

Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2004





U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research Washington, DC 20555-0001



### AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCE MATERIALS IN NRC PUBLICATIONS

#### **NRC Reference Material**

As of November 1999, you may electronically access NUREG-series publications and other NRC records at NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html</a>.

Publicly released records include, to name a few, NUREG-series publications; Federal Register notices; applicant, licensee, and vendor documents and correspondence; NRC correspondence and internal memoranda; bulletins and information notices; inspection and investigative reports; licensee event reports; and Commission papers and their attachments.

NRC publications in the NUREG series, NRC regulations, and *Title 10, Energy*, in the Code of *Federal Regulations* may also be purchased from one of these two sources.

- The Superintendent of Documents U.S. Government Printing Office Mail Stop SSOP Washington, DC 20402–0001 Internet: bookstore.gpo.gov Telephone: 202-512-1800 Fax: 202-512-2250
- The National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161–0002 www.ntis.gov 1–800–553–6847 or, locally, 703–605–6000

A single copy of each NRC draft report for comment is available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request as follows:

Address: Office of the Chief Information Officer,

Reproduction and Distribution

**Services Section** 

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

E-mail: DISTRIBUTION@nrc.gov

Facsimile: 301-415-2289

Some publications in the NUREG series that are posted at NRC's Web site address <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs</a> are updated periodically and may differ from the last printed version. Although references to material found on a Web site bear the date the material was accessed, the material available on the date cited may subsequently be removed from the site.

#### Non-NRC Reference Material

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal articles, and transactions, Federal Register notices, Federal and State legislation, and congressional reports. Such documents as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings may be purchased from their sponsoring organization.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at—

The NRC Technical Library Two White Flint North 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852–2738

These standards are available in the library for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from—

American National Standards Institute 11 West 42<sup>rd</sup> Street New York, NY 10036–8002 www.ansi.org 212–642–4900

Legally binding regulatory requirements are stated only in laws; NRC regulations; licenses, including technical specifications; or orders, not in NUREG-series publications. The views expressed in contractor-prepared publications in this series are not necessarily those of the NRC.

The NUREG series comprises (1) technical and administrative reports and books prepared by the staff (NUREG-XXXX) or agency contractors (NUREG/CR-XXXX), (2) proceedings of conferences (NUREG/CP-XXXX), (3) reports resulting from international agreements (NUREG/IA-XXXX), (4) brochures (NUREG/BR-XXXX), and (5) compilations of legal decisions and orders of the Commission and Atomic and Safety Licensing Boards and of Directors' decisions under Section 2.206 of NRC's regulations (NUREG-0750).

## Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2004

### **Thirty-Seventh Annual Report**

Manuscript Completed: November 2005

Date Published: December 2005

Prepared by Sheryl Burrows D. A. Hagemeyer\*

Division of Systems Analysis and Regulatory Effectiveness Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001



<sup>\*</sup>Oak Ridge Associated Universities 210 Badger Road Oak Ridge, TN 37830

#### **PREVIOUS REPORTS IN SERIES**

	1100 1000 1010 11
WASH-1311	A Compilation of Occupational Radiation Exposure from Light Water Cooled Nuclear Power Plants, 1969-1973, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, May 1974.
NUREG-75/032	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Light Water Cooled Power Reactors, 1969-1974, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0109	Commission, June 1975. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Light Water Cooled Power Reactors, 1969-1975, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0323	Commission, August 1976. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Light Water Cooled Power Reactors, 1969-1976, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0482	Commission, March 1978. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Light Water Cooled Power Reactors, 1977, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0594	Commission, May 1979. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors, 1978, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0713	Commission, November 1979. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors 1979, Vol. 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0713	Commission, March 1981. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors 1980, Vol. 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0713	Commission, December 1981. Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors 1981, Vol. 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
NUREG-0713	Commission, November 1982.  Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors 1982, Vol. 4, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, December 1983.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors 1983, Vol. 5, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 1985.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1984, Vol. 6, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1986.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1985, Vol. 7, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, April 1988.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1986, Vol. 8, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, August 1989.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1987, Vol. 9, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, November 1990.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1988, Vol. 10, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1991.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1989, Vol. 11, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, April 1992.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1990, Vol. 12, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1993.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1991, Vol. 13, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 1993.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1992, Vol. 14, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, December 1993.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1993, Vol. 15, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1995.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1994, Vol. 16, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1996.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1995, Vol. 17, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, January 1997.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1996, Vol. 18, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, February 1998.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1997, Vol. 19, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, November 1998.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1998, Vol. 20, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, November 1999.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 1999, Vol. 21, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 2000.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2000, Vol. 22, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, September 2001.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2001, Vol. 23, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, September 2002.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2002, Vol. 24, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 2003.
NUREG-0713	Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2003, Vol. 25, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 2004.
B	to the All INPO ATA A section of the second section of the All INPO ATA A section of the second section of the section of
WASH-1350-R1	in the NUREG-0714 series, which are now combined with NUREG-0713, are as follows:  First through Sixth Annual Reports of the Operation of the U.S. AEC's Centralized Ionizing Radiation Exposure
through WASH-1350-R6	Records and Reporting System, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
NUREG-75/108	Seventh Annual Occupational Radiation Exposure Report for Certain NRC Licensees - 1974, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1975.
NUREG-0119	Eighth Annual Occupational Radiation Exposure Report for 1975, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1976.
NUREG-0322	Ninth Annual Occupational Radiation Exposure Report for 1976, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1977.
NUREG-0463 NUREG-0593	Tenth Annual Occupational Radiation Exposure Report for 1977, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1978, Eleventh Annual Occupational Radiation Exposure Report for 1978, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
	January 1981.
NUREG-0714	Twelfth Annual Occupational Radiation Exposure Report for 1979, Vol. 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, August 1982.
NUREG-0714	Occupational Radiation Exposure, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Annual Reports, 1980 and 1981, Vols. 2 and 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1983.
NUREG-0714	Occupational Radiation Exposure, Fifteenth and Sixteenth Annual Reports, 1982 and 1983, Vols. 4 and 5, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, October 1985.

ii

NUREG-0713

### **ABSTRACT**

This report summarizes the occupational exposure data that are maintained in the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Radiation Exposure Information and Reporting System (REIRS). The bulk of the information contained in the report was compiled from the 2004 annual reports submitted by five of the seven categories¹ of NRC licensees subject to the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 20.2206. The annual reports submitted by these licensees consist of radiation exposure records for each monitored individual. These records are analyzed for trends and presented in this report in terms of collective dose and the distribution of dose among the monitored individuals. Because there are no geologic repositories for high-level waste currently licensed, and no low-level waste disposal facilities in operation, only five categories will be considered in this report.

Annual reports for 2004 were received from a total of 227 NRC licensees, of which 104 were operators of nuclear power reactors in commercial operation. Compilations of the reports submitted by the 227 licensees indicated that 123,332 individuals were monitored, 61,060 of whom received a measurable dose (Table 3.1). The collective dose incurred by these individuals was 12,774 person-rem, which represents a 12% decrease from the 2003 value. The number of workers receiving a measurable dose decreased, resulting in an average measurable dose of 0.21 rem for 2004. The average measurable dose is defined as the total collective dose (TEDE) divided by the number of workers receiving a measurable dose.<sup>2</sup> The figures for commercial reactors have been adjusted to account for transient reactor workers.

In calendar year 2004, the annual collective dose per reactor for light water reactor (LWR) licensees was **100** person-rem. This represents a 13% decrease from the value reported for 2003 (115). The annual collective dose per reactor for boiling water reactors (BWRs) was **156** person-rem, and, for pressurized water reactors (PWRs), it was **71** person-rem.

Analyses of transient worker data indicate that 24,251 individuals completed work assignments at two or more licensees during the monitoring year. The dose distributions are adjusted each year to account for the duplicate reporting of transient workers by multiple licensees. In 2004, the average measurable dose per worker for all licensees calculated from reported data was 0.16 rem. The corrected dose distribution resulted in an average measurable dose per worker for all licensees of 0.21 rem.

iii

NUREG-0713

<sup>1</sup> Commercial nuclear power reactors; industrial radiographers; fuel processors (including uranium enrichment), fabricators, and reprocessors; manufacturers and distributors of byproduct material; independent spent fuel storage installations; facilities for land disposal of low-level waste; and geologic repositories for high-level waste. There are currently no NRC licensees involved in low-level waste disposal or geologic repositories for high-level waste.

The number of workers with measurable dose includes any individual with a dose greater than zero rem and does not include doses reported as "not detectable."

### **EDITOR'S NOTE**

Mr. Charles Hinson assisted in the preparation of this NUREG, serving as the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation technical reviewer. The NRC welcomes responses from readers.

#### Comments should be directed to:

Sheryl Burrows: (301) 415-6086 E-Mail Address: sab2@nrc.gov REIRS Project Manager Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREVIOUS REPORTS IN SERIES	Ii
ABSTRACT	iii
EDITOR'S NOTE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
PREFACE	xi
FOREWORD	xiii
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background	
1.2 Radiation Exposure Information on the Internet	1-3
2 LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA	2-1
3 ANNUAL PERSONNEL MONITORING REPORTS - 10 CFR 20.2206	3-1
3.1 Definition of Terms and Sources of Data	3-1
3.1.1 Statistical Summary Reports	3-1
3.1.2 Number of Monitored Workers	3-1
3.1.3 Number of Workers with Measurable Dose	3-1
3.1.4 Collective Dose	3-1
3.1.5 Average Individual Dose	3-2
3.1.6 Average Measurable Dose	3-2
3.1.7 Number of Licensees Reporting	3-2
3.1.8 Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range	3-2
3.2 Annual TEDE Dose Distributions	3-4
3.3 Summary of Occupational Exposure Data by License Category	
3.3.1 Industrial Radiography Licenses, Single and Multiple Locations	
3.3.2 Manufacturing and Distribution Licenses, Type "A" Broad and Limited	
3.3.3 Low-Level Waste Disposal Licenses	
3.3.4 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Licenses	
3.3.5 Fuel Cycle Licenses	
3.3.6 Light-Water-Cooled Power Reactor Licenses	3-20
3.4 Summary of Intake Data by License Category	3-22

### TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
4	COMMERCIAL LIGHT WATER REACTORS - FURTHER ANALYSIS	-
	4.1 Introduction	4-1
	4.2 Definition of Terms and Sources of Data	4-1
	4.2.1 Number of Reactors	
	4.2.2 Electric Energy Generated	
	4.2.3 Collective Dose per Megawatt-Year	
	4.2.4 Average Maximum Dependable Capacity4.2.5 Percent of Maximum Dependable Capacity Achieved	
	4.3 Annual TEDE Distributions	
	4.4 Average Annual TEDE Doses	
	4.5 Three-Year Average Collective TEDE per Reactor	
	4.6 Graphical Representation of Dose Trends in Appendix D	
	4.0 Graphical Representation of Dose Herids in Appendix D	4-17
5	TRANSIENT WORKERS AND CAREER DOSES AT NRC-LICENSED FACILITIES	5-1
	5.1 Termination Reports	5-1
	5.2 Transient Workers at NRC Facilities	5-1
6	EXPOSURES TO PERSONNEL IN EXCESS OF REGULATORY LIMITS	6-1
	6.1 Control Levels	6-1
	6.2 Limitations of the Data	6-2
	6.3 Summary of Exposures in Excess of Regulatory Limits	6-3
	6.4 Maximum Exposures Below the NRC Limits	
7	REFERENCES	7-1
Α	PPENDIX A - ANNUAL TEDE FOR NON-REACTOR NRC LICENSEES, 2004	A-1
	PPENDIX B - ANNUAL WHOLE BODY DOSES AT LICENSED NUCLEAR OWER FACILITIES, 2004	B-1
	PPENDIX C - PERSONNEL, DOSE, AND POWER GENERATION SUMMARY, 969 - 2004	C-1
Α	PPENDIX D - DOSE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS BY REACTOR SITE, 973 - 2004	

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

		Page
Figure 3.1	Average Annual Values at Industrial Radiography Facilities, 1973 - 2004	3-9
Figure 3.2	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Industrial Radiographer – Single Location Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-10
Figure 3.3	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Industrial Radiographer – Multiple Location Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-10
Figure 3.4	Average Annual Values at Manufacturing and Distribution Facilities, 1973 - 2004	3-12
Figure 3.5	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Type "A" Broad  Manufacturing and Distribution Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-13
Figure 3.6	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Limited  Manufacturing and Distribution Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-13
Figure 3.7	Average Annual Values at Low-Level Waste Disposal Facilities, 1982 - 1998	3-15
Figure 3.8	Average Annual Values at Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facilities, 1982 - 2004	3-16
Figure 3.9	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Independent Spent Fuel Storage Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-17
Figure 3.10	Average Annual Values at Fuel Cycle Licensees, 1973 - 2004	3-19
Figure 3.11	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Fuel Cycle Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-20
Figure 3.12	Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range, Reactor Licensees, 2000 - 2004	3-21
Figure 4.1	Average Collective Dose and Number of Workers with Measurable Dose per Reactor, 1973 - 2004	4-9
Figure 4.2	Number of Operating Reactors and Gross Electricity  Generated, 1973 - 2004	4-10

### LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Figure 4.3	Average Measurable Dose per Worker and Collective Dose per Megawatt-Year, 1973 - 2004	4-11
Figure 4.4	Average, Median, and Extreme Values of the Collective  Dose per Reactor, 1973 - 1986	4-13
Figure 4.5	Average, Median, and Extreme Values of the Collective  Dose per Reactor, 1987 - 2004	4-14
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table 3.1	Average Annual Exposure Data for Certain Categories of NRC Licensees, 1995 - 2004	3-3
Table 3.2	Distribution of Annual Collective TEDE by License Category, 2004	3-5
Table 3.3	Summary of Annual Dose Distributions for Certain NRC Licensees, 1968 - 2004	3-6
Table 3.4	Annual Exposure Information for Industrial Radiographers, 2002 - 2004	3-7
Table 3.5	Annual Exposure Information for Manufacturers and Distributors, 2002 - 2004	3-11
Table 3.6	Annual Exposure Information for Fuel Cycle Licenses, 2002 - 2004	3-18
Table 3.7	Intake by Licensee Type and Radionuclide  Mode of Intake - Ingestion and Other, 2004	3-23
Table 3.8	Intake by Licensee Type and Radionuclide  Mode of Intake - Inhalation, 2004	3-24
Table 3.9	Collective and Average CEDE by Licensee, 2004	3-26
Table 3.10	Internal Dose (CEDE) Distribution, 1994 - 2004	3-27

### LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Table 4.1	Summary of Information Reported by Commercial  Boiling Water Reactors, 1973 - 2004	4-2
Table 4.2	Summary of Information Reported by Commercial Pressurized Water Reactors, 1973 - 2004	4-3
Table 4.3	Summary of Information Reported by Commercial Light Water Reactors, 1973 - 2004	4-4
Table 4.4	Summary Distribution of Annual Whole Body Doses at Commercial Light Water Reactors, 1977 - 2004	4-7
Table 4.5	Three-Year Totals and Averages Listed in Ascending Order of Collective TEDE per BWR, 2002 - 2004	4-15
Table 4.6	Three-Year Totals and Averages Listed in Ascending Order of Collective TEDE per PWR, 2002 - 2004	4-16
Table 5.1	Effects of Transient Workers on Annual Statistical Compilations, 2004	5-2
Table 6.1	Occupational Exposures in Excess of Regulatory Limits, 1994 - 2004	6-4
Table 6.2	Occupational Exposures in Excess of Regulatory Limits, 1985 - 1993	6-5
Table 6.3	Maximum Occupational Exposures for Each Exposure Category, 2004	6-6

### **PREFACE**

A number of NRC licensees have inquired as to how the occupational radiation exposure data that are compiled from the individual exposure reports required by § 20.2206 are used by the NRC staff. In combination with other sources of information, the principal uses of the data are to provide facts regarding routine occupational exposures to radiation and radioactive material that occur in connection with certain NRC licensed activities. These facts are used by the NRC staff as indicated below:

- 1. The data permit evaluation of trends, both favorable and unfavorable, from the viewpoint of the effectiveness of overall NRC/licensee radiation protection and as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA) efforts by licensees.
- 2. The external dose data assist in the evaluation of the radiological risk associated with certain categories of NRC licensed activities and are used for comparative analyses of radiation protection performance: U.S./foreign, BWRs/PWRs, civilian/military, facility/facility, nuclear industry/other industries, etc.
- 3. The data are used as one of the metrics of the NRC's Reactor Oversight Program to evaluate the effectiveness of the licensee's ALARA program and also for inspection planning purposes.
- 4. The data provide for the monitoring of transient workers who may affect dose distribution statistics through multiple counting.
- The data help provide facts for evaluating the adequacy of the current risk limitation system (e.g., Are individual lifetime dose limits, worker population collective dose limits, and requirements for optimization needed?).
- 6. The data permit comparisons of occupational radiation risks with potential public risks when action for additional protection of the public involves worker exposures.
- 7. The data are used in the establishment of priorities for the utilization of NRC health physics resources: research, standards development, and regulatory program development.
- 8. The data provide facts for answering Congressional and Administration inquiries and for responding to questions raised by the public.
- 9. The data are used to provide radiation exposure histories to individuals who were exposed to radiation at NRC licensed facilities.
- The data provide information that may be used in the planning of epidemiological studies.

### **FOREWORD**

Through this annual report, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) supports openness in our regulatory process by providing the public with accurate and timely information about the safety performance of the agency's licensees. Toward that end, NUREG-0713, Volume 26, summarizes the 2004 occupational radiation exposure data maintained in NRC's Radiation Exposure Information and Reporting System (REIRS) database. Seven categories of NRC licensees are required to annually report individual exposure in accordance with Title 10. Section 20.2206, of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 20.2206). Specifically, these categories include commercial nuclear power plants; industrial radiographers; fuel processors (including uranium enrichment facilities), fabricators, and reprocessors; manufacturers and distributors of byproduct material; independent spent fuel storage installations; facilities for land disposal of low-level waste; and geologic repositories for high-level waste. Because the NRC has not yet licensed any geologic repositories for high-level waste, and no NRC-licensed lowlevel waste disposal facilities are currently in operation, this report considers only the first five categories of NRC licensees. As such, this report reflects the occupational radiation exposure data that the NRC received from 227 licensees, of which 104 were operators of nuclear power reactors in commercial operation.

The data submitted by licensees consist of radiation exposure records for each monitored individual. (In 2004, 123,332 individuals were monitored, and 61,060 received a measurable dose.) This report analyzes and presents these records in terms of collective dose and the distribution of dose among the monitored individuals. During 2004, these individuals incurred a collective dose of 12,774 person-rem, which represents a 12% decrease from the 2003 value of 14,567 person-rem. The average measurable dose was 0.21 rem which represents a 9% decrease from the 2003 value of 0.23 rem. (The average measurable dose is the total collective dose divided by the number of workers receiving a measurable dose.) This value can be compared to the 0.30 rem that the average person in the United States receives annually from natural background radiation. Worldwide annual exposures to natural radiation are generally expected to be in the range of 0.1 rem to 1.0 rem, with 0.24 rem being the current average worldwide value.

This annual report is useful in evaluating trends in occupational radiation exposure to assess the effectiveness of licensees' radiation protection programs to maintain exposures as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). For example, the NRC staff uses the data presented in this report as one of the metrics of the agency's Reactor Oversight Program to evaluate the effectiveness of licensees' ALARA programs.

Carl J. Paperiello, Director

Carl & Poperulla

Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

One of the basic purposes of the Atomic Energy Act and the implementing regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Chapter I. Part 20, is to protect the health and safety of the public, including the employees of the licensees conducting operations under those regulations. Among the regulations designed to ensure that the standards for protection against radiation set out in 10 CFR 20 are met is a requirement that licensees provide individuals likely to be exposed to radiation with devices to monitor their exposure. Each licensee is also required to maintain indefinitely records of the results of such monitoring. However, there was no initial provision that these records or any summary of them be transmitted to a central location where the data could be retrieved and analyzed.

On November 4, 1968, the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC) published an amendment to 10 CFR 20 requiring the reporting of certain occupational radiation exposure information to a central repository at AEC Headquarters. This information was required of the four categories<sup>3</sup> of AEC licensees that were considered to involve the greatest potential for significant occupational doses and of AEC facilities and contractors exempt from licensing. A procedure was established whereby the appropriate occupational exposure data were extracted from these reports and entered into the Commission's Radiation Exposure Information and Reporting System (REIRS), a computer

system that was maintained at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Computer Technology Center in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, until May 1990. At that time, the data were transferred to a database management system and are now maintained at the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Energy (ORISE), which is managed by Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU). The computerization of these data ensures that they are kept indefinitely and facilitates their retrieval and analysis. The data maintained in REIRS have been summarized and published in a report every year since 1969. Annual reports for each of the years 1969 through 1973 presented the data reported by both AEC licensees and contractors and were published in six documents designated as WASH-1350-R1 through WASH-1350-R6.

In January 1975, with the separation of the AEC into the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA) and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), each agency assumed responsibility for collecting and maintaining occupational radiation exposure information reported by the facilities under its jurisdiction. The annual reports published by the NRC on occupational exposure for calendar year 1974 and subsequent years do not contain information pertaining to ERDA facilities or contractors. Comparable information for facilities and contractors under ERDA, now the Department of Energy (DOE), is collected and published by DOE's Office of Corporate Performance Assessment, a division of Environment, Safety and Health, in Germantown, Maryland.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Commercial nuclear power reactors; industrial radiographers; fuel processors (including uranium enrichment as of 1997), fabricators, and reprocessors; manufacturers and distributors of specified quantities of byproduct material.

In 1982 and 1983, paragraph 20.408(a) of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations was amended to require three additional categories of NRC licensees to submit annual statistical exposure reports and individual termination exposure reports. The categories were (1) geologic repositories for high-level radioactive waste, (2) independent spent fuel storage installations, and (3) facilities for the land disposal of low-level radioactive waste. This document presents the exposure information that was reported by NRC licensees representing two of these categories, since there are no geologic repositories for high-level waste currently licensed.

This report and each of the predecessors summarize information reported for both the current year and for previous years. More licensee-specific data for previous years, such as the annual reports submitted by each commercial power reactor pursuant to 10 CFR 20.407 and 20.2206 (after 1993) and their technical specifications (prior to Volume 20 of this report), may be found in those documents listed on the inside of the front cover of this report for the specific year desired. Additional

operating data and statistics for each power reactor for the years 1973 through 1982 may be found in a series of reports, "Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience" [Refs. 1-9]. These documents are available for viewing at all NRC public document rooms, as well as on the NRC public web site (www.nrc.gov), or they may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service, as shown in the Reference section.

In May of 1991, 10 CFR 20 "Standards for Protection Against Radiation; Final Rule" was revised. The revision redefined the radiation monitoring and reporting requirements of NRC licensees. Instead of submitting summary annual reports (§ 20.407) and termination reports (§ 20.408), licensees are now required to submit an annual report of the dose received by each monitored worker (§ 20.2206). Licensees were required to implement the new requirements no later than January 1994.

Recommendations for further analysis or for different presentation of information are welcome.

# 1.2 RADIATION EXPOSURE INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET

In May 1995, the NRC began pursuing the dissemination of radiation exposure information via a web site on the Internet. This allows interested parties with the appropriate equipment to access the data electronically rather than through the published NUREG-0713 document. A web site was created for radiation exposure and linked into the main NRC web page. The web site contains upto-date information on radiation exposure, as well as information and guidance on reporting radiation exposure information to the NRC. Interested parties may read the documents online or download information to their systems for further analysis. Software, such as the Radiation Exposure Monitoring and Information Transmittal (REMIT) System, a software application designed to maintain licensee exposure records, and REIRView, a software package designed to validate a licensee's annual data submittal, are also available for downloading via the web site. There are also links to other web sites dealing with the topics of radiation and health physics. Individuals and organizations may also submit requests for dose records contained in REIRS on this web site. Visit the site for more details. The NRC intends to continue pursuing the dissemination of radiation exposure information via the Web and will focus more resources on the electronic distribution of information rather than the published hard-copy reports.

The main web URL address for the NRC is:

http://www.nrc.gov

The NRC radiation exposure information web URL address is:

http://www.reirs.com

Comments on this report or the NRC's radiation exposure web page should be directed to:

REIRS Project Manager
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

### LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA

All of the figures compiled in this report relating to exposures and doses are based on the results and interpretations of the readings of various types of personnel monitoring devices employed by each licensee. This information, obtained from routine personnel monitoring programs, is sufficient to characterize the radiation exposure incident to individuals' work and is used in evaluating the radiation protection program.

Monitoring requirements are specified in 10 CFR § 20.1502, which requires licensees to monitor individuals who receive or are likely to receive a dose in a year in excess of 10% of the applicable limits. For most adults, the annual limit for the whole body is 5 rem, so 0.5 rem per year is the level above which monitoring is required. Separate dose limits have been established for minors and declared pregnant workers. Monitoring is required for any individual entering a high or very high radiation area. Depending on the administrative policy of each licensee, persons such as visitors and clerical workers may also be provided with monitoring devices, although the probability of their being exposed to measurable levels of radiation is extremely small. Licensees must report the dose records of those individuals for whom monitoring is required. Many licensees elect to report the doses for every individual for whom they provided monitoring. This practice tends to increase the number of individuals that one could consider to be radiation workers.

In an effort to account for this, the number of individuals reported as having "no measurable exposure" has been subtracted from the total number of individuals monitored in order to calculate an average dose per individual receiving a measurable dose, as well as the average dose per monitored individual (for example, see Table 3.1).

The average dose per individual, as well as the dose distributions shown for groups of licensees, also can be affected by the multiple reporting of individuals who were monitored by two or more licensees during the year. Licensees are only required to report the doses received by individuals at their licensed facility. A dose distribution for a single licensee does not consider that some of the individuals may have received doses at other facilities. When the data are summed to determine the total number of individuals monitored by a group of licensees, individuals may be counted more than once if they have worked at more than one facility during the calendar year. This can also affect the distribution of doses because individuals may be counted multiple times in the lower dose ranges rather than one time in the higher range corresponding to the actual accumulated dose for the year (the sum of the individual's dose accrued at all facilities). This source of error has the greatest potential impact on the data reported by power reactor facilities since they employ many short-term workers. Section 5 contains an analysis that corrects for individuals being counted more than once.

2-1 NUREG-0713

<sup>4</sup> The number of workers with measurable dose includes any individual with a total effective dose equivalent greater than zero rem. Workers reported with zero dose, or no detectable dose, are included in the number of workers with no measurable exposure.

Another fact that should be kept in mind when examining the annual statistical data is that all of the personnel included in the report may not have been monitored throughout the entire year. Many licensees, such as radiography firms and nuclear power facilities, may monitor numerous individuals for periods much less than a year. The average doses calculated from these data, therefore, are less than the average dose that an individual involved in that activity for the full year would receive.

Considerable attention should be paid when referencing the collective totals presented in this report. The differences between the totals presented for all licensees that reported versus only those licensees that are required to report should be noted. Likewise, one should distinguish between the doses attributed to the pressurized water reactors (PWRs) and the doses attributed to boiling water reactors (BWRs). The totals may be inclusive or exclusive of those licensees that were in commercial operation for less than one full year. These parameters vary throughout the tables and appendices of this report. The apparent discrepancies among the various tables are a necessary side effect of this endeavor.

The data contained in this report are subject to change as licensees may submit corrections or additions to data for previous years. For the 2004 report, data for prior years have been updated to account for these corrections and additions. Users should be alert to these changes.

It should again be pointed out that this report contains information reported by NRC licensees and some Agreement State<sup>5</sup> licensees who also have reported to the NRC. Since the NRC licenses all commercial nuclear power reactors, fuel processors and fabricators, and independent spent fuel storage facilities, information shown for these categories reflects the total U.S. experience. This is not the case, however, for the remaining categories of industrial radiography, manufacturing and distribution of specified quantities of byproduct material, and low-level waste disposal. Companies that conduct these types of activities in Agreement States are licensed by the state and are not required to submit occupational exposure reports to the NRC. More than three times as many facilities are regulated by Agreement States than are licensed by the NRC. In addition, this report does not include compilations of nonoccupational exposure, such as exposure due to medical X-rays, fluoroscopy, and accelerators, received by patients.

All dose equivalent values in this report are given in units of rem in accordance with the general provisions for records, 10 CFR 20.2101(a). In order to convert rem into the International System of Units (SI) unit of sieverts (Sv), divide the value in rem by 100. Therefore, 1 rem = 0.01 Sv. In order to convert rem into millisieverts (mSv), multiply the value in rem by 10. Therefore, 1 rem = 10 mSv.

States that have entered into an agreement with the NRC that allows each state to license organizations using radioactive materials for certain purposes. As of March 2004, there are 33 Agreement States.

### ANNUAL PERSONNEL MONITORING REPORTS - 10 CFR 20.2206

## 3.1 DEFINITION OF TERMS AND SOURCES OF DATA

#### 3.1.1 Statistical Summary Reports

The total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) is summed per individual and tabulated into the appropriate dose range to generate the dose distribution for each licensee. The total collective dose is more accurate using this method because the licensee reported the dose to each individual, and the total collective dose was calculated from the sum of these doses and not statistically derived from the distribution (see Section 3.1.4). The TEDE includes the dose contribution from the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE) for those workers who had intakes that required monitoring and reporting of internal dose.

### 3.1.2 Number of Monitored Workers

The number of monitored workers refers to the total number of workers that the NRC licensees (who are covered by 10 CFR 20.1502) reported as being monitored for exposure to external and internal radiation during the year. This number includes all workers for whom monitoring is required and may include visitors, service representatives, contract workers, clerical workers, and any other workers for whom the licensee determines that monitoring devices should be provided.

For licensees submitting under 10 CFR 20.2206, the total number of workers was determined from the number of unique personal identification numbers submitted per licensee. Uniqueness is defined by the combination of identification number and identification type [Ref. 10].

# 3.1.3 Number of Workers with Measurable <u>Dose</u>

The number of workers with measurable dose includes any individual with a TEDE greater than zero rem. This does not include workers with a TEDE reported as zero, not detectable (ND), or not required to be reported (NR) [Ref. 10].

#### 3.1.4 Collective Dose

The concept of collective dose is used in this report to denote the summation of the TEDE received by all monitored workers and is reported in units of person-rem. 10 CFR 20.2206 requires that the TEDE be reported, so the collective dose is calculated by summing the TEDE for all monitored workers. The phrase "collective dose" is used throughout this report to mean the collective TEDE, unless otherwise specified.

It should be noted that prior to the implementation of the revised dose reporting requirements of 10 CFR 20.2206 in 1994, the collective dose was, in some cases, calculated from the dose distributions by summing the products obtained from multiplying the number of workers reported in each of the dose ranges by the midpoint of the corresponding dose range. This assumes that the midpoint of the range is equal to the arithmetic mean of the individual doses in the range. Experience has shown that the actual mean dose of workers reported in each dose range is less than the midpoint of the range. For this reason, the resultant calculated collective doses shown in this report for these licensees may be about 10% higher than the sum of the actual individual doses. Care should be taken when

comparing the actual collective dose calculated for 1994 to 2004 with the collective dose for years prior to 1994 because of this change in methodology. In addition, prior to 1994, doses only included the external whole body dose. Although the contribution of internal dose to the TEDE is minimal for most licensees, it should be considered when comparing collective doses for 1994 and later with the collective dose for years prior to 1994. One noted exception is for fuel fabrication licensees where the CEDE in some cases contributes the majority of the TEDE (see Section 3.3.5).

#### 3.1.5 Average Individual Dose

The average individual dose is obtained by dividing the collective dose by the total number of workers reported as being monitored. This figure is usually less than the average measurable dose because it includes the number of those workers who received zero or less than measurable doses.

### 3.1.6 Average Measurable Dose

The average measurable dose is obtained by dividing the collective TEDE by the number of workers who received a measurable dose. This is the average most commonly used in this and other reports when examining trends and comparing doses received by workers in various segments of the nuclear industry because it deletes those workers receiving zero or no detectable dose, many of whom were monitored for convenience or identification purposes.

### 3.1.7 Number of Licensees Reporting

The number of licensees refers to the NRC licenses issued to use radioactive material for certain activities that would place the licensees in one of the seven<sup>6</sup> categories that are required to report pursuant to 10 CFR 20.2206. The third column in Table 3.1 shows the number of licensees that have filed such reports during the last 10 years. All nuclear power plants, fuel processors and fabricators, and independent spent fuel storage facilities are required to report occupational exposure to the NRC, whether they are in an Agreement State or not. But the other types of Agreement State licensees are not required to submit exposure reports to the NRC and are not included in this report.

# 3.1.8 Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range

The United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation's (UNSCEAR's) 2000 report entitled "Report of the Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation" [Ref. 11] recommends the calculation of a parameter "SR" (previously referred to as CR or MR) to aid in the examination of the distribution of radiation exposure among workers. SR is defined as the ratio of the annual collective dose incurred by workers whose annual doses exceed a certain dose level to the total annual collective dose. UNSCEAR uses a subscript to denote the specific dose level in millisieverts. Therefore, SR<sub>15</sub> is the notation for the annual

Commercial nuclear power reactors; industrial radiographers; fuel processors (including uranium enrichment), fabricators, and reprocessors; manufacturers and distributors of byproduct material; independent spent fuel storage installations; facilities for land disposal of low-level waste; and geologic repositories for high-level waste. There are currently no NRC licensees involved in low-level waste disposal or geologic repositories for high-level waste.

TABLE 3.1

Average Annual Exposure Data for Certain Categories of NRC Licensees
1995-2004

				Number of			
NRC License		Number of	Number of	Workers with	Collective		Average Measurable
Category* and	Calendar	Licensees	Monitored	Measurable	TEDE	Average	TEDE per
Program Code	Year	Reporting	Individuals	TEDE	(person-rem)	TEDE (rem)	Worker (rem)
Industrial	1995	149	3,761	2,651	1,443	0.38	0.54
Radiography	1996	148	3,766	2,639	1,449	0.38	0.55
03310	1997 1998	148 142	3,570 4,952	2,574 3,446	1,356 1,863	0.38 0.38	0.53 0.54
03320	1999	132	3,837	2,827	1,551	0.40	0.55
00020	2000	129	3,368	2,542	1,528	0.45	0.60
	2001	124	3,780	3,161	2,111	0.56	0.67
	2002 2003	100	3,420	2,842	1,729	0.51	0.61
,	2003	107 93	2,918 3,192	2,541 2,766	1,632 1,567	0.56 0.49	0.64 0.57
Manufacturing	1995	36	2,666	1,222	595	0.22	0.49
and	1996	38	2,631	1,241	556	0.21	0.45
Distribution	1997	33	1,154	665	397	0.34	0.60
02500	1998 1999	31 39	1,986 2,181	654 836	402 419	0.20 0.19	0.61 0.50
03211	2000	39	2,461	1,188	415	0.15	0.35
03212	2001	36	1,862	1,211	351	0.19	0.29
03214	2002	29	1,437	1,052	328	0.23	0.31
	2003 2004	31 21	2,146 2,251	1,668 1,580	420 324	0.20 0.14	0.25 0.21
Low-Level	1995	2	212	56	8	0.04	0.15
Waste	1996	2	165	67	8	0.05	0.12
Disposai**	1997	2	185	50	5	0.03	0.11
80004	1998	1 0	27	13	1	0.05	0.10
03231 Independent	1999 1995	1	104	49	51	0.49	1.04
Spent Fuel	1996	, ,	97	53	54	0.56	1.02
Storage	1997	1	55	24	6	0.11	0.24
	1998	1 1	53	21	3	0.05	0.12
23100 23200	1999 2000	2 2	86 146	33 83	5 6	0.06 0.04	0.16 0.07
23200	2000 2001	2	154	107	13	0.04	0.07
	2002	Ž	75	67	6	0.08	0.09
	2003	2	55	46	3	0.05	0.06
Print	2004	1	37	27	1 1 1	0.03	0.05
Fuel Cycle	1995 1996	8 8	4,106 4,369	2,959 3,061	1,217 878	0.30 0.20	0.41 0.29
Licenses -	1997	10	11,214	3,910	1.006	0.09	0.26
Fabrication	1998	10	10,684	3,613	950	0.09	0.26
Processing and	1999	9	9,693	3,927	1,020	0.11	0.26
Uranium Enrich.	2000 2001	9	9,336 8,145	4,649 3,980	1,339 1,162	0.14 0.14	0.29 0.29
21200	2002	8	7,937	3,886	661	0.08	0.17
21210	2003	8	7,738	3,633	556	0.07	0.15
	2004	8	7,562	3,814	514	0.07	0.13
Commercial Light Water	1995 1996	109 109	132,266 126,402	70,821 68,305	21,688 18,883	0.16 0.15	0.31 0.28
Reactors***	1997	109	126,781	68.372	17,149	0.15	0.25
	1998	105	114,367	57,466	13,187	0.12	0.23
41111	1999	104	114,154	59,216	13,666	0.12	0.23
	2000	104	110,557	57,233 52,203	12,652	0.11	0.22 0.21
	2001 2002	104 104	104,928 107,900	52,292 54,460	11,109 12,126	0.11 0.11	0.21
'	2003	104	109,990	55,967	11,956	0.11	0.21
<u> </u>	2004	104	110.290	52.873	10.368	0.09	0.20
Grand Totals	1995	305 206	143,115	77,758	25,003	0.17	0.32
and Averages	1996 1 <b>9</b> 97	306 303	137,430 142,959	75,366 75,595	21,828 19,919	0.16 0.14	0.29 0.26
	1998	290	132,069	65,213	16,406	0.12	0.25
	1999	286	129,951	66,839	16,661	0.13	0.25
	2000	283	125,868	65,695	15,940	0.13	0.24
	2001	275 243	118,869	60,751	14,746	0.12	0.24
	2002 2003	243 252	120,769 122,847	62,307 63,855	14,850 14,567	0.12 0.12	0.24 0.23
	2004	232	123,332	61,060	12,774	0.12	0.23
* These categories of							

<sup>\*</sup> These categories consist only of NRC licensees. Agreement State licensed organizations are not required to report occupational exposure data to the NRC.

3-3

NUREG-0713

<sup>\*\*</sup> As of 1999, there are no longer any NRC licensees involved in this activity. All low-level waste disposal facilities are now located in Agreement States and no longer report to the NRC.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Includes all LWRs in commercial operation for a full year for each of the years indicated. Reactor data have been corrected to account for the multiple counting of transient reactor workers (see Section 5).

collective dose above 1.5 rem divided by the total annual collective dose. The UNSCEAR 2000 report notes that the 1.5 rem dose level may not be useful where doses are consistently lower than this level, and they recommend that research organizations report SR values lower than 1.5 rem where appropriate. For this reason, the NRC has adopted the policy of calculating and tracking the collective TEDE distribution by dose range at dose levels of 0.10 rem, 0.25 rem, 0.50 rem, 1.0 rem, and 2.0 rem. The collective TEDE distribution by dose range values in this report was calculated by summing the TEDE to each individual who received a TEDE greater than or equal to the specified dose range divided by the total collective TEDE. In addition, the distribution is presented as a percentage rather than a decimal fraction.

Figures 3.2, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.9, 3.11, and 3.12 show the collective TEDE distribution by dose range calculated in terms of percentages of the collective dose delivered above the specified dose levels for each of the categories of NRC licensee. Two properties of these graphs help to further reveal the nature of the distribution of dose and dose trends at NRC licensees. The first is that the percentage of dose in the higher dose ranges (above 0.50 rem) should be relatively small. This would indicate that fewer workers are exposed at these higher levels of individual risk. The second property is the ability to track the shift in dose over time. For a given dose level, a reduction in the percentage from one year to the next indicates that less dose is being received by workers above this level. Therefore, these graphs can be useful in qualifying the dose received in a given year and the trend in doses from year to year.

# 3.2 ANNUAL TEDE DOSE DISTRIBUTIONS

Table 3.2 provides a statistical compilation of the exposure reports submitted by six categories of licensees (see Section 3.3 for a description of each licensee category). The dose distributions are generated by summing the TEDE for each individual and counting the number of individuals in each dose range. In nearly every category, a large number of workers receive doses that are less than measurable, and very few doses exceed 4 or 5 rem. Ninety percent of the reported workers with measurable doses were monitored by nuclear power facilities in 2004, where they received 81% of the total collective dose.

Under the regulatory limits of 10 CFR 20.1201, annual TEDE in excess of 5 rem for occupationally exposed adults is, by definition, an exposure in excess of regulatory limits (see Section 6).

Table 3.3 gives a summary of the annual exposures reported to the Commission by certain categories of NRC licensees as required by 10 CFR 20.2206. Table 3.3 shows that approximately 95% of the exposures consistently remained <2 rem between 1968 and 1984. For the past 14 years, the percentage of workers with <2 rem has been greater than 99%. The number of workers receiving an annual exposure in excess of 5 rem has been <0.01% since 1985. No individual received a dose above the 5 rem annual TEDE limit in 2004 (see Section 6).

TABLE 3.2
Distribution of Annual Collective TEDE by License Category
2004

			•	Number	of Indivi	duals w	ith TEDE	in the l	Ranges	(rem)					Number with Meas. Dose	Total
License Category (Number of sites reporting)	No Meas.	Meas. <0.1	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.00	1.00- 2.00	2.00- 3.00	3.00- 4.00	4.00• 5.00	5.00- 6.00	6.00- 12.00	>12	Total Number Monitored		Collective Dose (TEDE) (person-rem)
INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY Single Location (12) Multiple Location (81) Total (93)	101 325 426	34 751 785	7 450 457	4 454 458	319 319	235 235	377 377	98 98	30 30	7			0	146 3,046 3,192	45 2,721 2,766	3.145 1,564.355 1,567.500
MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTION "A" - Broad (3) Limited (18) Total (21)	109 562 671	117 885 1,002	59 215 274	63 77 140	32 23 55	17 8 25	37 22 59	14 6 20	4 4	1 1				448 1,803 2,251	339 1,241 1,580	157.680 166.193 323.873
LOW-LEVEL WASTE DISPOSAL Total (0)**																
INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE Total (1)	10	22	4	1										37	27	1.257
FUEL CYCLE LICENSES*** Total (8)	3,748	2,493	716	324	149	91	41							7,562	3,814	513.725
COMMERCIAL POWER REACTORS**** Boiling Water (35) Pressurized Water (69) Total (104)	26,043 54,430 80,473	19,678 21,905 41,583	7,101 8,525 15,628	4,546 3,699 8,245	1,690 1,043 2,733	572 406 978	353 315 668	8 8 16						59,991 90,331 150,322	33,948 35,901 69,849	5,450.982 4,916.915 10,367.897
GRAND TOTALS	85,328	45,885	17,077	9,168	3,256	1,329	1,145	134	34	8				163,364	78,036	12,774.252

<sup>\*</sup> Dose values exactly equal to the values separating ranges are reported in the next higher range.

