

Indian Point Energy Center 450 Broadway, GSB P.O. Box 249 Buchanan, N.Y. 10511-0249 Tel (914) 734-6710

Robert Walpole Manager, Licensing

May 15, 2008

Re: Indian Point Units 1, 2 & 3 Docket Nos. 50-003, 50-247, 50-286 NL-08-081

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Stop O-P1-17 Washington, DC 20555-0001

#### Subject: Indian Point Nuclear Power Plants Units 1, 2 and 3 Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for 2007

Dear Sir or Madam;

Enclosed please find one copy of the Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy) Indian Point Energy Center (IPEC) site Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

This report is submitted in accordance with facility Technical Specification section 5.6.2 for DPR-5, DPR-26, and DPR-64, Indian Point Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 respectively. No commitments are being made by this report.

Should you or your staff have any questions, please contact Mr. Dennis Loope, Radiation Protection Manager at 914-736-8401.

Sincerely yours,

Robert Walpole Manager, Licensing Indian Point Energy Center

Enclosure

cc: w/o enclosure

Mr. John P. Boska, Senior Project Manager, NRC NRR DORL Mr. Samuel J. Collins, Regional Administrator, NRC Region 1 NRC Resident Inspector's Office Indian Point Mr. Paul Eddy, New York State Department of Public Service Mr. Paul D. Tonko, President NYSERDA Mr. Tim Rice, New York State DEC

### ANNUAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATING REPORT

### ENTERGY NUCLEAR

### INDIAN POINT NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION UNITS 1, 2, AND 3

Docket No. 50-003 Indian Point Unit 1 (IP1) Docket No. 50-247 Indian Point Unit 2 (IP2) Docket No. 50-286 Indian Point Unit 3 (IP3)

January 1 - December 31, 2007

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY			1-1
2.0	INTR	ODUCTION		2-1
	2.1	Site Descript	ion	2-1
	2.2	Program Bac	skground	2-1
	2.3	Program Obj	ectives	2-1
3.0	PROGRAM DESCRIPTION			3-1
	3.1	Sample Colle	ection	3-1
	3.2	Sample Anal	ysis	3-1
	3.3	Sample Colle	ection and Analysis Methodology	3-1
		3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.9 3.3.10 3.3.11 3.3.12 3.3.12 3.3.13	Direct Radiation Airborne Particulates and Radioiodine Hudson River Water Drinking Water Hudson River Shoreline Soil Broad Leaf Vegetation Fish and Invertebrates Hudson River Aquatic Vegetation Hudson River Bottom Sediment Precipitation Soil Groundwater Samples Land Use Census	3-1 3-2 3-2 3-3 3-3 3-3 3-3 3-3 3-4 3-4 3-4 3-4 3-4
	3.4	Statistical Methodology		3-5
		3.4.1 3.4.2 3.4.3	Lower Limit of Detection and Critical Level Determination of Mean and Propagated Error Table Statistics	3-5 3-6 3-7

i

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

<u>Page</u>

4.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
	4.1	Direct Radiation	4-3
	4.2	Airborne Particulates and Radioiodine	4-4
	4.3	Hudson River Water	4-5
	4.4	Drinking Water	4-5
	4.5	Hudson River Shoreline Soil	4-5
	4.6	Broad Leaf Vegetation	4-6
	4.7	Fish and Invertebrates	4-6
	4.8	Aquatic Vegetation	4-7
	4.9	Hudson River Bottom Sediment	4-7
	4.10	Precipitation	4-7
	4.11	Soil	4-8
	4.12	Groundwater	4-8
	4.13	Land Use Census	4-9
	4.14	Conclusion	4-10
5.0	REFE	ERENCES	5-1
APPI	ENDICI	ES:	•
A.	ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS		
В.	RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM RESULTS SUMMARY		
C.	HISTORICAL TRENDS		
D	INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM		

ii

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE</b>	TITLE	<u>Page</u>
A-1	Sampling Locations (Within Two Miles)	A-5
A-2	Sampling Locations (Greater Than Two Miles)	A-6
A-3	Additional Sampling Locations	A-7
C-1	Direct Radiation, 1997 to 2007	C-3
C-2	Radionuclides in Air - Gross Beta, 1997 to 2007	C-5
C-3	Hudson River Water-Tritium, 1997 to 2007	C-7
C-4	Drinking Water - Tritium, 1997 to 2007	C-9
C-5	Radionuclides in Shoreline Soil, 1997 to 2007	C-11
C-6	Broad Leaf Vegetation - Cs-137, 1997 to 2007	C-13
C-7	Fish and Invertebrates - Cs-137, 1997 to 2007	C-15

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE</u>	TITLE	<u>Page</u>
A-1	Indian Point REMP Sampling Station Locations	A-2
A-2	Lower Limit of Detection Requirements for Environmental Sample Analysis	A-8
A-3	Reporting Levels for Radioactivity Concentrations in Environmental Samples	A-9
B-1	Summary of Sampling Deviations, 2007	B-2
B-1a	2007 Air Sampling Deviations	B-3
B-1b	2007 TLD Deviations	B-3
B-1c	2007 Other Media Deviations	B-3
B-2	ODCM Annual Summary, 2007	B-4
B-3	2007 Direct Radiation, Quarterly Data	B-9
B-4	Direct Radiation, 1997 through 2007 Data	B-10
B-5	2007 Direct Radiation, Inner and Outer Rings	B-11
B-6	Environmental Airborne Particulate Samples – 2007 Gross Beta Activity	B-12
B-7	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Quarterly Composites of Air Particulate Samples, 2007	B-14
B-8	Environmental Charcoal Cartridge Samples - 2007 I-131 Activity	B-16
B-9	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Surface Water Samples, 2007	B-18
B-10	Concentrations of Tritium in Surface Water Samples, 2007	B-20
B-11	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Drinking Water Samples, 2007	B-21
B-12	Concentrations of Tritium in Drinking Water Samples, 2007	B-23
B-13	Concentrations of Radionuclides in Shoreline Soil Samples, 2007	B-24
B-14	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Broad Leaf Vegetation Samples, 2007	B-26

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

TABLE	TITLE	<u>Page</u>
B-15	Concentrations of Radionuclides in Fish Samples, 2007	B-35
B-16	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Aquatic Vegetation Samples, 2007	B-40
B-17	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Bottom Sediment Samples, 2007	B-41
B-18	Concentrations of Radionuclides in Rainwater Samples, 2007	B-43
B-19	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Soil Samples, 2007	B-45
B-20	Concentrations of Gamma Emitters in Surface Water Samples, 2007	B-46
B-21	Concentrations of Radionuclides in Monitoring Well Samples, 2007	B-49
B-22	Milch Animal Census, 2007	B-62
B-23	Land Use Census, 2007	B-64
C-1	Direct Radiation Annual Summary, 1997 to 2007	C-2
C-2	Radionuclides in Air, 1997 to 2007	C-4
C-3	Radionuclides in Hudson River Water, 1997 to 2007	C-6
C-4	Radionuclides in Drinking Water, 1997 to 2007	C-8
C-5	Radionuclides in Shoreline Soil, 1997 to 2007	C-10
C-6	Radionuclides in Broad Leaf Vegetation, 1997 to 2007	C-12
C-7	Radionuclides in Fish and Invertebrates, 1997 to 2007	C-14
D-1	QA Program Schedule	D-2
D-2	Ratio of Agreement	D-3
D-3	Interlaboratory Comparison Program	D-5

## SECTION I

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### **1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report (AREOR) contains descriptions and results of the 2007 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) for the Indian Point site. The Indian Point site consists of Units 1, 2 and 3. Units 1, 2 and 3 are owned and operated by Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. Unit 1 was retired as a generating facility in 1974, and as such, its reactor is no longer operated.

The REMP is used to measure the direct radiation and the airborne and waterborne pathway activity in the vicinity of the Indian Point site. Direct radiation pathways include radiation from buildings and plant structures, airborne material that might be released from the plant, cosmic radiation, fallout, and the naturally occurring radioactive materials in soil, air and water. Analysis of thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs), used to measure direct radiation, indicated that there were no increased radiation levels attributable to plant operations.

The airborne pathway includes measurements of air, precipitation, drinking water, and broad leaf vegetation samples. The airborne pathway measurements indicated that there was no adverse radiological impact to the surrounding environment attributed to Indian Point Station operations.

The waterborne pathway consists of Hudson River water, fish and invertebrates, aquatic vegetation, bottom sediment, and shoreline soil. Measurements of the media comprising the waterborne pathway indicated that there was no adverse radiological impact to the surrounding environment attributed to Indian Point Station operations.

This report contains a description of the REMP and the conduct of that program as required by the IPEC Offsite Dose Calculation Manual, herein referred to as ODCM. This 2007 AREOR also contains summaries and discussions of the results of the 2007 program, trend analyses, potential impact on the environment, land use census, and interlaboratory comparisons.

During 2007, a total of 1312 analyses were performed. Table B-1 presents a summary of the collected sample analyses results.

An investigation of groundwater contamination with tritium and other radionuclides has been ongoing since 2005 and continued throughout 2007. This investigation of potential onsite sources of contamination is not the focus of this Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report; however, in 2006, Entergy agreed to several changes in the REMP to assure that all pathways were being evaluated. Specifically, two new groundwater wells (non-drinking water) were

1-1

designated as "boundary wells" and were sampled as groundwater samples for tritium and strontium-90, as well as gamma spectroscopy analysis. These wells (MW-40 and MW-51) were designated as REMP sample stations 104 and 105. In addition, a change was made to the existing fish and invertebrate samples and shoreline soil samples. The locations and frequency remained the same; however, strontium-90 was added to the required analyses. These additions were committed to in 2006 with the sampling and analyses conducted in 2007. These changes are captured in the ODCM. Groundwater sample results for 2007 are summarized in Table B-21.

In summary, the levels of radionuclides in the environment surrounding Indian Point were within the historical ranges, i.e., previous levels resulting from natural and anthropogenic sources for the detected radionuclides. Further, Indian Point operations in 2007 did not result in exposure to the public greater than environmental background levels.

### **SECTION 2**

## INTRODUCTION

### 2.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 2.1 <u>Site Description</u>

The Indian Point site occupies 239 acres on the east bank of the Hudson River on a point of land at Mile Point 42.6. The site is located in the Village of Buchanan, Westchester County, New York. Three nuclear reactors, Indian Point Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3, and associated buildings occupy approximately 35 acres. Unit 1 has been retired as a generating facility. Units 1, 2, and 3 are owned and operated by Entergy Nuclear.

#### 2.2 Program Background

Environmental monitoring and surveillance have been conducted at Indian Point since 1958, which was four years prior to the start-up of Unit 1. The pre-operational program was designed and implemented to determine the background radioactivity and to measure the variations in activity levels from natural and other sources in the vicinity, as well as fallout from nuclear weapons tests. Thus, as used in this report, background levels consist of those resulting from both natural and anthropogenic sources of environmental radioactivity. Accumulation of this background data permits the detection and assessment of environmental activity attributable to plant operations.

#### 2.3 Program Objectives

The current environmental monitoring program is designed to meet two primary objectives:

- 1. To enable the identification and quantification of changes in the radioactivity of the area, and
- 2. To measure radionuclide concentrations in the environment attributable to operations of the Indian Point site.

To identify changes in activity, the environmental sampling schedule requires that analyses be conducted for specific environmental media on a regular basis. The radioactivity profile of the environment is established and monitored through routine evaluation of the analytical results obtained.

The REMP designates sampling locations for the collection of environmental media for analysis. These sample locations are divided into indicator and control locations. Indicator locations are established near the site, where the presence of environmental radioactivity of plant origin is most likely to be detected. Control locations are established farther away (and upwind/upstream, where applicable) from the site, where the level would not generally be affected by plant discharges. The use of indicator and control locations enables the identification of potential sources of detected radioactivity, thus meeting one of the program objectives.

Verification of expected radionuclide concentrations resulting from effluent releases attributable to the site is another program objective. Verifying projected concentrations through the REMP is difficult since the environmental concentrations resulting from plant releases are consistently too small to be detected. Plant related radionuclides were detected in 2007; however, residual radioactivity from atmospheric weapons tests and naturally occurring radioactivity were the predominant sources of radioactivity in the samples collected. Analysis of the 2007 REMP sample results supports the premise that radiological effluents were well below regulatory limits.

## **SECTION 3**

### **PROGRAM DESCRIPTION**

### 3.0 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

To achieve the objectives of the REMP and ensure compliance with the ODCM, sampling and analysis of environmental media are performed as outlined in Table A-1 and described in section 3.3.

#### 3.1 <u>Sample Collection</u>

Entergy Nuclear Northeast Nuclear Environmental Monitoring (NEM) personnel perform collection of environmental samples for the Indian Point site, with the exception of groundwater and fish/invertebrate samples.

The groundwater (monitoring well) samples are collected by a contracted environmental vendor, GZA Geo Environmental, Inc. Assistance in the collection of fish and invertebrate samples was provided by a contracted environmental vendor, Normandeau Associates, Inc.

#### 3.2 <u>Sample Analysis</u>

The analysis of Indian Point environmental samples is performed by the James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) Environmental Laboratory in Fulton, New York. The JAFNPP lab at Fulton currently analyzes nearly all samples, except for groundwater samples and some tritium and strontium analyses on other media. These samples were analyzed at other New York State Department of Health Environmental Laboratory Approval Program (ELAP) certified laboratories.

#### 3.3 Sample Collection and Analysis Methodology

#### 3.3.1 Direct Radiation

Direct gamma radiation is measured using integrating calcium sulfate thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs), which provide cumulative measurements of radiation exposure (i.e., total integrated exposures in milliroentgen, mR) for a given period. The area surrounding the Indian Point site is divided into 16 compass sectors. Each sector has two TLD sample locations. The inner ring is located near the site boundary at approximately 1 mile (1.6 km). The outer ring is located at approximately 5 miles (8 km) from the site (6.7-8.0 km), see Figures A-1 and A-2.

An additional TLD sample site is located at Roseton (20.7 miles north) as a control, and there are eight other TLD sample locations of special interest.

In total, there are 41 TLD sample sites, designated DR-1 through DR-41, with two TLDs at each site. TLDs are collected and processed on a quarterly basis. The results are reported as mR per standard quarter (91 days). The mR reported is the average of the two TLDs from each sample site.

#### 3.3.2 <u>Airborne Particulates and Radioiodine</u>

Air samples were taken at nine locations varying in distance from 0.28 to 20.7 miles (0.4 to 33 km) from the plant. These locations represent one control at sampling station 23 (A5) and eight indicator locations. These indicator locations are at sampling stations 4 (A1), 5 (A4), 22, 27, 29, 44, 94 (A2), and 95 (A3). The locations are shown on Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3. The air samples are collected continuously by means of fixed air particulate filters followed by in-line charcoal cartridges. Both are changed on a weekly basis. The filter and cartridge samples are analyzed for gross beta and radioiodine, respectively. In addition, gamma spectroscopy analysis (GSA) is performed on quarterly composites of the air particulate filters.

#### 3.3.3 <u>Hudson River Water</u>

Hudson River water sampling is performed continuously at the intake structure (sampling station 9, Wa1) and at a point exterior to the discharge canal where Hudson River water and water from the discharge canal mix (sampling station 10, Wa2); see Figure A-1. An automatic composite sampler is used to take representative samples. On a weekly basis, accumulated samples are taken from both sample points. These weekly river water samples are composited for monthly gamma spectroscopy analysis, and quarterly for tritium analysis.

#### 3.3.4 Drinking Water

Samples of drinking water are collected monthly from the Camp Field Reservoir (3.4 miles NE, sample station 7, sample designation Wb1) and New Croton Reservoir (6.3 Mi SE, sample station 8); see Figure A-3. Each monthly sample is approximately 4 liters and is analyzed for gamma-emitting radionuclides, gross beta, and I-131. They are also composited quarterly and analyzed for tritium.

#### 3.3.5 Hudson River Shoreline Soil

Shoreline soil samples are collected at three indicator and two control locations along the Hudson River. The indicator locations are at sampling stations 53 (Wc1), 28, and 17. The control locations are at sampling stations 50 (Wc2) and 84. Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3 show these locations. The samples are gathered at a level above low tide and below high tide and are approximately 2-kg grab samples. These samples are collected at greater than 90 days apart and are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and for strontium-90.

#### 3.3.6 Broad Leaf Vegetation

Broad leaf vegetation samples are collected from three locations during the growing season. The indicator locations are sampling stations 94 (lc2) and 95 (lc1), and the control location is at Roseton, sampling station 23 (lc3).

See Figures A-1 and A-2. The samples are collected monthly, when available, and analyzed by gamma spectroscopy. These samples consist of at least 1 kg of leafy vegetation and are used in the assessment of the food product and milk ingestion pathways.

#### 3.3.7 Fish and Invertebrates

Fish and invertebrate samples are obtained from the Hudson River at locations upstream and downstream of the plant discharge. The indicator location (downstream sample point) is designated as sampling station 25 (lb1) and the control location (upstream) is at Roseton, sampling station 23 (lb2). See Figures A-1 and A-2. These samples are collected in season or semiannually if they are not seasonal. The fish and invertebrates sampled are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy and for strontium-90. In 2007, additional sampling of fish was performed further upstream in the Hudson River, to confirm the acceptability of Roseton as the control location. Samples from all three locations were split with the US NRC and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. The results of this effort are described in Section 4.0 of this report

#### 3.3.8 Hudson River Aquatic Vegetation

During the spring and summer, aquatic vegetation samples are collected from the Hudson River at two indicator locations (sampling stations 17 and 28) and one control location (84); see Figure A-3. Samples of aquatic vegetation are obtained depending on sample availability. These samples are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

#### 3.3.9 Hudson River Bottom Sediment

Bottom sediment and benthos are sampled at four locations: three indicator locations (sampling stations 10, 17, and 28) and one control location (84), along the Hudson River, once each spring and summer; see Figure A-3. These samples are obtained using a Peterson grab sampler or similar instrument. The bottom sediment samples are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

#### 3.3.10 Precipitation

Precipitation samples are continuously collected at one indicator location (sampling station 44) and one control location (23); see Figure A-3. They are collected in sample bottles designed to hinder evaporation. They are composited quarterly and analyzed for tritium. They are also analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

#### 3.3.11 <u>Soil</u>

Soil samples are collected from two indicator locations (sampling stations 94 and 95), and one control location (23) on an annual basis; see Figure A-3. They are approximately 2 kg in size and consist of about twenty 2-inch deep cores. The soil samples are analyzed by gamma spectroscopy.

#### 3.3.12 Groundwater Samples

Based on recent site hydrology evaluations and the addition of a number of groundwater sampling wells, two new monitoring wells were installed in 2006 and designated as REMP sample stations 104 (MW-40) and 105 (MW-51). These wells have sample points at six different elevations which were specifically designed to be representative of groundwater moving towards the site boundary. The locations of the groundwater samples are shown in Figure A-3.

Groundwater samples from these wells were obtained quarterly and analyzed for tritium, strontium-90 and by gamma spectroscopy.

#### 3.3.13 Land Use Census

Each year a land use census consisting of milch animal and residence surveys is conducted during the growing season to determine the current utilization of land within 5 miles (8 km) of the site. These surveys are used to determine whether there are changes in existing conditions that warrant changing the sampling program. The milch animal census is used to identify animals producing milk for human consumption within 5 miles (8 km) of Indian Point. The census consists of visual field surveys of the areas where a high probability of milch animals exists and confirmation through personnel such as feed suppliers who deal with farm animals and dairy associations (See Tables B-21 and B-22). Although there are presently no animals producing milk for human consumption within 5 miles (8 km) of the site, the census is performed to determine if a milk-sampling program needs to be conducted.

A residence census is also performed to identify the nearest residence(s) to the site in each of the 16 sectors surrounding Indian Point. See Table B-22.

A garden census was not performed, as the ODCM allows sampling of vegetation in two sectors near the site boundary in lieu of a garden census.

#### 3.4 <u>Statistical Methodology</u>

There are a number of statistical calculation methodologies used in evaluating the data from the Indian Point REMP. These methods include determination of Lower Limits of Detection (LLD) and Critical Levels ( $L_c$ ), and estimation of the mean and associated propagated error.

#### 3.4.1 Lower Limit of Detection (LLD) and Critical Level (L<sub>c</sub>)

The LLD is a predetermined concentration or activity level used to establish a detection limit for the analytical procedures.

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) specifies the maximum acceptable LLDs for each radionuclide in specific media. The LLDs are determined by taking into account overall measurement methods. The equation used to calculate the LLD is:

#### $LLD = 4.66 K S_{b}$

where:

 $S_b$  = standard deviation of the background count rate,

and

K consists of variables, which account for such parameters as:

- Instrument characteristics (e.g., efficiency)

- Sample size

- Counting time

- Media density (self-absorption)

- Radioactive decay

- Chemical yield

In the ODCM program, LLDs are used to ensure that minimum acceptable detection capabilities for the counting system are met with specified statistical confidence levels (95% detection probability with 5% probability of a false negative). The LLD is defined as an "a priori" (before the fact) limit representing the capability of a measurement process and not as an "a posteriori" (after the fact) limit for a particular measurement. Table A-2 presents the ODCM required LLDs for specific media and radionuclides as specified by the NRC. The LLDs actually achieved are usually much lower since the ODCM required LLDs represent the maximum allowed.

The critical level  $(L_c)$  is defined as that net sample counting rate which has a 5% probability of being exceeded when the actual sample activity is zero (e.g., when counting background only). It is determined using the following equation.

$$L_c = k_a S_b (1 + T_b/T_s)^{0.5}$$
 in cpm

where:

 $k_a$  = 1.645 (corresponds to a 95% confidence level)

 $S_b$  = standard deviation of the background count rate =  $(R_b/T_b)^{0.5}$ 

 $R_{\rm b}$  = background count rate (cpm)

 $T_b$  = background count time (min)

 $T_s$  = sample count time (min)

For the REMP, net sample results which are less than the  $L_c$  value are considered not detected, and the  $L_c$  value is reported as the "less than" value, unless otherwise noted. Values above the  $L_c$  are considered positively detected radioactivity in the environmental media of interest (with a 5% chance of false positive).

#### 3.4.2 Determination of Mean and Propagated Error

In accordance with program policy, recounts of positive samples are performed. When the initial count reveals the presence of radioactivity,

which may be attributed to plant operations, at a value greater than the  $L_c$ , two recounts are performed to verify the positive results. The recounts are not performed on; air samples with positive results from gross beta analysis, since the results are always positive due to natural background radioactive material in the air, or tritium in water samples, since an outside contractor provides these activities. When a radionuclide is positively identified in two or more counts, the analytical result for the radionuclide is reported as the mean of the positive detections and the associated propagated error for that mean. In cases where more than one sample result is available, the mean of the sample results and the estimated error for the mean are reported in the Annual Report.

The mean (X) and propagated error (PE) are calculated using the following equations:



#### where:

 $X_i$  = value of each individual observation  $N_i$  = number of observations

$$PE = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (ERR_i)^2}}{N}$$

where:

 $ERR_i$  = 1 sigma error of the individual analysis N = number of observations

#### 3.4.3 Table Statistics

The averages shown in the summary table (Table B-2) are the averages of the positive values in accordance with the NRC's Branch Technical Position (BTP) to Regulatory Guide 4.8 (Reference 14). Samples with "<" values are not included in the averages.

It should be noted that this statistic for the mean using only positive values tends to strongly bias the average high, particularly when only a few of the data are measurably positive. The REMP data show few positive values; thus the corresponding means are biased high. Exceptions to this include direct radiation measured by TLDs and gross

beta radioactivity in air, which show positive monitoring results throughout the year.

In the data tables B-6 through B-21, values shown are based on the L<sub>c</sub> value, unless otherwise noted. If a radionuclide was detected at or above the L<sub>c</sub> value in two or more counts, the mean and error are calculated as per Section 3.4.2, and reported in the data table. Values listed as "<" in the data tables are the L<sub>c</sub> values for that sample, unless otherwise noted. If multiple counts were performed on a sample and a radionuclide's values are "< L<sub>c</sub>" each time, the largest critical level is reported in the data table.

The historical data tables contain the annual averages of the positive values for each year. The historical averages are calculated using only the positive values presented for 1997 through 2006. The 2007 average values are included in these historic tables for purposes of comparison.

## **SECTION 4**

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The 2007 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program (REMP) was conducted in accordance with Indian Point's Offsite Dose Calculation Manual ODCM. The ODCM contains requirements for the number and distribution of sampling locations, the types of samples to be collected, and the types of analyses to be performed for measurement of radioactivity.

The REMP at Indian Point includes measurements of radioactivity levels in the following environmental pathways.

Hudson River Water Shoreline Soil Fish and Invertebrates Aquatic Vegetation Bottom Sediment Airborne Particulates and Radioiodine Precipitation Drinking Water Terrestrial Broad Leaf Vegetation Direct Gamma Radiation Soil Groundwater

An annual land use and milch animal census is also part of the REMP.

To evaluate the contribution of plant operations to environmental radioactivity levels, other man-made and natural sources of environmental radioactivity, as well as the aggregate of past monitoring data, must be considered. It is not merely the detection of a radionuclide, but the evaluation of the location, magnitude, source, and history of its detection that determines its significance. Therefore, we have reported the data collected in 2007 and assessed the significance of the findings.

A summary of the results of the 2007 REMP is presented in Table B-2. This Table lists the mean and range of all positive results obtained for each of the media sampled at ODCM indicator and control locations. Discussions of these results and their evaluations are provided below.

The radionuclides detected in the environment can be grouped into three categories: (1) naturally occurring radionuclides; (2) radionuclides resulting from weapons testing and other non-plant related, anthropogenic sources; and (3) radionuclides that could be related to plant operations.

The environment contains a broad inventory of naturally occurring radionuclides which can be classified as, cosmic ray induced (e.g., Be-7, H-3) or geologically derived (e.g., Ra-226 and progeny, Th-228 and progeny, K-40). These radionuclides constitute the majority of the background radiation source and thus account for a majority of the annual background dose detected. Since the detected concentrations of these radionuclides were consistent at indicator and control locations, and unrelated to plant operations, their presence is noted only in the data tables and will not be discussed further.

The second group of radionuclides detected in 2007 consists of those resulting from past weapons testing in the earth's atmosphere. Such testing in the 1950's and 1960's resulted in a significant atmospheric radionuclide inventory, which, in turn, contributed to the concentrations in the lower atmosphere and ecological systems. Although reduced in frequency, atmospheric weapons testing continued into the 1980's. The resultant radionuclide inventory, although diminishing with time (e.g., through radioactive decay and natural dispersion processes), remains detectable.

In 2007, the detected radionuclide that may be attributable to past atmospheric weapons testing consisted of Cs-137 in some media. The levels detected were consistent with the historical levels of radionuclides resulting from weapons tests as measured in previous years.

The final group of radionuclides detected through the 2007 REMP comprises those that may be attributable to current plant operations. During 2007, Cs-137, Sr-90 and tritium (H-3) were the only potentially plant-related radionuclides detected in some environmental samples.

H-3 may be present in the local environment due to either natural occurrence, other man-made sources, or as a result of plant operations. Small amounts of H-3 were detected in groundwater boundary wells in 5 of 45 samples at levels which were much lower than the required Lower Limit of Detection (3000 pCi/Liter); however, they were detectable.

Cs-137 and Cs-134 are both produced in and released from fission reactors and were introduced into the environment from the accident at Chernobyl in 1986. Because Cs-134 has a short half-life relative to Cs-137, Cs-134 from Chernobyl is not likely to be present in 2007. Cs-137 is ubiquitous in the environment from atmospheric testing debris and a lesser amount from the Chernobyl accident. Strontium-90 (Sr-90) is also present in the environment from atmospheric testing debris. In 2007, there were several detections of Cs-137 in shoreline soil (2 indicator samples and one control sample), aquatic vegetation (1 indicator station sample), bottom sediment (6 indicator and one control sample), soil (1 indicator location) and 5 groundwater samples. The fact that there was no Cs-134 present (recent plant releases would contain Cs-134) and that there were detections also at control locations indicates that the activity was likely due to atmospheric weapons testing, with some contribution from plant releases from several years past.

I-131 is also produced in fission reactors, but can result from non-plant related anthropogenic sources, e.g., medical administrations, such as in previous annual reports. I-131 was not detected in 2007.

Co-58 and Co-60 are activation/corrosion products also related to plant operations. They are produced by neutron activation in the reactor core. As Co-58 has a much shorter half-life, its absence "dates" the presence of Co-60 as residual from releases of both radionuclides in the past. If Co-58 and Co-60 are concurrently detected in environmental samples, then the source of these radionuclides is considered to be from recent releases. When significant concentrations of Co-60 are detected but no Co-58, there is an increased likelihood that the Co-60 is due to residual Co-60 from past operations. There was no Co-58 or Co-60 detected in the 2007 REMP, though they (Co-58 and Co-60) can be observed in historical tables.

In the following sections, a summary of the results of the 2007 REMP is presented by sample medium and the significance of any positive findings discussed. It should be noted that naturally occurring radionuclides are omitted from the summary table (Table B-2) and further discussion.

#### 4.1 Direct Radiation

The environmental TLDs used to measure the direct radiation were TLDs supplied and processed by Framatome via the JAF Laboratory. In 2007, the TLD program produced a consistent picture of ambient background radiation levels in the vicinity of the Indian Point Station. A summary of the annual TLD data is provided in Table B-2 and all the TLD data are presented in Tables B-3, B-4 and B-5. TLD sample site DR-40 is the control site for the direct radiation (DR) series of measurements.

Table B-3 provides the quarterly and annual average reported doses in mR per standard quarter for each of the direct radiation sample points, DR-1 through DR-41. The table also provides the sector for each of the DR sample points. Table B-4 provides the mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum values in mR per standard quarter for the years 1997 through 2006. The 2007 means are also presented in Table B-4. Table B-5 presents the 2007 TLD data for the inner ring and outer ring of TLDs.

The 2007 mean value for the direct radiation sample points was 14.3 mR per standard quarter. The mean value for the period 2000 through 2006 was 14.3 mR per standard quarter. At those locations where the

2007 mean value was higher, they are within historical bounds for the respective locations.

The DR sample locations are arranged so that there are two concentric rings of TLDs around the Indian Point site. The inner ring (DR-1 to DR-16) is close to the site boundary. The outer ring (DR-17 to DR-32) has a radius of approximately 5 miles from the three Indian Point units. The results for these two rings of TLDs are provided in Table B-5. The annual average for the inner ring was 14.4 mR per standard quarter and also average for the outer ring was 14.6 mR per standard quarter. The control location average for 2007 was 18.8 mR per standard quarter.

Table C-1 and Figure C-1 present the 10-year historical averages for the inner and outer rings of TLDS. The 2007 averages are consistent with the historical data. The 2007 and previous years' data show that there is no measurable direct radiation in the environment due to the operation of the Indian Point site.

#### 4.2 <u>Airborne Particulates and Radioiodine</u>

An annual summary of the results of the 2007 air particulate filter and charcoal cartridge analyses is presented in Table B-2. As shown, there were no radionuclides detected in the air attributable to plant operations.

The results of the analyses of weekly air particulate filter samples for gross beta activity are presented in Table B-6, and the results of the gamma spectroscopy analyses of the quarterly composites of these samples are in Table B-7.

Gross beta activity was found in air particulate samples throughout the year at all indicator and control locations. The average gross beta activity for the eight indicator air sample locations was 0.013 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> and the average for the control location was 0.013 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>. The activities detected were consistent for all locations, with no significant differences in gross beta activity in any sample due to location. Gamma spectroscopy analyses of the quarterly composite air samples showed that no reactor-related radionuclides were detected and that only naturally-occurring radionuclides were present at detectable levels.

The mean annual gross beta concentrations and Cs-137 concentrations in air for the past 10 years are presented in Table C-2. From this table and Figure C-2, it can be seen that the average 2007 gross beta concentration was consistent with historical levels. Cs-137 has not been detected since 1987. This is consistent with the trend of decreasing ambient Cs-137 concentrations in recent years.

