designed to collect iodine in both the elemental form and as organic compounds. The cartridge is located in the same sampling head as the air particulate filter and is downstream of the particulate filter. The cartridge is changed at the same time as the particulate filter and samples the same volume of air. Each cartridge is analyzed for I-131 by gamma spectroscopy analysis.

<u>Results</u>

The results from the analysis of air particulate samples are summarized in Table H-3. Gross beta activity in 2008 was consistent with levels reported in previous years. The average gross beta concentrations in samples collected at indicator locations was 0.022 pCi/m³ and the average for control locations was 0.021/pCi/m³. The annual averages of the gross beta activity in air particulate filters at these stations for the years 1968-2008 are presented in Figure H-2. Increased levels due to fallout from atmospheric nuclear weapons testing are evident, especially in 1969, 1970, 1971, 1977, 1978, and 1981. Evidence of a small increase resulting from the Chernobyl accident can also be seen in 1986. These patterns are consistent with data from monitoring programs conducted by TVA at other nuclear power plant sites during construction and preoperational stages.

Only naturally occurring radionuclides were identified by the monthly gamma spectral analysis of the air particulate samples.

There was no I-131 detected in any charcoal cartridge samples collected during 2008. The results for the analysis of charcoal cartridges are reported in Table H-4.

TERRESTRIAL MONITORING

Terrestrial monitoring is accomplished by collecting samples of environmental media that may transport radioactive material from the atmosphere to humans. Samples of soil and food crops are collected and analyzed to determine the potential impacts from exposure to this pathway. The results from the analysis of these samples are shown in Tables H-5 through H-11.

A land use survey is conducted annually to locate milk producing animals and gardens within a 5-mile radius of the plant. No milk-producing animals have been identified within 5 miles of the plant. The results of the 2008 land use survey are presented in Appendix G.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Soil samples are collected annually from the air monitoring locations. The samples are collected with either a "cookie cutter" or an auger type sampler. After drying and grinding, the sample is analyzed by gamma spectroscopy. When the gamma analysis is complete, the sample is analyzed for Sr-89, 90.

Samples representative of food crops raised in the area near the plant are obtained from individual gardens, corner markets, or cooperatives. Types of foods may vary from year to year as a result of changes in the local vegetable gardens. In 2008, samples of apples, cabbage, corn, green beans, potatoes, and tomatoes were collected from local gardens. Samples of these same food crops were purchased from area produce markets to serve as control samples. The edible portion of each sample is analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Results

The only fission or activation product identified in soil samples was Cs-137. The average concentration measured in samples from indicator locations was 0.15 pCi/g. The average concentration for control locations was 0.09 pCi/g. These concentrations are consistent with levels previously reported from fallout. All other radionuclides reported were naturally occurring

-15-

isotopes. The results of the analysis of soil samples are reported in Table H-5. A plot of the annual average Cs-137 concentrations in soil is presented in Figure H-3. The concentration of Cs-137 in soil is steadily decreasing as a result of the cessation of weapons testing in the atmosphere, the 30-year half-life of Cs-137 and transport through the environment.

Only naturally occurring radioactivity was identified in food crops. The predominant natural radionuclide detected in samples of food crops was K-40. Analyses of these samples indicated no contribution from plant activities. The results are reported in Tables H-6 through H-11.

LIQUID PATHWAY MONITORING

Potential exposures from the liquid pathway can occur from drinking water, ingestion of fish, and from direct radiation exposure to radioactive materials deposited in the river shoreline sediment. The liquid pathway monitoring program conducted during 2008 included the collection of samples of surface (river/reservoir) water, groundwater, drinking water supplies, fish, and shoreline sediment. Samples from the reservoir are collected both upstream and downstream from the plant. Results from the analysis of aquatic samples are presented in Tables H-12 through H-17.

Sample Collection and Analysis

Samples of surface water are collected from the Tennessee River using automatic sampling systems from one downstream station and one upstream station. The upstream sample is collected from the raw water intake at the Decatur, Alabama water plant and is utilized as control sampling location for both surface and drinking water. A timer turns on the system at least once every two hours. The line is flushed and a sample collected into a collection container. A l-gallon sample is removed from the container every 4 weeks and the remaining water in the jug is discarded. The 4-week composite sample is analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and for gross beta activity. A quarterly composite sample is analyzed for tritium.

Samples are also collected by an automatic sampling system at the first downstream drinking water intake. This sample is collected at the intake for the water plant and is raw untreated water. These samples are collected in the same manner as the surface water samples. These monthly samples are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and for gross beta activity. A quarterly composite is analyzed for tritium.

At other selected locations, grab samples are collected from drinking water systems which use the Tennessee River as their source. These samples are analyzed every 4 weeks by gamma spectroscopy and for gross beta activity. A quarterly composite sample from each station is analyzed for tritium.

A groundwater well onsite is equipped with an automatic water sampler. Water is also collected from a private well in an area unaffected by BFN. Samples from the wells are collected every 4 weeks and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy. A quarterly composite sample is analyzed for tritium.

Samples of commercial and game fish species are collected semiannually from each of two reservoirs: the reservoir on which the plant is located (Wheeler Reservoir) and the upstream reservoir (Guntersville Reservoir). The samples are collected using a combination of netting techniques and electrofishing. To sample edible portions of the fish, the fish are filleted. After drying and grinding, the samples are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

Shoreline sediment was collected from two downstream recreational use areas and one upstream location. The samples were collected at the normal water level shoreline and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

<u>Results</u>

The gross beta activity in surface water samples was consistent with previously reported levels. Only naturally occurring isotopes were identified by gamma spectral analysis. Tritium at concentration of 279 pCi/L was detected in one surface water. This level is only slightly above the lower limit of detection and significantly less than the EPA drinking water limit of 20,000 pCi/L. A trend plot of the gross beta activity in surface water samples from 1968 through 2008 is presented in Figure H-4. A summary table of the results for this reporting period is shown in Table H-12.

-18-

For drinking water (public water), gross beta activity averaged 2.9 pCi/liter at the downstream stations and 3.0 pCi/liter at control stations. These results are consistent with previous monitoring results. No fusion or activation products were detected by the gamma analysis of drinking water. Similar to the results for surface water, tritium at a level of 305 pCi/L was detected in one drinking water quarterly composite sample. The results are shown in Table H-13 and a trend plot of the gross beta activity from 1968 to 2008 is presented in Figure H-5.

No fission or activation products were detected in groundwater samples from BFN REMP monitoring locations. Only naturally occurring radon decay products (Pb-214 and Bi-214) were identified in these samples. Results from the analysis of groundwater samples are presented in Table H-14.

Trace levels of Cs-137 were identified in two fish samples collected from the control location. These concentrations were consistent with data from previous monitoring years. The only other isotopes found in fish were naturally occurring radionuclides. The results are summarized in Tables H-15 and H-16. Plots of the annual average Cs-137 concentrations in game fish are presented in Figure H-6.

Low levels of Cs-137 were detected in the samples of shoreline sediment collected from the upstream sampling location. The concentrations were consistent with levels present in environment as a result of fallout from past nuclear weapons testing. The results from the analysis of shoreline sediment are provided in Table H-17.

ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION

Potential doses to the public are estimated from measured effluents using computer models. These models were developed by TVA and are based on methodology provided by the NRC in Regulatory Guide 1.109 for determining the potential dose to individuals and populations living in the vicinity of a nuclear power plant. The results of the effluent dose calculations are reported in the Annual Radioactive Effluent Release Report. The doses calculated are a representation of the dose to a "maximum exposed individual." Some of the factors used in these calculations (such as ingestion rates) are maximum expected values which will tend to overestimate the dose to this "hypothetical" person. The calculated maximum dose due to plant effluents are small fractions of the applicable regulatory limits. In reality, the expected dose to actual individuals is significantly lower.

Based on the very low concentrations of radionuclides actually present in the plant effluents, radioactivity levels measured in the environment as a result of plant operations are expected to be negligible. The results for the radiological environmental monitoring conducted for the BFN 2008 operations confirm this expectation.

<u>Results</u>

As stated earlier in the report, the estimated increase in radiation dose equivalent to the general public resulting from the operation of BFN is negligible when compared to the dose from natural background radiation. The results from each environmental sample are compared with the concentrations from the corresponding control stations and appropriate preoperational and background data to determine influences from the plant. During this report period, Cs-137 was identified in soil, fish samples, and shoreline sediment. The Cs-137 in fish is consistent with fallout levels identified in soil and shoreline sediment was consistent with levels generally found in

-20-

the environment as the result of past nuclear weapons testing. The low levels of tritium measured in surface and drinking water samples were slightly above the lower limit of detection and represented a very small fraction of the EPA drinking water limit tritium concentration.