<sup>\*\*</sup> There are no NRC licensees currently involved in this activity. All facilities are now located in Agreement States.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Includes fabrication, processing and uranium enrichment plants (see Section 3.3.5).

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Includes all reactors in commercial operation for a full year during 2004. These values have not been adjusted for the multiple counting of translent reactor workers (see Section 5).

TABLE 3.3
Summary of Annual Dose Distributions for Certain\* NRC Licensees
1968-2004

	Total Number Pers		Percent of Individuals	Percent of Individuals	Number of Individuals
	Reported	Corrected	With Doses	With Doses	With Doses
Year	Number	Number**	< 2 rem***	< 5 rem***	>12 rem***
1968	36,836		97.2%	99.5%	3
1969	31,176		96.5%	99.5%	7
1970	36,164		96.1%	99.4%	0
1971	36,311		96.3%	99.3%	1 1
1972	44,690		95.7%	99.5%	8
1973	67,862		95.0%	99.5%	1
1974	85,097		96.4%	99.7%	1 1
1975	78,713		94.8%	99.5%	1
1976	92,773		95.0%	99.6%	3
1977	98,212	93,438	93.8%	99.6%	1
1978	105,893	100,818	94.6%	99.8%	3
1979	131,027	125,316	95.2%	99.8%	1
1980	159,177	150,675	94.6%	99.7%	0
1981	157,874	149,314	94.6%	99.8%	1 1
1982	162,456	154,117	94.9%	99.9%	. 0
1983	172,927	164,239	94.6%	99.9%	0
1984	181,627	168,899	95.1%	99.9%	0
1985	212,217	201,339	97.6% (4,734)	>99.99% (15)	2
1986	225,582	213,017	98.0% (4,076)	>99.99% (8)	0
1987	243,562	227,997	98.8% (2,738)	>99.99% (4)	1
1988	231,234	215,662	98.6% (2,980)	>99.99% (8)	0
1989	229,353	212,474	99.1% (2,018)	>99.99% (7)	1
1990	227,777	208,513	98.9% (2,150)	>99.99% (3)	0
1991	218,519	202,731	99.4% (1,174)	>99.99% (2)	0
1992	220,717	202,998	99.6% (897)	>99.99% (1)	0
1993	208,784	189,109	99.5% (719)	>99.99% (2)	0
1994	178,987	149,173	99.5% (818)	>99.99% (1)	0
1995	179,406	143,115	99.3% (1,049)	>99.99% (1)	0
1996	173,674	137,430	99.5% (730)	>99.99% (1)	0
1997	180,814	142,959	99.5% (666)	100% (0)	0
1998	166,127	132,069	99.6% (489)	>99.99% (6)	1
1999	166,084	129,117	99.6% (534)	>99.99% (1)	0
2000	163,073	125,026	99.5% (573)	>99.99% (3)	0
2001	154,717	118,150	99.4% (734)	>99.99% (1)	0
2002	162,381	119,694	99.5% (582)	>99.99% (1)	0
2003	164,993	121,265	99.7% (414)	>99.99% (1)	1
2004	163,364	122,322	99.7% (366)	100% (0)	0

Licensees required to submit radiation exposure reports to the NRC under 10 CFR 20.2206.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This column lists the actual number of persons who may have been counted more than once because they worked at more than one facility during the calendar year (see Section 5).

Data for 1977-2004 are based on the distribution of individual doses after adjusting for the multiple counting of transient reactor workers (see Section 5). The numbers of people exceeding both 2 and 5 rem are shown in parentheses from 1985-2004.

# 3.3 SUMMARY OF OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE DATA BY LICENSE CATEGORY

# 3.3.1 Industrial Radiography Licenses. Single and Multiple Locations

Industrial Radiography licenses are issued to allow the use of sealed radioactive materials. usually in exposure devices or "cameras," that primarily emit gamma rays for nondestructive testing of pipeline weld joints, steel structures, boilers, aircraft and ship parts, and other highstress alloy parts. Some firms are licensed to conduct such activities in one location, usually in a permanent facility designed and shielded for radiography; others perform radiography at multiple temporary sites in the field. The radioisotopes most commonly used are cobalt-60 and iridium-192. As shown in Table 3.1, annual reports were received for 93 radiography licensees in 2004. Table 3.4 summarizes the reported data for the two types of radiography licenses for 2004 and for the previous 2 years for comparison purposes.

The average measurable dose for workers performing radiography at a single location ranged from 10% to 12% of the average measurable dose of workers at multiple location facilities over the past 3 years. This is because it is more difficult for workers to avoid exposure to radiation in the field, where conditions are not optimal and may change daily. To view the contribution that each radiography licensee made to the total collective dose, see Appendix A, which presents a summary of the information reported by each of these licensees in 2004.

High exposures in radiography can be directly attributable to the type and location of the radiography field work. For example, locations such as oil drilling platforms and aerial tanks offer the radiographer little available shielding. In these situations, there may not be an opportunity to use distance as a means of minimizing exposure and achieving doses as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).

TABLE 3.4
Annual Exposure Information for Industrial Radiographers
2002-2004

Year	Type of License	Number of Licensees	Number of Monitored Individuals	Workers With Measurable Dose	Collective Dose (person-rem)	Average Measurable Dose (rem)
2002	Single Location	15	112	55	4	0.08
	Multiple Locations	85	3,308	2,787	1,725	0.62
	Total	100	<b>3,420</b>	<b>2,842</b>	<b>1,72</b> 9	<b>0.61</b>
2003	Single Location	12	97	45	4	0.07
	Multiple Locations	95	2,821	2,496	1,725	0.65
	Total	107	<b>2,918</b>	<b>2,541</b>	<b>1,72</b> 9	<b>0.64</b>
2004	Single Location	12	146	45	3	0.07
	Multiple Locations	81	3,046	2,721	1,564	0.57
	Total	93	<b>3,192</b>	<b>2,76</b> 6	<b>1,567</b>	<b>0.57</b>

Although these licensed activities usually result in average measurable doses that are higher than other licensees, they involve a relatively small number of exposed workers.

Figure 3.1 shows the number of workers with measurable dose per licensee, the total collective dose per licensee, and the average measurable dose per worker for both types of Industrial Radiography facilities from 1973 through 2004. The number of workers with measurable TEDE per licensee increased from 2003 to 2004, and the average measurable TEDE decreased by 10% from 0.64 rem in 2003 to 0.57 rem in 2004. Figures 3.2 and 3.3 show the collective dose distribution by dose range (see Section 3.1.8) for single location and multiple location radiography licensees. These graphs demonstrate that multiple location licensees consistently have individuals receiving doses in the higher dose ranges and routinely have 25% to 40% of the collective dose delivered to individuals above 2 rem. From 2000 to 2001, for these licensees, there were increases in the percentage of dose for each dose range above 0.50 rem. However, for the past 3 years, the percentage of the collective dose delivered in each dose range above 0.50 rem was less than the value in 2001. For single location licensees, the percentage of individuals in all dose ranges decreased for the second year in a row from the 2002 values.

# 3.3.2 Manufacturing and Distribution Licenses, Type "A" Broad and Limited

Manufacturing and Distribution licenses are issued to allow the manufacture and distribution of radionuclides in various forms for a number of diverse purposes. The products are usually distributed to persons specifically licensed by the NRC or an Agreement State. Type "A" Broad licenses are issued to larger organizations that may use many different radionuclides in many different ways and that have a comprehensive radiation protection program. Some Type "A" Broad license firms are medical suppliers that process, package, or distribute such products as diagnostic test kits; radioactive surgical implants; and tagged radiochemicals for use in medical research, diagnoses, and therapy. The Limited licenses are usually issued to smaller firms requiring a more restrictive license. Limited firms are suppliers of industrial radionuclides and are involved in the processing, encapsulation, packaging, and distribution of the radionuclides that they have purchased in bulk quantities from production reactors and cyclotrons. Major products include gamma radiography sources, cobalt irradiation sources, well-logging sources, sealed sources for gauges and smoke detectors, and radio-chemicals for nonmedical research.




FIGURE 3.1. Average Annual Values at Industrial Radiography Facilities 1973-2004




FIGURE 3.2. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Industrial Radiographer—Single Location Licensees 2000 - 2004




FIGURE 3.3. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Industrial Radiographer—Multiple Location Licensees

NUREG-0713

Table 3.5 presents the annual data that were reported by the two types of licensees for 2004 and the previous 2 years. Looking at the information shown separately for the Type A Broad and Limited licensees, one can see that the values of collective and average measurable dose generally remain higher for the Broad licensees. However, to examine trends in the data presented for this category of licensees, it should be noted that the types and quantities of radionuclides may fluctuate from year to year, and even during the year. For this reason, some licensees may report dose data one year and not the next and may be included as a Broad licensee one year and a Limited licensee at other times. Because the number of reporting licensees is quite small, these fluctuations may have a significant impact on the values of the parameters. The number of Type A Broad licensees remained at 3 in 2004, the same as in 2002 and 2003.

Figure 3.4 shows the number of workers with measurable dose per licensee, the total collective dose per licensee, and the average measurable dose per worker for both Type A Broad and Limited Manufacturing and Distribution facilities. Although the number of workers with measurable dose per licensee has been steadily increasing since 1999, the collective TEDE per licensee has remained relatively level during this same time period. The figures for Type A Broad licensees are primarily attributed to Mallinckrodt Medical, Inc., which accounted for 97% of the collective dose for this category of licensee in 2004. Figures 3.5 and 3.6 show the collective dose distribution by dose range (see Section 3.1.8) for Type A Broad and Limited Manufacturing and Distribution licensees. These graphs clearly show that the Type A Broad licensees consistently have individuals receiving dose in the higher dose ranges. In 2000, 55% of the collective dose was received by individuals above 2 rem. In 2004, this percentage

TABLE 3.5

Annual Exposure Information for Manufacturers and Distributors
2002-2004

Year	Type of License	Number of Licensees	Number of Monitored Individuals	Workers with Measurable Dose	Collective Dose (person-rem)	Average Measurable Dose (rem)
2002	M & D - "A" - Broad	3	334	247	197	0.80
	M & D - Limited	26	1,103	805	131	0.16
	Total	<b>29</b>	<b>1,437</b>	<b>1,052</b>	<b>32</b> 8	<b>0.31</b>
2003	M & D - "A" - Broad	3	438	349	229	0.66
	M & D - Limited	28	1,708	1,319	191	0.14
	Total	<b>31</b>	<b>2,146</b>	<b>1,668</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>0.2</b> 5
2004	M & D - "A" - Broad	3	448	339	158	0.47
	M & D - Limited	18	1,803	1,241	166	0.13
	Total	21	<b>2,251</b>	<b>1,5</b> 80	<b>324</b>	<b>0.21</b>

Occupational Radiation Exposure at NRC Licensed Facilities




FIGURE 3.4. Average Annual Values at Manufacturing and Distribution Facilities 1973-2004




FIGURE 3.5. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Type "A" Broad Manufacturing and Distribution Licensees 2000 - 2004




FIGURE 3.6. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Limited Manufacturing and Distribution Licensees 2000 - 2004

decreased for the fifth consecutive year to 22%. Limited licensees exhibit a distribution of the collective dose where individuals below 0.50 rem receive over 50% of the collective dose.

Appendix A lists the contribution that each of these licensees made toward the total values of the number of workers monitored, number of workers, and collective dose for 2004.

#### 3.3.3 Low-Level Waste Disposal Licenses

Low-Level Waste Disposal licenses are issued to allow the receipt, possession, and disposal of low-level radioactive wastes at a land disposal facility. The licensee has the appropriate facilities to receive wastes from such places as hospitals and laboratories, store them for a short time, and dispose of them in a properly prepared burial ground. The licensees in this category are located in and licensed by Agreement States, which have primary regulatory authority over the licensees' activities. Since 1999, all licensees that have conducted these activities have been located in Agreement States; therefore, there are no licensees reporting radiation exposure data to REIRS. Figure 3.7 shows the number of workers with measurable dose per licensee, the total collective dose per licensee, and the average measurable dose per worker for Low-Level Waste Disposal facilities from 1982 through 1998.

# 3.3.4 Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Licenses

Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) licenses are issued to allow the possession of power reactor spent fuel and other associated radioactive materials for the purpose of storage of such fuel in an ISFSI. Here, the spent fuel, which has undergone at least 1 year of decay since being used as a source of energy in a power reactor, is provided interim storage, protection, and safeguarding for a limited time, pending its ultimate disposal.

Thirty-four licenses were authorized to conduct these activities during 2004. Nine of these licenses are for activities involving cask design and storage systems. Twenty-three are located at nuclear power plants allowing on-site temporary storage of fuel. These twenty-three licensees report the dose from fuel storage activities along with the dose from reactor operations at these sites. The two remaining licenses are located at facilities that are independent of a reactor site. One is the GE Morris facility located in Illinois. The second site was included for the first time in 1999 and is a site in Idaho operated by DOE for the storage of fuel from Three Mile Island Unit 2. Only the GE Morris facility reported and is included in the 2004 data for this licensee category in this report. Appendix A summarizes the exposure information reported by this licensee.




FIGURE 3.7. Average Annual Values at Low-Level Waste Disposal Facilities 1982-1998

Note: As of 1999, there are no longer any NRC licensees involved in this activity. All low-level waste disposal facilities are now located in Agreement States and no longer report to the NRC.

Occupational Radiation Exposure at NRC Licensed Facilities




FIGURE 3.8. Average Annual Values at Independent Spent Fuel Storage Facilities 1982-2004

Figure 3.8 shows the number of workers with measurable dose per licensee, the total collective dose per licensee, and the average measurable dose per worker for ISFSI facilities. The large increase in the collective dose per licensee and number of workers per licensee in 1994 was mainly because only one licensee reported separately for 1994 through 1998, rather than the two licensees that reported in prior years. All parameters decreased significantly from 1996 to 1999, but increased from 2000 to 2001, and have

decreased from 2001 to 2004. The number of workers with measurable dose per licensee increase in 2004 since only one licensee reported. Figure 3.9 shows the collective dose distribution by dose range (see Section 3.1.8) for ISFSI licensees from 2000 to 2004. The percentages for each dose range have fluctuated from year to year since 1998 due to the small number of licensees involved. No individual has received a dose above 1 rem at these facilities for the past 5 years.




FIGURE 3.9. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Independent Spent Fuel Storage Licensees 2000 - 2004

#### 3.3.5 Fuel Cycle Licenses

Fuel Cycle licenses are issued to allow the processing, enrichment, and fabrication of reactor fuels. In most uranium facilities where light water reactor (LWR) fuels are fabricated, enriched uranium hexafluoride is converted to solid uranium dioxide pellets and inserted into zirconium alloy tubes. The tubes are fabricated into fuel assemblies that are shipped to nuclear power plants. Some facilities also perform chemical operations to recover the uranium from scrap and other off-specification materials prior to disposal of these materials. For 1997 to 2004, this category also includes the two uranium enrichment facilities at Portsmouth. Ohio, and Paducah, Kentucky. The regulatory oversight for these facilities was transferred from DOE to the NRC in 1997.

Figure 3.10 shows the number of workers with measurable dose per licensee, the total collective dose per licensee, and the average measurable dose per worker for Fuel Cycle licensees. In addition to the TEDE collective and average measurable dose, the Deep Dose Equivalent (DDE) collective dose and DDE average measurable dose are shown.

Both doses are shown since the CEDE is a significant contribution to the TEDE for Fuel Fabrication facilities. Figure 3.11 shows the collective dose distribution by dose range (see Section 3.1.8) for Fuel Cycle licensees from 2000 to 2004. The percentage of the collective dose above each dose range increased in almost every dose range from 2000 to 2001. However, from 2001 to 2004, there was a decrease in the percentage of the collective dose above each dose range. Most of the decrease is due to reductions in the collective dose reported by Westinghouse Electric Co. at the Commercial Nuclear Fuel Division in South Carolina. As shown in Table 3.6, the collective DDE remained the same between 2003 and 2004, while the collective CEDE decreased by 14%.

Appendix A lists each of the licensees reporting in 2004, with the number of workers monitored, the number of workers receiving measurable external doses, and the collective dose for each licensee. Table 3.6 shows that there were 8 licensed Fuel Cycle (Fabrication and Enrichment) facilities reporting in 2004.

TABLE 3.6
Annual Exposure Information for Fuel Cycle Licenses
2002-2004

Year	Type of License	Number of Licensees	Number of Monitored Individuals		Collective TEDE (person- rem)	Average Meas. TEDE (rem)	Workers with Meas. DDE	Collective DDE (person- rem)	Average Meas. DDE (rem)	Workers with Meas. CEDE	Collective CEDE (person- rem)	Average Meas. CEDE (rem)
2002	Fuel Cycle	8	7,937	3,886	661	0.17	3,021	296	0.10	2,404	365	0.15
2003	Fuel Cycle	8	7,738	3,633	556	0.15	2,815	258	0.09	2,255	298	0.13
2004	Fuel Cycle	8	7,562	3,814	514	0.13	2,933	258	0.09	2,327	256	0.11




FIGURE 3.10. Average Annual Values at Fuel Cycle Licensees 1973-2004




FIGURE 3.11. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Fuel Cycle Licensees 2000 - 2004

### 3.3.6 Light-Water-Cooled Power Reactor Licenses

LWR licenses are issued to utilities to allow them to use special nuclear material in a reactor that produces heat to generate electricity to be sold to consumers. There are two major types of commercial LWRs in the United States – PWRs and BWRs, each of which uses water as the primary coolant.

Table 3.1 shows the number of licensees, total number of monitored workers, the number of workers with measurable dose, the total collective dose, and average dose per worker for reactor facilities that were in commercial operation for a full year for each of the years 1995 through 2004. The values do not include reactors that have been permanently shut down or reactors that have not been in commercial operation for a full year. The figures for reactors

have been adjusted for the multiple counting of transient workers (see Section 5).

The reported dose distribution of workers monitored at each plant site for the year 2004 is presented in alphabetical order by site name in Appendix B.

Figure 3.12 shows the collective dose distribution by dose range (see Section 3.1.8) for reactor licensees from 2000 to 2004. The distribution of collective dose has been fairly constant over the past 5 years, with a slight decrease noted from 2002 to 2004 in each dose range.

More detailed presentations and analyses of the annual exposure information reported by nuclear power facilities can be found in Sections 4 and 5.




FIGURE 3.12. Collective TEDE Distribution by Dose Range Reactor Licensees 2000-2004

### 3.4 SUMMARY OF INTAKE DATA BY LICENSE CATEGORY

10 CFR 20 requires licensees to report additional data to the NRC concerning intakes of radioactive material. Licensees are required to list for each intake the radionuclide that was taken into the body, the pulmonary clearance class, intake mode, and amount of the intake in microcuries. An NRC Form 5 report containing this information is required to be completed and submitted to the NRC under 10 CFR 20.2206.

Tables 3.7 and 3.8 summarize the intake data reported to the NRC during 2004. The data are categorized by licensee type and are listed in order of radionuclide and pulmonary clearance class. Table 3.7 lists the intakes where the mode of intake into the body was recorded as ingestion or other mode. Table 3.8 lists the intakes where the mode of intake was inhalation from ambient airborne radioactive material in the workplace. The pulmonary clearance class is recorded as D, W, or Y corresponding to its clearance half-time in the order of days, weeks, or years from the pulmonary region of the lung

into the blood and gastrointestinal tract. The amount of material taken into the body is given in microcuries, a unit of measure of the quantity of radioactive material. For each category of licensee, the maximum number of intake records and the maximum intake is highlighted in the table in bold for ease of reference.

Table 3.9 lists the number of individuals with measurable CEDE, the collective CEDE, and the average measurable CEDE per individual for each licensee category. Fuel fabrication facilities have the majority of internal dose (93%) in 2004 and the highest average CEDE per individual. This is due to the worker's exposure to uranium during the processing and fabrication of the uranium fuel.

Table 3.10 shows the distribution of internal dose (CEDE) from 1994 to 2004 for licensees required to report under 10 CFR 20.2206. For the purposes of this table, the definition of a "measurable CEDE" is any reported value greater than zero. As noted above, the vast majority of the internal doses is received by individuals working at fuel fabrication facilities.

TABLE 3.7
Intake by Licensee Type and Radionuclide Mode of Intake – Ingestion and Other
2004

Mode	Licensee Type	Program Code	Radionuclide	Number of Intake Records*	Collective Intake in Microcuries**	Collective Intake in Microcuries (sci. notation)
Ingestion	Power Reactors	41111	AM-241	5	0.000	3.28E-04
		41111	CM-242	5	0.000	1.26E-06
1		41111	CM-243	5	0.000	1.13E-04
İ		41111	CO-58	4	0.119	1.19E-01
		41111	CO-60	6	0.778	7.78E-01
		41111	CS-137	4	0.011	1.12E-02
		41111	PU-238	5	0.000	2.29E-04
		41111	PU-239	5	0.000	7.79E-05
		41111	PU-241	5	0.003	3.44E-03

NOTE: The data values shown boided and in boxes represent the highest value in each category.

An intake event may involve multiple nuclides, and individuals may incur multiple intakes during the year. The number of intake records given here indicates the number of separate intake reports that were submitted on NRC Form 5 reports under 10 CFR 20.2206.

<sup>\*\*</sup> A microcurie is one millionth of a Curie.

TABLE 3.8

Intake by Licensee Type and Radionuclide Mode of Intake – *Inhalation*2004

			Pulmonary	Number of	Collective	Collective Intake in
	Program		Clearance	Intake	Intake in	Microcuries
Licensee Type	Code	Radionuclide	Class	Records*	Microcuries**	(sci. notation)
Radiopharmaceutical	02500	I-123	D	32	7.436	7.44E+00
Radiopriamaceudoar	02500	I-123	D	3	0.077	
	02500	I-131	w	130	47.811	7.70E-02
	03211	I-131	D	4	0.000	4.78E+01
Uranium Enrichment	21200	NP-237	w	2	0.000	1.77E-06 2.11E-05
Oranion Emicinent	21200	TC-99	D	1	2.140	2.14E-00
	21200	TH-230	w	9	0.000	8.13E-05
	21200	U-234	D	41	0.000	4.20E-02
Fuel Fabrication	21210	AM-241	M	166	0.000	1.29E-04
Fuer Fabrication	21210	CO-58	Ϋ́	2	0.246	2.46E-01
	21210	CO-60	Ÿ	48	0.248	
	21210	PU-239	M	175	0.266	2.68E-01
	21210	RA-224	M	166	0.001	5.19E-04
	21210	RN-220	D	112	2,340.972	6.50E-04
	21210	TH-228	M	192	0.001	2.34E+03
	21210	TH-228	S	54	0.001	7.88E-04
	21210	TH-232	M	166	0.000	2.15E-04
	21210	U-232	F	55		9.75E-04 9.02E-05
	21210	U-232	s	71	0.000	
		U-232	Y		0.000	2.80E-04
	21210			273	0.000	3.76E-04
	21210	U-234	D	229	0.217	2.17E-01
	21210	U-234	F	243	0.129	1.29E+01
	21210	U-234	M	305	0.058	5.80E-02
	21210	U-234	S	1,561	4.801	4.80E+00
	21210	U-234	W	80	0.053	5.32E-02
	21210	U-234	Y	1,042	3.686	3.69E+00
	21210	U-235	D	191	0.005	5.24E-03
	21210	U-235	F	55	0.000	2.59E-04
	21210	U-235	M	32	0.000	5.52E-05
	21210	U-235	S	932	0.157	1.57E-01
	21210	U-235	W	80	0.002	2.00E-03
	21210	U-235	Y	328	0.068	6.80E-02
	21210	U-236	D	191	0.000	2.17E-04
	21210	U-236	F	55	0.004	3.88E-03
	21210	U-236	M	32	0.001	6.90E-04
	21210	U-236	S	304	0.018	1.65E-02
	21210	U-236	l w	80	0.000	8.30E-05
1	21210	U-236	Y	328	0.022	2.19E-02
	21210	U-238	D	229	0.032	3.23E-02
	21210	U-238	F	98	0.000	9.66E-05
	21210	U-238	[ <u>M</u>	240	0.002	2.32E-03
	21210	U-238	S	818	0.490	4.90E-01
	21210	U-238	W .	80	0.007	7.32E-03
NOTE: The data values shown holded	21210	U-238	<u> </u>	1,042	0.553	5.53E-01

NOTE: The data values shown bolded and in boxes represent the highest value in each category.

<sup>\*</sup> An intake event may involve multiple nuclides, and individuals may incur multiple intakes during the year. The number of intake records given here indicates the number of separate intake reports that were submitted on NRC Form 5 reports under 10 CFR 20.2206.

<sup>\*\*</sup> A microcurie is one millionth of a Curie.

TABLE 3.8 (continued)

Intake by Licensee Type and Radionuclide Mode of Intake – Inhalation
2004

			Pulmonary	Number of	Collective	Collective Intake in
	Program		Clearance	Intake	Intake in	Microcuries
Licensee Type	Code	Radionuclide	Class	Records*	Microcuries**	(sci. notation)
Power Reactors	41111	AM-241	W	92	0.001	9.68E-04
j	41111	AM-241	Y	1	0.461	4.61E-01
l.	41111	BA-140	D	1	0.270	2.70E-01
	41111	CM-242	W	79	0.000	2.86E-04
	41111	CM-243	W	83	0.001	6.86E-04
	41111	CO-58	Y	51	695.413	6.95E+02
	41111	CO-58	Y	5	0.387	3.87E-01
	41111	CO-60	Y	82	710.072	7.10E+02
	41111	CO-60	W	1	0.078	7.80E-02
	41111	CO-60	Y	13	1.035	1.03E+00
	41111	CS-134	D	2	0.186	1.86E-01
	41111	CS-137	D	47	4.632	4.63E+00
	41111	CS-137	Y	4	0.117	1.17E-01
<b>:</b>	41111	CS-137	ם	1	800.0	8.00E-03
	41111	FE-55	D	7	5.306	5.31E+00
	41111	FE-55	W	2	0.661	6.61E-01
	41111	FE-59	D	1	0.110	1.10E-01
	41111	FE-59	w	1	0.220	2.20E-01
	41111	H-3	D	22	29.880	2.99E+01
1	41111	H-3	v	3	347.002	3.47E+02
į	41111	I-130	D	1	0.021	2.13E-02
	41111	I-131	D	106	5.074	5.07E+00
	41111	I-132	D	1	0.222	2.22E-01
	41111	I-133	D	2	0.634	6.34E-01
¶.	41111	MN-54	W	22	1.158	1.16E+00
	41111	MN-54	Y	2	912.000	9.12E+02
	41111	NB-95	W	1	0.044	4.36E-02
	41111	NB-95	Y	1	0.011	1.11E-02
]	41111	NI-63	w	2	0.627	6.27E-01
	41111	NP-237	w	1	0.000	2.02E-08
	41111	NP-239	w	1	0.000	4.20E-09
	41111	PU-238	w	12	0.000	2.28E-04
	41111	PU-238	Y	71	0.001	1.04E-03
	41111	PU-239	W	9	0.000	5.83E-05
	41111	PU-239	Y	69	0.000	4.27E-04
1	41111	PU-240	w	1	0.000	1.40E-04
i	41111	PU-240	Y	2	0.000	4.21E-06
	41111	PU-241	w	8	0.002	2.27E-03
	41111	PU-241	Y	14	0.003	3.50E-03
	41111	SR-90	D	3	0.000	1.43E-04
	41111	ZN-65	Y	6	0.638	6.38E-01
	41111	ZR-95	W	2	0.143	1.43E-01

NOTE: The data values shown bolded and in boxes represent the highest value in each category.

<sup>\*</sup> An intake event may involve multiple nuclides, and individuals may incur multiple intakes during the year. The number of intake records given here indicates the number of separate intake reports that were submitted on NRC Form 5 reports under 10 CFR 20.2206.

<sup>\*\*</sup> A microcurie is one millionth of a Curie.

TABLE 3.9
Collective and Average CEDE by Licensee
2004

	2004				
Licensee Type	Licensee Name	License Number	Number with Meas. CEDE	Collective CEDE (person-rem)	Average Meas. CEDE (rem)
Radiopharmaceuticals	EASTERN ISOTOPES, INC.	45-25221-01MD	10	0.019	0.002
02500	MALLINCKRODT INC.	24-04206-10MD	4	0.121	0.030
	CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.	47-25322-01MD	1 1	0.006	0.006
	CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.	13-26367-01MD	2	0.021	0.011
	CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.	13-32053-01MD	3	0.011	0.004
	CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.	04-26507-01MD	79	1.131	0.014
03211	INTERNATIONAL ISOTOPES IDAHO INC.	11-27680-01	5	0.049	0.010
		Total	104	1.358	0.013
Industrial Radiography	CLEARWATER ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.	11-27746-01	1	0.045	0.045
03320		Total	1	0.045	0.045
Uranium Enrichment	U. S. ENRICHMENT CORP PADUCAH	GDP-1	22	0.107	0.005
21200	U. S. ENRICHMENT CORP PORTSMOUTH	GDP-2	9	0.047	0.005
		Total _	31	0.154	0.005
Fuel Fabrication	BWX TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	SNM-0042	252	24.603	0.098
21210	FRAMATOME ANP, INC.	SNM-1168	82	6.121	0.075
	FRAMATOME ANP. INC.	SNM-1227	261	54.763	0.210
	GLOBAL NUCLEAR FUEL - AMERICAS, LLC	SNM-1097	592	57.840	0.098
	NUCLEAR FUEL SERVICES, INC.	SNM-0124	725	13.194	0.018
	WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY LLC	SNM-1107	384	99.148	0.258
		Total	2,296	255.669	0.111
Power Reactors	BEAVER VALLEY	DPR-66	3	0.032	0.011
41111	BIG ROCK POINT	DPR-06	1	0.016	0.016
	BRAIDWOOD	NPF-72	2	0.022	0.011
	BROWNS FERRY	DPR-33	593	13.367	0.023
	BRUNSWICK	DPR-62	1	0.015	0.015
	CALLAWAY	NPF-30	49	0.130	0.003
	CALVERT CLIFFS	DPR-53	4	0.074	0.019
	CLINTON	DPF-62	7	0.159	0.023
	COLUMBIA GENERATING	NPF-21	1	0.007	0.007
	DIABLO CANYON	DPR-80	4	0.069	0.017
	DRESDEN	DPR-19	7	0.091	0.013
	FITZPATRICK	DPR-59	2	0.040	0.020
	GINNA	DPR-18	2	0.081	0.020
	HADDAM NECK	DPR-61	13	0.439	0.034
	HUMBOLDT BAY	DPR-07	3	0.035	0.012
	LASALLE	NPF-11	1	0.000	0.012
	LIMERICK	NPF-39	3	0.012	0.004
	MAINE YANKEE	DPR-36	2	0.005	0.003
	MILLSTONE 1	DPR-21	3	0.153	0.003
	NINE MILE POINT	DPR-63	7	0.133	0.016
	OCONEE	DPR-38	65	1.094	0.018
	OYSTER CREEK	DPR-16	2	0.037	0.017
	PALISADES	DPR-10	1 1	0.037	0.019
		NPF-41	4		
	PALO VERDE		1	0.040	0.010
	PEACH BOTTOM	DPR-44	1	0.014	0.014
	QUAD CITIES	DPR-29	2	0.024	0.012
	RIVER BEND	NPF-47	8	0.118	0.015
	ROBINSON	DPR-23	1 1	0.022	0.022
	SAN ONOFRE	DPR-13	28	0.0131	0.005
	SEQUOYAH	DPR-77	56	0.093	0.002
	ST. LUCIE	DPR-67	14	0.090	0.006
	SURRY	DPR-32	1	0.011	0.011
	SUSQUEHANNA	NPF-14	3	0.026	0.009
	VERMONT YANKEE	DPR-28	23	0.178	0.008
	VOGTLE	NPF-68	2	0.022	0.011
	WATTS BAR	NPF-90	173	0.585	0.003
	1	Total	1,092	17.380	0.016
			+		

NUREG-0713

TABLE 3.10 Internal Dose (CEDE) Distribution 1994-2004

		Numt	er of Ir	ndividu	als with	CEDE	in the F	Ranges	(rem)		Total with	Collective CEDE	Average Meas.
Year	Meas. 0.020	0.020 <b>-</b> 0.100	0.100- 0.250	0.250- 0.500	0.500- 0.750	0.750- 1.000	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	Meas. CEDE	(person- rem)	CEDE (rem)
1994	3,425	577	287	351	196	138	293	69	2	-	5,338	1,033.688	0.194
1995	2,868	691	338	362	216	145	288	49	2	-	4,959	1,019.045	0.205
1996	3,096	598	305	317	190	121	185	22	2	2	4,838	741.373	0.153
1997	3,835	869	381	366	242	148	169	30		١.	6,040	826.280	0.137
1998	3,310	932	426	355	230	140	153	21	2	-	5,569	779.148	0.140
1999	3,399	630	402	425	206	117	173	29	-	-	5,381	792.586	0.147
2000	3,248	891	514	373	214	98	224	58	7	1	5,628	969.792	0.172
2001	1,767	766	572	277	109	51	146	82	15	1	3,786	810.128	0.214
2002	1,759	739	555	370	95	20	23	3	-	-	3,564	377.016	0.106
2003	2,208	727	572	271	98	13	4	-	-	-	3,893	311.641	0.080
2004	1,987	738	440	252	90	14	3		-	<b>-</b>	3,524	274.606	0.078

### COMMERCIAL LIGHT WATER REACTORS-FURTHER ANALYSIS

#### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

General trends in occupational radiation exposures at nuclear power reactors are best evaluated within the context of other pertinent information. In this chapter, some of the tables and appendices that summarize exposure data also show the type, capacity, amount of electricity generated, and age of the reactor. Exposure data are then presented as a function of these data.

## 4.2 DEFINITION OF TERMS AND SOURCES OF DATA

### 4.2.1 Number of Reactors

The number of reactors shown in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 is the number of BWRs, PWRs, and LWRs, respectively, that had been in commercial operation for at least 1 full year as of December 31 of each of the indicated years. This is the number of reactors on which the average number of workers with measurable dose and average collective dose per reactor is based. Excluded are reactors that have been in commercial operation for less than 12 months during the first year and reactors that have been permanently defueled. This yields conservative values for many of the averages shown in the tables. The date that each reactor was declared to be in commercial operation was taken from Ref. 12.

Three Mile Island (TMI) 2 was included in the compilation of data for commercially operating reactors through 1988, even though the reactor was shut down following the 1979 accident, since TMI 2 was in the process of defueling and decommissioning during those years. TMI 2 has not been included in the data analysis since 1988. Data for this reactor, however, will be listed in Appendix B for reference purposes. The dose data presented in Appendix D for TMI include the dose data for Unit 2 prior to 1986.

There were no changes to the count of operating reactors in 2004. The number of operating BWRs remains the same as in 2003 at 35 and the number of operating PWRs remains the same at 69. The dose information for these reactors and others that are no longer in commercial operation is listed at the end of Appendix B.

### 4.2.2 Electric Energy Generated

The electric energy generated in megawatt years (MW-yr) each year by each reactor is graphically represented in Appendix D. This number was obtained by dividing the megawatt hours of electricity annually produced by each facility by 8,760, the number of hours in the year, except for leap years, when the number is 8,784 hours. For the years 1973 to 1996, the electricity generated is the gross electricity output of the reactor. For 1997 to 2004, the number reflects the net electricity produced,

**TABLE 4.1** Summary of Information Reported by Commercial Boiling Water Reactors 1973-2004

Year	Number of Reactors Included*	Annual Collective Dose (person- rem)	No. of Workers with Measurable Dose**	Electricity Generated*** (MW-yrs)	Average Measurable Dose per Worker (rem)**	Average Collective Dose per Reactor (person- rem)	Average No. Personnel with Measurable Doses per Reactor**	Average Collective Dose per MW-yr (person-rem/ MW-yr)	Average Electricity Generated per Reactor (MW-yr)	Average Maximum Dependable Capacity Net (MWe)	Percent of Maximum Dependable Capacity Achieved
1973	12	4,564	5,340	3,393.9	0.86	380	445	1.35	283	438	65%
1974	14	7,095	8,769	4,060.2	0.81	507	626	1.75	290	485	60%
1975	18	12,633	17,350	5,786.4	0.73	702	964	2.18	321	595	54%
1976	22	12,298	16,927	8,137.9	0.73	559	769	1.51	370	630	59%
1977	23	19,054	21,515	9,102.5	0.89	828	935	2.09	396	637	62%
1978	25	15,257	20,381	11,856.0	0.75	610	815	1.29	474	660	72%
1979	25	18,251	25,425	11,671.0	0.72	730	1,017	1.56	467	660	71%
1980	26	29,472	34,220	10,868.2	0.86	1,134	1,316	2.71	418	663	63%
1981	26	25,490	34,873	10,899.2	0.73	980	1,341	2.34	419	663	63%
1982	26	24,447	32,318	10,614.6	0.76	940	1,243	2.30	408	663	62%
1983	26	27,467	33,581	9,730.1	0.82	1,056	1,292	2.82	374	663	56%
1984	27	27,111	41,315	10,019.2	0.66	1,004	1,530	2.71	371	754	49%
1985	29	20,578	38,336	12,284.0	0.54	710	1,322	1.68	424	775	55%
1986	30	19,353	37,999	12,102.1	0.51	645	1,267	1.60	403	786	51%
1987	32	16,722	41,806	15,109.0	0.40	523	1,306	1.11	472	832	57%
1988	34	17,986	40,371	16,665.4	0.45	529	1,187	1.08	490	845	58%
1989	36	15,550	44,384	17,543.5	0.35	432	1,233	0.89	487	857	57%
1990	37	15,781	41,585	21,336.1	0.38	427	1,124	0.74	577	862	67%
1991	37	12,007	38,508	21,505.8	0.31	325	1,041	0.56	581	860	68%
1992	37	13,312	42,107	20,592.2	0.32	360	1,138	0.65	557	859	65%
1993	37	12,221	39,352	21,995.6	0.31	330	1,064	0.56	5 <del>94</del>	798	74%
1994	37	12,098	39,171	22,139.0	0.31	327	1,059	0.55	598	801	75%
1995	37	9,471	35,686	24,737.0	0.27	256	964	0.38	669	835	80%
1996	37	9,466	37,792	24,322.2	0.25	256	1,021	0.39	657	838	78%
1997	37	7,603	34,021	22,866.1	0.22	205	919	0.33	618	845	73%
1998	36	6,829	32,899	23,781.2	0.21	190	914	0.29	661	874	76%
1999	35	6,434	31,482	26,962.6	0.20	184	899	0.24	770	885	87%
2000	35	6,090	31,186	28,476.9	0.20	174	891	0.21	814	893	91%
2001	35	4,835	28,797	28,730.4	0.17	138	823	0.17	821	895	92%
2002	35	6,108	30,978	29,460.0	0.20	175	885	0.21	842	907	93%
2003	35	5,659	30,759	29,094.4	0.18	162	879	0.19	831	912	91%
2004	35	5,451	33,948	29,424.8	0.16	156	970	0.19	841	893	94%

<sup>\*</sup> Includes only those reactors that had been in commercial operation for at least one full year as of December 31 of each of the indicated years.

\*\* Figures are not adjusted for the multiple reporting of transient individuals (see Section 5).

\*\*\* Electricity Generated reflects the gross electricity generated for the years 1973 - 1996. Beginning in 1997, it reflects the net electricity generated.