The charcoal cartridge analytical results are presented in Table B-8. "Less than" values are presented as sample critical level ( $L_c$ ). There was no I-131 detected (LLD = 0.07 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>) in the charcoal cartridge samples, which is consistent with historical trends.

From the data, it can be seen that no airborne radioactivity attributable to the operation of Indian Point was detected in 2007.

#### 4.3 <u>Hudson River Water</u>

A summary of the radionuclides detected in the Hudson River water is contained in Table B-2. Data resulting from analysis of monthly Hudson River water samples for gamma emitters, and H-3 analysis of quarterly composites, are presented in Tables B-9 and B-10, respectively. No radionuclides other than those that are naturally occurring were detected in the Hudson River Water samples. Additionally, Table C-3 indicates the absence of Cs-137 which is consistent with historical data.

#### 4.4 Drinking Water

The annual program summary table (Table B-2) contains a summary of the 2007 drinking water sample analysis results. Results of the gamma spectroscopy analyses of the monthly drinking water samples are in Table B-11 and results of tritium analysis of quarterly composites are in Table B-12. Other than naturally occurring radionuclides, no radionuclides were detected in drinking water samples.

A summary and illustration of historic trends of drinking water are provided in Table C-4 and Figure C-4, respectively. An examination of the data indicates that operation of the Indian Point units had no detectable radiological impact on drinking water.

#### 4.5 Hudson River Shoreline Soil

A summary of the radionuclide concentrations detected in the shoreline soil samples is contained in Table B-2. Table B-13 contains the results of the gamma spectroscopic and strontium-90 analyses of the shoreline soil samples.

In addition to the naturally occurring radionuclides, Cs-137 was identified in the Hudson River shoreline soil samples in 2007. Cs-137 was detected at the Verplank location in both samples from that location, for a total of two positive values out of eight samples from

indicator locations. Cs-137 was detected at the control location (Manitou Inlet) in one out of two samples. The average concentration for the indicator locations that had positive indication of Cs-137 was 106 pCi/kg-dry with a maximum concentration of 149 pCi/kg-dry. The control location with one positive sample indicated 357 pCi/kg-dry.

An historical look at Cs-137 detected in shoreline soil at indicator and control locations can be viewed in Table C-5 and Figure C-5. Cs-137 has been and continues to be present in this media, both at indicator and control locations, at a consistent level over the past ten years. Cs-134 and Cs-137 are both discharged from the plant in similar quantities. The lack of Cs-134 activity is an indication that the primary source of the Cs-137 in the shoreline soil is legacy contamination from weapons fallout.

#### 4.6 Broad Leaf Vegetation

Table B-2 contains a summary of the broad leaf vegetation sample analysis results. Data from analysis of the 2007 samples are presented in Table B-14. Analyses of broad leaf vegetation samples revealed only naturally occurring radionuclides.

Table C-6 contains an historical summary and Figure C-6 is an illustration of the broad leaf vegetation analysis results. The detection of low levels of Cs-137 has occurred sporadically at both indicator and control locations at relatively low concentrations for the past ten years; however, Cs-137 was not detected in 2007.

#### 4.7 Fish and Invertebrates

A summary of the fish and invertebrate sample analysis results is presented in Table B-2. Table B-15 contains the results of the analysis of fish and invertebrate samples for 2007. There were no plant related radionuclides detected as a result of the GSA.

Strontium-90 was added to the analyte list in 2007. An intensive onetime sampling and analysis program was undertaken in the Spring to confirm the use of Roseton as a control location. Seven fish samples were taken from the indicator location and 5 from the control location. An additional 5 samples were taken further upstream near Catskill, NY. There were no plant related radionuclides or Strontium-90 detected in the samples above background. The US NRC and NYSDEC obtained splits of samples from all three locations and also analyzed them for strontium-90. Their results were consistent with those obtained by Entergy. This intensive one time sampling and analysis program shows that there is no measurable impact on fish and invertebrates from Indian Point releases and that Roseton is a satisfactory control location. A summary of historical fish and invertebrate analytical data is presented in Table C-7 and illustrated in Figure C-7. Data are consistent with historical trends.

#### 4.8 Aquatic Vegetation

A summary of the aquatic sample analysis results is presented in Table B-2. Table B-16 contains the results of the analysis of aquatic vegetation samples for 2007. Cs-137 was detected at one of one indicator station samples, and neither of the two control station samples. The concentration of Cs-137 in the indicator sample was 31 pCi/kg. Cs-134 was not detected in any aquatic vegetation samples. While it is possible that the Cs-137 is from plant origin, the lack of Cs-134 suggests that the primary source of the Cs-137 is residual weapons test fallout.

This detection of Cs-137 in aquatic vegetation at the indicator location at such a low concentration is consistent with historical levels.

#### 4.9 Hudson River Bottom Sediment

A summary of the Hudson River bottom sediment analysis results is presented in Table B-2. Table B-17 contains the results of the analysis of bottom sediment samples for 2007. Cs-137 was detected at 6 of 6 indicator station samples, and at one of 2 control station samples. Cs-134 was not detected in any bottom sediment samples. The lack of Cs-134 suggests that the primary source of the Cs-137 in bottom sediment is from historical plant releases at least several years old and from residual weapons test fallout.

This detection of Cs-137 in bottom sediment has been generally decreasing over the last 10 years, and Cs-134 has not been detected in bottom sediment since 2002. The data for 2007 are consistent with but slightly lower than historical levels.

#### 4.10 Precipitation

A summary of the precipitation sample analysis results is presented in Table B-2. Table B-18 contains the results of the precipitation samples for 2007. Other than naturally occurring radionuclides, no radionuclides were detected in precipitation samples.

A review of historical data over the last 10 years indicates tritium had been detected in both indicator and control precipitation samples in 1997; however, there have been no instances of positive values since that time.

#### 4.11 <u>Soil</u>

A summary of the soil sample analysis results is presented in Table B-2. Table B-19 contains the results of the soil samples for 2007. Other than naturally occurring radionuclides, only Cs-137 was detected in any of the soil samples. It was detected in one of two indicator samples at 136.5 pCi/kg, and not in the control sample. No Cs-134 was detected in any soil samples. The lack of Cs-134 suggests that the primary source of the Cs-137 in bottom sediment is from historical plant releases at least several years old and from residual weapons test fallout. This is also consistent with historical results over the last 10 years.

#### 4.12 <u>Groundwater</u>

A summary of the groundwater samples for 2007 is contained in Table B-2. Data resulting from analysis of the groundwater samples for gamma emitters, tritium analysis, and Sr-90 are presented in Table B-21.

Tritium was detected at very low concentrations in 5 of the 45 groundwater samples analyzed. The amount detected ranged from 176 - 223 pCi/L and averaged 194 pCi/L which was well below the required LLD of 3000 pCi/L.

Other than tritium, Cs-137 and Ru-106 were the only other potentially plant-related radionuclides detected in the groundwater samples. Cesium-137 was present in 5 of the 45 samples taken at an average concentration of 11.5 pCi/L.

The 5 positive Cs-137 sample results were all from MW-51 all on the same sample date. This data was investigated by Entergy and the offsite laboratory. No apparent reason for these positive results could be determined. Follow-up samples collected a month later were all non-detect. Therefore these data are considered anomalous. There was only one positive Ru-106 sample result out of the 45 samples taken. Since no other positive radionuclides were present in the sample, this result is also considered anomalous.

Sporadic detection of H-3, Sr-90 and Cs-137 is expected as these radionuclides are normally present in the environment as "background"

radioactivity" as a consequence of both natural radioactivity and manmade activities un-related to the plant operations. The concentrations detected by this monitoring program are in the expected range of normal background and based on site hydrogeology are not likely a result of plant activities. Additionally, these detected concentrations well below any applicable or relevant regulatory standards.

#### 4.13 Land Use Census

Environmental Monitoring Land Use Census Methodology:

A comprehensive survey of the of the 5 mile (8 kilometer) area surrounding the Indian Point Site was conducted during the 2007 Spring, Summer and Fall months in accordance with the ODCM.

Visual inspections were made of the 5-mile area around the Indian Point Site during routine sample collections and emergency plan equipment inspections in the area throughout the year.

Information was obtained from the New York Agricultural Statistic Service on milching animals within the 5-mile area surrounding Indian Point Energy Center.

An extensive land survey was conducted of the 5-mile area in an attempt to identify new residential areas, commercial developments and to identify milch animals in pasture. Previous locations were visited and verified by dispatching Nuclear Environmental Technicians to the various locations.

Note: These actions were taken while performing quarterly environmental badge change out and field inspections through out the 4 surrounding counties.

- Orange County was surveyed during through the summer and fall.
- Rockland County was surveyed during summer and fall.
- Putnam County was surveyed during the summer and fall.
- Westchester County was surveyed during the spring, summer and fall.

Note: An aerial survey was not conducted of the 5-mile area this year.

Results:

A census was performed in the vicinity of Indian Point in 2007. This census consisted of a milch animal and a residence census. Results of this census are presented in Tables B-22 and B-23.

The results of the 2007 census were generally same as the 2006 census results. There were no animals producing milk for human consumption found within 5 miles (8 km) of the plant or listed in the New York Agricultural Statistic Service. The second part of this census revealed that the two nearest residences in different sectors are located 0.44 miles (0.71 km) ESE and 0.73 miles (1.13 km) S of the plant. The 2007 land use census indicated there were no new residences that were closer in proximity to IPEC.

The Indian Point REMP does not include a garden census. ODCM allows the sampling of broad leaf vegetation in two sectors at the site boundary in lieu of performing a garden census. Analysis results are discussed in Section 4.6 and presented in Table B-14, Table C-6 and Figure C-6.

#### 4.14 <u>Conclusion</u>

The Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program is conducted each year to determine the radiological impact of Indian Point operations on the environment. The preceding discussions of the results of the 2007 REMP reveal that operations at the station did not result in an adverse impact on the environment.

The 2007 REMP results demonstrate the relative contributions of different radionuclide sources, both natural and anthropogenic, to the environmental concentrations. The results indicate that the fallout from previous atmospheric weapons testing continues to cause sporadic detection of Cs-137 and Sr-90 in environmental samples. There are infrequent detections of plant related radionuclides in the environs; however, the radiological effects are very low and are significantly less than those from natural background and other anthropogenic sources.

4-10

# SECTION 5

## REFERENCES

### 5.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

- 1. Entergy Nuclear Northeast, <u>Nuclear Environmental Monitoring</u> <u>Procedures, Radiological Support Procedures</u>, Indian Point Station.
- 2. U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Regulatory Guide 4.8, Environmental Technical Specifications for Nuclear Power Plants, December 1975.
- 3. Eisenbud, M., Environmental Radioactivity, Academic Press, New York, 1987.
- 4. Glasstone, S., and W. H. Jordan, Nuclear Power and Its Environmental Effects, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, IL, 1980.
- 5. Calculation of Annual Doses to Man from Routine Releases of Reactor Effluents for the Purpose of Evaluating Compliance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix I, U.S. NRC Regulatory Guide 1.109, Revision 1, 1977.
- 6. Cohen N., and Eisenbud M., Radiological Studies of the Hudson River, Progress Report Institute of Environmental Medicine, New York University Medical Center, December 1983.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Regulatory Guide 4.15, Revision 1, Quality Assurance for Radiological Monitoring Programs (Normal Operations) - Effluent Streams and the Environment February 1979.
- 8. J. W. Poston, Cesium-137 and Other Man-Made Radionuclides in the Hudson River: A Review of the Available Literature, Applied Physical Technology, Inc., report to NYPA, September 1977.
- 9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Report EPC-520/1 80-012, Upgrading Environmental Radiation Data, August 1980.
- 10. Andrews, Howard L. and Lapp, Ralph E. Nuclear Radiation Physics, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1972.
- 11. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Branch Technical Position to Regulatory Guide 4.8, An Acceptable Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program, November 1979.
- 12. Eichholz, Geoffrey G., Environmental Aspects of Nuclear Power, Lewis Publishers, Inc., Chelsea, Michigan, 1985.
- 13. Kelly, J. J. (Ed.), Effluent and Environmental Radiation Surveillance, ASTM STP #698, Philadelphia, PA, 1978.
- 14. Entergy Nuclear Northeast, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Radiological and Environmental Services Department Environmental Surveillance Procedures.
- 15. Knoll, Glenn F., Radiation Detection and Measurement, first edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.

- 16. Dixon, Wilfred J., Introduction to Statistical Analysis, third edition, McGraw-Hill Inc., 1969.
- 17. National Council on Radiation Protection. NCRP Report No.94, Exposure of the Population in the United States and Canada from Natural Background Radiation December 1987.
- 18. National Council on Radiation Protection. NCRP Report No. 62, Tritium in the Environment, March 1979.
- 19. IPEC Offsite Dose Calculation Manuals, Units 1, 2 and 3
- 20. Kuhn, W.,et al., The Influence of Soil Parameters on Cs-137 Uptake by Plants from Long-Term Fallout on Forest Clearings and Grasslands, Health Physics Journal, 46(5), p. 1083, May 1984.
- 21. Garner, J., et al., High Radiocesium Levels in Granite Outcrop Vegetation and Reductions Through Time, Health Physics Journal, 60(4), p. 533, April 1991.
- 22. McGee, E., et al., The Variability in Fallout Content of Soils and Plants and the Design of Optimum Field Sampling Strategies, Health Physics Journal, 68(3), March 1995.
- 23. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Safety Evaluation for Amendment #45 to Unit 1 Provisional Operating License, January 1996.
- 24. U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 4.13, Performance, Testing, and Procedural Specifications for Thermoluminescence Dosimetry: Environmental Applications, November 1979.
- 25. Office of Environmental Management, Semi-Annual Report of the Department of Energy, Quality Assessment Program, EML 617, June 2003.
- 26. Office of Environmental Management, Semi-Annual Report of theDepartment of Energy, Quality Assessment Program, EML 618, December 2003.
- 27. McFarland, R.C., et al., The Counting Room: Special Edition, Radioactivity and Radiochemistry, Caretaker Publications, Atlanta, Georgia, 1994.
- 28. Bevington, P.R., Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences, McGraw Hill, 1969.
- 29. ENN-LI-102, Corrective Action Process
- 30. Technical Information Document 2003-011 "Justification for the Removal of the Radiological Environmental Monitoring Blind Spike Program at IPEC"

# APPENDIX A

# ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS

#### APPENDIX A

Environmental media are sampled at the locations specified in Table A-1 and shown in Figures A-1, A-2, and A-3. The samples are analyzed according to criteria established in the ODCM. These requirements include: methods of sample collection; types of sample analysis; minimum sample size required; lower limit of detection, which must be attained for each medium, sample, or analysis type, and environmental concentrations requiring special reports.

Table A-1 provides the sampling station number, location, sector, distance from Indian Point, sample designation code, and sample type. This table gives the complete listing of sample locations used in the 2007 REMP.

Three maps are provided to show the locations of REMP sampling. Figure A-1 shows the sampling locations within two miles of Indian Point. Figures A-2 and A-3 show the sampling locations within ten miles of Indian Point.

The ODCM required lower limits of detection (LLD) for Indian Point sample analyses are presented in Table A-2. These required lower limits of detection are not the same as the lower limits of detection or critical levels actually achieved by the laboratory. The laboratory's lower limits of detection and critical levels must be equal to or lower than the required levels presented in Table A-2.

Table A-3 provides the reporting level for radioactivity in various media. Sample results that exceed these levels and are due to plant operations require that a special report be submitted to the NRC.

In addition to the sampling outlined in Table A-1, there is an environmental surveillance requirement that an annual land use and milch animal census be performed. See Tables B-22 and B-23 for the milch animal and land use census.

# TABLE A-1 INDIAN POINT REMP SAMPLING STATION LOCATIONS

SAMPLING STATION	SAMPLE DESIGNATION	LOCATION	DISTANCE	SAMPLE TYPES
3	DR8	Service Center Building	Onsite - 0.35 Mi (SSE) at 158°	Direct Gamma
4	A1 A1	Algonquin Gas Line	Onsite - 0.28 Mi (SW) at 234°	Air Particulate Radioiodine
5	A4 A4 DR10	NYU Tower	Onsite - 0.88 Mi (SSW) at 208°	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
7	Wb1	Camp Field Reservoir	3.4 Mi (NE) at 51°	Drinking Water
8	**	Croton Reservoir	6.3 Mi (SE) at 124°	Drinking Water
9	Wa1	Plant Inlet (Hudson River Intake)*	Onsite - 0.16 Mi (W) at 273°	HR Water
10	Wa2 **	Discharge Canal (Mixing Zone)	Onsite - 0.3 Mi (WSW) at 249°	HR Water HR Bottom Sediment
14	DR7	Water Meter House Onsite - 0.3 Mi (SE) at 133°		Direct Gamma
17	** ** **	Off Verplanck	1.5 Mi (SSW) at 202.5°	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Shoreline Soil HR Bottom Sediment
20	DR38	Cortlandt Yacht Club (AKA Montrose Marina)	1.5 Mi (S) at 180°	Direct Gamma
22	**	Lovett Power Plant	1.6 Mi (WSW) at 244°	Air Particulate Radioiodine
23	** A5 A5 DR40 Ic3 ** Ib2	Roseton*	20.7 Mi (N) at 357°	Precipitation Air Particulate, Radioiodine Direct Gamma Broad Leaf Vegetation Soil Fish & Invertebrates
25	lb1	Downstream	Downstream	Fish & Invertebrates
27	** ** DR41	Croton Point	6.36 Mi (SSE) at 156°	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma
28	** DR4 ** **	Lent's Cove	0.45 Mi (ENE) at 069°	HR Shoreline Soil Direct Gamma HR Bottom Sediment HR Aquatic Vegetation
29	** ** DR39	Grassy Point	3.37 Mi (SSW) at 196°	Air Particulate Radioiodine Direct Gamma

\* = Control location

# TABLE A-1 INDIAN POINT REMP SAMPLING STATION LOCATIONS

SAMPLING STATION	SAMPLE DESIGNATION	LOCATION	DISTANCE	SAMPLE TYPES
33	DR33	Hamilton Street (Substation)	2.88 Mi (NE) at 053°	Direct Gamma
34	DR9	South East Corner of Site	Onsite  - 0.52 Mi (S) at 179°	Direct Gamma
35	DR5	Broadway & Bleakley Àvenue	Onsite - 0.37 Mi (E) at 092°	Direct Gamma
38	DR34	Furnace Dock (Substation)	3.43 Mi (SE) at 141°	Direct Gamma
44	** ** **	Peekskill Gas Holder Bldg	1.84 Mi (NE) at 052°	Precipitation Air Particulate Radioiodine
50	Wc2	Manitou Inlet*	4.48 Mi (NNW) at 347°	HR Shoreline Soil
53	Wc1 DR11	White Beach	0.92 Mi (SW) at 226°	HR Shoreline Soil Direct Gamma
56	DR37	Verplanck - Broadway & 6th Street	1.25 Mi (SSW) at 202°	Direct Gamma
57	DR1	Roa Hook	2 Mi (N) at 005°	Direct Gamma
58	DR17	Route 9D - Garrison	5.41 Mi (N) at 358°	Direct Gamma
59	DR2	Old Pemart Avenue	1.8 Mi (NNE) at 032°	Direct Gamma
60	DR18	Gallows Hill Road & Sprout Brook Road	5.02 Mi (NNE) at 029°	Direct Gamma
61	DR36	Lower South Street & Franklin Street	1.3 Mi (NE) at 052°	Direct Gamma
62	DR19	Westbrook Drive (near the Community Center)	5.03 Mi (NE) at 062°	Direct Gamma
64	DR20	Lincoln Road - Cortlandt (School Parking Lot)	4.6 Mi (ENE) at 067°	Direct Gamma
66	DR21	Croton Avenue - Cortlandt	4.87 Mi (E) at 083°	Direct Gamma
67	DR22	Colabaugh Pond Road - Cortlandt	4.5 Mi (ESE) at 114°	Direct Gamma
69	DR23	Mt. Airy & Windsor Road	4.97 Mi (SE) at 127°	Direct Gamma
71	DR25	Warren Ave - Haverstraw	4.83 Mi (S) at 188°	Direct Gamma
72	DR26	Railroad Avenue & 9W - Haverstraw	4.53 Mi (SSW) at 203° <sup>.</sup>	Direct Gamma
73	DR27	Willow Grove Road & Captain Faldermeyer Drive	4.97 Mi (SW) at 226°	Direct Gamma
74	DR12	West Shore Drive - South	1.59 Mi (WSW) at 252°	Direct Gamma
75	DR31	Palisades Parkway	4.65 Mi (NW) at 225°	Direct Gamma
76	DR13	West Shore Drive - North	1.21 Mi (W) at 276°	Direct Gamma
77	DR29	Palisades Parkway	4.15 Mi (W) at 272°	Direct Gamma
78	DR14	Rt. 9W across from R/S #14	1.2 Mi (WNW) at 295°	Direct Gamma
79	DR30	Anthony Wayne Park	4.57 Mi (WNW) at 296°	Direct Gamma

\* = Control location


# TABLE A-1 INDIAN POINT REMP SAMPLING STATION LOCATIONS

SAMPLING STATION	SAMPLE DESIGNATION	LOCATION	DISTANCE	SAMPLE TYPES
80	DR15	Route 9W South of Ayers Road	1.02 Mi (NW) at 317°	Direct Gamma
81	DR28	Palisades Pkwy - Lake Welch Exit	4.96 Mi (WSW) at 310°	Direct Gamma
82	DR16	Ayers Road	1.01 Mi (NNW) at 334°	Direct Gamma
83	DR32	Route 9W - Fort Montgomery	4.82 Mi (NNW) at 339°	Direct Gamma
84	** ** **	Cold Spring *	10.88 Mi (N) at 356°	HR Aquatic Vegetation HR Shoreline Soil HR Bottom Sediment
88	DR6	R/S Pole #6	0.32 Mi (ESE) at 118°	Direct Gamma
89	DR35	Highland Ave & Sprout Brook Road (near rock cut)	2.89 Mi (NNE) at 025°	Direct Gamma
90	DR3	Charles Point	0.88 Mi (NE) at 047°	Direct Gamma
92	DR24	Warren Road - Cortlandt	3.84 Mi (SSE) at 149°	Direct Gamma
94	A2 A2 Ic2 **	IPEC Training Center	Onsite- 0.39 Mi (S) at 193°	Air Particulate Radioiodine Broad Leaf Vegetation Soil
95	A3 A3 Ic1 **	Meteorological Tower	Onsite - 0.46 Mi (SSW) at 208°	Air Particulate Radioiodine Broad Leaf Vegetation Soil
104	**	MW-40 Boundary Well, lower parking lot	Onsite - 0.21 mi (SW)	Groundwater
105	**	MW-51 Boundary Well, middle parking lot	Onsite - 0.18 mi (SSW)	Groundwater

 <sup>\*\* =</sup> Locations listed do not have sample designation locations specified in the ODCM
 HR = Hudson River R/S = Reuter Stokes


## FIGURE A-1

## SAMPLING LOCATIONS Within Two Miles of Indian Point




#### **FIGURE A-2**





## FIGURE A-3

## SAMPLING LOCATIONS Additional Sampling Locations



#### TABLE A-2

ANALYSIS	WATER (pCi/L)	AIRBORNE PARTICULATES OR GASES (pCI/m3)	FISH (pCi/kg, wet)	MILK (pCi/L)	FOOD PRODUCTS (pCi/kg, wet)	SEDIMENT (pCl/kg, dry)
Gross β	4	0.01				
H-3	2,000 <sup>(c)</sup>					
Mn-54	15		130			
Fe-59	30		260			
Co-58	15		130			
Co-60	15		130			
Zn-65	30		260			
Zr-Nb-95	15					
I-131	1 <sup>(d)</sup>	0.07		1	60	
Cs-134	15	0.05	130	15	60	150
Cs-137	18	0.06	150	<u></u> 18	80	180
Ba-La-140	15			15		
Sr-90	1 <sup>(e)</sup>		5			5,000

# LOWER LIMIT OF DETECTION (LLD) REQUIREMENTS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLE ANALYSIS<sup>(a) (b)</sup>

(a) This list shows required LLD's, but other radionuclides are considered. Other identifiable peaks from gamma spectroscopy shall also be analyzed and reported in the Annual Radiological Environmental Operating Report.

(b) Required detection capabilities for thermoluminescent dosimeters used for environmental measurements are given in Regulatory Guide 4.13 (Reference 27).

(c) LLD for drinking water samples. If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 3000 pCi/L may be used.

(d) LLD for drinking water samples. If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 15 pCi/L may be used.

(e) The Sr-90 water LLD is only for groundwater samples locations 104 and 105 (see Table A-1)

#### **TABLE A-3**

# REPORTING LEVELS FOR RADIOACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

ANALYSIS	WATER (pCi/L)	AIRBORNE PARTICULATES OR GASES (pCI/m <sup>3</sup> )	FISH (pCi/kg, wet)	MILK (pCi/L)	FOOD PRODUCTS (pCi/kg, wet)
H-3	20,000 <sup>(a)</sup>				
Mn-54	1,000		30,000		
Fe-59	400		10,000	·····	
Co-58	1,000		30,000		
Co-60	300	<u></u>	10,000		
Zn-65	300		20,000		
Zr-Nb-95	400				
I-131	2 <sup>(b)</sup>	0.9		3	100
Cs-134	30	10	1,000	60	1,000
Cs-137	50	20	2,000	70	2,000
Ba-La-140	200			300	
Sr-90	8		40		

(a) For drinking water samples. This is the 40 CFR Part 141 value. If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 30,000 pCi/L may be used.

(b) If no drinking water pathway exists, a value of 20 pCi/L may be used.

# APPENDIX B

# RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM RESULTS SUMMARY

#### APPENDIX B

#### B.1 2007 Annual Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program Summary

The results of the 2007 radiological environmental sampling program are presented in Tables B-2 through B-21. Table B-2 is a summary table of the sample results for 2007. The format of this summary table conforms to the reporting requirements of the ODCM, NRC Regulatory Guide 4.8 (Reference 4), and NRC Branch Technical Position to Regulatory Guide 4.8 (Reference 14). In addition, the data obtained from the analysis of samples are provided in Tables B-3 through B-21.

REMP samples were analyzed by various counting methods as appropriate. The methods are; gross beta, gamma spectroscopy analysis, liquid scintillation, radiochemical analysis, and TLD processing. Gamma spectroscopy analysis was performed for the following radionuclides; Be-7, K-40, Mn-54, Co-58, Co-60, Fe-59, Zn-65, Zr-95, Nb-95, Ru-103, Ru-106, I-131, Cs-134, Cs-137, Ba/La-140, Ce-141, Ce-144, Ra-226 and Ac/Th-228. Radiochemical analyses were performed for I-131 and Sr-90 for specific media and locations as required in the ODCM.

#### B.2 Land Use Census

In accordance with Sections IP2-D3.5.2 and IP3-2.8 of the ODCM, a land use census was conducted to identify the nearest milch animal and the nearest residence. The results of the milch animal and land use census are presented in Tables B-22 and B-23, respectively. In lieu of identifying and sampling the nearest garden of greater than 50 m<sup>2</sup>, at least three kinds of broad leaf vegetation were sampled near the site boundary in two sectors and at a designated control location (results are presented in Table B-14).

#### **B.3 Sampling Deviations**

During 2007, environmental sampling was performed for 12 media types addressed in the ODCM and direct radiation. A total of 1322 samples/measurements were obtained. Of the scheduled samples, 99.3% were collected and analyzed for the program. Sampling deviations are summarized in Table B-1; discussions of the reasons for the deviations are provided in Table B-1a for air samples, B-1b for TLDs and B-1c for other environmental media.

#### B.4 Analytical Deviations

There were no analytical deviations for 2007.

#### B.5 Special Reports

No special reports were required under the REMP.

# SUMMARY OF SAMPLING DEVIATIONS 2007

MEDIA	TOTAL SCHEDULED SAMPLES	NUMBER OF DEVIATIONS*	SAMPLING EFFICIENCY %	REASON FOR DEVIATION
MEDIA				
PARTICULATES IN AIR	468	1	99.8%	See Table B-1a
CHARCOAL FILTER	466	2	99.6%	See Table B-1a
TLD	164	2	99%	See Table B-1b
HUDSON RIVER WATER	32	0	100%	N/A
DRINKING WATER	24	0	100%	N/A
SHORELINE SOIL	20	4	80%	See Table B-1c
BROAD LEAF VEGETATION	54	0	100%	N/A
FISH & INVERTEBRATES	27	0	100%	N/A
AQUATIC VEGETATION	3	0 .	100%	N/A
HUDSON RIVER BOTTOM SEDIMENT	8	0	100%	N/A
SOIL	3	0	100%	N/A
PRECIPITATION	8´	0	100%	N/A
GROUNDWATER SAMPLES	45	0	100%	N/A
TOTALS	1322	9	99.3%	

TOTAL NUMBER OF ANALYSES REPORTED =

1313

\* Samples not collected or unable to be analyzed.

#### TABLE B-1a / B-1b/B-1c

# TABLE B-1a 2007 Air Sampling Deviations

		amping bernations
STATION	WEEK	PROBLEM / ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE
LOVETT	01/16/2007	We lost about 90 hours of sample this past week. The pump had failed.
LOVETT	03/12/2007	The Lovett air sample lost 48 hours of sample time last week. It was running when we left it last week and it was running when we changed it this week.
		when we lead a last week and it was running when we changed it in sweek.
LOVETT	04/16/2007	The Lovett air sample lost 105 hours of sample time last week. It was running
		when we left it last week and it was running when we changed it this week.
		The Lovett air sample was not running when we went to change it out on
LOVETT	04/23/2007	4/23/2007. According to the hour meter it stopped running 30 minutes after we left on 4/16/2007. We have no air sample for the past week. Power was
		restored to the station.
		The Lovett air sample lost 118.8 hours of sample time last week. It was
LOVETT	05/21/2007	running when we left it last week and it was running when we changed it this week.
TRAINING BLDG	06/12/2007	The Training Bldg air sample pump failed this past week and we lost 84 hours of sample. We replaced the pump.
		The Peekskill Gas Holder air sample was not running when we went to change
PEEKSKILL GAS HOLDER	07/30/2007	it out. The pump had failed and we lost 80 hours of sample this past week.
		The Training Bldg air sampler lost 18 hours of sample time last week. It was
TRAINING BLDG	11/13/2007	running when left the week berofre and it was running when changed out again.
GRASSY POINT	12/26/2007	The Grassy Point air sample lost 47 hours of sample last week. It was running
	12/20/2007	when we left it last week and it was running when we changed it this week.

#### TABLE B-1b 2007 TLD Deviations

· ·		
<b>STATIO</b> TLD 200 & 212	<b>QUARTE</b> 10/18/2007	R PROBLEM / ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE Two of the 10 CFR20 / 40CFR190 TLD locations on site had the TLD's missing.