Conclusions

It is concluded from the above analysis of the environmental sampling results and from the trend plots presented in Appendix H that the exposure to members of the general public which may have been attributable to BFN is negligible. The radioactivity reported herein is primarily the results of fallout or natural background radiation. Any activity which may be present as a result of plant operations does not represent a significant contribution to the exposure of Members of the Public.

REFERENCES

- 1. Merril Eisenbud, Environmental Radioactivity, Academic Press, Inc., New York, NY, 1987.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Report No. 93, "Ionizing Radiation Exposure of the Population of the United States," September 1987.
- 3. United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 8.29, "Instruction Concerning Risks from Occupational Radiation Exposure," July 1981.

Table 1

		RELEASED	TO UNRESTRIC	TED AREAS		
AND REPORTING LEVELS						
		·				
	Concentrations in Water, pCi/Liter			<u>Concentratio</u>	<u>ns in Air, pCi/C</u>	Lubic Meter
	Effluent	Reporting	Lower limit	Effluent	Reporting	Lower limit
	Concentration ¹	Level ²	of Detection ³	Concentration ¹	<u>Level²</u>	of Detection ³
H-3	1,000,000	20,000	270	100,000		
Cr-51	500,000	·	45	30,000		0.02
Mn-54	30,000	1,000	5	1,000		0.005
Co-58	20,000	1,000	5	1,000		0.005
Co-60	3,000	300	5	50	÷ .	0.005
Zn-65	5,000	300	10	400		0.005
Sr-89	8,000		5	1,000		0.0011
Sr-90	500		2	6		0.0004
Nb-95	30,000	400	5	2,000		0.005
Zr-95	20,000	400	10	400		0.005
Ru-103	30,000		5	900		0.005
Ru-106	3,000		40	20		0.02
I-131	1,000	2	0.4	200	0.9	0.03
Cs-134	900	30	5	200	10	0.005
Cs-137	1,000	50	5 .	200	20	0.005
Ce-144	3,000		30	40		0.01
Ba-140	8,000	200	25	2,000		0.015
La-140	9,000	200	10	2,000		0.01

<u>COMPARISON OF</u> <u>PROGRAM LOWER LIMITS OF DETECTION WITH THE REGULATORY LIMITS FOR</u> <u>MAXIMUM ANNUAL AVERAGE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATIONS</u> <u>RELEASED TO UNRESTRICTED AREAS</u>


Note: $1 \text{ pCi} = 3.7 \text{ x} 10^{-2} \text{ Bq}$.

Note: For those reporting levels that are blank, no value is given in the reference.

1 Source: Table 2 of Appendix B to 10 CFR 20.

2 Source: BFN Offsite Dose Calculation Manual, Table 2.3-3.

• 3 Source: Table E-1 of this report.



-24-

Figure 1




Figure 2

-25-

APPENDIX A

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM AND SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Number of Samples and Locations^b Sampling and Collection Frequency

Continuous sampler operation with sample collection as required by dust loading but at least once per 7 days.

Continuous sampler operation with

charcoal canister collection at least

Once every year.

once per 7 days.

Analyze for gross beta radioactivity greater than or equal to 24 hours following filter change. Perform gamma isotopic analysis on each sample when gross beta activity is greater than 10 times the average of control samples. Perform gamma isotopic analysis on composite (by location) sample at least once per 31 days.

Type and Frequency

of Analysis

I-131 by gamma scan on each sample.

Gamma scan, Sr-89, Sr-90 once per year.

Six samples from locations (in different sectors) at or near the site boundary (LM-1, LM-2, LM-3, LM-4, LM-6, and LM-7).

Two samples from control locations greater than 10 miles from the plant (RM-1 and RM-6).

Three samples from locations in communities approximately 10 miles from the plant (PM-1, PM-2, and PM-3).

Same locations as air particulates.

Samples from same locations as air particulates.

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample

1. AIRBORNE

a. Particulates

c. Soil

b. Radioiodine

Ċ

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample

Number of Samples and Locations^b

d. Direct

Two or more dosimeters placed at locations (in different sectors) at or near the site boundary in each of the 16 sectors.

Two or more dosimeters placed at stations located approximately 5 miles from the plant in each of the 16 sectors.

Two or more dosimeters in at least 9 additional locations of special interest.

2. WATERBORNE

a. Surface Water

b. Drinking water

One sample upstream (TRM 306.0). One sample immediately downstream of discharge (TRM 293.5).

One sample at the first potable surface water supply downstream from the plant (TRM 286.5). Sampling and Collection Frequency

At least once per 92 days.

At least once per 92 days.

Collected by automatic sequentialtype sampler with composite sample taken at least once per 31 days^c.

Collected by automatic sequentialtype sampler with composite sample taken at least once per 31 days^c. Type and Frequency of Analysis

Gamma dose once per 92 days.

Gamma dose once per 92 days.

Gross beta and gamma scan on 4week composite. Composite for tritium at least once per 92 days.

Gross beta and gamma scan on 4week composite. Composite for tritium analysis at least once per 92 days.

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample

c. Drinking Water (Continued)

d. Ground water

e. Shoreline Sediment

One sample upstream from a recreational area (TRM 305).

Number of Samples and

Locations^b

Four additional samples of potable

surface water downstream from the

plant (TRM 282.6, TRM 274.9,

One sample at a control location^d

One sample adjacent to the plant

One sample at a control location

up gradient from the plant.

(TRM 306).

(Well No. 6).

TRM 259.8 and TRM 259.6).

Sampling and Collection Frequency

Grab sample taken from water supply at a facility using water from the public supply being monitored. Sample collected at least once per 31 days.

Collected by automatic sequentialtype sampler with composite sample taken at least once per 31 days^c.

Collected by automatic sequentialtype sampler with composite sample taken at least once per 31 days.

Grab sample taken at least once per 31 days.

At least once per 184 days.

Type and Frequency of Analysis

Gross beta and gamma scan on 4week composite. Composite for tritium analysis at least once per 92 days.

Same as downstream location.

Gamma scan on each composite. Composite for tritium analysis at least once per 92 days.

Gamma scan on each sample. Composite for tritium analysis at least once per 92 days.

Gamma scan of each sample.

-29-

٢.

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample	Number of Samples and Locations ^b	Sampling and Collection Frequency	Type and Frequency of Analysis
e. Shoreline Sediment (Continued)	One sample from each of at least two downstream locations with recreational use (TRM 293 and 279.5).	At least once per 184 days.	Gamma scan of each sample.
4. INGESTION			
a. Fish	Two samples representing commercial and game species in Guntersville Reservoir above the plant.	At least once per 184 days.	Gamma scan at least once per 184 days on edible portions.
	Two samples representing commercial and game species in Wheeler Reservoir near the plant.		

harvest.

Exposure Pathway and/or Sample

Number of Samples and $\underline{\text{Locations}^{b}}$

Sampling and Collection Frequency

At least once per year at time of

b. Fruits and Vegetables Samples of food crops such as greens, corn, green beans, tomatoes, and potatoes grown at private gardens and/or farms in the immediate vicinity of the plant.

One sample of each of the same foods grown at greater than 10 miles distance from the plant. Type and Frequency of Analysis

Gamma scan on edible portion.

a. The sampling program outlined in this table is the program conducted during 2008.

b. Sample locations, sector and distance from plant, are described in Table A-2 and A-3 and shown in Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3.

c. Composite samples shall be collected by collecting an aliquot at intervals not exceeding 2 hours.

d. The sample location at the Decatur City Water Plant serves as a control sample for both surface water and drinking water.