**TABLE 4.2** Summary of Information Reported by Commercial Pressurized Water Reactors 1973-2004

Year	Number of Reactors Included*	Annual Collective Dose (person- rem)	No. of Workers with Measurable Dose**	Electricity Generated*** (MW-yrs)	Average Measurable Dose per Worker (rem)**	Average Collective Dose per Reactor (person- rem)	Average No. Personnel with Measurable Doses Per Reactor	Average Collective Dose per MW-yr (person-rem/ MW-yr)	Average Electricity Generated per Reactor (MW-yr)	Average Maximum Dependable Capacity Net (MWe)	Percent of Maximum Dependable Capacity Achieved
1973	12	9,398	9,440	3,770.2	1.00	783	787	2.49	314	544	58%
1974	19	6,555	9,370	6,530.7	0.70	345	493	1.00	344	591	58%
1975	26	8,268	10,884	11,982.5	0.76	318	419	0.69	461	647	71%
1976	30	13,807	17,588	13,325.0	0.79	460	586	1.04	444	701	63%
1977	34	13,467	20,878	17,345.8	0.65	396	614	0.78	510	688	74%
1978	39	16,528	25,700	19,840.5	0.64	424	659	0.83	509	706	72%
1979	42	21,657	38,828	18,255.0	0.56	516	924	1.19	435	746	58%
1980	42	24,267	46,237	18,289.3	0.53	578	1,101	1.33	435	746	58%
1981	44	28,673	47,351	20,553.7	0.61	652	1,076	1.40	467	752	62%
1982	48	27,754	52,149	22,140.6	0.53	578	1,086	1.25	461	777	59%
1983	49	29,017	52,170	23,195.5	0.56	592	1,065	1.25	473	785	60%
1984	51	28,140	56,994	26,478.4	0.49	552	1,118	1.06	519	809	64%
1985	53	22,470	54,632	29,470.7	0.41	424	1,031	0.76	556	820	68%
1986	60	23,033	62,998	33,593.0	0.37	384	1,050	0.69	560	878	64%
1987	64	23,684	62,597	37,007.3	0.38	370	978	0.64	578	900	64%
1988	68	22,786	62,923	42,929.7	0.36	335	925	0.53	631	885	71%
1989	71	20,381	63,894	44,679.5	0.32	287	900	0.46	629	897	70%
1990	73	20,821	67,082	46,955.6	0.31	285	919	0.44	643	907	71%
1991	74	16,512	60,274	51,942.6	0.27	223	815	0.32	702	913	77%
1992	73	15,985	61,048	53,419.8	0.26	219	836	0.30	732	923	79%
1993	69	13,376	54,397	48,709.3	0.25	194	788	0.28	706	941	75%
1994	70	9,574	44,283	52,397.6	0.22	137	633	0.18	749	928	81%
1995	70	11,762	49,985	54,138.2	0.24	168	714	0.22	773	929	83%
1996	72	9,417	46,852	55,337.8	0.20	131	651	0.17	769	935	82%
1997	72	9,546	50,690	48,985.3	0.19	133	704	0.20	680	943	72%
1998	69	6,358	38,586	53,288.7	0.17	92	559	0.12	772	942	82%
1999	69	7,231	43,938	56,235.0	0.17	105	637	0.13	815	942	86%
2000	69	6,562	42,922	57,529.9	0.15	95	622	0.11	834	943	88%
2001	69	6,273	38,773	58,822.4	0.16	91	562	0.11	852	946	90%
2002	69	6,018	42,264	59,369.7	0.14	87	613	0.10	860	947	91%
2003	69	6,296	44,054	57,920.6	0.14	91	638	0.11	839	949	88%
2004	69	4,917	35,901	60,398.7	0.14	71	520	0.08	875	943	93%

Includes only those reactors that had been in commercial operation for at least one full year as of December 31 of each of the indicated years.
 Figures are not adjusted for the multiple reporting of transient individuals (see Section 5).
 Electricity Generated reflects the gross electricity generated for the years 1973 - 1996. Beginning in 1997, it reflects the net electricity generated.

**TABLE 4.3** Summary of Information Reported by Commercial Light Water Reactors 1973-2004

Year	Number of Reactors Included*	Annual Collective Dose (person- rem)	No. of Workers with Measurable Dose**	Electricity Generated*** (MW-yrs)	Average Measurable Dose per Worker (rem)**	Average Collective Dose per Reactor (person- rem)	Average No. Personnel with Measurable Doses Per Reactor	Average Collective Dose per MW-yr (person-rem/ MW-yr)	Average Electricity Generated per Reactor (MW-yr)	Average Maximum Dependable Capacity Net (MWe)	Percent of Maximum Dependable Capacity Achieved
1973	24	13,962	14,780	7,164.1	0.95	582	616	1.95	299	491	61%
1974	33	13,650	18,139	10,590.9	0.75	414	550	1.29	321	546	59%
1975	44	20,901	28,234	17,768.9	0.74	475	642	1.18	404	626	65%
1976	52	26,105	34,515	21,462.9	0.76	502	664	1.22	413	671	62%
1977	57	32,521	42,393	26,448.3	0.77	571	744	1.23	464	667	70%
1978	64	31,785	46,081	31,696.5	0.69	497	720	1.00	495	688	72%
1979	67	39,908	64,253	29,926.0	0.62	596	959	1.33	447	714	63%
1980	68	53,739	80,457	29,157.5	0.67	790	1,183	1.84	429	714	60%
1981	70	54,163	82,224	31,452.9	0.66	774	1,175	1.72	449	719	63%
1982	74	52,201	84,467	32,755.2	0.62	705	1,141	1.59	443	737	60%
1983	75	56,484	85,751	32,925.6	0.66	753	1,143	1.72	439	743	59%
1984	78	55,251	98,309	36,497.6	0.56	708	1,260	1.51	468	790	59%
1985	82	43,048	92,968	41,754.7	0.46	525	1,134	1.03	509	804	63%
1986	90	42,386	100,997	45,695.1	0.42	471	1,122	0.93	508	847	60%
1987	96	40,406	104,403	52,116.3	0.39	421	1,088	0.78	543	877	62%
1988	102	40,772	103,294	59,595.1	0.40	400	1,013	0.68	584	871	67%
1989	107	35,931	108,278	62,223.0	0.33	336	1,012	0.58	582	883	66%
1990	110	36,602	108,667	68,291.7	0.34	333	988	0.54	621	892	70%
1991	111	28,519	98,782	73,448.4	0.29	257	890	0.39	662	895	74%
1992	110	29,297	103,155	74,012.0	0.28	266	938	0.40	673	901	75%
1993	106	25,597	93,749	70,704.9	0.27	241	884	0.36	667	891	75%
1994	107	21,672	83,454	74,536.6	0.26	203	780	0.29	697	884	79%
1995	107	21,233	85,671	78,875.2	0.25	198	801	0.27	<b>73</b> 7	896	82%
1996	109	18,883	84,644	79,660.0	0.22	173	777	0.24	731	902	81%
1997	109	17,149	84,711	71,851.4	0.20	157	777	0.24	659	910	72%
1998	105	13,187	71,485	77,069.9	0.18	126	681	0.17	734	918	80%
1999	104	13,666	75,420	83,197.6	0.18	131	725	0.16	800	923	87%
2000	104	12,652	74,108	86,006.8	0.17	122	713	0.15	827	926	89%
2001	104	11,109	67,570	87,552.8	0.16	107	650	0.13	842	929	91%
2002	104	12,126	73,242	88,829.7	0.17	117	704	0.14	854	934	91%
2003	104	11,956	74,813	87,015.0	0.16	115	719	0.14	837	936	89%
2004	104	10,368	69,849	89,823.5	0.15	100	672	0.12	864	926	93%

Includes only those reactors that had been in commercial operation for at least one full year as of December 31 of each of the indicated years.
 Figures are not adjusted for the multiple reporting of transient individuals (see Section 5).
 Electricity Generated reflects the gross electricity generated for the years 1973 - 1996. Beginning in 1997, it reflects the net electricity generated.

which is the gross electricity minus the amount the plant uses for operations. This change is the result of a change in NRC power generation reporting requirements. The electricity generated (in MW-yrs) that is presented in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 is the summation of electricity generated by the number of reactors included in each year. These sums are divided by the number of operating reactors included in each year to yield the average amount of electric energy generated per reactor, which is also shown in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. The number of megawatt hours of electricity produced each year was obtained from Ref. 12.

As shown in Table 4.3, there was a 3% increase in the net electricity generated at LWRs in 2004. Contributors to this increase included Davis Besse (restarted), South Texas, Surry, and Cook for PWRs and Cooper Station and Duane Amold for BWRs. These plants had increases of over 20% in power production from 2003 to 2004.

### 4.2.3 Collective Dose per Megawatt-Year

The number of MW-yrs of electricity generated was used in determining the ratio of the average value of the annual collective dose (TEDE) to the number of MW-yrs of electricity generated. The ratio was calculated by dividing the total collective dose in person-rem by the electric energy generated in MW-yrs and is a measure of the dose incurred by workers at power plants in relation to the electric energy produced. For the years 1973 to 1996, the electricity generated is the gross electricity output of the reactor. For 1997 to 2004, the number

reflects the net electricity produced. This ratio, calculated by year for BWRs, PWRs, and LWRs, is presented in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. This ratio was also calculated for each reactor site (see Appendix C). The average collective dose per MW-yr for LWRs decreased to a value of 0.12 rem/MW-yr in 2004 from a value of 0.14 rem/MW-yr in 2003 due to a combination of the reduction in the collective dose and an increase in power production.

### 4.2.4 Average Maximum Dependable Capacity

Average maximum dependable capacity as shown in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 was found by dividing the sum of the net maximum dependable capacities of the reactors in megawatts (net MWe) by the number of reactors included each year. The net maximum dependable capacity is defined as the gross electrical output as measured at the output-terminals of the turbine generator during the most restrictive seasonal conditions less the normal station service loads. This "capacity" of each plant was found in Ref. 12.

## 4.2.5 Percent of Maximum Dependable Capacity Achieved

The percent of maximum dependable capacity achieved is shown for all LWRs in Table 4.3. This parameter gives an indication of the overall power generation performance of LWRs as compared to the maximum dependable capacity that could be obtained in a given year. It is calculated by dividing the average electricity generated per reactor by the average maximum dependable capacity for each year.

4-5

From 1973 to 1978 this indicator exhibited an increasing trend as a number of new reactors began producing power at higher efficiencies. Following the accident at TMI. reactor operations personnel concentrated on improving safety systems and complying with the new regulations for these systems. During this time period, from 1979 to 1987, the percent of maximum dependable capacity remained around 61%. Following the completion of most of these mandated repairs, reactors increased the percent of maximum dependable capacity from 62% in 1987 to 81% in 1996, a gain of nearly 20% in 10 years. The decrease in maximum dependable capacity from 1996 to 1997 was due to the change from measuring the gross electricity generated to the net electricity generated. The percent of maximum dependable capacity has continued to increase since 1997 to an all-time high of 93% in 2004.

## 4.3 ANNUAL TEDE DISTRIBUTIONS

Table 4.4 summarizes the distribution of the annual TEDE doses received by workers at all commercial LWRs during each of the years 1977 through 2004. This distribution is the sum of the annual dose distributions reported by each licensed LWR each year. As previously noted, the distribution reported by each LWR site for 2004 is shown in Appendix B. Table 4.4 shows the reported dose distributions corrected for the number of transient workers that were reported by more than one site (see Section 5).

Table 4.4 includes only those reactors in operation for a full year for each year presented in the table. The total collective dose decreased by 13% to a value of 10,368 person-rem in 2004. The PWRs with the largest decreases in the collective dose were Sequoyah, Davis Besse, and Surry. San Onofre experienced the highest increase in collective dose among PWRs. The BWRs with the largest decreases in the collective dose were Perry and Pilgrim. Clinton experienced the highest increase in collective dose among BWRs.

**TABLE 4.4** Summary Distribution of Annual Whole Body Doses at Commercial Light Water Reactors\*
1977-2004

	No				N	umber of	Individua	als with V	Inole Boo	ly Dose	s in the	Ranges	(rem)					Total	Number with	Collective
Year	Measurable Exposure	Measurable <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.5	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.0	1.0- 2.0	2.0- 3.0	3.0- 4.0	4.0- 5.0	5.0- 6.0	6.0- 7.0	7.0- 8.0	8.0- 9.0	9.0- 10.0	10.0- 12.0	>12	Number Monitored	Measurable Exposure	Dose** (person-rem)
1977	22,688	12,436	6,056	4,538	2,905	2,230	5,660	2,858	1,290	661	186	89	47	23	6	•	-	61,673	38,985	32,521
1978	26,360	15,165	6,349	5,010	3,094	2,255	5,984	3,050	1,194	517	110	37	9	-	1	-	2	69,137	42,777	31,785
1979	40,535	22,642	9,012	7,485	4,795	3,262	7,574	3,401	1,403	545	117	42	17	3	1		-	100,834	60,299	39,908
1980	44,716	26,990	10,697	8,913	5,573	4,139	10,672	4,607	1,816	831	235	119	29	7	1	-	-	119,345	74,629	53,739
1981	39,258	26,916	11,241	9,338	6,051	4,501	11,174	4,809	1,999	533	103	93	9	3	1	-	1	116,030	76,772	54,163
1982	41,704	29,278	11,734	9,907	6,235	4,422	10,220	4,718	2,066	596	97	31	5	-	1	1	-	121,013	79,309	52,201
1983	47,027	29,200	11,200	9,345	5,854	4,279	11,342	5,334	2,270	716	121	38	8	2	-	-		126,736	79,709	56,484
1984	54,637	36,488	13,438	10,277	6,338	4,804	11,284	5,208	2,122	487	52	22	-	-	-	-	-	145,157	90,520	55,251
1985	59,625	36,920	13,015	11,044	6,626	4,545	10,042	3,574	1,002	157	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	146,551	86,926	43,048
1986	67,677	41,536	14,574	11,842	7,017	4,693	10,241	3,062	868	146		-	-	-	-	-	-	161,656	93,979	42,386
1987	85,170	41,283	15,842	12,838	7,586	5,333	10,611	2,192	477	69	-	-	-	-	-	-		181,401	96,231	40,406
1988	87,281	40,290	15,915	13,152	7,905	5,461	10,310	2,442	511	26	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	183,294	96,013	40,772
1989	83,954	45,302	17,270	13,778	7,944	5,138	8,633	1,615	370	34	-	-		- 1	-	-	-	184,038	100,084	35,931
1990	83,875	42,612	17,526	14,199	8,226	5,261	8,594	1,791	337	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	182,442	98,567	36,602
1991	87,247	42,603	16,770	13,182	7,188	4,192	5,977	938	219	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	178,333	91,086	28,519
1992	87,717	41,943	17,821	14,779	8,135	4,521	6,076	808	85	4		-	-	-	-	-	-	181,889	94,172	29,297
1993	83,066	37,332	17,235	13,734	7,562	4,289	5,322	638	76	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	169,259	86,193	26,364
1994	67,777	30,185	15,010	11,823	6,185	3,620	4,242	508	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	139,390	71,613	21,704
1995	61,445	29,631	15,096	12,023	6,125	3,304	3,912	595	133	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132,266	70,821	21,688
1996	58,097	30,204	14,831	11,343	5,423	2,833	3,196	408	67		-		-	-		-		126,402	68,305	18,883
1997	58,409	31,955	14,890	10,913	5,233	2,455	2,599	286	41	-		-	-	-	-	-	١.	126,781	68,372	17,149
1998	56.901	27,998	12,849	8,816	3,940	1,841	1,827	179	15	1	-	-	١.	-	-	۱.	-	114,367	57,466	13,187
1999	54,885	29,048	13,184	8,949	3,793	1,900	1,894	245	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	113,916	59,031	13,599
2000	53,324	28,480	12,921	8,679	3,571	1,644	1,734	186	18	_	-	-	-		-	-	-	110,557	57,233	12,652
2001	52,636	27,246	11,491	7,659	2,907	1,323	1,392	221	53	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	104,928	52,292	11,109
2002	53,440	28,523	11,610	7,668	3,004	1,479	1,820	320	35	1		-	-	-	-	-		107,900	54,460	12,126
2003	54,023	29,164	11,978	8,199	3,249	1,524	1,651	184	18	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	109,990	55,967	11,956
2004	57,417	28,863	11,179	7,334	2,873	1,233	1,190	188	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	110,290	52,873	10,368


Summary of reports submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 20.407 or 20.2206 by BWRs and PWRs that had been in commercial operation for at least 1 full year as of December 31 of each of the indicated years. Figures shown have been adjusted for the multiple reporting of transient individuels (see Section 5).
 The collective dose, when not reported by the licensee, was calculated by the NRC staff using methods described in Section 3.1.4.

## 4.4 AVERAGE ANNUAL TEDE DOSES

Some of the data presented in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 are graphically displayed in Figure 4.1. where it can be seen that the average collective dose and average number of workers per BWR have been higher than those for PWRs since 1974 and that the values of both parameters. in general, continued to rise at both types of facilities until 1983. Between 1983 and 2004, the average collective dose per LWR dropped by 87%. Between 2003 and 2004, the collective dose per reactor for PWRs decreased by 22% to 71 person-rem. The collective dose per reactor for BWRs decreased by 4% to 156 person-rem from 2003 to 2004. The overall collective dose per reactor for LWRs decreased by 13% to 100 person-rem in 2004. This is the lowest average LWR dose per reactor ever recorded. The number of workers with measurable dose per reactor increased to 970 for BWRs and decreased to 520 for PWRs in 2004. The overall decreasing trend in average reactor collective doses since 1983 indicates that licensees are continuing to successfully implement ALARA dose reduction features at their facilities.

Figures 4.2 and 4.3 are plots of most of the other information that is given in Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3. Figure 4.2 shows that in 2004 the net electricity generated increased to 89,824 MW-yr while the number of operating reactors has remained constant for the past 6 years. The value for the total collective dose for all LWRs decreased by 13% from a value of 11,956 person-rem in 2003 to 10,368 person-rem in 2004. Together with the decrease in the number of workers with measurable dose, this resulted in the average measurable dose per worker decreasing from 0.16 rem in 2003 to 0.15 rem in 2004 (when not adjusted for transient workers).

The fluctuations in the parameters for the years following the accident at the TMI plant in 1979 may reflect some of the impact that this incident had on the nuclear power industry. The decrease seen in dose trends since 1983 may be attributable to several factors. Utilities have completed most of the tasks initiated as a result of the lessons learned from the TMI accident, and they are increasing efforts to avoid and reduce exposure. The importance of exposure control and the concept of keeping exposures to ALARA levels is continually being stressed, and most utilities have established programs to collect and share information relative to tasks, techniques, and exposures.





### 


FIGURE 4.1. Average Collective Dose and Number of Workers with Measurable Dose per Reactor 1973-2004

Year

### **Number of Operating Reactors**








Gross electricity 1973-1996, net electricity for 1997-2004.

FIGURE 4.2. Number of Operating Reactors and Gross Electricity Generated 1973-2004






FIGURE 4.3. Average Measurable Dose per Worker and Collective Dose per Megawatt-Year 1973-2004

To further assist in the identification of any trends that might exist, Figures 4.4 and 4.5 together display the average and median<sup>7</sup> values of the collective dose per reactor for BWRs and for PWRs for the years 1973 through 2004. The ranges of the values reported each year are shown by the vertical lines with a small bar at each end marking the two extreme values. The rectangles indicate the range of values of the collective dose exhibited by those plants ranked in the 25th through the 75th percentiles. Because the median values usually are not as greatly affected by the extreme values of the collective doses, they do not normally fluctuate as much from year to year as do the average values. The median collective dose for PWRs decreased to 65 person-rem in 2004. At BWRs, the median fluctuates more from year to year. The median collective dose decreased from 169 person-rem in 2003 to 158 person-rem in 2004. Figure 4.5 also shows that, in 2004, 50% of the PWRs reported collective doses between 47 and 78 person-rem, while 50% of the BWRs reported collective doses between 90 and 224 person-rem. Nearly every year the median collective dose is less than the average, which indicates that the collective dose for most plants is less than the average collective dose per reactor (the value that is widely quoted).

# 4.5 THREE-YEAR AVERAGE COLLECTIVE TEDE PER REACTOR

The 3-year average collective dose per reactor is one of the metrics that the NRC uses in the Reactor Oversight Program to evaluate the effectiveness of the licensee's ALARA program. Tables 4.5 and 4.6 list the sites that had been in commercial operation for at least 3 years as of December 31, 2004, and show the values of several parameters for each of the sites. They also give averages for the two types of reactors. Based on the 105 reactor-years of operation accumulated by the 35 BWRs listed, the average 3-year collective TEDE per reactor was found to be 164 person-rem, the average measurable TEDE per worker was 0.18 rem, and the average collective TEDE per MW-yr was 0.21 person-rem per MW-yr. The average 3-year collective TEDE per reactor and the average collective TEDE per MW-yr increased from 2003 to 2004, while the average measurable TEDE per worker remained the same.

Based on the 207 reactor-years of operation at the 69 PWRs listed, the average annual collective TEDE per reactor, average measurable TEDE per worker, and average collective TEDE per MW-yr were found to be 83 person-rem, 0.14 rem, and 0.10 person-rem per MW-yr, respectively. All three values decreased from the previous 3-year period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The value at which 50% of the reactors reported greater collective doses and the other 50% reported smaller collective doses.




FIGURE 4.4. Average, Median, and Extreme Values of the Collective Dose per Reactor 1973-1986




FIGURE 4.5. Average, Median, and Extreme Values of the Collective Dose per Reactor 1987-2004

**TABLE 4.5** Three-Year Totals and Averages Listed in Ascending Order of Collective TEDE per BWR 2002-2004

Site Name*	Reactor Years	Collective TEDE per Reactor	Collective TEDE per Site	Number of Workers with Measurable TEDE	Average TEDE per Worker	Total MW-Years	Average TEDE per MW-Year
DUANE ARNOLD	3	59	178	1,368	0.13	2,473.5	0.07
COOPER STATION	3	74	221	1,725	0.13	2,062.1	0.11
LIMERICK 1,2	6	76	457	3,811	0.12	4,410.4	0.10
MONTICELLO	3	81	244	1,536	0.16	2645.7	0.09
HATCH 1,2	6	94	563	3,803	0.15	3,894.4	0.14
COLUMBIA GENERATING	3	106	318	2,981	0.11	4,058.9	0.08
PILGRIM	3	110	330	2,327	0.14	2,135.7	0.15
FERMI 2	3	117	351	2,972	0.12	2,719.4	0.13
GRAND GULF	3	122	366	2,593	0.14	2,386.4	0.15
BRUNSWICK 1,2	6	128	769	5,677	0.14	4,935.8	0.16
SUSQUEHANNA 1,2	6	130	782	5,968	0.13	4,852.0	0.16
HOPE CREEK 1	3	135	405	4,257	0.10	1,833.3	0.22
VERMONT YANKEE	3	139	416	2,684	0.16	3,157.7	0.13
FITZPATRICK	3	156	468	2,623	0.18	2,007.3	0.23
PEACH BOTTOM 2,3	6	159	954	4,978	0.19	5,341.2	0.18
RIVER BEND 1	3	163	488	3,047	0.16	2,489.5	0.20
OYSTER CREEK	3	179	536	3,230	0.17	1,779.8	0.30
BROWNS FERRY 1,2,3**	9	181	1,633	7,827	0.21	6,187.9	0.26
DRESDEN 2,3	6	182	1,093	6,961	0.16	3,664.0	0.30
CLINTON	3	183	548	3,412	0.16	2,773.1	0.20
LASALLE 1,2	6	212	1,273	6,631	0.19	6.359.5	0.20
NINE MILE POINT 1,2	6	223	1,340	5,312	0.25	4,787.8	0.28
PERRY	3	250	751	2,812	0.27	2,785.4	0.27
QUAD CITIES 1,2	6	456	2,735	7,150	0.38	2,965.8	0.92
Totals and Averages	105		17,218	95,685	0.18	82,706.6	0.21
Averages per Reactor-Yr		164		911		787.7	

<sup>\*</sup> Sites where not all reactors had completed 3 full years of commercial operation as of 12/31/04 are not included.
\*\* Browns Ferry 1 remains in the count of operating reactors, but was placed on Administrative Hold in June of 1985.

TABLE 4.6
Three-Year Totals and Averages Listed in Ascending Order of Collective TEDE per PWR 2002-2004

Site Name*	Reactor Years	Collective TEDE per Reactor	Collective TEDE per Site	Number of Workers with Measurable TEDE	Average TEDE per Worker	Total MW-Years	Average TEDE per MW-Year
INDIAN POINT 3	3	36	107	1,292	0.08	2,267.2	0.11
HARRIS	3	44	132	1,678	0.08	3.357.2	0.17
CRYSTAL RIVER 3	3	45	136	1,220	0.11	2,237.9	0.16
SUMMER 1	3	47	141	1,630	0.09	3,215.0	0.15
SEABROOK	3	48	143	2,367	0.06	4,249.1	0.12
FARLEY 1,2	6	52	315	2,701	0.12	4,112.9	0.21
GINNA	3	54	162	1,156	0.14	2,043.1	0.33
THREE MILE ISLAND 1	3	55	165	1,507	0.11	1539.0	0.20
PRAIRIE ISLAND 1,2	6	55	333	2,749	0.12	3,436.8	0.35
KEWAUNEE	3	56	169	1,106	0.15	982.2	0.38
PALO VERDE 1,2,3	9	61	550	4,610	0.12	8,916.6	0.17
BYRON 1,2	6	62	371	3,017	0.12	6,776.8	0.16
POINT BEACH 1,2	6	63	375	2,199	0.17	2,766.1	0.41
WOLF CREEK 1	3	64	192	1,729	0.11	3,196.3	0.17
VOGTLE 1,2	6	68	409	2,723	0.15	5,144.3	0.19
WATERFORD 3	3	69	207	1,581	0.13	3,074.4	0.19
CATAWBA 1,2	6	69	415	3,416	0.12	6,340.7	0.20
COMANCHE PEAK 1,2	6	71	427	2,609	0.16	5,817.2	0.20
BRAIDWOOD 1,2	6	72	431	3,533	0.12	6,841.6	0.19
TURKEY POINT 3,4	6	73	438	3,324	0.13	3,066.4	0.36
MCGUIRE 1,2	6	75	448	3,124	0.14	4,207.0	0.22
CALLAWAY 1	3	75	225	2,359	0.10	2,951.9	0.25
ST. LUCIE 1,2	6	76	457	3,086	0.15	4,096.1	0.31
NORTH ANNA 1,2	6	77	460	2,920	0.16	5,251.0	0.27
ROBINSON 2	3	78	234	1,891	0.12	3,221.5	0.36
ARKANSAS 1,2	6	78	471	3,781	0.12	5,094.1	0.27
BEAVER VALLEY 1,2	6	87	524	3,941	0.13	4,577.7	0.33
WATTS BAR 1	3	88	265	2,521	0.11	3,129.0	0.24
SURRY 1,2	6	89	533	3,455	0.15	4,871.3	0.35
DIABLO CANYON 1,2	6	90	539	3,250	0.17	5,235.7	0.31
OCONEE 1,2,3	9	93	838	6,198	0.14	5,084.2	0.39
SALEM 1,2	6	94	565	5,257	0.11	3,891.2	0.31
SOUTH TEXAS 1,2	6	99	592	3,261	0.18	5,461.3	0.24
SEQUOYAH 1,2	6	104	625	4,902	0.13	3,882.0	0.29
COOK 1,2	6	107	644	4,047	0.16	4,016.6	0.34
CALVERT CLIFFS 1,2	6	109	654	4,458	0.15	4,592.5	0.40
SAN ONOFRE 2,3	6	118	707	4,176	0.17	5,298.8	0.41
MILLSTONE 2,3	6	125	752	3,625	0.21	4,110.4	0.40
FORT CALHOUN	3	133	398	1,871	0.21	833.2	0.86
INDIAN POINT 2	3	152	456	2,738	0.17	2,809.1	0.53
PALISADES	3	199	598	2,020	0.30	4,627.6	0.98
DAVIS-BESSE	3	210	629	3,191	0.20	1,842.1	0.96
Totals and Averages	207		17,231	122,219	0.14	168,465.1	0.10
Averages per Reactor-Yr		83		590		813.8	

<sup>\*</sup> Sites where not all reactors had completed 3 full years of commercial operation as of 12/31/04 are not included.

The average 3-year collective TEDE per BWR for 2002 to 2004 is 4% more than the average for 2001 to 2003. The average 3-year collective TEDE per PWR for 2002 to 2004 is 8% less than the average for 2001 to 2003.

# 4.6 GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF DOSE TRENDS IN APPENDIX D

Each page of Appendix D presents a graph of selected dose performance indicators from 1973 through 2004. The dose and performance indicators illustrate the history of the collective dose per reactor for the site, the rolling 3-year average collective dose per reactor, and the electricity generated at the site. These data are plotted, beginning with the plant's first full year of commercial operation and continuing through 2004. Data for years when the plant was not in commercial operation have been included when available. However, any data reported prior to 1973 are not included. The 3-year average collective dose per reactor data are included because they provide an overall indication of the plant's general trend in collective dose. The 3-year average collective dose per reactor is also one of the metrics used by the NRC in the Reactor Oversight Program to evaluate a plant's ALARA program. This average is determined by summing the collective dose for the current year and the previous 2 years and then dividing this sum by the number of reactors reporting during those years. Depicting dose trends using a 3-year average reduces the sporadic effects on annual doses of refueling operations (usually an 18- to 24-month cycle) and occasional high dose maintenance activities and gives a better idea of collective dose trends over the life of the plant. The annual average collective dose per reactor for all reactors of the same type is also shown on the graph.

# TRANSIENT WORKERS AND CAREER DOSES AT NRC-LICENSED FACILITIES

#### 5.1 TERMINATION REPORTS

Under 10 CFR 20, licensees are required to submit NRC Form 5's to the Commission for each individual who is required to be monitored at the end of the monitoring year or upon the individual's termination of employment at the facility. The "termination reports" submitted in accordance with the old § 20.408, listing the individual's complete dose history during employment at the facility, are no longer required.

However, the Form 5's submitted to the NRC upon an individual's termination of employment serve the same function as the previous requirements with regard to the analysis of transient workers at NRC-licensed facilities. The following analysis examines the workers who had more than one Form 5 dose record at more than one NRC-licensed facility during the monitoring year. These workers are defined as "transient" because they worked at more than one facility during the monitoring year.

The term "monitoring year" is used here in accordance with the definition of a year given in § 20.1003, which defines a year as "the period of time beginning in January used to determine compliance with the provisions of this part. The licensee may change the start date of the monitoring year used to determine compliance provided that the change is made at the beginning of the monitoring/calendar year and that no day is omitted or duplicated in consecutive years."

## 5.2 TRANSIENT WORKERS AT NRC FACILITIES

Examination of the data reported for workers who began and terminated two or more periods of employment with two or more different facilities within one monitoring year is useful in many ways. For example, the number of and individual dose received by these "annual transients" can be determined from examining these data.

Additionally, the distribution of the doses received by transient workers can be useful in determining the impact that the inclusion of these individuals in each of two or more licensees' annual reports has on the annual summary (as reported in Appendix B) for all nuclear power facilities and all NRC licensees combined (one of the problems mentioned in Section 2). Table 5.1 shows the "actual distribution" of transient worker doses as determined from the NRC Form 5 termination reports and compares it with the "reported distribution" of the doses of these workers as they would have appeared in a summation of the annual reports submitted by each of the licensees.

In 2004, over 99% of the transient individuals were reported by nuclear power facilities. For this reason, these data are shown separately in Table 5.1.

5-1

NUREG-0713

TABLE 5.1
Effects of Transient Workers on Annual Statistical Compilations
2004

		Num	ber of Ind	ividuals v	vith TEDI	E in the F	Ranges (i	rem)						Number		Averag
License Category	No Measurable Exposure	Measurable <0.10	0.10 - 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.0	1.0- 2.0	2.0- 3.0	3.0 - 4.0	4.0- 5.0	5.0- 6.0	>6	Total Number Monitored	with Measurable Exposure	Collective TEDE (person-rem)	Meas. TEDE (rem)
POWER REACTORS																ļ
1) Form 5 Summation	80,473	41,583	15,626	8,245	2,733	978	668	16					150,322	69,849	10,368	0.15
2) Transients - As Reported	29,785	19,152	8,432	4,346	1,452	526	409	4					64,106	34,321	5,513	0.16
3) Transients - Actual	6,729	6,432	3,985	3,435	1,592	781	931	176	13				24,074	17,345	5,513	0.32
Corrected Distribution (1-[2-3])	57,417	28,863	11,179	7,334	2,873	1,233	1,190	188	13				110,290	52,873	10,368	0.20
ALL LICENSEES																
1) Form 5 Summation	85,328	45,885	17,077	9,168	3,256	1,329	1,145	134	34	8		1	163,364	78,036	12,774	0.16
2) Transients - As Reported	30,274	19,545	8,566	4,432	1,490	548	431	6	1				65,293	35,019	5,659	0.16
3) Transients - Actual	6,671	6,469	4,029	3,463	1,650	804	968	182	15				24,251	17,580	5,659	0.32
Corrected Distribution (1-[2-3])	61,725	32,809	12,540	8,199	3,416	1,585	1,682	310	48	8			122,322	60,597	12,774	0.21

Table 5.1 illustrates the impact that the multiple reporting of these transient individuals had on the summation of the exposure reports for 2004. Because each licensee reports the doses received by workers while monitored by the particular licensee during the year, it is expected that a summation of these reports would result in individuals being counted several times in dose ranges lower than the range in which their total accumulated dose (the sum of the personnel monitoring results incurred at each facility during the year) would actually place them. Thus, while the total collective dose would remain the same, the number of workers. their dose distribution, and average dose would be affected by this multiple reporting. This was found to be true because too few workers were reported in the higher dose ranges. For example, in 2004, Table 5.1 shows that the summation of annual reports for reactor licensees indicated that 16 individuals received doses greater than 2 rem. After accounting for those individuals who were reported more than once, the corrected distribution indicated that there were really 201 transient workers who received doses greater than 2 rem. Correcting for the multiple counting of individuals also has a significant effect on the average measurable dose for these workers. The corrected average measurable dose for transient workers is twice as high as the value calculated by the summation of licensee records. The transient

workers represent 29% of the workforce that receives measurable dose. The correction for the transient workers increases the average measurable dose by a factor of 2 from 0.16 rem to 0.32 rem for the transient workforce for all licensees. It should be noted that this analysis of transient workers does not include workers who may have been exposed at facilities that are not required to report to the NRC REIRS database (see Section 1), such as Agreement State licensees or DOE facilities.

One purpose of the REIRS database, which tracks occupational radiation exposures at NRC-licensed facilities, is to identify individuals who may have exceeded the occupational radiation exposure limits because of multiple exposures at different facilities throughout the year. The REIRS database stores the radiation exposure information for an individual by his/her unique identification number and identification type [Ref. 10, Section 1.5] and sums the exposure for all facilities during the monitoring year. An individual exceeding the TEDE 5 rem per year regulatory limit would be identified in Table 5.1 in one of the dose ranges >5 rem. In 2004, there were no individuals that exceeded the 5 rem annual TEDE limit.

## EXPOSURES TO PERSONNEL IN EXCESS OF REGULATORY LIMITS

#### **6.1 CONTROL LEVELS**

Exposures in excess of regulatory limits are sometimes referred to as "overexposures."

The phrase "exposures in excess of regulatory limits" is preferred to "overexposures" because the latter suggests that a worker has been subjected to an unacceptable biological risk, which may or may not be the case.

The implementation date for the revised 10 CFR 20 was January 1, 1994. 10 CFR 20 includes requirements for summing internal and external dose equivalents to yield TEDE and to implement a similar limitation system for organs and tissues (such as the gonads, red bone marrow, bone surfaces, lung, thyroid, and breast). 10 CFR 20.1201 limits the TEDE of workers to ionizing radiation from licensed material and other sources of radiation within the licensee's control. 10 CFR 20 no longer contains quarterly exposure limits but has reporting requirements for planned special exposures (PSEs)<sup>8</sup>. The annual TEDE limit for adult workers is 5 rem.

10 CFR 20.2202 and 10 CFR 20.2203 require that all licensees submit reports of all occurrences involving personnel radiation exposures that exceed certain control levels, thus providing for investigations and corrective actions as necessary. Based on the magnitude of the exposure, the occurrence may be placed into one of three categories:

- (1) Category A 10 CFR 20.2202(a)(1) - a TEDE to any individual of 25 rem or more; an eye dose equivalent of 75 rem or more; or a shallow-dose equivalent to the skin or extremities of 250 rad or more. The Commission must be notified immediately of these events.
- (2) Category B
  10 CFR 20.2202(b)(1) a TEDE to any individual of 5 rem or more; an eye dose equivalent of 15 rem or more; or a shallow-dose equivalent to the skin or extremities of 50 rem or more in a 24-hour period. The Commission must be notified within 24 hours of these events.

See 10 CFR 20.1206, 20.2204, and Regulatory Guide 8.35 for more information on PSEs and their reporting requirements.

- (3) Category C
  10 CFR 20.2203 In addition to the notification required by § 20.2202
  (category A and B occurrences), each licensee must submit a written report within 30 days after learning of any of the following occurrences:
  - (1) Any incident for which notification is required by § 20.2202; or
  - (2) Doses that exceed the limits in § 20.1201, § 20.1207, § 20.1208, § 20.1301 (for adults, minors, the embryo/ fetus of a declared pregnant worker, and the public, respectively), or any applicable limit in the license; or
  - (3) Levels of radiation or concentrations of radioactive material that exceed any applicable license limit for restricted areas or that, for unrestricted areas, are in excess of 10 times any applicable limit set forth in this part or in the license (whether or not involving exposure of any individual in excess of the limits in § 20.1301); or
  - (4) For licensees subject to the provisions of the Environmental Protection Agency's generally applicable environmental radiation standards in 40 CFR 190, levels of radiation or releases of radioactive material in excess of those standards, or of license conditions related to those standards.

### **6.2 LIMITATIONS OF THE DATA**

It is important to note that this summary of events includes only:

- Occupational radiation exposures in excess of regulatory limits
- Events at NRC-licensed facilities
- Final dose of record assigned to an individual

#### It does not include:

- Medical misadministrations to medical patients
- Exposures in excess of regulatory limits to the general public
- Agreement State-licensed activities or DOE facilities
- Other radiation-related violations, such as high dose rate areas or effluent limits
- Exposures to dosimeters that, upon evaluation, have been determined to be high dosimeter readings only and are not assigned to an individual as the dose of record by the NRC

Care should be taken when comparing the summary information presented here with other reports and analyses published by the NRC or other agencies. Various reports may include other types of "overexposure" events; therefore, the distinctions should be noted.

The analysis and summary of incidents presented here involving exposures in excess of regulatory limits represent the status of events as of the publication of this report. Exposure events of this type typically undergo a long review and evaluation process by the licensee, the NRC inspector for the regional office, and NRC Headquarters. Preliminary dose estimates submitted by licensees are often conservatively high and do not represent the final (record) dose assigned for the event. It is. therefore, not uncommon for an "overexposure" event to be reassessed and the final assigned dose to be categorized as not having been in excess of the regulatory limits. In other cases, the exposure may not be identified until a later date, such as during the next scheduled audit or inspection of the licensee's exposure records.

For these reasons, an attempt is made to keep the exposure events summary presented here current. An event that has been reassessed and determined not to be an exposure in excess of the limits is not included in this report. In addition, events that occurred in prior years are added to the summary in the appropriate year of occurrence. The reader should note that the summary presented here represents a "snapshot" of the status of events as of the publication date of this report. Previous or future reports may not correlate in the exact number of events because of the review cycle and reassessment of the events.

# 6.3 SUMMARY OF EXPOSURES IN EXCESS OF REGULATORY LIMITS

Table 6.1 summarizes the occupational exposures in excess of regulatory limits as reported by Commission licensees pursuant to 10 CFR 20.2202 and 10 CFR 20.2203 from 1994 to 2004. Table 6.2 shows the data reported under 10 CFR 20.403 and 10 CFR 20.405 for the period 1985 to 1993. Note that the categorization criteria changed effective with the revision of 10 CFR 20 in 1994.

For the period 1990 to 1993, Table 6.2 shows the number of individuals who exceeded various limits while employed by one of several types of licensees. For the period 1985 to 1989, only the exposures in excess of regulatory limits reported by licensed industrial radiography firms are shown separately. Most of the occurrences included in the "All Other" category come from research facilities, universities, and measuring and well-logging activities.

In 2004, there were no Category A, B, or C occurrences. No individuals were reported to have exceeded the annual extremity, skin dose, or organ dose limits.

## TABLE 6.1 Occupational Exposures in Excess of Regulatory Limits 1994-2004

			Types of Exposures and Doses						
	License	Persons and	TEDE	(rem)	Lens of the	Eye (rem)	Skin/Extre	mity (rem)	
Year	Category	Doses (rem)	5 - 25	>25	15 - 75	>75	50 - 250	>250 rac	
2004	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES						1	
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	19 15.678		19 15.667				
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
2003	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							
	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	<u> </u>		<b></b>	ļ			
	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 5.860					ļ.,	
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES						ļ	
2002	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES			<u></u>	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES			ļ				
	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS	4				1		
	RADIOGRAPHY	SUM OF DOSES  NO. OF PERSONS	5.606		<del> </del>	<u> </u>	80	<u> </u>	
	REACTORS MEDICAL	SUM OF DOSES  NO. OF PERSONS							
2001	FACILITIES MARKETING &	SUM OF DOSES  NO. OF PERSONS			-		1	3	
	MANUFACT. OTHER	SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	127	1260	
-	INDUSTRIAL	SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS	2						
2000	RADIOGRAPHY OTHER	SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS	11.373		<u> </u>			3	
	INDUSTRIAL	SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS	10.636		<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>		2,677	
199 <b>9</b>	RADIOGRAPHY OTHER	SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS	5.67	<u> </u>	<del></del> -	-	5f	2f	
	INDUSTRIAL	SUM OF DOSES NO.OF PERSONS	48				568 1	1080	
1998	RADIOGRAPHY OTHER	SUM OF DOSES NO.OF PERSONS	34.8	<u> </u>			50-200 5 <sup>†</sup>	31	
	INDUSTRIAL	SUM OF DOSES NO. OF PERSONS				<del>                                     </del>	675 1 <sup>b</sup>	1,115	
1997	RADIOGRAPHY OTHER	SUM OF DOSES NO.OFPERSONS	·				51.1 57	31	
1996	INDUSTRIAL	NO.OF PERSONS	1			<del>                                     </del>	431	1,199	
	RADIOGRAPHY OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS	8.3		<del></del>		7°, f 810,6		
	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO.OF PERSONS	5.1	<u> </u>	1		510.6		
1995	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES			<del> </del>		4 <sup>d.</sup> 1	1 <sup>†</sup> 255	
	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO.OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	2 12.2			<del> </del>	, , , , ,	1 23	
1994	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	12.2		<del> </del>	<del>  </del>	1° 180	<del>                                     </del>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> One of these individuals also received the extremity exposure as shown.

b This exposure was from a hot particle to a localized area of the skin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup> This exposure was from a hot particle to a localized area of the skin.

d Two of these exposures (230 rem and 342 rem) were the result of hot particles.

This exposure was from a hot particle to a localized area of the skin.

These exposures have been added due to a reassessment of extremity dose from the direct handling of visits containing Indium at a radiopharmaceutical manufacturing licensee.

<sup>9</sup> These exposures were received by the same individual.

