

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001 December 10, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: Melvyn N. Leach, Chief

Special Projects and Inspection Branch

Division of Fuel Cycle Safety

and Safeguards

Office of Nuclear Material Safety

and Safeguards

Thru:

Joseph G. Giitter, Chief

Special Projects Section

Special Projects and Inspection Branch

Division of Fuel Cycle Safety

and Safeguards

Office of Nuclear Material Safety

and Safeguards

FROM:

Andrew Persinko, Sr. Nuclear Engineer

Special Projects Section

Special Projects and Inspection Branch

Division of Fuel Cycle Safety

and Safeguards

Office of Nuclear Material Safety

and Safeguards

SUBJECT:

NOVEMBER 21, 2002, MEETING SUMMARY: MEETING WITH DUKE

Joseph Statt

Hamlo

COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER TO DISCUSS MIXED OXIDE FUEL

FABRICATION FACILITY REVISED CONSTRUCTION

AUTHORIZATION REPORT

On November 21, 2002, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with Duke Cogema Stone & Webster (DCS), the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility (MFFF) applicant, to discuss the revised construction authorization request (CAR). A summary of the meeting is provided below. The meeting agenda, attendance list, and meeting handouts, are attached (Attachments 1, 2, and 3, respectively). A small portion of the meeting was closed to the public in order to discuss proprietary information.

Summary

At the outset of the meeting, it was announced that there would not be a management meeting as planned due to schedule conflicts affecting DCS management.

DCS' presentation was based on the slides provided as Attachment 3. DCS stated at the meeting that it will be providing a series of tables that identify the extent to which responses to NRC's requests for information or clarification [e.g., Request for Additional Information (RAI), open items in the draft Safety Evaluation Report (DSER)], have been incorporated into the revised CAR.

M. Leach 2

The revised CAR incorporates design changes to accommodate alternate plutonium feedstock (e.g., addition of a pulsed column, additional laboratory characterization information, facility layout), the deletion of the silver recovery system as a result of the Savannah River Site's ability to accept silver, and the deletion of one electrolyzer in the dissolution unit. Design basis changes include changes to the 3013 outer canister opening device, concentration controls, glovebox fire protection features, and the process safety instrumentation and control system. New principal structures, systems, and components (PSSCs) that have changed include double walled pipe, sintering furnace, sintering furnace pressure controls, and laboratory material controls. DCS also stated that the MFFF process cell ventilation system passive boundary, an existing PSSC with high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters, would now be relied upon to reduce environmental risks from accidents in process cells. DCS also notified staff that they will submit several page changes for the revised CAR to correct errors that they noticed after submitting the revised CAR in October, 2002. DCS requested NRC clarification for some open items (FLS-2, AP-11, and AP-12). DCS proposed having a series of technical exchanges beginning in December 2002 regarding open items and NRC questions. NRC committed to sending DCS a letter each month that summarizes the status of the staff's open items.

In response to questions from NRC staff, DCS: 1) committed to include elements of the C4 confinement system in the safety strategy for glovebox fires; 2) will compare Table 5.6-1 to the text; 3) will clarify whether the instrument air system is a PSSC after comparing the discussions in sections 11.9.1.10 and 11.9.5; 4) stated that an electrical PSSC has not been designed to be seismically qualified if there are no post-seismic electrical load safety requirements. NRC staff asked: 1) if there is a standard that DCS intends to apply to the double walled pipe design and to sampling systems; 2) for clarification regarding the designation of maintenance as a PSSC, since according to 10 CFR Part 70, maintenance is a management measure. It was clarified that the worker action is really the PSSC.