Table A-2 BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Map Location			Approximate Distance	Indicator (I) or	Samples
Number ^a	Station	Sector	(Miles)	Control (C)	<u>Collected</u> ^t
1	PM-1	NW	13.8	I	AP,CF,S
2	PM-2	NE	10.9	Î.	AP,CF,S
2	PM-3	SSE	7.5	Ť	AP,CF,S
4	LM-7	W	2.1	I	AP,CF,S
5	RM-1	W.	31.0	Ċ	AP,CF,S
6	RM-6	E	23.4	č	AP,CF,S
7	LM-1	NNW	1.0	I I	AP,CF,S
8	LM-2	NNE	. 0.9	Ĩ	AP,CF,S
9	LM-3	ENE	0.9	Ĩ	AP,CF,S
10	LM-4	NNW	1.7	Ī	AP,CF,S
11	LM-6	SSW	3.0	i Î	AP,CF,S
12	Farm B	NNW	6.8	I	W
22	Well No.6	NW	0.02	Ī	W
23	TRM ^c 282.6	-	11.4 ^d	Ī	PW
24	TRM 306.0	-	12.0 ^d	Ċ	PW, SW
25	TRM 259.6	-	34.4 ^d	Ī	PW
26	TRM 274.9	-	19.1 ^d	I	PW
28	TRM 293.5	-	0.5 ^d	Ī	SW
70	TRM 259.8	-	34.2 ^d	Ī	PW
71	TRM 286.5	-	7.5 ^d	Ī	PW
72	TRM 305	-	11.0 ^d	Ċ	SS
73	TRM 293	-	1.0 ^d	Ī	SS
74	TRM 279.5	-	14.5 ^d	Ī	SS
	Wheeler Reservoi	r (TRM 275-349)	-	Ī	F
	Guntersville Reserv		-	C	F

a. See Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3

b. Sample codes: AP = Air particulate filter

CF = Charcoal filter (Iodine)

S = Soil

PW = Public drinking water

F = FishR = Rainwater

SW = Surface Water

c. TRM = Tennessee River Mile.

d. Miles from plant discharge at (TRM 294).

SS = Shoreline sediment

W = Well water

-32-

Table A-3 BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT ENVIRONMENTAL DOSIMETER LOCATIONS

			· · ·	· •
Мар			Approximate	Onsite (On) ^b
Location			Distance	or
<u>Number</u> ^a	Station	Sector	(miles)	Offsite (Off)
1	NW-3	NW	13.8	Off
2	NE-3	NE	10.9	Off
3 5	SSE-2	SSE	7.5	Off
5	W-3	W	31.0	Off
6	E-3	E	23.1	Off
7	N-1	NNW	1.0	On
8	NNE-1	NNE	0.9	On
9	ENE-1	ENE	0.9	On
10	NNW-2	NNW	1.7	On
38	N-2	N	5.0	Off
39	NNE-2	NNE	0.7	On
40	NNE-3	NNE	5.2	Off
41	NE-1	NE	0.8	On
42	NE-2	NE	5.0	Off
43	ENE-2	ENE	6.2	Off
44	E-1	Ē	0.8	On
45	E-2	E	5.2	Off
46	ESE-1	ESE	0.9	On ,
47	ESE-2	ESE	3.0	Off
48	SE-1	SE	0.5	On
49	SE-2	SE	5.4	Off
50	SSE-1	SSE	5.1	Off
51	S-1	S	3.1	Off
52	S-2	S	4.8	Off
53	SSW-1	SSW	3.0	Off
54	SSW-2	SSW	4.4	Off
55	SW-1	SW	1.9	On
56	SW-2	SW	4.7	Off
57 58	SW-3	SW	6.0	Off
58 59	WSW-1	WSW	2.7	Off
	WSW-2	WSW	5.1	Off
60	WSW-3	WSW	10.5	Off
61	W-1	W	1.9	On
62	W-2	W	4.7	Off
64	WNW-1	WNW	3.3	Off
65	WNW-2	WNW	4.4	Off
66	NW-1	NW	2.2	Off
67 68	NW-2	NW	5.3	Off
68	NNW-1	NNW	1.0	On
69 75	NNW-3	NNW	5.2	Off
75	N-1A	N	1.0	On

a. See Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3.
b. Dosimeters designated "onsite" are those located 2 miles or less from the plant. Dosimeters designated "offsite" are those located more than 2 miles from the plant.

Figure A-1

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations







Figure A-2

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations

From 1 to 5 Miles from the Plant




-35-



Radiological Environmental Monitoring Locations

Greater than 5 Miles from the Plant



APPENDIX B

2008 PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS

APPENDIX B

Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Modifications

There were no modifications to the BFN REMP during 2008.

-38-

APPENDIX C

PROGRAM DEVIATIONS

APPENDIX C

Program Deviations

Problems with sampling equipment resulted in sample unavailability or inadequate sample volumes for air particulate filter and charcoal cartridge samples from one of eleven sampling locations four times during the year. Table C-1 provides additional details on these program deviations.

Date	Station	Location	Remarks
01/07/08	LM-2	0.9 miles NNE	The total sample volume for air filter and charcoal cartridge samples was not adequate due to a problem with the sampling pump. The drive motor for the sampling pump had failed. The motor was replaced and the
			sampler was returned to normal operation for the next sampling cycle. The missed samples were documented with PER 136332.
01/28/08	PM-3	7.5 miles SSE	The total sample volume for air filter and charcoal cartridge samples was not adequate due to a problem with the sampling pump. The drive motor for the sampling pump had failed. The motor was replaced and the sampler was returned to normal operation for the next sampling cycle. The missed samples were documented with PER 137343.
07/07/08	LM-6	3.0 miles SSW	The total sample volume for air filter and charcoal cartridge samples was not adequate due to a problem with the sampling pump. The pump was locked and had to be replaced. The repairs were completed and the sampler was returned to normal operation for the next sampling cycle. The missed samples were documented with PER 148188.
10/27/08	RM-6	23.4 miles E	The total sample volume for air filter and charcoal cartridge samples was not adequate due to a failure of the sampling pump. The pump had failed due to normal wear. The pump was replaced and the sampler was returned to normal operation. The missed samples were documented wit PER156200.

Table C-1
Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Deviations

-41-

APPENDIX D

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

-42-

Appendix D

Analytical Procedures

Analyses of environmental samples are performed by the radioanalytical laboratory located at the WARL facility in Muscle Shoals. All analysis procedures are based on accepted methods. A summary of the analysis techniques and methodology follows.

The gross beta measurements are made with an automatic low background counting system. Normal counting times are 50 minutes. Water samples are prepared by evaporating 500 ml of samples to near dryness, transferring to a stainless steel planchet and completing the evaporation process. Air particulate filters are counted directly in a shallow planchet.

After a radiochemical separation, samples analyzed for Sr-89,90 are counted on a low background beta counting system. The sample is counted a second time after a minimum ingrowth period of six days. From the two counts the Sr-89 and Sr-90 concentrations can be determined.

Water samples are analyzed for tritium content by first distilling a portion of the sample and then counting by liquid scintillation. A commercially available scintillation cocktail is used.

Gamma analyses are performed in various counting geometries depending on the sample type and volume. Gamma counts are obtained with germanium detectors interfaced with a computer based multichannel analyzer system. Spectral data reduction is performed by the computer program HYPERMET.

The charcoal cartridges used to sample gaseous radioiodine were analyzed by gamma spectroscopy using a high resolution spectroscopy system with germanium detectors.

-43-

The necessary efficiency values, weight-efficiency curves, and geometry tables are established and maintained on each detector and counting system. A series of daily and periodic quality control checks are performed to monitor counting instrumentation. System logbooks and control charts are used to document the results of the quality control checks.

APPENDIX E

NOMINAL LOWER LIMITS OF DETECTION (LLD)

Appendix E

Nominal Lower Limits of Detection (LLD)

A number of factors influence the LLD for a specific analytical method, including sample size, count time, count efficiency, chemical processes, radioactive decay factors, and interfering isotopes encountered in the sample. The most probable values for these factors have been evaluated for the various analyses performed in the environmental monitoring program. The nominal LLDs are calculated from these values in accordance with the methodology prescribed in the ODCM. These nominal LLD values are presented in Table E-1. The maximum values for the lower limits of detection specified in the ODCM are shown in Table E-2. Milk samples are not currently collected and analyzed for the BFN REMP, but the nominal LLD values for the analysis of milk are included in the tables to maintain the historical record of the laboratory's measurement capabilities.

The nominal LLDs are also presented in the data tables. For analyses for which nominal LLDs have not been established, a LLD of zero is assumed in determining if a measured activity is greater than the nominal LLD.