TABLE B-1c 2007 Other Media Deviations

	2007 Oth	
STATION	SAMPLE SCHEDULE	PROBLEM / ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE
HUDSON RIVER INLET	02/16/2007	The dock was snow covered and ice covered and unsafe to go out and get the sample.
HUDSON RIVER OUTLET	02/16/2007	The hose was frozen and there was no sample for the week.
LENT'S COVE	06/06/2007	Shoreline soil sample not analyzed for Sr-90
WHITE BEACH	06/07/2007	Shoreline soil sample not analyzed for Sr-90
MANITOU INLET	06/06/2007	Shoreline soil sample not analyzed for Sr-90
COLD SPRING	06/06/2007	Shoreline soil sample not analyzed for Sr-90
LENTS COVE	09/06/2007	No aquatic vegetation was found in this area
VERPLANCK AREA	09/06/2007	No aquatic vegetation was found in this area

MEDIUM (UNITS) SEE TABLE	TYPE AND TOTAL NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	LLD (b)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN (a) RANGE	LOCATION OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATIONS AND DESIGNATION <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	NUMBER OF NON-ROUTINE REPORTS
DIRECT RADIATION (mR / standard quarter) B-3	TLD Reads 164	N/A	14.3 (160/160) / 9.5 - 22.2	West Shore Drive - North 1.21 Mi (W) at 276° DR13 20.0 (4/4) / 18.3 - 21.6	18.8 (4/4) / 17.4 - 21.5	0
AIR PARTICULATES AND RADIOIODINE (pCi/m <sup>3</sup> ) B-6, B-7, B-8	GB (467)		0.013 (415/416) / 0.003 - 0.026	#22 Lovett Power Plant 1.6 Mi (WSW) at 244° 0.014 (51/52) / 0.003-0.023	0.013 (52/52)  / 0.003-0.023	0
	I-131 (466)	0.07	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	GSA (36) Cs-134	0.05	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
·	GSA (36) Cs-137	0.06	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
SURFACE HUDSON RIVER WATER (pCi/L) B-9, B-10	H-3 (8)	3000 (c)	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	<u>GSA (24)</u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Mn-54	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Co-58	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>. 0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>. 0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>. 0</td></lc<>	. 0
	Fe-59	30	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Co-60	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Zn-65	30	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0 Ó</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0 Ó</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0 Ó</td></lc<>	0 Ó
	Zr/Nb-95	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td></td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td></td></lc<>	
	. I-131	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-134	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	18	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Ba/La-140	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0

TABLE B-2ODCM ANNUAL SUMMARY - 2007

(b) Required a priori LLD; see Table A-2

MEDIUM (UNITS) SEE TABLE	TYPE AND TOTAL NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	LLD (b)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN (a) RANGE	LOCATION OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATIONS AND DESIGNATION <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	NUMBER OF NON-ROUTINE REPORTS
DRINKING WATER (pCi/L) B-11, B-12	GB (24)	4				
	H-3 (8)	2000	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<>	N/A	0
	<u>GSA (24)</u> Mn-54 Co-58	15 15	<lc <lc< td=""><td><lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></lc </td></lc<></lc 	<lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></lc 	N/A N/A	0
	Fe-59	30	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<>	N/A	0
	Co-60 Zn-65	∠ 15 <u>.</u> 30	<lc <lc< td=""><td><lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></lc </td></lc<></lc 	<lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></lc 	N/A N/A	0
	Zr/Nb-95	15 · 15	<lc <lc< td=""><td><lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>. 0 0</td></lc<></lc </td></lc<></lc 	<lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>. 0 0</td></lc<></lc 	N/A N/A	. 0 0
	∵ I-131 Cs-134	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<>	N/A	0
	Cs-137 Ba/La-140	18 15	<lc <lc< td=""><td><lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></lc </td></lc<></lc 	<lc <lc< td=""><td>N/A N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></lc 	N/A N/A	0
SHORELINE SOIL (pCi/kg - dry) B-13	<u>GSA (10)</u>	· · ·				
	Cs-134	150	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	180	106 (2/6) / 63.3 - 149	#17 Off Verplanck 1.5 Mi (SSW) at 202.5° 106 (2/2) / 63.3 - 149	#50 Manitow Inlet 357 (1/4) / 357 - 357	0
	Sr-90 (6)	5000	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc \<="" td=""><td>0</td></lc></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc \<="" td=""><td>0</td></lc></td></lc<>	<lc \<="" td=""><td>0</td></lc>	0

TABLE B-2ODCM ANNUAL SUMMARY - 2007

(b) Required *a priori* LLD; see Table A-2

MEDIUM (UNITS) SEE TABLE	TYPE AND TOTAL NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	LLD (b)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN (a) RANGE	LOCATION OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATIONS AND DESIGNATION <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	NUMBER OF NON-ROUTINE REPORTS
BROADLEAF VEGETATION (pCi/kg - wet) B-14	<u>GSA (54)</u>					
(pointg wor) birr	I-131	60	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>· 0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>· 0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>· 0</td></lc<>	· 0
	Co-60	N/A	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>Ō</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>Ō</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>Ō</td></lc<>	Ō
1	Cs-134	60	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	80	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
FISH AND INVERTEBRATES (pCi/kg - wet) B-15	<u>GSA (27)</u>					
	Mn-54	130	<lc< td=""><td>&lt;Ľc</td><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<Ľc	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Co-58	130	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Fe-59	260	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Co-60	130	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
,	Zn-65	260	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-134	130	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	150	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Sr-90 (27)	5	<lc< td=""><td>· <lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	· <lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
AQUATIC VEGETATION (pCi/kg - WET)	<u>GSA(7)</u>					
(Poing)	Co-60	NONE	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	I-131	100	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>&lt;Ĺc</td><td>Ó</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>&lt;Ĺc</td><td>Ó</td></lc<>	<Ĺc	Ó
	Cs-134	100	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	100	#17 Off Verplanck 1.5 Mi (SSW) at 202.5° 31.0 <i>(1/1) /  31.0 - 31.0</i>	#17 Off Verplanck 1.5 Mi (SSW) at 202.5° 31.0 <i>(1/1) / 31.0 - 31.0</i>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0

TABLE B-2ODCM ANNUAL SUMMARY - 2007

(b) Required *a priori* LLD; see Table A-2

MEDIUM (UNITS) SEE TABLE	TYPE AND TOTAL NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	LLD (b)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN (a) RANGE	LOCATION OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATIONS AND DESIGNATION <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	NUMBER OF NON-ROUTINE REPORTS
BOTTOM SEDIMENT (pCi/kg - DRY)	<u>GSA(8)</u>					
(pointg Ditt)	Co-60	NONE	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-134	150	. <lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>. <lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>. <lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	. <lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	180	221 (6/6) / 95.0 - 373.7	#28 Lents Cove 0.45 Mi (ENE) at 069° 291.5 (2/2) / 373.7 - 209.2	#84 Cold Spring 20.7 Mi (N) at 356° 254.8 <i>(1/2) /</i> 254.8 - 254.8	0
SOIL (pCi/kg - DRY)	<u>GSA(3)</u>					
(1	Co-60	NONE	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-134	150	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	180	#94 Training Center 0.45 Mi (SSW) at 208° 136.5 (1/2) / 136.5 - 136.5	#94 Training Center 0.45 Mi (SSW) at 208° 136.5 (1/1) / 136.5 - 136.5	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
PRECIPITATION (pCi/L)	<u>GSA(8)</u>					
(()	H-3	3000 (c)	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Co-60	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-134	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0
	Cs-137	18	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>0</td></lc<>	0

TABLE B-2ODCM ANNUAL SUMMARY - 2007

(b) Required a priori LLD; see Table A-2

MEDIUM (UNITS) SEE TABLE	TYPE AND TOTAL NUMBER OF ANALYSIS PERFORMED	LLD (b)	INDICATOR LOCATIONS: MEAN (a) RANGE	LOCATION OF HIGHEST ANNUAL MEAN: LOCATIONS AND DESIGNATION <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	CONTROL LOCATION: <u>MEAN (a)</u> RANGE	NUMBER OF NON-ROUTINE REPORTS
GROUNDWATER (pCi/L)	<u>GSA(45)</u>		_			
(P = )	H-3 (45)	3000 (c)	194 (5/45) / 176 - 223	#105 MW-51 0.18 mi - SSW 203 (3/26) / 187 - 223	N/A	0
	Co-60 (45)	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<>	N/A	0
	Cs-134 (45)	15	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<>	N/A	0
	Cs-137 (45)	18	11.5 (5/45) / 5.15 - 21.6	#105 MW-51 0.18 mi - SSW 11.5 (5/26) / 5.15 - 21.6	N/A	0
[	Sr-90 (41)	11	<lc< td=""><td><lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<></td></lc<>	<lc< td=""><td>N/A</td><td>0</td></lc<>	N/A	0

TABLE B-2ODCM ANNUAL SUMMARY - 2007

(b) Required *a priori* LLD; see Table A-2

DR-01 DR-02 DR-03 DR-04 DR-05	N NNE NE ENE	14.00 ± 0	).65									Yearly
DR-02 DR-03 DR-04 DR-05	NNE <sup>+</sup> NE	14.00 ± 0		470 . (		44.00	7	45.00	<u>.</u>	4 00	45.0	<u> </u>
DR-03 DR-04 DR-05	NE			17.3 ± (			± 0.7	15.69	±	1.03	15.9	63 57
DR-04 DR-05			0.55		1.3		± 0.7	14.54	±	0.69	14.3	57 47
DR-05	ENE		).77	12.9 ± (			± 0.7	11.75	±	0.82	11.8	
	_ 1		0.65		0.8		± 0.7	13.63		0.70	13.6	54 56
	E		0.75	15.2 ± (			± 0.8	14.20	±	0.82 0.63	14.0 14.2	56 57
DR-06	ESE		0.53	15.7 ± (			± 0.7	14.41 15.96	±	0.63	14.2 16.2	57 65
DR-07	SE		).73	18.5 ± (			± 0.8	12.04	±,	0.79		49
DR-08	SSE		).55	13.6 ± (			± 0.8 ± 0.9	13.43	±	0.55	12.2 13.3	49 53
DR-09	S		0.71	14.3 ± (			± 0.9 ± 0.9	13.43	±	0.62		53 57
DR-10	SSW		0.62	15.2 ± 1			$\pm 0.9$ $\pm 0.6$		±		14.2 10.8	43
DR-11	SW		).57	12.3 ± (		9.96		10.63	±	0.69		1
DR-12	wsw		).77	19.5 ± (		16.86	± 0.9	15.52	±	0.79 1.07	17.1	68 80
DR-13	W		0.78		1.1	19.49 13.39	± 1.5	20.74	±		20.0	80 54
DR-14	WNW		0.69			12.59	± 1.1 ± 0.7	12.86 13.88	±	0.99 0.86	13.6 13.7	54 55
DR-15	NW		0.66	15.4 ± (			± 0.7 ± 0.7	15.08	±	0.80	15.7	55 61
DR-16	NNW		).61 ).78	$16.9 \pm ($ 17.3 ± $^{2}$		<u>14.78</u> 14.49	$\pm 0.7$ $\pm 0.8$	15.06		1.35	15.2	61
DR-17	N NNE		).66	$17.3 \pm 16.2 \pm 0$	- 1	14.49	± 0.0	15.34 14.50	± ±	0.93	15.3 14.3	57
DR-18	NE		1.28	$16.2 \pm 0$ 16.7 ± 0		12.65	± 0.7 ± 1.0	14.50	±	0.93	14.3 15.0	60
DR-19 DR-20	ENE		).58	$10.7 \pm 0.14.6 \pm 0.14.6 \pm 0.14.14$		12.37	$\pm 0.8$	13.71	±	0.87	13.3	· 53
DR-20 DR-21	ENE		).71	$14.0 \pm 0$ 15.5 ± 0		12.09	$\pm 0.8$	13.94	±	0.76	13.7	55
DR-21 DR-22	ESE		0.65	$13.3 \pm 0$		9.52	$\pm 0.6$	11.63	±	0.70	11.4	46
DR-22 DR-23	SE		).47	$16.3 \pm 7$			± 0.0	13.97	±	1.20	14.0	56
DR-24	SSE		0.85	$16.4 \pm ($		13.68	$\pm 0.7$ $\pm 0.7$	14.93	±	0.73	14.7	59
DR-24	S		0.56	$15.5 \pm 7$		11.51	$\pm 0.7$ $\pm 0.8$	13.42	±	0.91	13.1	53
DR-25	ssw		D.61	16.9 ± (		13.70	± 1.2	14.73	±	1.18	14.7	59
DR-27	SW		0.91	$17.3 \pm 2$	1	12.61	$\pm 0.8$	13.65	±	0.84	14.1	57
DR-28	wsw		0.66	$22.2 \pm 0$		18.57	$\pm 0.0$ $\pm 0.9$	20.06	±	1.19	19.7	79
DR-29	W		0.68	17.9 ±		13.43	± 0.7	14.27	±	0.88	14.7	59
DR-29 DR-30	WNW		0.58	18.8 ±		14.42	$\pm 0.7$ $\pm 0.7$	14.56	±	0.63	15.3	61
DR-30	NW		0.67	19.8 ± (		15.46	± 0.7	16.59	±	0.89	16.9	67
DR-32	NNW		0.50	16.1 ± 0		12.31	$\pm 0.7$	13.33	±	0.68	13.7	55
DR-33	NE		D.88	15.8 ± 0		12.28	$\pm 0.7$ $\pm 0.7$	13.50	±	0.68	13.7	55
DR-34	SE		0.50	15.5 ± (		11.28	± 0.6	12.21	±	0.59	12.8	51
DR-35	NNE		0.62		0.8	11.63	± 0.6	13.01		0.95	13.2	53
DR-36	NE		0.76	17.6 ±		13.07	± 0.8	14.91	±	1.34	15.0	60
DR-37	ssw		0.61		0.6	12.17	± 1.6	14.60		0.86	13.7	55
DR-38	S		0.58		0.7	11.60	± 0.7	13.59		0.66	12.8	51
DR-39	ssw		0.70	18.1 ±		13.79	± 0.7	14.94		0.75	15.3	61
DR-40**	N		0.99		0.9	17.43	± 0.9	18.87		0.90	18.8	75
DR-41	SSE	MINIMARINA AL DEL CELL AND AND A MARKED	D.81	A	0.8	11.64	± 0.8	12.04	Contraction of the	0.69	12.7	51
AVERA		13.6		16.3		13.2		14.3			14.3	57

# 2007 DIRECT RADIATION, QUARTERLY DATA (mR per STANDARD QUARTER)

\* Data not available \*\* Control Location

B-9

## **DIRECT RADIATION,** 1997 THROUGH 2007 DATA (mR per Standard Quarter)

Station ID	Mean (1997-2006)	Standard Deviation	Minimum Value	Maximum Value	2007 Average
		(1997-2006)	(1997-2006)	(1997-2006)	
DR-01	62.8	2.8	58.2	67.8	63.4
DR-02	64.4	9.9	53.7	79.0	57.1
DR-03	48.2	2.1	43.8	50.4	47.0
DR-04	53.9	3.7	46.8	58.6	54.3
DR-05	54.5	. 2.6	48.3	58.0	55.9
DR-06	53.8	3.1	46.2	57.6	56.9
DR-07	63.7	3.7	55.6	68.6	64.6
DR-08	52.1	2.6	47.1	56.3	48.7
DR-09	51.6	3.6	44.1	55.2	53.0
DR-10	55.3	6.0	39.2	59.8	56.7
DR-11	45.3	2.2	40.8	48.5	43.3
DR-12	67.0	3.7	62.4	76.0	68.3
DR-13	76.2	4.0	67.8	81.3	80.2
DR-14	54.4	3.5	49.8	60.4	54.3
DR-15	54.5	4.1	46.5	59.8	54.9
DR-16	59.4	2.8	55.2	63.6	60.6
DR-17	59.8	3.2	56.0	66.8	61.4
DR-18	57.0	2.6	52.2	60.7	57.1
DR-19	60.0	2.8	55.1	63.6	, 60.2
DR-20	54.8	3.7	47.4	59.2	53.3
DR-21	55.2	3.2	49.8	- 60.6	54.8
DR-22	46.8	3.6	40.2	51.9	45.7
DR-23	56.1	2.9	49.5	58.9	56.1
DR-24	55.7	2.9	49.2	59.8	58.9 ·
DR-25	<sup>`</sup> 49.7	2.5	44.7	53.2	52.6
DR-26	55.2	2.5	50.4	58.9	58.9
DR-27	55.3	3.9	46.8	61.5	56.6
DR-28	64.8	6.5	57.2	77.1	78.9
DR-29	66.8	8.7	54.6	77.3	58.8
DR-30	63.9	6.3	52.2	71.1	61.3
DR-31	72.7	5.8	62.1	80.4	67.4
DR-32	53.1	3.4	45.9	57.2	54.7
DR-33	44.7	8.6	34.0	55.0	54.7
DR-34	53.5	4.7	43.2	60.7	51.2
DR-35	57.4	4.1	48.6	, 61.2	52.9
DR-36	61.8	5.0	52.5	70.5	59.9
DR-37	55.2	3.3	48.9	60.0	54.9
DR-38	52.2	2.8	48.6	58.4	51.4
DR-39	62.8	4.0	55.2	66.8	61.3
DR-40**	63.1	5.2	54.6	70.4	75.2
DR-41	52.5	4.0	44.4	57.9	50.9
Average	57.2	U U	50.0	62.9	57.8

\* Data not available \*\* Control Location

# 2007 DIRECT RADIATION INNER AND OUTER RINGS (mR per Standard Quarter)

Inner Ring ID	Outer Ring ID	Sector	Inner Ring Annual Average	Outer Ring Annual Average
DR-01	DR-17	N.	63.4	61.4
DR-02	DR-18	NNE	57.1	57.1
DR-03	DR-19	NE	47.0	60.2
DR-04	DR-20	ENE	54.3	53.3
DR-05	DR-21	E ·	55.9	54.8
DR-06	DR-22	ESE	56.9	45.7
DR-07	DR-23	SE	64.6	56.1
DR-08	DR-24	SSE	48.7	58.9
DR-09	DR-25	S S	53.0	52.6
DR-10	DR-26	SSW	56.7	58.9
DR-11	DR-27	SW	43.3	56.6
DR-12	DR-28	WSW	68.3	78.9
DR-13	DR-29	W	80.2	58.8
DR-14	DR-30	WNW	54.3	61.3
DR-15	DR-31	NW	54.9	67.4
DR-16	DR-32	NNW	60.6	54.7
	Average		57.4	58.5

(

## IPEC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL AIRBORNE PARTICULATE SAMPLES - 2007 GROSS BETA ACTIVITY pCi/m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

## SAMPLE STATION #

						STATION					
Week Number	Week End Date	4	5	94	95	23**	Week	22	27	29	44
1	01/02/2007	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	1	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
2	01/09/2007	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	2	$0.013 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.002$
3	01/16/2007	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	3	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
• 4	01/23/2007	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	4	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
5	01/30/2007	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	5	$0.017 \pm 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
6	02/06/2007	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	6	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$
7	02/13/2007	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	7	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
8	02/20/2007	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	8	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
. 9	02/27/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$ 0.012 ± 0.001	9	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
10	03/06/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$ $0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	10	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
10	03/13/2007	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$ 0.018 ± 0.001	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$ $0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.021 \pm 0.001$	10	$0.022 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$
12	03/20/2007	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	11	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
13	03/27/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	12	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
13	04/03/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$ 0.011 ± 0.001	13	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
14	04/10/2007	$0.001 \pm 0.001$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$	15	$0.003 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$
16	04/17/2007	$0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$ $0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ 0.007 ± 0.001	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	16	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.008 \pm 0.002$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
10	04/24/2007	$0.004 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	10	See Deviation Report	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$
17	05/01/2007	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ 0.007 ± 0.001	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	17	0.005 ± 0.001	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
18	05/08/2007	$0.008 \pm 0.001$ $0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$ $0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	19	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$
20	05/15/2007	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	20	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ 0.006 ± 0.001	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
20	05/22/2007	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ $0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$	$0.000 \pm 0.001$ $0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	20	$0.021 \pm 0.003$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ $0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
21	05/29/2007	$0.003 \pm 0.001$ $0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$ $0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$ $0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ 0.018 ± 0.001	21	$0.021 \pm 0.003$ $0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
23	06/05/2007	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$ $0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.017 \pm 0.002$	22	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$ $0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$ $0.013 \pm 0.001$
23	06/12/2007	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	23	$0.013 \pm 0.002$ $0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$ $0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$
24	06/19/2007	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ $0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.002$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$ $0.009 \pm 0.001$	24	$0.008 \pm 0.001$ $0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$ $0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$ $0.009 \pm 0.001$
25	06/26/2007	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ 0.013 ± 0.001	$0.010 \pm 0.001$				25	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ 0.010 ± 0.001	$0.010 \pm 0.001$ $0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$ $0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$ $0.013 \pm 0.001$
	072072007	0.015 ± 0.001	0.011 = 0.001	0.010 ± 0.004	0.011 - 0.001	0.007 - 0.001	<u> </u>	0.010 0.001	0.011 ± 0.001	0.011 = 0.001	0.015 ± 0.001

\*\* Control sample location

#### ENVIRONMENTAL AIRBORNE PARTICULATE SAMPLES - 2007 GROSS BETA ACTIVITY pCi/ $m^3 \pm 1$ Sigma

## SAMPLE STATION #

Week	Week End	4	5	94	95	23**	Week	22	27	29	44
Number	Date				in the second second		Number		<u> Net spectre</u>		
27	07/02/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	27	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$
28	07/10/2007	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	0.016 ± 0.001	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	28	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \ \pm \ 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$
29	07/17/2007	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	29	$0.018 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$
30	07/24/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \hspace{0.1 cm} \pm \hspace{0.1 cm} 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	30	$0.012 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \ \pm \ 0.001$
31	07/31/2007	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	31	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.002$
32	08/07/2007	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 ~\pm~ 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	32	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$
33	08/14/2007	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	0.013 ± 0.001	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	33	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	0.015 ± 0.001
34	08/21/2007	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	0.013 ± 0.001	34	$0.016 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
35	08/28/2007	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	35	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
36	09/04/2007	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	36	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
37	09/11/2007	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	37	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$
38	09/18/2007	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	38	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$
39	09/25/2007	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	39	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$
40	10/02/2007	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$	0.019 ± 0.002	40	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$
41	10/09/2007	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	41	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
42	10/16/2007	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.008 \pm 0.001$	$0.005 \pm 0.001$	42	$0.006 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.007 \pm 0.001$	$0.004 \pm 0.001$
43	10/23/2007	$0.024 \pm 0.002$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$	$0.026 \pm 0.002$	$0.025 \pm 0.002$	$0.023 \pm 0.002$	43	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in} 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.002$
44	10/30/2007	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.009 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	44	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.010 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.022 \pm 0.002$
45	11/06/2007	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	45	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$
46	11/13/2007	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	46	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
47	11/19/2007	$0.016 \pm 0.002$	0.014 ± 0.001	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	47	$0.017 \pm 0.002$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$
48	11/27/2007	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	0.012 ± 0.001	48	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.013 \pm 0.001$
49	12/04/2007	0.014 ± 0.001	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	0.018 ± 0.001	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	49	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$
50	12/11/2007	0.013 ± 0.001	0.015 ± 0.001	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	0.012 ± 0.001	0.013 ± 0.001	50	0.013 ± 0.001	0.017 ± 0.001	$0.013 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.001$
51	12/18/2007	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	$0.021 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$	$0.016 \pm 0.001$	51	$0.020 \pm 0.002$	0.017 ± 0.001	$0.019 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$
52	12/24/2007	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	52	$0.019 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 0.002$	$0.017 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.019 \pm 0.002$

\*\* Control sample location

# TABLE B-7 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN QUARTERLY COMPOSITES OF SITE AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES - 2007 Results in Units of 10E-3 pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

					mone let quit				
Nuclide	Algonquin Sta #4	NYU Tower #5	Croton Point #27	Training Bldg #94	Met Tower #95	Lovett #22 **	Roseton #23	Grassy Point #29	Peekskill #44
Be-7	57.5 +/- 20.2	84.2 +/- 20.5	122.4 +/- 29.3	115.3 +/- 23.7	95.7 +/- 24.3	108.5 +/- 25.5	112.2 +/- 21.2	91.4 +/- 17.8	< 26.8
Cs-134	< 1.5	< 1.6	< 1.5	< 1.1	< 1.9	< 1.5	< 1.4	< 0.9	< 1.6
Cs-137	< 0.8	< 0.7	< 1.3	< 1.0	< 0.8	< 1.1	< 1.6	< 0.5	< 1.2
Zr-95	< 2.6	< 3.0	< 3.5	< 2.8	< 3.0	< 5.3	< 2.5	< 1.9	< 3.8
Nb-95	< 2.5	< 2.7	< 2.9	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 2.7	< 2.4	< 2.0	< 3.1
Co-58	< 2.2	< 1.4	< 2.3	< 1.7	< 1.6	< 2.5	< 1.5	< 1.1	< 2.5
Mn-54	< 1.2	< 1.0	< 1.5	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.5	< 0.8	< 1.7
Zn-65	< 3.0	< 3.8	< 4.5	< 2.8	< 3.3	< 3.0	< 3.2	< 3.0	< 4.9
Co-60	< 1.7	< 1.2	< 2.2	< 1.6	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.7	< 1.0	< 2.6
K-40	< 18.7	< 13.4	< 24.2	< 14.1	< 17.6	< 18.6	< 17.1	< 10.3	< 24.4

SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 1ST QTR 2007

\*\* Control Sample Location

Nuclide	Algonquin Sta #4	NYU Tower #5	Croton Point #27	Training Bldg #94	'Met Tower #95	Lovett #22 **	Roseton #23	Grassy Point #29	Peekskill #44
Be-7	131.5 +/- 17.0	103.3 +/- 12.7	110.1 +/- 14.6	125.5 +/- 14.6	136.0 +/- 14.1	107.1 +/- 15.0	137.1 +/- 17.2	131.3 +/- 12.3	109.5 +/- 15.1
Cs-134	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.4	< 0.9	< 0.7	< 0.9	< 0.5	< 0.3	< 0.6
Cs-137	< 0.5	< 0.3	< 0.4	< 0.6	< 0.3	< 0.6	< 0.4	< 0.6	< 0.6
Zr-95	< 1.6	< 1.8	< 1.6	< 1.4	< 0.9	< 2.4	< 2.0	< 1.2	< 1.2
Nb-95	< 1.5	< 0.6	< 1.1	< 0.8	< 1.5	< 0.9	< 1.4	< 1.0	< 1.4
Co-58	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.7	< 0.6	< 0.9	< 0.4	< 0.7
Mn-54	< 0.8	< 0.6	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.7
Zn-65	< 2.9	< 1.6	< 1.2	< 1.4	< 1.3	< 2.0	< 2.2	< 1.2	< 1.5
Co-60	< 1.0	< 0.5	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.5	< 0.6	< 0.9	< 0.8	< 0.8
K-40	< 8.5	< 5.7	< 7.2	< 7.5	< 6.8	< 7.1	< 9.4	< 4.1	< 7.5
									Р

SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 2ND QTR 2007

\*\* Control Sample Location

#### TABLE B-7 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN QUARTERLY COMPOSITES OF SITE AIR PARTICULATE SAMPLES - 2007

Results in Units of 10E-3 pCi/m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

	·		<u></u>	SAMPLE LOC	ATIONS - 3RD QTR	2007			
Nuclide	Algonquin Sta #4	NYU Tower #5	Croton Point #27	Training Bldg #94	Met Tower #95	Lovett #22 **	Roseton #23	Grassy Point #29	Peekskill #44
Be-7	132.7 +/- 13.6	130.1 +/- 13.2	116.9 +/- 13.6	104.3 +/- 15.0	112.5 +/- 13.9	143.8 +/- 14.9	130.6 +/- 13.2	136.5 +/- 15.1	112.5 +/- 13.5
Cs-134	< 0.6	< 0.8	< 0.5	< 0.8	< 0.3	< 0.9	< 0.6	< 0.5	< 0.8
Cs-137	< 0.5	< 0.3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.3	< 0.6	< 0.4	< 0.4	< 0.3
Zr-95	< 1.4	< 0.9	< 1.9	< 1.6	< 1.9	< 1.4	< 1.7	< 1.2	< 1.5
Nb-95	< 1.1	< 1.6	< 0.9	< 1.6	< 1.6	< 1.4	< 1.7	< 1.0	< 0.7
Co-58	· < 0.4	< 0.5	< 0.7	< 1.0	< 0.7	< 0.5 ·	< 1.1	< 0.8	< 1.0
Mn-54	< 0.3	< 0.7	< 0.5	< 0.7	< 0.3	< 0.4	< 0.3	< 0.5	< 0.6
Zn-65	< 1.3	< 1.0	< 1.5	< 1.2	< 1.6	< 0.9	< 1.6	< 1.3	< 2.2
Co-60	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.5	< 0.5	· < 0.6	< 1.1
K-40	< 6.5	< 5.1	< 9.6	< 7.2	< 5.9	< 5.0	39.4 +/- 8.9	< 7.5	< 10.5

\*\* Control Sample Location

#### SAMPLE LOCATIONS - 4TH QTR 2007

Nuclide	Algonquin Sta #	NYU	Fower #	Croton Po	int #27	Training B	ldg #94	Met Towe	er #95	Lovett #	22 **	Roseton	#23	Grassy Po	int #29	Peekskill	#44
Be-7	109.9 +/- 11.9	105.0 +	/- 15.2	89.4 +/-	12.1	119.6 +/-	14.9	102.8 +/-	13.4	99.4 +/-	13.0	109.2 +/-	12.4	96.1 +/-	13.5	102.2 +/-	12.9
Cs-134	< 0.7	< 0	7	< 0.3		< .0.4		< 0.4		< 0.6		< 0.5		< 0.6		< 1.0	
Cs-137	< 0.4	< 0	7	< 0.2		< 0.5		< 0.6		< 0.6		< 0.5		< 0.4		< 0.4	
Zr-95	< 1.4	· < 1	6	< 0.8		< 1.8		< 1.2		< 1.7		< 1.7		< 1.0		< 1.5	
Nb-95	< 1.1	< 1	0	< 1.3		< 2.0		< 1.4		< 1.6		< 0.9		< 1.6		< 1.7	
Co-58	< 0.5	< 1	3	< 0.8		< 1.0		< 1.0		< 1.0		< 0.9		< 1.1		< 1.0	. •
Mn-54	< 0.7	< 0	9	< 0.5		< 0.5		< 0.7		< 0.8		< 0.4		< 0.4		< 0.7	
Zn-65	< 1.9	< 2	3	< 0.8		< 2.5		< 2.1		< 1.7		< 1.5		< 1.3		< 1.8	
Co-60	< 0.8	< 0	9	< 0.8		< 0.8		< 0.6		< 0.6		' < 0.7		< 0.7		< 0.5	
K-40	45.2 +/- 8.9	< 8	2	< 5.2		< 10.2		< 6.0		< 6.9		27.8 +/-	7.6	< 7.6		< 5.5	

\*\* Control Sample Location

B-15

#### IPEC

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHARCOAL CARTRIDGE SAMPLES - 2007

I-131 ACTIVITY pCi/ m<sup>3</sup> ± 1 Sigma

#### SAMPLE STATION #

Week Number	Week End Date	4	5	94	95	23**	22	27	29	44
1	01/02/07	< 0.025	< 0.023	< 0.022	< 0.018	< 0.028	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.020	< 0.021
2	01/09/07	< 0.029	< 0.019	< 0.024	< 0.031	< 0.036	< 0.067	< 0.020	< 0.020	< 0.034
3	01/16/07	< 0.033	< 0.032	< 0.035	< 0.039	< 0.023	< 0.041	< 0.023	< 0.022	< 0.025
4	01/23/07	< 0.022	< 0.020	< 0.023	< 0.029	< 0.034	< 0.046	< 0.022	< 0.013	< 0.007
5	01/30/07	< 0.014	< 0.025	< 0.027	< 0.033	< 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.019	< 0.019	< 0.033
6	02/06/07	< 0.026	< 0.017	< 0.022	< 0.023	< 0.026	< 0.034	< 0.014	< 0.026	< 0.023
7	02/13/07	< 0.056	< 0.024	< 0.027	< 0.047	< 0.039	< 0.058	< 0.035	< 0.030	< 0.045
8	02/20/07	< 0.023	< 0.025	< 0.032	< 0.040	< 0.017	< 0.027	< 0.019	< 0.020	< 0.029
. 9	02/27/07	< 0.051	< 0.036	< 0.030	< 0.042	< 0.029	< 0.046	< 0.035	< 0.033	< 0.011
10	03/06/07	< 0.023	< 0.016	< 0.024	< 0.023	< 0.039	< 0.032	< 0.027	< 0.013	< 0.019
11	03/13/07	< 0.022	< 0.036	< 0.024	< 0.015	< 0.032	< 0.038	< 0.026	< 0.018	< 0.023
12	03/20/07	< 0.033	< 0.028	< 0.031	< 0.021	< 0.036	< 0.044	< 0.027	< 0.017	< 0.025
13	03/27/07	< 0.017	< 0.021	< 0.024	< 0.030	< 0.028	< 0.020	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.027
14	04/03/07	< 0.044	< 0.023	< 0.035	< 0.031	< 0.028	< 0.028	< 0.030	< 0.022	< 0.017
15	04/10/07	< 0.029	< 0.031	< 0.024	< 0.019	< 0.022	< 0.027	< 0.013	< 0.021	< 0.032
16	04/17/07	< 0.038	< 0.027	< 0.032	< 0.026	< 0.036	< 0.068	< 0.025	< 0.017	< 0.029
17	04/24/07 <sup>.</sup>	< 0.021	< 0.030	< 0.026	< 0.023	< 0.026	Deviation Report	< 0.026	< 0.013	< 0.017
18	05/01/07	< 0.023	< 0.016	< 0.023	< 0.038	< 0.023	< 0.028	< 0.026	< 0.023	< 0.032
19	05/08/07	< 0.030	< 0.038	· < 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.034	< 0.041	< 0.029	< 0.017	< 0.020
20	05/15/07	< 0.042	< 0.041	< 0.014	< 0.031	< 0.039	< 0.033	< 0.027	< 0.032	< 0.019
21	05/22/07	< 0.028	< 0.009	< 0.027	< 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.049	< 0.017	< 0.028	< 0.020
22	05/29/07	< 0.036	< 0.024	< 0.031	< 0.039	< 0.016	< 0.018	< 0.022	< 0.031	< 0.039
23	06/05/07	< 0.024	< 0.021	< 0.019	< 0.022	< 0.036	< 0.035	< 0.017	< 0.031	< 0.023
24	06/12/07	< 0.030	< 0.024	< 0.044	< 0.035	< 0.037	< 0.033	< 0.026	< 0.027	< 0.028
25	06/19/07	< 0.035	< 0.021	< 0.026	< 0.030	< 0.033	< 0.029	< 0.022	< 0.029	< 0.032
26	06/26/07	< 0.024	< 0.024	< 0.055	< 0.025	< 0.027	< 0.052	< 0.022	< 0.017	< 0.024