Docket: 70-3098

Attachments: 1. Meeting Agenda

2. Attendance List

3. Meeting Handouts

cc:

P. Hastings, DCS

J. Johnson, DOE

H. Porter, SCDHEC

J. Conway, DNFSB

L. Zeller, BREDL

G. Carroll, GANE

M. Leach 2

The revised CAR incorporates design changes to accommodate alternate plutonium feedstock (e.g., addition of a pulsed column, additional laboratory characterization information, facility layout), the deletion of the silver recovery system as a result of Savannah River Site's ability to accept silver, and the deletion of one electrolyzer in the dissolution unit. Design basis changes include changes to the 3013 outer canister opening device, concentration controls, glovebox fire protection features, and the process safety instrumentation and control system. New principal structures, systems, and components (PSSCs) that have changed include double walled pipe, sintering furnace, sintering furnace pressure controls, and laboratory material controls. DCS also stated that the MFFF process cell ventilation system passive boundary, an existing PSSC with high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters, would now be relied upon to reduce environmental risks from accidents in process cells. DCS also notified staff that they will submit several page changes for the revised CAR to correct errors that they noticed after submitting the revised CAR in October, 2002. DCS requested NRC clarification for some open items (FLS-2, AP-11, and AP-12). DCS proposed having a series of technical exchanges beginning in December 2002 regarding open items and NRC questions. NRC committed to sending DCS a letter each month that summarizes the status of the staff's open items.

In response to questions from NRC staff, DCS: 1) committed to include elements of the C4 confinement system in the safety strategy for glovebox fires; 2) will compare Table 5.6-1 to the text; 3) will clarify whether the instrument air system is a PSSC after comparing the discussions in sections 11.9.1.10 and 11.9.5; 4) stated that an electrical PSSC has not been designed to be seismically qualified if there are no post-seismic electrical load safety requirements. NRC staff asked: 1) if there is a standard that DCS intends to apply to the double walled pipe design and to sampling systems; 2) for clarification regarding the designation of maintenance as a PSSC since according to 10 CFR Part 70, maintenance is a management measure. It was clarified that the worker action is really the PSSC.

Docket: 70-3098

Attachments: 1. Meeting Agenda

Attendance List
 Meeting Handouts

cc:

P. Hastings, DCS

J. Johnson, DOE

H. Porter, SCDHEC

J. Conway, DNFSB

L. Zeller, BREDL

G. Carroll, GANE

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket: 70-3098

SPB r/f

ADAMS PUBLIC DAyres, RII

WGloersen Attendees JHull, OGC Hearing File

ADAMS Accession NO:ML023300241

RPierson, FCSS

G:\SPB\AXP1\MOXmtgsumm11-21-02.wpd *See previous concurrence

OFC	SPB*	E	SPB*	2	SPB		
NAME	DPersinko		LGross		JGiitte	W	
DATE	12/09 /02		12/ 09 /02		12/100	/02	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

MEETING AGENDA MOX FUEL FABRICATION FACILITY November 21, 2002

10:00 AM	Introduction
10:15 AM	Presentation by Duke Cogema Stone & Webster concerning the contents of the revised Construction Authorization Request
12:00 NOON	Lunch
1:00 PM	Presentation by Duke Cogema Stone & Webster concerning the contents of the revised Construction Authorization Request
	NRC staff questions/discussion
2:45 PM	Summary / Actions
3:00 PM	NRC-DCS Management Meeting
4:30	Summary / Actions

ATTENDEES AT THE MEETING ON NOVEMBER 21, 2002

NAME	AFFILIATION
Andrew Persinko Joseph Giitter Fred Burrows Wilkins Smith Alex Murray David Brown Joel Kramer Rex Wescott Margaret Chatterton Sharon Steele Tim Harris Billy Gleaves Linda Gross Patrick Castleman William Gloersen Christine Noelke Paul Loeser Norma Garcia Bill Troskoski Julia McAnallen	Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) NRC
Peter Hastings Gary Kaplan Ken Ashe Bill Hennessy Darrell Gardner Jean-Francois Weiss	Duke Cogema Stone & Webster (DCS) DCS DCS DCS DCS DCS
Jamie Johnson John Connelly Joseph Drago	Department of Energy (DOE) DOE DOE
Don Williams David Alberstein Faris Badwan	Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) LANL
Edward Lyman	Nuclear Control Institute (NCI)
Dan Horner	McGraw-Hill
Geoff Kaiser	SAIC



NRC Staff Briefing on the Construction Authorization Request Revision

Duke Cogema Stone & Webster 21 November 2002

Attachment 3

Agenda

- Introduction
- Road Map
- Design Changes
- Design Basis
- October 30, 2002 letter from NRC
- Errata
- Follow Up Meetings

DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER

Introduction

CAR Update includes

- Incorporation of responses to Request for Additional Information (RAIs)
- Incorporation of responses to Clarifications
- Additional information added to address Open Items from the Draft Safety Evaluation Report
- Incorporation of changes as a result of "Alternate Feedstock"
- Evolution of the Design, if significant to the Safety Basis

21 November 2002

DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTEI

Road Map

- The RAI/Clarification road maps are divided into three sections:
 - Responses that are incorporated in the CAR Revision
 - Responses that are valid but not incorporated in the CAR Revision
 - Responses that are no longer valid
- Each section provides
 - A cross reference to the RAI number or a Clarification identifier
 - A brief description of the question/clarification,
 - The location in the CAR where text was modified if required

21 November 2002



Road Map (continued)

- The Open Item road map is divided into three sections:
 - Items incorporated into the CAR
 - Items requiring clarification or no change to the CAR
 - Remaining Open Items
- Each section:
 - Identifies the open item (# and text)
 - Provides the location where text in the CAR was modified as applicable
 - Provides clarifying notes where applicable

21 November 2002



Road Map (continued)

- Open Items
 - HEPA Filter
 - Solvent flashpoint, flammable gases
 - HAN/Hydrazine
 - Design Cost information
 - Pu Experience
 - Design Basis USLs

- Open Item Identifiers
 - FS-1 & VS-1
 - AP-08, AP-09, AP-10,CS-09
 - CS-02, CS-03
 - FQ-01
 - NCS-01
 - NCS-04



Design Changes

- Alternate Feedstock
- Deletion of Silver Recovery System
- Deletion of one electrolyzer in Dissolution Unit (KDB)

21 November 2002



Design Basis Changes

- PSSCs that have been deleted or replaced
 - 3013 Outer CanisterOpening Device
- Operation deleted
- Concentration Controls
- Replaced by Chemical Safety Controls (CAR Table 5.6-1)
- Glovebox FireProtection Features
- Replaced by
 Combustible Loading

21 November 2002

6 Design Basis Changes (continued)

- PSSCs that have been deleted or replaced
 - Process Safety I&C System
- Replaced by Process
 Safety Control
 Subsystem and
 Emergency Control
 System
- Training and
 Procedures (Facility worker actions)
- Replaced by Facility
 Worker Action and
 Facility Worker
 Controls PSSCs

6 Design Basis Changes (continued)

DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER

 New PSSCs 	 CAR Section
 Double Walled P 	ipe – 11.8.7
 Facility Worker A 	- 5.6.2.6
Facility Worker C	ontrols - 5.6.2.9
 Hazardous Mate Delivery Controls 	
 Laboratory Mate Controls 	rial – 5.6.2.7
 Seismic Monitori and Associated Selection Isolation Valves 	- 11 to 7 to 7
Sintering Furnac	e – 11.4.11
Sintering FurnacControls	44 / 44

21 November 2002



30 October Letter

- DCS is still evaluating the letter
- DCS believes the CAR provides additional information and some items may now be closed.
- DCS requests that NRC provide guidance on which of the items remain open, after your review of the CAR.

21 November 2002 11



Errata

- Section 10.1.1,- 2nd paragraph provided a Pu concentration of 7.25E-16 Ci/ml and it should have been 7.25E-16 μCi/cc
- Consequence Analyses

DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER

Errata (continued)

- Section 11.4.11.8, - 2nd bullet refers you to sections 8.5 and 8.7, it should only be 8.5
- Table 5A-6, delete "heavy" from event description (workshop RD-9)
- Table 5A-7, delete "heavy" from event description (workshop AS-8)

21 November 2002



Follow Up Meetings

- Propose series of Technical Exchanges to facilitate understanding of NRC questions
- December 2002
 - Technical exchange to discuss outstanding issues (Charlotte or DC)
 - Request NRC and DCS management attend for agreement on path forward



Follow Up Meetings (continued)

- January 2003
 - Technical exchange to discuss outstanding issues (Charlotte or DC)
 - Request NRC and DCS management attend for agreement on path forward
- February 2003
 - If needed