TABLE E-1

Nominal LLD Values A. Radiochemical Procedures

	Air Filters (<u>pCi/m³)</u>	Water (<u>pCi/L)</u>	Milk (<u>pCi/L)</u>	Wet Vegetation (<u>pCi/Kg wet)</u>	Sediment and Soil (<u>pCi/g dry)</u>
Gross Beta	0.002	1.9			
Tritium		270			
Iodine-131		0.4	0.4	6.0	
Strontium-89		5.0	3.5	31.0	1.6
Strontium-90		2.0	2.0	. 12.0	0.4

Table E-1 Nominal LLD Values B. Gamma Analyses

	Air Particulates <u>pCi/m3</u>	Charcoal Filter <u>pCi/m3</u>	Water And Milk <u>pCi/L</u>	Vegetation and Grain <u>pCi/g, dry</u>	Wet Vegetation pCi/kg, wet	Soil and Sediment <u>pCi/g, dry</u>	Fish pCi/g, dry	Clam Flesh <u>pCi/g, dry</u>	Foods Tomatoes Potatoes, etc. <u>pCi/kg, wet</u>
Ce-141	.005	.02	10	.07	35	.10	.07	.35	20
Ce-144	.01	.07	30	.15	115	.20	.15	.85	60
Cr-51	.02	0.15	45	.30	200	.35	.30	2.4	95
I-131	.005	0.03	10	.20	60	.25	.20	1.7	20
Ru-103	.005	0.02	5	.03	25	.03	.03	.25	25
Ru-106	.02	0.12	40	.15	190	.20	.15	1.25	· 90
Cs-134	.005	0.02	5	.03	30	.03	.03	.14	10
Cs-137	.005	0.02	5	.03	25	.03	.03	.15	10
Zr-95	.005	0.03	10	.05	45	.05	.05	.45	45
Nb-95	.005	0.02	5	.25	30	.04	.25	.25	10
Co-58	.005	0.02	5	.03	20	.03	.03	.25	10
Mn-54	.005	0.02	5	.03	20	.03	.03	.20	10
Zn-65	.005	0.03	10	.05	45	.05	.05	.40	45
Co-60	.005	0.02	5	.03	20	.03	.03	.20	10
K-40	.04	0.30	100	.40	400	.75	.40	3.50	250
Ba-140	.015	0.07	25	.30	130	.30	.30	2.4	50
La-140	.01	0.04	10	.20	50	.20	.20	1.4	25
Fe-59	.005	0.04	10	.08	40	.05	.08	.45	25
Be-7	.02	0.15	45	.25	200	.25	.25	1.9	90
Pb-212	.005	0.03	15	.04	40	.10	.04	.30	40
Pb-212	.005	0.07	20	.50	80	.15	.50	.10	80
Bi-214	.005	0.05	20	.10	55	.15	.10	.50	40
Bi-212	.02	0.20	50	.25	250	.45	.25	2.0	130
T1-208	.002	0.02	10	.03	30	.06	.03	.25	30
Ra-224						.75			
Ra-224 Ra-226						.15			
Ac-228	.01	0.07	20	.10	70	.25	.10	.75	50

-48-

Table E-2

Analysis	Water <u>pCi/L</u>	Airborne Particulate or Gases <u>pCi/m³</u>	Fish <u>pCi/kg,</u> wet	Milk pCi/L	Food Products <u>pCi/kg.</u> wet	Sediment <u>pCi/kg.</u> dry
gross beta	4	1 x 10 ⁻²	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
H-3	2000 ^a	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Mn-54	15	N.A.	130	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Fe-59	30	N.A.	260	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Co-58, 60	15	N.A.	130	N.A.	N.Á.	N.A.
Zn-65	30	N.A.	260	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Zr-95	30	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Nb-95	15	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
I-131	1 ^b	7 x 10 ⁻²	N.A.	1	60	N.A.
Cs-134	15	5 x10 ⁻²	130	15	60	150
Cs-137	18	6 x 10 ⁻²	150	18	80	180
Ba-140	60	N.A.	N.A.	60	N.A.	N.A.
La-140	15	N.A.	N.A.	15	N.A.	N.A.

Maximum Values for the Lower Limits of Detection (LLD) Specified by the BFN Offsite Dose Calculation Manual

a. If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 3000 pCi/liter may be used.

b. LLD for analysis of drinking water and surface water samples shall be performed by gamma spectroscopy at approximately 15 pCi/liter. If levels greater than 15 pCi/liter are identified in surface water samples downstream from the plant, or in the event of an unanticipated release of I-131, drinking water samples will be analyzed at an LLD of 1.0 pCi/liter for I-131.

APPENDIX F

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL PROGRAM

Appendix F

Quality Assurance/Quality Control Program

A quality assurance program is employed by the laboratory to ensure that the environmental monitoring data are reliable. This program includes the use of written, approved procedures in performing the work, provisions for staff training and certification, internal self assessments of program performance, audits by various external organizations, and a laboratory quality control program.

The quality control program employed by the radioanalytical laboratory is designed to ensure that the sampling and analysis process is working as intended. The program includes equipment checks and the analysis of quality control samples along with routine samples. Instrument quality control checks include background count rate and counts reproducibility. In addition to these two general checks, other quality control checks are performed on the variety of detectors used in the laboratory. The exact nature of these checks depends on the type of device and the method it uses to detect radiation or store the information obtained.

Quality control samples of a variety of types are used by the laboratory to verify the performance of different portions of the analytical process. These quality control samples include blanks, replicate samples, blind samples, or cross-checks.

Blanks are samples which contain no measurable radioactivity or no activity of the type being measured. Such samples are analyzed to determine whether there is any contamination of equipment or commercial laboratory chemicals, cross-contamination in the chemical process, or interference from isotopes other than the one being measured.

Duplicate samples are generated at random by the computer program which schedules the collection of the routine samples. For example, if the routine program calls for four public water samples every four weeks, on a random basis each location might provide an additional sample

-51-

several times a year. These duplicate samples are analyzed along with other routine samples. They provide information about the variability of radioactive content in the various sample media.

If enough sample is available for a particular analysis, the laboratory staff can split it into two portions. Such a sample provides information about the variability of the analytical process since two identical portions of material are analyzed side by side.

Analytical knowns are another category of quality control sample. A known amount of radioactivity is added to a sample medium. The lab staff knows the radioactive content of the sample. Whenever possible, the analytical knowns contain the same amount of radioactivity each time they are run. In this way, analytical knowns provide immediate data on the quality of the measurement process.

Blind spikes are samples containing radioactivity which are introduced into the analysis process disguised as ordinary environmental samples. The lab staff does not know the sample contains radioactivity. Since the bulk of the ordinary workload of the environmental laboratory contains no measurable activity or only naturally occurring radioisotopes, blind spikes can be used to test the detection capability of the laboratory or can be used to test the data review process. If an analysis routinely generates numerous zeroes for a particular isotope, the presence of the isotope is brought to the attention of the laboratory supervisor in the daily review process. Blind spikes test this process since the blind spikes contain radioactivity at levels high enough to be detected. Furthermore, the activity can be put into such samples at the extreme limit of detection (near the LLD) to determine whether or not the laboratory can find any unusual radioactivity whatsoever.

Another category of quality control samples is internal cross-checks. These samples have a known amount of radioactivity added and are presented to the lab staff labeled as cross-check samples. This means that the quality control staff knows the radioactive content or "right

-52-

answer" but the lab personnel performing the analysis do not. Such samples test the best performance of the laboratory by determining if the lab can find the "right answer". These samples provide information about the accuracy of the measurement process. Further information is available about the variability of the process if multiple analyses are requested on the same sample. Like blind spikes or analytical knowns, these samples can also be spiked with low levels of activity to test detection limits. During 2008, all analysis results for internal crosscheck samples were within agreement limits when compared to the known value.

To provide for an independent verification of the laboratory's ability to make accurate measurements, the laboratory participated in an environmental level cross-check program available through Eckert & Ziegler Analytics during 2008. The results of TVA's participation in this cross-check program are presented in Table F-1.

The quality control data are routinely collected, examined and reported to laboratory supervisory personnel. They are checked for trends, problem areas, or other indications that a portion of the analytical process needs correction or improvement. The end result is a measurement process that provides reliable and verifiable data and is sensitive enough to measure the presence of radioactivity far below the levels which could be harmful to humans.