\*\* Control sample location

#### IPEC

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CHARCOAL CARTRIDGE SAMPLES - 2007 I-131 ACTIVITY pCi/ m<sup>3</sup>± 1 Sigma

SAMPLE STATION #

				SAM	PLE STATI	<u>UN #</u>				
Week Number	Week End	4	5	94	95	23**	22	27	29	44
	Date	0.070				- , - 1 % (-2, 2			ر منه <u>کرد مر</u>	<u>a stanoù 11 e</u>
27	07/02/07	< 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.024	<. 0.028	< 0.030	< 0.036	< 0.025	< 0.016	< 0.024
28	07/10/07	< 0.027	< 0.030	< 0.029	< 0.022	< 0.024	< 0.037	< 0.018	< 0.018	< 0.022
29	07/17/07	< 0.032	< 0.005	< 0.020	< 0.032	< 0.025	< 0.035	< 0.009	< 0.023	< 0.010
30	07/24/07	< 0.022	< 0.023	< 0.020	< 0.037	< 0.024	< 0.021	< 0.021	< 0.018	< 0.016
31	07/31/07	< 0.021	< 0.023	< 0.028	< 0.020	< 0.006	< 0.031	< 0.016	< 0.036	< 0.011
32	08/07/07	< 0.034	· < 0.029	< 0.030	< 0.033	< 0.027	< 0.030	< 0.036	< 0.024	< 0.018
33	08/14/07	< 0.035	< 0.025	< 0.028	< 0.022	< 0.027	< 0.053	< 0.021	< 0.025	< 0.009
34	08/21/07	< 0.029	< 0.016	< .0.020	< 0.028	< 0.028	< 0.042	< 0.031	< 0.022	< 0.034
35	08/28/07	< 0.027	< 0.032	< 0.021	< 0.034	< 0.010	< 0.037	< 0.022	< 0.021	< 0.029
36	09/04/07	< 0.032	< 0.006	< 0.032	< 0.021	< 0.029	< 0.028	< 0.026	< 0.025	< 0.021
37	09/11/07	< 0.035	< 0.028	< 0.021	< 0.033	< 0.033	< 0.022	< 0.027	. < 0.016	< 0.031
38	09/18/07	< 0.022	< 0.033	< 0.027	< 0.029	< 0.033	< 0.031	< 0.019	< 0.037	< 0.023
39	09/25/07	< 0.034	< 0.021	< 0.028	< 0.021	< 0.029	< 0.027	< 0.031	< 0.027	< 0.027
40	10/02/07	< 0.028	< 0.016	< 0.030	< 0.036	. < 0.035	< 0.031	< 0.025	< 0.028	< 0.027
41	10/09/07	< 0.029	< 0.029	< 0.037	< 0.007	< 0.036	< 0.034	< 0.027	< 0.014	< 0.027
42	10/16/07	< 0.029	< 0.033	< 0.030	< 0.032	< 0.045	< 0.036	< 0.031	< 0.023	< 0.039
43	10/23/07	< 0.027	< 0.034	< 0.027	< 0.036	< 0.030	< 0.031	< 0.019	< 0.021	< 0.022
44	10/30/07	< 0.028 .	< 0.029	< 0.031	< 0.028	< 0.025	< 0.029	< 0.020	< 0.024	< 0.038
45	11/06/07	< 0.037	< 0.025	< 0.030	< 0.022	< 0.033	< 0.025	< 0.024	< 0.022	< 0.028
46	11/13/07	< 0.026	< 0.029	< 0.040	< 0.030	< 0.024	< 0.026	< 0.033	< 0.031	< 0.023
47	11/19/07	< 0.010	< 0.028	< 0.025	< 0.040	< 0.046	< 0.029	< 0.040	< 0.020	< 0.030
48	11/27/07	< 0.008	< 0.030	< 0.023	< 0.023	< 0.038	< 0.036	< 0.021	< 0.022	< 0.035
49	12/04/07	< 0.030	< 0.024	< 0.007	< 0.022	< 0.023	< 0.044	< 0.032	< 0.026	< 0.028
50	12/11/07	< 0.007	< 0.033	< 0.028	< 0.028	< 0.032	< 0.031	< 0.034	< 0.023	< 0.044
51	12/18/07	< 0.032	< 0.028	< 0.024	< 0.025	< 0.026	< 0.025	< 0.020	< 0.022	< 0.030
52	12/24/07	< 0.039	< 0.042	< 0.037	< 0.046	< 0.028	< 0.037	< 0.024	< 0.027	< 0.035
53	12/31/07	< 0.035	< 0.022	< 0.031	< 0.048	< 0.026	< 0.034	< 0.033	Deviation Report	< 0.034

\*\* Control sample location

#### TABLE B-9 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma #9 PLANT INLET (HUDSON RIVER INTAKE)

Date	01/26/2007	02/23/2007	03/30/2007	04/27/2007	05/25/2007	06/29/2007
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 6.03	< 4.09	< 5.78	< 7.03	< 6.91	< 5.70
Cs-134	< 0.75	< 1.01	< 0.75	< 1.78	< 1.27	< 1.10
Cs-137	< 0.94	< 0.79	< 1.00	< 1.35	< 1.22	< 0.63
Zr-95	< 2.09	< 1.84	< 1.94	< 3.43	< 2.64	< 2.19
Nb-95	< 1.42	< 1.29	< 1.51	< 2.16	< 1.72	< 1.08
Co-58	< 1.07	< 1.00	< 1.30	< 1.59	< 1.24	< 1.29
Mn-54	< 0.90	< 0.89	< 1.12	< 1.71	< 1.14	< 1.10
Fe-59	< 2.97	< 2.99	< 3.36	< 5.19	< 4.03	< 3.47
Zn-65	< 2.12	< 1.06	< 2.55	< 2.01	< 2.95	< 1.38
Co-60	< 0.76	< 0.90	< 1.01	< 1.47	< 1.25	< 0.99
K-40	106.5 +/- 9.51	321.7 +/- 12.43	157.9 +/- 11.24	349.6 +/- 21.96	127.3 +/- 12.77	326.4 +/- 13.70
Ba/La-140	. < 3.13	< 2.48	< 3.11	< 3.82	< 4.20	< 3.65
Date	07/27/2007	08/31/2007	09/28/2007	10/26/2007	11/30/2007	12/31/2007
NUCLIDE	n an			a dae 1994 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 Antisa Bili Shine - Daimini Anti		
I-131	< 5.34	< 7.00	< 6.87	< 6.72	< 6.89	< 6.75
Cs-134	< 1.41	< 1.37	< 1.45	< 1.09	< 1.14	< 1.98
Cs-137	< 1.18	< 1.14	< 1.84	< 1.54	< 1.05	< 1.88
Zr-95	< 2.65	< 2.70	< 4.08	< 2.94	< 2.23	< 3.06
Nb-95	< 1.70	< 2.12	< 2.65	< 1.40	< 1.47	< 2.30
Co-58	< 1.40	< 1.56	< 1.95	< 1.77	< 1.14	< 2.19
Mn-54	< 1.34	< 1.25	< 2.05	< 1.41	< 0.96	< 1.63
Fe-59	< 4.27	< 4.49	< 5.08	< 4.31	< 3.94	< 5.69
Zn-65	< 1.69	< 1.57	< 4.72	< 1.91	< 1.41	< 4.72
Co-60	< 1.44	< 1.24	< 2.11	< 1.33	< 1.12	< 1.96
K-40	361.6 +/- 18.82	348.3 +/- 17.84	365.1 +/- 27.71	190.2 +/- 14.55	337.6 +/- 14.56	163.9 +/- 20.54
Ba/La-140	< 4.15	< 4.10	< 5.35	< 3.73	< 3.89	< 4.09

#### TABLE B-9 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma #10 DISCHARGE CANAL (MIXING ZONE)

Date	01/26/2007	02/23/2007	03/30/2007	04/27/2007	05/25/2007	06/29/2007
NUCLIDE						·영국 회사회 사실 전 사실 전 가 1월 1월 11 - 전 21 4일 전 3
I-131	< 6.91	< 4.02	< 6.75	< 6.57	< 6.42	< 6.95
Cs-134	< 1.22	< 0.68	< 0.87	< 0.81	< 1.32	< 1.50
Cs-137	< 1.45	< 1.09	< 1.30	< 1.24	< 1.29	< 1.36
Zr-95	< 3.33	< 2.02	< 2.81	< 2.44	< 2.30	< 3.21
Nb-95	< 2.04	< 1.40	< 2.07	< 1.94	< 1.90	< 2.17
Co-58	< 1.86	< 1.23	< 1.61	< 1.41	< 1.49	< 1.87
Mn-54	< 1.75	< 1.06	< 1.21	< 1.43	< 1.29	< 1.55
Fe-59	< 4.00	< 3.51	< 5.05	< 4.47	< 4.21	< 4.85
Zn-65	< 3.89	< 2.56	< 3.44	< 1.79	< 3.04	< 1.80
Co-60	< 1.40	< 1.27	< 1.58	< 1.24	< 1.23	< 1.34
K-40	128 +/- 14.77	271.2 +/- 14.85	162.4 +/- 15.84	122 +/- 13.66	293.2 +/- 17.84	277.1 +/- 19.80
Ba/La-140	< 3.55	< 4.01	< 5.30	< 3.96	< 3.82	< 4.13
Date	07/27/2007	08/31/2007	09/28/2007	10/26/2007	11/30/2007	12/31/2007
Date NUCLIDE	07/27/2007	08/31/2007	09/28/2007	10/26/2007	11/30/2007	12/31/2007
	07/27/2007 < 5.19	08/31/2007 < 6.15	09/28/2007 < 5.74	10/26/2007 < 6.41	<pre>11/30/2007 &lt; 6.59</pre>	12/31/2007 < 5.42
NUCLIDE						
NUCLIDE I-131	< 5.19	< 6.15	< 5.74	< 6.41	< 6.59	< 5.42
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134	< 5.19 < 0.72	< 6.15 < 0.79	< 5.74 < 1.70	< 6.41 < 1.84	< 6.59 < 1.45	< 5.42 < 1.03
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08	< 6.15 < 0.79 < 0.69	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56	< 6.41 < 1.84 < 1.81	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08 < 2.09	< 6.15 < 0.79 < 0.69 < 1.65	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56 < 2.99	< 6.41 < 1.84 < 1.81 < 4.03	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33 < 2.43	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21 < 2.75
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08 < 2.09 < 1.56	< 6.15 < 0.79 < 0.69 < 1.65 < 1.20	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56 < 2.99 < 2.24	< 6.41 < 1.84 < 1.81 < 4.03 < 2.73	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33 < 2.43 < 1.75	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21 < 2.75 < 1.86
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08 < 2.09 < 1.56 < 1.14	< 6.15 < 0.79 < 0.69 < 1.65 < 1.20 < 0.93	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56 < 2.99 < 2.24 < 1.81	<ul> <li>&lt; 6.41</li> <li>&lt; 1.84</li> <li>&lt; 1.81</li> <li>&lt; 4.03</li> <li>&lt; 2.73</li> <li>&lt; 1.89</li> </ul>	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33 < 2.43 < 1.75 < 1.77	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21 < 2.75 < 1.86 < 1.55
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08 < 2.09 < 1.56 < 1.14 < 1.07	< 6.15 < 0.79 < 0.69 < 1.65 < 1.20 < 0.93 < 0.75	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56 < 2.99 < 2.24 < 1.81 < 1.58	<ul> <li>&lt; 6.41</li> <li>&lt; 1.84</li> <li>&lt; 1.81</li> <li>&lt; 4.03</li> <li>&lt; 2.73</li> <li>&lt; 1.89</li> <li>&lt; 1.58</li> </ul>	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33 < 2.43 < 1.75 < 1.77 < 1.36	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21 < 2.75 < 1.86 < 1.55 < 1.48
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08 < 2.09 < 1.56 < 1.14 < 1.07 < 3.05	$< 6.15 \\< 0.79 \\< 0.69 \\< 1.65 \\< 1.20 \\< 0.93 \\< 0.75 \\< 2.70$	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56 < 2.99 < 2.24 < 1.81 < 1.58 < 4.17	<pre>&lt; 6.41 &lt; 1.84 &lt; 1.81 &lt; 4.03 &lt; 2.73 &lt; 1.89 &lt; 1.58 &lt; 4.95</pre>	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33 < 2.43 < 1.75 < 1.77 < 1.36 < 4.53	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21 < 2.75 < 1.86 < 1.55 < 1.48 < 4.58
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65	< 5.19 < 0.72 < 1.08 < 2.09 < 1.56 < 1.14 < 1.07 < 3.05 < 1.35	$< 6.15 \\< 0.79 \\< 0.69 \\< 1.65 \\< 1.20 \\< 0.93 \\< 0.75 \\< 2.70 \\< 0.95$	< 5.74 < 1.70 < 1.56 < 2.99 < 2.24 < 1.81 < 1.58 < 4.17 < 3.49	<pre>&lt; 6.41 &lt; 1.84 &lt; 1.81 &lt; 4.03 &lt; 2.73 &lt; 1.89 &lt; 1.58 &lt; 4.95 &lt; 3.31</pre>	< 6.59 < 1.45 < 1.33 < 2.43 < 1.75 < 1.77 < 1.36 < 4.53 < 3.06	< 5.42 < 1.03 < 1.21 < 2.75 < 1.86 < 1.55 < 1.48 < 4.58 < 3.34

# TABLE B-10 CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES - 2007

#### (QUARTERLY COMPOSITE SAMPLES)

STATION CODE	PERIOD	DA	ATE	TRITIUM
	First Quarter	12/29/06	03/30/07	<476
PLANT INTAKE (HUDSON RIVER)	Second Quarter	03/30/07	06/29/07	< 443
(09, INLET) **	Third Quarter	06/29/07	09/28/07	< 449
	Fourth Quarter	09/28/07	12/31/07	< 439
	First Quarter	12/29/06	03/30/07	<476
DISCHARGE CANAL	Second Quarter	03/30/07	06/29/07	< 443
(10, MIXING ZONE)	Third Quarter	06/29/07	09/28/07	< 449
	Fourth Quarter	09/28/07	12/31/07	<439

#### Results in Units of pCi/l ± 1 Sigma

\*\* Control Sample location

B-20

#### TABLE B-11 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma CAMP FIELD RESERVOIR

Date	01/09/2007	02/06/2007	03/06/2007	04/03/2007	05/08/2007	06/12/2007
NÚCLIDE	일 수 있는 것을 받는 것을 많이		에 관하게 이야지 않는 것같이 다. 요즘은 일반가도 있는 것같은 것이 같이 다.			
I-131	< 0.25	< 0.26	< 0.37	< 0.35	< 0.27	< 0.41
Cs-134	< 2.23	< 3.52	< 3.96	< 4.42	< 3.57	< 2.52
Cs-137	< 2.73	< 3.68	< 2.95	< 2.50	< 3.18	< 2.45
Zr-95	< 3.24	< 5.06	< 6.19	< 5.39	< 5.92	< 4.18
. Nb-95	< 2.78	< 3.97	< 4.24	< 4.49	< 3.37	< 2.83
Co-58	< 2.13	< 3.51	< 3.98	< 4.44	< 3.60	< 2.31
Mn-54	< 2.16	< 2.97	< 2.97	< 4.11	< 4.00	< 2.24
Fe-59	< 5.15	< 9.96	< 10.92	< 8.47	< 12.62	< 5.16
Zn-65	< 5.21 -	< 6.54	< 8.03	< 9.12	< 7.78	< 4.50
Co-60	< 1.74	< 5.52	< 5.04	< 2.30	< 4.42	< 2.21
K-40	321.2 +/- 35.25	231.5 +/- 45.77	< 42.91	275.6 +/- 48.57	89.5 +/- 31.21	99.1 +/- 26.92
Ba/La-140	< 2.90	< 4.96	< 4.80	< 5.31	< 4.94	< 2.14
Date	07/10/2007	08/07/2007	09/11/2007	10/09/2007	11/19/2007	12/18/2007
NUCLIDE .						
I-131	< 0.33	< 0.31	< 0.38	< 0.32		A MARINE COMPANY STREET, S. P.
Cs-134	< 2.59	< 2.84	< 1.94	< 2.51	< 1.63	< 1.93
Cs-137	< 2.62	< 2.12	< 3.58	< 3.15	< 2.38	< 2.77
Zr-95	< 4.35	< 4.60	< 5.99	< 4.86	< 3.65	. < 3.94
Nb-95	< 2.91	< 2.71	< 4.46	< 3.16	< 2.16	< 2.32
Co-58	< 2.23	< 2.49	< 4.50	< 3.36	< 2.16	< 2.88
′ Mn-54	< 2.80	< 1.90	< 4.28	< 3.49	< 1.94	< 2.41
Fe-59	< 6.52	< 7.41	< 9.80	< 8.79	< 3.99	< 8.78
Zn-65	< 6.36	< 6.05	< 13.23	< 6.39	< 6.45	< 9.14
Co-60	< 2.42	< 2.84	< 3.90	< 3.97	< 2.25	< 2.58
K-40	197.3 +/- 31.68	133.6 +/- 30.08	326.8 +/- 47.02	85.98 +/- 32.57	131 +/- 22.62	215 +/- 32.21
Ba/La-140	< 3.49	< 3.06	< 2.35	< 3.13	. < 3.23	< 3.44

# TABLE B-11 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma NEW CROTON RESERVOIR

Date						
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 0.26	< 0.23	< 0.34	. < 0.32	< 0.34	< 0.30
Cs-134	< 3.65	< 3.50	< 2.84	< 3.64	< 2.81	< 3.26
Cs-137	< 3.17	< 3.53	< 2.90	< 3.89	< 2.88	< 2.52
Zr-95	< 5.16	< 6.50	< 6.03	< 5.77	< 5.99	< 4.92
Nb-95	< 3.60	< 4.16	< 2.68	< 3.53	< 3.65	< 3.05
Co-58	< 2.62	< 3.71	< 2.24	< 3.49	< 3.90	< 2.88
Mn-54	< 2.70	< 3.22	< 2.49	< 3.19	< 4.28	< 2.97
Fe-59	< 7.57	< 6.41	< 5.37	< 12.84	< 9.82	< 9.08
Zn-65	< 9.13	< 3.31	< 6.83	< 8.86	< 11.53	< 7.41
Co-60	< 3.08	< 3.60	< 2.31	< 4.21	< 4.21	< 2.66
K-40	221.9 +/- 38.95	< 40.52	177 +/- 32.14	243.1 +/- 47.97	347.2 +/- 53.88	137.6 +/- 27.55
Ba/La-140	< 4.62	< 4.21	< 2.23	< 6.23	< 5.28	< 3.96
Date	07/10/2007	08/07/2007	09/11/2007	10/09/2007	11/19/2007	12/18/2007
Date NUCLIDE	07/10/2007	08/07/2007	09/11/2007	10/09/2007	11/19/2007	12/18/2007
	07/10/2007 < 0.29	< 0.25	<u>09/11/2007</u> < 0.31	10/09/2007 < 0.30	11/19/2007	12/18/2007
NUCLIDE					< 1.78	12/18/2007 < 2.89
I-131	< 0.29	< 0.25	< 0.31	< 0.30		
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134	< 0.29 < 2.35	< 0.25 < 2.38	< 0.31 < 3.40	< 0.30 < 2.51	< 1.78	< 2.89
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29	< 0.30 < 2.51 < 2.29	< 1.78 < 1.96	< 2.89 < 2.39
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45 < 4.43	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19 < 3.78	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29 < 3.75	< 0.30 < 2.51 < 2.29 < 5.18	< 1.78 < 1.96 < 4.34	< 2.89 < 2.39 < 5.13
I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45 < 4.43 < 2.62	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19 < 3.78 < 2.89	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29 < 3.75 < 3.69	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.30</li> <li>&lt; 2.51</li> <li>&lt; 2.29</li> <li>&lt; 5.18</li> <li>&lt; 2.78</li> </ul>	< 1.78 < 1.96 < 4.34 < 3.00	< 2.89 < 2.39 < 5.13 < 3.19
I-131 Cs-134 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45 < 4.43 < 2.62 < 2.59	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19 < 3.78 < 2.89 < 2.61	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29 < 3.75 < 3.69 < 2.49	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.30</li> <li>&lt; 2.51</li> <li>&lt; 2.29</li> <li>&lt; 5.18</li> <li>&lt; 2.78</li> <li>&lt; 3.11</li> </ul>	< 1.78 < 1.96 < 4.34 < 3.00 < 2.52	< 2.89 < 2.39 < 5.13 < 3.19 < 3.00
I-131 Cs-134 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45 < 4.43 < 2.62 < 2.59 < 2.88	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19 < 3.78 < 2.89 < 2.61 < 2.85	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29 < 3.75 < 3.69 < 2.49 < 3.62	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.30</li> <li>&lt; 2.51</li> <li>&lt; 2.29</li> <li>&lt; 5.18</li> <li>&lt; 2.78</li> <li>&lt; 3.11</li> <li>&lt; 3.42</li> </ul>	< 1.78 < 1.96 < 4.34 < 3.00 < 2.52 < 2.30	< 2.89 < 2.39 < 5.13 < 3.19 < 3.00 < 2.54
NUCLIDE           I-131           Cs-134           Cs-137           Zr-95           Nb-95           Co-58           Mn-54           Fe-59	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45 < 4.43 < 2.62 < 2.59 < 2.88 < 8.76	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19 < 3.78 < 2.89 < 2.61 < 2.85 < 6.30	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29 < 3.75 < 3.69 < 2.49 < 3.62 < 7.84	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.30</li> <li>&lt; 2.51</li> <li>&lt; 2.29</li> <li>&lt; 5.18</li> <li>&lt; 2.78</li> <li>&lt; 3.11</li> <li>&lt; 3.42</li> <li>&lt; 7.54</li> </ul>	< 1.78 < 1.96 < 4.34 < 3.00 < 2.52 < 2.30 < 6.24	< 2.89 < 2.39 < 5.13 < 3.19 < 3.00 < 2.54 < 7.14
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65	< 0.29 < 2.35 < 2.45 < 4.43 < 2.62 < 2.59 < 2.88 < 8.76 < 5.36	< 0.25 < 2.38 < 2.19 < 3.78 < 2.89 < 2.61 < 2.85 < 6.30 < 5.36	< 0.31 < 3.40 < 3.29 < 3.75 < 3.69 < 2.49 < 3.62 < 7.84 < 7.96	<ul> <li>&lt; 0.30</li> <li>&lt; 2.51</li> <li>&lt; 2.29</li> <li>&lt; 5.18</li> <li>&lt; 2.78</li> <li>&lt; 3.11</li> <li>&lt; 3.42</li> <li>&lt; 7.54</li> <li>&lt; 5.91</li> </ul>	< 1.78 < 1.96 < 4.34 < 3.00 < 2.52 < 2.30 < 6.24 < 3.12	< 2.89 < 2.39 < 5.13 < 3.19 < 3.00 < 2.54 < 7.14 < 7.29

# TABLE B-12CONCENTRATIONS OF TRITIUM IN DRINKING WATER SAMPLES – 2007

# (QUARTERLY COMPOSITE SAMPLES)

# Results in Units of pCi/l ± 1 Sigma

STATION CODE	PERIOD	DA	TE	TRITIUM
	First Quarter	12/12/06	03/06/07	< 461.00
CAMP FIELD RESERVOIR	Second Quarter	03/06/07	06/12/07	< 436.00
· · ·	Third Quarter	06/12/07	09/11/07	< 448
	Fourth Quarter	09/11/07	12/18/07	< 433
	First Quarter	12/12/06	03/06/07	< 461.00
NEW CROTON RESEVOIR	Second Quarter	03/06/07	06/12/07	< 436.00
	Third Quarter	06/12/07	09/11/07	< 448
	Fourth Quarter	09/11/07	12/18/07	< 433

B-23

Sample Location		COLD SPRING SHORELINE	LENTS COVE SHORELINE	MANITOU SHORELINE	VERPLANCK SHORELINE	WHITE BEACH SHORELINE
Date		06/06/2007	06/06/2007	06/06/2007	06/07/2007	06/07/2007
Client ID		ISS842307	ISS282307	ISS502307	ISS172307	ISS532307
Radionuclide	Req. CL				L	
Be-7		< 234.9	< 319.8	< 448.6	< 165.3	< 176.4
I-131		< 44.2	< 80.7	< 118.3	< 39.9	< 30.3
Cs-134	75	< 31.4	< 46.2	< 36.2	< 11.3	< 11.2
Cs-137	90	< 26.0	< 54.7	357.0 +/- 33.4	63.3 +/- 18.1	< 19.6
Zr-95		< 46.6	< 82.9	< 62.3	< 41.3	< 35.7
Nb-95		< 34.6	< 68.6	< 55.3	< 30.0	< 26.3
Co-58		< 30.3	< 39.5	< 47.8	< 22.2	< 18.6
Mn-54		< 28.7	< 49.1	< 46.4	< 15.1	< 20.0
Zn-65		< 92.4	< 52.5	< 115.3	< 54.8	< 61.3
Fe-59		< 95.9	< 143.4	< 125.4	< 53.6	< 60.2
Co-60		< 37.9	< 41.0	< 49.4	< 22.1	< 15.5
Ba/La-140		< 40.3	< 96.6	< 59.0	< 45.4	< 30.9
Ru-103		< 20.6	< 44.6	< 51.2	< 20.4	< 22.2
Ru-106		< 298.9	< 381.1	< 555.5	< 264.8	< 202.5
Ce-141		< 45.9	< 63.6	< 86.0	< 39.8	< 30.7
Ce-144		< 164.1	< 259.6	< 341.1	< 150.2	< 104.7
AcTh-228		468.4 +/- 98.0	1052.0 +/- 187.2	806.3 +/- 154.1	194.3 +/- 64.0	< 61.5
Ra-226		< 593.0	2250.0 +/- 702.7	2006.0 +/- 737.3	1194.0 +/- 388.5	979.4 +/- 314.7
K-40		36000.0 +/- 1070.0	15770.0 +/- 1077.0	24470.0 +/- 1177.0	5423.0 +/- 411.2	9411.0 +/- 598.1
Sr-90	5000				< 140	

# TABLE B-13CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN SHORELINE SOIL SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location		COLD SPRING SHORELINE	LENTS COVE SHORELINE	MANITOU SHORELINE	VERPLANCK SHORELINE	WHITE BEACH SHORELINE
Date		09/06/2007	09/06/2007	09/06/2007	.09/07/2007	09/07/2007
Client ID		ISS843607	ISS283607	ISS503607	ISS173607R1	ISS533607
Radionuclide	Req. CL	· ·				
Be-7		< 312.9	< 332.2	< 615.1	< 175.9	< 167.6
I-131		< 46.4	< 57.6	< 86.9	< 30.9	< 26.5
Cs-134	75	< 24.2	< 39.9	< 58.2	< 23.4	< 18.5
Cs-137	90	< 38.3	< 37.3	< 67.0	149.0 +/- 22.6	< 19.2
Zr-95		< 70.0	< 59.9	< 107.1	< 36.7	< 30.8
Nb-95		< 38.2	< 52.4	< 78.1	< 20.1	< 27.2
Co-58		< 37.7	< 43.3	< 32.7	< 18.6	< 20.7
Mn-54		< 33.6	< 37.3	< 48.7	< 24.7	< 20.3
Zn-65		< 68.5	< 46.1	< 198.1	< 58.9	< 66.3
Fe-59		< 147.0	< 107.0	< 98.2	< 57.7	< 51.6
Co-60		< 50.9	< 39.5	< 39.9	< 21.2	< 28.4
Ba/La-140		< 57.0	< 55.1	< 114.6	< 22.2	< 24.2
Ru-103		< 32.3	< 39.7	< 52.4	< 24.4	< 18.8
Ru-106		< 268.8	< 309.3	< 383.3	< 163.2	< 191.8
Ce-141		< 51.7	< 58.8	< 80.1	< 31.8	< 23.7
Ce-144		< 167.4	< 235.8	< 324.0	< 123.3	< 112.0
AcTh-228		691.6 +/- 138.8	992.0 +/- 151.6	1380.0 +/- 284.0	141.9 +/- 71.2	< 77.8
Ra-226		1508.0 +/- 492.5	3924.0 +/- 683.2	2561.0 +/- 1041.0	748.8 +/- 371.0	< 397.7
K-40		31600.0 +/- 1200.0	14540.0 +/- 894.9	10230.0 +/- 1239.0	8613.0 +/- 624.5	8537.0 +/- 586.1
Sr-90	5000	< 300	< 350	< 370	< 270	< 1200

TABLE B-13CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN SHORELINE SOIL SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma

.

## TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #95 Meteorological Tower

,

`

Be-7 I-131	eq. CL	BV952107S3 MULLEN 73.05 18.99	1BV952107S2 RAG WEED 441.1 +/- 81.2	IBV952107S1 MOTHERS WORT	IBV952607SI GRAPE LEAVES	IBV952607S2 RAGWEED	IBV952607S3 MULLEN
Be-7 I-131	50	73.05		MOTHERS WORT	GRAPE LEAVES	RAGWEED	MULLEN
<u>I-131</u>	50 <		4411 +/- 812				MULLEN
		18.99	/ VI.L	357.1 +/- 73.5	394.1 +/- 53.9	681.3 +/- 84.9	422.9 +/- 82.2
Cs-134	50 <		< 16.52	< 27.21	< 7.27	< 10.59	< 13.44
00-107		11.49	< 13.43	< 11.74	< 8.34	< 13.63	< 14.78
Cs-137	50 <	9.71	< 9.92	< 8.97	< 6.35	< 10.15	< 11.96
Zr-95	<	14.46	< 14.50	< 15.75	< 11.71	< 19. <u>5</u> 5	< 19.25
Nb-95	<	9.44	. < 11.31	< 13.31	< 7.76	< 12.38	< 10.99
Co-58	<	11.60	< 9.71	< 13.34	< 7.53	< 13.16	< 11.02
Mn-54	<	10.51	< 11.30	< 10.59	< 7.54	< 11.54	< 11.06
Zn-65	<	29.73	< 28.85	< 31.51	< 19.45	< 29.27	< 29.20
Fe-59	<	30.05	< 46.86	< 48.51	< 19.06	< 42.10	< 32.25
Co-60	<	8.62	< 12.01	< 8.51	< 6.92	< 16.93	< 16.49
Ba/La-140	<	13.10	< 18.44	< 28.56	< 6.74	< 13.62	< 11.06
Ru-103	<	9.16	< 9.82	< 12.63	< 6.23	< 10.93	< 10.39
Ru-106	<	91.08	< 93.88	< 120.90	< 74.87	< 94.36	< 120.80
Ce-141	<	12.72	< 12.38	< 16.66	< 9.80	< 11.93	< 14.98
Ce-144	<	48.86	< 42.50	< 58.89	< 41.41	< 47.90	< 58.53
AcTh-228	~ ~	34.94	< 37.75	< 46.54	< 25.89	< 48.41	< 53.57
Ra-226	<	146.30	< 150.70	312.4 +/- 131.1	218.8 +/- 121.9	280.2 +/- 138.6	< 210.50
K-40	5208.0	) +/- 275.0	6498.0 +/- 300.7	4962.0 +/- 320.2	4307.0 +/- 203.4	10580.0 +/- 386.5	7602.0 +/- 395.4

### TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #95 Meteorological Tower

Sample Location Date		MET TOWER 07/24/2007	MET TOWER 07/24/2007	MET TOWER 07/24/2007	MÉT TOWER 08/14/2007	MET-TOWER 08/14/2007	MET TOWER 08/14/2007
Client ID		IBV953007S1	IBV953007S2	IBV953007S3	IBV953307S1	IBV953307S2	IBV953307S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL	GRAPE LEAVES	RAGWEED	MULLEN	GRAPE LEAVE	RAGWEED	CATALPA
Be-7		491.8 +/- 77.3	1007.0 +/- 99.2	1258.0 +/- 131.6	746.5 +/- 73.1	2332.0 +/- 121.1	1047.0 +/- 95.8
I-131	50	< 12.16	< 17.77	< 18.01	< 16.82	< 16.43	< 18.17
Cs-134	50	< 9.64 ·	< 8.67	< 7.73	< 8.73	< 11.80	<u>&lt; 1</u> 2.56
Cs-137	50	< 9.37	< 9.48	< 12.30	< 6.74	< 9.00	< 10.80
Zr-95		< 20.83	< 22.03	< 17.45	< 13.15	< 16.85	< 19.57
Nb-95		< 10.04	< 13.02	< 13.63	< 9.27	< 12.70	< 12.71
Co-58		< 9.49	< 11.87	< 12.23	< 7.84	< 9.80	< 11.56
Mn-54		< 10.05	< 13.38	< 11.92	< 7.96	< 9.31	< 12.17
Zn-65		< 32.62	< 31.94	< 36.43	< 18.90	< 30.37	< 36.59
Fe-59		< 33.42	< 35.49	< 41.73	< 25.76	< 32.09	< 37.74
Co-60		< 9.03	< 11.71	< 13.49	< 9.40	< 9.52	< 16.51
Ba/La-140		< 18.70	< 16.44	< 11.31	< 11.85	< 17.74	< 23.26
Ru-103		< 11.85	< 10.24	< 11.49	< 7.43	< 9.68	< 10.86
Ru-106		< 96.38	< 109.60	< 111.90	< 89.75	< 93.88	< 95.17
Ce-141		< 11.69	< 17.94	< 13.90	< 11.84	< 12.37	< 15.04
Ce-144		< 43.14	< 69.45	< 55.13	< 44.93	< 42.83	< 46.99
AcTh-228		< 40.90	< 40.06	.< 44.84	< 25.64	< 34.75	< _43.88
Ra-226		< 175.60	< 225.80	< 212.60	< 139.50	340.6 +/- 97.3	366.8 +/- 133.1
K-40		3716.0 +/- 264.0	8313.0 +/- 343.1	6807.0 +/- 380.4	4246.0 +/- 205.8	7933.0 +/- 300.7	6510.0 +/- 288.6

## TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #95 Meteorological Tower

Sample Location Date		MET TOWER 09/18/2007	MET TOWER 09/18/2007	MET TOWER 09/18/2007	MET TOWER 10/16/2007	MET.TOWER 10/16/2007	MET TOWER 10/16/2007
Client ID		IBV953807S1	IBV953807S2	1BV953807S3	IBV954207S1	IBV954207S2	1BV954207S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL	GRAPE LEAVES	RAGWEED	MULLEIN	GRAPE LEAVES	RAGWEED	MULLEIN
Be-7		929.3 +/- 94.6	1715.0 +/- 118.3	362.2 +/- 85.9	1214.0 +/- 96.9	2203.0 +/- 157.3	755.2 +/- 94.1
I-131	50	< 9.79	< 12.14	< 13.83	< 12.85	< 14.82	<11.32
Cs-134	50	< 13.59	< 13.33	< 9.17	< 8.50	< 18.55	< 12.13
Cs-137	50	< 7.73	< 9.84	< 14.32 ·	< 6.62	< 13.55	< 9.82
Zr-95		< 14.96	< 18.34	< 23.08	< 14.97_	< 23.41	< 16.86
Nb-95		< 10.44	< 10.14	< 16.12	< 10.20	< 13.46	< 12.26
Co-58		< 11.80	< 12.51	< 15.19	< 8.45	< 17.00	< 8.66
Mn-54		< 10.44	< 11.69	< 15.53	< 8.22	< 13.48	< 10.57
Zn-65		< 23.10	< 27.20	< 44.00	< 21.80	< 32.83	< 26.33
Fe-59		< 23.00	< 28.69	< 48.12	< 20.26	< 40.10	< 35.01
Co-60		< 12.11	< 9.10	< 21.25	< 12.40	< 20.87	< 12.86
Ba/La-140		< 11.41	< 9.33	< 16.40	< 6.78	< 17.40	< 12.12
Ru-103		< 8.41	< 8.92	< 10.75	< 8.10	< 12.76	< 8.35
Ru-106		< 103.00	< 99.95	< 117.90	< 75.51	<u> </u>	< 108.80
Ce-141		< 13.27	< 13.78	< 15.38	< 12.01	< 17.88	< 11.26
Ce-144		< 56.27	< 64.28	< 57.44	< 53.08	< 82.95	< 49.55
AcTh-228		< 34.20	< 46.23	< 56.26	< 31.59	< 54.58	< 35.01
Ra-226		<u>299.2 +/- 1</u> 79.2	< 200.70	< 250.10	< 174.80	510.8 +/- 216.9	< 190.40
K-40		3719.0 +/- 249.0	7667.0 +/- 347.4	7117.0 +/- <u>373.7</u>	4006.0 +/- 228.4	8246.0 +/- 454.5	<u>6177.0 +/- 309.9</u>
L]							

IABLE B-14							
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007							
Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma							
#23 Roseton **							

m or n n

Sample Location Date		ROSETON 05/24/2007	ROSETON 05/24/2007	ROSETON- 05/24/2007	ROSETON 06/25/2007	ROSETON 06/25/2007	ROSETON 06/25/2007
Client ID		IBV232107S1	IBV232107S2	IBV232107S3	IBV232607S1	IBV232607S2	IBV232607S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL	RAGWEED	BURDOCK	MULLEN	RAGWEED	BURDOCK	MULLEN
Be-7		406.5 +/- 95.8	389.9 +/- 59.2	165.9 +/- 64.9	1084.0 +/- 105.7	1352.0 +/- 83.5	1130.0 +/- 135.4
I-131	50	< 27.19	< 16.65	< 23.51	< 12.27	< 8.19	< 17.41
Cs-134	50	< 12.10	< 9.90	< 12.94	< 13.35	< 10.85	< 17.92
Cs-137	50	< 10.85	< 6.89	< 9.67	< 11.65	< 8.25	< 15.90
Zr-95		< 21.42	< 17.45	< 16.28	< 18.80	< 14.73	< 24.09
Nb-95		< 14.49	< 9.31	< 11.56	< 12.70	< 7.45	< 15.30
Co-58		< 12.42	< 7.19	< 11.21	< 12.95	< 8.47	< 9.28
Mn-54		< 13.49	< 9.65	< 9.25	< 10.63	< 8.71	< 19.39
Zn-65		< 31.73	< 30.83	< 22.32	< 29.58	< 23.68	< 46.40
Fe-59		< 57.99	< 24.10	< 33.86	< 41.95	< 26.95	< 44.85
Co-60		< 13.58-	< 9.40	< 9.70	< 15.35	< 8.44	< 16.27
Ba/La-140		< 9.90	< 15.22	< 19.09	< 11.92	< 12.88	< 16.78
Ru-103	, .	< 10.99	< 6.78	< 12.63	< 10.31	< 7.76	< 11.72
Ru-106		< 99.86	< 62.41	< 110.00	< 126.00	< 82.92	< 138.00
Ce-141		< 17.01	< 9.87	< 12.25	< 12.39	< 9.39	< 17.78
Ce-144		< 66.45	< 33.14	< 46.19	< 59.93	< 32.27	< 74.82
AcTh-228		< 37.64	< 29.74	< 34.95	< 47.51	< 28.42	< 53.33
Ra-226		< 208.30	< 115.40	< 155.70	< 208.70	< 130.50	385.0 +/- 213.4
K-40		8773.0 +/- 406.3	5144.0 +/- 249.1	3757.0 +/- 252.3	10020.0 +/- 412.2	6088.0 +/- 248.3	5830.0 +/- 402.7

\*\* Control Sample Location

### TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #23 Roseton \*\*

Sample Location Date		ROSETON 07/23/2007	ROSETON 07/23/2007	ROSETON 07/23/2007	ROSETON .08/13/2007	ROSETON 08/13/2007	ROSETON 08/13/2007
Client ID		IBV233007S1	IBV233007S2	IBV233007S3	IBV233307S1	1BV233307S2	IBV233307S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL (pCi)	CATALPA	BURDOCK	MULLEN	GRAPE LEAVES	RAGWEED	MULLEN
Be-7		141.5 +/- 71.0	1411.0 +/- 107.5	504.4 +/- 82.2	541.5 +/- 88.5	1990.0 +/- 144.4	2027.0 +/- 139.7
I-131	50	< 13.77	< 14.60	< 18.33	< 23.19	< 26.68	< 25.24
Cs-134	50	< 11.49	< 9.97	< 11.34	< 13.95	< 17.31	< 13.58
Cs-137	50	< 9.32	< 6.86	< 11.06	< 12.91	< 11.74	< 10.15
Zr-95		< 11.35	< 11.77	< 18.85	< 19.02	< 24.50	< 11.85
Nb-95		< 8.55	< 6.61	< 8.87	< 12.49	< 15.12	< 17.40
Co-58		< 11.24	< 7.95	< 11.34	< 12.11	< 16.73	< 8.45
Mn-54		< 8.06	< 9.92	< 9.94	< 8.51	< 14.63	< 9.53
Zn-65		< 17.59	< 23.96	< 29.01	< 33.00	< 46.81	< 15.40
Fe-59		< 32.87	< 23.90	< 33.82	< 22.92	< 52.13	< 37.44
Co-60		< 9.29	< 11.90	< 15.87	< 15.42	< 21.23	< 10.64
Ba/La-140		< 6.85	< 10.46	< 8.64	< 17.60	< 24.23	< 24.24
Ru-103		< 11.55	< 8.32	< 9.01	< 11.06	< 13.27	< 12.54
Ru-106		< 66.64	< 85.69	< 96.44	< 85.24	< 124.30	< 110.80
Ce-141		< 10.71	< 12.36	< 13.70	< 12.54	< 17.30	< 16.31
Ce-144		< 39.73	< 42.25	< 61.21	< 42.12	< 53.71	< 59.70
AcTh-228		< 22.66	< 30.64	< 42.01	< 40.03	< 48.92	< 36.33
Ra-226		< 157.10	< 155.70	< 192.40	< 181.20	< 220.00	< 183.90
K-40		2478.0 +/- 211.3	6527.0 +/- 298.3	4840.0 +/- 277.8	3640.0 +/- 246.0	7920.0 +/- 358.9	3590.0 +/- 243.8

\*\* Control Sample Location

.

~

Υ.

### TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #23 Roseton \*\*

.

1

Sample Location Date		ROSETON 09/17/2007	ROSETON 09/17/2007	ROSETON 09/17/2007	ROSETON 10/15/2007	ROSETON 10/15/2007	ROSETON 10/15/2007
Client ID		IBV233807S1	IBV233807S2	IBV233807S3	IBV234207S1	IBV234207S2	IBV234207S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL	MULLEIN	RAGWEED	CATALPA	MULLEN	RAGWEED	CATALPA
Be-7		1589.0 +/- 142.8	994.1 +/- 114.0	693.1 +/- 87.2	1679.0 +/- 185.7	1961.0 +/- 140.4	1771.0 +/- 94.1
I-131	50	< 17.12	< 11.84	< 10.81	< 21.35	< 15.31	< 11.14
Cs-134	50	< 16.78	< 12.18	< 12.76	< 21.33	< 12.20	< 8.23
Cs-137	50	< 14.27	< 12.29	< 8.32	< 17.33	< 12.82	< 7.78
Zr-95		< 23.44	< 22.37	< 18.96	< 19.63	< 23.40	< 12.55
Nb-95		< 16.53	< 11.41	< 7.72	< 21.11	< 13.88	< 7.76
Co-58		< 14.47	< 11.52	< 11.48	< 16.56	< 12.36	< 5.86
Mn-54		< 15.07	< 11.84	< 8.76	< 18.93	< 12.90	< 7.08
Zn-65		< 44.37	< 26.80	< 27.74	< 56.50	< 16.03	< 17.21
Fe-59		< 49.21	< 39.91	< 26.74	< 54.21	< 36.91	< 21.02
Co-60		< 17.12	< 11.39	< 12.00	< 25.33	< 14.26	< 6.57
Ba/La-140		< 21.48	< 13.69	< 5.36	< 20.04	< 14.25	< 9.19
Ru-103		< 9.53	< 10.93	< 9.59	< 12.89	< 10.09	< 6.94
Ru-106		< 145.10	< 111.30	< 88.46	< 175.50	<u>&lt; 106.50</u>	< 88.35
Ce-141		< 20.31	< 13.53	< 14.15	< 22.65	< 15.18	< 11.39
Ce-144		< 68.00	< 56.57	< 51.31	< 100.40	< 47.94	< 48.36
AcTh-228		< 58.61	< 44.71	< 30:05	126.5 +/- 59.9	< 42.54	< 30.34
Ra-226		< 226.20	< 226.70	< 151.90	< 334.90	< 241.70	< 146.90
К-40		4950.0 +/- 363.5	6742.0 +/- 380.3	3412.0 +/- 245.5	8119.0 +/- 494.8	7434.0 +/- 366.0	3715.0 +/- 188.1
tt Control Com							

\*\* Control Sample Location

### TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #94 IPEC Training Center

Client ID RadionuclideIBV942107S1IBV942107S2Be-7 $613.8 + / 84.1$ $235.4 + / 90.5$ $21.68$ Cs-13150 $< 24.59$ $< 21.68$ Cs-13450 $< 9.18$ $< 15.91$ Cs-13750 $< 9.04$ $< 11.65$ Zr-95 $< 16.48$ $< 21.08$ Nb-95 $< 11.32$ $< 15.40$ Co-58 $< 9.56$ $< 12.65$ Mn-54 $< 9.27$ $< 11.05$ Zn-65 $< 29.95$ $< 31.31$ Co-60 $< 10.02$ $< 15.16$ Ba/La-140 $< 5.54$ $< 21.45$ Ru-103 $< 7.76$ $< 12.27$ Ru-106 $< 77.09$ $< 104.90$ Ce-141 $< 11.10$ $< 16.79$ Ce-144 $< 47.63$ $< 61.57$ AcTh-228 $< 32.40$ $< 43.30$	TRAINING BLDG	TRAINING BLDG 06/26/2007	TRAINING BLDG 06/26/2007	TRAINING BLDG 06/26/2007
RadionuclideReq. CLBe-7 $613.8$ +/- $84.1$ $235.4$ +/- $90.5$ $29$ I-13150< $24.59$ < $21.68$ Cs-13450< $9.18$ < $15.91$ Cs-13750< $9.04$ < $11.65$ Zr-95< $16.48$ < $21.08$ Nb-95< $11.32$ < $15.40$ Co-58< $9.56$ < $12.65$ Mn-54< $9.27$ < $11.05$ Zn-65< $29.95$ < $34.24$ Fe-59< $31.31$ < $45.19$ Co-60< $10.02$ < $15.16$ Ba/La-140< $5.54$ < $21.45$ Ru-103< $7.76$ < $12.27$ Ru-106< $77.09$ < $104.90$ Ce-141< $11.10$ < $16.79$ Ce-144< $47.63$ < $61.57$ AcTh-228< $32.40$ < $43.30$	1BV942107S3	IBV942607S1	IBV942607S2	1BV942607S3
I-131       50       < 24.59       < 21.68         Cs-134       50       < 9.18       < 15.91         Cs-137       50       < 9.04       < 11.65         Zr-95       < 16.48       < 21.08         Nb-95       < 11.32       < 15.40         Co-58       < 9.56       < 12.65         Mn-54       < 9.27       < 11.05         Zn-65       < 29.95       < 34.24         Fe-59       < 31.31       < 45.19         Co-60       < 10.02       < 15.16         Ba/La-140       < 5.54       < 21.45         Ru-103       < 7.76       < 12.27         Ru-106       < 77.09       < 104.90         Ce-141       < 11.10       < 16.79         Ce-144       < 47.63       < 61.57         AcTh-228       < 32.40       < 43.30	RAGWEED	GRAPELE	MULLEN	RAGWEED
Cs-134       50       < 9.18	293.2 +/- 67.0	560.2 +/- 63.8	538.7 +/- 80.2	997.7 +/- 108.9
Cs-137       50       < 9.04	< 20.77	< 7.01	< 8.50	< 13.35
Zr-95       < 16.48       < 21.08         Nb-95       < 11.32       < 15.40         Co-58       < 9.56       < 12.65         Mn-54       < 9.27       < 11.05         Zn-65       < 29.95       < 34.24         Fe-59       < 31.31       < 45.19         Co-60       < 10.02       < 15.16         Ba/La-140       < 5.54       < 21.45         Ru-103       < 7.76       < 12.27         Ru-106       < 77.09       < 104.90         Ce-141       < 11.10       < 16.79         Ce-144       < 47.63       < 61.57         AcTh-228       < 32.40       < 43.30	< 9.46	< 8.56	< 14.08	< 15.96
Nb-95         < 11.32	< 7.21	< 7.01	< 9.97	< 12.42
Co-58         < 9.56	< 20.03	< 11.11	< 18.57	< 23.32
Mn-54         < 9.27	< 13.04	< 8.35	< 12.47	< 14.33
Zn-65         < 29.95	< 10.68	< 7.62	< 10.30	< 15.06
Fe-59         < 31.31	< 10.46	< 7.48	< 11.54	< 10.40
Co-60         < 10.02	< 23.90	< 20.38	< 34.46	< 36.27
Ba/La-140         < 5.54	< 29.75	< 20.44	< 36.16	< 47.44
Ru-103         < 7.76	< 5.96	< 7.36	< 15.97	< 15.50
Ru-106         < 77.09	< 16.18	< 7.10	< 11.37	< 13.31
Ce-141         < 11.10	< 8.52	< 6.14	< 10.25	< 9.42
Ce-144         < 47.63	< 79.41	< 72.78	< 91.08	< 112.30
AcTh-228 < 32.40 < 43.30	< 14.55	< 9.68	< 12.53	< 14.83
	< 53.33	< 40.26	< 46.90	< 66.81
<b>P</b> <sub>2-226</sub>	< 30.89	< 28.87	< 44.82	< 44.78
140.00 × 209.90	< 139.00	260.3 +/- 108.4	< 179.00	< 227.20
K-40 7976.0 +/- 291.2 6472.0 +/- 333.8 72	7224.0 +/- 281.2	4342.0 +/- 197.8	7540.0 +/- 331.3	10130.0 +/- 467.0

IADLE D-14
CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES - 2007
Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma
#94 IPEC Training Center

Sample Location		TRAINING BLDG		TRAINING BLDG	에 있는 것 같은 특별한 바라가 관계분들이 같은 것 같은 것	TRAINING BLDG	가는 가지 않는 것이라고 있다. 신문 모든 것은 것이라고, 것을 갖추었다.
Date		07/24/2007	07/24/2007	07/24/2007	08/14/2007	08/14/2007	08/14/2007
Client ID		IBV943007S1	IBV943007S2	IBV943007S3	IBV943307S1	IBV943307S2	IBV943307S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL	GRAPE LEAVES	MULLEN	RAG WEED	GRAPE LE	BURDOCK	RAGWEED
Be-7		831.5 +/- 118.6	1097.0 +/- 104.5	916.9 +/- 125.3	1585.0 +/- 105.1	346.4 +/- 92.5	1492.0 +/- 134.5
I-131	50	< 14.37	< 16.38	< 19.93	< 18.16	< 20.72	< 26.73
Cs-134	50	< 12.89	< 10.47	< 19.76	< 9.82	< 15.78	< 13.85
Cs-137	50	< 9.26	< 10.50	< 16.32	< 8.77	< 12.85	< 12.31
Zr-95		< 15.66	< 21.68	< 23.25	< 16.52	< 25.16	< 23.18
Nb-95		< 14.83	< 15.56	< 14.67	< 10.05	< 15.95	< 14.79
Co-58		< 10.96	< 9.88	< 12.37	< 10.39	< 10.47	< 15.48
Mn-54	•	< 14.32	< 13.09	< 17.46	< 10.83	< 10.73	< 14.65
Zn-65		< 25.76	< 16.40	< 43.55	< 25.86	< 27.85	< 5 25.77
Fe-59		< 33.86	< 33.51	< 57.00	< 31.31	< 52.61	< 55.43
Co-60		< 14.54	< 10.25	< 13.80	< 10.48	< 10.08	< 14.28
Ba/La-140		< 20.05	< 13.78	< 30.32	< 18.13	< 26.26	< 18.79
Ru-103		< 9.86	< 10.29	< 13.65	< 8.90	< 12.52	< 13.34
Ru-106		< 92.23	< 90.79	< 150.10	< 85.99	< 149.40	< 108.50
Ce-141		< 12.52	< 16.13	< 18.07	< 14.03	< 16.79	< 17.93
Ce-144		< 58.37	< 65.13	< 55.64	< 49.71	< 52.33	< 69.60
AcTh-228		< 31.12	< 45.20	< 46.22	< 30.53	< 58.82	< 50.57
Ra-226		< 166.60	362.0 +/- 175.2	< 230.70	256.0 +/- 129.6	253.5 +/- 145.7	< 234.60
K-40		4100.0 +/- 293.0	7202.0 +/- 328.7	9241.0 +/- 482.9	4136.0 +/- 231.0	5268.0 +/- 396.7	8102.0 +/- 404.9

## TABLE B-14 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BROADLEAF VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #94 IPEC Training Center

Sample Location Date		TRAINING BLDG 09/18/2007	TRAINING BLDG 09/18/2007	TRAINING BLDG 09/18/2007	TRAINING BLDG 10/16/2007	TRAINING BLDG 10/16/2007	TRAINING BLDG 10/16/2007
Client ID		IBV943807S1	IBV943807S2	IBV943807S3	IBV944207S1	IBV944207S2	IBV944207S3
Radionuclide	Req. CL	GRAPE LEAVES	MULLEIN	RAGWEED	GRAPE LE	MULLEN	RAGWEED
Be-7		1345.0 +/- 105.7	539.2 +/- 83.5	1440.0 +/- 146.5	2445.0 +/- 164.7	314.8 +/- 54.4	2255.0 +/- 147.3
l-131	50	< 12.91	< 26.90	< 14.73	< 12.31	< 7.31	< 12.15
Cs-134	50	< 11.06	< 12.41	< 10.65	< 12.05	< 8.90	< 14.17
Cs-137	50	< 9.24	< 8.45	< 13.38	< 13.34	< 6.91	< 11.70
Zr-95		< 17.68	< 19.26	< 30.52	< 22.31	< 13.52	< 23.37
Nb-95		< 11.46	< 12.49	< 20.10	< 13.74	< 8.15	< 13.93
Co-58		< 9.74	< 10.82	< 16.2 <u>4</u>	< 12.24	< 6.64	< 13.63
Mn-54		< 10.97	< 10.12	< 18.94	< 13.22	< 8.48	< 12.65
Zn-65		< 29.70	< 30.8 <del>9</del>	< 58.15	< 30.11	< 21.11	< 30.71
Fe-59		< 25.02	< 35.04	< 56.13	< 34.50	<u>&lt; 28.90</u>	< 42.12
Co-60		< 12.39	< 10.57	< 21.37	< 9.60	< 7.22	< 18.43
Ba/La-140		< 15.63	< 18.94	< 25.72	< 8.65	< 8.32	< 10.32
Ru-103		<u>&lt; 9.51</u>	< 10.06	< 15.31	< 13.29	< 8.36	< 12.73
Ru-106		< 115.00	< 92.89	< 161.70	< 145.50	< 91.07	< 136.70
Ce-141		< 14.60	< 15.71	< 18.53	< 15.71	< 8.63	< 15.01
Ce-144		< 63.04	< 57.79	< 70.35	< 58.49	< 40.61	< 56.59
AcTh-228		< 32.22	52.2 +/- 27.3	< 59.71	< 36.52	< 24.55	< 54.05
Ra-226		< 203.30	< 180.00	< 269.20	< 204.60	213.7 +/- 106.8	< 223.10
K-40		6580.0 +/- 309.2		7873.0 +/- 455.9	<u>38</u> 11.0 +/- 327.3	4757.0 +/- 228.5	6010.0 +/- 337.7
			<u></u>				

### TABLE B-15CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISH SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma#25 Downstream (Hudson River)

Sample Location		VOP FISH					
Date		06/06/2007		06/07/2007	06/08/2007	06/08/2007	06/11/2007
							·
Client ID		IFH252407S6	IFH252407S4	IFH252407S2	IFH252407S5	IFH252407S3	IFH252507S7
Radionuclide	Req. CL	CRABS	WHITE PERCH	STRIPED BASS	CARP	CATFISH	SUNFISH
Be-7		< 289.1	< 322.6	< 214.0	< 255.9	< 269.8	< 373.3
I-131		< 1042.0	< 1360.0	< 972.2	< 971.1	< 857.3	< 1023.0
Cs-134	65	< 23.1	< 23.5	< 20.5	< 17.9	< 17.8	< 24.6
Cs-137	75	< 18.9	< 17.6	< 15.7	< 15.7	< 17.5	< 22.6
Zr-95		< 59.6	< 54.7	< 41.9	< 44.7	< 48.1	< 73.4
Nb-95		< 50.1	< 50.4	< 39.9	< 38.5	< 39.6	< 56.8
Co-58	65	< 38.1	< 26.5	< 26.0	< 29.5	< 26.0	< 29.9
Mn-54	65	< 20.4	< 25.0	< 16.6	< 19.5	< 19.2	< 28.8
Zn-65	130	< 50.3	< 59.7	< 41.1	< 46.4	< 49.7	< 64.7
Fe-59	130	< 90.9	< 99.1	< 83.5	< 95.5	< 69.9	< 107.5
Co-60	65	< 23.9	< 29.7	< 17.8	< 23.5	< 17.9	< 22.9
Ba/La-140		< 442.3	< 254.7	< 268.1	< 252.2	< 193.5	< 360.2
Ru-103		< 49.2	< 49.8	< 35.1	< 32.1	< 37.6	< 54.5
Ru-106		< 217.1	< 250.7	< 186.4	< 212.9	< 173.1	< 274.8
Ce-141		< 75.9	< 77.0	< 46.5	< 56.0	< 50.9	< 73.5
Ce-144		< 115.8	< 135.6	< 102.1	< 103.8	< 88.6	< 134.4
AcTh-228		< 62.9	< 74.8	< 66.3	< 50.5	< 52.7	< 97.6
Ra-226		< 361.6	< 429.1	< 295.3	723.7 +/- 245.7	< 286.8	1001.0 +/- 376.6
K-40		3784.0 +/- 373.4	4656.0 +/- 484.3	3680.0 +/- 333.1	4399.0 +/- 331.8	3913.0 +/- 343.2	7191.0 +/- 468.2
Sr-90	5	< 7.1	< 8.6	< 6	< 6.8	< _ 7.7	< 14

## TABLE B-15CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISH SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma#25 Downstream (Hudson River)

.