#### **TABLE 6.2** Occupational Exposures in Excess of Regulatory Limits 1985-1993

	License	Persons and	Types of Exposures and Doses								
			Whole Body (rem)			Skin (rem)			Extremity (rem)		
Year	Category	Doses (rem)	<5	5 - 25	>25	<7.5<30	30 - 50	>150	>18.75>75	75 - 375	>375
1993	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES		1 6							
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES					!				
	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 1.3							3 <sup>f</sup> 187.3	
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	5 10.6								
	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	2ª 4.0	1 <sup>8</sup> 5.4						1 275	
1992	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES									1 300-1000
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 1.9			4 57.7					
	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							4 143.6	1 272	
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES								<u> </u>	
	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 <sup>b</sup> 1.9			1 24.1			1 40.5		
	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	2 5.6						<u> </u>		
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	<del> </del>								
1991	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	2 3.8								
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							1 22.3		
	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 2.4								
	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	3 7.2	3c, d 49.9				1 <sup>C</sup>		1 111	2 <sup>d</sup> 3962
	POWER REACTORS	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES							1 48.8		
1990	MEDICAL FACILITIES	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	3e 8.9								
	MARKETING & MANUFACT.	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES									
Ĺ	OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 2.3								
1989	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	3 8.1		1 93				1 72		
	ALL OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	4 6.6			9.2			105	1 178	
1988	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	3 8.1	1 6.1						1 118	
1500	ALL OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	7 19.34			4 66.8	1 61	1 278	1 58	1 127	
1987	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	1 3.1							1 180	
	ALL OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	2 2.8	1 7.5		5 128.4			3 72.0		1 650
1986	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	2 4.4								
	ALL OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	3 9.6						1 41.2	1 115	930
1985	INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	6 16.7	3 32.6	1 27.0					1 288	
	ALL OTHER	NO. OF PERSONS SUM OF DOSES	7 11.8						3 60.2	1 93	

Same individual exceeded 1.25 rem/qtr limit twice during 1993.

h This 1992 exposure was reported in 1994.

This individual received a whole-body dose of 24 rem in addition to a 6000 rem skin dose.

<sup>One of these individuals received a 9 rem whole-body dose in addition to a 1070 rem extremity dose.

One of these individuals exceeded the quarterly whole-body dose limits three times in one calendar year.</sup> 

f An additional 1993 exposure was reported in 1994.

### **6.4 MAXIMUM EXPOSURES BELOW THE NRC LIMITS**

Because few exposures exceed the NRC occupational exposure limits, certain researchers have expressed an interest in a listing of the maximum exposures received at NRC licensees that do not exceed the limits. This would allow an examination of exposures that approach, but do not exceed, the limits. Table 6.3 shows the maximum exposures for each dose category required to be reported to the NRC. In addition, the number of exposures in certain dose ranges is shown to reflect the number of exposures that approaches the NRC limits.

As shown in Table 6.3, few exposures exceed half of the NRC occupational annual limits. In 2004, only 8 individuals exceeded 75% of the TEDE dose limit. No individual exceeded the 5 rem TEDE annual limit.

TABLE 6.3 Maximum Occupational Exposures for Each Exposure Category\* 2004

Exposure Category**	Annual Dose Limit 10CFR20***	Maximum Exposure Reported (rem)	Max Dose Percent of the Limit	Number of Individuals with Measurable Dose		Number of Individuals ≥ 50% of the Limit		Number of Individuals ≥ 95% of the Limit	Number of Individuals > Limit
SDE-ME	50 rem	55.773	112%	54,020	197	46	4	-	0
SDE-WB	50 rem	7.913	16%	61,962	-	-	-	-	0
LDE	15 rem	4.408	29%	59,950	7	-		-	0
CEDE		1.235		3,513					
CDE		10.244		2,910					
DDE		4.392		61,011					
TEDE	5 rem	4.392	88%	62,032	1,275	158	8	-	0
TODE	50 rem	10.605	21%	55,122	-	-	-	-	0

Only records reported by licensees required to report under 19 CFR 20.2206 are included. Numbers have been adjusted for the multiple reporting of transient individuals.

SDE-ME = shallow dose equivalent - maximally exposed extremity SDE-WB = shallow dose equivalent - whole body

LDE = eye dose equivalent to the lens of the eye CEDE = committed effective dose equivalent CDE = committed dose equivalent

DDE = deep dose equivalent
TEDE = total effective dose equivalent

TODE = total organ dose equivalent

Shaded boxes represent dose categories that do not have specific dose limits defined in 10 CFR 20.

### REFERENCES

- U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience During 1973, USAEC Report 00E-ES-004, December 1974.\*
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1974-1975, USNRC Report NUREG-0227, April 1977.\*
- 3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, *Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1976*, USNRC Report NUREG-0366, December 1977.\*
- M.R. Beebe, Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1977, USNRC Report NUREG-0483, February 1979.\*
- Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1978, USNRC Report NUREG-0618, December 1979.\*
- Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1979, USNRC Report NUREG/CR-1496, May 1981.\*
- 7. Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1980, USNRC Report NUREG/CR-2378, ORNL/NSIC-191, October 1982.\*
- 8. Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1981, USNRC Report NUREG/CR-3430, ORNL/NSIC-215, Vol. 1, December 1983.\*
- 9. Nuclear Power Plant Operating Experience 1982, USNRC Report NUREG/CR-3430, ORNL/NSIC-215, Vol. 2, January 1985.\*
- Instructions for Recording and Reporting Occupational Radiation Exposure Data, USNRC Regulatory Guide 8.7, Rev. 1, June 1992.
- 11. United Nations, Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation, United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation UNSCEAR 2000 Report to the General Assembly, with scientific annexes, Volume I, General Assembly of Official Records, United Nations, New York, 2000.
- 12. Licensed Operating Reactors, Status Summary Report, compiled from reactor monthly operating reports submitted to the NRC. Data provided electronically from the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) Risk, Reliability and Regulatory Support Department under contract to the NRC in support of the NRC's Performance Indicator Project.

<sup>\*</sup> Report is available for purchase from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, 22161, and/or the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20402-9328.

### Appendix A

# ANNUAL TEDE FOR NON-REACTOR NRC LICENSEES

2004

# APPENDIX A Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004

			Numt	er of In	dividu	als with	Whole	Body	Doses	in the l	Range	s (rems	;}			Number	Total Collective	Average
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10			0.50÷ 0.75	0.75- 1.00							>12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas.	TEDE (person- rem)	Meas. TEDE (rems)
INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY - SING	LE LOCATION	- 03310																
AMERICAN CASTINGS, LLC	35-18099-01		2					•	-		-		•		2	2	0.008	0.004
ARMY, DEPARTMENT OF THE	29-00047-06	2	1	•	-		-		-		-	•	-	•	3	1	0.004	0.004
ARMY, DEPARTMENT OF THE (CRANE)	13-18235-01	23	3	id additi	•				-	, . i	-		-	•	26	3	0.058	0.019
ARROW TANK & ENGINEERING CO.	22-13253-01	-	3		3	•	-	11	-	• •	-	` <u>.</u>	•		6	6	1.026	0.171
CHART INDUSTRIES, INC.	22-24393-01	•	4	1	-		-		-	•	-	<u>.</u>			5	5	0.192	0.038
DURALOY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	37-02279-02	•	1	3	-		-		-		-	-	-	÷ : ::	4	4	0.599	0.150
HARRISON STEEL CASTINGS CO.	13-02141-01	5		•	-		-	•	-	# * * {	-			•	5	-	-	-
HUTCHINSON TECHNICAL COLLEGE	22-15554-01	61	13	1	-	-	•	i	-		-		•		75	14	0.478	0.034
INTERMET-ARCHER CREEK	45-17464-01	1 1 je 2	•4	1	-	· ·	•		-	•	-	•	-	•	5	5	0.155	0.031
NILES STEEL TANK CO.	21-04741-01	2	1	• .	-	: :: •	•		-		-	n aun i Li •∳a	•	# T	3	1	0.015	0.015
TESTWELL LABORATORIES, INC.	31-30653-02	4	2	; <b>1</b>	1	•	•		-	•	-		-		8	4	0.610	0.153
WAUKESHA FOUNDRY, INC.	48-13776-01	4	-		-	•	-		-		-		-	(9.1 <b>.</b> 27.1		-	•	4
Total	12	101	34	7	4						-				148	45	3.145	0.070

### APPENDIX A Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004 (continued)

			Numb	er of In	dividu	als with	Whol	e Body	Doses	in the l	Range	s (rems	5)			Number	Total Collective	Average
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50		0.75- 1.00			3.00- 4.00				>12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas. Dose	TEDE (person- rem)	Meas. TEDE (rems)
INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY - MULTIP	LE LOCATIO	N - 03320																
ALASKA INDUSTRIAL X-RAY, INC.	50-16084-01		4	, 4 °	1	1.1	1		-	1	-		-		9	9	5.727	0.636
ALLIED INSPECTION SERVICES, INC.	21-18428-01		: <b>-</b>		3	1	1	1 2 4 7	-	•		. · · .	. •		5	5	2.558	0.512
ALONSO & CARUS IRON WORKS, INC.	52-21350-01			1	2	- h.	-		-		-	· . • .;	-	•	3	3	0.824	0.275
AMERICAN ENGINEERING TESTING, INC.	22-20271-02	•	7	3	2	a 3	•	8	2	2	•	3.1.1 4.1.1	·	Garai eire• 3	27	27	26.472	0.950
ANVIL CORPORATION	46-23236-03	3	23	17	12	12	6	2	-		-	;			75	72	22.896	0.318
APPLIED TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.	45-25477-01	2	11	5	4	• • •	1	11	-	•	· ·	•	-		24	22	5.072	0.231
BILL MILLER, INC.	35-19048-01	1.	2	4	5	4	1	1 1	-				-	· • · ·	18	17	7.619	0.448
BRANCH RADIOGRAPHIC LABS., INC.	29-03405-02	6	4	7	. 6	2	1	·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	; <b>-</b>		-		26	20	5.821	0.291
BRAUN INTERTEC CORPORATION	22-16537-02	3	3	3	2	4	4	11	-		-			•	20	17	8.600	0.506
CALUMET TESTING SERVICES, INC.	13-16347-017	4	3	1.1		. 1	3	1	-	•	•	: 14	· •	20	13	9.617	0.740	
CANSPEC (USA), INC.	42-32443-01	1	13	17	14	12	5	1	-		-		. •	•	63	62	20.983	0.338
CAPITAL X-RAY SERVICES, INC.	35-11114-01	ingAssessi Timot ‡e	11	1.11	1	1	3	4	2	1	· -		., -	•	24	24	19.278	0.803
CENTURY INSPECTION, INC.	42-08456-02	16	13	4	10	7	3	10	1	2	-	• 1,		•	66	50	35.521	0.710
CERTIFIED TESTING LABS, INC.	29-14150-01	2	. 16	4	-	1					_			i et i. Li • trig	23	21	1.766	0.084
COLBY & THIELMEIER TESTING CO.	24-13737-01	•	1	1 -	2	1	1	4	•		, <b>-</b>		1 3		10	10	8.674	0.867
COMO TECH INSPECTION	15-26978-01			4 t. 🖫	1	3	1	1		:  •  .	-		•	5 f <b>.</b> , :	6	6	4.215	0.703
CONAM INSPECTION	12-16559-02	23	118	85	85	60	44	70	13	3			. •	• :	501	478	265.229	0.555
CONSUMERS ENERGY LAB. SERVICES	21-08606-03	. 7	4,	. 1	5	5	2			• .		<u>-</u> 11 • .1	-		24	17	6.726	0.396
COOPER HEAT-MQS	42-32219-01	7	43	19	23	13	12	9.	1		-	ij <b>₽</b> ,	-	-	127	120	44.666	0.372
CTL - ASTROTECH DIVISION	37-09928-01	13	. 14	2		. j	-	•	-						29	16	0.743	0.046
CURTISS-WRIGHT ELECTRO-MECH. CORP.	37-05809-02		5		•	•								· · · • · · · ·	5	5	0.035	0.007
ELITÉ INSPECTION, INC.	13-26712-01	2	4	. 7	5	. 1	6	5	5	111		ļ., <b>.</b>			36	34	29.300	0.862
ENGINEERING & INSPECTIONS, HAWAII	53-27731-01			5	4	· ·	•	3			-	;			12	12	7.482	0.624
FINLAY TESTING LABORATORIES	53-27716-02	÷ = 3	2	3	2	1		2				¥		11.1 11. 11.1. <del>-</del> 11.	13	10	5.060	0.506
FROEHLING & ROBERTSON, INC.	45-08890-01	10	2	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			•		_				13	3	0.240	0.080
G. E. INSPECTION SERVICES, INC.	39-24888-01	1	11	4	1		1					•		•	18	17	2.266	0.133
GENERAL TESTING & INSPECTION CO.	47-32191-01	1	1	3	1				-	: · ·	•		•	•	6	5	0.954	0.191

#### **APPENDIX A**

#### Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004 (continued)

			Numb	er of In	dividua	als with	ı Whol	e Body	Doses	in the	Range	s (rems	;)				Total	
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.00	1.00- 2.00	2.00- 3.00			5.00- 6.00	6.00- 12.00	>12.0	Total Number Monitored	Number with Meas. Dose	Collective TEDE (person- rem)	Average Meas. TEDE (rems)
INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY - MULTIP	LE LOCATIO	V - 03320	Contin	ued					-									
GLOBE X-RAY SERVICES, INC.	35-15194-01	11	. 8	3	3	1	. 4	. 8	3	-			· .		29	28	21.696	0.775
H & G INSPECTION COMPANY, INC.	42-26838-01	.: * .: <b></b>	6	6	10	8	6	7	1	· ·	-	•		•	42	42	25.674	0.611
H & H X-RAY SERVICES, INC.	17-19236-01	6	31	25	31	26	23	45	10	1	-			•	198	192	145.658	0.759
HIGH MOUNTAIN INSPECTION SERVICES	49-26808-02	•	7	15	10	8	14	23	12		2		j -	· 	91	91	97.198	1.068
HUDSON GLOBAL RESOURCES, INC.	37-27891-01	2	6	. 1	1	4	. 2	2	•	•		\$ . <b>.</b>	· •	11:3	18	16	7.478	0.467
HUNTINGTON TESTING & TECHNOLOGY	47-23076-01	1	9	10	6	4"	2	3		•		-		: •	35	34	12.645	0.372
INSPECTION SERVICES ORGANIZATION	41-06832-06	10	3	2		u 1 . • †		**************************************	· -		: : •			•	15	5	0.423	0.085
INTEGRITY TESTLAB	07-30791-01	1.1	1	2	3	3	2	2	2	•	š <u>-</u>	\$ 	A, • •		16	15	12.555	0.837
INT'L RADIOGRAPHY & INSPEC. SERVICES	35-30246-01	2	15	9	7	10	4	10	8	4	. 1				70	68	66.023	0.971
JAN X-RAY SERVICES, INC	21-16560-01	77	30	34	46	23	18	23	3	1		•			255	178	94.571	0.531
KAKIVIK ASSET MANAGEMENT	50-27667-01	10	21	16	18	15	5	9	1		1	•	. <b>-</b>		95	85	37.146	0.437
LONGVIEW INSPECTION, INC.	42-27593-01	12	19	10	7	2	1	9	2	$\{ \cdot , \cdot \}_{i=1}^n$	, 1	1.		. •	63	51	29.301	0.575
LUCIUS PITKIN, INC.	31-30821-01		3	1	-	-		•					-		. 4	4	0.255	0.064
MARTIN INDUSTRIAL TESTING, INC.	45-25452-01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	#455 € 3 3		1.		1.9	; -						3	3	1.729	0.576
MARYLAND Q.C. LABORATORIES, INC.	19-28683-01	2	13	1.1	2	1	1	1	· -	•					21	19	3.807	0.200
MASSACHUSETTS MATERIALS RESEARCH	07-01173-03	1	2	1.7			•	•			-	÷ •			4	3	0.252	0.084
MATERIALS INTEGRITY, INC.	50-27722-01	•	3	2	•		•	-		1. • ·	. •				5	5	0.396	0.079
MATTINGLY TESTING SERVICES, INC.	25-21479-01	3	4	**************************************	1	2	2	2			į -		· -		14	11	6.024	0.548
MET-CHEM TESTING LABS OF UTAH, INC.	43-27362-01	7	5		1		•	2	-	***	; ;	•	-		15	8	2.705	0.338
MIDWEST INDUSTRIAL X-RAY, INC.	33-27427-01	1	. <b>.</b>	1	2	4	1	3	3	6	1		1		22	21	42.212	2.010
NEWPORT NEWS SHIP BLDG. & DRY DOCK CO	. 45-09428-02	2	- 31	9	5			***	·	•		•	1 -	(i., *'.)	47	45	4.067	0.090
NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING GROUP	21-32340-01	2	10	2		: <b>1</b> , ;	2	1	: ;		· •				18	16	3.793	0.237
NOVA DATA TESTING LABS, INC.	45-24872-01		4	1	1		; ;	•	} -	•			-		6	6	0.584	0.099
PACIFIC TESTING, INC.	53-29118-01	for the At	6		3				-		-	1-1-		1 <b></b>	9	9 ,	1.187	0.132
PRECISION CALIBRATION & TESTING CO.	37-30546-01	4	4			•		•	· -				· •	•	8	4	0.048	0.012
PRECISION CUSTOM COMPONENTS, LLC.	37-16280-01	13	7		1				-		. <u>-</u>	) 			21	8	0.302	0.038
PRECISION TESTING & INSPECTION	45-25475-01	1. 1. 1. 1.		(v.)	1	2		3				. •			6	6	5.973	0.996

## APPENDIX A Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004 (continued)

			Numb	er of In	dividu	als with	h Whol	e Body	Doses	in the	Ranges	s (rems	)			Number	Total Collective	Augus
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.00				4.00• 5.00		6.00- 12.00	>12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas. Dose	TEDE (person- rem)	Averag Meas TEDE (rems
INDUSTRIAL RADIOGRAPHY - MULTIP	LE LOCATIO	V - 03320	Contin	ued														
PRIME NDT SERVICES, INC.	37-23370-01	. Jar≨eri.	6	1	3	1	. 1	1. No. 10	2	11.4	_	11:21:0		\	23	23	20,465	0.890
PROFESSIONAL NDE & WELDING SERVICES	52-25538-01	1000	5		5	1	-				: -				11	11	2.482	0.226
PROFESSIONAL SERVICE INDUSTRIES	12-16941-03	1	2	1	: 1	÷ .	1		. <b>-</b>						6	5	1.508	0.302
Q.C. LABORATORIES, INC.	09-11579-03		6	4	: 1	2	:		-	a .			. •		13	13	2,387	0.184
QUALITY INSPECTION SERVICES, INC.	31-30187-01	2	2	1	1	1	-	1	: -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		in No. 17 A General			8	6	2.821	0.437
SCHNABEL ENGINEERING ASSOCIATES, INC	.45-19703-01	1	4	3	. 1	2					_	1 mg 1			11	10	2.435	0.244
SCIENTIFIC TECHNICAL, INC.	45-24882-01		5		1				: : •		. •	1 3131 1 3 4 4 1 3			10	6	0.473	0.079
SHAW PIPELINE SERVICES, INC.	35-23193-01	4	13	10	18	12	7	7	2				_		73	69	37.161	0.539
S.K. MCBRYDE, INC.	32-25137-01	• • •	2	3	1	•	_			1.			: <b>-</b>			6	0.911	0.152
SOUTHWEST X-RAY CORPORATION	49-27434-01		1	1	1	2			. 1	•		1			. 6	6	3,923	0.654
ST. LOUIS TESTING LABS, INC.	24-00188-02	1	6	4.	1	1	2	3				20131 			15	14	7.173	0.512
STORK TWIN CITY TESTING CORP.	22-01376-02	1	5	2		1								ļ. <u>.</u>	9	8	1.054	0.132
LA K INSPECTION, INC.	33-27678-01			2		1		2	4	1	. 1			Naja	11	11	20,954	1.905
EI ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.	37-28004-01	2	17	6	. 5	:4	4	4				e jih	-	<u> </u>	42	40	14,912	0.373
ESTING INSTITUTE OF ALASKA, INC.	50-17446-01	8	1	3	. 1	4	_	-				(in	•		12	6	1,464	0.244
TESTING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	45-25007-01		6		6	4	3	2	· -		: : . •			i.	21	21	9,450	0.450
ESTMASTER INSPECTION CO.	34-24872-02		2		1	4	1	3		1. 2		1,200			8	8	5.490	0.686
HERMAL ENGINEERING INT'L	24-19500-01	. 4	1		•								, <b>.</b>		. 5	1	0.065	0.065
HREE RIVERS GAMMA	37-28367-01		· ·	•			1								2	2	2.513	1.257
'ULSA GAMMA RAY, INC.	35-17178-01	2	8	11	10	5	7	23	17	5	. 1			. <u>.</u> .	89	87	110,254	1.267
WIN PORTS TESTING, INC.	48-23476-01	4	5	1	2	2		1			: .		•		15	11	3.690	0.33
J.S. INSPECTION SERVICES	34-06943-02	. 11	55	28	24	13	13	21	1	1				: } '; <b>-</b> √.	167	156	68.617	0.440
ALLEY INDUSTRIAL X-RAY	04-29076-01	14	16	8	9	13	8	11	1		. •				80	66	37.585	0.569
ALLEY INSPECTION SERVICE, INC.	37-28385-01		2	· · ·	3		. 1	1		1	! •				8	8	6.820	0.853
VASHINGTON GROUP INERNATIONAL	29-27761-01	1	10	. 9	4	1	•	•	. •			•		· · · · ·	25	24	3.284	0.137
VESTERN X-RAY COMPANY	35-19993-01		1	2	4	5	. 5	11							28	28	23.560	0.841
VOS TESTING COMPANY, INC.	48-26385-01	. 2	5	1											9	7	1.051	0.150
otal	81	325	751	450	454	319	235	377	98	30	7			· · · ·	3046	2721	1564.355	0.575

#### APPENDIX A

#### Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004 (continued)

			Numb	er of In	dividua	als with	Whole	Body	Doses	in the f	Range	s (rems	5)			Number	Total Collective	Average
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10		0.25- 0.50		0.75- 1.00	1.00- 2.00	2.00- 3.00	3.00- 4.00		5.00- 6.00			Total Number Monitored	with Meas.	TEDE (person- rem)	Meas. TEDE (rems)
MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBU NUCLEAR PHARMACIES - 02500	TION - LIMITED													<del></del>				
CAPITAL PHARMACY, INC.	21-26597-01MD	7	15	3	-		-		•		-	i, j, <b>4</b> ()•)			25	18	0.674	0.037
CARDINAL HEALTH, INC.	04-26507-01MD	394	624	132	42	12	5	1	•	•	-				1,210	816	63.110	0.077
EASTERN ISOTOPES, INC.	45-25221-01MD	25	114	17	9	7	2	19	6	4	1	F - 1	•	• '	204	179	72.763	0.406
MALLINCKRODT, INC.	24-04206-00MD	3	7	6	1	i - 🚣	•		-		-		-		17	14	1.563	0.112
MALLINCKRODT, INC.	24-04206-22MD	16	2	. 1	-		•		-	<b>.</b>					19	3	0.253	0.084
MALLINCKRODT MEDICAL, INC.	24-04206-01MD	13	7		-		-		-		•				20	7	0.283	0.040
MALLINCKRODT MEDICAL, INC.	24-04206-10MD	12	7	4.	-		-		•		<b>-</b> ,		-		23	11	0.780	0.071
MALLINCKRODT MEDICAL, INC.	24-04206-14MD	9	10	9				•	-		•		-	•	28	19	1.728	0.091
MALLINCKRODT MEDICAL, INC.	24-04206-16MD	8	7	1	2	şerişeli.	-		-	•	-		-	1 1	18	10	1.118	0.112
MALLINCKRODT MEDICAL, INC.	24-04206-19MD	18	7	6	6	1			-		-				38	20	3.748	0.187
MALLINCKRODT MEDICAL, INC.	24-17450-02MD	8 4.	3	5	1	,. <b>+</b>	-	•	-		-	• .		21. •,	17	9	1.035	0.115
MID-AMERICA ISOTOPES, INC.	24-26241-01MD	18	5	4			-	• •	-		-		. <b>.</b>		28	10	1.588	0.159
NUCLEAR DIAGNOSTICS PRODUCTS	29-30500-01MD	8	32	14	9	1	-	1	-		-		-		65	57	9.470	0.166
PSI, INC.	11-27705-01MD			5	1	Ligh Y	1	1	-	• , ,	-		•		8	8	3.321	0.415
RADIOPHARMACY, INC.	13-26246-01MD	8	16	3	3	1. Ni . 1 •	-		•		•		•		28	22	1,712	0.078
Total	16	645	856	210	74	22	8	22	8		4	4 410			1,748	1.203	163,136	0.136

#### **APPENDIX A**

### Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004 (continued)

			Numb	er of In	dividu	als with	Whol	e Body	Doses	in the	Range	s (rems	;)			Number	Total Collective	Average
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure		0.10- 0.25		0.50- 0.75									Total Number Monitored	with Meas.	TEDE (person- rem)	Meas. TEDE (rems)
MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTI	ON - TYPE A BI	ROAD - 03	211															
CANBERRA DOVER, INC.	29-04236-01	14	26	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-		-		-	•	-				40	26	0.341	0.013
INTERNATIONAL ISOTOPES IDAHO, INC.	11-27680-01	6	3	2	2	2		1	-	4.5	-			•	16	10	3.953	0.395
MALLINCKRODT, INC.	24-04206-01	89	88	57	61	30	17	36	14	•	-				392	303	153.386	0.506
Total	3	109	117	. 59	63	32	17	37	14	7			:	\$ 1 ° 1	448	339	157.680	0.465
MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTI TYPE B BROAD - 03212	ON - LIMITED													,	-			
OHMART/VEGA CORP.	34-00639-04	17	27	3	3	1	•	•	-		· -		-		61	34	2.633	0.077
Total	1	17	27	3	3	1		1.7.3		4.7					61	34	2.633	0.077
MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTI OTHER- 03214	ON - LIMITED																	
BMS-MEDICAL IMAGING	20-00320-19		1	1		• • • • •	: . <b>-</b>					Branco Brazilia	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	2	0.232	0.116
MDS NORDION, INC.	54-28275-01		1	1	-		-			•	-		<b>-</b> _	• .	2	2	0.192	0.096
Total	2		2	2										Spec. 111	4	4	0.424	0.106

#### **APPENDIX A**

### Annual TEDE for Non-Reactor NRC Licensees CY 2004 (continued)

			Numb	er of In	dividu	als with	Whole	Body	Doses	in the	Range	s (rems	)			Number	Total Collective	Average
PROGRAM CODE - LICENSEE NAME	LICENSE#	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25		0.50- 0.75			2.00- 3.00		4.00- 5.00	5.00• 6.00		>12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas.	TEDE (person- rem)	Meas. TEDE (rems)
INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAG	E INSTALLAT	TON - 2320	0			•												
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY	SNM-2500	10	22	4	1				•	Vi. 🔐	-		-	( · · · · ·	37	37	1.257	0.047
Total	1	10	22	4	1			1				20 J.			37	37	1.257	0.047
FUEL CYCLE URANIUM ENRICHMENT PLANTS - 2	1200									_						_		
USEC-PADUCAH	GDP-1	1,663	183	24	1	•	-	•	· -		•	•	•	•	1,871	208	8.816	0.042
USEC-PORTSMOUTH	GDP-2	1,260	276	17	12					•	•		•	ļ. <b>.</b>	1,565	306	13.559	0.044
Total	2	2,923	469	<i>E</i> 41	13										3,436	613	22.376	0.044
FUEL CYCLE FUEL FABRICATION FACILITIES - 212	210																	
BWX TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (NPD)	SNM-0042	33	179	89	22	1	1		-		-	77 <b>-</b> 3	•	• • • •	325	292	30.229	0.104
FRAMATOME ANP, INC.	SNM-1168	320	356	50	17	2	1	J. 4		in the	-		-	•	750	430	27.574	0.064
FRAMATOME ANP, INC.	SNM-1227	59	185	62	63	38	19	5		•		-	-		431	372	85.538	0.230
GLOBAL NUCLEAR FUEL-WILMINGTON, NO	SNM-1097	284	387	188	87		•	. •			-			• • • • •	946	662	70.070	0.106
NUCLEAR FUEL SERVICES, INC.	SNM-0124	112	712	135	15		-				:		-	•	974	862	42.003	0.049
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY	SNM-1107	18	214	151	107	108	70	32			•		<u> </u>	•	700	682	236.140	0.345
Total	6	8.25	2,033	675	311	149	91	41	1	3		20. 11.			4,126	3,300	491.554	0.149

### Appendix B

# ANNUAL WHOLE BODY DOSES AT LICENSED NUCLEAR POWER FACILITIES

2004

# APPENDIX B Annual Whole Body Doses at Licensed Nuclear Power Facilities CY 2004

			Nui	mber of l	ndividu	als with	Whole	Body	Doses	in the I	Ranges	s (rems	)			Number	Total Collective
PLANT NAME	TYPE	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.00	1.00- 2.00	2.00- 3.00	3.00- 4.00	4.00+ 5.00	5.00- 6.00	6.00- 7.00	7.00- 12.00 >12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas. Dose	TEDE (person- rem)
ARKANSAS 1, 2	PWR	1,264	857	294	72	4	•	_	-						2,491	1.227	106.172
BEAVER VALLEY 1, 2	PWR	1,662	773	301	163	30	3		-	•		1	-		2,932	1,270	156.509
BRAIDWOOD 1, 2	PWR	1,444	663	239	78	6	•		-	• •	-	•	-		2,430	986	94.942
BROWNS FERRY 1, 2, 3	BWR	2,501	1,537	691	596	318	92	8:		`. <b>_</b> `.	-		-	i saaraa 🕌 🕌	5,743	3,242	672.714
BRUNSWICK 1, 2	BWR	1,287	1,480	387	186	57	21	9.	-	•	-	···	•	wallian in	3,427	2,140	244.577
BYRON 1, 2	PWR	1,352	612	229	53	10	1	1.	-	•	-	11 <b>%</b> y 11	-	[[]•::1] <b>-</b>	2,258	906	89.147
CALLAWAY 1	PWR	1,325	745	259	93	. 20	. 7	• :	•	. •: ;	•		-		2,449	1,124	120.621
CALVERT CLIFFS 1, 2	PWR	1,152	824	200	113	49	15	4		•	-	•	-		2,357	1,205	143.944
CATAWBA 1, 2	PWR	1,717	703	315	78	24	3	•		, p. 🚅 🚟	-		-		2,840	1,123	122.831
CLINTON	BWR	1,173	852	389	243	96	25	17	-	1 -1 - 9	-	· 🕌 · ′	-		2,795	1,622	282.833
COLUMBIA GENERATING	BWR	849	523	115	61	13	4	•	-	• •	-	•	-	31. 0.13. N; ; ; :::; <b>#</b>	1,565	716	66.130
COMANCHE PEAK 1, 2	PWR	1,310	498	230	87	20	9	20	_	•	-	•	-		2,174	864	135.388
COOK 1, 2	PWR	1,643	572	250	129	35	20	9			-				2.658	1,015	156,213
COOPER STATION	BWR	1,042	322	107	46	5	1				•		-		1,523	481	47.064
CRYSTAL RIVER 3	PWR	590	126	5		- : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	-		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		-		821	131	4.044
DAVIS-BESSE	PWR	1,033	150	10	1		•		-		-		-		1,194	161	6.594
DIABLO CANYON 1, 2	PWR	1,438	550	346	206	81	24	23	-		-	•	-		2,668	1,230	254.367
DRESDEN 2, 3	BWR	1,094	1.064	456	314	144	34	32	•	•	•		-	digetides d ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	3,138	2,044	381.054
DUANE ARNOLD	BWR	808	147	62	11		-		_		-		-		1.028	220	18.993
FARLEY 1, 2	PWR -	987	768	291	73	8					-		-		2,128	1.141	107.227
FERMI 2	BWR	1,450	835	292	150	25			-	46.273		filiula na tromera ant		Paristra Carring State Control	2.752	1.302	145.090
FITZPATRICK	BWR	826	581	257	166	60	16	-11	•		-	li 🕌 M			1,917	1.091	186.055
FORT CALHOUN	PWR	754	147	48	17	3	-			i, 🔓 🚽	-		-	10	969	215	21.574
GINNA	PWR	884	88	20	2	1	-	. 1777¥ ★ 3	-			1 (V - 1)			995	111	7.486
GRAND GULF	BWR	881	764	286	138	42	10	3	•				-		2,124	1.243	158.112
HARRIS	PWR	1.012	552	164	30	1			-		-				1,759	747	57.103
HATCH 1, 2	BWR	1,000	653	313	182	43	14	4	-		-		-		2,209	1.209	180.129
HOPE CREEK 1	BWR	386	1.849	288	191	66	25	21	_						2.826	2.440	239.540
INDIAN POINT 2	PWR	1,560	600	267	185	52	22		1	i vi. Lie ∎ine ,	-				2,686	1,136	195.630
INDIAN POINT 3	PWR	1,550	223	10	1	•	•			`. ·:	-				1,784	234	4.232
KEWAUNEE	PWR	670	305	132	93	26	5	4	-			6- <b>4</b> - 19			1,235	565	91.168
LASALLE 1, 2	BWR	1,358	1.432	464	314	99	36	21	•		_		_		3,724	2.366	359.470
LIMERICK 1, 2	BWR	1,617	802	300	123	31	3	6	-				_		2,882	1.265	149,433
MCGUIRE 1, 2	PWR	1.283	623	292	109	39	24	29	-			, : <u> </u>		S. 1783.	2,399	1,116	196,193
MILLSTONE 2. 3	PWR	1,388	428	198	128	37	9	3					_		2,399	803	136.459
MONTICELLO	BWR	734	166	63	43	8	1	Ĭ	-	∵ <u>T</u> =3	_		_ :		1,013	279	35.081
NINE MILE POINT 1, 2	BWR	1.062	490	304	251	140	69	103	5	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		2,424	1,362	448.509
NORTH ANNA 1, 2	PWR	2,441	562	278	83	28	8	103	1		•		•	•	3,406	1,36∡ 965	129.686

APPENDIX B

Annual Whole Body Doses at Licensed Nuclear Power Facilities
CY 2004 (continued)

			Nur	nber of I	ndividua	ils with	Whole	Body	Doses	in the I	Ranges	(rems	)				Number	Total Coffective
PLANT NAME	TYPE	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.00	1.00- 2.00	2.00- 3.00	3.00+ 4.00	4.00- 5.00	5.00- 6.00	6.00- 7.00	7.00- 12.00	>12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas. Dose	TEDE (person- rem)
OCONEE 1, 2, 3	PWR	2,221	1,092	733	339	104	26	1	•	V			-	, ii		4,516	2,295	367.891
OYSTER CREEK	BWR	1,160	754	275	217	63	29	8			_		-		-	2,496	1,346	226.880
PALISADES	PWR	765	298	215	198	103	75	79	6	•	-	•	•		-	1,739	974	370.895
PALO VERDE 1, 2, 3	PWR	1,695	853	246	123	49	19	34		` .	-		-	• •	-	3,019	1,324	199.016
PEACH BOTTOM 2, 3	BWR	1,508	759	318	214	83	25	20	3			-	-	₹ - ×	-	2,930	1,422	264.727
PERRY	BWR	861	258	133	88	15	3				-	ing•114	-	# 1 <b>-</b> 13	-	1,357	496	73.481
PILGRIM	BWR	475	282	110	33	2				Su 🕳 🗀	-		-	,		902	427	41.109
POINT BEACH 1, 2	PWR	836	301	168	112	37	7	2			-		-			1,463	627	109.515
PRAIRIE ISLAND 1. 2	PWR	919	674	364	133	13	2					1 12 17		· · • .`		2,105	1.186	143.806
QUAD CITIES 1, 2	BWR	991	1,155	486	373	184	92	44			-				_	3,325	2,334	510.521
RIVER BEND 1	BWR	881	725	328	228	61	19	17					_		-	2,259	1,378	235,749
ROBINSON 2	PWR	995	535	282	121	11	3			1 A 4 1					-	1,947	952	118,159
SALEM 1. 2	PWR	238	1,138	178	117	40	16	7								1,734	1.496	148.694
SAN ONOFRE 2. 3	PWR	2,379	895	397	213	114	64	78	_			and in the		1.6		4,140	1,761	407.063
SEABROOK	PWR	830	278	12	1	117			_	<del>.</del>		_	-			1121	291	5.858
SEQUOYAH 1, 2	PWR	1,663	907	199	47	7	. 1	: 1	_	Ti bis					_	2,824	1,161	85.941
SOUTH TEXAS 1, 2	PWR	1,237	496	200	93	31	20	2		1.2	_	. * · I · i:	_		: _	2,079	842	119.834
ST. LUCIE 1, 2	PWR	1,547	618	346	152	29	12	•	: [		_	, <u> </u>	_	A Pa	: -	2,704	1,157	159.436
•	PWR	902	171	26	3	20	: 12	•		· · ·						1,102	200	10.085
SUMMER 1		- 1 - T + 1	650	259	90	- 44	6	3	•		•		. •			3,510	1,028	119.854
SURRY 1, 2	PWR	2,482				20		*	•	•	•	9 . <b>.</b>	•		•	3,388	2,144	272.202
SUSQUEHANNA 1, 2	BWR	1,244	1,433	391	203	69	28	20	: <b>-</b>		•	<b>*</b>		•	•	1,176	105	3.573
THREE MILE ISLAND 1	PWR	1,071	101	4	-				. •	· . • .:	•	•		• • .	-		1.089	117,404
TURKEY POINT 3, 4	PWR	1,779	687	288	105	8			. •		•	. • ·	•	•	. •	2,868	1,379	211.529
VERMONT YANKEE	BWR	865	817	286	175	67	25	9	•	•	•		-	• •	•	2,244	765	80.763
VOGTLE 1, 2	PWR	1,160	499	202	56	1		2	. •	•	· -	. •	•	. •	-	1,925 1.083	60	2.517
WATERFORD 3	PWR	1,023	56	.4	-		•	•	. •	•	-	•	. •	· .		1,083	220	2.517 5.893
WATTS BAR 1	PWR	1,218	202	18	2	-	•	: Y . 🔭	-	•	. •	• •	· •	•	. •	1,438	93	3.388
WOLF CREEK 1	PWR	921	85	8		•		• • • •	•	•						1,014		3.300
TOTALS	BWRS	26,043	19,678	7,101	4,546	1,690	572	353	. 8	i				•		59,991	33,948	5,450.982
TOTALS	PWRS	54,430	21,905	8.525	3,699	1.043		316	. 8	•		5 J				90,331	35,901	4,916.915
TOTALS	LWRS	80,473	41,583		8,245	2,733	978	668	16	100		100				150,322	69,849	10.367.897

#### **APPENDIX B**

### Annual Whole Body Doses at Licensed Nuclear Power Facilities CY 2004 (continued)

			Nui	nber of	Individu	als with	Whole	Body	Doses	in the	Range	s (rems	)				Number	Total Collective
PLANT NAME	TYPE	No Meas. Exposure	Meas. <0.10	0.10- 0.25	0.25- 0.50	0.50- 0.75	0.75- 1.00	1.00-	2.00- 3.00	3.00- 4.00	4.00- 5.00	5.00- 6.00	6.00÷ 7.00	7.00- 12.00	>12.0	Total Number Monitored	with Meas. Dose	TEDE (person- rem)
REACTORS NOT YE	T IN COM	MERCIA	OPER	RATIO	V					-								
WATTS BAR 2	PWR Re	ported with W	latts Bar 1															
REACTORS NO LONG	GER IN CO	DMMERCL	AL OPE	RATIO	N								-					
BIG ROCK POINT HADDAM NECK HUMBOLDT BAY INDIAN POINT 1 LACROSSE MAINE YANKEE MILLSTONE 1 RANCHO SECO SAN ONOFRE 1 TROJAN YANKEE-ROWE ZION 1, 2	BWR PWR BWR PWR BWR PWR PWR PWR PWR PWR PWR	161 658 107 1,550 12 252 255 142 1454 215 360 87	135 306 11 149 56 132 78 88 117 5	31 153 2 38 36 36 17 39	17 72 5 5 11 23 8 13	13 16	18 9 - 1 2 6 -	13								388 1222 118 1708 68 442 402 264 1623 220 430 93	227 584 11 156 56 190 147 122 169 5 70 6	57.599 91.981 0.454 2.916 0.918 21.313 11.152 14.890 0.079 6.502 0.167
REACTORS NO LONG BROWNS FERRY 1** DRESDEN 1 THREE MILE ISLAND 2 Total Reporting*	BWR BWR BWR PWR	Re Re	AL OPE ported will ported will ported will	th Brown th Dresde	s Ferry 2, en 2,3	3						on-rem.				6,976	1,723	222.870

<sup>\*</sup> These numbers are for the reactors no longer in commercial operation that report their doses separately (i.e., do not report their doses with other units). \*\*Browns Ferry 1 remains in the count of operating reactors but was placed on Administrative Hold in June 1985.