Table F-1

Results For 2008 External Cross Checks

		• •-	<u>Resul</u> <u>Known</u>	ts <u>TVA</u>	Agreement
Test Period	Sample Type / An	<u>alysis</u>	KIIOWII		
First Quarter	Water (pCi/L) Gross	Beta	2.30E+02	2.16E+02	0.94
	Water (pCi/Filter) ³ H	4.01E+03	4.10E+03	1.02
First Quarter	Water (pCi/L)	¹³¹ I	7.04E+01	7.14E+01	1.01
		¹⁴¹ Ce	1.98E+02	1.80E+02	0.91
		⁵¹ Cr	2.86E+02	2.94E+02	1.03
		¹³⁴ Cs	9.97E+01	9.41E+01	0.94
		¹³⁷ Cs	1.16E+02	1.19E+02	1.03
		⁵⁸ Co	5.64E+01	5.65E+01	1.00
		⁵⁴ Mn	7.50E+01	8.28E+01	1.10
		⁵⁹ Fe	8.14E+01	7.58E+01	0.93
		⁶⁵ Zn	1.09E+02	1.09E+02	1.00
		⁶⁰ Co	1.88E+02	1.92E+02	1.02
	Milk (pCi/L)				1.04
First Quarter	a d	¹³¹ I	7.29E+01	7.58E+01	1.04 1.00
		⁸⁹ Sr	9.89E+01		0.83
		⁹⁰ Sr	1.33E+01	1.10E+01	0.05
Third Quarter	Water (pCi/L) ³ H	1.14E+04	ŧ 1.16E+04	1.02
mit d Onorfor	Sand (pCi/gr	am) į		, 	0.94
Third Quarter		¹⁴¹ Ce	0.341	0.321	0.94
		⁵¹ Cr	0.890	0.862	0.89
		¹³⁴ Cs	0.491	0.439	0.96
		¹³⁷ Cs	0.343	0.329	0.99
		⁵⁸ Co	0.377	0.373	1.07
		⁵⁴ Mn	0.351	0.374 0.294	0.96
		⁵⁹ Fe	0.305		1.00
		⁶⁵ Zn ⁶⁰ Co	0.675 0.496		1.00
			•••••	-	
Third Quarter	Air Filter (J	pCi/filter) Gross Beta	56.0	52.5	0.94
Third Quarter	Air Filter (pCi/filter) ¹⁴¹ Ce	104	0 99.9	0.96
		⁵¹ Cr	272		0.99
		¹³⁴ Cs	150		0.85
		¹³⁷ Cs	105		0.96
		58Co	115		
		⁵⁴ Mn	107		
		⁵⁹ Fe	93		
		⁶⁵ Zn	20) 0.99
		⁶⁰ Co		1.0 142.0	
		0			

-54-

APPENDIX G

LAND USE SURVEY

-55-

Appendix G

Land Use Survey

A land use survey was conducted to identify the nearest milk animal, the nearest residence, and the nearest garden of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy vegetables in each of 16 meteorological sectors within a distance of 5 miles from the plant. The land use survey also identifies all gardens of greater than 500 square feet producing fresh leafy vegetables within a distance of 3 miles from the plant.

The land use survey was conducted between April 1 and October 1 using appropriate techniques such as door-to-door survey, mail survey, telephone survey, aerial survey, or information from local agricultural authorities or other reliable sources.

In order to identify the locations around BFN which have the greatest relative potential for impact by the plant, radiation doses were projected for individuals living near BFN. These projections used the data obtained in the survey and historical meteorological data. The calculations also assumed that releases were equivalent to the design basis source terms. The dose projections are relative in nature and do not reflect actual exposures to individuals living near BFN.

Dose projections from air submersion were calculated for the nearest resident in each sector and dose projections from eating foods produced near the plant were calculated for the areas with gardens.

There were no changes in the distance for the location of the nearest resident in 2008 as compared to 2007. The location of the nearest garden as identified in the 2008 survey changed in two sectors.

There were no locations identified within the five mile radius with milk production for human consumption.

Tables G-1 and G-2 show the comparative calculated doses for 2007 and 2008.

Table G-1 BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

Relative Projected Annual Air Submersion Dose to the Nearest Resident Within 8 km (5 Miles) of Plant mrem/year

	2007 Survey		2008 Survey		
	Approximate		Approximate		
	Distance	Annual	Distance	Annual	
Sector	Meters	Dose	Meters	Dose	
N	2000	0.45	2000	0.45	
NNE	2590	0.14	2590	0.14	
NE	4096	0.12	4096	0.12	
ENE	2458	0.17	2458	0.17	
E	1290	0.47	1290	0.47	
ESE	1860	0.22	1860	0.22	
SE	a		a		
SSE	a		a.		
S	4482	0.15	4482	0.15	
SSW	4169	0.18	4169	0.18	
SW	4458	0.10	4458	0.10	
WSW	3976	0.08	3976	0.08	
W	2530	0.19	2530	0.19	
WNW	5470	0.10	5470	0.10	
NW	3373	0.30	3373	0.30	
NNW	1639	0.76	1639	0.76	

Note a - There is no residence within the 8 km radius for this section

Table G-2

BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

Relative Projected Annual Dose to Child's Bone from Ingestion of Home-Grown Foods mrem/year

	2007 S	urvey	<u>2008 St</u>		
	Approximate		Approximate		Number of
	Distance	Annual	Distance	Annual	Gardens Within
Sector	Meters	Dose	Meters	Dose	<u>3 miles (2008)</u>
N	2848	5.20	2000	8.11	1
NNE	4152	1.52	4345	1.42	· 1
NE	4313	1.27	4313	1.27	1
ENE	4319	1.33	4319	1.33	1
E	1689	5.68	1689	5.68	2
ESE	2125	5.03	2125	5.03	2
SE	а		а		0
SSE	а		а		0
S	4482	2.28	4482	2.28	1
SSW	4959	2.09	4959	2.09	0
SW	4859	1.03	4859	1.03	0 (
WSW	4578	0.56	4578	0.56	1
W	3120	1.08	3120	1.08	. 1
WNW .	a	•	а	1 - A - A	0
NW	a		а		0
NNW	1791	9.95	1791	9.95	3

note a – Garden not found within 8 km radius.

APPENDIX H

DATA TABLES AND FIGURES

Table H - 1

DIRECT RADIATION LEVELS

Average External Gamma Radiation Levels Onsite and Offsite BROWNS FERRY Nuclear Plant for Each Quarter - 2008 mR / Quarter (a)

Average External Gamma Radiation Levels (b)

	1st qtr	2nd qtr	3rd qtr	4th qtr	mR/yr
Average, 0 - 2 miles (onsite)	12.2	12.4	12.9	13.3	51
Average, > 2 miles (offsite)	9.4	10.1	10.5	9.8	40

(a) Field periods normalized to one standard quarter (2190 hours)

(b) Average of the individual measurements in the set

TABLE H-2

DIRECT RADIATION LEVELS

Individual Stations at Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

				Environmental Radiation Levels				
		,		mR / quarter				
Мар	TLD		Approx	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	Annual
Location	Station	Direction,	Distance,	Jan - Mar	Apr - Jun	Jul - Sep	Oct - Dec	Exposure
<u>Number</u>	Number	<u>degrees</u>	<u>miles</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2008</u>	2008	<u>mR/year</u>
7	N-1	348	1.0	13.8	14.0	16.5	13.4	57.7
75	N-1A	355	1.0	14.3	16.0	14.2	17.4	61.9
38	N-2	1	5.0	7.4	9.2	8.6	9.9	35.1
8	NNE-1	12	.9	13.3	8.8	13.2	13.4	48.7
39	NNE-2	31	.7	13.3	13.6	12.3	13.9	53.1
40	NNE-3	19	5.2	10.1	7.3	10.9	7.9	36.2
41	NE-1	51	.8	15.4	11.6	12.3	14.4	53.7
42	NE-2	49	5.0	14.9	13.1	12.3	12.9	53.2
2	NE-3	56	10.9	11.7 ·	11.6	11.9	10.9	46.1
9	ENE-1	61	.9	11.7	15.0	9.6	13.4	49.7
43	ENE-2	62	6.2	11.1	8.8	12.3	12.9	45.1
44	E-1	85	.8	12.7	13.6	16.0	14.4	56.7
45	E-2	91	5.2	10.1	9.2	10.0	9.4	38.7
6	E-3	90	23.1	9.5	11.6	9.1	13.4	43.6
46	ESE-1	110	.9	10.6	12.6	10.9	11.4	45.5
47	ESE-2	112	3.0	11.1	10.2	8.6	10.9	40.8
48	SE-1	130	.5	11.1	10.7	11.9	14.4	48.1
49	SE-2	135	5.4	12.7	9.7	10.9	11.9	45.2
50	SSE-1	163	5.1	9.5	11.2	10.9	11.4	43.0 ⁻
3	SSE-2	165	7.5	10.6	13.6	10.9	10.4	45.5
51	S-1	185	3.1	8.5	9.2	8.2	10.9	36.8