Sample Location		VOP FISH	VOP FISH	<b>VOP FISH</b>	VOP FISH	VOP FISH	VOP FISH
Date		06/13/2007	08/16/2007	08/16/2007	08/20/2007	08/24/2007	08/29/2007
Client ID		IFH252507S1	IFH254407S1	IFH25440785	IFH254407S4	IFH254407S3	IFH254407S2
Radionuclide	Req. CL	AMERICAN EEL	BLUE CRAB	WHITE PERCH	AMERICAN EEL	CATFISH	SUN FISH
Be-7		< 306.7	< 203.3	< 309.0	< 223.4	< 213.8	< 267.5
1-131		< 658.1	< 5898.0	< 10980.0	< 5610.0	< 4142.0	< 3583.0
Cs-134	65	< 22.8	< 8.9	< 10.6	< 10.9	< 7.1	< 10.0
Cs-137	75	< 25.8	< 9.6	< 14.0	< 12.3	< 10.9	< 15.2
Zr-95		< 67.6	< 28.7	< 53.1	< 38.8	< 34.2	< 44.4
Nb-95		< 42.7	< 35.5	< 56.7	< 52.9	< 45.4	< 50.8
Co-58	65	< 21.6	< 17.4	< 29.3	< 23.9	< 20.7	< 26.2
Mn-54	65	< 22.6	< 9.9	< 17.4	< 13.6	< 13.5	< 18.0
Zn-65	130	< 60.1	< 25.2	< 24.3	< 18.3	< 20.2	< 23.0
Fe-59	130	< 86.5	< 80.7	< 114.6	< 90.4	< 88.3	< 90.7
Co-60	65	< 28.8	< 9.8	< 14.7	< 12.6	< 12.4	< 15.7
Ba/La-140		< 267.7	< 583.5	< 774.0	< 645.4	< 479.7	< 395.1
Ru-103		< 39.7	< 34.9	< 53.9	< 38.8	< 38.5	< 41.2
Ru-106		< 232.3	< 104.3	< 160.5	< 132.0	< 143.1	< 172.6
Ce-141		< 66.4	< 58.1	< 98.2	< 70.2	< 62.5	< 75.8
Ce-144		< 103.0	< 57.8	< 100.6	< 71.4	< 73.3	< 98.9
AcTh-228		< 93.5	95.8 +/- 29.2	219.5 +/- 41.4	99.4 +/- 33.0	48.6 +/- 29.9	< 55.0
Ra-226		< 401.0	707.8 +/- 155.2	580.8 +/- 209.5	521.0 +/- 187.4	456.1 +/- 158.1	968.4 +/- 215.9
K-40		3629.0 +/- 422.8	<u>4690.0 +/- 183.9</u>	4539.0 +/- 206.2	5245.0 +/- 222.3	4154.0 +/- 219.7	4196.0 +/- 196.3
Sr-90	5	< 6.6	< 7.1	< 6.5	< 6.3	< 6.8	< 5.2

### TABLE B-15 CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISH SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma #23 Roseton (Control)

Sample Location Date		ROSETON FISH 06/07/2007	ROSETON FISH 06/07/2007	ROSETON FISH 06/07/2007	ROSETON FISH 06/07/2007	ROSETON FISH 06/13/2007	ROSETON FISH 08/14/2007
Client ID Radionuclide	Req. CL	IFH232407S1 AMERICAN EEL	IFH232407S3 CARP	IFH232407S4 CATFISH	IFH232407S5 . WHITE PERCH	IFH232507S2 SUNFISH	IFH234407S4 WHITE PERCH
Be-7		< 194.6	< 210.0	< 190.2	< 238.9	< 169.6	< 359.8
I-131	•	< 744.3	< 896.0	< 855.9	< 999.5	< 476.2	< 14910.0
Cs-134	65	< 16.2	< 20.0	< 14.0	< 15.5	< 16.5	< 17.6
Cs-137	75	< 17.2	< 18.0	< 12.5	< 15.0	< 15.2	< 15.9
Zr-95		< 43.1	< 46.9	< 33.4	< 41.6	< 38.5	< 63.5
Nb-95		< 36.6	< 41.9	< 35.0	< 43.8	< 29.0	< 79.6
Co-58	65	< 21.7	< 25.1	< 23.0	< 22.0	< 21.3	< 39.0
Mn-54	65	< 15.3	< 22.3	< 15.0	< 11.9	< 16.6	< 17.3
Zn-65	130	< 35.8	< 48.9	< 32.5	< 41.5	< 34.2	< 28.5
Fe-59	130	< 86.7	< 106.4	< 68.7	< 71.9	< 53.3	< 107.8
Co-60	65	< 18.2	< 21.3	< 14.6	< 16.3	< 20.8	< 19.8
Ba/La-140		< 197.4	< 329.7	< 158.8	< 235.4	< 140.9	< 1306.0
Ru-103		< 33.1	< 42.3	< 27.1	< 26.7	< 23.0	< 63.8
Ru-106		< 156.4	< 162.9	< 132.6	< 151.1	< 173.2	< 200.2
Ce-141		< 43.0	< 47.0	< 44.2	< 41.1	< 23.1	< 98.6
Ce-144		< 77.4	< 72.8	< 79.0	< 78.0	< 73.0	< 92.3
AcTh-228		< 52.4	< 66.3	< 41.2	< 59.0	< 44.6	< 66.5
Ra-226		< 251.6	833.9 +/- 240.7	< 232.9	< 267.7	667.5 +/- 178.4	617.1 +/- 268.4
K-40		3849.0 +/- 276.6	4407.0 +/- 342.6	5490.0 +/- 266.8	3751.0 +/- 280.4	3405.0 +/- 303.4	5439.0 +/- 308.5
Sr-90	5	< 7.2	< 9.5	< 5.2	< 8.3	< 7.2	< 6.8

# TABLE B-15CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISH SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma#23 Roseton (Control)

Sample Location Date		ROSETON FISH 08/15/2007	ROSETON FISH 08/24/2007	ROSETON FISH 08/24/2007	ROSETON FISH 08/31/2007
Client ID		IFH234407S3	IFH234407S2	IFH234407S5	IFH234407S1
Radionuclide	Req. CL	BLUE CRAB	AMERICAN EEL	SUNFISH	CAT FISH
Be-7		< 228.9	< 252.5	< 215.3	< 216.4
I-131		< 7870.0	< 4760.0	< 4582.0	< 2880.0
Cs-134	65	< 13.2	< 14.7	< 8.0	< 14.9
Cs-137	75	< 11.0	< 16.1	< 12.5	< 15.5
Zr-95		< 38.4	< 51.0	< 45.1	< 47.3
Nb-95		< 48.1	< 51.5	< 45.8	< 52.1
Co-58	65	< 23.4	< 26.9	< 25.9	< 26.6
Mn-54	65	< 11.9	< 18.4	< 16.2	< 19.4
Zn-65	130	< 30.0	< 38.8	< 38.8	< 38.7
Fe-59	130	< 92.3	< 96.9	< 104.3	< 99.7
Co-60	65	< 12.3	< 13.6	< 16.5	< 20.7
Ba/La-140		< 709.6	< 590.6	< 875.6	< 490.9
Ru-103		< 45.7	< 44.6	< 42.2	< 35.9
Ru-106		< 119.0	< 151.9	< 140.7	< 184.0
Ce-141		< 66.5	< 71.3	< 60.5	< 67.0
Ce-144		< 63.4	< 86.0	< 75.5	< 77.8
AcTh-228		< 35.5	< 61.0	127.1 +/- 46.5	< 64.2
Ra-226		419.9 +/- 130.3	511.5 +/- 182.0	599.8 +/- 185.3	796.7 +/- 210.3
K-40		4044.0 +/- 209.8	5483.0 +/- 285.2	5276.0 +/- 264.1	5481.0 +/- 310.0
Sr-90	5	< 6.9	< 6.5	< 6.7	< 7.6

#### CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN FISH SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma Catskill

Sample Location Date		CATSKILL FISH -06/07/2007	CATSKILL FISH 06/07/2007	CATSKILL FISH 06/07/2007	CATSKILE FISH 06/07/2007	CATSKILL FISH 06/19/2007
Client ID Radionuclide	Req. CL	IFHCAT2407S5 CARP	IFHCAT2407S2 AMERICAN EEL	IFHCAT2407S3 WHITE PERCH	IFHCAT2407S4 CATFISH	IFHCAT2607S1 SUNFISH
Be-7		< 199.4	< 254.2	< 182.8	< 207.1	< 201.6
I-131		< 849.4	< 971.4	< 999.4	< 999.6	< 421.5
Cs-134	65	< 16.4	< 13.8	< 14.3	< 9.4	< 18.7
Cs-137	75	< 15.0	< 18.1	< 13.1	< 15.0	< 14.9
Zr-95		< 36.6	< 46.7	< 37.8	< 44.0	< 37.0
Nb-95		< 33.1	< 47.2	< 32.1	< 37.1	< 31.2
Co-58	65	< 24.5	< 26.1	< 19.6	< 19.3	< 22.3
Mn-54	65	< 18.0	< 19.9	< 13.4	< 15.0	< 18.6
Zn-65	130	< 45.1	< 48.1	< 37.5	< 20.2	< 40.6
Fe-59	130	< 98.9	< 83.9	< 80.4	< 74.5	< 61.3
Co-60	65	< 16.7	< 16.3	< 16.1	< 13.7	< 16.5
Ba/La-140		< 249.5	< 340.8	< 224.0	< 203.0	< 129.5
Ru-103		< 30.4	< 37.3	< 27.8	< 32.1	< 31.2
Ru-106		< 170.2	< 157.9	< 139.2 -	< 164.2	< 177.9
Ce-141		< 44.0	< 60.2	< 46.1	< 54.6	< 44.8
Ce-144		< 62.5	< 91.1	< 77.1	< 82.8	< 107.1
AcTh-228		< 46.9	< 54.1	< 47.8	< 48.7	133.0 +/- 42.9
Ra-226		504.3 +/- 180.1	702.6 +/- 266.5	427.1 +/- 167.9	831.8 +/- 254.8	580.1 +/- 250.4
K-40		4487.0 +/- 293.7	3331.0 +/- 320.6	5681.0 +/- 273.5	4671.0 +/- 234.7	3946.0 +/- 258.2
Sr-90	5	< 6.1	< 6.8	< 7.9	< 7.8	< 6.6

### TABLE B-15

### CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN AQUATIC VEGETATION SAMPLES – 2007

Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location Date			D SPI /06/20			D SPI /06/20	RING 107		RPLA /07/20	
Client ID		IA	V84230	)7	· 14	AV84360	07	IA	AV1723	)7
Radionuclide	Req. CL		Aquatic		WAT	TERMIL	FOIL		Aquatic	
Be-7		230.2	+/-	46.4	<	90.5		445.3	+/-	75.0
I-131	30	<	10.9		<	14.0		<	17.1	
Cs-134	30	<	4.9		<	6.6		<	11.7	
Cs-137	40	<	6.2	1	<	12.6		31.0	+/-	6.4
Zr-95		<	9.1		<	18.9		<	16.5	
Nb-95		<	6.3		<	15.4		<	11.9	
Co-58		<	8.7		· <	12.0		<	11.0	
Mn-54		<	7.7		<	13.1		<	· 11.2	
Zn-65		<	19.3		<	33.8		<,	29.9	
Fe-59		<	23.5		<	35.6		<	35.5	
Co-60		<	8.8		<	8.7		<	9.8	
Ba/La-140		<	16.2		<	9.7		<	18.7	
Ru-103	<u> </u>	<	6.9		<	11.0		<	9.1	
Ru-106		<	66.7		<	115.1		<	100.6	
Ce-141		<	8.7	·	<	13.0		<	15.3	
Ce-144		<	30.2		<	50.3	· · ·	<	56.1	
AcTh-228		<	21.3		89.3		32.7	190.1	+/-	36.0
Ra-226		317.2	+/-	84.1	435.0	+/-	170.1	<	210.0	
K-40		2500.0	+/	157.2	1749.0		212.7	4320.0	+/-	279.3

Sample Location Date		COLD SPRING 06/06/2007	COLD SPRING 09/06/2007	LENTS COVE 06/06/2007	LENTS COVE 09/06/2007	VERPLANCK 06/07/2007	VERPLANCK 09/07/2007
Client ID Radionuclide	Req. CL	1BS842307	IBS843607	IBS282307	IBS283607	IBS172307	1BS173607
Be-7		< 423.0	< 234.0	< 399.4	< 339.4	< 417.3	< 309.8
1-131		< 108.6	< 48.9	< 112.3	< 54.1	< 86.0	< 55.5
Cs-134	75	< 31.3	< 38.3	< 32.9	< 54.1	< 48.5	< 39.4
Cs-137	90	254.8 +/- 44.1	< 34.9	373.7 +/- 58.4	209.2 +/- 41.1	252.7 +/- 49.6	217.1 +/- 41.0
Zr-95		< 68.5	< 56.1	< 121.4	< 77.0	< 100.1	< 70.3
Nb-95		< 64.8	< 25.4	< 83.2	< 47.0	< 44.8	< 51.5
Co-58		< 43.6	< 36.4	< 54.1	< 45.0	< 56.7	< 27.2
Mn-54		< 50.9	< 40.7	< 62.4	< 42.1	< 45.1	< 42.8
Zn-65		< 133.6	< 93.9	< 167.7	< 149.8	< 131.5	< 100.4
Fe-59		< 133.0	< 114.8	< 171.8	< 143.4	< 175.8	< 130.9
Co-60		< 47.3	< 26.1	< 56.1	< 77.3	< 46.6	< 40.6
Ba/La-140		< 101.9	< 57.5	< 151.2	< 101.2	< 36.0	< 47.6
Ru-103		< 47.5	< 35.0	< 54.3	< 36.7	< 43.8	< 42.9
Ru-106		< 393.5	< 399.4	< 599.5	< 379.5	< 463.1	< 392.2
Ce-141		< 84.9	< 45.3	< 79.7	< 58.0	< 72.1	< 56.5
Ce-144		< 322.1	< 183.0	< 268.5	< 231.0	< 260.2	< 211.0
AcTh-228		1045.0 +/- 170.7	960.5 +/- 141.8	1214.0 +/- 237.0	658.1 +/- 152.0	1143.0 +/- 176.9	728.1 +/- 134.6
Ra-226		3153.0 +/- 869.8	2009.0 +/- 581.3	2263.0 +/- 908.4	1630.0 +/- 603.7	2008.0 +/- 792.1	1796.0 +/- 668.7
K-40		20630.0 +/- 1052.0	33510.0 +/- 1219.0	20430.0 +/- 1464.0	14160.0 +/- 1041.0	19610.0 +/- 1193.0	16900.0 +/- 1017.0

TABLE B-17CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma

### CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN BOTTOM SEDIMENT SAMPLES – 2007

Sample Location Date		DISCHA 06/	all den i l		DISCHA 09/	사망 이번 사람이	CANAL 17
Client ID		IB	S102307	,	IE	<u>88103607</u>	,
Radionuclide	Req. CL						
Be-7		<	208.8		<	233.1	
I-131		<	43.8		<	55.6	
Cs-134	75	<	15.0		<	41.1	
Cs-137	90	177.3	+/-	31.3	95.0	+/-	34.9
Zr-95		<	49.1		<	49.7	
Nb-95		<	31.6		<	37.6	
Co-58		<	25.6		<	26.1	
Mn-54		<	34.0		<	38.4	
Zn-65		<	94.1		<	94.1	
Fe-59		<	95.3		<	140.5	
Co-60		<	22.6		<	26.5	
Ba/La-140		<	60.6		<	83.9	
Ru-103		<	30.8		<	34.9	
Ru-106		<	401.0	•	<	404.4	
Ce-141		<	35.1		<	46.3	
Ce-144		<	138.8		<	183.6	
AcTh-228		176.0	+/-	104.1	<	148.6	
Ra-226		1248.0	+/-	425.1	<	659.0	
K-40		15430.0	+/-	912.1	14730.0	+/-	1065.0

### Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma

TABLE B-18
CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN RAINWATER SAMPLES - 2007
Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location Date		ROSETON RAINWATER 03/26/2007	ROSETON RAINWATER 06/28/2007	ROSETON RAINWATER 10/01/2007	ROSETON RAINWATER 12/31/2007
Client ID Radionuclide	Req. CL	IRF23Q107	IRF23Q207	IRF23Q307	IRF23Q407
H-3	Req. CL	< 474.0	< 443.0	< 448.0	< 439.0
Be-7		< 39.4	< 49.5	< 62.2	< 34.4
I-131		< 28.1	< 28.3	< 35.9	< 24.4
Cs-134	7.5	< 1.9	< 3.1	< 3.4	< 3.2
Cs-137	9	< 2.5	< 2.3	< 4.5	< 2.8
Zr-95		< 6.0	< 7.3	< 11.9	< 7.1
Nb-95		< 5.4	< 6.7	< 10.0	< 5.3
Co-58		< 3.9	< 5.1	< 6.4	< 4.1
Mn-54		< 2.9	< 3.0	< 5.8	< 2.0
Zn-65		< 3.3	< 7.7	< 17.5	< 7.2
Fe-59		< 8.3	< 14.0	< 13.4	< 16.1
Co-60	7.5	< 2.6	< 2.3	< 6.0	< 3.1
Ba/La-140		< 21.9	< 21.4	< 37.4	< 12.4
Ru-103		< 5.5	< 6.4	< 8.3	< 5.2
Ru-106		< 29.0	< 26.7	< 39.3	< 30.1
Ce-141		< 12.2	< 10.8	< 13.7	< 1.0
Ce-144		< 25.1	< 23.3	< 26.9	< 21.0
AcTh-228		< 11.2	< 10.7	< 15.0	< 10.4
Ra-226		128.0 +/- 55.4	< 59.9	< 86.0	< 58.6
K-40		126.6 +/- 25.9	98.7 +/- 27.7	< 55.4	277.6 +/- 34.2

### CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN RAINWATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location Date		PEEKSKILL RAINWATER 03/26/2007	PEEKSKILL RAINWATER 06/28/2007	PEEKSKILL RAINWATER 10/01/2007	PEEKSKILL RAINWATER 12/31/2007
Client ID Radionuclide	Req. CL	IRF44Q107	IRF44Q207	IRF44Q307	IRF44Q407
H-3		< 474.0	< 443.0	< 448.0	< 443.0
Be-7		< 43.3	< 48.0	< 44.9	< 40.2
I-131		< 39.0	< 35.1	< 34.9	< 39.5
Cs-134	7.5	< 3.3	< 2.0	< 4.3	< 2.4
Cs-137	9	< 3.5	< 3.9	< 4.5	< 3.2
Zr-95		< 11.3	< 8.7	< 9.3	< 4.1
Nb-95	-2000	< 8.6	< 7.7	< 8.7	< 7.9
Co-58		< 4.9	< 4.9	< 4.9	< 6.1
Mn-54		< 3.4	< 3.2	< 4.3	< 4.6
Zn-65		< 7.1	< 5.0	< 7.4	< 3.3
Fe-59		< 12.1	< 17.7	< 21.6	< 21.6
Co-60	7.5	< 4.0	< 3.1	< 5.8	< 4.3
Ba/La-140		< 15.4	< 35.6	< 36.4	< 32.4
Ru-103		< 6.0	< 6.8	< 8.6	< 8.8
Ru-106		< 25.5	< 27.5	< 37.0	< 42.6
Ce-141		< 9.4	< 11.3	< 14.1	< 11.2
Ce-144		< 21.5	< 21.1	< 26.6	< 22.8
AcTh-228		< 10.9	< 10.3	< 12.8	< 16.9
Ra-226		< 70.2	< 70.4	< 77.1	81.1 +/- 51.3
K-40		194.6 +/- 39.3	198.5 +/- 38.0	182.2 +/- 46.7	< 42.7
				<u> </u>	

### TABLE B-19CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SOIL SAMPLES – 2007Results in Units of pCi/kg ± 1 Sigma

Sample Location Date		은 소문가 너희	SETO 01/20(	DN		TOW 02/20(		TRAIN	변경 날 옷 없	
Client ID		IS	0234007	7	IS	0954007	1	IS	O94400	7
Radionuclide	Req. CL									
Be-7		876.0	+/-	195.2	1596.0	+/-	252.1	1458.0	+/-	206.2
l-131		<	31.7		<	31.0		<	33.1	
Cs-134	75	<	33.2		. <	33.4		<	32.9	
Cs-137	90	<	27.3		<	27.4		136.5	+/-	22.5
Zr-95		<	49.5		<	54.2		<	52.8	
Nb-95		<	36.0		<`	33.4		<	38.9	
Co-58		<	32.8		<	30.8	•	<	31.8	
Mn-54		<	28.9		<	31.0		<	26.6	
Zn-65		. <	37.9		<	94.0		<	42.4	
Fe-59		. <	70.3		<	117.6		<	84.3	_
Co-60	·	<	21.8		<	42.1		<	37.0	
Ba/La-140		<	36.4		<	40.9		<	25.4	
Ru-103	;	· <	28.2		<	24.5		<	24.4	
Ru-106		<	279.4		<	316.8		<	261.0	
Ce-141		<	39.2		<	38.4		<	43.2	
Ce-144		<	169.6		<	185.4		<	187.0	
AcTh-228		829.1	+/-	117.3	694.9	+/	126.3	1037.0	+/-	128.4
Ra-226		1965.0	+/-	526.2	2193.0	+/-	490.7	2293.0	+/-	505.4
K-40		13870.0	+/-	701.3	16750.0	+/-	938.9	23110.0	+/-	854.3

#### TABLE B-20 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma ALGONQUIN OUTFALL

Date	01/23/2007	02/13/2007	03/20/2007	04/16/2007	05/15/2007	06/19/2007
NUCLIDE			영상 관계 전통		가 있는 것을 수 해야 한다. 같은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것을 수 있는 것 같은 것은 것은 것을 수 있는	
I-131	< 3.73	< 5.28	< 2.82	< 3.31	< 4.09	< 3.67
Cs-134	< 4.28	< 3.22	< 2.58	< 1.57	< 3.70	< 2.66
Cs-137	< 3.79	< 3.05	< 2.32	< 2.77	< 3.78	< 3.08
Zr-95	< 6.07	< 6.10	< 4.02	< 3.78	< 5.08	< 4.19
Nb-95	< 2.66	< 3.47	< 2.48	< 1.81	< 4.44	< 3.23
Co-58	< 3.63	< 3.15	< 2.52	< 2.61	< 2.85	< 3.16
Mn-54	< 2.76	< 3.33	< 2.39	< 2.50	< 3.54	< 3.22
Fe-59	< 7.14	< 6.88	< 6.20	< 5.73	< 7.99	< 4.62
Zn-65	< 4.68	< 4.26	< 4.81	< 2.75	< 3.87	< 4.02
Co-60	< 3.06	< 2.73	< 2.66	< 2.35	< 3.42	< 2.97
K-40	389.6 +/- 45.28	259.7 +/- 38.73	183.9 +/- 26.41	109.4 +/- 22.55	253.6 +/- 39.58	170.5 +/- 30.22
Ba/La-140	< 4.81	< 5.81	< 2.85	< 2.66	< 3.54	< 2.15
Date	07/17/2007	08/21/2007	09/25/2007			
Date NUCLIDE	07/17/2007	08/21/2007	09/25/2007			
	07/17/2007 < 3.60	08/21/2007 < 3.27	09/25/2007 < 3.82			
NUCLIDE						
NUCLIDE I-131	< 3.60	< 3.27	< 3.82			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134	< 3.60 < 3.21	< 3.27 < 3.05	< 3.82 < 3.15			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93 < 5.07	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15 < 4.47	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10 < 6.16			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93 < 5.07 < 3.96	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15 < 4.47 < 3.23	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10 < 6.16 < 2.20			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93 < 5.07 < 3.96 < 3.14	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15 < 4.47 < 3.23 < 2.67	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10 < 6.16 < 2.20 < 3.73			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93 < 5.07 < 3.96 < 3.14 < 3.14	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15 < 4.47 < 3.23 < 2.67 < 2.60	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10 < 6.16 < 2.20 < 3.73 < 2.74			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93 < 5.07 < 3.96 < 3.14 < 3.14 < 6.63	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15 < 4.47 < 3.23 < 2.67 < 2.60 < 7.10	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10 < 6.16 < 2.20 < 3.73 < 2.74 < 8.63			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65	< 3.60 < 3.21 < 2.93 < 5.07 < 3.96 < 3.14 < 3.14 < 6.63 < 3.84	< 3.27 < 3.05 < 3.15 < 4.47 < 3.23 < 2.67 < 2.60 < 7.10 < 8.31	< 3.82 < 3.15 < 4.10 < 6.16 < 2.20 < 3.73 < 2.74 < 8.63 < 2.92			

B-46

٤.

#### TABLE B-20 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma GYPSUM PLANT

Date	01/23/2007	02/13/2007	03/20/2007	04/16/2007	05/15/2007	06/19/2007
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 5.06	< 5.75	< 4.01	< 3.29	< 3.11	∖ < 2.91
Cs-134	< 3.90	< 3.93	< 3.44	< 2.86	< 2.92	< 2.57
Cs-137	< 2.38	< 4.38	< 1.54	< 2.61	< 3.50	< 2.94
Zr-95	< 6.75	< 6.64	< 6.22	< 4.11	< 5.91	< 4.76
Nb-95	< 2.75	< 2.63	< 2.73	< 2.60	< 3.07	< 3.15
Co-58	< 4.81	< 3.78	< 4.21	< 2.48	< 2.64	< 2.81
Mn-54	< 3.78	< 3.29	< 3.97.	· < 2.77	< 3.46	< 2.99
Fe-59	< 9.55	< 11.75	< 7.81	< 6.81	< 6.51	< 9.63
Zn-65	< 5.26	< 4.39	< 4.86	< 3.76	< 6.35	< 9.20
Co-60	< 4.99	< 3.65	< 3.63	< 1.94	< 2.42	< 3.44
K-40	244.5 +/- 46.13	130.7 +/- 35.72	149.7 +/- 31.47	300 +/- 35.31	129.6 +/- 37.81	266.3 +/- 42.45
Ba/La-140	. < 4.75	< 5.39	< 4.44	< 3.20	< 3.01	< 4.17
Date	07/17/2007	08/21/2007	09/25/2007			
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 2.49	< 3.43	< 2.81			
Cs-134	< 2.12	. < 3.39	< 2.35			
Cs-137	< 2.24	< 1.70	< 2.67			
Zr-95	< 4.03	< 5.22	< 5.07			
Nb-95	< 2.16	< 2.65	< 3.43			
Co-58	< 2.06	< 1.88	< 2.04			
Mn-54	< 2.42	< 3.14	< 2.69			
Fe-59	< 6.56	< 7.04	< 8.12			
Zn-65	< 6.06	< 4.02	< 6.05			
Co-60	< 3.02	< 3.73	< 2.84			
K-40	402.3 +/- 38.66	169.5 +/- 27.58	197.4 +/- 32.26			
Ba/La-140	< 2.89	< 3.02	< 4.35	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

## TABLE B-20 CONCENTRATIONS OF GAMMA EMITTERS IN SURFACE WATER SAMPLES – 2007 Results in Units of pCi/liter ± 1 Sigma TRAP ROCK QUARRY

Date	01/23/2007	.02/13/2007	03/20/2007	04/16/2007	05/15/2007	06/19/2007
NUCLIDE						
I-131	< 3.12	< 4.34	< 2.56	< 3.64	< 3.95	< 3.87
Cs-134	< 1.78	< 3.27	< 1.91	< 2.24	< 3.30	< 4.33
Cs-137	< 2.67	< 2.90	< 2.28	< 2.35	< 3.97	< 3.63
Zr-95	< 4.02	< 5.16	< 3.90	< 4.58	< 5.03	< 4.59
Nb-95	< 1.98	< 3.00	< 2.04	< 3.37	< 4.16	< 3.13
Co-58	< 2.72	< 3.15	< 2.20	< 1.81	< 2.94	< 4.60
Mn-54	< 2.50	< 3.04	< 2.06	< 3.59	< 3.22	< 2.74
Fe-59	< 5.85	< 9.25	< 5.05	< 7.48	< 4.53	< 4.91
Zn-65	< 5.31	< 8.64	< 4.96	< 6.74	< 9.37	< 7.21
Co-60	< 1.51	< 4.38	< 1.95	< 2.74	< 2.38	< 4.24
K-40	122.5 +/- 26.20	267.9 +/- 38.23	277.1 +/- 29.28	103.8 +/- 30.27	134.9 +/- 37.84	127.7 +/- 36.36
Ba/La-140	< 2.57	< 6.51	< 2.68	< 3.29	< 4.53	< 4.53
Date	07/17/2007	08/21/2007	09/25/2007			
Date NUCLIDE	07/17/2007	08/21/2007	09/25/2007			
		08/21/2007 < 3.25	<u>09/25/2007</u> < 3.40			
NUCLIDE						
'NUCLIDE I-131	< 3.12	< 3.25	< 3.40			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134	<ul><li>&lt; 3.12</li><li>&lt; 3.89</li></ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07	< 3.40 < 3.03			
<sup>1</sup> NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137	< 3.12 < 3.89 < 2.65	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65			
<sup>1</sup> NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95	<ul> <li>&lt; 3.12</li> <li>&lt; 3.89</li> <li>&lt; 2.65</li> <li>&lt; 5.28</li> </ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07 < 5.03	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65 < 4.89			
<sup>1</sup> NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95	<ul> <li>3.12</li> <li>3.89</li> <li>2.65</li> <li>5.28</li> <li>3.15</li> </ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07 < 5.03 < 2.79	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65 < 4.89 < 3.01			
NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58	<ul> <li>3.12</li> <li>3.89</li> <li>2.65</li> <li>5.28</li> <li>3.15</li> <li>2.90</li> </ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07 < 5.03 < 2.79 < 3.63	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65 < 4.89 < 3.01 < 3.08			
<sup>1</sup> NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54	<ul> <li>3.12</li> <li>3.89</li> <li>2.65</li> <li>5.28</li> <li>3.15</li> <li>2.90</li> <li>3.25</li> </ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07 < 5.03 < 2.79 < 3.63 < 2.81	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65 < 4.89 < 3.01 < 3.08 < 2.72			
<sup>1</sup> NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59	<ul> <li>3.12</li> <li>3.89</li> <li>2.65</li> <li>5.28</li> <li>3.15</li> <li>2.90</li> <li>3.25</li> <li>8.01</li> </ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07 < 5.03 < 2.79 < 3.63 < 2.81 < 9.26	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65 < 4.89 < 3.01 < 3.08 < 2.72 < 6.54			
<sup>1</sup> NUCLIDE I-131 Cs-134 Cs-137 Zr-95 Nb-95 Co-58 Mn-54 Fe-59 Zn-65	<ul> <li>3.12</li> <li>3.89</li> <li>2.65</li> <li>5.28</li> <li>3.15</li> <li>2.90</li> <li>3.25</li> <li>8.01</li> <li>7.91</li> </ul>	< 3.25 < 2.07 < 3.07 < 5.03 < 2.79 < 3.63 < 2.81 < 9.26 < 4.08	< 3.40 < 3.03 < 3.65 < 4.89 < 3.01 < 3.08 < 2.72 < 6.54 < 11.33			

#### TABLE B-21 CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007 Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:	a da anna anna an Anna an Anna anna an Anna anna a	MW-51			MW-40		i sîr.	MW-51		¢.	MW-40		
Sample Name:	e	MW-51-			MW-40-				-012			-011	
Sample Date:		02/21/20			02/21/2				007			007	
Client ID		IM	W51070	07	IM	W4007	07	IN	W5126	07	IN	W40260	07
Radionuclide	Req. MDC		Result			Result			Result			Result	
H-3		<	467.00		<	467.00		<	444.00		<	444.00	
Be-7		<	24.13		<	32.89		<	22.14		<	21.13	
K-40		197.3	+/-	26.5	191.8	+/-	35.5	115.8	+/-	31.4	173.7	+/-	33.9
Mn-54		<	1.76		<	3.52		<	2.83		<	2.48	
Co-58		<	1.68		<	2.29	-	<	2.66		<	2.39	
Fe-59		<	7.45		<	8.61		<	10.66		<	9.38	
Co-60		<	2.98		<	4.07		<	4.72		<	4.19	
Zn-65		<	3.37		<	4.46		<	5.19		<	4.95	
Sr-90	1	<	1.5		<	1.5							
Nb-95		<	1.83		<	2.84		<	3.71		<	2.59	
Zr-95		<	4.83		<	6.57		<	7.10		<	7.04	
Ru-103		<	2.70		<	3.94		<	4.25		<	4.00	
Ru-106		<	25.65		<	33.20	_	<	39.68		<	34.76	
1-131		<	5.52		<	7.04		<	4.85		<	5.13	
Cs-134	15	<	3.05		<	3.52		<	4.46		<	3.93	_
Cs-137	18	<	1.37		<	1.99	_	<	2.28		<	2.41	
Ba/La-140		<	4.69		<	6.69		<	5.59		<	5.05	
Ce-141		<	5.88		<	6.78	_	<	9.16		<	7.58	_
Ce-144		<	22.65		<	26.74		<	39.34		<	31.12	
Ra-226		104.9	+/-	63.3	<	99.42		<	122.10		<	118.50	
AcTh-228		<	10.07		<	13.36		<	14.74		19.4	+/-	11.5

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the Critical Level values Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is greater than the critical level

### TABLE B-21 CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007 Posulto in Units of pCi/L + 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-40	MW-40
Sample Name:		MW-40-27-(001)	MW-40-27-(002)
Sample Date:		06/05/2007	07/23/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result
H-3		< 163	< 169
Be-7		< 64.4	< 37.7
K-40		< 37.8	< 56.4
Mn-54		< 3.09	< 3.71
Co-58		< 5.51	< 4.05
Fe-59		< 17.4	< 9.58
Co-60		< 3.17	< 3.83
Zn-65		< 6.93	< 8.51
Sr-90	1	< 0.85	< 0.662
Nb-95		< 10.8	< 4.68
Zr-95		< 10	< 6.03
Ru-106		< 30.1	< 32.1
Cs-134	15	< 3.66	< 4.36
Cs-137	18	< 3.39	< 3.88
Ba-140	•	< 346	< 33.1
La-140		< 117	< 11.1
Ce-141		< 18.3	< 8.84
Ce-144		< 24,4	< 26.7
Ac-228		< 13.6	< 14.9

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than

3 standard deviations and greater than the MDC.