### Appendix C\*

# PERSONNEL, DOSE, AND POWER GENERATION SUMMARY

1969-2004

<sup>\*</sup> A discussion of the methods used to collect and calculate the information contained in this Appendix is given in Section 3.1.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
ARKANSAS 1, 2 Docket 50-313, 50-368; DPR-51; NPF-6 1st commercial operation 12/74, 3/80 Type - PWRs Capacity - 836, 858 MWe	1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001	588.0 464.6 610.3 627.2 397.0 452.8 1,104.7 905.4 915.0 1,289.1 1,192.3 1,070.3 1,366.1 1,070.3 1,366.3 1,351.9 1,515.8 1,352.1 1,606.0 1,662.8 1,397.0 1,596.0 1,621.9 1,494.6 1,477.3 1,329.2 1,684.0	76.5 56.6 76.8 77.5 55.3 63.7 68.3 58.6 54.7 77.4 73.6 66.9 88.9 69.4 72.0 84.2 88.4 77.4 91.3 93.6 82.7 89.5 95.9 88.1 86.9 79.5	147 476 601 722 1,321 1,233 2,225 1,608 2,109 1,742 1,262 2,135 1,123 2,421 2,063 2,493 2,064 3,114 1,981 1,361 2,259 1,441 1,195 1,249 1,463 1,977 1,082	21 289 256 189 369 342 1,102 803 1,397 806 286 1,141 382 1,387 711 762 351 876 268 172 386 203 119 167 184 242 106	0.14 0.61 0.43 0.26 0.28 0.28 0.50 0.50 0.66 0.46 0.23 0.53 0.34 0.57 0.34 0.31 0.17 0.28 0.14 0.13 0.17 0.14 0.13 0.11 0.113 0.113 0.113 0.112 0.10	0.04 0.62 0.42 0.30 0.93 0.76 1.00 0.89 1.53 0.63 0.24 1.07 0.28 1.30 0.67 0.56 0.23 0.65 0.17 0.10 0.28 0.13 0.01 0.11 0.12 0.18 0.06
BEAVER VALLEY 1, 2 Docket 50-334, 50-412; DPR-66, NPF-73 1st commercial operation 10/76, 11/87 Type - PWRs Capacity - 821, 831 MWe	2002 2003 2004 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	1,659.0 1,675.8 1,759.5 355.6 304.2 221.0 39.8 573.4 326.7 561.2 576.7 717.7 581.3 684.1 1,386.1 1,017.4 1,271.0 1,267.5 1,441.9 1,157.9 1,514.6 1,389.0 1,159.3 523.1 1,353.7 1,378.7 1,500.8 1,548.0 1,437.0 1,593.1	91.8 93.1 95.0 57.0 40.8 40.0 6.8 73.6 41.6 68.2 71.8 91.9 70.7 83.8 87.4 69.6 85.3 78.6 89.1 73.1 88.6 83.1 76.5 72.1 33.5 85.9 87.3 95.4 88.4 96.3	1,581 973 1,227 331 646 704 1,817 1,237 1,755 1,485 1,393 619 1,575 1,282 1,764 2,349 1,675 1,689 1,414 2,087 487 1,536 1,688 1,391 700 841 1,730 1,202 1,048 1,623 1,270	265 99 106 878 190 132 553 229 599 772 504 60 627 210 530 1,378 348 495 289 621 44 453 449 306 59 99 338 184 90 277 157	0.17 0.10 0.09 0.26 0.29 0.19 0.30 0.19 0.34 0.52 0.36 0.10 0.40 0.16 0.30 0.59 0.21 0.29 0.20 0.30 0.09 0.27 0.22 0.08 0.12 0.09 0.15 0.10	0.16 0.06 0.06 0.06 2.47 0.62 0.60 13.89 0.40 1.83 1.38 0.87 0.08 1.08 0.31 0.38 1.35 0.27 0.39 0.20 0.54 0.03 0.33 0.35 0.26 0.11 0.07 0.24 0.12 0.06 0.19 0.10

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
BIG ROCK POINT	1969	48.1		165	136	0.82	2.83
Docket 50-155; DPR-6	1970	43.5		290	194	0.67	4.46
1st commercial operation 3/63 Type - BWR	1971 1972	44.4 43.5		260 195	184 181	0.71 0.93	4.14 4.16
Capacity - (67) MWe	1973	50.9		241	285	1.18	5.60
	1974	40.7	70.3	281	276	0.98	6.78
	1975	35.1	59.8	300	180	0.60	5.13
	1976	29.5	50.1	488	289	0.59	9.80
	1977	43.6	73.4	465	334	0.72	7.66
	1978 1979	48.5	77.9 23.5	285 533	175	0.61	3.61
	1980	13.0 48.9	23.5 79.0	623 599	455 354	0.73 0.59	35.00 7.24
	1981	56.9	90.6	479	160	0.33	2.81
	1982	43.6	70.8	521	328	0.63	7.52
	1983	42.3	71.0	493	263	0.53	6.22
	1984	50.3	78.6	297	155	0.52	3.08
	1985	43.8	73.5	435	291	0.67	6.64
	1986 1987	61.0 45.3	95.5 71.0	202 251	84	0.42	1.38
	1988	46.1	71.8 72.8	303	222 170	0.88 0.56	4.90 3.69
	1989	50.2	79.0	418	177	0.42	3.53
	1990	51.3	77.2	351	232	0.66	4.52
	1991	59.1	85.2	435	226	0.52	3.82
	1992	32.7	54.5	496	277	0.56	8.47
	1993	51.2	79.4	419	152	0.36	2.97
	1994 1995	49.5 62.2	75.3 95.0	310 205	119 54	0.38 0.26	2.40 0.87
	1997	22.4	54.1	258	55	0.25	2.46
	1998	0.0	0.0	432	104	0.24	
	1999	0.0	0.0	285	87	0.31	
	2000	0.0	0.0	226	89	0.40	_
	2001	0.0	0.0	167	48	0.28	-
	2002	0.0	0.0	170	44	0.26	
	2003 2004	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0_	336 227	121 58	0.36 0.25	_
BRAIDWOOD 1, 2	1989	1,381.8	75.4	1,460	296	0.20	0.21
Docket 50-456, 50-457;	1990	1,740.2	84.1	1,081	186	0.17	0.11
NPF-72, NPF-77	1991	1,377.2	68.9	1,641	550	0.34	0.40
1st commercial operation	1992	1,885.9	89.0	1,059	228	0.22	0.12
7/88, 10/88 Type - PWRs	1993 1994	1,899.3 1.666.1	86.9 77.2	1,043 1,237	273 298	0.26 0.24	0.14
Capacity - 1161, 1129 MWe	1995	1,914.7	85.4	1,134	236	0.24	0.18 0.12
Capacity 1101, 1125 initio	1996	1,854.9	82.1	1,356	334	0.25	0.12
	1997	1,863.3	85.4	1,693	321	0.19	0.17
	1998	1,979.1	88.9	1,869	259	0.14	0.13
	1999	2,161.6	95.8	1,153	146	0.13	0.07
	2000	2,142.8	94.9	1,562	194	0.12	0.09
	2001 2002	2,186.4 2,284.0	95.8 96.8	881 975	101 91	0.11	0.05
	2002	2,264.0 2,279.9	95.6	9/5 1,572	91 245	0.09 0.16	0.04 0.11
	2003	2,277.8	97.3	986	95	0.10	0.11
			·		30	J. 10	U.U7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Big Rock Point was shut down in 9/97 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose	Dose
Reporting Organization	rear	lvivv-yr	ractor	Doses	Dose	(rems)	MW-yr
BROWNS FERRY 1 <sup>2</sup> , 2, 3	1975	161.7	17.8	2,743	347	0.13	2.15
Docket 50-259, 50-260,	1976	337.6	26.9	2,530	232	0.09	0.69
50-296	1977	1,327.5	73.7	1,985	876	0.44	0.66
DPR - 33, - 52, - 68 1st commercial operation 8/74, 3/75, 3/77 Type - BWRs	1978	1,992.1	73.5	2,479	1,776	0.72	0.89
	1979	2,393.0	79.1	2,869	1,593	0.56	0.67
	1980	2,182.1	73.6	2,838	1,768	0.62	0.81
	1981	2,132.9	69.5	3,497	2,398	0.69	1.12
Capacity - (1065), 1118, 1118 MWe	1982 1983 1984	2,025.4 1,641.0 1,431.9	67.6 54.3 54.2	3,360 3,410 3,172	2,230 3,375 1,954	0.66 0.99 0.62	1.10 2.06 1.36
	1985	368.2	11.9	2,854	1,164	0.41	3.16
	1986	0.0	0.0	3,074	1,054	0.34	—
	1987	0.0	0.0	3,184	1,186	0.37	—
	1988 1989 1990 1991	0.0 0.0 0.0 445.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 17.7	3,390 2,707 2,725 1,831	1,158 657 1,311 356	0.34 0.24 0.48 0.19	
	1992 1993 1994	979.9 675.1 860.2	32.2 66.8 83.4	2,670 3,594 3,362	519 870 861	0.19 0.19 0.24 0.26	0.50 0.53 1.29 1.00
	1995	1,165.8	98.6	2,567	413	0.16	0.35
	1996	1,972.8	93.0	1,904	389	0.20	0.20
	1997	1,928.8	90.2	2,268	522	0.23	0.27
	1998	1,961.9	87.7	1,612	368	0.23	0.19
	1999	2,091.0	85.1	1,741	447	0.26	0.21
	2000	2,143.8	97.1	1,657	333	0.20	0.16
	2001	2,074.0	90.7	1,525	294	0.19	0.14
	2002	2,069.0	95.4	1,977	358	0.18	0.17
	2003	2,014.5	93.6	2,608	603	0.23	0.30
	2004	2,104.7	95.5	3,242	673	0.21	0.32
BRUNSWICK 1, 2	1976	297.2	56.0	1,265	326	0.26	1.10
Docket 50-324, 50-325;	1977	291.1	55.7	1,512	1,120	0.74	3.85
DPR-62, -71	1978	1,173.1	83.7	1,458	1,004	0.69	0.86
1st commercial operation	1979	810.0	60.1	2,891	2,602	0.90	3.21
3/77, 11/75	1980	687.2	52.2	3,788	3,870	1.02	5.63
Type - BWRs	1981	925.2	56.9	3,854	2,638	0.68	2.85
Capacity - 872, 900 MWe	1982	540.3	50.3	4,957	3,792	0.76	7.02
Sapadity - 072, 300 MWG	1983	636.7	44.3	5,602	3,475	0.62	5.46
	1984	761.3	51.5	5,046	3,260	0.65	4.28
	1985	822.2	58.4	4,057	2,804	0.69	3.41
	1986	1,051.3	69.1	3,370	1,909	0.57	1.82
	1987	1,152.4	80.6	3,052	1,419	0.46	1.23
	1988	990.8	70.1	2,648	1,747	0.66	1.76
	1989	990.9	65.8	3,844	1,786	0.46	1.80
	1990	991.6	67.8	3,182	1,548	0.49	1.56
	1991	952.8	64.5	2,586	778	0.30	0.82
	1992	375.9	27.9	2,690	623	0.23	1.66
	1993	470.0	33.8	2,921	872	0.30	1.86
	1994	1,268.4	83.0	3,049	999	0.70	0.79
	1995	1,411.7	92.9	2,657	683	0.26	0.48
	1996	1,261.1	85.9	2,784	716	0.26	0.57
	1997	1,474.0	94.1	2,212	411	0.19	0.28
	1998	1,521.0	94.3	2,005	396	0.20	0.26
	1999	1,494.7	92.8	1,818	418	0.23	0.28
	2000	1,571.2	95.6	1,648	322	0.20	0.20
	2001	1,576.0	95.8	1,623	303	0.19	0.19
	2002	1,568.0	94.5	1,743	276	0.16	0.18
	2003	1,676.9	95.6	1,794	249	0.14	0.15
	2004	1,690.6	94.5	2,140	245	0.11	0.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Browns Ferry 1 remains in the count of operating reactors but was placed on Administrative Hold in June of 1985. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
BYRON 1, 2	1986	894.5	88.6	1,081	76	0.07	0.08
Docket 50-454, 50-455;	1987	650.9	70.9	1,826	769	0.42	1.18
NPF-37, NPF-66	1988	1,534.7	86.3	1,222	459	0.38	0.30
1st commercial operation 9/85, 8/87	1989 1990	1,812.6 1,567.3	90.2 78.8	1,109 1,396	172 434	0.16 0.31	0.09 0.28
Type - PWRs	1991	1,816.3	89.9	1,077	268	0.31	0.25
Capacity - 1152, 1125 MWe	1992	1,888.4	90.1	1,021	199	0.19	0.11
	1993	1,785.6	83.5	1,370	432	0.32	0.24
	1994	1,953.3	90.7	962	280	0.29	0.14
	1995	1,900.6	<b>85.5</b>	1,107	306	0.28	0.16
	1996 1997	1,758.4 1,856.7	79.3 86.6	1,610 1,546	455 241	0.28 0.16	0.26 0.13
	1998	1,869.8	85.9	1,809	275	0.15	0.15
	1999	2,064.2	92.3	1,478	239	0.16	0.12
	2000	2,196.9	97.4	959	194	0.20	0.09
	2001	2,301.5	97.8	719	59 405	0.08	0.03
	2002 2003	2,205.0 2,294.8	93.8 97.2	1,287 824	195 87	0.15 0.11	0.09 0.04
	2004	2,277.4	97.7	906	89	0.10	0.04
CALLAWAY 1	1985	967.4	90.0	964	36	0.04	0.04
Docket 50-483; NPF-30	1986	865.2	81.3	1,052	225	0.21	0.26
1st commercial operation 12/84	1987	759.0	71.1	1,082	393	0.36	0.52
Type - PWR Capacity - 1125 MWe	1988 1989	1,069.2 1,000.3	93.4 85.4	353 1,055	27 283	0.08 0.27	0.03 0.28
Capacity - 1125 MANAGE	1990	960.7	84.1	1,134	442	0.27	0.46
	1991	1,193.1	99.7	280	21	0.07	0.02
	1992	967.5	83.0	1,133	336	0.30	0.35
	1993	1,002.9	86.4	1,126	225	0.20	0.22
	1994 1995	1,196.4 989.6	100.0 84.7	191 1,062	14 187	0.07 0.18	0.01 0.19
	1996	1,066.0	90.5	980	248	0.15	0.13
	1997	1,022.2	100.0	248	12	0.05	0.01
	1998	972.2	91.3	929	201	0.22	0.21
	1999	981.3	88.7	1,098	321	0.29	0.33
	2000 2001	1,137.5 954.5	99.8 86.7	244 873	16 107	0.07 0.12	0.01 0.11
	2002	955.0	86.2	983	96	0.12	0.10
	2003	1,104.3	96.2	252	8	0.03	0.01
	2004	892.8	78.9	1,124	121	0.11	0.14
CALVERT CLIFFS 1, 2	1976	753.4	95.2	507	74	0.15	0.10
Docket 50-317, 50-318; DPR-53, -69	1977 1978	583.0 1,188.5	72.1 75.8	2,265 1,391	547 500	0.24 0.36	0. <del>94</del> 0.42
1st commercial operation	1979	1,160.5	74.0	1,428	805	0.56	0.42
5/75, 4/77	1980	1,309.9	84.1	1,496	677	0.45	0.52
Type - PWRs	1981	1,379.7	83.1	1,555	607	0.39	0.44
Capacity - 870, 858 MWe	1982	1,238.3	73.7	1,805	1,057	0.59	0.85
	1983 1984	1,397.2 1,389.4	81.6 79.3	1,915 1,369	668 479	0.35 0.35	0.48 0.34
	1985	1,389.4	68.4	1,598	694	0.33	0.58
	1986	1,530.0	87.2	1,296	347	0.43	0.23
	1987	1,207.3	71.8	1,384	412	0.30	0.34
	1988	1,397.7	81.0	1,296	291	0.22	0.21
	1989	333.6 161.1	20.1	1,786	346 304	0.19	1.04
	1990 1991	161.1 1,085.0	11.0 64.7	2,019 1,974	304 132	0.15 0.07	1.89 0.12
	1992	1,271.2	73.9	1,979	330	0.17	0.12
	1993	1,462.1	83.9	1,462	405	0.28	0.28
	1994	1,342.1	79.4	1,482	454	0.31	0.34
	1995	1,542.8	89.9	1,203	235	0.20	0.15

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
CALVERT CLIFFS 1, 2	1996	1,438.5	82.4	1,167	239	0.20	0.17
(continued)	1997	1,499.6	89.1	1,091	229	0.21	0.15
	1998	1,523.1	89.3	1,042	187	0.18	0.12
	1999 2000	1,521.4 1,575.7	90.1 92.7	1,134 912	192 135	0.17 0.15	0.13 0.09
	2001	1,575.7	91.7	895	167	0.19	0.09
	2002	1,380.0	81.7	1,582	245	0.16	0.18
	2003	1,558.4	90.9	1,671	265	0.16	0.17
	2004	1,653.7	95.7	1,205	144	0.12	0.09
CATAWBA 1, 2	1986 1987	638.9	49.9 75.9	1,724 1,865	286 449	0.17	0.45 0.27
Docket 50-413, 50-414; NPF-35, NPF-52	1988	1,651.2 1,675.2	75. <del>9</del> 77.2	2,009	556	0.24 0.28	0.27
1st commercial operation	1989	1,733.6	79.5	1,660	334	0.20	0.19
6/85, 8/86	1990	1,616.3	70.8	2,174	809	0.37	0.50
Type - PWRs	1991	1,691.5	74.6	1,871	462	0.25	0.27
Capacity - 1129, 1129 MWe	1992	1,962.8	83.9	1,515	414	0.27	0.21
	1993 1994	1,896.1 2,105.2	81.5 90.2	1,564 1,268	396 207	0.25 0.16	0.21 0.10
	1995	2.011.9	85.3	1,892	462	0.24	0.23
	1996	1,879.1	80.5	1,588	302	0.19	0.16
	1997	2,028.2	89.3	1,561	266	0.17	0.13
	1998	2,006.4	89.6	1,123	162 440	0.14	80.0
	1999 2000	2,046.7 2.038.3	90.2 90.3	1,024 1,185	119 187	0.12 0.16	0.06 0.09
	2001	2,119.9	92.9	960	116	0.12	0.06
	2002	2,238.0	97.2	884	81	0.09	0.04
	2003	1,991.8	89.2	1,409	211	0.15	0.11
	2004	2,111.4	93.0	1,123	123	0.11	0.06
CLINTON Docket 50-461; NPF-62	1988 1989	701.3 348.3	84.2 48.5	769 1,19 <b>6</b>	130 372	0.17 0.31	0.19 1.07
1st commercial operation 11/87	1990	435.8	46.5 55.1	1,390	572 553	0.31	1.07
Type - BWR	1991	722.7	80.8	1,010	233	0.23	0.32
Capacity - 1022 MWe	1992	589.7	68.6	1,195	431	0.36	0.73
	1993	701.5	79.6	1,253	498	0.40	0.71
	1994 1995	883.3 731.1	94.8 83.0	409 1,182	63 316	0.15 0.27	0.07 0.43
	1996	634.7	66.7	1,154	350	0.27	0.43
	1997	0.0	0.0	738	172	0.23	-
	1998	0.0	0.0	866	177	0.17	-
	1999	537.0	63.5	637	87	0.14	0.16
	2000 2001	784.2 896.8	87.8 98.5	1,248 329	253 34	0.20 0.10	. 0.32 0.04
	2002	872.0	90.5	1,418	208	0.15	0.04
	2003	990.5	99.1	372	57	0.15	0.06
	2004	910.8	92.6	1,622	283	0.17	0.31
COLUMBIA GENERATING <sup>3</sup>	1985	616.0	87.6	755	119	0.16	0.19
Docket 50-397; NPF-21	1986	616.0 639.0	74.4	1,013	222	0.22	0.36
1st commercial operation 12/84 Type - BWR	1987 1988	707.7	70.8 71.8	1,201 1,050	406 353	0.34 0.34	0.64 0.50
Capacity - 1107 MWe	1989	727.2	78.3	1,299	492	0.38	0.68
	1990	684.7	67.5	1,348	536	0.40	0.78
	1991	508.5	50.3	1,088	387	0.36	0.76
	1992	682.3	65.6	1,489	612	0.41	0.90
	1993 1994	849.6 803.8	79.5 75.2	1,385 1,870	469 866	0.34 0.46	0.55 1.08
	1995	824.7	83.8	1,694	456	0.40	0.55
	1996	662.9	82.2	1,453	373	0.26	0.56
	1997	697.0	72.7	1,218	251	0.21	0.36
	1998	789.5	75.3	1,220	286	0.23	0.36

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Energy Northwest has changed the name of Washington Nuclear 2 to Columbia Generating Station.

Reporting Organization   Year   Wars   Wars   Vest   Wars   Vest   Wars   Vest   Ves		- · · · ·			Total Personnel		Average	
Reporting Organization   Year   Wil-yr   Factor   Doses   Dose   Gems   Wil-yr					with		Measurable	
COLUMBIA GENERATING <sup>1</sup> 1999 694.7 70.0 1.022 155 0.15 0.22 (continued) 797.6 96.3 70.6 53 0.08 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.00 979.6 96.3 70.6 53 0.08 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.05 0.	Reporting Organization	Voor						
(continued)		•						
2001 939.3 88.1 1.515 227 0.15 0.24 2002 1,023.0 97.5 647 47 0.07 0.05 667 47 0.07 0.05 668 0.09 0.06 66.0 9 81.8 1.618 205 0.13 0.24 0.24 0.026 0.020 1,022.5 94.6 716 66 0.09 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06								
2002   1,023.0   97.5   647   47   0.07   0.05	(conunced)							
2003								
DOMANCHE PEAK 1, 2				81.8				
Docket 50-445, 50-446;   1992								
NPF-87, 89								
1st commercial operation         1894         1,750.0         93.7         970         90         0.09         0.05           Type - PWR         1896         1,804.8         81.4         1,462         288         0.20         0.16           Capacity - 1150, 1150 MWe         1897         2,002.4         33.4         870         148         0.17         0.07           1998         2,037.8         94.9         967         232         0.24         0.11           1999         1,815.5         90.9         1,316         251         0.19         0.13           2000         2,104.7         95.3         759         78         0.10         0.04           2001         2,085.9         94.7         85.3         115         0.13         0.06           2003         2,020.6         91.6         639         66         0.10         0.03           2004         2,169.5         95.1         86.9         1.16         0.09         0.14           Docket 50-315; DPR-58, -74         1977         573.0         76.1         83.1         395         116         0.29         0.14           Docket 50-315; DPR-58, -74         1978         744.8         73.6								
Type - PWR								
Capacity - 1150, 1150 MWe 1997	8/90, 8/93							
1998   2,037.8   94.9   967   232   0.24   0.11     1999   1,981.5   50.9   1,316   251   0.19   0.13     2000   2,045.9   94.7   85.3   115   0.13   0.06     2001   2,085.9   94.7   85.3   115   0.13   0.06     2002   1,887.0   86.9   1,106   225   0.20   0.12     2003   2,020.6   91.6   639   66   0.10   0.03     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2004   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06     2005   1977   573.0   76.1   802   300   0.37   0.52     218   278   278   278   278   278   278   278   278   278     219   1,373.0   65.3   1,445   718   0.50   0.52     219   1,373.0   65.3   1,445   718   0.50   0.52     219   2,441								
1999   1,981.5   90.9   1,316   251   0.19   0.13   2000   2,104.7   95.3   759   78   0.10   0.04   2001   2,2065.9   94.7   85.3   115   0.13   0.06   2002   1,887.0   86.9   1,106   225   0.20   0.12   2003   2,020.6   91.6   639   66   0.10   0.03   2,020.6   2,169.5   95.1   864   135   0.16   0.06   0.06   2.00   0.16   0.06   0	Capacity - 1150, 1150 Mive							
2000 2,104.7 85.3 759 78 0.10 0.04 2001 2,065.9 94.7 853 115 0.13 0.06 2002 1,887.0 86.9 1,106 225 0.20 0.12 0.03 2,020.6 91.6 639 66 0.10 0.03 2002 1,887.0 86.9 1,106 225 0.20 0.12 0.06 2003 2,020.6 91.6 639 66 0.10 0.03 2004 2,169.5 95.1 864 135 0.16 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06								
2002   1,887,0   86,9   1,106   225   0,20   0,12			2,104.7	95.3		78		
2003   2,020.6   91.6   639   66   0.10   0.03					853 4 400			
COOK 1, 2								
COOK 1, 2         1976         807.4         83.1         395         116         0.29         0.14           Docket 50-315; DPR-58, -74         1977         573.0         76.1         802         300         0.37         0.52           1st commercial operation         1978         744.8         73.6         778         336         0.43         0.45           8/75, 778         1980         1,552.4         74.1         1,345         718         0.50         0.52           Type - PWRs         1980         1,552.4         74.1         1,345         493         0.37         0.32           Capacity - 1000, 1060 MWe         1981         1,557.3         73.4         1,341         656         0.49         0.42           1982         1,461.6         69.8         1,527         699         0.46         0.48           1983         1,456.5         71.2         1,418         658         0.46         0.45           1984         1,526.0         75.3         1,559         762         0.49         0.50           1986         1,307.1         73.4         1,774         745         0.42         0.57           1986         1,616.4         63.5								
1st commercial operation         1978         744.8         73.6         778         336         0.43         0.45           875, 778         1979         1,373.0         65.3         1,445         718         0.50         0.52           Type - PWRS         1980         1,552.4         74.1         1,345         493         0.37         0.32           Capacity - 1000, 1060 MWe         1981         1,557.3         73.4         1,341         656         0.49         0.42           1982         1,461.6         69.8         1,527         699         0.46         0.48           1983         1,456.5         71.2         1,418         658         0.46         0.45           1985         925.4         47.6         1,984         945         0.48         1.02           1986         1,307.1         73.4         1,774         745         0.42         0.57           1987         1,199.5         70.2         1,696         666         0.39         0.56           1988         1,160.4         63.5         2,266         867         0.38         0.75           1989         1,318.5         67.9         1,851         699         0.08	COOK 1, 2				395			
### State		1977	573.0	76.1		300	0.37	. 0.52
Type - PWRs Capacity - 1000, 1060 MWe 1981 1,557.3 73.4 1,341 656 0.49 0.42 1982 1,461.6 69.8 1,527 699 0.46 0.48 1983 1,456.5 71.2 1,418 658 0.46 0.45 1984 1,526.0 75.3 1,559 762 0.49 0.50 1985 925.4 47.6 1,984 945 0.48 1.02 1986 1,307.1 73.4 1,744 745 0.42 0.57 1987 1,199.5 70.2 1,696 666 0.39 0.56 1988 1,160.4 63.5 2,266 867 0.38 0.75 1989 1,433.1 72.8 1,575 493 0.31 0.34 1990 1,318.5 67.9 1,851 580 0.31 0.44 1991 1,837.4 90.2 815 69 0.08 0.04 1992 760.9 50.8 1,954 492 0.25 0.65 1993 1,927.7 98.5 587 44 0.07 0.02 1994 1,105.2 65.2 1,748 479 0.27 0.43 1995 1,656.0 82.1 1,310 203 0.15 0.12 1996 1,938.9 92.7 1,114 214 0.19 0.11 1997 1,189.7 1,199.7 59.7 1,864 550 0.30 0.46 1999 0.0 0.0 1,155 105 0.09 — 1999 0.0 0.0 1,1652 171 0.10 — 2000 560.1 28.1 2,506 338 0.14 0.60 2001 1,794.3 89.2 423 27 0.06 0.02 2002 1,756.0 87.3 1,624 278 0.17 0.16 2003 1,557.6 75.7 1,408 210 0.15 0.13 1997 1,550.0 87.3 1,624 278 0.17 0.16 2004 1,909.2 91.4 1,015 156 0.15 0.08  COOPER STATION 1975 456.4 83.6 579 117 0.20 0.26 Docket 50-298; DPR-46 1976 433.3 75.5 763 350 0.46 0.81 1st commercial operation 7/74 1977 538.2 86.2 315 198 0.63 0.37 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 1981 457.1 71.2 935 579 0.62 1.27 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87								
Capacity - 1000, 1060 MWe 1981 1,557.3 73.4 1,341 656 0.49 0.42 1982 1,461.6 69.8 1,527 699 0.46 0.48 1983 1,456.5 71.2 1,418 658 0.46 0.45 1984 1,526.0 75.3 1,559 762 0.49 0.50 1985 925.4 47.6 1,984 945 0.48 1.02 1986 1,307.1 73.4 1,774 745 0.42 0.57 1987 1,199.5 70.2 1,696 666 0.39 0.56 1988 1,160.4 63.5 2,266 867 0.38 0.75 1988 1,433.1 72.8 1,575 493 0.31 0.34 1990 1,318.5 67.9 1,851 580 0.31 0.44 1991 1,837.4 90.2 815 69 0.08 0.04 1992 760.9 50.8 1,954 492 0.25 0.65 1993 1,927.7 98.5 587 44 0.07 0.02 1994 1,105.2 65.2 1,748 479 0.27 0.43 1995 1,656.0 82.1 1,310 203 0.15 0.12 1996 1,938.9 92.7 1,114 214 0.19 0.11 1997 1,189.7 59.7 1,864 550 0.30 0.46 1998 0.0 0.0 1,662 171 0.10 — 2000 560.1 28.1 2,506 338 0.14 0.60 2001 1,794.3 89.2 423 27 0.06 0.02 2002 1,756.0 87.3 1,624 278 0.17 0.16 0.02 2003 1,557.6 75.7 1,408 210 0.15 0.09 — 2004 1,999.2 91.4 1,015 156 0.15 0.08 0.45 0.08 0.45 0.08 0.04 1,999.2 91.4 1,015 156 0.15 0.08 0.02 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05				65.3				
1982								
1884								
1986 1,307.1 73.4 1,774 745 0.42 0.57 1986 1,307.1 73.4 1,774 745 0.42 0.57 1987 1,199.5 70.2 1,696 666 0.39 0.56 1988 1,160.4 63.5 2,266 867 0.38 0.75 1989 1,433.1 72.8 1,575 493 0.31 0.34 1990 1,318.5 67.9 1,851 580 0.31 0.34 1991 1,837.4 90.2 815 69 0.08 0.04 1992 760.9 50.8 1,954 492 0.25 0.65 1993 1,927.7 98.5 587 44 0.07 0.02 1994 1,105.2 65.2 1,748 479 0.27 0.43 1995 1,656.0 82.1 1,310 203 0.15 0.12 1996 1,938.9 92.7 1,114 214 0.19 0.11 1997 1,189.7 59.7 1,864 550 0.30 0.46 1998 0.0 0.0 1,155 105 0.09 — 1999 0.0 0.0 1,662 171 0.10 — 1999 0.0 0.0 1,662 171 0.10 — 2000 560.1 28.1 2,506 338 0.14 0.60 2001 1,794.3 89.2 423 27 0.06 0.02 2002 1,756.0 87.3 1,624 278 0.17 0.16 2003 1,557.6 75.7 1,408 210 0.15 0.13 2004 1,909.2 91.4 1,015 156 0.15 0.08  COOPER STATION 1975 456.4 83.6 579 117 0.20 0.26 Docket 50-298; DPR-46 1976 433.3 75.5 763 350 0.46 0.81 1st commercial operation 7774 1977 538.2 86.2 315 198 0.63 0.37 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Capacity - 764 MWe 1979 591.0 87.6 426 221 0.52 0.37 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26			1,456.5	71.2				
1986 1,307.1 73.4 1,774 745 0.42 0.57 1987 1,199.5 70.2 1,696 666 0.39 0.56 1988 1,160.4 63.5 2,266 867 0.38 0.75 1989 1,433.1 72.8 1,575 493 0.31 0.34 1990 1,318.5 67.9 1,851 580 0.31 0.44 1991 1,837.4 90.2 815 69 0.08 0.04 1992 760.9 50.8 1,954 492 0.25 0.65 1993 1,927.7 98.5 587 44 0.07 0.02 1994 1,105.2 65.2 1,748 479 0.27 0.43 1995 1,656.0 82.1 1,310 203 0.15 0.12 1996 1,938.9 92.7 1,114 214 0.19 0.11 1997 1,189.7 59.7 1,864 550 0.30 0.46 1998 0.0 0.0 1,155 105 0.30 0.46 1999 0.0 0.0 1,662 171 0.10 — 2000 560.1 28.1 2,506 338 0.14 0.60 2001 1,794.3 89.2 423 27 0.06 0.02 2002 1,756.0 87.3 1,624 278 0.17 0.16 2003 1,557.6 75.7 1,408 210 0.15 0.13 2004 1,909.2 91.4 1,015 156 0.15 0.08  COOPER STATION 1975 456.4 83.6 579 117 0.20 0.26 1980 448.3 75.5 763 350 0.46 0.81 1st commercial operation 7/74 1977 538.2 86.2 315 198 0.63 0.37 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Capacity - 764 MWe 1979 591.0 87.6 426 221 0.52 0.37 1980 448.3 71.2 785 859 1.09 1.92 1981 457.1 71.2 935 579 0.62 1.27 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26					1,559			
1987								
1988 1,160.4 63.5 2,266 867 0.38 0.75 1989 1,433.1 72.8 1,575 493 0.31 0.34 1990 1,318.5 67.9 1,851 580 0.31 0.44 1991 1,837.4 90.2 815 69 0.08 0.04 1992 760.9 50.8 1,954 492 0.25 0.65 1993 1,927.7 98.5 587 44 0.07 0.02 1994 1,105.2 65.2 1,748 479 0.27 0.43 1995 1,656.0 82.1 1,310 203 0.15 0.12 1996 1,938.9 92.7 1,114 214 0.19 0.11 1997 1,189.7 59.7 1,864 550 0.30 0.46 1998 0.0 0.0 1,155 105 0.09 — 1999 0.0 0.0 1,662 171 0.10 — 2000 560.1 28.1 2,506 338 0.14 0.60 2001 1,794.3 89.2 423 27 0.06 0.02 2002 1,756.0 87.3 1,624 278 0.17 0.16 2003 1,557.6 75.7 1,408 210 0.15 0.13 2004 1,909.2 91.4 1,015 156 0.15 0.08  COOPER STATION 1975 456.4 83.6 579 117 0.20 0.26 Docket 50-298; DPR-46 1976 433.3 75.5 763 350 0.46 0.81 1st commercial operation 7/74 1977 538.2 86.2 315 198 0.63 0.37 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Capacity - 764 MWe 1979 591.0 87.6 426 221 0.52 0.37 1980 448.3 71.2 785 859 1.09 1.92 1981 457.1 71.2 935 579 0.62 1.27 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87								
1990		1988		63.5	2,266		0.38	
1991								
1992   760.9   50.8   1,954   492   0.25   0.65     1993   1,927.7   98.5   587   44   0.07   0.02     1994   1,105.2   65.2   1,748   479   0.27   0.43     1995   1,656.0   82.1   1,310   203   0.15   0.12     1996   1,938.9   92.7   1,114   214   0.19   0.11     1997   1,189.7   59.7   1,864   550   0.30   0.46     1998   0.0   0.0   1,155   105   0.09   —     1999   0.0   0.0   1,662   171   0.10   —     2000   560.1   28.1   2,506   338   0.14   0.60     2001   1,794.3   89.2   423   27   0.06   0.02     2002   1,756.0   87.3   1,624   278   0.17   0.16     2003   1,557.6   75.7   1,408   210   0.15   0.13     2004   1,909.2   91.4   1,015   156   0.15   0.08      COOPER STATION   1975   456.4   83.6   579   117   0.20   0.26     Docket 50-298; DPR-46   1976   433.3   75.5   763   350   0.46   0.81     1st commercial operation 7/74   1977   538.2   86.2   315   198   0.63   0.37     Type - BWR   1978   576.0   91.0   297   158   0.63   0.37     Type - BWR   1978   576.0   91.0   297   158   0.53   0.27     Capacity - 764 MWe   1979   591.0   87.6   426   221   0.52   0.37     1980   448.3   71.2   785   859   1.09   1.92     1981   457.1   71.2   935   579   0.62   1.27     1982   622.3   84.6   743   542   0.73   0.87     1983   396.6   63.3   1,383   1,293   0.93   3.26								
1993   1,927.7   98.5   587   44   0.07   0.02     1994   1,105.2   65.2   1,748   479   0.27   0.43     1995   1,656.0   82.1   1,310   203   0.15   0.12     1996   1,938.9   92.7   1,114   214   0.19   0.11     1997   1,189.7   59.7   1,864   550   0.30   0.46     1998   0.0   0.0   1,155   105   0.09   —     1999   0.0   0.0   1,662   171   0.10   —     2000   560.1   28.1   2,506   338   0.14   0.60     2001   1,794.3   89.2   423   27   0.06   0.02     2002   1,756.0   87.3   1,624   278   0.17   0.16     2003   1,557.6   75.7   1,408   210   0.15   0.13     2004   1,909.2   91.4   1,015   156   0.15   0.08      COOPER STATION   1975   456.4   83.6   579   117   0.20   0.26     Docket 50-298; DPR-46   1976   433.3   75.5   763   350   0.46   0.81     1st commercial operation 7/74   1977   538.2   86.2   315   198   0.63   0.37     Type - BWR   1978   576.0   91.0   297   158   0.53   0.27     Capacity - 764 MWe   1979   591.0   87.6   426   221   0.52   0.37     1980   448.3   71.2   785   859   1.09   1.92     1981   457.1   71.2   935   579   0.62   1.27     1982   622.3   84.6   743   542   0.73   0.87     1983   396.6   63.3   1,383   1,293   0.93   3.26								
1994								
1996				65.2				
1997					1,310			
1998   0.0   0.0   1,155   105   0.09			1,930.9		1.864			
2000   560.1   28.1   2,506   338   0.14   0.60		1998			1,155	105		-
2001   1,794.3   89.2   423   27   0.06   0.02					1,662	171		<del>_</del>
2002   1,756.0   87.3   1,624   278   0.17   0.16								
2003         1,557.6         75.7         1,408         210         0.15         0.13           2004         1,909.2         91.4         1,015         156         0.15         0.08           COOPER STATION         1975         456.4         83.6         579         117         0.20         0.26           Docket 50-298; DPR-46         1976         433.3         75.5         763         350         0.46         0.81           1st commercial operation 7/74         1977         538.2         86.2         315         198         0.63         0.37           Type - BWR         1978         576.0         91.0         297         158         0.53         0.27           Capacity - 764 MWe         1979         591.0         87.6         426         221         0.52         0.37           1980         448.3         71.2         785         859         1.09         1.92           1981         457.1         71.2         935         579         0.62         1.27           1982         622.3         84.6         743         542         0.73         0.87           1983         396.6         63.3         1,383         1,293								
Z004         1,909.2         91.4         1,015         156         0.15         0.08           COOPER STATION         1975         456.4         83.6         579         117         0.20         0.26           Docket 50-298; DPR-46         1976         433.3         75.5         763         350         0.46         0.81           1st commercial operation 7/74         1977         538.2         86.2         315         198         0.63         0.37           Type - BWR         1978         576.0         91.0         297         158         0.53         0.27           Capacity - 764 MWe         1979         591.0         87.6         426         221         0.52         0.37           1980         448.3         71.2         785         859         1.09         1.92           1981         457.1         71.2         935         579         0.62         1.27           1982         622.3         84.6         743         542         0.73         0.87           1983         396.6         63.3         1,383         1,293         0.93         3.26				75.7				
Docket 50-298; DPR-46       1976       433.3       75.5       763       350       0.46       0.81         1st commercial operation 7/74       1977       538.2       86.2       315       198       0.63       0.37         Type - BWR       1978       576.0       91.0       297       158       0.53       0.27         Capacity - 764 MWe       1979       591.0       87.6       426       221       0.52       0.37         1980       448.3       71.2       785       859       1.09       1.92         1981       457.1       71.2       935       579       0.62       1.27         1982       622.3       84.6       743       542       0.73       0.87         1983       396.6       63.3       1,383       1,293       0.93       3.26		2004	1,909.2	91.4	1,015			
1st commercial operation 7/74 1977 538.2 86.2 315 198 0.63 0.37 Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Capacity - 764 MWe 1979 591.0 87.6 426 221 0.52 0.37 1980 448.3 71.2 785 859 1.09 1.92 1981 457.1 71.2 935 579 0.62 1.27 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26								
Type - BWR 1978 576.0 91.0 297 158 0.53 0.27 Capacity - 764 MWe 1979 591.0 87.6 426 221 0.52 0.37 1980 448.3 71.2 785 859 1.09 1.92 1981 457.1 71.2 935 579 0.62 1.27 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26								
Capacity - 764 MWe     1979     591.0     87.6     426     221     0.52     0.37       1980     448.3     71.2     785     859     1.09     1.92       1981     457.1     71.2     935     579     0.62     1.27       1982     622.3     84.6     743     542     0.73     0.87       1983     396.6     63.3     1,383     1,293     0.93     3.26				60.∠ 91 ∩	313 297			
1980 448.3 71.2 785 859 1.09 1.92 1981 457.1 71.2 935 579 0.62 1.27 1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26	Capacity - 764 MWe	1979		87.6	426			
1982 622.3 84.6 743 542 0.73 0.87 1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26	- <del>-</del>	1980	448.3	71.2	785	859	1.09	1.92
1983 396.6 63.3 1,383 1,293 0.93 3.26								
			411.9	67.2	1,598	799	0.50	1.94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Energy Northwest has changed the name of Washington Nuclear 2 to Columbia Generating Station.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
COOPER STATION (continued)	1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	127.3 480.0 652.3 493.4 564.3 602.0 566.3 731.0 436.1 262.2 486.5 742.1 622.8 555.9 743.2 539.2 592.7 719.0	21.5 74.7 96.2 67.9 76.2 79.4 78.8 96.4 58.8 35.1 66.8 97.9 84.4 75.9 98.1 74.2 80.9 98.6	1,980 895 549 942 1,202 1,174 1,099 463 1,130 333 1,095 468 1,125 977 318 963 1,309 362	1,333 320 103 251 343 379 405 84 391 79 228 48 174 182 48 200 169 39	0.67 0.36 0.19 0.27 0.29 0.32 0.37 0.18 0.35 0.24 0.21 0.10 0.16 0.19 0.15 0.21 0.13 0.11	10.47 0.67 0.16 0.51 0.61 0.63 0.72 0.11 0.90 0.30 0.47 0.06 0.28 0.33 0.06 0.37 0.28 0.05
CRYSTAL RIVER 3 Docket 50-302; DPR-72 1st commercial operation 3/77 Type - PWR Capacity - 838 MWe	2003 2004 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	511.4 702.6 311.5 453.0 404.1 490.4 589.8 452.1 774.2 344.2 319.5 436.0 690.2 352.8 497.8 654.6 632.1 722.4 711.9 866.3 290.8 0.0 739.9 727.5 819.4 741.6 831.0 749.0 831.4	74.1 94.7 41.4 58.9 53.2 62.2 76.0 58.8 94.5 47.6 41.8 60.9 84.0 48.8 63.8 82.0 76.1 85.0 84.3 100.0 37.7 0.0 90.3 87.8 97.6 89.2 99.4 90.8 98.1	882 481 643 1,150 1,053 1,120 780 1,720 549 1,976 1,057 1,384 569 880 1,441 821 1,403 683 1,079 209 1,192 973 313 1,324 257 902 128 961 131	135 47 321 495 625 408 177 552 49 689 472 488 64 234 476 116 424 60 228 8 353 179 19 251 148 5 127 4	0.15 0.10 0.50 0.43 0.59 0.36 0.23 0.32 0.09 0.35 0.45 0.35 0.11 0.27 0.33 0.14 0.30 0.09 0.21 0.04 0.30 0.18 0.06 0.19 0.06 0.19 0.06 0.13 0.09	0.26 0.07 1.03 1.09 1.55 0.83 0.30 1.22 0.06 2.00 1.48 1.12 0.09 0.66 0.96 0.18 0.67 0.08 0.32 0.01 1.21 0.03 0.35 0.02 0.20 0.20 0.17
DAVIS-BESSE 1 Docket 50-346; NPF-3 1st commercial operation 7/78 Type - PWR Capacity - 882 MWe	1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988	326.4 381.0 256.4 531.4 390.8 592.1 518.5 238.3 3.3 618.0 144.1	48.7 67.0 36.2 67.4 51.5 73.0 62.5 31.2 1.3 89.6 27.1	421 304 1,283 578 1,350 718 1,088 718 981 625 1,183	48 30 154 58 164 80 177 71 124 47 307	0.11 0.10 0.12 0.10 0.12 0.11 0.16 0.10 0.13 0.08 0.26	0.15 0.08 0.60 0.11 0.42 0.14 0.34 0.30 37.58 0.08 2.13