TABLE H - 2 continued

DIRECT RADIATION LEVELS

Individual Stations at Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

				Envi	ronmental.F	Radiation Le	evels]
					mR/c	uarter		_
Мар	TLD		Approx	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr	Annual
Location	Station	Direction,	Distance,	Jan - Mar	Apr - Jun	Jul - Sep	Oct - Dec	Exposure
<u>Number</u>	<u>Number</u>	degrees	<u>miles</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>mR/year</u>
52	S-2	182	4.8	4.8	9.7	9.1	7.4	31.0
53	SSW-1	203	3.0	7.4	10.2	9.1	9.4	36.1
54	SSW-2	199	4.4	10.6	10.7	6.8	9.9	38.0
55	SW-1	228	1.9	8.0	9.7	11.9	9.4	39.0
56	SW-2	219	4.7	9.5	8.8	10.5	8.9	37.7
57	SW-3	224	6.0	6.9	10.7	12.3	9.9	39.8
58	WSW-1	244	2.7	6.4	9.2	11.4	8.4	35.4
59	WSW-2	251	5.1	9.0	9.7	10.5	9.4	38.6
60	WSW-3	257	10.5	5.8	. 8.3	7.3	6.4	27.8
61	W-1	275	1.9	10.1	11.6	12.8	11.9	46.4
62	W-2	268	4.7	8.5	7.8	12.3	8.4	37.0
5	W-3	275	31.0	5.8	9.2	12.8	8.4	36.2
- 64	WNW-1	291	3.3	8.0	13.1	10.9	6.0	38.0
65	WNW-2	293	4.4	9.0	8.8	10.0	8.9	36.7
66	NW-1	326	2.2	11.1	11.6	11.9	10.4	45.0
67	NW-2	321	5.3	11.1	10.7	10.9	10.4	43.1
1	NW-3	310	13.8	8.0	7.3	10.0	8.4	33.7
68	NNW-1	331	1.0 ⁻	13.3	10.7	14.2	14.4	52.6
10	NNW-2	331	1.7	11.1	13.1	11.4	11.4	47.0
69	NNW-3	339	5.2	12.7	11.6	12.3	11.4	48.0

RADIOACTIVITY IN AIR FILTER PCI/M3 - 0.037 BQ/M3

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT Location of Facility: LIMESTONE ALABAMA

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

<i>,</i> -	Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GRO	SS BETA	568			•		
		2.00E-03	2.16E-02 (465 / 465) 9.66E-03 - 4.05E-02	LM1 BF NORTHWEST 1.0 MILE N	2.24E-02 (52 / 52) 1.15E-02 - 4.05E-02	2.07E-02 (103 / 103) 9.44E-03 - 3.83E-02	
GAM	MA SCAN	143				• •	
A	\C-228	1.00E-02	2.18E-02 (1 / 117) 2.18E-02 - 2.18E-02	LM2 BF NORTH 0.9 MILE NNE	2.18E-02 (1 / 13) 2.18E-02 - 2.18E-02	26 VALUES < LLD	
E	3E-7	2.00E-02	1.17E-01 (117 / 117) 7.12E-02 - 1.61E-01	LM2 BF NORTH 0.9 MILE NNE	1.21E-01 (13 / 13) 9.47E-02 - 1.47E-01	1.15E-01 (26 / 26) 8.33E-02 - 1.56E-01	
E	31-214	5.00E-03	2.06E-02 (108 / 117) 5.00E-03 - 8.08E-02	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	2.71E-02 (12 / 13) 7.40E-03 - 7.27E-02	1.89E-02 (23 / 26) 5.00E-03 - 5.05E-02	
-64 -	≺-4 0	4.00E-02	4.45E-02 (1 / 117) 4.45E-02 - 4.45E-02	LM2 BF NORTH 0.9 MILE NNE	4.45E-02 (1 / 13) 4.45E-02 - 4.45E-02	26 VALUES < LLD	
F	PB-212	5.00E-03	117 VALUES < LLD	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	13 VALUES < LLD	26 VALUES < LLD	
F	PB-214	5.00E-03	2.10E-02 (106 / 117) 5.00E-03 - 9.43E-02	PM-2 BF ATHENS AL 10.9 MILES NE	2.61E-02 (11 / 13) 5.80E-03 - 9.43E-02	1.87E-02 (23 / 26) 5.00E-03 - 5.56E-02	
Т	FL-208	2.00E-03	117 VALUES < LLD	LM3 BF NORTHEAST 1.0 MILE ENE	13 VALUES < LLD	26 VALUES < LLD	

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

RADIOACTIVITY IN CHARCOAL FILTER PCI/M3 - 0.037 BQ/M3

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

	Location of Facility	LIMESTONE ALA	BAMA		Reporting Period: 2008				
x	Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements		
G	AMMA SCAN	568		· •					
	AC-228	7.00E-02	465 VALUES < LLD	PM-2 BF ATHENS AL 10.9 MILES NE	52 VALUES < LLD	103 VALUES < LLD			
	BI-214	5.00E-02	7.76E-02 (175 / 465) 5.00E-02 - 3.17E-01	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	9.10E-02 (14 / 52) 5.02E-02 - 2.04E-01	6.96E-02 (27 / 103) 5.00E-02 - 1.26E-01			
	I-131	3.00E-02	SEE NOTE 3			•			
	K-40	3.00E-01	3.85E-01 (94 / 465) 3.02E-01 - 6.83E-01	LM2 BF NORTH 0.9 MILE NNE	4.23E-01 (27 / 51) 3.18E-01 - 6.83E-01	3.64E-01 (23 / 103) 3.09E-01 - 5.04E-01			
L	PB-212	3.00E-02	465 VALUES < LLD	PM-3 BF DECATUR AL 8.2 MILES SSE	51 VALUES < LLD	103 VALUES < LLD			
65-	PB-214	7.00E-02	1.01E-01 (111 / 465) 7.03E-02 - 3.16E-01	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	1.21E-01 (8 / 52) 7.67E-02 - 1.99E-01	8.51E-02 (15 / 103) 7.27E-02 - 1.22E-01			
	TL-208	2.00E-02	465 VALUES < LLD	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	51 VALUES < LLD	103 VALUES < LLD			

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

Note: 2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F). Note: 3. The analysis of Charcoal Filters was performed by Gamma Spectroscopy. No I-131 was detected. The LLD for I-131 by Gamma Spectroscopy was 0.03 pCi/cubic meter. RADIOACTIVITY IN SOIL PCI/GM - 0.037 BQ/G (DRY WEIGHT)

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT Location of Facility: LIMESTONE ALABAMA

66-

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GAMMA SCAN	11					
AC-228	2.50E-01	1.24E+00 (9 / 9) 6.60E-01 - 1.46E+00	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1.46E+00 (1 / 1) 1.46E+00 - 1.46E+00	8.50E-01 (2 / 2) 6.86E-01 - 1.01E+00	
BE-7	2.50E-01	2.90E-01 (3 / 9) 2.56E-01 - 3.08E-01	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	3.08E-01 (1 / 1) 3.08E-01 - 3.08E-01	2.57E-01 (1 / 2) 2.57E-01 - 2.57E-01	
BI-212	4.50E-01	1.25E+00 (9 / 9) 6.65E-01 - 1.63E+00	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	1.63E+00 (1 / 1) 1.63E+00 - 1.63E+00	8.72E-01 (2 / 2) 6.35E-01 - 1.11E+00	
BI-214	1.50E-01	9.80E-01 (9 / 9) 6.22E-01 - 1.17E+00	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1.17E+00 (1 / 1) 1.17E+00 - 1.17E+00	7.30E-01 (2 / 2) 6.38E-01 - 8.22E-01	
CS-137	3.00E-02	1.48E-01 (8 / 9) 5.62E-02 - 3.17E-01	PM-2 BF ATHENS AL 10.9 MILES NE	3.17E-01 (1 / 1) 3.17E-01 - 3.17E-01	8.76E-02 (2 / 2) 7.70E-02 - 9.82E-02	Table
K-40	7.50E-01	5.11E+00 (9/9) 2.78E+00 - 7.50E+00	LM1 BF NORTHWEST 1.0 MILE N	7.50E+00 (1 / 1) 7.50E+00 - 7.50E+00	4.39E+00 (2 / 2) 3.73E+00 - 5.04E+00	le H-5
PB-212	1.00E-01	1.13E+00 (9/9) 5.41E-01 - 1.42E+00	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	1.42E+00 (1 / 1) 1.42E+00 - 1.42E+00	8.67E-01 (2 / 2) 6.87E-01 - 1.05E+00	Cr.
PB-214	1.50E-01	1.04E+00 (9 / 9) 6.63E-01 - 1.28E+00	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1.28E+00 (1 / 1) 1.28E+00 - 1.28E+00	8.52E-01 (2 / 2) 7.47E-01 - 9.56E-01	
RA-224	7.50E-01	1.51E+00 (5 / 9) 1.43E+00 - 1.58E+00	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	1.58E+00 (1 / 1) 1.58E+00 - 1.58E+00	9.95E-01 (1 / 2) 9.95E-01 - 9.95E-01	• • •
RA-226	1.50E-01	9.80E-01 (9/9) 6.22E-01 - 1.17E+00	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1.17E+00 (1 / 1) 1.17E+00 - 1.17E+00	7.30E-01 (2 / 2) 6.38E-01 - 8.22E-01	
TL-208	6.00E-02	3.75E-01 (9 / 9) 1.91E-01 - 4.84E-01	LM-7BF LAKEVIEW 2.1 MILES WEST	4.84E-01 (1 / 1) 4.84E-01 - 4.84E-01	2.65E-01 (2 / 2) 2.03E-01 - 3.28E-01	
SR 89	11					
	1.60E+00	9 VALUES < LLD			2 VALUES < LLD	
SR 90	11					
	4.00E-01	9 VALUES < LLD		;	2 VALUES < LLD	

