## **BSC**

## **Calculation/Analysis Change Notice**

alysis Change Notice
1. QA: QA
2. Page 1 of 1

Complete only applicable items.

3. Document Identifier:		4. Rev.:	5. CACN:
3. Document Identifier: 000-PSA-MGR0-01300-000-00A-2/4/08		00A	001
6. Title:			
GROA External Dose Rate Calculation			
7. Reason for Change:			
Provide an additional assumption as Section 3.2.11 in Section 3.2. This additional assumption is needed because the calculation			
assumes dose rates from SNF/HLW handling facilities are negligible.			
8. Supersedes Change Notice:	, Yes, CACN No.:		_ No
9. Change Impact:			
Inputs Changed:	No Results Impacted:	Yes	⊠ No
Assumptions Changed: Yes	No Design Impacted:	Yes	⊠ No
10. Description of Change:			
3.2.11 Direct Radiation from SNF/HLW Handling Facilities			
Assumption: It is assumed that the contribution to on-site public direct radiation exposures from radiation sources in the SNF/HLW			
handling facilities (including the CRCF, IHF, RF, and WHF) are negligible, compared to the contributions from the Aging Facility			
and the truck cask and railcar cask buffer areas.			
Potionals. The dose rates exterior to SNE/LII W handling facilities are required to be loss than or equal to 0.25 mrom/hr			
Rationale: The dose rates exterior to SNF/HLW handling facilities are required to be less than or equal to 0.25 mrem/hr (Reference 2.2.18, p. 182). Distance attenuation between the handling facilities and on-site public areas further reduces these dose			
rates. From Reference 2.2.19, the on-site public areas (areas outside the security fence) are over 200 m from the handling facilities.			
If each of these facilities were treated as a radiat			
off approximately 3000 times to less than 8.3E-5 mrem/hr at 200 m (Table 3, column III, $1.88E+1/6.32E-3 \approx 3000$ ). For 2000 working hours per year, the annual dose at 200 m from any one of these facilities is less than 0.17 mrem. Because the radiation			
sources inside the facilities would not be continuously present, the actual dose would be even lower.			
In comparison, the maximum surface dose rate of any transportation cask in the buffer areas is 200 mrem/hr (10 CFR 71.47), which			
is 800 times larger than 0.25 mrem/hr; the maximum surface dose rate of an aging overpack is 40 mrem/hr (Reference 2.2.18, p. 183)			
which is 160 times greater than 0.25 mrem/hr. Given that there are only 6 SNF/HLW process facilities (3 CRCFs, 1 IHF, 1 RF, and			
1 WHF), compared to 5 truck and 25 rail casks in the buffer areas and thousands of aging overpacks in the Aging Facility, the			
contribution to direct radiation dose rates at on-site public locations (areas outside the security fence) from the SNF/HLW handling			
facilities is negligible.			
Usage: This assumption is used in the entire calculation.			
D. f.			
References: 2.2.18 BSC 2007. Project Design Criteria Document. 000-3DR-MGR0-00100-000-007. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC			
2.2.18 BSC 2007. Project Design Criteria Document. 000-3DR-MGR0-00100-000-007. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20071016.0005; ENG.20071108.0001; ENG.20071220.0003; ENG.20080107.0001; ENG.20080107.0002;			
ENG.20080107.00016; ENG.20080107.00017.			
2.2.19 BSC 2007. Geologic Repository Oper	ations Area North Portal Site Plan 100-0	'00-MGR0-0050	01-000-001 Las Vegas
Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: ENG.20		700 INCIRO 0050	1, 1/ c - 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
11.	REVIEWS AND APPROVAL		13/ 45/a
Printed Name	Λ Signature		Date
11a. Originator:	341.		. / /
J.S. Tang	This		1/30/2008
11b. Checker:	//hallre		1/20/2008
J. Wang	1011.00		77770
11c. EGS: S. Tsai	1 Sen Dona Isal	7	1/30/2008
11d. DEM:		$\mathcal{F}$ $\longrightarrow$ $\downarrow$	7 - 7 - 2 - 3
M. Wisenburg	/Wellen	<u> </u>	1/30/2008
11e. Design Authority:	001-1		1/30/08
R Rusinko		Į.	1150 197 <b>6</b> 5