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
DAVIS-BESSE 1	1989	880.0	98.6	404	38	0.09	0.04
(continued)	1990	500.0	56.7	1,377	489	0.36	0.98
	1991 1992	703.6 915.2	81.8	1,000 287	216	0.22	0.31
	1993	729.5	100.0 83.4	267 1,244	19 348	0.07 0.28	0.02 0.48
	1994	768.4	88.0	861	144	0.17	0.19
	1995	920.4	100.0	256	7	0.03	0.01
	1996	775.8	85.3	949	167	0.18	0.22
	1997	820.0	94.0	213	10	0.05	0.01
	1998 1999	699.8 841.3	83.2 95.6	980 397	155 28	0.16 0.07	0.22 0.03
	2000	770.8	87.3	1,109	168	0.07	0.22
	2001	875.6	100.0	119	6	0.05	0.01
	2002	106.0	12.6	1,983	403	0.20	3.81
	2003	0.0	0.0	1,047	220	0.21	
DIADIO CANVONIA O	2004	657.8	77.6	161	7	0.04	0.01
DIABLO CANYON 1, 2 Docket 50-275, 50-323;	1986 1987	641.5 1,688.6	80.6 83.0	1,260 1,170	304 336	0.24 0.2 <del>9</del>	0.47 0.20
DPR-80, DPR-82	1988	1,386.1	67.6	1,826	877	0.2 <del>9</del> 0.48	0.20
1st commercial operation	1989	1,899.0	87.5	1,646	465	0.28	0.24
5/85, 3/86	1990	1,952.6	91.0	1,441	323	0.22	0.17
Type - PWRs	1991	1,809.6	83.8	2,040	546	0.27	0.30
Capacity - 1087, 1087 MWe	1992 1993	1,995.7	90.9	1,850	459	0.25	0.23
	1993	2,008.6 1,832.6	91.4 83.3	1,508 2,317	281 590	0.19 0.26	0.14 0.32
	1995	1,950.3	90.0	1,615	286	0.18	0.15
	1996	2,003.6	90.7	1,462	176	0.12	0.09
	1997	1,948.7	92.7	1,331	219	0.17	0.11
	1998	1,955.1	92.8	1,313	173	0.13	0.09
	1999 2000	1,902.8 1,940.1	90.1 92.0	1,566 1,057	<del>449</del> 181	0.29 0.17	0.24 0.09
	2001	2,067.7	96.4	1,074	118	0.17	0.06
•	2002	1,860.0	88.4	1,016	149	0.15	0.08
	2003	1,970.7	91.6	1,004	135	0.13	0.07
	2004	1,736.3	83.5	1,230	254	0.21	0.15
DRESDEN 14, 2, 3	1969	99.7			286		2.87
Docket 50-010, 50-237, 50-249; DPR-2, -19, -25	1970 1971	163.1 394.5			143 715		0.88 1.81
1st commercial operation 7/60,	1972	1,243.7			728		0.59
6/70, 11/71	1973	1,112.2		1,341	939	0.70	0.84
Type - BWRs	1974	842.5	54.9	1,594	1,662	1.04	1.97
Capacity - (197), 850, 850 MWe	1975 1976	708.1	54.6	2,310	3,423	1.48	4.83
	1977	1,127.2 1,132.9	80.8 77.0	1,746 1,862	1,680 1,694	0.96 0.91	1.49 1.50
	1978	1,242.2	79.5	1,946	1,529	0.79	1.23
	1979	1,013.0	74.7	2,407	1,800	0.75	1.78
	1980	1,074.4	55.0	2,717	2,105	0.77	1.96
	1981	1,035.7	51.5	2,331	2,802	1.20	2.71
	1982 1983	1,085.3 913.6	77.9 65.6	2,572 2,854	2,923 3,582	1.14 1.26	2.69 3.92
	1984	789.8	55.3	2,261	1,774	0.78	2.25
	1985	903.0	64.5	2,817	1,686	0.60	1.87
	1986	740.5	52.6	3,111	2,668	0.86	3.60
	1987	933.9	74.0	2,052	1,145	0.56	1.23
	1988 1989	1,014.7 1,184.2	75.8	2,414	1,409	0.58	1.39
	1990	1,104.2	83.1 76.6	2,259 2,235	1,131 1,400	0.50 0.63	0.96 1.26
	1991	675.2	60.7	2,044	1,005	0.49	1.49
				-			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Dresden 1 has been shut down since 1978, and in 1985 it was decided that it would not be put in commercial operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
DRESDEN 14 , 2, 3	1992	872.4	75.4	1,812	619	0.34	0.71
(continued)	1993 1994	960.1 690.2	68.5 51.7	2,751 2,336	1,655 833	0.60 0.36	1.72 1.21
	1995	643.1	49.8	2,330 2,482	875	0.35	1.36
	1996	612.6	47.7	1,788	456	0.26	0.74
	1997	1,096.2	79.5	2,747	467	0.17	0.43
	1998	1,354.7	90.6	2,311	427	0.18	0.32
	1999 2000	1,410.9 1,506.4	92.5 97.3	3,243 2,341	591 262	0.18 0.11	0.42 0.17
	2001	1,427.4	94.5	2,769	401	0.11	0.17
	2002	1,547.0	95.7	2,819	355	0.13	0.23
	2003 2004	1,555.9 1,405.5	93.5 84.8	2,098 2,044	357 381	0.17 0.19	0.23 0.27
DUANE ARNOLD	1976	305.2	78.0	350	105	0.30	0.34
Docket 50-331; DPR-49	1977	353.6	78.9	538	299	0.56	0.85
1st commercial operation 2/75 Type - BWR	1978 1979	149.2 352.0	33.2 78.0	1,112 757	974 275	0.88 0.36	6.53 0.78
Capacity - 566 MWe	1980	339.1	73.3	1,108	671	0.61	1.98
	1981	277.7	69.8	1,286	790	0.61	2.84
	1982	278.5	74.7	524	229	0.44	0.82
	1983 1984	283.0 329.4	62.9 72.9	1,468 611	1,135 189	0.77 0.31	4.01 0.57
	1985	329.4 236.2	53.8	1,414	1,112	0.31	0.5 <i>1</i> 4.71
	1986	365.5	82.0	476	187	0.39	0.51
	1987	308.4	64.7	1,094	667	0.61	2.16
	1988	386.5	75.2	1,136	614	0.54	1.59
	1989 1990	388.5 367.4	79.0 75.8	425 1,460	194 861	0.46 0.59	0.50 2.34
	1991	503.7	94.5	336	202	0.60	0.40
	1992	416.5	81.9	1,043	502	0.48	1.21
	1993	393.4	79.5	1,043	407	0.39	1.03
	1994 1995	498.6 452.5	94.0 83.8	493 1,129	120 357	0.24 0.32	0.24 0.79
	1996	476.8	90.7	1,093	270	0.25	0.73
	1997	474.4	94.4	352	63	0.18	0.13
	1998	438.3	86.6	1,019	237	0.23	0.54
	1999 2000	416.6 507.3	84.3 98.4	834 317	201 44	0.24 0.14	0.48 0.09
	2001	439.5	86.8	898	138	0.15	0.03
	2002	522.0	94.4	319	35	0.11	0.07
	2003 2004	455.2 561.2	84.8 98.3	82 <del>9</del> 220	124 19	0.15 0.09	0.27 0.03
FARLEY 1, 2	1978	713.8	86.5	527	108	0.20	0.15
Docket 50-348, 50-364;	1979	211.0	28.6	1,227	643	0.52	3.05
NPF-2, -8	1980	557.3	69.3	1,330	435	0.33	0.78
1st commercial operation	1981	310.2	41.4	1,331	512	0.38	1.65
12/77, 7/81 Type - PWRs	1982 1983	1,271.5 1,356.5	79.2 83.0	1,453 1,938	484 1,021	0.33 0.53	0.38 0.75
Capacity - 851, 849 MWe	1984	1,447.0	86.6	2,046	902	0.44	0.62
	1985	1,368.2	81.1	2,551	79 <b>9</b>	0.31	0.58
	1986	1,409.4	83.8	2,314	858	0.37	0.61
	1987 1988	1,369.7 1,567.7	84.7 92.3	1,871 1,840	598 552	0.32 0.30	0.44 0.35
	1989	1,402.9	84.6	2,206	749	0.34	0.53
	1990	1,464.0	86.7	1,700	457	0.27	0.31
	1991	1,464.0	88.1	1,645	648	0.39	0.44
	1992	1,331.7	81.8	2,018	805	0.40	0.60

Dresden 1 has been shut down since 1978, and in 1985 it was decided that it would not be put in commercial operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
FARLEY 1, 2 (continued)	1993 1994 1995 1996 1997	1,455.5 1,587.2 1,311.2 1,549.2 1,449.7	88.3 93.0 83.8 90.9 89.0	1,264 1,035 1,574 1,150 1,105	333 250 460 232 278	0.26 0.24 0.29 0.20 0.25	0.23 0.16 0.35 0.15 0.19
•	1998	1,313.9	80.9	1,380	432	0.31	0.33
	1999	1,436.0	91.4	1,102	190	0.17	0.13
	2000	1,430.1	88.6	1,683	360	0.21	0.25
	2001	1,384.3	84.4	1,810	321	0.18	0.23
	2002	1,558.0	93.5	772	96	0.13	0.06
	2003	1,592.6	95.3	788	111	0.14	0.07
	2004	1,496.8	89.4	1,141	107	0.09	0.07
FERM! 2 Docket 50-341; NPF-43 1st commercial operation 1/88 Type - BWR Capacity - 1089 MWe	1989	624.0	68.5	1,270	255	0.20	0.41
	1990	848.2	84.7	462	83	0.18	0.10
	1991	739.0	77.0	1,223	228	0.19	0.31
	1992	874.3	81.3	1,213	245	0.20	0.28
	1993	984.3	92.9	360	35	0.10	0.04
Supposity - 1000 MINTE	1994 1995 1996 1997	0.0 618.3 577.5 637.0	2.2 86.9 69.1 66.6	1,130 390 1,402 623	213 28 157 49	0.19 0.07 0.11 0.08	0.05 0.27 0.08
	1998	815.8	79.9	1,362	208	0.15	0.25
	1999	1,082.7	99.5	461	36	0.08	0.03
	2000	939.6	87.6	1,266	146	0.12	0.15
	2001	975.0	90.9	1,202	169	0.14	0.17
	2002	1,059.0	98.7	463	38	0.08	0.04
	2003	925.3	86.9	1,207	168	0.14	0.18
	2004	962.3	90.0	1,302	145	0.11	0.15
FITZPATRICK Docket 50-333; DPR-59 1st commercial operation 7/75 Type - BWR Capacity - 813 MWe	1976	489.0	71.6	600	202	0.34	0.41
	1977	460.5	68.4	1,380	1,080	0.78	2.35
	1978	497.0	72.1	904	909	1.01	1.83
	1979	349.0	50.8	850	859	1.01	2.46
	1980	509.5	70.3	2,056	2,040	0.99	4.00
	1981	562.9	74.7	2,490	1,425	0.57	2.53
	1982	583.6	75.0	2,322	1,190	0.51	2.04
	1983	546.2	70.6	1,715	1,090	0.64	2.00
	1984	576.2	76.8	1,610	971	0.60	1.69
	1985	492.3	63.7	1,845	1,051	0.57	2.13
	1986	711.2	90.6	1,185	411	0.35	0.58
	1987	496.2	70.3	1,578	940	0.60	1.89
	1988	514.0	69.0	1,553	786	0.51	1.53
	1989	727.5	92.3	1,027	377	0.37	0.52
	1990	543.8	72.6	1,536	884	0.58	1.63
	1991	399.7	53.4	1,269	333	0.26	0.83
	1992	0.0	0.0	2,374	674	0.28	
	1993	559.6	81.7	1,427	232	0.16	0.41
	1994	588.4	83.2	1,595	322	0.20	0.55
	1994 1995 1996 1997 1998	569.8 623.3 756.2 562.8	74.5 83.1 95.9 78.0	1,395 1,249 1,384 662 1,781	327 327 357 91 358	0.26 0.26 0.14 0.20	0.55 0.57 0.57 0.12 0.64
	1999	749.7	95.5	558	68	0.12	0.09
	2000	685.9	88.4	1267	301	0.24	0.44
	2001	807.2	98.9	665	63	0.10	0.08
	2002	751.0	93.3	1,234	231	0.19	0.31
	2003	793.0	97.9	298	51	0.17	0.06
	2004	735.0	92.1	1,091	186	0.17	0.25

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
FORT CALHOUN	1975	252.3	67.4	469	294	0.63	1.17
Docket 50-285; DPR-40	1976	265.9	69.5	51 <del>6</del>	313	0.61	1.18
1st commercial operation 6/74	1977	351.8	79.4	535	297	0.56	0.84
Type - PWR	1978 1979	342.3	75.1 95.7	59 <b>6</b>	410	0.69	1.20
Capacity - 478 MWe	1980	440.0 242.3	95.7 60.4	451 891	126 668	0.28 0.75	0.29 2.76
	1981	260.9	72.3	82 <b>2</b>	458	0.56	1.76
	1982	418.0	89.7	604	217	0.36	0.52
	1983	330.4	73.1	860	433	0.50	1.31
	1984	279.2	59.9	913	563	0.62	2.02
	1985	367.0	73.7	982 758	37 <b>3</b>	0.38	1.02
	198 <b>6</b> 1987	431.8 366.0	94.3 75.4	756 1,247	75 388	0.10 0.31	0.17 1.06
	1988	315.5	74.1	1,594	272	0.17	0.86
	1989	395.7	89.2	1,210	93	0.08	0.24
	1990	290.0	64.2	760	290	0.38	1.00
	1991	391.1	91.7	284	57	0.20	0.15
	1992	303.4	65.9	802	272	0.34	0.90
	1993 1994	369.7 492.8	80. <b>8</b> 99.6	713 211	157 23	0.22 0.11	0.42 0.05
	1995	402.8	83.2	627	139	0.22	0.35
	1996	374.9	79.5	740	226	0.31	0.60
	1997	435.9	93.6	258	41	0.16	0.09
	1998	387.7	82.5	788	224	0.28	0.58
	1999	409.2	89.2	676	159	0.24	0.39
	2000 2001	443.8 401.2	93.5 88.3	249 770	35 226	0.14 0.29	0.08 0.56
	2002	434.0	92.3	742	164	0.22	0.38
	2003	399.6	87.0	914	212	0.23	0.53
	2004	463.5	97.0	215	22	0.10	0.05
GINNA	1971	327.8		340	430	1.26	1.31
Docket 50-244; DPR-18	1972	293.6		677 340	1,032	1.52	3.51
1st commercial operation 7/70 Type - PWR	1973 1974	409.5 253.7	62.4	319 884	224 1,225	0.70 1.39	0.55 4.83
Capacity - 480 MWe	1975	365.2	76.7	685	538	0.79	1.47
copulity is a minor	1976	248.8	58.2	758	636	0.84	2.56
	1977	365.6	85.5	530	401	0.76	1.10
	1978	386.5	80.6	657	450	0.68	1.16
	1979	355.0	72.8 76.0	878 4 073	592 708	0.67	1.67
	1980 1981	370.5 399.0	76.0 82.1	1,073 925	655	0.66 0.71	1.91 1.64
	1982	289.0	58.8	1,117	1,140	1.02	3.94
	1983	365.0	74.6	969	855	0.88	2.34
	1984	378.1	77.2	713	395	0.55	1.04
	1985	436.7	87.9	845	426	0.50	0.98
	1986	433.3	87.4	901 773	357	0.40	0.82
	1987 1988	459.0 423.1	91.5 87.4	773 897	344 295	0.45 0.33	0.75 0.70
	1989	369.2	75.9	1,254	605	0.33	1.64
	1990	414.3	84.4	991	347	0.35	0.84
	1991	418.6	86.7	947	328	0.35	0.78
	1992	417.6	86.9	832	261	0.31	0.63
	1993	419.6	86.3	85 <b>6</b>	193	0.23	0.46
	1994 1995	405.3 437.0	83.2 89.6	679 738	138 136	0.20 0.18	0.34 0.31
	1996	347.9	71.1	976	168	0.18	0.31
	1997	444.6	91.8	533	81	0.15	0.18
					-	· <del>-</del>	

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
GINNA	1998	491.8	100.0	161	15	0.09	0.03
(continued)	1999	403.4	85.6	641	175	0.27	0.43
	2000	434.2	91.6	429	76	0.18	0.18
	2001	488.0	100.0	140	10	0.07	0.02
	2002	438.0	91.3	535	80	0.15	0.18
•	2003	440.4	91.1	510	7 <u>5</u>	0.15	0.17
ODAND OUR E	2004	490.5	99.5	111	7	0.07	0.02
GRAND GULF Docket 50-416; NPF-29	1986 1987	494.7 920.7	60. <del>9</del> 82.2	1,486 1,358	436 420	0.29 0.31	0.88
1st commercial operation 7/85	1988	1,136.6	96.7	692	147	0.31 0.21	0.46 0.13
Type - BWR	1989	932.6	80.0	1,972	498	0.25	0.13
Capacity - 1207 MWe	1990	883.5	78.9	1,765	482	0.27	0.55
•	1991	1,085.2	94.0	699	94	0.13	0.09
	1992	969.0	83.7	2,032	484	0.24	0.50
	1993	936.4	81.5	1,807	332	0.18	0.35
	1994	1,143.2	96.6	455 4 580	56	0.12	0.05
	1995 1996	952.9 1,096.2	80.4 88.7	1,589 1,564	342 357	0.22 0.23	0.36
	1997	1,090.2	100.0	514	105	0.20	0.33 0.09
	1998	1,049.2	88.9	1,410	304	0.22	0.29
	1999	962.1	81.3	1,180	226	0.19	0.23
	2000	1,217.5	99.4	289	35	0.12	0.03
	2001	1,129.8	93.0	1,109	185	0.17	0.16
	2002	1,145.0	93.6	1,060	176	0.17	0.15
	2003	1,241.2	98.6	290	31	0.11	0.03
MADDAM NECK	2004	1,165.2	92.2	1,243	158 100	0.13	0.14
HADDAM NECK* Docket 50-213; DPR-61	1969 1970	438.5 424.7		138 734	106 689	0.77 0.94	0.24 1.62
1st commercial operation 1/68	1971	502.2		289	342	1.18	0.68
Type - PWR	1972	515.6		355	325	0.91	0.63
Capacity - (560) MWe	1973	293.1		951	697	0.73	2.38
	1974	521.4	91.2	550	201	0.37	0.39
	1975	494.3	89.9	<b>79</b> 5	703	0.88	1.42
	1976	482.9	82.5	644	449	0.70	0.93
	1977	480.7 563.4	83.9	894 346	641	0.72	1.33
	1978 1979	563.4 493.0	98.6 87.5	216 1,226	117 1,162	0.54 0.95	0.21 2.36
	1980	426.8	75.0	1,860	1,353	0.73	2.30 3.17
	1981	487.5	84.3	1,554	1,036	0.67	2.13
	1982	543.9	93.4	559	126	0.23	0.23
	1983	453.7	77.8	1,645	1,384	0.84	3.05
	1984	404.0	71.7	1,430	1,216	0.85	3.01
	1985 1986	556.1 294.8	98.4 53.6	384 1,945	101 1,567	0.26 0.81	0.18
	1987	304.6	54.0	1,763	750	0.43	5.32 2.46
	1988	397.4	70.3	735	237	0.32	0.60
	1989	356.4	67.2	1,455	596	0.41	1.67
	1990	142.7	32.2	979	421	0.43	2.95
	1991	444.4	76.4	1,168	590	0.51	1.33
	1992	465.2	80.1	797	202	0.25	0.43
	1993	448.6 455.6	81.6 77.7	1,004 463	408 425	0.41	0.91
	1994 1995	455.6 439.4	77.7 77.7	463 1,006	135 442	0.29 0.44	0.30 1.01
	1996	331.8	55.7	673	175	0.44	0.53
	1997	-1.3	0.0	219	11	0.05	
	1998	0.0	0.0	423	94	0.22	_
	1999	0.0	0.0	545	109	0.20	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Haddam Neck (also known as Connecticut Yankee) was shut down 12/4/96 and is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
HADDAM NECK <sup>8</sup> (continued)	2000 2001	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	55 <b>5</b> 361	262 95	0.47 0.26	_
(continued)	2002	0.0	0.0	258	52	0.20	_
	2003 2004	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	400 564	82 92	0.21 0.16	_
HARRIS 1	1988	652.9	75.0	721	169	0.23	0.26
Docket 50-400; NPF-63 1st commercial operation 5/87	198 <b>9</b> 1990	690.6 776.4	79.5 89.6	929 453	156 85	0.17 0.19	0.23 0.11
Type - PWR	1991	770. <del>4</del> 724.8	81.5	872	226	0.19	0.11
Capacity - 900 MWe	1992	661.8	74.9	930	213	0.23	0.32
	1993 1994	913.0 740.8	99.7 82.7	327 1,089	31 222	0.09 0.20	0.03 0.30
	1995	740.0 731.1	83.8	1,068	174	0.16	0.24
	1996	860.6	95.4	444	17	0.04	0.02
	1997 1998	673.6 766.2	80.4 90.4	1,131 931	149 133	0.13 0.14	0.22 0.17
	1999	827.0	97.9	247	16	0.06	0.17
	2000	783.0	92.5	888	101	0.11	0.13
	2001 2002	611.2 892.0	72.4 99.4	1,586 145	252 7	0.16 0.05	0.41 0.01
	2002	823.9	93.2	78 <b>6</b>	68	0.09	0.08
	2004	797.9	88.2	747	57	0.08	0.07
HATCH 1, 2	1976	496.3	83.8	630	134	0.21	0.27
Docket 50-321, 50-366; DPR-57; NPF-05	1977 1978	446.8 513.0	66.3 72.8	1,303 1,304	465 248	0.36 0.19	1.04 0.48
1st commercial operation	1979	401.0	54.6	2,131	582	0.27	1.45
12/75, 9/79	1980	1,008.7	70.9	1,930	449	0.23	0.45
Type - BWRs Capacity - 869, 883 MWe	1981 1982	870.9 768.0	64.3 56.6	2,899 3,418	1,337 1,460	0.46 0.43	1.54 1.90
Capacity - 003, 000 invite	1983	934.7	68.6	3,428	1,299	0.38	1.39
	1984	658.6	47.3	4,110	2,218	0.54	3.37
	1985 1986	1,211.0 872.0	79.6 64.8	2,841 3,486	818 1,497	0.29 0.43	0.68 1.72
	1987	1,295.4	89.7	2,202	816	0.37	0.63
	1988	1,001.4	70.4	2,509	1,401	0.56	1.40
	1989 1990	1,271.1 1,268.0	87.1 83.5	1,350 2,902	556 1,45 <b>5</b>	0.41 0.50	0.4 <del>4</del> 1.15
	1991	1,152.4	77.4	2,508	1,161	0.46	1.01
	1992	1,293.8	88.6	1,615	550	0.34	0.43
	1993 1994	1,189.6 1,289.0	85.5 87.1	1,733 2,243	669 864	0.3 <del>9</del> 0.39	0.56 0.67
	1995	1,376.3	90.6	1,458	488	0.33	0.35
	1996	1,519.6	94.0	1,495	441	0.29	0.29
	1997 1998	1,374.7 1,458.4	88.1 91.7	1,945 1,610	722 320	0.37 0.20	0.53 0.22
	1999	1,487.4	90.0	1,866	329	0.18	0.22
	2000	1,515.0	88.7	1,913	402	0.21	0.26
	2001 2002	1,603.0 1,600.0	93.5 94.0	1,407 1,299	230 214	0.16 0.17	0.14 0.13
	2003	1,606.3	94.5	1,295	168	0.13	0.10
	2004	1,641.3	95.3	1,209	180	0.15	0.11
HOPE CREEK 1	1987	869.2	86.4	589	117	0.20	0.13
Docket 50-354; NPF-57 1st commercial operation 12/86	1988 1989	832.7 791.1	80.7 77.8	1,734 1,873	287 465	0.17 0.25	0.34 0.59
Type - BWR	1990	966.4	91.6	1,394	196	0.14	0.20
Capacity - 1049 MWe	1991 1992	882.5 841.9	84.2	1,700	373 436	0.22	0.42 0.52
	1992	1,049.2	80.8 97.8	1,694 688	430 98	0.26 0.14	0.52
		-,					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Haddam Neck (also known as Connecticut Yankee) was shut down 12/4/96 and is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
HOPE CREEK 1	1994	852.0	81.2	1.779	326	0.18	0.38
(continued)	1995	844.5	79.8	1,571	196	0.10	0.38
(continued)	1996	806.9	77.4	1,069	158	0.15	0.20
	1997	731.8	77.8	1.747	350	0.20	0.48
	1998	993.2	98.0	620	55	0.09	0.06
	1999	879.1	86.7	1,111	279	0.25	0.32
	2000	827.8	87.9	1,236	188	0.15	0.23
	2001	918.2	91.1	1,532	156	0.10	0.17
	2002	1,007.0	99.2	220	26	0.12	0.03
	2003	826.6	84.6	1,597	139	0.09	0.17
	2004	688.6	71.3	2,440	240	0.10	0.35
HUMBOLDT BAY	1969	44.6		125	164	1.31	3.68
Docket 50-133; DPR-7	1970	49.3		115	209	1.82	4.24
1st commercial operation 8/63	1971	39.6		140	292	2.09	7.37
Type - BWR	1972	43.1		127	253	1.99	5.87
Capacity - (63) MWe	1973	50.1		210	266	1.27	5.31
	1974	43.4	83.8	296	318	1.07	7.33
	1975	45.3	83.9	<b>2</b> 65	339	1.28	7.48
	1976	23.5	46.4	523 4 063	683	1.31	29.06
	1977	0.0	0.0	1,063	1,905	1.79	_
	1978 1979	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	320 135	335 31	1.05 0.23	
	1980	0.0	0.0	142	22	0.23 0.15	
	1981	0.0	0.0	75	9	0.13	_
	1982	0.0	0.0	71	19	0.27	_
	1983	0.0	0.0	84	17	0.20	
	1993	0.0	0.0	24	1	0.04	
	1994	0.0	0.0	21	1	0.05	_
	1995	0.0	0.0	42	2	0.05	_
	1996	0.0	0.0	<b>66</b>	5	80.0	
	1997	0.0	0.0	105	16	0.15	_
	1998	0.0	0.0	38	1	0.03	_
	1999	0.0	0.0	28	1	0.04	-
	2000	0.0	0.0	20	1	0.05	_
	2001	0.0	0.0	10	0	0.04	_
	2002 2003	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	18 14	2 0	0.08 0.03	
	2003	0.0	0.0	11	Ö	0.03 0.04	
INDIAN POINT 17, 2, 38	1969	206.2	0.0		298	0.04	1.45
Docket 50-3. 50-247, 50-286;	1909	43.3			1.639		37.85
DPR-5, -26, -64	1971	43.3 154.0			768		4.99
1st commercial operation	1972	142.3			967		6.80
10/62, 8/74, 8/76	1973	0.0		2,998	5,262	1.76	-
Type - PWRs	1974	556.1	59.4	1.019	910	0.89	1.64
Capacity - (265), 956, 979 MWe	1975	584.4	74.8	891	705	0.79	1.21
	1976	273.9	34.8	1,590	1,950	1.23	7.12
	1977	1,278.3	75.3	1,391	1,070	0.77	0.84
	1978	1,172.3	67.8	1,909	2,006	1.05	1.71

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Humboldt Bay had been shut down since 1976, and in 1984 it was decided that it would not be placed in operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Indian Point 1 was defueled in 1975, and in 1984 it was decided that it would not be placed in operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Indian Point 3 was purchased by a different utility in 1979 and subsequently reported its dose separately. Although Indian Point 1, 2, and 3 have been owned by the same utility since 2001, Indian Point 3 still reports separately.

		Megawatt Years	Unit Availability	Total Personnel with Measurable	Collective	Average Measurable Dose	Dose
Reporting Organization	Year	MW-yr	Factor	Doses	Dose	(rems)	MW-yr
INDIAN POINT 17, 2 Docket 50-3, 50-247; DPR-05, -26 1st commercial operation 10/62, 8/74 Type - PWRs Capacity - (265), 956 MWe	1979 1980 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	574.0 510.8 532.4 702.6 416.7 791.4 457.5 611.4 719.3 532.5 618.0 461.2 930.9 702.1 903.8 582.4 927.8	71.4 64.8 65.4 84.0 51.9 95.7 56.2 73.4 86.9 64.6 66.6 55.7 99.1 75.7 100.0 70.8 94.8	1,349 1,577 2,144 1,057 2,919 708 1,926 1,980 890 2,093 1,061 1,810 489 1,514 381 1,690 388	1,279 971 1,635 486 2,644 192 1,250 1,217 235 1,436 608 1,468 97 675 48 548	0.95 0.62 0.76 0.46 0.91 0.27 0.65 0.61 0.26 0.69 0.57 0.81 0.20 0.45 0.13 0.32	2.23 1.90 3.07 0.69 6.35 0.24 2.73 1.99 0.33 2.70 0.98 3.18 0.10 0.96 0.05 0.94 0.06
INDIAN POINT 17 Docket 50-3; DPR-05	1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	360.6 282.8 831.8 115.4 887.2 860.0 953.0	45.1 31.5 88.2 13.0 97.2 91.3 98.9	1,340 1,154 350 2,003 399 1,361 241	367 290 41 567 22 248 12	0.27 0.25 0.12 0.28 0.06 0.18 0.05	1.02 1.03 0.05 4.90 0.02 0.29 0.01
1st commercial operation 10/62 Type - PWR Capacity - (265) MWe							
INDIAN POINT 2 Docket 50-247; DPR-26 1st commercial operation 8/74 Type - PWR Capacity - 956 MWe	2004	855.3	91.0	1,136	196	0.17	0.23
INDIAN POINT 3 <sup>a</sup> Docket 50-286; DPR-64 1st commercial operation 8/76 Type - PWR Capacity - 979 MWe	1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999	574.0 367.3 367.5 171.5 7.8 714.4 566.5 655.3 574.6 792.5 587.8 595.3 862.8 561.7 140.5 0.0 174.8 695.3 495.1 874.0 829.8	66.5 53.2 59.8 22.5 2.6 76.3 66.0 73.4 62.7 83.3 61.1 62.9 87.5 61.4 14.9 0.0 21.4 74.8 54.9 95.3 88.3	808 977 677 1,477 941 658 1,093 588 1,308 451 1,800 1,066 299 1,003 478 529 638 289 1,608 213 893	636 308 364 1,226 607 230 570 202 500 93 876 358 40 212 60 58 67 22 234 15	0.79 0.32 0.54 0.83 0.65 0.35 0.52 0.34 0.38 0.21 0.49 0.34 0.13 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.08 0.15 0.07 0.13	1.11 0.84 0.99 7.15 77.82 0.32 1.01 0.31 0.87 0.12 1.49 0.60 0.05 0.38 0.43  0.38 0.43  0.38 0.43

Indian Point 1 was defueled in 1975, and in 1984 it was decided that it would not be placed in operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Indian Point 3 was purchased by a different utility in 1979 and subsequently reported its dose separately. Although Indian Point 1, 2, and 3 have been owned by the same utility since 2001, Indian Point 3 still reports separately.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
INDIAN POINT 3 <sup>s</sup>	2000	960.0	99.3	143	9	0.06	0.01
(continued)	2001	903.9	93.1	1,014	118	0.12	0.13
	2002	960.0	98.5	156	7	0.04	0.01
	2003	866.2	89.8	902	96	0.11	0.11
METALS LANGE	2004	995.8	100.0	234	4	0.02	
KEWAUNEE Docket 50-305; DPR-43	1975 1976	401.9 405.9	88.2 78.9	104 381	28 270	0.27 0.71	0.07 0.67
1st commercial operation 6/74	1977	425.0	76.9 79.9	312	140	0.45	0.33
Type - PWR	1978	466.6	89.5	335	154	0.46	0.33
Capacity - 556 MWe	1979	412.0	79.0	343	127	0.37	0.31
•	1980	433.8	82.1	401	165	0.41	0.38
	1981	451.8	86.7	383	141	0.37	0.31
	1982	458.4	87.6	353	101	0.29	0.22
	1983	444.1 455.2	83.7 85.7	445 483	165	0.37	0.37
	1984 1985	455.3 443.1	85.7 82.4	482 519	139 176	0.29 0.34	0.31 0.40
	1986	461.7	85.8	502	169	0.34	0.43
	1987	480.0	89.7	755	226	0.30	0.47
	1988	467.5	88.3	705	210	0.30	0.45
	1989	449.1	84.9	570	239	0.42	0.53
	1990	468.8	87.9	490	145	0.30	0.31
	1991	441.8	83.4	495	221	0.45	0.50
	1992 1993	471.4 457.1	88.0 86.8	450 436	122 106	0.27 0.24	0.26 0.23
	1993	437.1 475.6	88.8	450 364	72	0.24	0.23 0.15
	1995	455.6	87.8	415	109	0.26	0.13
	1996	380.4	71.8	474	126	0.27	0.33
	1997	269.8	56.0	278	56	0.20	0.21
	1998	423.0	87.2	284	88	0.23	0.21
	1999	505.1	100.0	103	5	0.05	0.01
	2000 2001	432.6	88.8	394	100	0.25	0.23
	2001	394.1 509.0	80.8 97.4	1,110 102	200 4	0.18 0.04	0.51 0.01
	2003	473.5	90.5	439	73	0.17	0.15
	2004	441.0	81.0	565	91	0.16	0.21
LACROSSE'	1970	15.3			111	-	7.25
Docket 50-409; DPR-45	1971	323.1		218	158	0.72	0.49
1st commercial operation 11/69	1972	29.2			151	1.14	5.17
Type - BWR	1973	24.4 27.0	04.0	445	157	1.41	6.43
Capacity - (48) MWe	1974 1975	37. <del>9</del> 32.0	81.0 69.6	115 165	139 234	1.21 1.42	3.67 7.31
	1976	21.2	47.6	118	110	0.93	5.19
	1977	11.3	33.7	141	225	1.60	19.91
	1978	21.6	62.0	182	164	0.90	7.59
	1979	24.0	71.8	153	186	1.22	7.75
	1980	26.4	68.5	124	218	1.76	8.26
	1981 1982	29.6 17.2	76.0 44.6	187 148	123 205	0.66	4.16 11.92
	1982	17.2 24.8	44.6 59.7	160	205 313	1.39 1.96	11.92 12.62
	1984	38.5	80.5	288	252	0.88	6.55
	1985	<b>39.2</b>	86.7	373	173	0.46	4.41
	1986	19.6	46.1	260	290	1.12	14.80
	1987	0.0	0.0	127	68	0.54	-
	1993	0.0	0.0	48	8	0.17	_
	1994	0.0	0.0	65	8	0.12	_

Indian Point 3 was purchased by a different utility in 1979 and subsequently reported its dose separately. Although Indian Point 1, 2, and 3 have been owned by the same utility since 2001, Indian Point 3 still reports separately.