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

		· · · ·	RADIOACTIVITY IN APPLE PCI/KG - 0.037 BQ/KG (WET)	-		
Name of Facility	BROWNS FERRY NU	CLEAR PLANT		Docket Nu	mber: 50-259,260,296	
Location of Facility	: LIMESTONE ALAI	BAMA		Reporting F	Period: 2008	
Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GAMMA SCAN	2			•		
BI-214	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	1 VALUES < LLD	5.51E+01 (1 / 1) 5.51E+01 - 5.51E+01	
K-40	2.50E+02	7.99E+02 (1 / 1) 7.99E+02 - 7.99E+02	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	7.99E+02 (1 / 1) 7.99E+02 - 7.99E+02	7.33E+02 (1 / 1) 7.33E+02 - 7.33E+02	
PB-212	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	
PB-214	8.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	

67-

RADIOACTIVITY IN CABBAGE PCI/KG - 0.037 BQ/KG (WET WT)

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT Location of Facility: LIMESTONE ALABAMA

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GAMMA SCAN	2					
BI-214	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	8.00E+01 (1 / 1) 8.00E+01 - 8.00E+01	
K-40	2.50E+02	1.73E+03 (1 / 1) 1.73E+03 - 1.73E+03	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1.73E+03 (1 / 1) 1.73E+03 - 1.73E+03	2.20E+03 (1 / 1) 2.20E+03 - 2.20E+03	
PB-212	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	
PB-214	8.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1.01E+02 (1 / 1) 1.01E+02 - 1.01E+02	

Table H-7

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

RADIOACTIVITY IN CORN PCI/KG - 0.037 BQ/KG (WET WT)

Name of Facility	: BROWNS FERRY NU	CLEAR PLANT		Docket Number: 50-259,260,296				
Location of Facility	: LIMESTONE ALAE	BAMA		Reporting Period: 2008				
Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements		
GAMMA SCAN	2							
BI-214	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	1 VALUES < LLD	4.89E+01 (1 / 1) 4.89E+01 - 4.89E+01			
K-40	2.50E+02	2.18E+03 (1 / 1) 2.18E+03 - 2.18E+03	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	2.18E+03 (1 / 1) 2.18E+03 - 2.18E+03	2.50E+03 (1 / 1) 2.50E+03 - 2.50E+03			
PB-214	8.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM-6BF BAKER BOTTOM 3.0 MILES SSW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD			

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

-69-

			RADIOACTIVITY IN GREEN E PCI/KG - 0.037 BQ/KG (WET			
Name of Facility Location of Facility	BROWNS FERRY NU	ICLEAR PLANT BAMA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ımber: 50-259,260,296 Period: 2008	
Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GAMMA SCAN	2			• •		
BI-214	4.00E+01	6.06E+01 (1 / 1) 6.06E+01 - 6.06E+01	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	6.06E+01 (1 / 1) 6.06E+01 - 6.06E+01	6.16E+01 (1 / 1) 6.16E+01 - 6.16E+01	
K-40	2.50E+02	2.10E+03 (1 / 1) 2.10E+03 - 2.10E+03	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	2.10E+03 (1 / 1) 2.10E+03 - 2.10E+03	1.60E+03 (1 / 1) 1.60E+03 - 1.60E+03	
PB-212	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	
PB-214	8.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	
TL-208 70 -	3.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	

RADIOACTIVITY IN POTATOES PCI/KG - 0.037 BQ/KG (WET WT)

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

Annual Mean

Mean (F)

Range

See Note 2

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT Location of Facility: LIMESTONE ALABAMA

Lower Limit

of Detection

(LLD)

See Note 1

Indicator Locations

Mean (F)

Range

See Note 2

Control Locations Mean (F)

Range

See Note 2

Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements

GAMMA SCAN	2				
BI-214	4.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	5.93E+01 (1 / 1) 5.93E+01 - 5.93E+01
K-40	2.50E+02	3.22E+03 (1 / 1) 3.22E+03 - 3.22E+03	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	3.22E+03 (1 / 1) 3.22E+03 - 3.22E+03	3.33E+03 (1 / 1) 3.33E+03 - 3.33E+03
PB-214	8.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD
TL-208	3.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD

Location with Highest

Name

Distance and Direction

Type and

Total Number

of Analysis

Performed

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

			RADIOACTIVITY IN TOMAT PCI/KG - 0.037 BQ/KG (WET			. '
Name of Facility Location of Facility	7: BROWNS FERRY NU 7: LIMESTONE ALA	CLEAR PLANT BAMA			mber: 50-259,260,296 Period: 2008	
Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GAMMA SCAN	2					
BI-214	4.00E+01	5.92E+01 (1 / 1) 5.92E+01 - 5.92E+01	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	5.92E+01 (1 / 1) 5.92E+01 - 5.92E+01	4.49E+01 (1 / 1) 4.49E+01 - 4.49E+01	
K-40	2.50E+02	2.63E+03 (1 / 1) 2.63E+03 - 2.63E+03	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	2.63E+03 (1 / 1) 2.63E+03 - 2.63E+03	2.19E+03 (1 / 1) 2.19E+03 - 2.19E+03	
PB-214	8.00E+01	1 VALUES < LLD	LM4 BF TRAILER P 1.7 MILES NNW	1 VALUES < LLD	1 VALUES < LLD	

-72-

RADIOACTIVITY IN SURFACE WATER(Total) PCI/L - 0.037 BQ/L

- 0.037 BQ/L

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

	Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
G	ROSS BETA	26					
		1.90E+00	3.11E+00 (13 / 13) 2.38E+00 - 4.41E+00	TRM 293.5	3.11E+00 (13/13) 2.38E+00 - 4.41E+00	3.00E+00 (13 / 13) 1.95E+00 - 3.87E+00	·
G	AMMA SCAN	26					
	AC-228	2.00E+01	13 VALUES < LLD	TRM 293.5	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	1 - 14 -
	BI-214	2.00E+01	4.35E+01 (6 / 13) 2.03E+01 - 9.80E+01	TRM 293.5	4.35E+01 (6 / 13) 2.03E+01 - 9.80E+01	3.68E+01 (8 / 13) 2.33E+01 - 6.84E+01	
	K-40	1.00E+02	13 VALUES < LLD	TRM 293.5	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	
-73-	Р́В-212	1.50E+01	13 VALUES < LLD	TRM 293.5	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	
•	PB-214	2.00E+01	4.31E+01 (3 / 13) 2.34E+01 - 6.66E+01	TRM 293.5	4.31E+01 (3 / 13) 2.34E+01 - 6.66E+01	3.20E+01 (5 / 13) 2.53E+01 - 4.29E+01	
TF	RITIUM	8		۰			
•		2.70E+02	2.79E+02 (1 / 4) 2.79E+02 - 2.79E+02	TRM 293.5	2.79E+02 (1/4) 2.79E+02 - 2.79E+02	4 VALUES < LLD	

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

.