### **CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007** Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-40	MW-40	MW-40
Sample Name:		MW-40-46-(001)	MW-40-46-(002)	MW-40-46-(003)
Sample Date:		06/05/2007	07/23/2007	10/12/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result
H-3		< 166	< 171	< 174
Be-7		< 60.6	< 40.4	< 40.1
K-40		< 40.8	< 47	< 37.4
Mn-54		< 3.59	< 3.43	< 4.09
Co-58		< 4.95	< 5.22	< 4.31
Fe-59		< 15.7	< 8.52	< 10.1
Co-60		< 2.27	< 2.9	< 4.26
Zn-65		< 7.33	< 7.05	< 8.08
Sr-90	1	< 0.888	< 0.628	< 0.685
Nb-95		< 10.8	< 6.17	< 7.06
Zr-95		< 8.89	< 7.9	< 7.58
Ru-106		< 27.3	< 35.2	< 33.5
Cs-134	15	< 3.95	< 3.94	< 4.46
Cs-137	18	< 3.39	< 4.09	< 3.59
Ba-140		< 324	< 30.7	< 37.5
La-140		< 118	< 12	< 15.2
Ce-141		< 19.2	< 9.35	< 9.68
Ce-144		< 23.6	< 29.4	< 28.7
Ac-228		< 12.3	< 17	< 16.1

#### **CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007** Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-40	MW-40	MW-40
Sample Name:	11333月1日日	MW-40-81-(001)	MW-40-81-(002)	MW-40-81-(003)
Sample Date:	s féliðir félður í lag stra	06/05/2007	07/23/2007	10/12/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result
H-3		< 163	< 172	< 173
Be-7		< 56.4	< 42.3	< 44.2
K-40		< 38.1	< 69.8	< 48.7
Mn-54		< 3.35	< 3.69	< 3.89
Co-58		< 5.01	< 5.22	< 3.58
Fe-59		< 15.2	< 10.8	< 8.81
Co-60		< 3.62	< 4.95	< 4.64
Zn-65		< 7.49	< 8.1	< 10.5
Sr-90	1	< 0.911	< 0.694	< 0.71
Nb-95		< 11	< 6.65	< 7.32
Zr-95		< 11.7	< 8.64	< 8.14
Ru-106		< 30.2	< 37.6	< 35.2
Cs-134	15	< 3.88	< 4.47	< 4.12
Cs-137	18	< 3.22	< 5.2	< 4.48
Ba-140		< 330	< 39	< 37.5
La-140		< 150	< 11.1	< 12.4
Ce-141		< 21.2	< 11.2	< 10.2
Ce-144		< 27.5	< 35.8	< 30.7
Ac-228		< 12.1	< 18.4	< 16.3

#### **CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007** Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-40	MW-40	MW-40
Sample Name:		MW-40-100-(001)	MW-40-100-(002)	MW-40-100-(003)
Sample Date:		06/05/2007	07/23/2007	10/12/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result
H-3		176 ± 53.5	< 175	< 173
Be-7		< 64.6	< 20.4	< 40.3
K-40		< 49.7	< 25	< 39.3
Mn-54,		< 3.55	< 2.16	< 3.78
Co-58		< 6.59	< 2.11	< 4.02
Fe-59		< 19.1	< 5.09	< 8.19
Co-60		< 3.59	< 2.2	< 3.61
Zn-65	•	< 7.93	< 4.47	< 8.16
Sr-90	. 1	< 0.694	< 0.696	< 0.769
Nb-95		< 11.9	< 2.92	< 6.55
Zr-95		< 12.4	< 4.15	< 7.79
Ru-106		< 35.3	< 18.2	< 34.4
Cs-134	15	< 4.19	< 2.34	< 4.06
Cs-137	18	< 3.04	< 2.1	< 3.87
Ba-140		< 377	< 17.9	< 35.6
La-140		< 115	< 6.81	< 14.1
Ce-141		< 16.8	< 4.92	< 10.2
Ce-144		< 24.1	< 15.1	< 30.1
Ac-228	Ī	< 15.1	< 8.97	< 12.7

### CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007 Results in Units of pCi/L $\pm$ 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:	and a second	MW-40	MW-40	MW-40
Sample Name:		MW-40-127-(001)	MW-40-127-(002)	MW-40-127-(003)
Sample Date:		06/05/2007	07/23/2007	10/12/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result
H-3	1	187 ± 54	< 168	< 164
Be-7		< 57.7	< 17.1	< 35.9
K-40		< 41.2	< 17.7	< 44.4
Mn-54		< 3.59	< 1.67	< 4.19
Co-58		< 6.06	< 1.74	< 4.49
Fe-59		< 17.7	< 4.06	< 9.26
Co-60		< 3.26	< 1.77	< 3.79
Zn-65		< 7.83	< 3.57	< 8.23
Sr-90	1	< 0.571	< 0.573	< 0.624
Nb-95		< 11.3	< 2.41	< 5.09
Zr-95		< 10.8	< 3.5	< 7.84
Ru-106		< 31.8	< 16.3	< 38
Cs-134	15	< 3.98	< 2.01	< 5.04
Cs-137	18	< 3.41	< 1.76	< 4.06
Ba-140		< 359	< 15.5	< 40
La-140		< 118	< 5.48	< 13.9
Ce-141	I	< 20.8	< 4.03	< 10.1
Ce-144		< 27.6	< 13.1	< 30.7
Ac-228		< 16.4	30.3 ± 3.71	30 ± 8.75

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than 3 standard

deviations and greater than the MDC.

### CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007 Results in Units of pCi/L $\pm$ 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-40	MW-40	MW-40
Sample Name:		MW-40-162-(001)	MW-40-162-(002)	MW-40-162-(003)
Sample Date:		06/05/2007	07/23/2007	10/12/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result
H-3		< 164	< 173	< 169
Be-7		< 76	< 16.6	< 36.1
K-40		< 37.1	< 22.5	< 33.1
Mn-54		< 5.44	< 1.65	< 3.57
Co-58		< 8.48	< 1.74	< 3.71
Fe-59		< 21.9	< 3.88	< 8.41
Co-60		< 4.53	< 1.69	< 3.47
Zn-65		< 10.2	< 3.4	< 9
Sr-90	1	< 0.504	< 0.507	< 0.819
Nb-95		< 17	< 2.43	< 5.24
Zr-95		< 14.4	< 3.43	< 7.49
Ru-106		< 45.6	< 14.7	< 30.3
Cs-134	15	< 5.48	< 1.99	< 4.47
Cs-137	18	< 4.95	< 1.75	< 4.05
Ba-140		< 433	< 14.8	< 30.7
La-140		< 172	< 4.85	< 12.4
Ce-141		< 17.5	< 3.92	< 9.42
Ce-144		< 25.8	< 12.1	< 27
Ac-228	1	< 15.2	33.1 ± 4.42	35.5 ± 6.5

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than 3 standard

deviations and greater than the MDC.

### TABLE B-21 **CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007** Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:	State State	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51
Sample Name:		MW-51-40-(001)	MW-51-40-(002)	MW-51-40-(003)	MW-51-40-(004)
Sample Date:		05/30/2007	07/24/2007	10/02/2007	11/09/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result	Result
H-3		198 ± 55	223 ± 53	< 196	< 170
Be-7		< 37.7	< 36.8	< 29.1	< 33
K-40		< 46.7	< 35.3	< 37.3	< 50.5
Mn-54		< 3.14	< 3.71	< 2.68	< 2.95
Co-58		< 3.51	< 3.54	< 2.43	< 3.39
Fe-59		< 8.68	< 8.97	< 7.36	< 7.2
Co-60		< 3.77	< 4.13	< 2.55	< 3.49
Zn-65		< 7.33	< 7.48	< 5.37	< 7.15
Sr-90	1	< 0.982	< 0.538	< 0.373	< 0.452
Nb-95		< 5.39	< 6.14	< 3.44	< 4.88
Zr-95		< 6.6	< 7.41	< 4.98	< 6.97
Ru-106		< 32.4	< 30.1	< 21.3	$24.2 \pm 6.35$
Cs-134	15	< 3.49	< 3.97	< 2.63	< 3.68
Cs-137	18	< 3.77	< 3.85	5.15 ± 1.35	< 3.52
Ba-140		< 28.6	< 33.5	< 27.2	< 33.7
La-140		< 11.1	< 11	< 7.96	< 15.1
Ce-141		< 8.24	< 7.66	< 7.13	< 8.15
Ce-144		< 26.1	< 26.4	< 21.5	< 21.4
Ac-228		< 13.7	< 15.6	< 10.6	< 12.7

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than 3 standard deviations and greater than the MDC.

### TABLE B-21 **CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007** Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:	Andrian Alberta Maria Non-Angela Angela	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51
Sample Name:		MW-51-79-(001)	MW-51-79-(002)	MW-51-79-(003)	MW-51-79-(004)
Sample Date:		05/30/2007	07/24/2007	10/02/2007	11/09/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result	Result
H-3	······································	< 172	< 167	< 194	< 171
Be-7		< 34.3	< 41.6	< 36.8	< 45.4
K-40		< 32.5	< 65.4	< 28.7	< 50
Mn-54		< 3.06	< 4.51	< 3.35	< 4.22
Co-58		< 4.45	< 4.15	< 3.61	< 4.66
Fe-59		< 7.97	< 10.7	< 7.74	< 9.73
Co-60		< 3.76	< 4.93	< 3.27	< 4.45
Zn-65		< 7.16	< 9.78	< 6.51	< 7.85
Sr-90	1	< 0.956	< 0.615	< 0.327	< 0.352
Nb-95		< 4.58	< 4.87	< 5.49	< 6.5
Zr-95		< 7.26	< 6.89	< 6.48	< 7.83
Ru-106		< 31.4	< 33.4	< 29.5	< 34
Cs-134	15	< 4.15	< 4.49	< 3.92	< 4.21
Cs-137	18	< 3.9	< 4.21	5.37 ± 1.69	< 3.56
Ba-140		< 33.3	< 34.1	< 36.2	< 52.3
La-140		< 10.9	< 13.6	< 10.7	< 17.8
Ce-141		< 7.46	< 10.9	< 8.04	< 11
Ce-144		< 22	< 32.1	< 23.5	< 28.1
Ac-228		< 13.6	< 18.5	< 10.9	< 16.3

### TABLE B-21 CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007 Results in Units of pCi/L $\pm$ 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-51	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51
Sample Name:		MW-51-104-(001)	MW-51-104-(002)	MW-51-104-(003)	MW-51-104-(004)
Sample Date:		05/30/2007	07/24/2007	10/02/2007	11/09/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result	, Result
H-3		< 171	< 164	< 194	< 170
Be-7	<u> </u>	< 31.2	< 49.4	< 41.7	< 28
K-40		< 40.1	< 41.2	< 55.9	< 40.8
Mn-54	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	< 3.15	< 4.33	< 4.42	< 2.77
Co-58		< 3.21	< 5.71	< 4.55	< 2.97
Fe-59		< 7.38	< 10.8	< 9.58	< 7.36
Co-60		< 3.62	< 5.33	< 3.68	< 3.18
Zn-65		< 6.8	< 10.7	< 9.79	< 7.06
Sr-90	1	< 0.99	< 0.541	< 0.508	< 0.386
Nb-95		< 4.48	< 5.92	< 7.41	< 4.72
Zr-95		< 5.79	< 9.53	< 8.29	< 5.02
Ru-106		< 29.7	< 44.8	< 34.6	< 25.9
Cs-134	15	< 3.24	< 6.04	< 4.9	< 3.3
Cs-137	18	< 3.35	< 3.92	< 4.25	< 2.92
Ba-140		< 29.3	< 37	< 39.1	< 34.7
La-140		< 11.2	< 14.2	< 15.3	< 12.1
Ce-141		< 7.93	< 10.9	< 10.1	< 7.33
Ce-144		< 24.1	< 36.1	< 30.7	< 18.6
Ac-228		< 15.3	< 22.8	< 13.7	17.6 ± 4.72

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than 3 standard

deviations and greater than the MDC.

### **TABLE B-21 CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007** Results in Units of pCi/L ± 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-51	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51
Sample Name:	San San	MW-51-135-(001)	MW-51-135-(002)	MW-51-135-(003)	MW-51-135-(004)
Sample Date:		05/30/2007	07/24/2007	10/02/2007	11/09/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result	Result
H-3		< 170	< 159	< 196	< 172
Be-7		< 42	< 39.7	< 27.3	< 37.8
K-40		ິ < 52	< 33	< 25.7	< 51.8
Mn-54		< 3.94	< 4.4	< 2.69	< 3.79
Co-58		< 4.27	< 5.18	< 3.22	< 3.96
Fe-59		< 10.2	< 8.49	< 6.97	< 7.85
Co-60		< 4.48	< 3.84	< 2.92	< 3.66
Zn-65	1	< 6.69	< 11.1	< 6.38	< 6.88
Sr-90	1	< 0.84	< 0.576	< 0.549	< 0.318
Nb-95		< 6.3	< 5.32	< 3.22	< 5.59
Zr-95		< 7.32	< 8.52	< 5.35	< 7.11
Ru-106		< 28.6	< 40	< 23.7	< 34.5
Cs-134	15	< 4.37	< 5.09	< 3.05	< 4.13
Cs-137	18	< 4.03	< 4.36	21.6 ± 1.92	< 3.7
Ba-140		< 36.3	< 34.7	< 27.6	< 40.2
La-140		< 14.2	< 14.9	< 9.69	< 16.1
Ce-141		< 9.95	< 10.1	< 5.45	< 9.56
Ce-144		< 29.1	< 30.1	< 15.9	< 29.4
Ac-228	ŀ	< 19.4	< 14.1	18 ± 4.89	22.6 ± 6.95

### TABLE B-21CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007Results in Units of pCi/L $\pm 1$ Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-51	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51
Sample Name:		MW-51-163-(001)	MW-51-163-(002)	MW-51-163-(003)	MW-51-163-(004)
Sample Date:		05/30/2007	07/24/2007	10/02/2007	11/09/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result	Result
H-3		< 169	< 166	< 196	< 171
Be-7		< 32.2	< 42	< 44.4	< 33.3
K-40		< 33.3	< 45.8	< 51.4	< 50.5
Mn-54	]	< 2.92	< 4.04	< 4.94	< 3.41
Co-58		< 3.83	< 4.01	< 5.49	< 3.91
Fe-59		< 9.68	< 8.47	< 11.4	< 8.56
Co-60		< 3.2	< 3.63	< 4.89	< 3.11
Zn-65		< 7.44	< 9.18	< 7.87	< 6.11
Sr-90	1	< 1.36	< 0.521	< 0.364	< 0.312
Nb-95		< 5.71	< 5.38	< 6.74	< 5.5
Zr-95		< 6.32	< 7.51	< 9.42	< 7.59
Ru-106		< 32.9	< 40.5	< 41.6	< 31.8
Cs-134	15	< 3.89	< 3.82	< 5.88	< 4.27
Cs-137	18	< 3.45	< 3.78	11.6 ± 2.4	< 3.51
Ba-140		< 33.2	< 33.6	< 47.2	< 39.2
La-140		< 12.5	< 10.2	< 13.9	< 14.3
Ce-141		< 7.26	< 9.32	< 7.63	< 8.57
Ce-144		< 24.8	< 29.3	< 22.8	< 23.5
Ac-228		< 15.1	< 20.1	24.4 ± 7.8	23.7 ± 6.1

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than 3 standard

deviations and greater than the MDC.

### CONCENTRATIONS OF RADIONUCLIDES IN MONITORING WELL SAMPLES - 2007 Results in Units of pCi/L $\pm$ 1 Sigma

Monitoring Well:		MW-51	MW-51	MW-51	MW-51
Sample Name:		MW-51-189-(001)	MW-51-189-(002)	MW-51-189-(003)	MW-51-189-(004)
Sample Date:		05/30/2007	07/24/2007	10/02/2007	11/09/2007
Radionuclide	Req. MDC	Result	Result	Result	Result
H-3		187 ± 55.5	< 163	< 196	< 171
Be-7		< 44.4	< 38.2	< 31.8	< 44.9
K-40		< 57	< 45.4	< 37	< 50.9
Mn-54		< 4.26	< 3.93	< 3.21	< 4.38
Co-58		< 4.25	< 4.08	< 3.6	< 4.56
Fe-59		< 10.9	< 8.91	< 8.28	< 10.4
Co-60	;	< 4.63	< 4.31	< 3.04	< 4.05
Zn-65		< 10.3	< 6.95	< 5.9	< 8.58
Sr-90	1	< 1.11	< 0.448	< 0.258	< 0.408
Nb-95		< 6.32	< 4.62	< 5.27	< 6.12
Zr-95		< 8.85	< 7.7	< 5.88	< 7.78
Ru-106		< 39.7	< 30.3	< 30.6	< 29.7
Cs-134	15	< 4.86	< 4.07	< 3.3	< 4.8
Cs-137	18	< 3.82	< 3.58	13.8 ± 1.81	< 4.07
Ba-140		< 38.1	< 26.5	< 34.8	< 50.2
La-140		< 15.5	< 9.8	< 12.9	< 16.3
Ce-141		< 9.6	< 7.8	< 8.07	< 10.4
Ce-144		< 29.1	< 26	< 23.1	< 28.1
Ac-228		< 18.6	< 15.6	< 15.1	< 17.7

Note 1: Less than values "<" are the MDC values

Note 2: A sample is positive if the result is both greater than 3 standard

deviations and greater than the MDC.

## TABLE B-22 MILCH ANIMAL CENSUS 2007

# THERE ARE NO ANIMALS PRODUCING MILK FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION WITHIN FIVE MILES OF INDIAN POINT.

#### LAND USE CENSUS DESCRIPTION 2007

A comprehensive survey of the of the 5 mile (8 kilometer) area surrounding the Indian Point Site was conducted during the 2007 Spring, Summer and Fall months in accordance with the ODCM Section D 3.5 Radiological Environmental Monitoring Land Use Census Methodology:

Visual inspections were made of the 5-mile area around the Indian Point Site during routine sample collections and emergency plan equipment inspections in the area throughout the year.

Obtained information from the New York Agricultural Statistic Service on milching animals within the 5mile area surrounding Indian Point Energy Center.

An extensive land survey was conducted of the 5-mile area in an attempt to identify new residential areas, commercial developments and to identify milch animals in pasture. Previous locations were visited and verified by dispatching Nuclear Environmental Technicians to the various locations.

Note: This was done while performing quarterly environmental badge change out and field inspections through out the 4 surrounding counties.

- Orange County was surveyed during through the summer and fall.
- Rockland County was surveyed during summer and fall.
- Putnam County was surveyed during the summer and fall.
- Westchester County was surveyed during the spring, summer and fall.

Note: An aerial survey was not conducted of the 5-mile area this year.

#### **Results:**

The 2007 land use census indicated there were no new residences that were closer in proximity to IPEC. NEM performed a complete nearest residence survey with updated distances.

No milch animals were observed during this reporting period within the 5-mile zone or listed in the New York Agricultural Statistic Service.

#### TABLE B-23 LAND USE CENCUS 2007

#### **INDIAN POINT ENERGY CENTER**

#### UNRESTRICTED AREA BOUNDARY AND NEAREST RESIDENCES 2007

Sector	Compass Point	Distance to site Boundary from Unit 2 Plant Vent (meters)	Distance to site Boundary from Unit 3 Plant Vent (meters)	Distance to nearest resident, from Unit 1 superheater (meters)	Address of nearest resident, Dec 2004 Census
1	N	RIVER	RIVER	1788.1	41 River Road Tomkins Cove
2	NNE	RIVER	RIVER	3111.3	Chateau Rive Apts. John St. Peekskill
3	NE	550	636	1907.3	122 Lower South St. Peekskill
4	ENE	600	775	1478.2	1018 Lower South St. Peekskill
5	E	662	785	1370.9	1103 Lower South St. Peekskill
6	ESE	569	622	715.2	461 Broadway Buchanan
7	SE	553	564	1168.2	223 First St. Buchanan
8	SSE	569	551	1239.7	5 Pheasant's Run Buchanan
9	S	700	566	1132.5	320 Broadway Verplanck
10	SSW	755	480	1573.5	240 Eleventh St. Verplanck
11	sw	544	350	3015.9	29 Church St. Tomkins Cove
- 12	wsw	RIVER	RIVER	2169.6	9 West Shore Dr. Tomkins Cove
13	w	RIVER	RIVER	1918.7	712 Rt. 9W Tomkins Cove
14	WNW	RIVER	RIVER	1752.4	770 Rt. 9W Tomkins Cove
15	NW	RIVER	RIVER	1692.7	807 Rt. 9W Tomkins Cove
16	NNW	RIVER	RIVER	1609.3	4 River Rd. Tomkins Cove

# APPENDIX C

# HISTORICAL TRENDS

#### APPENDIX C

The past ten years of historical data for various radionuclides and media are presented both in tabular form and in graphical form to facilitate the comparison of 2007 data with historical values. Although other samples were taken and analyzed, values were only tabulated and plotted where positive indications were present.


Averaging only the positive values in these tables can result in a biased high value, especially, when the radionuclide is detected in only one or two quarters for the year.

# TABLE C-1

DIRECT RADIATION ANNUAL SUMMARY
1997 - 2007

Average Qu	Average Quarterly Dose (mR/Quarter)								
Year	Inner Ring	Outer Ring	Control Location						
1997	15.0	15.0	18.0						
1998	14.0	15.0	16.0						
1999	15.0	15.0	16.0						
2000	14.0	15.0	16.0						
2001	15.0	15.0	17.0						
2002	15.0	15.0	14.0						
2003	14.3	13.9	14.7						
2004	13.0	13.0	14.0						
2005	14.1	14.1	15.9						
2006	13.9	14.3	17.5						
2007	14.4	14.6	18.8						
Historical Average 1997-2006	14.3	14.5	16.2						

C-2



**FIGURE C-1** 

C-3

# TABLE C-2


# RADIONUCLIDES IN AIR 1997 to 2007 (pCi/m<sup>3</sup>)

,

	Gross	Beta	Cs-1	37
Year	All ODCM Indicator Locations	Control Location	All ODCM Indicator Locations	Control Location
1997	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
1998	0.02	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
1999	0.02	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2000	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2001	0.02	0.02	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2002	0.02	0.02	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2003	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2004	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2005	0.02	0.02	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2006	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2007	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
Historical Average 1997-2006	0.01	0.01	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>

Critical Level (L<sub>c</sub>) is less than the ODCM required LLD.

<L<sub>c</sub> indicates no positive values above sample critical level.



C-5

\* Includes ODCM and non-ODCM indicator locations.

Gross Beta ODCM required LLD = 0.01 pCi/m<sup>3</sup>


# TABLE C-3


# RADIONUCLIDES IN HUDSON RIVER WATER 1997 to 2007 (pCi/L)

	Trit	Tritium (H-3)		-137
Year	Inlet	Discharge	iniet	Discharge
1997	< L <sub>c</sub>	430	< L <sub>c</sub>	.< L <sub>c</sub>
1998	< L <sub>c</sub>	220	< L <sub>c</sub>	. < L <sub>c</sub>
1999	191	318	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2000	190	267	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2001	< L <sub>c</sub>	323	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2002	432	562	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2003	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2004	< L <sub>c</sub>	553	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2005	< L <sub>c</sub>	618	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2006	< Lc	386	< Lc	< Lc
2007	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
Historical Average 1997-2006	271	409	< Lc	< Lc

Critical Level ( $L_c$ ) is less than the ODCM required LLD.

<L<sub>c</sub> indicates no positive values above sample critical level.





Tritium ODCM required LLD = 3000 pCi/L

C-7


## **TABLE C-4**

(poirc)								
Year	Tritium (H-3)	Cs-137						
1997	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
1998	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
1999	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2000	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2001	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2002	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2003	< L <sub>c</sub>	. < L <sub>c</sub>						
2004	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2005	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2006	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
2007	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						
Historical Average 1997-2006	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>						

# RADIONUCLIDES IN DRINKING WATER 1997 to 2007 (pCi/L)

Critical Level (L<sub>c</sub>) is less than the ODCM required LLD. <L<sub>c</sub> indicates no positive values above sample critical level.

#### FIGURE C-4 DRINKING WATER - TRITIUM 1997 to 2007



Tritium ODCM required LLD = 2000 pCi/L

C-9


# TABLE C-5

# RADIONUCLIDES IN SHORELINE SOIL 1997 to 2007 (pCi/Kg, dry)

	<b>Cs-1</b> 3	4	Cs-1	137
Year	Indicator	Control	Indicator	Control
1997	< L <sub>c</sub> >	< L <sub>c</sub>	203	340
1998	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	143	< L <sub>c</sub>
1999	46	< L <sub>c</sub>	200	238
2000	58	< L <sub>c</sub>	179	231
2001	45	< L <sub>c</sub>	230	.427
2002	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	221	238
2003	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	124	73
2004	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	104	138
2005	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>	174
2006	< Lc	< Lc	120	< Lc
2007	< Lc	< Lc	156	< Lc
Historical Average 1997-2006	50	< Lc	168	232

Critical Level ( $L_c$ ) is less than the RETS required LLD.

<L<sub>c</sub> indicates no positive values above sample critical level.



Cs-134 ODCM required LLD = 150 pCi/Kg, dry Cs-137 ODCM required LLD = 175 pCi/Kg, dry

C-11

# TABLE C-6


# RADIONUCLIDES IN BROAD LEAF VEGETATION 1997 to 2007 (pCi/Kg, wet)

	ана така са се	s-137
Ŷear	Indicator	Control
1997	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
1998	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
1999	< L <sub>c</sub>	27
, 2000	28	< L <sub>c</sub>
2001	7	< L <sub>c</sub>
2002	14	16
2003	14	< L <sub>c</sub>
2004	10	< L <sub>c</sub>
2005	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2006	< Lc	< Lc
2007	< Lc	< Lc
Historical Average 1997-2006	15	22

Critical Level (L<sub>c</sub>) is less than the ODCM required LLD.

<L<sub>c</sub> indicates no positive values above sample critical level.

#### FIGURE C-6 BROAD LEAF VEGETATION - Cs-137 1997 to 2007



ODCM required LLD = 80 pCi/Kg, wet

C-13

#### **TABLE C-7**

	C	s=137
Year	Indicator	Control
1997	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
1998	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
1999	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2000	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2001	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2002	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2003	< Lc	< Lc
2004	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2005	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2006	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
2007	< L <sub>c</sub>	< L <sub>c</sub>
Historical Average 1997-2006	< Lc	< Lc

# RADIONUCLIDES IN FISH AND INVERTEBRATES 1997 to 2007

Critical Level  $(L_c)$  is less than the ODCM required LLD.

<L<sub>c</sub> indicates no positive values above sample critical level.




FIGURE C-7 FISH AND INVERTEBRATES - Cs-137 1997 to 2007

Cs-137 ODCM required LLD = 150 pCi/Kg, wet

C-15

# APPENDIX D

1. 5-17

# INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

#### APPENDIX D

#### D.1 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM), Part 1, Section 5.3 requires that the licensee participate in an Interlaboratory Comparison Program. The Interlaboratory Comparison Program shall include sample media for which samples are routinely collected and for which comparison samples are commercially available. Participation in an Interlaboratory Comparison Program ensures that independent checks on the precision and accuracy of the measurement of radioactive material in the environmental samples are performed as part of the Quality Assurance Program for environmental monitoring. To fulfill the requirement for an Interlaboratory Comparison Program, the JAF Environmental Laboratory has engaged the services of two independent laboratories to provide quality assurance comparison samples. The two laboratories are Analytics, Incorporated in Atlanta, Georgia and the U.S. Department of Commerce's National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in Gaithersburg, Maryland.

Analytics supplies sample media as blind sample spikes, which contain certified levels of radioactivity unknown to the analysis laboratory. These samples are prepared and analyzed by the JAF Environmental Laboratory using standard laboratory procedures. Analytics issues a statistical summary report of the results. The JAF Environmental Laboratory uses predetermined acceptance criteria methodology for evaluating the laboratory's performance.

In addition to the Analytics Program, the JAF Environmental Laboratory participates in the NEI/NIST Measurement Assurance Program. In 1987, the nuclear industry established a Measurement Assurance Program at the National Bureau of Standards (now the National Institute of Standards and Technology) to provide sponsoring nuclear utilities an independent verification, traceable to NIST, of their capability to make accurate measurements of radioactivity, as described in NRC Regulatory Guide 4.15. The program includes distribution to sponsoring utilities. The samples are prepared by NIST to present specific challenges to participating laboratories. NIST supplies sample media as blind sample spikes. These samples are prepared and analyzed by the JAF Environmental Laboratory and the results are submitted to the Entergy Nuclear representative for evaluating the laboratory's performance. The performance results along with the NIST Report of Test (certifies what activities are present in the sample) are forwarded to the laboratory.

The JAF Environmental Laboratory also analyzes laboratory blanks. The analysis of laboratory blanks provides a means to detect and measure radioactive contamination of analytical samples. The analysis of analytical blanks also provides information on the adequacy of background subtraction.

Laboratory blank results are analyzed using control charts.

#### D.2 PROGRAM SCHEDULE

SAMPLE MEDIA	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	SAMPLE PROVIDER ANALYTICS	SAMPLE PROVIDER NIST
Water	Gross Beta	. 1	
Water	Tritium	3	
Water	I-131	4	
Water	Mixed Gamma	4	1
Air	Gross Beta	3 .	
Air	I-131	4	
Air	Mixed Gamma	2	1
Milk	I-131	3	
Milk	Mixed Gamma	3	
Soil	Mixed Gamma	1	
Vegetation	Mixed Gamma	2	
TOTAL SA	MPLE INVENTORY	30	2

#### TABLE D-1 QA PROGRAM SCHEDULE

#### D.3 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Each sample result is evaluated to determine the accuracy and precision of the laboratory's analysis result. The sample evaluation method is discussed below.

#### **D.3.1 SAMPLE RESULTS EVALUATION**

Samples provided by Analytics and NIST are evaluated using what is specified as the NRC method. This method is based on the calculation of the ratio of results reported by the participating laboratory (QC result) to the Vendor Laboratory Known value (reference result).

An Environmental Laboratory analytical result is evaluated using the following calculation:

The value for the error resolution is calculated.

The error resolution = \_\_\_\_\_

Reference Result
Reference Results Error (1 sigma)

Using the appropriate row under the Error Resolution column in Table D.3.1 below, a corresponding Ratio of Agreement interval is given.

The value for the ratio is then calculated.

Ratio=QC Resultof AgreementReference Result

If the value falls within the agreement interval, the result is acceptable.

ERROR RESOLUTION	RATIO OF AGREEMENT
< 4	No Comparison
4 to 7	0.5 to 2.0
8 to 15	0.6 to 1.66
16 to 50	0.75 to 1.33
51 to 200	0.8 to 1.25
>200	0.85 to 1.18

# TABLE D-2RATIO OF AGREEMENT

This acceptance test is generally referred to as the "NRC" method. The acceptance criteria is contained in Procedure EN-CY-102. The NRC method generally results in an acceptance range of approximately  $\pm$  25% of the Known value when applied to sample results from the Analytics and NIST Interlaboratory Comparison Program. This method is used as the procedurally required assessment method and requires the generation of a deviation from QA/QC program report when results are unacceptable.

#### D.4 PROGRAM RESULTS SUMMARY

The Interlaboratory Comparison Program numerical results are provided on Table D-3.

#### D.4.1 ANALYTICS QA SAMPLES RESULTS

Thirty QA blind spike samples were analyzed as part of Analytics 2007 Interlaboratory Comparison Program. The following sample media were evaluated as part of the comparison program.

- Air Charcoal Cartridge: I-131
- Air Particulate Filter: Mixed Gamma Emitters, Gross Beta
- Water: I-131, Mixed Gamma Emitters, Tritium, Gross Beta
- Soil: Mixed Gamma Emitters
- Milk: I-131, Mixed Gamma Emitters
- Vegetation: Mixed Gamma Emitters

The JAF Environmental Laboratory performed 126 individual analyses on the 30 QA samples. Of the 126 analyses performed, 126 were in agreement using the NRC acceptance criteria for a 100% agreement ratio.

There were no non-conformities in the 2007 program.

#### D.4.2 NIST QA SAMPLES RESULTS

In 2007, JAF Environmental Laboratory participated in the NEI/NIST Measurement Assurance Program. Two QA blind spike samples were analyzed. The following sample media were evaluated as part of the comparison program.

- Air Particulate Filter: Mixed Gamma Emitters
- Water: Mixed Gamma Emitters

The JAF Environmental Laboratory performed 8 individual analyses on the two QA samples. Of the 8 analyses performed, 8 were in agreement using the NRC acceptance criteria for a 100% agreement ratio.

There were no non-conformities in the 2007 program.