LaCrosse ended commercial operation in 1987 and will not be put in commercial operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
LACROSSE*	1995	0.0	0.0	31	3	0.10	
(continued)	1996	0.0	0.0	25	4	0.15	_
	1997 1998	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	23 27	2	0.0 <del>9</del> 0.07	
	1999	0.0	0.0	66	2 2 4	0.06	
	2000	0.0	0.0	37	4	0.10	_
	2001	0.0	0.0	45	3	0.06	
	2002 2003	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	47 65	3 2 2	0.05 0.03	<del></del>
	2004	0.0	0.0	5 <b>6</b>	1	0.03	_
LASALLE 1, 2	1984	677.8	77.8	1,245	252	0.20	0.37
Docket 50-373, -374;	1985	987.9	53.0	1,635	685	0.42	0.69
NPF-11, -18 1st commercial operation	1986 1987	929.5 1,030.0	50.6 59.3	1,614 1,744	89 <b>8</b> 1,39 <b>6</b>	0.56 0.80	0.97 1.36
1/84, 6/84	1988	1,317.6	71.6	2,737	2,471	0.90	1.88
Type - BWRs	1989	1,503.5	73.1	2,475	1,386	0.56	0.92
Capacity - 1111, 1111 MWe	1990	1,754.3	84.6	1,830	948	0.52	0.54
	1991 1992	1,837.0 1,447.4	86.7 72.0	1,985 2,418	806 1,167	0.41 0.48	0.4 <del>4</del> 0.81
	1993	1,542.0	76.0	1,701	854	0.50	0.55
	1994	1,580.0	77.6	1,812	726	0.40	0.46
	1995	1,696.6	82.1	1,623	512	0.32	0.30
	1996 1997	1,053.8 0.0	54.3 0.0	2,782 1,661	819 316	0.29 0.19	0.78 —
	1998	380.9	19.3	2,099	422	0.19	1.11
	1999	1,671.9	81.8	2,689	576	0.21	0.34
	2000	2,138.6	97.1	1,831	260	0.14	0.12
	2001 2002	2,223.8 2,040.0	98.9 92.1	535 2,012	83 450	0.15 0.22	0.04 0.22
	2002	2,100.2	94.8	2,253	464	0.21	0.22
	2004	2,162.1	96.0	2,366	359	0.15	0.17
LIMERICK 1, 2	1987	636.1	70.2	2,156	174	0.08	0.27
Docket 50-352, 50-353; NPF-39,-85	1988 1989	794.9 628.4	96.5 66.0	950 1,818	52 266	0.05 0.15	0.07 0.42
1st commercial operation	1990	1,527.7	78.2	1,422	175	0.13	0.42
2/86, 1/90	1991	1,810.9	86.8	1,151	106	0.09	0.06
Type - BWRs	1992	1,741.4	84.8	1,559	330	0.21	0.19
Capacity - 1134, 1134 MWe	1993 1994	1,913.2 1,944.4	91.6 94.9	1,287 1,543	217 275	0.17 0.18	0.11 0.14
	1995	1,957.1	93.0	1,543	260	0.16	0.13
	1996	2,026.2	93.3	1,654	234	0.14	0.12
	1997	2,001.7	95.8	1,463	234	0.16	0.12
	1998 1999	1,907.2 2,089.6	89.5 94.2	1,854 1,800	357 272	0.19 0.15	0.19 0.13
	2000	2,009.0 2,154.9	9 <del>4</del> .2 95.8	1,279	261	0.19	0.13
	2001	2,205.9	97.3	1,127	210	0.19	0.10
	2002	2,197.0	97.1	1,248	160	0.13	0.07
	2003 2004	2.213.6 2,218.9	97.2 97.6	1,298 1,265	147 149	0.11 0.12	0.07 0.07
MAINE YANKEE10	1973	408.7	31.0	782	117	0.12	0.07
Docket 50-309; DPR-36	1974	432.6	68.7	619	420	0.68	0.23
1st commercial operation 12/72	1975	542.9	79.9	440	319	0.72	0.59
Type - PWR	1976	712.2	95.0	244	85 245	0.35	0.12
Capacity - (860) MWe	1977	617.6	82.2	508	245	0.48	0.40

LaCrosse ended commercial operation in 1987 and will not be put in commercial operation again. Therefore, it is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Maine Yankee was shut down in 8/97 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

MAINE YANKEE <sup>10</sup> 1978 642.7 84.1 638 420 0.66 0.66 (continued) 1979 537.0 68.4 393 154 0.39 0.26 1980 527.0 72.2 735 462 0.63 0.86 1981 624.2 78.2 868 424 0.49 0.66 1982 542.5 69.1 1,295 619 0.48 1.14 1983 677.1 83.6 592 165 0.28 0.24 1984 605.7 74.4 1,262 884 0.70 1.46 1985 635.4 79.2 1,009 700 0.69 1.16 1986 737.6 87.8 495 100 0.20 0.14 1987 478.1 65.3 1,100 722 0.66 1.57 1988 591.9 79.1 1,058 725 0.69 1.22 1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1980 573.0 71.0 1,359 682 0.50 1.15	29 88 68 14 24 46 10 14 51 12 12
1980       527.0       72.2       735       462       0.63       0.88         1981       624.2       78.2       868       424       0.49       0.66         1982       542.5       69.1       1,295       619       0.48       1.14         1983       677.1       83.6       592       165       0.28       0.24         1984       605.7       74.4       1,262       884       0.70       1.46         1985       635.4       79.2       1,009       700       0.69       1.11         1986       737.6       87.8       495       100       0.20       0.14         1987       478.1       65.3       1,100       722       0.66       1.55         1988       591.9       79.1       1,058       725       0.69       1.22         1989       819.2       93.7       375       99       0.26       0.12         1990       573.0       71.0       1,359       682       0.50       1.18	58 58 14 24 46 10 14 51 22 19
1981     624.2     78.2     868     424     0.49     0.66       1982     542.5     69.1     1,295     619     0.48     1.14       1983     677.1     83.6     592     165     0.28     0.24       1984     605.7     74.4     1,262     884     0.70     1.44       1985     635.4     79.2     1,009     700     0.69     1.10       1986     737.6     87.8     495     100     0.20     0.14       1987     478.1     65.3     1,100     722     0.66     1.5       1988     591.9     79.1     1,058     725     0.69     1.22       1989     819.2     93.7     375     99     0.26     0.12       1990     573.0     71.0     1,359     682     0.50     1.18	58 14 24 46 10 14 51 22 12 19
1983     677.1     83.6     592     165     0.28     0.24       1984     605.7     74.4     1,262     884     0.70     1.46       1985     635.4     79.2     1,009     700     0.69     1.10       1986     737.6     87.8     495     100     0.20     0.14       1987     478.1     65.3     1,100     722     0.66     1.51       1988     591.9     79.1     1,058     725     0.69     1.22       1989     819.2     93.7     375     99     0.26     0.12       1990     573.0     71.0     1,359     682     0.50     1.18	24 46 10 14 51 22 12 19
1984     605.7     74.4     1,262     884     0.70     1.46       1985     635.4     79.2     1,009     700     0.69     1.10       1986     737.6     87.8     495     100     0.20     0.14       1987     478.1     65.3     1,100     722     0.66     1.5       1988     591.9     79.1     1,058     725     0.69     1.22       1989     819.2     93.7     375     99     0.26     0.12       1990     573.0     71.0     1,359     682     0.50     1.18	46 10 14 51 22 12 19
1985     635.4     79.2     1,009     700     0.69     1.10       1986     737.6     87.8     495     100     0.20     0.14       1987     478.1     65.3     1,100     722     0.66     1.5       1988     591.9     79.1     1,058     725     0.69     1.22       1989     819.2     93.7     375     99     0.26     0.12       1990     573.0     71.0     1,359     682     0.50     1.18	10 14 51 22 12 19
1986     737.6     87.8     495     100     0.20     0.14       1987     478.1     65.3     1,100     722     0.66     1.5       1988     591.9     79.1     1,058     725     0.69     1.2       1989     819.2     93.7     375     99     0.26     0.12       1990     573.0     71.0     1,359     682     0.50     1.18	14 51 22 12 19
1988 591.9 79.1 1,058 725 0.69 1.22 1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1990 573.0 71.0 1,359 682 0.50 1.19	22 12 19 14
1989 819.2 93.7 375 99 0.26 0.12 1990 573.0 71.0 1,359 682 0.50 1.19	12 19 14
1990 573.0 71.0 1,359 682 0.50 1.19	19 14
	14
1991 738.1 86.6 426 105 0.25 0.14	
1992 631.7 79.1 1,189 461 0.39 0.73	
1993 674.8 79.8 1,016 377 0.37 0.50	
1994 782.8 90.9 297 84 0.28 0.1 1995 23.6 3.7 1,167 653 0.56 27.6	
1996 602.9 78.1 408 56 0.14 0.09	
1997 0.0 0.0 991 153 0.15	
1998 0.0 0.0 438 163 0.37 -	_
1999 0.0 0.0 365 135 0.37 - 2000 0.0 0.0 490 121 0.25 -	<del>-</del>
2000 0.0 0.0 490 121 0.25 2001 0.0 0.0 412 68 0.17	_
2002 0.0 0.0 452 66 0.15	
2003 0.0 0.0 342 44 0.13	-
2004 0.0 0.0 190 21 0.11 — MCGUIRE 1, 2 1982 524.9 80.4 1,560 169 0.11 0.32	=
Docket 50-369, -370; 1983 558.3 55.4 1,751 521 0.30 0.90	
NPF-9, -17 1984 764.1 68.5 1,663 507 0.30 0.66	
1st commercial operation 1985 808.4 77.0 2,217 771 0.35 0.99	
12/81, 3/84 1986 1,360.0 60.1 2,326 1,015 0.44 0.79 Type - PWRs 1987 1,774.7 79.2 2,865 1,043 0.36 0.59	
Type - PWRs 1987 1,774.7 79.2 2,865 1,043 0.36 0.59 Capacity - 1100, 1100 MWe 1988 1,830.7 80.2 2,808 1,104 0.39 0.60	
1989 1,810.2 80.8 1,994 620 0.31 0.34	
1990 1,340.3 61.3 2,289 727 0.32 0.54	54
1991 1,945.1 85.0 1,723 361 0.21 0.19	
1992 1,696.8 74.4 1,619 418 0.26 0.29 1993 1,470.4 66.2 1,685 463 0.27 0.3°	
1994 1,848.0 80.2 1,637 397 0.24 0.2	
1995 2,132.3 92.9 1,259 138 0.11 0.06	
1996 1,881.8 82.8 1,622 238 0.15 0.13 1997 1,558.2 73.0 2,193 492 0.22 0.32	
1997 1,558.2 73.0 2,193 492 0.22 0.32 1998 2,139.8 95.1 1,045 142 0.14 0.07	
1999 1,961.7 88.9 1,274 257 0.20 0.11	
2000 2,100.1 94.2 940 133 0.14 0.06	06
2001 2,113.3 93.9 963 137 0.14 0.06	
2002 2,051.0 91.7 1,167 181 0.16 0.09 2003 2,156.2 96.0 841 71 0.08 0.00	
2003 2,130,2 30.0 841 71 0.08 0.00 2004 2,075.7 91.8 1,116 196 0.18 0.00	
MILLSTONE UNIT 1 <sup>11</sup> 1972 377.6 612 596 0.97 1.50	
Docket 50-245; DPR-21 1973 225.1 1,184 663 0.56 2.95	95
1st commercial operation 3/71 1974 430.3 79.1 2,477 1,430 0.58 3.32	
Type - BWR 1975 465.4 75.6 2,587 2,022 0.78 4.34 Capacity - (641) MWe 1976 449.8 76.1 1,387 1,194 0.86 2.65	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Maine Yankee was shut down in 8/97 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Millstone Unit 1 was shut down 6/30/98 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
MILLSTONE UNIT 1 <sup>rt</sup> (continued)	1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003	575.7 556.6 505.0 405.8 304.3 490.2 640.1 516.1 548.5 626.8 523.4 658.8 554.6 608.3 213.1 431.8 627.9 394.0 520.6 0.0 -2.9 -2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0	89.6 87.6 77.3 69.0 51.6 79.9 95.6 78.8 83.6 95.4 79.6 84.2 91.6 35.4 68.1 96.8 63.6 80.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	1,075 1,391 2,001 3,024 2,506 1,370 309 1,992 732 389 1,588 327 852 365 1,154 348 305 1,321 910 747 1,053 347 397 478 414 185 195	394 1,416 1,795 2,157 1,496 929 244 836 608 150 684 144 462 131 409 99 81 391 620 431 195 13 10 60 15 4	0.37 1.02 0.90 0.71 0.60 0.68 0.79 0.42 0.83 0.39 0.43 0.44 0.54 0.36 0.35 0.28 0.27 0.30 0.68 0.58 0.19 0.04 0.02 0.13 0.04 0.02 0.05	0.68 2.54 3.55 5.32 4.92 1.90 0.38 1.62 1.11 0.24 1.31 0.22 0.83 0.22 1.92 0.23 0.13 0.99 1.19
MILLSTONE UNIT 2, 3 Docket 50-336, 50-423; DPR-65, NPF-49 1st commercial operation 12/75, 4/86 Type - PWRs Capacity - 878, 1148 MWe	2004 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	545.7 518.7 536.6 520.0 579.3 722.4 595.9 294.0 782.7 417.8 1,313.8 1,624.5 1,594.8 1,428.3 1,614.9 819.5 1,115.1 1,525.2 1,555.6 1,278.1 418.1 0.0 374.9 1,446.3 1,865.8 1,759.3 1,703.0 1,834.6 1,887.5	78.7 65.7 67.3 62.8 69.2 82.6 70.6 34.2 93.5 49.4 80.4 84.1 83.2 72.9 87.1 69.7 79.7 73.1 60.5 19.3 0.0 20.9 73.3 92.4 92.0 87.5 91.0 95.0	147 620 667 1,420 525 893 890 2,083 2,383 2,85 1,905 2,393 1,441 1,827 1,984 1,652 1,084 3,190 2,064 1,249 1,691 983 1,435 1,179 1,688 1,385 1,327 1,548 1,274 803	11 168 242 1,444 471 637 531 1,413 1,881 120 1,581 993 505 804 1,079 593 381 1,280 557 188 416 126 253 113 252 143 174 292 323 136	0.08 0.27 0.36 1.02 0.90 0.71 0.60 0.68 0.79 0.42 0.83 0.41 0.35 0.44 0.54 0.36 0.35 0.40 0.27 0.15 0.25 0.13 0.10 0.15 0.10 0.13 0.19 0.25 0.17	0.31 0.47 2.69 0.91 1.10 0.74 2.37 6.40 0.15 3.78 0.76 0.31 0.50 0.76 0.37 0.46 1.15 0.37 0.12 0.33 0.30 0.17 0.08 0.10 0.17 0.18 0.07

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Millstone Unit 1 was shut down 6/30/98 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
MONTICELLO Docket 50-263; DPR-22 1st commercial operation 6/71 Type - BWR Capacity - 578 MWe	1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003	424.4 389.5 349.3 344.8 476.4 425.6 459.4 522.0 411.8 389.3 291.1 494.6 33.7 509.8 402.7 422.5 542.5 318.2 536.0 429.4 528.3 458.1 471.3 564.7 461.6 417.4 470.2 530.7 483.2 441.3 571.0 522.8	74.9 72.2 91.5 79.9 87.2 97.6 63.3 96.3 91.7 79.1 81.9 99.8 76.2 96.9 80.8 97.5 84.4 87.0 100.0 86.9 75.9 88.1 92.9 84.2 78.5 99.0 91.7	99 401 842 1,353 325 860 679 372 1,114 1,446 1,307 416 1,872 586 895 941 375 1,102 336 964 454 954 788 200 757 399 674 451 792 834 399 858	61 176 349 1,353 263 1,000 375 157 531 1,004 993 121 2,462 327 596 568 110 507 94 465 114 494 395 44 240 106 209 70 216 221 40 169	0.62 0.44 0.41 1.00 0.81 1.16 0.55 0.42 0.48 0.69 0.76 0.29 1.32 0.56 0.67 0.60 0.29 0.46 0.28 0.48 0.25 0.52	0.14 0.45 1.00 3.92 0.55 2.35 0.82 0.30 1.29 2.58 3.41 0.24 73.06 0.64 1.48 1.34 0.20 1.59 0.18 1.08 0.22 1.08 0.84 0.08 0.52 0.08 0.52 0.08 0.53 0.54 0.55 0.55 0.64 0.64 0.75
NINE MILE POINT 1, 2 Docket 50-220, 50-410; DPR-63, NPF-69 1st commercial operation 12/69, 4/88 Type - BWRs Capacity - 565, 1120 MWe	2004 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	523.2 227.0 346.5 381.8 411.0 385.9 359.0 484.6 347.4 527.7 354.0 533.9 385.2 133.5 329.8 426.8 580.9 371.0 542.6 0.0 527.5 656.2 1,250.8 965.9 1,380.2 1,589.6 1,382.2 1,598.6	70.5 72.1 88.2 59.2 95.1 66.1 92.3 66.0 21.4 56.2 71.9 96.4 65.3 93.3 0.0 29.7 46.6 79.7 61.8 84.6 95.9 82.5 91.6	279  821  1,006  735  550  740  649  392  1,093  561  1,326  1,174  2,029  1,352  1,405  1,530  1,007  1,878  1,190  2,626  2,737  2,405  1,543  1,800  2,352  800  2,304  1,596	35 44 195 285 567 824 681 428 1,383 314 1,497 591 1,592 1,264 860 890 265 1,275 141 854 564 699 292 563 633 149 759 290	0.13 0.05 0.19 0.39 1.03 1.11 1.05 1.09 1.27 0.56 1.13 0.50 0.78 0.93 0.61 0.58 0.26 0.68 0.12 0.33 0.21 0.29 0.19 0.31 0.27 0.19 0.33 0.21	0.32 0.06 0.19 0.56 0.75 1.38 2.14 1.90 0.88 3.98 0.60 4.23 1.11 4.13 9.47 2.61 2.09 0.46 3.44 0.26  1.07 1.07 0.23 0.58 0.46 0.09 0.55 0.18

					<u> </u>		
				Total			
				Personnel		Average	
		Megawatt	Unit	with	Callantina	Measurable	
Benerting Organization	Year	Years	Availability Factor	Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Dose (rems)	Dose MW-yr
Reporting Organization	rear	MW-yr	racioi	Doses	Dose	(rems)	WW-yr
NINE MILE POINT 1, 2	1997	1,321.5	74.8	1,425	429	0.30	0.32
(continued)	1998	1,387.3	87.0	1,744	378	0.22	0.27
,	1999	1,409.5	81.3	1,709	447	0.26	0.32
	2000	1,443.9	88.1	1,783	283	0.16	0.20
	2001	1,506.9	88.9	1,371	343	0.25	0.23
	2002	1,517.0	90.4	2,449	517	0.21	0.34
	2003	1,585.6	91.4 92.0	1,501 1,362	375 449	0.25 0.33	0.24 0.29
NORTH ANNA 4 2	2004 1979	1,551.9 507.0	<del>52.0</del> 61.7	2,025	449	0.33	0.89
NORTH ANNA 1, 2 Docket 50-338; NPF-04, -09	1980	681.8	86.5	2,025 2,086	218	0.22	0.32
1st commercial operation	1981	1,241.9	71.5	2,416	680	0.28	0.55
6/78, 12/80	1982	777.7	45.8	2,872	1,915	0.67	2.46
Type - PWRs	1983	1,338.4	76.1	2,228	665	0.30	0.50
Capacity - 925, 917 MWe	1984	1,021.3	58.8	3,062	1,945	0.64	1.90
	1985	1,516.9	86.1	2,436	838	0.34	0.55
	1986	1,484.5	83.0	2,831	722	0.26	0.49
	1987	1,112.6	67.8	2,624	1,521	0.58	1.37
	1988	1,772.7	96.7	992	112	0.11	0.06
	1989	1,226.8	72.5 90.5	2,861 2,161	1,471 590	0.51 0.27	1.20 0.37
	1990 1991	1,590.4 1,597.5	90.5 88.6	2.085	629	0.27	0.39
	1992	1,403.2	84.1	2,159	576	0.27	0.41
	1993	1,428.4	80.1	2,768	908	0.33	0.64
	1994	1,717.1	95.9	1,036	193	0.19	0.11
	1995	1,666.4	90.8	1,551	367	0.24	0.22
	1996	1,569.6	89.1	1,203	291	0.24	0.19
	1997	1,711.5	96.2	856	103	0.12	0.06
	1998	1,632.8	92.7	1,201	266	0.22	0.16
	1999	1,747.7	96.1	727 720	94 65	0.13	0.05
	2000 2001	1,734.1	95.8 84.8	730 1,231	65 309	0.09 0.25	0.0 <del>4</del> 0.21
	2001	1,491.0 1,557.0	84.3	914	143	0.25	0.09
	2003	1,569.1	87.2	1.041	187	0.18	0.12
	2004	1,685.6	92.0	965	130	0.13	0.08
OCONEE 1, 2, 3	1974	650.6	60.1	844	517	0.61	0.79
Docket 50-269, 50-270,	1975	1,838.3	75.5	829	497	0.60	0.27
50-287;	1976	1,561.4	63.0	1,215	1,026	0.84	0.66
DPR-38, -47, -55	1977	1,566.4	65.9	1,595	1,329	0.83	0.85
1st commercial operation	1978	1,909.0	75.8	1,636	1,393	0.85	0.73
7/73, 9/74, 12/74	1979	1,708.0	67.7 70.1	2,100	1,001 1,055	0.48 0.50	0.59 0.62
Type - PWRs Capacity - 846, 846, 846 MWe	1980 1981	1,703.7 1,661.5	70.1 66.8	2,124 2,445	1,033	0.50	0.73
Capacity - 040, 040, 040 MINTE	1982	1,293.1	52.5	2,445	1,792	0.73	1.39
	1983	2,141.5	82.2	1,902	1,207	0.63	0.56
	1984	2,242.9	85.7	2,085	1,106	0.53	0.49
	1985	2,036.3	80.5	2,729	1,304	0.48	0.54
	1986	1,995.6	79.0	2,499	949	0.38	0.48
	1987	1,962.6	82.4	2,672	1,142	0.43	0.58
	1988	2,228.9	87.2	2,672	871	0.33	0.39
	1989	2,188.6	85.4	2,205	684 404	0.31 0.2 <del>1</del>	0.31 0.17
	1990 1991	2,405.2 2,275.0	91.4 86.7	1,948 1,966	404 551	0.21 0.28	0.17 0.24
	1991	2,275.0 2,110.7	82.0	1,956	612	0.26 0.31	0.24
	1993	2,110.7	91.3	1,499	237	0.16	0.10
	1994	2,144.3	82.2	1,923	537	0.28	0.25
	1995	2,366.1	89.5	1,586	304	0.19	0.13
	1996	1,847.9	70.3	1,479	257	0.17	0.14
	1997	1,563.7	67.7	1,379	223	0.16	0.14
	1998	1,989.1	81.3	1,695	366	0.22	0.18
	1999	2,264.5	90.3	1,568	202	0.13	0.09

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
OCONEE 1, 2, 3 (continued)	2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	2,321.0 2,167.6 2,355.0 2,177.7 2,125.2	91.6 86.8 92.5 86.3 84.1	1,686 2,002 1,723 2,180 2,295	273 579 225 245 368	0.16 0.29 0.13 0.11 0.16	0.12 0.27 0.10 0.11 0.17
OYSTER CREEK Docket 50-219; DPR-16 1st commercial operation 12/69 Type - BWR Capacity - 619 MWe	1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002	413.6 448.9 515.0 424.6 434.5 373.6 456.5 385.7 431.8 541.0 232.9 314.8 242.7 27.9 37.1 446.1 157.3 371.0 419.6 287.5 511.8 351.6 536.3 551.9 431.7 615.4 515.0 579.1 444.9 595.0 573.0	70.4 73.3 79.3 70.1 74.3 85.9 41.4 59.6 62.5 11.5 9.6 89.4 31.5 64.2 65.9 87.8 87.8 87.8 97.4 82.6 94.3 82.4 100.0 83.3 97.6 94.0	95 249 339 782 935 1,210 1,582 1,673 1,411 842 1,966 1,689 1,270 2,303 2,369 2,342 3,740 1,932 2,875 2,395 1,941 3,089 2,771 2,560 2,382 761 1,833 509 1,408 466 2,044 442 1,468	63 240 582 1,236 984 1,140 1,078 1,614 1,279 467 1,733 917 865 2,257 2,054 748 2,436 522 1,504 910 310 1,185 657 416 844 90 449 50 308 42 614 46 266	0.66 0.96 1.72 1.58 1.05 0.94 0.68 0.91 0.55 0.88 0.54 0.68 0.98 0.87 0.32 0.65 0.27 0.52 0.38 0.16 0.38 0.16 0.38 0.16 0.38 0.16 0.38 0.24 0.16 0.35 0.12 0.24 0.10 0.22 0.10 0.22 0.10 0.22	0.15 0.53 1.13 2.91 2.26 3.05 2.36 4.18 2.96 0.86 7.44 2.91 3.56 80.90 55.36 1.68 15.49 1.41 3.58 3.17 0.61 3.37 1.23 0.75 1.96 0.15 0.87 0.09
PALISADES Docket 50-255; DPR-20 1st commercial operation 12/71 Type - PWR Capacity - 730 MWe	2003 2004 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1988 1989 1990 1991	598.4 551.8 216.8 286.8 10.7 302.0 346.9 616.6 320.2 415.0 288.3 418.2 404.3 454.4 98.7 639.2 102.3 319.2 413.4 442.8 366.7 587.0	97.2 91.6 5.5 64.5 55.2 91.4 49.7 59.9 42.9 57.2 54.7 60.3 15.2 83.8 15.1 48.2 56.8 69.1 58.7 78.1	975 774 495 742 332 849 1,599 1,307 2,151 1,554 2,167 1,344 1,355 1,438 1,122 1,472 1,026 2,414 1,315	43 227 78 1,133 627 306 696 100 764 854 424 902 330 977 573 507 672 456 730 314 766 211	0.10 0.17 1.16 0.81 0.62 0.94 0.30 0.90 0.53 0.32 0.42 0.21 0.45 0.43 0.37 0.47 0.41 0.50 0.31 0.32 0.16	0.07 0.41 0.36 3.95 58.60 1.01 2.01 0.16 2.39 2.06 1.47 2.16 0.82 2.15 5.81 0.79 6.57 1.43 1.77 0.71 2.09 0.36

				Total			
				Total Personnel		Average	
		Megawatt	Unit	with		Measurable	Collective
		Years	Availability	Measurable	Collective	Dose	Dose
Reporting Organization	Year	MW-yr	Factor	Doses	Dose	(rems)	MW-yr
PALISADES	1992	581.9	76.1	1,267	295	0.23	0.51
(continued)	1993	424.4	53.7	908	289	0.32	0.68
	1994 1995	541.8 583.5	67.0 75.8	397 1,230	60 462	0.15 0.38	0.11 0.7 <del>9</del>
	1996	638.2	81.4	1,109	318	0.29	0.73
	1997	662.5	89.9	338	48	0.14	0.07
	1998	615.4	83.5	895	217	0.24	0.35
	1999 2000	585.4 654.4	80.2 88.0	939 255	218 26	0.23 0.10	0.37 0.04
	2001	268.2	36.3	1,032	363	0.35	1.35
	2002	725.0	94.8	224	24	0.11	0.03
	2003	701.1	90.7	822	203	0.25	0.29
DALO VEDDE A O O	2004	608.6	82.3	974	371	0.38	0.61
PALO VERDE 1, 2, 3 Docket 50-528, 50-529; 50-530	1987 1988	1,638.1 1,700.9	66.1 65.5	1,792 2,173	669 688	0.37 0.32	0.41 0.40
NPF-41, NPF-51, NPF-74	1989	965.3	26.5	2,615	720	0.28	0.75
1st commercial operation	1990	2,500.9	67.5	2,236	499	0.22	0.20
1/86,9/86,1/88	1991	3,043.9	78.9	2,242	605	0.27	0.20
Type - PWRs Capacity - 1243, 1335,	1992 1993	3,102.3 2,677.1	82.0 74.3	1,981 2,12 <del>4</del>	541 592	0.27 0.28	0.17 0.22
1247 MWe	1994	2,827.6	79.1	2.048	462	0.23	0.16
	1995	3,265.2	85.6	1,875	482	0.26	0.15
	1996	3,482.7	90.0	1,717	302	0.18	0.09
	1997 1998	3,369.2 3,454.4	92.2 93.2	1,585 1,410	246 192	0.16 0.14	0.07 0.06
	1999	3,471.2	93.2	1,275	146	0.17	0.04
	2000	3,458.6	93.0	1,279	158	0.12	0.05
	2001	3,280.2	88.6	1,361	182	0.13	0.06
	2002 2003	3,513.0 3,254.4	94.0 88.6	1,343 1,943	140 211	0.10 0.11	0.04 0.06
	2004	3,20 <u>1.4</u>	86.3	1,324	199	0.15	0.06
PEACH BOTTOM 2, 3	1975	1,234.3	80.9	971	228	0.23	0.18
Docket 50-277, 50-278;	1976	1,379.2	73.0	2,136	840	0.39	0.61
DPR-44, -56	1977 1978	1,052.4	58.7 84.0	2,827 2,244	2,036 1,317	0.72 0.59	1.93 0.80
1st commercial operation 7/74, 12/74	1978	1,636.3 1.740.0	84.5	2,2 <del>44</del> 2,276	1,317	0.59 0.61	0.80
Type - BWRs	1980	1,374.2	66.3	2,774	2,302	0.83	1.68
Capacity - 1112, 1112 MWe	1981	1,161.8	58.0	2,857	2,506	0.88	2.16
	1982	1,583.3	76.9	2,734	1,977	0.72	1.25
	1983 1984	824.7 1,165.8	41.0 57.5	3,107 3,313	2,963 2,450	0.95 0.74	3.59 2.10
	1985	682.7	37.5	4,209	3,354	0.80	4.91
	1986	1,395.0	71.7	2,454	1,080	0.44	0.77
•	1987	365.7	20.3	4,363	2,195	0.50	6.00
	1988 1989	0.0 491.0	0.0 35.0	4,20 <del>4</del> 2,301	2,327 728	0.55 0.32	1.48
	1990	1,684.0	85.7	1,585	377	0.24	0.22
	1991	1,210.9	62.3	2,702	934	0.35	0.77
	1992	1,516.6	78.7	1,911	502	0.26	0.33 0.33
	1993 1994	1,654.0 1,927.4	81.9 93.8	1,757 2,133	552 579	0.31 0.27	0.33 0.30
	1995	1,955.9	95.1	1,940	398	0.21	0.20
	1996	2,012.4	96.9	1,657	282	0.17	0.14
	1997	1,956.3	95.0	1,872	490	0.26	0.25
	1998 1999	1,881.2 2,057.2	93.2 96.0	1,903 1,630	366 319	0.19 0.20	0.19 0.16
	2000	2,057.2 2,058.3	96.7	1,729	331	0.20 0.19	0.16
	2001	2,037.1	95.8	1,445	344	0.24	0.17
	2002	2,105.0	96.7	1,915	333	0.17	0.16
	2003 2004	2,072.4 2,148.8	94.9 96.4	1,641 1,422	356 265	0.22 0.19	0.17 0.12
	2007	2, 170.0	JJ.4	•,722	200	J. 13	V. 12

				Total			
				Personnel		Average	
		Megawatt Years	Unit Availability	with Measurable	Collective	Measurable Dose	Collective Dose
Reporting Organization	Year	MW-yr	Factor	Doses	Dose	(rems)	MW-yr
PERRY	1988	869.3	79.0	782	105	0.13	0.12
Docket 50-440; NPF-58	1989	642.2	57.0	1,883	767	0.13	1.19
1st commercial operation 11/87	1990	792.7	67.1	1,537	638	0.42	0.80
Type - BWR	1991	1,074.2	91.9	600	146	0.24	0.14
Capacity - 1235 MWe	1992 1993	856.2 479.2	75.5 48.2	1,487 1,235	571 278	0.38 0.23	0.67 0.58
	1994	550.8	50.2	2,098	691	0.33	1.25
	1995	1,090.9	95.6	587	64	0.11	0.06
	1996 1997	895.6	77.2 84.7	1,622 1,524	307 272	0.19	0.34 0.29
	1997	930.6 1,163.1	99.3	385	42	0.18 0.11	0.29
	1999	1,041.7	89.9	1,758	326	0.19	0.31
	2000	1,148.2	97.1	501	56	0.11	0.05
	2001 2002	885.9 1,136.0	79.6 95.0	1,392 436	258 70	0.19 0.16	0.29 0.06
	2002	973.7	83.8	1,880	607	0.10	0.62
	2004	1,164.3	95.9	496	73	0.15	0.06
PILGRIM 1	1973	484.0		230	126	0.55	0.26
Docket 50-293; DPR-35	1974 1975	234.1 308.1	39.2 71.3	454 473	415 798	0.91 1.69	1.77
1st commercial operation 12/72 Type - BWR	1976	287.8	60.7	1,317	2,648	2.01	2.59 9.20
Capacity - 685 MWe	1977	316.6	61.4	1,875	3,142	1.68	9.92
•	1978	519.5	83.1	1,667	1,327	0.80	2.55
	1979 1980	574.0 360.3	89.4 56.2	2,458 3,549	1,015 3,626	0.41 1.02	1.77 10.06
	1981	408.9	65.9	2,803	1,836	0.66	4.49
	1982	389.9	63.9	2,854	1,539	0.54	3.95
	1983	559.5	87.2	2,326	1,162	0.50	2.08
	1984 1985	1.4 587.3	0.4 91.5	4,542 2,209	4,082 893	0. <del>9</del> 0 0.40	2915.71 1.52
	1986	121.9	18.8	2,635	874	0.33	7.17
	1987	0.0	0.0	4,710	1,579	0.34	-
	1988	0.0	0.0	2,073	392	0.19	-
	1989 1990	204.6 503.5	64.1 82.1	1,797 1,898	207 225	0.12 0.12	1.01 0.45
	1991	406.3	65.8	2,836	605	0.12	1.49
	1992	561.0	85.4	1,332	281	0.21	0.50
	1993	513.7	80.9	1,328	435	0.33	0.85
	1994 1995	453.6 531.7	71.4 80.7	758 1,294	200 482	0.26 0.37	0.44 0.91
	1996	631.3	95.4	517	116	0.22	0.18
	1997	492.1	80.7	1,655	588	0.36	1.19
	1998 1999	650.5 510.7	100.0 84.4	530 1,222	71 344	0.13 0.28	0.11 0.67
	2000	627.5	98.3	422	51	0.28	0.07
	2001	585.6	91.0	1,113	180	0.16	0.31
	2002	657.0	100.0	463	38	0.08	0.06
	2003 2004	566.6 676.1	87.5 99.5	1,437 427	250 41	0.17 0.10	0.44 0.06
POINT BEACH 1, 2	1971	393.4			164	5.10	0.42
Docket 50-266, 50-301;	1972	378.3			580		1.53
DPR-24, -27	1973	693.7	04.5	501	588	1.17	0.85
1st commercial operation 12/70, 10/72	1974 1975	760.2 801.2	81.3 82.9	400 339	295 459	0.74 1.35	0.39 0.57
Type - PWRs	1976	857.3	86.7	313	439 370	1.35 1.18	0.57
Capacity - 516, 518 MWe	1977	873.9	87.3	417	430	1.03	0.49
	1978	914.4	90.9	336	320	0.95	0.35
	1979 1980	808.0 727.2	80.8 82.5	610 561	644 598	1.06 1.07	0.80 0.82
	1981	760.4	83.6	773	596	0.77	0.82
	1982	757.2	84.3	767	609	0.79	0.80

			··· ·-	Total Personnel	-	Average	
		Megawatt	Unit	with		Measurable	
Reporting Organization	Year	Years MW-yr	Availability Factor	Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Dose (rems)	Dose MW-yr
						<u>^_</u> _	
POINT BEACH 1, 2 (continued)	1983 1984	648.2 788.9	72. <b>7</b> 78.6	1,702 1,372	1,403 789	0.82 0.58	2.16 1.00
(continued)	1985	831.3	82.5	671	482	0.72	0.58
	1986	858.9	85.7	664	402	0.61	0.47
	1987	857.5	85.5	720	554	0.77	0.65
	1988 1989	899.3 847.8	88.6 85.5	734 736	410 504	0.56 0.68	0.46 0.59
	1990	875.5	8 <b>6</b> .5	617	378	0.61	0.43
	1991	874.8	87.1	724	265	0.37	0.30
	1992	866.7	85.8	617	256	0.41	0.30
	1993	911.0	90.0	559	186	0.33	0.20
	1994 1995	914.5 858.4	91.2 86.1	548 54 <b>8</b>	170 190	0.31 0.35	0.19 0.22
	1996	831.6	84.7	1,029	276	0.33	0.22
	1997	186.8	21.8	670	92	0.14	0.49
	1998	649.7	69.7	881	169	0.19	0.26
	1999	806.0	83.1	962 705	194	0.20	0.24
	2000 2001	872.0 915.9	88.7 93.4	765 740	139 132	0.18 0.18	0.1 <del>6</del> 0.14
	2002	909.0	91.1	945	181	0.19	0.20
	2003	917.2	92.1	627	85	0.14	0.09
	2004	912.3	90.1	627	110	0.17	0.12
PRAIRIE ISLAND 1, 2	1974	181.9	43.9	150	18	0.12	0.10
Docket 50-282, 50-306; DPR-42, -60	1975 1976	836.0 725.2	83.3 76.6	477 818	123 447	0.26 0.55	0.15 0.62
1st commercial operation	1977	922.9	87.2	718	300	0.33	0.82
12/73, 12/74	1978	941.1	92.2	546	221	0.40	0.23
Type - PWRs	1979	865.0	86.0	594	180	0.30	0.21
Capacity - 522, 522 MWe	1980	800.7	79.9	983	353	0.36	0.44
	1981 1982	844.9 944.9	80.5 90.4	836 645	329 229	0.39 0.36	0.39 0.24
	1983	921.1	86.8	654	233	0.36	0.25
	1984	972.4	91.7	546	147	0.27	0.15
	1985	882.6	84.0	1,082	416	0.38	0.47
	1986	930.6	90.3	818 503	255 435	0.31	0.27
	1987 1988	969.6 932.0	91.6 89.1	593 732	135 199	0.23 0.27	0.14 0.21
	1989	1,001.8	94.7	476	99	0.21	0.10
	1990	925.4	89.2	737	188	0.26	0.20
	1991	1,023.3	95.6	<b>586</b>	98	0.17	0.10
	1992 1993	811.6 978.3	76.2 90.7	845 532	211 106	0.25 0.20	0.26
	1994	976.3 996.9	90.7 91.5	478	109	0.20	0.11 0.11
	1995	1,023.2	93.9	499	107	0.21	0.10
	1996	992.1	91.4	558	112	0.20	0.11
	1997	817.6	81.4	753 500	174	0.23	0.21
	1998 1999	860.3 989.3	83.4 93.8	582 542	117 72	0.20 0.13	0.14 0.07
	2000	992.2	93.5 93.1	632	106	0.13	0.11
	2001	900.8	85.8	691	125	0.18	0.14
	2002	987.0	93.6	969	128	0.13	0.13
	2003	1,006.1	96.4	59 <b>4</b>	61	0.10	0.06
OUAD CITIES 4 2	2004	940.4 958.1	89.9 72.3	1,186 678	144 482	0.12	0.15
QUAD CITIES 1, 2 Docket 50-254, 50-265;	1974 1975	958.1 833.6	72.3 68.4	1,083	482 1,618	0.71 1.49	0.50 1.94
DPR-29, -30	1976	951.2	73.1	1,225	1,651	1.35	1.74
1st commercial operation	1977	970.1	84.0	907	1,031	1.14	1.06
2/73, 3/73	1978	1,124.5	88.6	1,207	1,618	1.34	1.44
Type - BWRs	1979 1980	1,075.0 866.9	84.6 64.4	1,688	2,158	1.28 1.57	2.01
Capacity - 855, 855 MWe	1900	000. <del>3</del>	U-4.4	3,089	4,838	1.07	5.58

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
QUAD CITIES 1, 2	1981	1,156.9	81.1	2,246	3,146	1.40	2.72
(continued)	1982	1,018.7	76.0	2,314	3,757	1.62	3.69
	1983	1,088.5	79.2	1,802	2,491	1.38	2.29
	1984	994.6	65.7	1,678	1,579	0.94	1.59
	1985	1,268.0	82.7	1,184	990	0.84	0.78
	1986	1,093.2	71.0	1,451	950	0.65	0.87
	1987	1,126.6	75.3	1,429	720	0.50	0.64
	1988	1,173.7	84.1	1,486	827	0.56	0.70
	1989 1990	1,196.3 1,148.9	85.9 77.8	1,721 2,186	900 1,028	0.52 0.47	0.75 0.89
	1991	1,044.5	77.0 73.2	1,722	509	0.30	0.69
	1992	960.8	68.0	2,413	1,157	0.33	1.20
	1993	974.9	67.0	2,150	849	0.39	0.87
	1994	681.5	48.7	2,163	1,128	0.52	1.66
	1995	1,002.5	70.4	2,041	736	0.36	0.73
	1996	876.6	60.1	2,248	1,025	0.46	1.17
	1997	935.3	66.5	2,474	654	0.26	0.70
	1998	794.8	55.1	2,177	761	0.35	0. <del>9</del> 6
	1999	1,476.5	95.9	1,000	201	0.20	0.14
	2000	1,410.4	93.9	2,840	894	0.32	0.63
	2001	1,478.2	95.9	736	144	0.20	0.10
	2002	1,396.0	89.0	3,818	1,786	0.47	1.28
•	2003 2004	1,569.4 1,443.8	93.1 95.5	998 2,334	438 511	0.44 0.22	0.28 0.35_
RANCHO SECO12	1976	268.1	30.4	297	58	0.20	0.33
Docket 50-312; DPR-54	1977	706.4	77.1	515	391	0.20 0.76	0.55
1st commercial operation 4/75	1978	607.7	80.5	508	323	0.64	0.53
Type - PWR	1979	687.0	91.1	287	126	0.44	0.18
Capacity - (873) MWe	1980	530.9	60.4	890	412	0.46	0.78
, , , ,	1981	321.2	40.2	772	402	0.52	1.25
	1982	409.5	53.3	<b>76</b> 6	337	0.44	0.82
	1983	347.9	46.8	1,338	787	0.59	2.26
	1984	460.0	58.3	802	222	0.28	0.48
	1985	238.7	30.8	1,764	756	0.43	3.17
	1986 1987	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	1,513 1,533	402 300	0.27 0.20	_
	1988	355.8	63.1	693	78	0.20 0.11	0.22
	1989	179.9	54.7	603	81	0.13	0.45
	1990	0.0	0.0	111	13	0.12	-
	1991	0.0	0.0	101	9	0.09	
	1992	0.0	0.0	70	7	0.10	_
	1993	0.0	0.0	35	4	0.11	_
	1994	0.0	0.0	18	1	0.06	_
	1995	0.0	0.0	16	1	0.06	_
	1996	0.0	0.0	16 16	1	0.04	
	1997	0.0	0.0	16	0	0.00	_
	1998 1999	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	61 302	3 11	0.05 0.04	
	2000	0.0	0.0	219	26	0.04	
	2001	0.0	0.0	210	18	0.09	_
	2002	0.0	0.0	193	27	0.14	
	2003	0.0	0.0	121	18	0.15	
	2004	0.0	0.0	122	15	0.12	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Rancho Seco was shut down 6/89 and is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
RIVER BEND 1	1987	605.2	68.4	1,268	378	0.30	0.62
Docket 50-458; NPF-47	1988	880.7	94.3	513 4 500	107	0.21	0.12
1st commercial operation 6/86 Type - BWR	198 <b>9</b> 1990	584.5 682.2	69.1 78.0	1,56 <b>6</b> 1,61 <b>6</b>	558 489	0.36 0.30	0.95 0.72
Capacity - 966 MWe	1991	814.7	87.2	780	144	0.18	0.12
•	1992	336.1	39.7	2,022	710	0.35	2.11
	1993	640.0	71.6	847	180	0.21	0.28
	1994 1995	595.7 967.1	64.9 99.6	2,209 667	519 85	0.24 0.13	0.87 0.09
	1996	836.1	85.3	2.093	473	0.23	0.57
	1997	778.8	86.3	1,671	347	0.21	0.45
	1998	894.2	96.2	466	58	0.12	0.06
	1999 2000	651.2 837.1	75.2 89.7	1,327 1,104	344 216	0.26 0.20	0.53 0.26
	2001	889.3	93.6	1,249	208	0.17	0.23
	2002	965.0	98.5	373	35	0.09	0.04
	2003	871.3	92.7	1,296	217	0.17	0.25
ROBINSON 2	2004 1972	845.6 580.0	90.1	1,378 245	236 215	0,17 0.88	0.28
Docket 50-261; DPR-23	1973	45 <b>5</b> .1		831	69 <b>5</b>	0.84	1.53
1st commercial operation 3/71	1974	578.1	83.3	853	672	0.79	1.16
Type - PWR	1975	501.8	72.7	849	1,142	1.35	2.28
Capacity - 710 MWe	1976 1977	585.5 511.5	84.7 85.2	597 634	715 455	1.20 0.72	1.22 0.89
	1978	480.5	72.0	943	963	1.02	2.00
	1979	482.0	70.8	1,454	1,188	0.82	2.46
	1980	387.3	62.2	2,009	1,852	0.92	4.78
	1981 1982	426.6 277.5	73.0 48.9	1,462 2,011	733 1,426	0.50 0.71	1.72 5.14
	1983	409.8	75.5	2,244	923	0.41	2.25
	1984	28.0	7.0	4,127	2,880	0.70	102.86
	1985	629.5	87.9	1,378	311	0.23	0.49
	1986 1987	577.1 510.1	80.3 72.5	1,571 1,379	539 499	0.34 0.36	0.93 0.98
	1988	385.0	65.9	1,373	564	0.42	1.46
	1989	336.6	48.7	1,098	195	0.18	0.58
	1990	400.3	64.8	1,626	437	0.27	1.09
	1991 1992	575.1 487.2	81.4 66.8	885 1,267	193 352	0.22 0.28	0.34 0.72
	1993	502.7	70.7	1,221	337	0.28	0.67
	1994	560.3	79.5	420	63	0.15	0.11
	1995	618.7	84.7	1,058	215	0.20	0.35
	1996 1997	654.8 707.5	88.6 99.0	1,031 304	167 13	0.16 0.04	0.26 0.0 <b>2</b>
	1998	628.5	88.9	978	170	0.17	0.27
	1999	648.9	91.8	807	124	0.15	0.19
	2000	710.0	99.7	138 927	8 125	0.06	0.01
	2001 2002	627.9 638.0	90.6 91.2	827 830	125 111	0.15 0.13	0.20 0.17
	2002	733.1	100.0	109	5	0.04	0.01
	2004	653.7	89.3	952	118	0.12	0.18
SALEM 1, 2	1978	546.4	55.6	574	122	0.21	0.22
Docket 50-272, -311; DPR-70, -75	1979 1980	250.0 680.6	25.5 69.2	1,488 1,704	584 449	0.39 0.26	2.34 0.66
1st commercial operation	1981	743.0	78.1	1,704	254	0.25	0.34
6/77, 10/81	1982	1,440.4	72.6	3,228	1,203	0.37	0.84
Type - PWRs	1983	742.0	30.5	2,383	581	0.24	0.78
Capacity - 1159, 1116 MWe	1984 1985	650.1 1,657.7	. 31.8 75.8	1,395 1,112	681 204	0.49 0.18	1.05 0.12
	1986	1,484.3	75.6 70.4	3,554	599	0.18	0.12
	.500	.,		<b>5</b> 13 <b>6</b> 3			Ţ. , <del>,</del>