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

ALABAMA

Location of Facility: LIMESTONE

RADIOACTIVITY IN PUBLIC WATER(Total)
PCI/L - 0.037 BQ/L

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

Indicator Locations Type and Lower Limit Location with Highest Annual Mean Control Locations Number of Total Number of Detection Mean (F) Mean (F) Nonroutine Name Mean (F) of Analysis (LLD) Range **Distance and Direction** Range Range Reported Performed See Note 1 See Note 2 See Note 2 See Note 2 Measurements GROSS BETA 78 1.90E+00 2.85E+00 (59/65) CHAMPION PAPER 2.99E+00 (12/13) 3.00E+00 (13/13) 1.93E+00 - 4.80E+00 TRM 282.6 2.11E+00 - 4.80E+00 1.95E+00 - 3.87E+00 78 GAMMA SCAN AC-228 2.00E+01 65 VALUES < LLD W MOR-E LAWR WAT ATH 13 VALUES < LLD 13 VALUES < LLD TRM 286.5 BI-214 2.00E+01 4.46E+01 (38/65) W MOR-E LAWR WAT ATH 4.86E+01 (6 / 13) 3.68E+01 (8 / 13) 2.08E+01 - 9.98E+01 TRM 286.5 2.24E+01 - 9.98E+01 2.33E+01 - 6.84E+01 K-40 1.00E+02 65 VALUES < LLD W MOR-E LAWR WAT ATH 13 VALUES < LLD 13 VALUES < LLD TRM 286.5 PB-212 1.50E+01 65 VALUES < LLD MUSCLE SHOALS AREA 13 VALUES < LLD 13 VALUES < LLD -74 -47 TRM 259.5 PB-214 4.06E+01 (4 / 13) 2.00E+01 3.53E+01 (31/65) W MOR-E LAWR WAT ATH 3.20E+01 (5/13) 2.05E+01 - 6.44E+01 TRM 286.5 2.53E+01 - 4.29E+01 2.25E+01 - 6.26E+01 TL-208 1.00E+01 65 VALUES < LLD FLORENCE, AL 13 VALUES < LLD 13 VALUES < LLD TRM 259.8 TRITIUM 24 2.70E+02 3.05E+02 (1/20) W MOR-E LAWR WAT ATH 3.05E+02 (1/4) 4 VALUES < LLD 3.05E+02 - 3.05E+02 3.05E+02 - 3.05E+02 TRM 286.5

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT

ALABAMA

Location of Facility: LIMESTONE

RADIOACTIVITY IN WELL WATER(Total) PCI/L - 0.037 BQ/L

Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008

Name of Facility: BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT Location of Facility: LIMESTONE ALABAMA

	Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
G	AMMA SCAN	26					
	AC-228	2.00E+01	13 VALUES < LLD	BFN WELL #6 0.02 MILES W	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	
	BI-214	2.00E+01	4.41E+01 (10 / 13) 2.19E+01 - 8.73E+01	BFN WELL #6 0.02 MILES W	4.41E+01 (10 / 13) 2.19E+01 - 8.73E+01	1.38E+02 (13 / 13) 4.34E+01 - 4.10E+02	
	K-40	1.00E+02	13 VALUES < LLD	BFN WELL #6 0.02 MILES W	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	
-75-	PB-212	1.50E+01	13 VALUES < LLD	BFN WELL #6 0.02 MILES W	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	
	PB-214	2.00E+01	4.15E+01 (7 / 13) 2.01E+01 - 6.98E+01	BFN WELL #6 0.02 MILES W	4.15E+01 (7 / 13) 2.01E+01 - 6.98E+01	1.26E+02 (13 / 13) 3.90E+01 - 3.97E+02	
	TL-208	1.00E+01	13 VALUES < LLD	BFN WELL #6 0.02 MILES W	13 VALUES < LLD	13 VALUES < LLD	
т	RITIUM	8					
		2.70E+02	4 VALUES < LLD			4 VALUES < LLD	

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

RADIOACTIVITY IN COMMERCIAL FISH	
PCI/GM - 0.037 BQ/G (DRY WEIGHT)	

Name of Facility	: BROWNS FERRY NU	CLEAR PLANT	Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008			
Location of Facility	LIMESTONE ALA	BAMA				
Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	
GAMMA SCAN	4				·	
BI-214	1.00E-01	2.44E-01 (1 / 2) 2.44E-01 - 2.44E-01	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2.44E-01 (1 / 2) 2.44E-01 - 2.44E-01	1.96E-01 (2 / 2) 1.13E-01 - 2.79E-01	
CS-137	3.00E-02	2 VALUES < LLD	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2 VALUES < LLD	4.70E-02 (1 / 2) 4.70E-02 - 4.70E-02	
K-40	4.00E-01	1.33E+01 (2 / 2) 1.23E+01 - 1.42E+01	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	1.33E+01 (2 / 2) 1.23E+01 - 1.42E+01	1.76E+01 (2 / 2) 1.59E+01 - 1.93E+01	
PB-212	4.00E-02	2 VALUES < LLD	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2 VALUES < LLD	2 VALUES < LLD	
PB-214	5.00E-01	2 VALUES < LLD	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2 VALUES < LLD	2 VALUES < LLD	

-76-

Note: 2. Mean and Range based upon detectable measurements only. Fraction of detectable measurements at specified location is indicated in parentheses (F).

Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements

Name of Facility: Location of Facility:	BROWNS FERRY NU	ICLEAR PLANT BAMA		ımber: 50-259,260,296 Period: 2008	30,296	
Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements
GAMMA SCAN	4					
BI-214	1.00E-01	1.39E-01 (2 / 2) 1.33E-01 - 1.44E-01	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	1.39E-01 (2 / 2) 1.33E-01 - 1.44E-01	4.52E-01 (1 / 2) 4.52E-01 - 4.52E-01	
CS-137	3.00E-02	2 VALUES < LLD	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2 VALUES < LLD	4.40E-02 (1 / 2) 4.40E-02 - 4.40E-02	
K-40	4.00E-01	1.31E+01 (2 / 2) 1.25E+01 - 1.37E+01	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	1.31E+01 (2 / 2) 1.25E+01 - 1.37E+01	1.34E+01 (2 / 2) 1.27E+01 - 1.41E+01	
PB-212	4.00E-02	2 VALUES < LLD	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2 VALUES < LLD	2 VALUES < LLD	
PB-214	5.00E-01	2 VALUES < LLD	WHEELER RES TRM 275-349	2 VALUES < LLD	2 VALUES < LLD	Table H-16


77-

RADIOACTIVITY IN SHORELINE SEDIMENT PCI/GM - 0.037 BQ/G (DRY WEIGHT)

L	Name of Facility: ocation of Facility:	BROWNS FERRY NUCLIMESTONE ALAE		Docket Number: 50-259,260,296 Reporting Period: 2008				
	Type and Total Number of Analysis Performed	Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) See Note 1	Indicator Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Location with Highest Name Distance and Direction	Annual Mean Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Control Locations Mean (F) Range See Note 2	Number of Nonroutine Reported Measurements	
GAM	MA SCAN	6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			, ···		
1	AC-228	2.50E-01	4 VALUES < LLD	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	2 VALUES < LLD	7.84E-01 (2 / 2) 5.25E-01 - 1.04E+00		
E	3E-7	2.50E-01	4 VALUES < LLD	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	2 VALUES < LLD	2 VALUES < LLD		
£	31-212	4.50E-01	4 VALUES < LLD	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	2 VALUES < LLD	8.21E-01 (2 / 2) 5.68E-01 - 1.07E+00		
£	31-214	1.50E-01	1.69E-01 (4 / 4) 1.52E-01 - 1.97E-01	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	1.75E-01 (2 / 2) 1.52E-01 - 1.97E-01	6.11E-01 (2 / 2) 5.56E-01 - 6.65E-01		
(CS-137	3.00E-02	4 VALUES < LLD	MALLARD CREEK REC AR TRM 293.0	2 VALUES < LLD	1.87E-01 (2 / 2) 4.31E-02 - 3.30E-01	Table	
	<-40	7.50E-01	4 VALUES < LLD	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	2 VALUES < LLD	9.25E+00 (2 / 2) 6.88E+00 - 1.16E+01	Ë H	
F	PB-212	1.00E-01	1.20E-01 (1 / 4) 1.20E-01 - 1.20E-01	MALLARD CREEK REC AR TRM 293.0	1.20E-01 (1 / 2) 1.20E-01 - 1.20E-01	7.73E-01 (2 / 2) 6.04E-01 - 9.42E-01	17	
F	PB-214	1.50E-01	1.68E-01 (4 / 4) 1.56E-01 - 1.97E-01	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	1.76E-01 (2 / 2) 1.56E-01 - 1.97E-01	6.64E-01 (2 / 2) 5.83E-01 - 7.46E-01		
F	RA-226	1.50E-01	1.69E-01 (4 / 4) 1.52E-01 - 1.97E-01	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	1.75E-01 (2 / 2) 1.52E-01 - 1.97E-01	6.11E-01 (2 / 2) 5.56E-01 - 6.65E-01		
Г	⁻ L-208	6.00E-02	4 VALUES < LLD	JOE WHEELER ST PARK TRM 279.5	2 VALUES < LLD	2.47E-01 (2 / 2) 1.78E-01 - 3.16E-01		

Note: 1. Nominal Lower Level of Detection (LLD) as described in Table E - 1

-78-



-79-

FIGURE H-1






FIGURE H-2

-80-



-81-



-82-




FIGURE H-5

-83-




FIGURE H-6

-84-