# D.4.3 NUMERICAL RESULTS TABLES

# TABLE D-3 INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM Gross Beta Analysis of Air Particulate Filter

DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	JA	F ELAB F pCi ±1 s		TS			CE LAB* igma	RAT	
06/14/2007	E5352-05	Filter			78.3	±	2.2					
			GROSS		79.6	±	2.3	72.8	±	2.43	1.07	A
			BETA		76.6	±	2.2	12.0	-	2.40		$\gamma$
				Mean =	78.2	±	1.3					
06/14/2007	E5346-09	Filter			78.0	±	2.2					
			GROSS		82.0	±	2.3	75.0	75.0 1 2	3	1.07 A	^
1			BETA	Į	80.0	±	2.3	75.0 ±	Т	3		$\gamma$
		-		Mean =	80.0	±	1.3					
12/06/2007	E5767-05	Filter		,	76.6	±	2.2					_
			GROSS		82.2	±	2.3	73.8	÷	2.46	1.06	А
			BETA		76.8	±	2.2	13.0	I	2.40	1.00	~
				Mean =	<u>7</u> 8.5	±	1.3					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE D-3 (Continued) Tritium Analysis of Water

	SAMPLE			14	F ELAB F		те	REFER			RAT	10
DATE				1			•					
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	F	Ci/liter ±1	i sigma	3		<u>er ±z</u>	sigma	(1)	)
3/22/2007	E5331-05	Water	H-3		5510		177					
		· ·			5584		179	5010	±	167	1.10	А
				5490 178 Mean = 5528 + 103			5010	Ŧ	107	1.10	~	
				Mean =	5528	±	103					
12/6/2007	E5542-09	Water	H-3		9671	±	208					
					9901	±	210	9000	±	300	1.09	А
	].			Mean =	9786	±	148					
12/6/2007	E5543-09	Water	H-3		9684	±	209					
		•			9843	±	210	9000	±	300	1.08	А
				Mean =	9764		148					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE D-3 (Continued) **Gross Beta Analysis of Water**

5

DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	JAF ELAB RESULTS pCi/liter ±1 sigma	REFERENCE LAB* pCi/liter ±2 sigma	RATIO (1)
11/09/2007	A21998-05	Water	GROSS BETA	9.11E+05 ± 1.50E+04 8.95E+05 ± 1.49E+04 8.91E+05 ± 1.48E+04 Mean = 8.99E+05 ± 8.60E+03	9.30E+05 ± 5.58E+04	0.97 A

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE D-3 (Continued) I-131 Gamma Analysis of Air Charcoal

DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	JA	F ELAB F pCi ±1 s		TS	1		CE LAB* igma	RAT	
E5315-09	3/22/2007	Air			66.8	±	4.46			,		
					69.8	±	4.55					
	1		I-131		61.7	· ±	3.99	70.0	±	2.3	0.97	А
				].	72.7	±	5.2					
				Mean =	67.8	±	2.29		_			
E5355-05	6/14/2007	Air			72.7	±	4.22			•		
			I-131		79.7	±	5.09	.79.0	±	2.63	0.99	А
				ż	83.0	±	4.16	.79.0	, <b>T</b>	2.05	0.99	A
				Mean =	78.5	±	2.60		_			
E5466-05	9/13/2007	Air	,		74.4	±	3.46					
			I-131		65.7	±	3.92	69.6	±	2.32	0.98	А
			1-101		64.5	±	3.13	03.0	-	2.02	0.30	
				Mean =	68.2	±	2.03		_			
E5446-09	9/13/2007	Air			67.8	±	3.34					
			I-131		61.8	±	3.27	70.0	±	2	0.93	А
	[				66.3	±	3.18	10.0	-	2	0.33	~
	_			Mean =	65.3	±	1.88					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.
\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

U=Unacceptable

ì

г <sup></sup>	r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Gamma	Analysi	· · · · · ·			- <u></u>				
DA75	SAMPLE				ELAB F					E LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	p	Ci/liter ±			pCi/lite	er ±2	sigma	(1)	)
4/18/2007	E5332-05	Water			153	±	7.98					
			Ce-141		151	±	2.4	140	±	4.65	1.08	Α
					148	±	3.63					
}	)			Mean =	<u>151</u> 115	 	3.0 12.1					
			Cr-51	·	139	±	12.1	104		3.47	1.22	Δ
	1			Mean ≈	127	±	9.8			5.47	1.22	~
				ivicari	83.0	 	6.11					
					94.3	±	2.03					
			Cs-134		102	±	2.43	91.2	±	3.04	1.02	Α
				Mean ≈	93.1	±	2.3					
					201	±	7.53					
			0.407		195	±	2.41	100		0.50	4.04	
			Cs-137		197	±	3.08	196	±	6.53	1.01	А
}				Mean ≈	198	±	2.8					
					65.6		6.04				·	
			0- 50		67.0	±	1.71			0.40	4.04	
			Co-58		59.8	±	2.27	63.5	±	2.12	1.01	А
				Mean =	64.1	±	2.2					
					168	±	7.48					
			Mn-54		146	±	2.36	144		4 70	1.08	٨
			1011-54		154	±	2.89	144	±	4.79	1.00	А
				Mean =	156	±	2.8					
					63.1	±	6.4					
		·	Fe-59		58.1	±	2.26	58.0	±	1.93	1.03	۰ <b>Δ</b>
					58.6	±	2.5		-	1.00	1.00	~
}				Mean =	59.9	<u>±</u>	2.4					
					830	±	23					
			Zn-65		817	±	7.46	776	±	25.9	1.06	А
					825	±	9.26					
				Mean =	824	<u>±</u>	8.6					
					123 128	± +	4.79 1.6					
			Co-60		128 129	± ±	1.6 1.95	126	±	4.2	1.01	А
								1				
				Mean =	127	±	1.8	+				
					100	±	4.75					
					99.4	±	4.9			0.0-		
			I-131**		90.9	±	4.32	89.8	±	2.99	1.10	А
					104	±	4.32	1				
(1) Dotio - D	on orte d/Analy			Mean =	98.6	±	2.3					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

			Gaiiiiia	Analysi	F ELAB F		те	DEEE		E LAB*	RAT	
DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		Ci/liter ±					E LAB sigma	(1	
6/14/2007	E5345-09	Water	ANALIOIO	P	158	± 519111	3.78		51 12	Signa	<u>\'</u>	
0/14/2007	20040-00	vvalo			181	±	3.47					
	ļ		Ce-141		169	±	9.27	160	±	5	1.06	Α
				Mean =	169	±	3.5			1		
				moan	428	 ±	20.1					
			/		420	±	16.3					
	ļ	}	Cr-51		461	±	52.3	411	±	14	1.06	Α
				Mean =	436	±	19.5			•		
			·		208	±	3.6					
			0- 124		204	±	2.73	101		~	4.05	
		ĺ	Cs-134		202	±	10.3	194	±	6	1.05	А
				Mean =	205	±	3.7					
		1			132	±	2.99					
	]		Cs-137	ļ	141	±	2.34	135	<b>_</b>	5	1 01	^
			08-137		140	±	8.12	135	±	5	1.01	A
				Mean =	138	±	3.0					
				164	±	3.3						
			Co-58		166	±	2.49	159	±	5	1.05	^
			0-58		170	±	8.93	159	т	5	1.05	~
			Mean =	167	_ ±	<u>3.</u> 3						
					147	±	3.32					
			Mn-54		154	±	2.41	133	±	4	1.15	Δ
	1		1 1011-0-4		159	±	8.67	100	-	-		. 7
				Mean =	153		3.2					
					141	±	4.05					
			Fe-59		145	±	2.81	134	±	4	1.03	Δ
					130	±	10.4		-	•	1.00	
				Mean =	139		3.8					
	]				277	±	7.04					
			Zn-65		293	±	5.05	268	±	9	1.08	Δ
					300	±	18.7	200	-	Ū		
				Mean =	290		6.9					
		1	}		192	±	2.79					
		Co-60		195	±	2	191	±	6	1.01	А	
				189	±	7.36		-	-			
				Mean =	192		2.7					
		1	1		108	±	8.97					
			I-131**		102	±	2.13	102	±	3 ·	1.02	А
					103	±	2.37			-		
		L		Mean =	104	±	3.2					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

Г <u> </u>	0.000		Gainina	Analysi				DEFE			<b>-</b>	
	SAMPLE									E LAB*		
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	<u>р</u>	Ci/liter ±				$\frac{\text{er} \pm 2}{2}$	sigma	(1)	)
9/13/2007	E5463-05	Water			198 170	±	9.06					
			Ce-141		179	±	9.53	182	±	6.05	1.03	Α
				ĺ	188	±	10.8					
				Mean =	188	<u>±</u>	5.7					
i .					253	±	37.5					
			Cr-51		245	±	41.3	249	±	8.29	0.93	А
			2. 2.		200	±	42.5					
				Mean =	233	±	23.4					
					134	±	8.9					
			Cs-134		134	±	9.08	127	±	4.22	1.10	А
					150	±	8.93		_			••
				Mean =	139	±	5.2				ļ	
					105	±	6.75					
			Cs-137		107	±	7.68	112	±	3.74	0.96	А
			00 101		109	· ±	7.87		-	0.7 1	0.00	
				Mean =	107	±	4.3					
		[		ĺ	103	±	6.62					
			Co-58		103	±	7.11	98.1	· +	3.27	0.99	Α
	4	,			86.5	±	7.35		-	0.27	0.00	
				Mean =	97.5	±	4.1					
					173	±	8.22					
			Mn-54		161	±	8.73	144	±	4.8	1.14	Α
					158	±	8.93		_			
				Mean =	164	±	5.0					
		{			105	±	7.93				}	
	*		Fe-59		106	±	8.51	95.1	±	3.17	1.15	А
					117	±	9.07		_	••••		
				Mean =	109	<u>±</u>	4.9					
					204	±	13.8					
			Zn-65		212	±	15.9	174	±	5.8	1.20	А
					208	±	16.3		_	0.0		
				Mean =	208	±	8.9					
					121	±	5.3				ļ	
			Co-60		128	±	6.28	127	±	4.24	1.03	А
					144	±	6.72		-			
				Mean =	131	<u>±</u>	3.5					
					83.5	±	1.77					
			  -131**		80.3	±	2.51	80.1	±	2.67	1.04	Δ
	1		I-131**		86.1	±	2.38		-	2.07		~
				Mean =	83.3	±	1.3					_

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

·····		1	Gaillina	Analysi							<u> </u>	
	SAMPLE							REFER				
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	p p	Ci/liter ±1				er ±2	sigma	(1)	)
12/6/2007	E5544-09	Water			156	±	10.7					
			Ce-141		155	±	8.47	157	±	5	0.99	А
				Moon -	153 155	±	11.5					
1			,	Mean =	657		<u>5.9</u> 52	+				
					557	± ±	40.6					
			Cr-51		611	±	40.0 58.7	572	±	19	1.06	А
				Mean =	608	±	29.4					
					168		8.91					
				1	155	±	7.61					
			Cs-134		161	±	9.97	153	±	5	1.05	А
	r			Mean =	161	±	5.1				l.	
		. '			191	<u>+</u> ±	8.36				-	
					177	±	7.05					_
			Cs-137		172	±	9.27	185	±	6	0.97	A,
				Mean =	180	±	4.8					
				inoun	214		8.97	<u>+</u>				
			0.50		223	±	7.81			•	1.10	
			Co-58		202	±	10.1	194	±	6	1.10	А
				Mean =	213	±	5.2					
					240	±	9.27	<u> </u>				
					228	±	7.81	040		7	4 40	
			Mn-54		231	±	10.9	212	±	7	1.10	А
	•			Mean =	233	±	5.4					
					177	±	9.99					
			Fe-59		182	±	8.84	166	±	6	1.06	۸
			Fe-09		169	±	11.6	100	T	0	1.00	А
				Mean =	176	±	5.9					
					296	±	16.6					
			Zn-65		287	±	14.3	261	±	9	1.10	Δ
					278	±	19.3	201	-	0		~
	,		·	Mean =	287	±	9.7					
					229	±	6.95				1	
		· .	Co-60		228	±	6.05	236	±	8	0.98	Δ
	]	1		ļ	237	±	8.36	200	<u> </u>	Ŭ		7
				Mean =	231	±	4.1				L	
					73.7	±	3.53					
			I-131**		74.5	±	1.97	72.0	t	2	1.04	Δ
			I-131**		76.3	±	3.37	, 2.0	-	-		~
				Mean =	74.8	±	1.8					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

	SANDLE		J				 TO	DEFF		E LAB*		
DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		F ELAB F Ci/liter ±					∠E LAB" ∵sigma	RAT (1)	
3/22/2007	E5316-09	MILK	ANALIOIO	P	304	± 519111	4.2			Sigina	<u> </u>	/
0/22/2001	20010-00		, i		304	±	5.4					
			Ce-141		293	±	5.5	297	±	9.9	1.01	А
		}		Mean =	300	±	2.9					
				Ivican –	227	 	16.6				<u> </u>	
					204	±	22.1			_		
			Cr-51		227	±	22.9	245	±	8.2	0.90	А
				Mean =	219	±	12.0					
	· ·				110		2.8					
					111	±	3.2					•
		}	Cs-134		107	±	3.5	112	±	3.7	0.98	Α
				Mean =	109	±	1.8					
					227	±	3.5					
			0.407		232	±	4.1					
			Cs-137	(	232	±	4.7	234	±	7.8	0.98	A
				Mean =	230	±	2.4					
		1			95.6	±	3.1	-				
		]	0.50		101	±	3.3				0.00	
			Co-58		98.0	±	3.8	99.0	±	3.3	0.99	А
				Mean =	98.2	±	2.0					
					189	±	3.6			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	,	ţ		1	189	۰±	3.9	100			1	
			Mn-54	. '	189	±	4.5	182	±	6.1	1.04	А
				Mean =	189	±	2.3					
					114	±	3.8				1	
			Fe-59		127	±	4.1	106	±	3.5	1.12	۸
			16-39		114	±	4.6	100	· <b>T</b>	5.5	1.12	~
				Mean =	118	±	2.4			_		
					1040	±	11.6	1				
			Zn-65		1090	±	12.9	1000	±	33.3	1.06	Δ
			211-05		1050	±	14.7	1000	1	55.5	1.00	
				Mean =	1060	±	7.6					
			Co-60		144	±	2.4					
					146	±	2.6	152	±	5.1	0.95	Δ
					144	±	3.0	1.02	-	0.1	0.00	73
	}	ļ		Mean =	145	±	1.5				 	
					97 <sub>.</sub>	±	3.9					
			I-131**		91.2	±	4.1	85.0	±	2.8	1.11	À
			I-131**		95.5	±	5.3		-	2.0		<i>,</i> ,
L	l	L	l	Mean =	94.6	±	2.6			_		

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

	SAMPLE				ELAB F	RESUL				E LAB*	RAT	
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	P	Ci/liter ±	-			er ±2	sigma	(1	<u>)                                    </u>
6/14/2007	E5353-05	MILK			209	±	4.33					
			Ce-141		205	±	5.94	200	±	6.66	1.01	A
•					192	±	4.16					
				Mean =	202		2.82					
				1	519	±	22.1					
		-	Cr-51		575	±	26.9	512	±	17.1	1.07	ŀ
			1		543	±	19.2					
				Mean =	546		13.3					
			,		249	±	4.19					
			Cs-134		257	±	4.55	242	±	8.06	1.06	ļ
					260	±	3.47					
1				Mean =	255		2.36					
					162	±	3.45					
			Cs-137		169	±	3.86	169	±	5.63	0.99	
					170	±	3.06		-	0.00	0.00	'
				Mean =	167	±	2.00					
					198	É ±	3.81					
			Co-58		211	±	4.14	198	±	6.61	1.04	
					208	±	3.30	1.50	-	0.01	1.04	
				Mean =	206	±	2.17					
		ĺ .			177	±	3.55					
			Mn-54		185	±	3.94	166	т	5.53	1.10	,
			1017-34		185	±	3.19	100	±	5.55	1.10	
				Mean =	182	±	2.06					
					184	±	4.42					
			5. 50		182	±	4.75	407		E 50	4 00	
			Fe-59		181	±	3.78	167	±	5.56	1.09	
				Mean =	182	±	2.50					
					352	· ±	7.63					
			7. 05		379	±	10.6	0.04			4 00	
			Zn-65		354	±	6.73	334	±	11.1	1.08	
				Mean =	362	±	4.90					
					237	±	3.02					
	]		0.00		242	±	3.27	000		7.00		
			Co-60		240	±	2.62	238	±	7.93	1.01	1
				Mean =	240	±	1.72					
					65.4		2.07					
					65. <del>9</del>	±	2.29					
	ł	1	I-131**		65.9	±	1.87	70.1	±	2.34	0.94	1
,	·			Mean =	65.7	±±	1.20					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

	SAMPLE			JAI	F ELAB F	RESUL		REFEF	RENC	E LAB*	RAT	10
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	р	Ci/liter ±	1 sigm	a	pCi/lite	er ±2	sigma	(1	)
9/13/2007	E5465-05	MILK			188	±	10.8					
			Ce-141		239	±	10.5	211	±	7.04	0.99	Δ
					198	±	9.94	211	-	7.04	0.00	
	1	Í		Mean =	208	±	6.02					
					200	±	42.5					
			Cr-51		250	±	41.4	289	±	9.65	0.89	Δ
			0-51		320	±	42.1	205	-	5.05	0.03	
				Mean =	257	±	24.3					
					150	±	8.93					
			Cs-134		156	±	8.74	147	±	4.91	1.03	Δ
			05-134		149	±	8.69	147	Т	4.91	1.05	~
				Mean =	152	±	5.07					
					109	±	7.87					
			Cs-137	}	144	±	8.32	131	±	4.35	0.96	Δ
			05-157		126	±	7.38	131	1	4.55	0.90	~
		1		Mean =	126	±	4.54					
					86.5	±	7.35		•			
			Co-58		127	±	8.17	114	±	3.80	0.95	۸
			00-00		111	±	7.19	114	-	5.00	0.35	~
				Mean =	108	±	4.38	· .		_		
					158	±	8.93				1	
	{	1	Mn-54		176	±	9.18	168	±	5.59	1.03	Δ
					184	±	8.60		-	0.00	1.00	-
				Mean =	173	±	5.14					
					117	±	9.07					
			Fe-59		113	±	9.94	111	±	3.69	1.04	Δ
	l		1000		117	٠±	8.44		-	0.00	1.01	
				Mean =	116	±	5.29	<u> </u>				
	1	1			208	±	16.3				ł	
			Zn-65		226	±	17.5	202	±	6.74	1.10	Δ
			211 00		232	±	15.7	202	-		1.10	
	]			Mean =	222	±	9.54					
					144	±	6.72					
			Co-60		151	±	6.83	148	±	4.94	0.98	Δ
					139	±	5.89		-	1.04	0.00	~
				Mean =	145	±	3.75					
					77.6	±	2.52		_	_		_
			I-131**		76.4	±	2.34	85.2	±	2.84	0.89	۵
					72.7	±	3.10	00.2	<u> </u>	2.07	0.09	7
		[	Mean =	75.6	±	1.54				1		

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

\*\* Result determined by Resin Extraction/Gamma Spectral Analysis.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE D-3 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM

DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS JAF ELAB RESULTS pCi ±1 sigma			TS			E LAB*	RAT (1)		
3/22/2007	E5333-05	FILTER			234	±	3.01				<u>`````</u>	/
	_,				230	± .	2.54			_		
			Ce-141		246	±	8.32	233	±	7.78	1.02	Α
				Mean =	237	±	3.07					
				incuit	206	 ±	15.8	+				
			0 54		197	±	15.6	100		<u> </u>		
			Cr-51		230	±	40.0	192	±	6.41	1.10	А
				Mean =	211	±	15.3					
					95.8	±	1.99			. <del></del>		
			0- 404		76.8	±	3.02	07.0		0.00	1 00	
			Cs-134		95.6	±	5.43	87.9	±	2.93	1.02	А
		-		Mean =	89.4	±	2.17					
					188	±	2.43			•	•	
			Cs-137		179	±	2.04	105		Q 4E	1 00	^
*			M		186	±	6.48	185	±	6.15	1.00	А
				Mean =	184	±	2.41					
					76.9	±	2.18					
					77	±.	2.09	777		2 50	0.00	۸
			Co-58	-	76.4	±	6.07	77.7	±	2.59	0.99	А
				Mean =	76.8	±	2.26					
					160	±	2.62					
			Mn-54		150	±	2.17	144		4 70	1.08	۸
			1011-54		157	±	6.71	144	±	4.78	1.08	А
				Mean =	156	±	2.51					
					93.1	±	3.46					
			Fe-59		84.2	±	2.94	83.1		077	1 00	۸
	-		Fe-59		93.1	±	8.98	03.1	±	2.77	1.08	А
				Mean =	90.1	t, t	3.35					
					870	±	8.82					
			75 65		852	±	7.55	787	L	26.2	1.09	^
			Zn-65		856	±	23.5	101	±	20.2	1.09	А
				Mean =	859	±	8.74					
					114	±	1.69					
			Co 60		118	±	1.46	120		2 00	0.05	^
			Co-60		111	±. '	4.42	120	±	3.99	0.95	А
				Mean =	114	±	1.65					

**Gamma Analysis of Air Particulate Filter** 

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

# TABLE D-3 (Continued) INTERLABORATORY COMPARISON PROGRAM Gamma Analysis of Air Particulate Filter

DATE	SAMPLE	MEDIUM		JAF ELAB RESULTS SIS pCi ±1 sigma						CE LAB*	1	
DATE	ID NO.		ANALYSIS				5.04		IZS	igma	(1)	<u> </u>
9/13/2007	E5464-05	FILTER			195	±	5.84					
			Ce-141		194	±	5.05	207	±	6.90	0.96	А
[	[	[	c.		209	±	6.01	1				
				Mean =	199		3.3					
					238 256	±	27.9 23					
			Cr-51		256 307	± ±	33.5	284	±	9.46	0.94	А
		F		Moon -	267							
				Mean =	163	<u>±</u> ±	<u>16.4</u> 8.66	-				
					151	±	6.52				ļ	
			Cs-134		177	±	10.2	145	±	4.82	1.13	А
				Mean =	164	±	5.0					
				INCUT	117	 	6.54	-				<u> </u>
					123	±	5.42					
			Cs-137		120	±	7.64	128	±	.4.27	0.94	Α
				Mean =	120	±	3.8					
				moun	109	 ±	7					
					112	±	5.44					
			Co-58		113	±	7.41	112	±	3.73	0.99	А
				Mean =	111	±	3.9					
	[	[			179		8.69					
					166	±	6.59	104		E 40	1 04	•
			Mn-54		168	±	9.02	164	±	5.48	1.04	А
				Mean =	171	±	4.7					
				<u></u>	117	±	8.96					
			Fe-59		130	±	7.02	108	-	3.61	1.10	^
			Fe-59		110	±	9.8	100	±	3.01	1.10	A
	1			Mean =	119	±	5.0					
					211	±	15.1		-			
		-	Zn-65	l	239	±	12.6	198	±	6.61	1.16	۸
	1		20-00		237	±	18.5	190	I	0.01	1.10	A
				Mean =	229	±	9.0					
		1			141	±	6.38					
	1		Co-60		140	±	4.88	145	±	4.84	0.96	Δ
	~				135	±	7	145	Ŧ	4.04	0.90	~
				Mean =	139	<u>±</u>	3.6					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

		l					те	DECED			DAT	
DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	JAF ELAB RESULTS pCi/g ±1 sigma			REFERENCE LAB* pCi/g ±2 sigma			RATIO		
6/14/2005	E5354-05	SOIL	ANALISIS		0.164		0.007	poi/g	14	sigina	(י)	
0/14/2003	E3334-03				0.144	±	0.007					
			Ce-141		0.144	±	0.014	0.178	±	0.006	0.93	А
				Mean =	0.190	±	0.012					
			·	Ivicali –	0.413	 	· 0.007					
	-				0.401	±	0.071					
			Cr-51		0.387	±	0.057	0.456	±	0.015	0.88	Α
				Mean =	0.400	±	0.033					
				Nicari -	0.218	<u>+</u>	0.007					
			r r	ļ	0.218	±	0.013	-				
			Cs-134		0.220	±	0.009	0.215	±	0.007	1.02	А
				Mean =	0.220	±	0.005					
			·		0.242		0.007					
					0.249	±	0.013					
			Cs-137		0.251	±	0.008	0.248	±	0.008	1.00	А
				Mean =	0.247	- ±	0.006					
					0.166	±	0.006					
					0.154	±	0.012 `	0.470		0.000	0.00	
			Co-58		0.169	±	0.007	0.176	±	0.006	0.93	А
				Mean =	0.163	±	0.005					
					0.137	±	0.006					
			Mrs E4		0.161	±	0.011	0.140		0.005	1 01	^
			Mn-54		0.152	±	0.007	0.148	Í	0.005	1.01	А
				Mean =	0.150	±	0.005					
					0.141	±	0.009					
			Fe-59		0.147	±	0.016	0.148	+	0.005	0.97	Δ
			Fe-09		0.144	±	0.009	0.140	T	0.005	0.57	~
				Mean =	0.144	±	0.007					
					0.315	±	0.013					
			Zn-65		0.305	±	0.023	0.297	±	0.010	1.04	Δ
					0.306	±	0.014	0.207	-	0.010	1.0-7	
				Mean =	0.309	±	0.010					
					0.201	±	0.005					
			Co-60		0.192	±	0.010	0.212	+	0.007	0.93	Δ
					0.196	±	0.005	0.212	Ŧ	0.007	0.00	73
				Mean =	0.196	±	0.004					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

U=Unacceptable

, <sup>,</sup>

	SAMPLE		Gamma A					DEEED		CE LAB*	DAT	
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	JAF ELAB RESULTS pCi/g ±1 sigma			pCi/g	RATIO				
6/14/2007	E5356-05	VEG	ANALIOIO		0.158	±	0.010	pong		oigina		/
0/14/2007					0.145	±	0.005					
			Ce-141		0.154	±	0.007	0.161	±	0.005	0.95	Α
		•		Mean =	0.152	±	0.004					
				mouri	0.404	 	0.050	+				
			a = /		0.349	±	0.028					
			Cr-51		0.388	±	0.043	0.413	±	0.014	0.92	A
				Mean =	0.380	±	0.024					
					0.210		0.010					
		1	0.404	ĺ	0.215	±	0.006	0.405		0.007	1.11	•
			Cs-134		0.222	±	0.009	0.195	±	0.007		А
				Mean =	0.216	• ±	0.005					
					0.132	±	0.008	1				
			Cs-137		0.134	±	0.005	0.136	±	0.005	0.96	Δ
			05-137		0.126	±	0.008	0.150 2	<u>1</u>	0.005		
				Mean =	0.131	±	0.004	ŀ				
					0.145	±	0.010				0.98	
			Co-58		0.151	±	0.005	0.160	±	0.005		Α
					0.173	±	0.008	0.100 ±	-			
				Mean =	0.156	±	0.005					
					0.144	±	0.009			£ 0.004		
			Mn-54		0.137	±	0.005	0.133	±		1.05	А
					0.138	<b>±</b>	0.008		-		1.00	
				Mean =	0.140		0.004					
					0.122	±	0.013					
			Fe-59		0.141	±	0.007	0.135	±	0.005	1.00	А
					0.143	±	0.011					
				Mean =	0.135	±	0.006			<u> </u>		
		Į.			0.241	±	0.021					
			Zn-65		0.275	±	0.011	0.270	±	0.009	0.98	А
					0.279	±	0.018					
				Mean =	0.265		0.010					
					0.188	±	0.008			£ 0.006		
			Co-60		0.182	±	0.004	0.192	±		0.98	А
	· ·				0.193	±	0.007					
				Mean =	0.188	±	0.004					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

	SAMPLE		Gamma A	I	F ELAB R			REFEREN	CE LAB*	RAT	10	
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	pCi/g ±1 sigma				pCi/g ±2		(1)		
9/13/2007	E5447-09	VEG			0.342	±						
			0.111		0.298	±	0.015	0.000	0.044	0.05	۸	
	. *		Ce-141		0.312	±	0.014	0.333 ±	0.011	0.95	А	
				Mean =	0.317	±	0.009					
					0.409	±	0.070					
	-		0-151		0.392	±	0.068	0.457 ±	0.015	0.90	۸	
			Cr-51		0.433	±	0.063	0.457 1	. 0.015	0.90	~	
				Mean =	0.411	±	0.039					
					0.269	±	0.026					
			Cs-134		0.221	±	0.018	0.233 ±	0.008	1.05	۸	
			05-104		0.243	` ±	0.016	0.200 1		1.05		
				Mean =	0.244	±	0.012					
			Cs-137		0.224	±	0.015					
					0.206	±	0.014	0.206 ±	0.007	1.05	Δ	
			03-107		0.220	±	0.014	0.200 1	0.007	1.00	~	
				Mean =	0.217	±	0.008					
					0.161	±	0.013					
	*		Co-58	-	0.166	±	0.014	0.180 ±	0.006	0.94	Α	
			00-00		0.179	±	0.013		0.000			
			÷		Mean =	0.169	ُ±	0.008		·		
					0.282	±	0.016		0.009			
			Mn-54		0.275	±	0.016	0.265 ±		1.00	А	
					0.240	±	0.014					
				Mean =	0.266	±	0.009					
					0.178	±	0.017		•			
			Fe-59		0.169	±	0.019	0.175 ±	0.006	1.01	А	
					0.185	±	0.017					
				Mean =	0.177		0.010		·			
		· .			0.346	±	0.032					
· ·		1	Zn-65		0.392	±	0.031	0.319 ±	0.001	1.17	А	
					0.379	±	0.029					
			·	Mean =	0.372		0.018	-				
					0.244	±	0.012					
			Co-60		0.235	±	0.012	0.234 ±	± 0.008	1.02	А	
					0.235	±	0.012					
				Mean =	0.238	±	0.005					

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by Analytics, Inc.

A=Acceptable

				11019313		valc	r						
	SAMPLE			JA	F ELAB F	RESUL	REFERE	RAT	10				
DATE	ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS		Bq/g ±1	sigma		Bq/g ±	(1)				
4/23/2007	1911-4	Water			300.8	±	2.09						
			Co-57	Co-57	0.57		299.7	±	2.09	310.7	2.05	0.97	^
						303.4	±	2.10		2.05	0.97	Α	
				Mean =	301.3	±	1.21						
					306.4	±	2.38						
			Do 122		312.7	±	2.35	326.7 ±	2.4	0.95	٨		
			Ba-133		312.3	±	2.27	326.7 ±	± 3.1	0.95	Α		
				Mean =	310.4	±	1.35		i				
					283.8	±	2.32						
			Co 127		290.1	±	2.31	308.4	£ 2.31	0.94	^		
			Cs-137		291.9	±	2.22	300.4 3	E 2.31	0.94	А		
				Mean =	288.6	±	1.32						
					190.6	±	1.69						
			Co-60		196.5	±	1.69	202.4	L 1.01	0.96	۸		
					193.9	±	1.57	202.4 3	£ 1.01	0.90	А		
				Mean =	193.6	±	0.95						

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by NIST

A=Acceptable

DATE	SAMPLE ID NO.	MEDIUM	ANALYSIS	JAF ELAB RESULTS Bq/filter ±1 sigma				REFERENCE LAB* Bq/filter ±2 sigma			RAT (1)							
4/23/2007	1912-11	Filter	,		1077	±	4.0	1	_									
			Co-57		1073	±	4.6	1089	±	10.9	1.01	A						
			0-57	0-57		1143	±	4.8	1009	Т	10.9	1.01	~					
			1	Mean =	1098	±	2.6											
,					1006	±	5.2			10.5	0.96							
			Ba-133		1003	±	6.0	1048	±			A						
				Da-100	- Da-100	Da 700	Da 100	Da-100	Da 100	1	1006	±	5.4	1040	-	10.5	0.00	
				Mean =	1005	±	3.2				L							
		1	Cs-137			743.7	±	4.9										
	[	[			725.2	±	5.7	766.1	±	7.7	0.98	A						
,					777.0	±	5.0	100.1			0.00							
				Mean =	748.6		3.0			. <u></u>								
1					969.4	±	5.2					[						
			Co-60		962.0	±	6.1	987.0	±	9.9	0.98	А						
}	]	}	00-00	00-00	00-00		976.8	±	4.9		<u> </u>	0.0	0.00					
L <u></u>	l			Mean =	969.4	±	3.1											

(1) Ratio = Reported/Analytics.

\* Sample provided by NIST

A=Acceptable

#### D.5 REFERENCES

- D.5.1 Radioactivity and Radiochemistry, <u>The Counting Room: Special Edition</u>, 1994 Caretaker Publications, Atlanta, Georgia.
- D.5.2 <u>Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences</u>, Bevington P.R., McGraw Hill, New York (1969).