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
SALEM 1, 2	1987	1,478.2	73.3	2,543	600	0.24	0.41
(continued)	1988	1,591.6	73.6	1,609	503	0.31	0.32
<b>(</b> ************************************	1989	1,675.4	79.5	2,944	338	0.11	0.20
	1990	1,362.6	65.1	3,636	272	0.07	0.20
	1991	1,726.4	79.3	4,201	458	0.11	0.27
	1992	1,200.9	61.1	4,376	431	0.10	0.36
	1993	1,366.3	65.4	3,559	408	0.11	0.30
	1994	1,367.4	73.8	950	188	0.20	0.14
	1995	558.1	29.3	1,195	218	0.18	0.39
	1996	0.0	0.0	1,671	300	0.18	
	1997	279.3	17.8	894	175	0.20	0.63
	1998	1,629.3	79.1	408	41	0.10	0.03
	1999	1,821.8	86.8	1,200	318	0.27	0.17
	2000	1,973.4	93.0	1,191	198	0.17	0.10
	2001	1,961.2	91.1	1,274 2.460	153	0.12	0.08
	2002 2003	1,934.0 1,957.2	89.4 90.7	2,460 1,301	293 124	0.12 0.10	0.15 0.06
	2003	1,850.2	85.8	1,496	149	0.10	80.0
SAN ONOFRE 113, 2, 3	1969	314.1		123	42	0.34	
Docket 50-206, -361, -362;	1970	365.9		251	155	0.5 <del>4</del> 0.62	0.13 0.42
DPR-13, NPF-10, NPF-15	1971	362.1		121	50	0.62	0.14
1st commercial operation	1972	338.5		326	256	0.79	0.76
1/68, 8/83, 4/84	1973	273.7		570	353	0.62	1.29
Type - PWRs	1974	377.8	86.1	219	71	0.32	0.19
Capacity - (436), 1070,	1975	389.0	87.4	424	292	0.69	0.75
1080 MWe	1976	297.9	70.2	1,330	880	0.66	2.95
	1977	281.2	63.7	985	847	0.86	3.01
	1978	323.2	80.2	764	401	0.52	1.24
	1979	401.0	90.2	521	139	0.27	0.35
	1980	97.3	22.3	3,063	2,386	0.78	24.52
	1981	95.9	26.7	2,902	3,223	1.11	33.61
	1982	61.6	15.7	3,055	832	0.27	13.51
	1983	0.0	0.0	1,701	155	0.09	. =
	1984	670.4	68.3	7,514	986	0.27	1.47
	1985	1,381.8	132.9	5,742	722	0.24	0.52
	1986	1,698.2	61.1	3,594	824	0.24	0.49
	1987 1988	1,983.0 1,982.3	78.8 68.4	2,138 2,324	696 781	0.33 0.34	0.35 0.39
	1989	1,840.8	64.9	2,237	567	0.34	0.39
	1990	1,980.5	69.1	2,224	885	0.40	0.45
	1991	1,987.6	<b>75.3</b>	1,814	412	0.23	0.21
	1992	2,228.6	87.1	1,651	324	0.20	0.15
	1993	1,771.3	79.9	2,193	767	0.35	0.43
	1994	2,220.7	100.0	528	32	0.06	0.01
	1995	1,686.9	79.1	1,914	455	0.24	0.27
	1996	2,089.3	93.2	1,272	129	0.10	0.06
	1997 1998	1,533.9 1,996.4	72.9 92.0	1,652 1,091	341 196 _	0.21 0.18	0.22 0.10
SAN ONOFRE 113	1999	0.0	0.0	241	16	0.16	
Docket 50-206;	2000	0.0	0.0	416	71	0.07 0.17	<del></del>
DPR-13,	2001	0.0	0.0	338	58	0.17	_
1st commercial operation 1/68	2002	0.0	0.0	308	61	0.20	
Type - PWR	2003	0.0	0.0	226	36	0.16	
Capacity - (436) MWe	2004	0.0	0.0	169	15	0.09	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> San Onofre 1 was shut down 11/92 and is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
SAN ONOFRE 2, 3	1999	1,901.4	86.9	1,477	354	0.24	0.19
Docket 50-361, -362;	2000	2,067.2	94.7	1,073	115	0.11	0.06
NPF-10, NPF-15	2001	1,727.2	78.9	1,083	131	0.12	0.08
1st commercial operation	2002 2003	2,056.0 2,084.3	93.4 94.0	1,140 1,275	136 164	0.12 0.13	0.07
8/83, 4/84 Type - PWRs	2003	1,713.8	79.1	1,761	407	0.13	0.08 0.24
Capacity - 1070, 1080 MWe					<del></del>	<del></del>	
SEABROOK	1991	810.4	75.9	699	92	0.13	0.11
Docket 50-443; NPF-86 1st commercial operation 8/90	1992 1993	932.4 1,071.5	81.3 93.6	806 110	147 6	0.18 0.05	0.16 0.01
Type - PWR	1994	736.4	63.5	852	113	0.03	0.01
Capacity - 1155 Mwe	1995	995.5	87.5	800	102	0.13	0.10
•	1996	1,168.6	99.6	206	10	0.05	0.01
	1997	907.0	79.8	1,571	186	0.12	0.21
	1998	957.6	84.5	559	19	0.03	0.02
	1999 2000	991.5 901.8	87.5 79.3	1,33 <del>9</del> 1,15 <b>8</b>	106 70	0.08 0.06	0.11 0.08
	2001	989.6	79.3 89.1	423	9	0.00	0.08
	2002	1,058.0	92.8	1,095	67	0.06	0.06
	2003	1,055.9	93.6	981	71	0.07	0.07
	2004	1,158.6	100.0	291	6	0.02	0.01
SEQUOYAH 1, 2	1982	583.5	52.8	1,968	570	0.29	0.98
Docket 50-327, -328; DPR-77, -79	1983 1984	1,663.7 1,481.9	75.1 69.0	1,769 2,373	491 1,119	0.28 0.47	0.30 0.76
1st commercial operation	1985	1,461.3	51.3	2,373 1,853	1,072	0.47	0.76
7/81, 6/82	1986	0.0	0.0	1,738	527	0.30	
Type - PWR	1987	0.0	0.0	2,080	420	0.20	
Capacity - 1148, 1124 MWe	1988	490.8	31.8	2,441	678	0.28	1.38
	1989	1,851.7	85.7	2,007	657	0.33	0.35
	1990 1991	1,662.6 1,965.4	77.2 88.0	2,935 1,933	1,687 700	0.57 0.36	1.01 0.36
	1992	1,849.0	85.4	1,714	465	0.37	0.35
	1993	405.7	21.8	1,631	373	0.23	0.92
	1994	1,418.7	66.3	1,702	295	0.17	0.21
	1995	1,864.2	86.1	1,650	368	0.22	0.20
	1996 1997	2,003.9	87.9	1,444 1,962	269 420	0.19	0.13
	1998	1,946.1 2,135.3	89.0 95.3	1,530	266	0.21 0.17	0.22 0.12
	1999	2,165.1	97.0	1,346	165	0.12	0.08
	2000	1,910.0	86.8	2,039	357	0.18	0.19
	2001	2,158.3	95.7	1,292	145	0.11	0.07
	2002	2,106.0	94.1	1,257	108	0.09	0.05
	2003 2004	1,776.4 2,135.2	80.0 93.9	2,484 1,161	431 86	0.17 0.07	0.24 0.04
SOUTH TEXAS 1, 2	1989	769.3	65.6	989	161	0.07	0.21
Docket 50-498, 50-499;	1990	1,504.1	65.9	1,136	206	0.18	0.14
NPF -76,-80	1991	1,741.5	72.4	1,144	257	0.22	0.15
1st commercial operation	1992	2,096.0	83.8	923	147	0.16	0.07
8/88, 6/89	1993	163.1	8.3	1,138	251	0.22	1.54
Type - PWRs Capacity - 1251, 1251 MWe	1994 1995	1,700.2 2,294.2	70.6 89.9	661 1,485	47 291	0.07 0.20	0.03 0.13
Capacity - 1201, 1201 191996	1995	2,294.2 2,465.9	95.0	1,145	137	0.20 0.12	0.13
	1997	2,265.5	93.6	1,583	273	0.17	· 0.12
	1998	2,379.4	96.9	1,171	184	0.16	0.08
	1999	2,219.7	91.6	1,328	260	0.20	0.12
	2000 2001	2,180.0	89.7	1,372	232	0.17	0.11
	2001 2002	2,262.7 2,173.0	92.2 87.5	1,325 1,510	238 329	0.18 0.22	0.11 0.15
	2002	1,796.3	72.1	909	143	0.22	0.13
	2004	2,437.1	96.0	842	120	0.14	0.05

NUREG-0713

				Total			
		Management	I I m i 6	Personnel		Average	Callactiva
		Megawatt Years	Unit Availability	with Measurable	Collective	Measurable Dose	Dose
Reporting Organization	Year	MW-yr	Factor	Doses	Dose	(rems)	MW-yr
ST. LUCIE 1, 2	1977	649.1	84.7	445	152	0.34	0.23
Docket 50-335, -389;	1978	606.4	76.5	797	337	0.42	0.56
DPR-67; NPF-16	1979	592.0	74.0	907	438	0.48	0.74
1st commercial operation 12/76, 8/83	1980 1981	627.9 599.1	77.5 72.7	1,074 1,473	532 929	0.50 0.63	0.85 1.55
Type - PWRs	1982	816.8	94.0	1,045	272	0.26	0.33
Capacity - 839, 839 MWe	1983	290.3	15.4	2,211	1,204	0.54	4.15
	1984	1,183.0	69.6	2,090	1,263	0.60	1.07
	1985 1986	1,445.8 1,588.6	82.5 89.1	1,971 1,279	1,344 491	0.68 0.38	0.93 0.31
	1987	1,407.9	81.9	2,012	951	0.47	0.68
	1988	1,639.7	93.0	1,448	611	0.42	0.37
	1989	1,493.1	85.1	1,414	495	0.35	0.33
	1990 1991	1,188. <del>4</del> 1,592.8	70.0 90.8	1,876 1,282	777 479	0.41 0.37	0.65 0.30
	1992	1,592.8	87.3	1,252	264	0.37	0.30
	1993	1,227.6	77.7	1,462	492	0.34	0.40
	1994	1,424.8	85.0	1,896	505	0.27	0.35
	1995 1996	1,306.6	76.0 86.5	1,498 1,433	413 385	0.28 0.27	0.32 0.26
·	1997	1,473.4 1,394.6	83.6	2,314	646	0.27	0.46
	1998	1,572.5	94.2	1,170	134	0.11	0.09
	1999	1,569.1	93.8	1,107	177	0.16	0.11
	2000	1,630.0	96.0	990	99	0.10	0.06
	2001 2002	1,527.5 1,633.0	91.6 96.6	1,375 992	228 156	0.17 0.16	0.15 0.10
	2002	1,524.7	91.5	937	142	0.15	0.09
	2004	1,492.0	89.3	1,157	159	0.14	0.11
SUMMER 1	1984	504.6	61.1	1,120	295	0.26	0.58
Docket 50-395; NPF-12	1985	627.7	71.6	1,201	379	0.32	0.60
1st commercial operation 1/84 Type - PWR	1986 1987	853.7 618.7	95.3 71.0	392 1,075	23 560	0.06 0.52	0.03 0.91
Capacity - 966 MWe	1988	605.3	69.1	1,127	511	0.45	0.84
	1989	652.4	83.1	374	52	0.14	80.0
	1990	730.0	83.9	1,090	376	0.34	0.52
	1991	642.5	82.9	984 249	291 27	0.30	0.45
	1992 1993	892.6 728.3	97.4 84.0	1,121	297	0.11 0.26	0.03 0.41
	1994	536.7	69.5	1,549	374	0.24	0.70
	1995	899.8	97.2	257	13	0.05	0.01
	1996 1997	850.4 829.7	90.3 89.8	701 820	97 163	0.14 0.20	0.11 0.20
	1998	934.8	98.8	285	14	0.20	0.20
	1999	842.0	89.4	827	120	0.15	0.14
	2000	723.9	76.6	933	167	0.18	0.23
	2001	769.3	83.3 87.0	486 685	69 60	0.14	0.09
	2002 2003	840.0 837.0	87.9 87.4	685 745	60 71	0.09 0.10	0.07 0.08
	2004	938.4	96.8	200	10	0.05	0.01
SURRY 1, 2	1973	420.6		936	152	0.16	0.36
Docket 50-280, 50-281;	1974	717.4	49.8	1,715	884	0.52	1.23
DPR-32, -37 1st commercial operation	1975	1,079.0	70.8	1,948 2,752	1,649	0.85	1.53
12/72, 5/73	1976 1977	930.7 1,139.0	60.4 72.2	2,753 1,860	3,165 2,307	1.15 1.24	3.40 2.03
Type - PWRs	1978	1,210.6	77.2	2,203	1,837	0.83	1.52
Capacity - 810, 815 MWe	1979	343.0	42.3	5,065	3,584	0.71	10.45
	1980	568.2	40.3 50.3	5,317	3,836	0.72	6.75
	1981 1982	907.6	59.3 88.5	3,753 1,878	4,244 1,490	1.13 0.79	4.68 1.13
	1302	1,323.3	00.3	1,0/0	1,430	U./ 9	1.13

i le

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
SURRY 1, 2	1983	916.2	61.3	2,754	3,220	1.17	3.51
(continued)	1984	1,026.7	71.0	3,198	2,247	0.70	2.19
•	1985	1,166.4	78.2	3,206	1,815	0.57	1.56
	1986	1,080.5	69.0	3,763	2,356	0.63	2.18
	1987	1,132.7	72.7	2,675	712	0.27	0.63
	1988	750.4	50.0	3,184	1,542	0.48	2.05
	1989	489.3	33.0	3,100	836	0.27	1.71
	1990	1,276.4	83.9	1,947	575 540	0.30	0.45
	1991	1,271.9	84.5	1,547	510 520	0.33	0.40
	1992 1993	1,396.3 1,283.1	88.9 84.6	1,660 1,402	539 383	0.32 0.27	0.39 0.30
	1994	1,320.9	85.2	1,530	378	0.25	0.30
	1995	1,333.0	84.2	1,883	406	0.22	0.30
	1996	1,562.9	93.1	983	209	0.21	0.13
	1997	1,380.3	87.1	1,335	320	0.24	0.23
	1998	1,476.2	91.6	1,165	189	0.16	0.13
	1999	1,483.0	93.5	995	138	0.14	0.09
	2000	1,490.0	92.7	1,197	193	0.16	0.13
	2001	1,441.5	89.5	1,243	329	0.26	0.23
	2002	1,557.0	96.0	799	88	0.11	0.06
	2003 2004	1,255.9 1 <u>,537.</u> 9	79.7 94.6	1,628 1,028	326 120	0.20 0.12	0.26 0.08
CHOCHELLANDIA 4 . O							
SUSQUEHANNA 1, 2 Docket 50-387, 50-388;	1984 1985	719.9 1,452.2	72.6 76.4	2,827 3,669	308 1,106	0.11 0.30	0.43 0.76
NPF-14; NPF-22	1986	1,344.8	67.0	2,996	828	0.38	0.70
1st commercial operation	1987	1,749.5	85.3	2,548	621	0.24	0.35
6/83, 2/85	1988	1,691.0	83.5	1,904	516	0.27	0.31
Type - BWRs	1989	1,572.5	77.1	2,063	704	0.34	0.45
Capacity - 1135, 1140 MWe	1990	1,746.9	85.4	1,691	440	0.26	0.25
	1991	1,878.0	89.8	1,844	507	0.27	0.27
	1992	1,604.2	79.7	1,885	724	0.38	0.45
	1993	1,602.1	77.3	1,488	335	0.23	0.21
	1994	1,814.4	85.4	1,580	442 476	0.28	0.24
	1995 1996	1,850.8 1,998.7	85.3 90.7	1,773 1,430	289	0.27 0.20	0.26 0.14
	1997	1,918.9	89.6	1,430	433	0.26	0.14
	1998	1,879.6	88.3	1,575	361	0.23	0.19
	1999	1,896.0	89.6	1,787	431	0.24	0.23
	2000	1,994.6	92.6	1,812	331	0.18	0.17
	2001	2,027.6	94.2	1,807	288	0.16	0.14
	2002	1,973.0	91.6	1,890	260	0.14	0.13
	2003	2,050.8	93.4	1,934	250	0.13	0.12
	2004	2,058.8	92.7	2,144	272	0.13	0.13
THREE MILE ISLAND 114, 218	1975	675.9	82.2	131	73	0.56	0.11
Docket 50-289, -320;	1976	530.0	65.4	819	286	0.35	0.54
DPR-50, -73	1977	664.5	80.9	1,122	360 504	0.32	0.54
1st commercial operation	1978 1979	690.0 266.0	85.1 21.9	1,929 3,975	504 1 302	0.26 0.35	0.73 5.23
9/74, 12/78 Type - PWRs	1979 1980	266.0 0.0	21.9 0.0	3,975 2,328	1,392 394	0.35 0.17	5.23
Capacity - 802, (880) MWe	1981	0.0	0.0	2,326 2,103	394 376	0.17 0.18	-
Japanity - 002, (000) 191918	1982	0.0	0.0	2,103	1,004	0.18	
	1983	0.0	0.0	1,592	1,159	0.73	_
	1984	0.0	0.0	1,079	688	0.64	_
	1985	103.6	10.6	1,890	857	0.45	8.27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Three Mile Island 1 resumed commercial power generation 10/85 after being under regulatory restraint since 1979.

NUREG-0713 C-32

<sup>15</sup> Three Mile Island 2 has been shut down since the 1979 accident but was still included in the count of reactors through 1988 since dose was still being accumulated to defuel and decontaminate the unit during this time period. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational. Since 2001 the dose breakdowns for Three Mile Island 2 have been reported with those for Unit 1.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
THREE MILE ISLAND 114	1986	585.2	70.9	1,360	213	0.16	0.36
Docket 50-289; DPR-50	1987	610.7	73.6	1,259	149	0.12	0.24
1st commercial operation 9/74 Type - PWR	1988 1989	661.0 871.3	77.8 100.0	1,012 670	210 54	0.21 0.08	0.32 0.06
Capacity - 802 MWe	1990	645.5	84.6	1,319	264	0.00	0.00
	1991	688.7	86.4	1,542	198	0.13	0.29
	1992	836.8	100.0	558	34	0.06	0.04
	1993	722.0	88.5	1,835	206	0.11	0.29
	1994	798.7	95.5	434	40	0.09	0.05
	1995 1996	772.9 857.4	90.8 100.0	1,220 267	213 16	0.17 0.06	0.28 0.02
	1997	675.7	84.3	1,049	204	0.00	0.30
	1998	805.8	100.0	280	17	0.06	0.02
	1999	722.4	89.7	1,171	155	0.13	0.21
	2000	813.4	100.0	183	- 9	0.05	0.01
	2001	616.7	84.2	1,196	197	0.16	0.32
	2002 2003	833.0 706.4	100.0 87.1	172 1,230	7 155	0.04 0.13	0.01 0.22
	2003	828.0	100.0	1,230	155	0.13	0.22
THREE MILE ISLAND 215	1986	0.0	0.0	1,497	915	0.61	
Docket 50-320; DPR-73	1987	0.0	0.0	1,378	977	0.71	_
1st commercial operation 12/78	1988	0.0	0.0	1,247	917	0.74	_
Type - PWR	1989	0.0	0.0	1,014	639	0.63	_
Capacity - (880) MWe	1990	0.0	0.0	484 453	136	0.28	-
	1991 1992	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	153 315	37 157	0.24 0.50	_
	1993	0.0	0.0	167	33	0.20	_
	1994	0.0	0.0	259	7	0.03	_
	1995	0.0	0.0	191	2	0.01	_
	1996	0.0	0.0	122	2	0.02	_
	1997	0.0	0.0 0.0	232	1	0.00	
	1998 1999	0.0 0.0	0.0	105 203	1	0.01 0.00	_
	2000	0.0	0.0	70	ò	0.01	-
	2001	0.0	0.0	Ŏ	Ō		
	2004	0.0	0.0	105	4	0.03	
TROJAN'S	1977	792.0	92.6	591	174	0.29	0.22
Docket 50-344; NPF-1	1978	205.5	20.6	711 726	319	0.45	1.55
1st commercial operation 5/76 Type - PWR	1979 1980	631.0 727.5	58.1 72.5	736 1,159	258 421	0.35 0.36	0.41 0.58
Capacity - (1080) MWe	1981	775.6	74.1	1,311	609	0.46	0.79
(1000)	1982	579.5	60.8	977	419	0.43	0.72
	1983	494.2	62.4	969	307	0.32	0.62
	1984	567.0	54.4 70.7	1,042	433	0.42	0.76
	1985 1986	829.1 852.4	76.7 70.7	852 1,321	363	0.43	0.44
	1987	525.5	79.7 54.0	1,321	381 363	0.29 0.30	0.45 0.69
	1988	758.6	67.5	1,408	401	0.28	0.53
	1989	666.8	61.9	1,360	421	0.31	0.63
	1990	732.4	66.3	1,169	258	0.22	0.35
	1991	181.6	16.1	1,496	567	0.38	3.12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Three Mile Island 1 resumed commercial power generation 10/85 after being under regulatory restraint since 1979.

Three Mile Island 2 has been shut down since the 1979 accident but was still included in the count of reactors through 1988 since dose was still being accumulated to defuel and decontaminate the unit during this time period. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational. Since 2001 the dose breakdowns for Three Mile Island 2 have been reported with those for Unit 1.

<sup>16</sup> Trojan ended commercial operation as of 1/93 and will not be put in commercial operation again. It is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
TROJAN18	1992	553.9	68.4	567	84	0.15	0.15
(continued)	1993	0.0	68.4	54	21	0.39	_
	1994	0.0	0.0	51	9	0.18	
	1995 1996	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	141 112	44 41	0.31 0.37	-
	1997	0.0	0.0	227	41.	0.37 0.18	
	1998	0.0	0.0	283	46	0.16	_
	1999	0.0	0.0	274	52	0.19	_
	2000	0.0	0.0	127	18	0.14	
	2001 2002	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	14 13	1	0.08 0.04	_
	2002	0.0	0.0	105 ·	24	0.23	_
	2004	0.0	0.0	5	Ö	0.02	
TURKEY POINT 3, 4	1973	401.9		444	78	0.18	0.19
Docket 50-250, 50-251;	1974	953.6	740	794	454	0.57	0.48
DPR-31, -41 1st commercial operation	1975 1976	1,003.7 974.2	74.9 71.2	1,176 1,647	876 1,184	0.74 0.72	0.87 1.22
12/72, 9/73	1977	979.5	72.1	1,319	1,104	0.79	1.06
Type - PWRs	1978	1,000.2	78.8	1,336	1,032	0.77	1.03
Capacity - 693, 693 MWe	1979	811.0	62.4	2,002	1,680	0.84	2.07
	1980 1981	990.6 654.0	73.6 46.8	1,803 2,932	1,651 2,251	0.92 0.77	1.67 3.44
	1982	915.7	65.2	2,952 2,956	2,251 2,119	0.77 0.72	2.31
	1983	878.4	62.8	2,930	2,681	0.92	3.05
	1984	946.7	68.5	2,010	1,255	0.62	1.33
	1985	1,034.9	74.7	1,905	1,253	0.66	1.21
	1986 1987	754.1 431.3	54.9 36.6	1,808 1,980	946 1,371	0.52 0.69	1.25 3.18
	1988	809.8	59.5	1,841	738	0.40	0.91
	1989	689.9	56.8	1,625	433	0.27	0.63
	1990	933.1	69.0	2,099	730	0.35	0.78
	1991 1992	258.2 968.9	21.0 75.5	2,087	939	0.45	3.64
	1992	1,244.8	75.5 91.0	1,374 1,271	325 275	0.24 0.22	0.34 0.22
	1994	1,172.9	87.2	1,489	476	0.32	0.41
	1995	1,320.3	94.6	1,142	215	0.19	0.16
	1996	1,307.8	94.0	1,157	187	0.16	0.14
	1997 1998	1,220.9 1,323.0	88.6 94.5	1,581 1,045	414 156	0.26 0.15	0.34 0.12
	1999	1,352.5	96.5	919	128	0.14	0.09
	2000	1,283.7	92.2	1,292	220	0.17	0.17
	2001	1,324.1	95.0	827	102	0.12	0.08
	2002 2003	1,374.0 1,253.2	97.9 91.6	793	74 247	0.09 0.17	0.05 0.20
	2003	1,233.2	89.9	1,442 1,089	117	0.17	0.20
VERMONT YANKEE	1973	222.1		244	85	0.35	0.38
Docket 50-271; DPR-28	1974	303.5		357	216	0.61	0.71
1st commercial operation 11/72	1975	429.0	87.8	282	153	0.54	0.36
Type - BWR Capacity - 510 MWe	1976 1977	389.6 423.5	77. <del>1</del> 85.1	815 641	411 258	0.50 0.40	1.05 0.61
Capacity - 510 MAAR	1977	423.5 387.5	75.9	934	255 339	0.40 0.36	0.87
	1979	414.0	82.1	1,220	1,170	0.96	2.83
	1980	357.8	71.5	1,443	1,338	0.93	3.74
	1981	429.1	84.6	1,264	731	0.58	1.70
	1982 1983	501.0 346.1	96.0 69.3	481 1,316	205 1 527	0.43 1.16	0.41 4.41
	1203	340. I	03.3	1,310	1,527	1.10	4.41

Trojan ended commercial operation as of 1/93 and will not be put in commercial operation again. It is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

NUREG-0713 C-34

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
VERMONT YANKEE	1984	398.1	79.0	954	626	0.66	1.57
(continued)	1985	361.4	71.8	1,392	1,051	0.76	2.91
	1986 1987	248.1 423.6	48.9 84.2	1,389 827	1,188 303	0.86 0.37	4.79 0.72
	1987	423.6 492.1	95.7	627 379	303 124	0.37 0.33	0.72 0.25
	1989	432.8	84.7	832	288	0.35	0.23
	1990	433.1	85.9	849	307	0.36	0.71
	1991	492.3	94.3	310	118	0.38	0.24
	1992	446.8	88.1	921	381	0.41	0.85
	1993	402.3	80.1	833	217	0.26	0.54
	1994	515.8	98.7	220	38	0.17	0.07
	1995	462.1 452.7	87.0	737 054	182	0.25	0.39 0.51
	1996 1997	452.7 487.1	85.2 96.0	951 260	231 57	0.24 0.22	0.51 0.12
	1998	383.4	77.9	944	199	0.21	0.52
	1999	463.4	91.0	854	176	0.21	0.38
	2000	517.8	99.6	198	38	0.19	0.07
	2001	474.9	93.5	863	143	0.17	0.30
	2002	451.0	91.7	946	150	0.16	0.33
	2003 2004	505.9 439.2	98.8 87.2	359 1,379	54 212	0.15 0.15	0.11
VOGTLE 1, 2	1988	820.4	77.7	1,108	138	0.13	0.48 0.17
Docket 50-424; 50-425;	1989	1,045.8	96.0	427	32	0.12	0.17
NPF-68, -81	1990	1,710.9	82.7	1,602	466	0.29	0.27
1st commercial operation	1991	1,966.5	89.2	1,357	362	0.27	0.18
6/87, 5/89	1992	2,047.9	90.0	1,262	426	0.34	0.21
Type - PWRs	1993	2,060.4	88.3	1,338	367	0.27	0.18
Capacity - 1152, 1149 MWe	1994 1995	2,170.1	91.3 95.2	1,048 953	217 199	0.21 0.21	0.10 0.0 <del>9</del>
	1995	2,285.4 2,056.8	95.2 86.5	1,395	452	0.21	0.09
	1997	2,121.1	91.4	994	158	0.16	0.07
	1998	2,123.9	92.3	994	162	0.16	0.08
	1999	2,106.0	91.5	1,359	229	0.17	0.11
	2000	2,223.9	95.6	899	121	0.14	0.05
	2001	2,231.5	96.2	870	129	0.15	0.06
	2002 2003	1,942.0	85.3	1,152 806	244 84	0.21 0.10	0.13 0.04
	2003	2,179.9 2,200.7	94.8 95.7	765	81	0.10 0.11	0.04
WATERFORD	1986	875.7	79.1	1,244	223	0.18	0.25
Docket 50-382; NPF-38	1987	891.8	82.5	959	156	0.16	0.17
1st commercial operation 9/85	1988	784.3	75.4	1,246	259	0.21	0.33
Type - PWR	1989	909.8	82.6	1,306	265	0.20	0.29
Capacity - 1075 MWe	1990	1,027.9	92.8	432	47	0.11	0.05
	1991 1992	870.6	79.8	1,301 1,213	364 226	0.28 0.19	0.42 0.25
	1992	909.6 1,088.3	83.2 99.4	1,213	15	0.19	0.25
	1994	949.1	87.0	1,167	191	0.16	0.20
	1995	927.4	83.4	1,092	153	0.14	0.16
	1996	1,064.8	94.2	342	27	0.08	0.03
	1997	767.2	71.2	1,186	148	0.13	0.19
	1998	984.1	91.9	282	24	0.09	0.02
	1999 2000	849.5 965.1	79.6	833 825	123 132	0.15 0.16	0.14 0.1 <del>4</del>
	2000	965.1 1,086.0	88.8 99.6	91	5	0.16	0.14
	2002	1,000.0	93.2	811	109	0.14	0.00
	2003	. 968.0	90.9	710	95	0.13	0.10
	2004	1,099.1	100.0	60	3	0.04	_

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
WATTS BAR 1	1997	867.6	83.8	1,103	113	0.10	0.13
Docket 50-390; NPF-90	1998	1,105.1	99.1	96	3	0.03	0.00
1st commercial operation 5/96	1999	943.1	87.2	975	99	0.10	0.10
Type - PWR Capacity - 1121 MWe	2000 2001	1,033.3 1,095.9	92.8 96.5	1,053 197	122 6	0.12 0.03	0.12 0.01
Capacity - 1121 MVIG	2002	1,034.0	90.3 92.1	909	94	0.03	0.01
	2003	973.3	86.7	1,392	166	0.12	0.17
	2004	1,122.1	99.1	220	6	0.03	0.01
WOLF CREEK 1	1986	832.8	73.3	682	143	0.21	0.17
Docket 50-482; NPF-42 1st commercial operation 9/85	1987 1988	778.8 794.7	71.1 70.7	675 1,010	138 297	0.20 0.29	0.18 0.37
Type - PWR	1989	1.108.4	99.5	186	18	0.29	0.37
Capacity - 1166 MWe	1990	940.2	81.0	798	195	0.24	0.21
	1991	707.6	71.9	1,010	331	0.33	0.47
	1992	1,010.8	86.7	446	78 400	0.17	0.08
	1993 1994	940.5 1,017.2	80.6 86. <b>8</b>	975 1,082	183 235	0.19 0.22	0.19 0.23
	1995	1,198.0	98.7	242	14	0.22	0.23
	1996	980.6	81.2	986	171	0.17	0.17
	1997	964.3	83.8	989	265	0.27	0.27
	1998 1999	1,187.3	100.0 90.1	184 812	10 148	0.05	0.01
	2000	1,045.3 1,032.7	90.1 89.5	861	143	0.18 0.17	0.14 0.14
	2001	1,177.9	100.0	105	5	0.05	0.00
	2002	1,029.0	88.7	816	100	0.12	0.10
	2003 2004	1,013.5	87.2	820	89	0.11	0.09
YANKEE ROWE <sup>17</sup>	1969	1,153.5 138.3	98.8	93 193	<u>3</u> 215	0.04 1.11	1.55
Docket 50-29; DPR-3	1970	146.1		355	255	0.72	1.75
1st commercial operation 7/61	1971	173.5		155	90	0.58	0.52
Type - PWR	1972	78.7		282	255	0.90	3.24
Capacity - (175) MWe	1973 1974	127.1 111.3		133 243	99 205	0.74 0.84	0.78 1.84
	1975	145.1	82.4	249	116	0.47	0.80
	1976	152.2	89.8	152	59	0.39	0.39
	1977	124.6	73.9	725	356	0.49	2.86
	1978 1979	145.0 149.0	81.0 81.6	565 441	282 127	0.50 0.29	1.94
	1980	35.6	22.0	502	213	0.29	0.85 5.98
	1981	109.0	74.4	515	302	0.59	2.77
·	1982	108.6	73.4	814	474	0.58	4.36
	1983	163.5	91.4	395	68	0.17	0.42
	1984 1985	124.8 144.3	71.4 85.3	654 653	348 211	0.53 0.32	2.79 1.46
	1986	169.7	95.0	384	45	0.12	0.27
	1987	138.7	82.7	593	217	0.37	1.56
	1988	136.4	85.2	738	227	0.31	1.66
	1989 1990	159.4 101.1	92.9 61.5	496 702	62 246	0.12 0.35	0.39 2.43
	1990	121.2	72.3	702 162	40	0.35 0.25	2.43 0.33
	1992	0.0	0.0	324	94	0.29	_
	1993	0.0	0.0	313	163	0.52	-
	1994	0.0	0.0	222	156	0.70	
	1995 1996	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	191 239	78 95	0.41 0.40	
	1990	0.0	U.U	239	90	0.40	


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Yankee Rowe ended commercial operation as of 10/91 and will not be put in commercial operation again. It is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Zion 1, 2 was shut down 12/97 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

Reporting Organization	Year	Megawatt Years MW-yr	Unit Availability Factor	Total Personnel with Measurable Doses	Collective Dose	Average Measurable Dose (rems)	Collective Dose MW-yr
YANKEE ROWE <sup>17</sup> (continued)	1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	323 125 83 38 48 128 136	65 5 2 2 4 20 31 7	0.20 0.04 0.02 0.06 0.08 0.16 0.23 0.09	- - - - - -
ZION 1 <sup>18</sup> , 2 <sup>18</sup> Docket 50-295; 50-304; DPR-39, -48 1st commercial operation 12/73, 9/74 Type - PWRs Capacity - (1040), (1040) MWe	1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004	425.3 1,181.5 1,134.9 1,358.6 1,613.5 1,238.0 1,411.2 1,366.9 1,186.4 1,222.3 1,389.9 1,187.9 1,462.0 1,337.0 1,549.1 1,514.1 860.4 1,125.7 1,128.8 1,458.2 1,224.9 1,471.6 1,538.4 123.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	71.1 74.9 61.9 75.0 80.2 67.6 74.1 72.3 69.4 69.6 62.9 73.2 71.0 78.3 77.6 46.9 58.2 59.0 70.9 59.9 72.4 75.8 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	306 436 774 784 1,104 1,472 1,363 1,754 1,575 1,285 1,110 1,498 967 1,046 1,282 1,385 902 1,732 1,772 1,176 1,807 1,567 924 246 67 26 6	56 127 571 1,003 1,017 1,274 920 1,720 2,103 1,311 786 1,166 474 653 1,260 624 696 173 1,043 643 306 797 437 119 12 4	0.18 0.29 0.74 1.28 0.92 0.87 0.67 0.98 1.34 1.02 0.71 0.78 0.49 0.65 0.49 0.50 0.19 0.60 0.36 0.26 0.44 0.28 0.13 0.05 0.06 0.12 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.03	0.13 0.11 0.50 0.74 0.63 1.03 0.65 1.26 1.77 1.07 0.57 0.98 0.32 0.49 0.81 0.41 0.81 0.15 0.92 0.44 0.25 0.54 0.28 0.97


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Yankee Rowe ended commercial operation as of 10/91 and will not be put in commercial operation again. It is no longer in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.

<sup>18</sup> Zion 1, 2 was shut down 12/97 and is no longer included in the count of operating reactors. Parentheses indicate plant capacity when plant was operational.




<sup>\*</sup>Appendix D only contains data on plants in operation during 2004.


ARKANSAS 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators



BEAVER VALLEY 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators




BRAIDWOOD 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators




BROWNS FERRY 1, 2, 3


Dose Performance Indicators



BRUNSWICK 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators




BYRON 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators




CALLAWAY 1


Dose Performance Indicators




CALVERT CLIFFS 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators




CATAWBA 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators



**CLINTON**Dose Performance Indicators




## **COLUMBIA GENERATING**Dose Performance Indicators




COMANCHE PEAK 1, 2

Dose Performance Indicators




COOK 1, 2


Dose-Performance Indicators




Dose-Performance Indicators




## CRYSTAL RIVER 3 Dose-Performance Indicators




**DAVIS-BESSE**Dose-Performance Indicators




#### DIABLO CANYON 1, 2 Dose Performance Indicators




DRESDEN 2, 3
Dose Performance Indicators



## **DUANE ARNOLD**Dose Performance Indicators




FARLEY 1, 2
Dose Performance Indicators




FERMI 2


Dose-Performance Indicators




FITZPATRICK
Dose-Performance Indicators




#### FORT CALHOUN Dose-Performance Indicators




**GINNA**Dose-Performance Indicators




**GRAND GULF**Dose-Performance Indicators




HARRIS
Dose-Performance Indicators




HATCH 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators



HOPE CREEK 1
Dose-Performance Indicators




**INDIAN POINT 2**Dose-Performance Indicators




INDIAN POINT 3


Dose-Performance Indicators



## **KEWAUNEE**Dose-Performance Indicators




LASALLE 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators




LIMERICK 1, 2


Dose-Performance Indicators



MCQUIRE 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators




# MILLSTONE UNIT 2, 3 Dose-Performance Indicators




MONTICELLO


Dose-Performance Indicators




#### NINE MILE POINT 1, 2 Dose-Performance Indicators




NORTH ANNA 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators



OCONEE 1, 2, 3
Dose-Performance Indicators




OYSTER CREEK
Dose-Performance Indicators




PALISADES


Dose-Performance Indicators




PALO VERDE 1, 2, 3
Dose-Performance Indicators



# PEACH BOTTOM 2, 3 Dose-Performance Indicators




**PERRY**Dose-Performance Indicators




PILGRIM


Dose-Performance Indicators



POINT BEACH 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators




## PRAIRIE ISLAND 1, 2 Dose-Performance Indicators




QUAD CITIES 1, 2

Dose-Performance Indicators




RIVER BEND 1

Dose-Performance Indicators




ROBINSON 2

Dose-Performance Indicators




D-26

SALEM 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators




SAN ONOFRE 1, 2, 3
Dose-Performance Indicators




NOTE: Since 2001, data only includes Units 2 and 3.


SEABROOK
Dose-Performance Indicators




**SEQUOYAH 1, 2**Dose-Performance Indicators




**SOUTH TEXAS 1, 2**Dose-Performance Indicators




ST. LUCIE 1, 2
Dose-Performance Indicators




**SUMMER 1 Dose-Performance Indicators** 




**SURRY 1, 2 Dose-Performance Indicators** 



## SUSQUEHANNA 1, 2 Dose-Performance Indicators




#### THREE MILE ISLAND 1\* Dose-Performance Indicators




\*Graph includes data for Three Mile Island 2 for the years 1975 - 1985.


TURKEY POINT 3, 4
Dose-Performance Indicators



## VERMONT YANKEE Dose-Performance Indicators




**VOGTLE 1, 2**Dose-Performance Indicators




WATERFORD 3


Dose-Performance Indicators



WATTS BAR 1
Dose-Performance Indicators



WOLF CREEK 1
Dose-Performance Indicators



NRC FORM 335 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (9-2004) NRCMD 3.7	REPORT NUMBER     (Assigned by NRC, Add Vot., Supp., Rev., and Addendum Numbers, if any.)	
BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	Į.	
(See instructions on the reverse)	NUREG-0713 Volume 26	
2. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	3. DATE REPORT PUBLISHED	
Occupational Radiation Exposure at Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors and Other Facilities 2004	MONTH	YEAR
Thirty-Seventh Annual Report	December 2005 4. FIN OR GRANT NUMBER  N6081	
Thirty-Seventif Athlias Nepolt		
5. AUTHOR(S)	6. TYPE OF REPORT	
S. A. Burrows D. A. Hagemeyer*	Final	
	7. PERIOD COVERED	(Inclusive Dates)
	01/01/04	- 12/31/04
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, provide Division, Office or Region, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commprovide name and mailing address.)	nission, and mailing address	; If contractor,
Division of Systems Analysis and Regulatory Effectiveness *Oak Ridge Association	ociated Universities	
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research 210 Badger Road		
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Oak Ridge, TN 37	830	
Washington, DC 20555-0001  9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (If NRC, type "Same as above"; If contractor, provide NRC Division, Office of	r Region, U.S. Nuclear Regi	ulatory Commission,
and mailing address.) Same as 8. above		
Same as a. above		
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
11. ABSTRACT (200 words or less)		
This report summarizes the 2004 occupational radiation exposure data that are maintained in the Commission's (NRC's) Radiation Exposure Information and Reporting System (REIRS) database contained in the report was compiled from the 2004 annual reports submitted by five of the sev subject to the reporting requirements of 10 CFR 20.2206. Annual reports of occupational radial received from 227 NRC licesees, of which 104 were operators of nuclear power reactors in continuous continuo	se. The bulk of the en categories of N tion exposure for 2	information RC licensees 2004 were
		-
		1
12. KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS (List words or phrases that will assist researchers in locating the report.)	13 AVAN ARI	LITY STATEMENT
	ŀ	unlimited
occupational <u></u>		Y CLASSIFICATION
exposure	(This Page)	
dose REIRS  unclassifie (This Report)		nclassified
		(This Report) unclassified
	<del></del>	R OF PAGES
	ļ	
	16. PRICE	



**Federal Recycling Program** 

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001

OFFICIAL BUSINESS